

Skeena Sockeye Test Fishery DNA (2018): Report to PSC. March 15, 2019

Project Lead. Steve Cox-Rogers

Introduction

The Tye gill-net test fishery at the mouth of the Skeena River provides daily estimates of the number of sockeye entering (escaping) into the Skeena River each year from mid-June through August. The annual escapement is comprised of numerous sockeye sub-stocks each with its own entry timing (early, mid, late etc). A key component of Skeena sockeye management is estimating annual abundance and harvest/exploitation rates on sub-stocks so that fisheries can be managed with consideration for sub-stock structure rather than just simple aggregate-stock abundance. Currently, estimating catch and escapement for each stock is very difficult as visual escapement assessments are of variable quality and estimates of the catch by stock in various fisheries are not complete. An alternative strategy is to sample (proportionate to abundance) sockeye captured at the test fishing site and determine their stock of origin using microsatellite DNA stock identification techniques (Beacham et al, 2014). Given escapement counts of known accuracy for several Skeena tributary systems, and known proportions of these stocks in the escapement samples from Tye, allows estimation of escapement to each specific sockeye stock within the Skeena River drainage. As well, stock composition estimates from the Tye test fishery allow for stock-specific run-reconstruction back through mixed-stock marine fisheries in the Canada and S.S.E Alaskan PSC Northern Boundary Area approach waters. These analyses provide reconstructed run-timing distributions, catch estimates, and harvest rates by sub-stock which are vital to understanding migration routes, timing, and impacts by specific fisheries. To date, sockeye DNA analysis for the Tye test fishery includes the years 2000-2018...continuation of this program through 2019 is scheduled.

Methods

Sockeye tissue samples (tissues on Whatman paper) were collected proportionate to abundance from fish captured at the Tye Test Fishery in 2018 following previously established sampling protocols. The tissue samples were shipped to the PBS lab in Nanaimo for analysis (Terry Beacham/John Candy, DFO, Nanaimo). A complete overview of the analytical process followed for Tye Test Fishery DNA analysis can in the attached references, with their citation lists summarizing relevant methodologies and processing logistics.

Results

Table1 shows the weekly numbers of sockeye samples run for genetic analysis for samples collected at Tye in 2018. Table 2 summarizes the weekly stock proportions for sockeye sampled at the Tye test fishery in 2018, Table 3 shows the regional summary by week; ~500 samples, sub-sampled from the total collected in 2018, were run for analysis. An assessment of all the 2000-2018 Tye test fishery data is now being made to determine annual variability in stock-specific run-timing and abundance patterns. A summary sheet showing 2000-2018 DNA results, applied to estimated abundance passing Tye, is available upon request. All data and results from 2018 (and prior years) has been shared with Canada and U.S counterparts through the PSC NBTC process.

References

Beacham, T. D., and C. E. Withler, and K. M. Miller. 2000. Application of microsatellite DNA variation to estimation of stock composition and escapement of Skeena River sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*). . North Pacific Anadromous Fish Commission Bulletin 2: 263-276.

Terry D. Beacham, Steven Cox-Rogers, Cathy MacConnachie, Brenda McIntosh & Colin G. Wallace (2014) Population Structure and Run Timing of Sockeye Salmon in the Skeena River, British Columbia, North American Journal of Fisheries Management, 34:2, 335-348

Table 1. Weekly selected samples for sockeye sampled at the Tye test fishery in 2018.

Species = Sockeye Number of populations = 25 Baseline Description = bso_Damsh_slamg_20181219 Nun							
Number of chains = 10 Number of Reps = 20000 Reps Kept = 1000							
Sample	Vial ID	Year	Gear	Area	Mix Date	N	Excluded
1	173	2018	gill	SkeenaTye	Jun22	1	0
2	176-179	2018	gill	SkeenaTye	Jun25-Jun28	2	0
3	182-188	2018	gill	SkeenaTye	Jul01-Jul07	17	0
4	189-195	2018	gill	SkeenaTye	Jul08-Jul14	48	0
5	196-202	2018	gill	SkeenaTye	Jul15-Jul21	115	0
6	203-209	2018	gill	SkeenaTye	Jul22-Jul28	131	0
7	210-216	2018	gill	SkeenaTye	Jul29-Aug04	121	0
8	217-223	2018	gill	SkeenaTye	Aug05-Aug11	37	0
9	224-230	2018	gill	SkeenaTye	Aug12-Aug18	9	0
10	231-235	2018	gill	SkeenaTye	Aug19-Aug25	10	0
11	238-244	2018	gill	SkeenaTye	Aug26-Sep01	4	0
12	245-249	2018	gill	SkeenaTye	Sep02-Sep07	3	0
13	252-255	2018	gill	SkeenaTye	Sep09-Sep14	2	0
14	173-255	2018	gill	SkeenaTye	Jun22-Sep14	500	0

Table 2. Weekly stock proportions for sockeye sampled at the Tye test fishery in 2018.

Sockeye Number of populations = 25 Baseline Description = bso_Damsh_slamg_20181219 Number of loci = 14 Max missing loci = 5																
chains = 10 Number of Reps = 20000 Reps Kept = 1000																
	2018	2018	2018	2018	2018	2018	2018	2018	2018	2018	2018	2018	2018	2018	2018	2018
	173	176-179	182-188	189-195	196-202	203-209	210-216	217-223	224-230	231-235	238-244	245-249	252-255	173-255		
	gill	gill	gill	gill	gill	gill	gill	gill	gill	gill	gill	gill	gill	gill		
	SkeenaTye	SkeenaTye	SkeenaTye	SkeenaTye	SkeenaTye	SkeenaTye	SkeenaTye	SkeenaTye	SkeenaTye	SkeenaTye	SkeenaTye	SkeenaTye	SkeenaTye	SkeenaTye	SkeenaTye	SkeenaTye
	StatWk25	StatWk26	StatWk27	StatWk28	StatWk29	StatWk30	StatWk31	StatWk32	StatWk33	StatWk34	StatWk35	StatWk36	StatWk37	StatWk38	StatWk39	StatWk40
	Jun22	Jun25-Jun28	Jul01-Jul07	Jul08-Jul14	Jul15-Jul21	Jul22-Jul28	Jul29-Aug04	Aug05-Aug11	Aug12-Aug18	Aug19-Aug25	Aug26-Sep01	Sep02-Sep07	Sep09-Sep14	Jun22-Sep12	Jun22-Sep12	Jun22-Sep12
	1(0)	2(0)	17(0)	48(0)	115(0)	131(0)	121(0)	37(0)	9(0)	10(0)	4(0)	3(0)	2(0)	500(0)		
	Estima SD	Estima SD	Estima SD	Estima SD	Estima SD	Estima SD	Estima SD	Estima SD	Estima SD	Estima SD	Estima SD	Estima SD	Estima SD	Estima SD	Estima SD	Estima SD
Stock	0.0 (9.3)	0.0 (5.1)	0.0 (1.2)	1.3 (2.3)	0.0 (0.2)	0.0 (0.1)	0.0 (0.2)	0.0 (0.6)	0.0 (2.0)	0.0 (1.7)	0.0 (4.0)	0.0 (4.5)	0.0 (5.5)	0.0 (0.1)		
McDonnell	0.0 (8.4)	0.0 (6.2)	0.0 (1.1)	0.2 (0.8)	0.4 (0.7)	0.0 (0.2)	0.5 (0.8)	0.0 (0.5)	0.0 (1.7)	0.0 (2.1)	0.0 (3.0)	0.0 (4.0)	0.0 (5.0)	0.2 (0.3)		
Motase	0.0 (9.0)	0.0 (7.0)	2.0 (6.0)	0.2 (1.1)	2.8 (4.3)	1.5 (3.7)	1.7 (4.1)	0.6 (2.1)	0.5 (3.1)	0.1 (1.9)	2.8 (10.1)	3.9 (13.8)	1.7 (9.4)	1.4 (1.8)		
Shass	0.0 (7.3)	0.0 (7.1)	0.0 (1.0)	0.0 (0.4)	0.0 (0.3)	0.1 (0.4)	0.0 (0.2)	0.0 (0.5)	0.0 (2.2)	0.0 (1.6)	0.0 (3.5)	0.0 (5.7)	0.0 (5.4)	0.0 (0.1)		
Swan_Kispox	0.0 (7.3)	0.0 (5.3)	1.3 (4.7)	0.2 (1.3)	1.6 (3.8)	1.9 (3.7)	6.6 (6.7)	0.5 (2.1)	0.4 (2.8)	15.3 (18.7)	2.5 (10.6)	7.4 (17.7)	2.9 (10.2)	1.4 (2.0)		
U_Babine	0.0 (8.0)	0.0 (6.2)	1.4 (4.5)	1.4 (3.5)	3.7 (7.1)	0.6 (1.9)	0.9 (2.4)	0.8 (3.0)	0.6 (3.5)	4.4 (10.4)	4.0 (13.3)	39.3 (35.0)	0.2 (5.6)	1.0 (1.9)		
Pinkut	0.0 (6.5)	0.0 (5.6)	6.5 (12.2)	28.5 (11.8)	8.3 (10.1)	15.1 (11.5)	36.3 (17.3)	49.6 (15.6)	1.7 (6.8)	9.4 (19.7)	21.2 (27.4)	11.1 (22.1)	11.8 (22.7)	16.6 (5.9)		
Fulton_L	0.0 (8.7)	0.0 (6.0)	5.5 (10.3)	46.7 (11.6)	27.6 (15.0)	4.6 (9.0)	21.9 (18.2)	15.1 (8.6)	7.5 (15.4)	3.0 (10.5)	28.2 (28.3)	1.4 (8.0)	30.2 (30.7)	41.9 (9.5)		
L_Babine	0.0 (8.3)	0.0 (5.9)	12.9 (8.9)	2.6 (2.7)	1.7 (1.2)	0.7 (0.8)	0.0 (0.2)	0.0 (0.5)	0.0 (1.7)	0.0 (1.4)	0.0 (3.7)	0.0 (5.0)	0.0 (6.4)	1.2 (0.5)		
Nanika	0.0 (9.0)	2.8 (11.2)	11.2 (14.0)	4.2 (8.5)	23.3 (16.3)	60.2 (16.5)	26.8 (18.2)	23.2 (17.0)	81.4 (22.0)	42.9 (33.7)	2.8 (10.4)	18.0 (28.4)	13.5 (24.8)	21.8 (9.9)		
Morrison	0.0 (5.8)	37.0 (25.4)	0.3 (2.0)	1.2 (1.9)	1.7 (1.3)	0.0 (0.1)	0.0 (0.2)	0.0 (0.6)	0.0 (1.8)	0.0 (1.3)	0.0 (2.4)	0.0 (4.5)	0.0 (5.8)	0.8 (0.4)		
Williams	0.0 (8.0)	13.1 (19.0)	0.4 (2.3)	0.8 (1.6)	0.1 (0.5)	0.0 (0.1)	0.0 (0.2)	0.0 (0.5)	0.0 (2.0)	0.0 (1.8)	0.0 (4.2)	0.0 (5.2)	0.0 (6.3)	0.0 (0.2)		
Schulbuckhand	0.0 (7.5)	0.0 (5.4)	12.1 (15.3)	0.9 (3.1)	5.5 (8.1)	4.6 (6.3)	0.9 (2.4)	6.3 (10.1)	0.7 (4.4)	3.9 (9.9)	4.1 (12.9)	4.3 (14.1)	18.3 (26.6)	3.7 (3.4)		
Pierre	0.0 (7.6)	0.0 (5.7)	0.1 (1.4)	0.3 (1.2)	0.1 (0.5)	0.6 (0.8)	0.0 (0.2)	0.0 (0.5)	0.0 (1.9)	0.1 (1.8)	0.0 (3.2)	0.0 (3.9)	0.0 (4.8)	0.2 (0.3)		
SaixBear	0.0 (8.3)	0.0 (6.9)	9.1 (7.0)	0.0 (0.5)	0.0 (0.2)	0.0 (0.2)	0.0 (0.2)	0.0 (0.5)	0.0 (1.8)	0.0 (2.0)	25.0 (17.2)	0.0 (4.9)	0.0 (5.7)	0.5 (0.3)		
Alastair	100.0 (28.5)	0.0 (6.6)	0.0 (1.2)	0.0 (0.5)	0.0 (0.2)	0.0 (0.2)	0.0 (0.2)	0.0 (0.5)	0.0 (1.9)	0.0 (1.9)	0.0 (3.4)	0.0 (4.8)	0.0 (5.0)	0.2 (0.2)		
Kitwangga	0.0 (8.4)	0.0 (4.4)	1.1 (3.8)	0.7 (1.6)	0.0 (0.2)	0.6 (0.9)	1.7 (1.4)	0.7 (1.7)	0.0 (1.9)	0.0 (1.3)	0.0 (3.7)	0.0 (5.7)	0.0 (6.5)	0.7 (0.6)		
Kalum	0.0 (6.7)	0.0 (5.9)	4.2 (8.9)	4.8 (6.3)	8.5 (9.4)	1.9 (3.9)	0.7 (1.9)	0.2 (1.3)	0.2 (2.5)	19.6 (23.6)	0.1 (4.4)	7.8 (17.0)	1.8 (9.4)	2.2 (2.4)		
Twain_Cr	0.0 (8.6)	0.0 (6.5)	16.4 (17.9)	0.2 (1.2)	9.9 (10.3)	4.9 (6.5)	1.2 (2.8)	0.5 (2.2)	0.1 (1.9)	1.1 (5.3)	5.8 (14.7)	3.1 (10.1)	0.4 (5.2)	2.6 (2.8)		
Four_Mile	0.0 (8.1)	0.0 (5.4)	0.4 (2.7)	0.1 (0.9)	0.4 (1.5)	0.8 (2.0)	0.5 (1.7)	0.6 (2.7)	7.1 (15.5)	0.2 (2.7)	3.7 (12.1)	3.8 (12.9)	19.6 (26.6)	0.4 (1.0)		
Tahlo	0.0 (9.0)	0.0 (5.7)	5.9 (5.5)	2.3 (2.2)	2.7 (1.5)	1.3 (1.1)	0.0 (0.1)	0.0 (0.4)	0.0 (1.6)	0.0 (1.6)	0.0 (3.4)	0.0 (4.0)	0.0 (5.6)	1.4 (0.5)		
Stephens_Kispox	0.0 (8.9)	0.0 (5.4)	0.0 (1.1)	0.0 (0.4)	0.0 (0.2)	0.1 (0.4)	0.0 (0.2)	0.0 (0.5)	0.0 (1.9)	0.0 (1.7)	0.0 (3.4)	0.0 (4.0)	0.0 (5.7)	0.0 (0.1)		
Kalum_lake	0.0 (7.4)	0.0 (5.5)	2.9 (5.5)	0.0 (0.4)	0.0 (0.2)	0.4 (0.7)	0.3 (0.8)	2.1 (2.7)	0.0 (1.8)	0.0 (1.5)	0.0 (3.7)	0.0 (4.3)	0.0 (5.9)	0.4 (0.5)		
Johnston_Lake	0.0 (7.9)	47.2 (24.8)	6.0 (5.2)	0.1 (0.6)	0.9 (0.9)	0.0 (0.2)	0.0 (0.2)	0.0 (0.4)	0.0 (2.0)	0.0 (1.9)	0.0 (3.2)	0.0 (5.1)	0.0 (5.6)	0.7 (0.4)		
Damsh_slamg	0.0 (8.9)	0.0 (7.3)	0.3 (2.0)	3.4 (2.9)	0.6 (1.0)	0.1 (0.4)	0.0 (0.2)	0.0 (0.5)	0.0 (1.8)	0.2 (2.3)	0.0 (3.2)	0.0 (3.5)	0.0 (5.7)	0.7 (0.5)		

Table 3. Regional weekly stock proportions for sockeye sampled at the Tyee test fishery in 2018.

Species = Sockeye Number of populations = 25 Baseline Description = bso_Damsh_slamg_20181219 Number of loci = 14 Max missing loci = 5
 Number of chains = 10 Number of Reps = 20000 Repts Kept = 1000

		2018		2018		2018		2018		2018		2018		2018		2018		2018											
		173		176-179		182-188		189-195		196-202		203-209		210-215		217-223		224-230		231-235		238-244		245-249		252-255		173-255	
		gIII		gIII		gIII		gIII		gIII		gIII		gIII		gIII		gIII		gIII		gIII		gIII		gIII		gIII	
		SkeenaTyeeT		SkeenaTyeeT		SkeenaTyeeT		SkeenaTyeeT		SkeenaTyeeT		SkeenaTyeeT		SkeenaTyeeT		SkeenaTyeeT		SkeenaTyeeT		SkeenaTyeeT		SkeenaTyeeT		SkeenaTyeeT		SkeenaTyeeT		SkeenaTyeeT	
		StatWk25		StatWk26		StatWk27		StatWk28		StatWk29		StatWk30		StatWk31		StatWk32		StatWk33		StatWk34		StatWk35		StatWk36		StatWk37		StatWkALL	
		Jun22		Jun25-Jun28		Jul01-Jul07		Jul08-Jul14		Jul15-Jul21		Jul22-Jul28		Jul29-Aug04		Aug05-Aug11		Aug12-Aug18		Aug19-Aug23		Aug26-Sep01		Sep02-Sep06		Sep09-Sep12		Jun22-Sep12	
		1(0)		2(0)		17(0)		48(0)		115(0)		131(0)		121(0)		37(0)		9(0)		10(0)		4(0)		3(0)		2(0)		500(0)	
Code	Region1	Estima	SD	Estima	SD	Estima	SD	Estima	SD	Estima	SD	Estima	SD	Estima	SD	Estima	SD	Estima	SD	Estima	SD	Estima	SD	Estima	SD	Estima	SD	Estima	SD
10	Lower Skeena	100.0	(26.6)	97.2	(22.8)	19.9	(10.0)	4.1	(3.8)	2.8	(1.6)	1.1	(1.2)	2.0	(1.5)	2.7	(3.1)	0.0	(6.0)	0.0	(5.3)	25.0	(18.8)	0.0	(14.5)	0.0	(17.4)	3.3	(0.9)
11	Upper Skeena	0.0	(16.6)	0.0	(12.1)	6.4	(6.1)	6.2	(3.6)	3.8	(1.9)	2.0	(1.4)	0.5	(0.8)	0.0	(0.9)	0.0	(3.7)	0.3	(3.9)	0.0	(6.3)	0.0	(7.7)	0.0	(10.5)	2.5	(0.7)
12	Bulkley	0.0	(8.3)	0.0	(5.9)	12.9	(8.9)	2.6	(2.7)	1.7	(1.2)	0.7	(0.8)	0.0	(0.2)	0.0	(0.5)	0.0	(1.7)	0.0	(1.4)	0.0	(3.7)	0.0	(5.0)	0.0	(6.4)	1.2	(0.5)
13	Babine	0.0	(22.5)	2.8	(19.8)	60.8	(13.2)	87.1	(6.7)	91.7	(2.7)	96.1	(1.9)	97.5	(1.6)	97.3	(3.3)	100.0	(7.1)	99.7	(6.6)	75.0	(19.2)	100.0	(16.7)	100.0	(20.0)	93.1	(1.2)
Region2																													
1	Alastair Lake	0.0	(8.3)	0.0	(6.9)	9.1	(7.0)	0.0	(0.5)	0.0	(0.2)	0.0	(0.2)	0.0	(0.5)	0.0	(1.8)	0.0	(2.0)	25.0	(17.2)	0.0	(4.9)	0.0	(5.7)	0.5	(0.3)		
2	Lakelse Lake	0.0	(9.8)	50.0	(23.9)	0.7	(3.1)	2.0	(2.1)	1.8	(1.3)	0.0	(0.2)	0.0	(0.8)	0.0	(2.6)	0.0	(2.2)	2.0	(4.8)	0.0	(7.2)	0.0	(8.4)	0.8	(0.4)		
3	Zymoetz River	0.0	(9.3)	0.0	(5.1)	0.0	(1.2)	1.3	(2.3)	0.0	(0.2)	0.0	(0.1)	0.0	(0.2)	0.0	(0.6)	0.0	(2.0)	0.0	(1.7)	0.0	(4.0)	0.0	(4.5)	0.0	(5.5)	0.0	(0.1)
4	Kitwanga Lake	100.0	(28.5)	0.0	(6.6)	0.0	(1.2)	0.0	(0.5)	0.0	(0.2)	0.0	(0.2)	0.0	(0.5)	0.0	(1.9)	0.0	(1.9)	0.0	(3.4)	0.0	(4.8)	0.0	(4.8)	0.0	(5.0)	0.2	(0.2)
5	Kitsumkalum Lake	0.0	(11.0)	0.0	(6.9)	4.0	(6.6)	0.7	(1.6)	0.0	(0.3)	0.9	(1.0)	2.0	(1.4)	2.7	(2.7)	0.0	(2.6)	0.0	(2.0)	0.0	(5.2)	0.0	(7.1)	0.0	(8.7)	1.0	(0.5)
6	Kispitox River-lake	0.0	(11.2)	0.0	(8.8)	0.1	(1.4)	0.0	(0.6)	0.0	(0.3)	0.2	(0.5)	0.0	(0.2)	0.0	(0.7)	0.0	(2.9)	0.0	(2.4)	0.0	(4.9)	0.0	(6.9)	0.0	(7.8)	0.0	(0.1)
8	Motase Lake	0.0	(8.4)	0.0	(6.2)	0.0	(1.1)	0.2	(0.8)	0.4	(0.7)	0.0	(0.2)	0.5	(0.8)	0.0	(0.5)	0.0	(1.7)	0.0	(2.1)	0.0	(3.0)	0.0	(4.0)	0.0	(5.0)	0.2	(0.3)
9	Morice Lake	0.0	(8.3)	0.0	(5.9)	12.9	(8.9)	2.6	(2.7)	1.7	(1.2)	0.7	(0.8)	0.0	(0.2)	0.0	(0.5)	0.0	(1.7)	0.0	(1.4)	0.0	(3.7)	0.0	(5.0)	0.0	(6.4)	1.2	(0.5)
10	Bear Lake	0.0	(7.5)	0.0	(5.7)	0.1	(1.4)	0.3	(1.2)	0.1	(0.5)	0.6	(0.8)	0.0	(0.2)	0.0	(0.5)	0.0	(1.9)	0.1	(1.8)	0.0	(3.2)	0.0	(3.9)	0.0	(4.8)	0.2	(0.3)
11	Sustut Lake	0.0	(9.0)	0.0	(5.7)	5.9	(5.5)	2.3	(2.2)	2.7	(1.5)	1.3	(1.1)	0.0	(0.1)	0.0	(0.4)	0.0	(1.6)	0.0	(1.6)	0.0	(3.4)	0.0	(4.4)	0.0	(5.6)	1.4	(0.5)
12	Slamgash River	0.0	(8.9)	0.0	(7.3)	0.3	(2.0)	3.4	(2.9)	0.6	(1.0)	0.1	(0.4)	0.0	(0.2)	0.0	(0.5)	0.0	(1.8)	0.2	(2.3)	0.0	(3.2)	0.0	(3.5)	0.0	(5.7)	0.7	(0.5)
13	Babine Lake	0.0	(22.5)	2.8	(19.8)	60.8	(13.2)	87.1	(6.7)	91.7	(2.7)	96.1	(1.9)	97.5	(1.6)	97.3	(3.3)	100.0	(7.1)	99.7	(6.6)	75.0	(19.2)	100.0	(16.7)	100.0	(20.0)	93.1	(1.2)
14	Johnston Lake	0.0	(7.9)	47.2	(24.8)	6.0	(5.2)	0.1	(0.6)	0.9	(0.9)	0.0	(0.2)	0.0	(0.2)	0.0	(0.4)	0.0	(2.0)	0.0	(1.9)	0.0	(3.2)	0.0	(5.1)	0.0	(5.6)	0.7	(0.4)

Budgeting

Of the \$10000 CDN assigned to this project, \$10,000 CDN was spent on the analysis. The DFO allocator account information for this work, current to February 15, 2018, is:

5G500 810 750 57365 \$10,000 (Source. Cindy Leighton, DFO Admin, Prince Rupert)

Project Evaluation

DFO will complete an overall evaluation report at the end of the project and will consider such things as:

Project Evaluation

DFO will complete an overall evaluation report at the end of the project and will consider such things as: Answers: Yes to #1-5, No to #6

1. Did the intended activities take place within scope, within budget? Yes
2. Were the resources allocated in the most efficient and effective manner, or given the results would a different allocation have been more appropriate, and if so will be considered for any potential future projects as applicable? Yes
3. Were the milestones achieved? Yes
4. Were the deliverables of the project delivered? Yes
5. Did the collaboration achieve its purpose? Yes
6. Were there any difficulties encountered within the performance of the project and if so, how were they managed to achieve resolution? No

Budget Summary by Fiscal Year April 1, 2018 – March 31, 2019

Fiscal Year – 2018-2019	PSC	DFO	Total
Description	Financial Contribution to DFO*	Direct Share of Costs	Total
Lab processing			
500 fish samples @ \$20.00/sample (CDN)	10,000		
Original projection Was 1080 samples	10000		
Grand Total	10,000	0	10,000