INTERNATIONAL PACIFIC SALMON FISHERIES COMMISSION

APPOINTED UNDER A CONVENTION BETWREN CANADA AND THE UNITED STATES FOR THE PROTECTION, PRESERVATION AND EXTENSION OF

THE SOCKEYE SALMON FISHERIES IN THE FRASER RIVER SYSTEM

## ANNUAL REPORT

 1960COMMISSIONERS
ARNEE J. SUOMELA SENATOR THOMAS REID

MILO MOORE
DeWITT GILBERT
A. J. WHHTMORE
F. D. MATHERS

# INTERNATIONAL PACIFIC SALMON FISHERIES COMMISSION 

MEMBERS
AND PERIOD OF SERVICE
SINCE THE INCEPTION OF THE COMMISSION
IN 1937

## CANADA



## UNITRD STATES

Edward W. Allen . . . . . . 1937-1951
1957-1957
B. M. Brennan . . . . . . . 1937-1942

Charles E. Jackson . . . . . 1937-1946
Fred J. Foster . . . . . . . 1943-1947
Milo Moore . . . . . . . 1946-1949 1957.

Albert M. Day . . . . . . . 1947.1954
Alvin Anderson . . . . . . 1949-1950
Robert J. Schoettler . . . . . 1951-1957
Elton B. Jones . . . . . . . 1951-1957
Arnie J. Suomela . . . . . . 1954.
DeWitt Gilbert . . . . . . . 1957-

INTERNATIONAL PACIFIC SALMON FISHERIES COMMISSION

APPOINTED UNDER A CONVENTION
BETWEEN CANADA AND THE UNITED STATES FOR THE PROTECTION, PRESERVATION AND EXTENSION OF

THE SOCKEYE SALMON FISHERIES IN
THE FRASER RIVER SYSTEM

## ANNUAL REPORT 1960

## COMMESSIONERS

ARNIE J. SUOMELA SENATOR THOMAS REID
MILO MOORE
A. J. WHITTMORE

DeWITT GIEBERT
F. D. MATHERS
(January to August)
W. R. HOURSTON
(August to December)

DIRECTOR OF INVESTIGATIONS
LOYD A. ROYAL

## NEW WESTMINSTER <br> CANADA <br> 1961

# REPORT OF THE <br> INTERNATIONAL PACIFIC SALMON FISHERIES COMMISSION FOR THE YEAR 1960 

Production of Fraser River sockeye has been increased substantially during the past decade because of the proper timing and increased size of racial escapements reproducing under fairly stable environmental conditions.

The 1960 sockeye run proved to be one of the best in the history of the cycle year. The catch of sockeye in 1959 was the best since 1903 for that cycle year and because of the tremendous size of the Adams River run in 1958, production in that year was the best in the entire history of this cycle. The record number of downstream migrant smolts observed in 1959 indicates that the 1961 run has the largest potential abundance since the run of $31,000,000$ in 1913. However, the 1961 sockeye run may not realize the high potential indicated by this large downstream migration because of an expected low level of marine survival.

The following table illustrates the increases in the cyclical catch of Fraser River sockeye during recent years and particularly the benefits derived when favorable marine survival conditions prevail as was the case for the runs returning in both 1958 and 1959.

| Cyclical Catch Records of Fraser | River Sockeye |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1958 Cycle Ycar | 1959 Cycle Year |
| 1958-14,779,000* | 1959-3,393,000 |
| 1954-9,529,000 | 1955-2,115,000 |
| 1950-2,115,000 | 1951 - 2,425,000 |
| 1946-7,791,000 | 1947 - 443,000 |
| 1942-7,983,000 | 1943 - 591,000 |
| 1938-3,309,000 | 1939-1,124,000 |
| 1934-5,020,000 | 1935-1,441,000 |
| $1930-4,588,000$ | 1931 - 1,434,000 |
| 1926-1,382,000 | 1927-1,783,000 |
| 1922 - 1,094,000 | 1923-857,000 |
| 1918-811,000 | 1919 - 1,249,000 |
| 1914- 5 , 693,000 | 1915-1,825,000 |
| $1910-{ }^{\text {' } 4,456,000}$ | 1911 - 2,179,000 |
| 1906-4,097,000 | 1907-1,722,000 |
| 1902-7,179,000 | $1903-4,253,000$ |
| * Includes 4,280,000 fish caught in Johnstone Strait |  |
| 1960 Cycle Year | 1961 Cycle Year |
| 1960-2,454,000 | 1961 - |
| 1956-1,802,000 | 1957-3,050,000 |
| 1952 - 2,268,000 | 1953-4,025,000 |
| 1948-1,842,000 | 1949-2,078,000 |
| 1944-1,439,000 | 1945-1,676,000 |
| 1940-1,687,000 | 1941-3,675,000 |
| 1936-2,579,000 | 1937-1,973,000 |
| 1932-1,587,000 | $1933-2,450,000$ |
| 1928 - 942,000 | 1929 - 2,059,000 |
| 1924-1,214,000 | $1925-1,829,000$ |
| 1920-1,209,000 | 1921 - 1,686,000 |
| 1916-1,286,000 | 1917 - 6,883,000 |
| 1912-3,363,000 | 1913-31,343,000 |
| 1908 - 2,750,000 | $1909-20,926,000$ |
| 1904-2,399,000 | $1905-20,681,000$ |

Although conditions for marine survival have varied radically during the past decade, a substantial freshwater production of young sockeye is now being maintained for each of the four cycle years. With a relatively large number of downstream migrants available to produce each cycle year run, those runs
having favorable marine conditions for survival will be of exceptional size while those runs not as fortunate will still be of good size. An example of the latter situation can be found in the size of the 1960 run. The marine survival of the downstream migrants which produced the 1960 run was only half that effective for the 1959 run yet the number of downstream migrants preceding the 1960 rum was sufficiently great to provide an excellent adult catch.

Marine survival conditions for the 1961 run are expected to be very poor. However, the freshwater production was such that if the marine survival were similar to that recorded for either the 1958 or 1959 runs, the return in 1961 would equal or exceed that of any cycle year in history except 1913. Regardless of the expected small relative size of the 1961 sockeye run it should now be possible to maintain the high potential in freshwater reproduction for this cycle in future years and a record run only awaits a year of favorable marine survival.

It is important to emphasize that the establishment of a record catch for a cycle year, as a result of favorable marine survival, does not insure that this population size can be maintained for each returning cycle year. Adult population size can be expected to fluctuate within a range of 1 to 4 due solely to the variation in marine survival which has fluctuated from $5 \%$ to $20 \%$, at least during recent years. A range of 1 to 4 in marine survival represents a drastic fluctuation and can have a tremendous impact on the economy of the fishery. A realistic example of the fluctuation in marine survival is best represented by the 1958 run of $19,000,000$ fish. This rum apparently experienced optimum survival conditions. Had marine survival conditions been adverse as they were in the case of the 1955 run the 1958 run could have been reduced to $5,000,000$ fish.

## COMMISSION MEETINGS

The International Pacific Salmon Fisheries Commission held seven formal meetings during 1960. The first meeting of the year was convened on January 19 with the Advisory Committee composed of the following members:

| United States | Canada |
| :--- | :--- |
| J. Plancich | Richard Nelson |
| Salmon Processors | Salmon Processors |
| N. Mladinich | Charles Clarke |
| Purse Seine Fishermen | Purse Seine Fishermen |
| Joe Erisman | Peter Jenewein |
| Gill Net Fishermen | Gill Net Fishermen |
| John Brown | H. Stavenes |
| Reef Net Fishermen | Purse Seine Crew Members |
| Bert G. Johnston | Herbert North |
| $\quad$ Troll Fishermen | Troll Fishermen |
| Howard Gray | M. W. Black |
| Sport Fishermen | Sport Fishermen |

The tentative recommendations for regulatory control of the sockeye and pink salmon fishery in Convention waters, as submitted to the Advisory Committee on December 11, 1959, were discussed and certain revisions made on the basis of the presentations by the Committee. The revised regulations recommended for the 1960 sockeye and pink salmon fishery in Convention waters were approved in part with the daily opening and closing times for Canadian purse seine and gill net fishing in Juan de Fuca Strait being held in abeyance subject to further recommendations by the Advisory Committee. The Commis-
sion reviewed the status of the proposed temporary experimental fry production station in the Upper Pitt River area and the proposed research station in the Cultus Lake area.

The Commission met with the Minister of Fisheries of Canada in Ottawa on February 26 to discuss the necessity of the proposed research field station. It was emphasized that there was an immediate need for information on the tolerance limits of Fraser River pink and sockeye salmon to possible changes in their freshwater environment and also for information related to the improvement of methods for successfully rehabilitating barren areas and for extending the fisheries of these two species. Reference was made to the need for defining as soon as practicable the responsibility of the Canadian Government in allowing escapement of Fraser River sockeye and pink salmon through the Johnstone Strait fishery and the responsibility of the Commission in allowing escapement of pink salmon to spawning areas not located in Convention waters.

A meeting on July 18 was held for the purpose of considering the progress of the sockeye salmon fishery and the possible regulatory problems involved in fulfflling the Commission's terms of reference.

A fourth meeting of the Commission was held on July 28 to again consider the progress of the sockeye fishery. Emergency recommendations were approved to obtain proper escapement and achieve the required division in the catch by the fishermen of the two countries.

On August 9 the Commission met to consider the progress of the sockeye fishery in each country and the status of the escapement. Regulatory changes were approved for submission to the governments concerned to obtain an equitable share of the catch by United States fishermen.

A field inspection of sockeye salmon spawning in Upper Pitt River was made by the Commission on September 14. Observations were made of egg taking and incubation operations in connection with the experimental fry production station just completed on 7 Mile Creek, tributary to the Upper Pitt River. The current status of the sockeye fishery was discussed and further regulatory measures recommended to fulfill the terms of reference of the Commission.

The final meeting of the year was held on December 15 and 16 with the first day devoted to general business. The appointment of Mr. R. H. Stanton of North Surrey, B.C., as the Canadian representative of the Troll fishermen on the Advisory Committee was unanimously approved to fill the vacancy created by the resignation of Mr. Herbert North. On December 16 the annual open meeting was held with the fishing industry at which time the various aspects of the 1960 fishing season, a summary of possible factors influencing the 1961 sockeye and pink salmon runs and the tentative proposals for regulations of these fisheries were presented for study by the Advisory Committee.

## 1960 REGULATIONS

Recommendations for regulations governing the 1960 sockeye and pink salmon fishery in United States Convention waters were adopted at a meeting of the Commission held with its Advisory Committee on January 19, 1960, and submitted to the Government of the United States and the State of Washington on March 4, 1960. Recommendations for regulations governing the 1960 sockeye and pink salmon fishery in Canadian Convention waters were adopted at a
meeting of the Commission held on January 19, 1960, and submitted to the Government of Canada on April 11, 1960. The recommendations for United States Convention waters were implemented by an Order of the Director of the Washington State Department of Fisheries on April 8, 1960, and for the Canadian Convention waters by the Government of Canada in an Order-inCouncil dated May 12, 1960.

The recommendations of the Commission were as follows:

## United States Convention Waters

"The International Pacific Salmon Fisheries Commission appointed pursuant to the Convention between Canada and the United States of America for the protection, preservation and extension of the Sockeye Salmon Fisheries in the Fraser River System, signed at Washington on the 26th day of May, 1930, as amended by the Pink Salmon Protocol signed at Ottawa on the 28th day of December, 1956, hereby recommends to the Director of Fisheries of the State of Washington that regulations to the following effect, in the interests of such fisheries, be adopted by him for the year 1960 by virtue of authority in him vested by Section 6 of Chapter 112 of the Laws of the State of Washington of 1949, namely:

In all of the Convention waters of the United States of America lying easterly of a straight line drawn from the lighthouse on Tatoosh Island in the State of Washington to Bonilla Point in the Province of British Columbia:
(a) Taking sockeye and/or pink salmon shall be prohibited from four o'clock in the forenoon of Sunclay the 19th clay of June, 1960, to four o'clock in the forenoon of Monday the 18th day of July, 1960, provided that nothing in this recommendation shall militate against the taking of sockeye and/or pink salmon by net fishing gear having mesh of not less than $81 / 2$ inch extension measure, if fishing by such net fishing gear is permitted by the State of Washington.

In the United States Convention waters of Juan de Fuca Strait lying westerly of a straight line drawn from Angeles Point in the State of Washington across Race Rocks to William Head in the Province of British Columbia:
(a) Taking sockeye and/or pink salmon by means of purse seine fishing gear shall be prohibited from cight o'clock in the afternoon of Wednesday of each week to four o'clock in the forenoon of the Monday following and from cight o'clock in the afternoon until four o'clock in the forenoon of the following clay during such times as sockeye and/or pink salmon fishing by means of purse scine fishing gear is not otherwise prohibited and by means of gill net fishing gear from eight o'clock in the forenoon of Thursday of each week to six o'clock in the afternoon of the Monday following and from eight o'clock in the forenoon until six o'clock in the afternoon of each day during such times as sockeye and/or pink salmon fishing by gill net fishing gear is not otherwise prohibited between the 18th day of July, 1960, and the 6th day of August, 1960, both days inclusive.
(b) Taking sockeye and/or pink salmon shall be prohibited from the 7 th day of August, 1960, to the 27th day of August, 1960, both days
inclusive, except by means of purse seine fishing gear from four o'clock in the forenoon to eight o'clock in the afternoon of Monday the 8th day of August, 1960, and Tuesday the 9th day of August, 1960, and by means of gill net fishing gear from six o'clock in the afternoon of Mondlay the 8th day of August, 1960, to eight o'clock in the forenoon of Tuesclay the 9th day of August, 1960, and from six o'clock in the afternoon of Tuesday the 9th day of August, 1960, to eight o'clock in the forenoon of Wednesclay the 10th day of August, 1960.

In the United States Convention waters lying easterly of a straight line drawn from Angeles Point in the State of Washington across Race Rocks to William Head in the Province of British Columbia:
(a) Taking sockeye and/or pink salmon by means of purse seine and reef net fishing gear shall be prohibited from eight o'clock in the afternoon of Thursday of each week to four o'clock in the forenoon of the Monday following and from eight o'clock in the afternoon until four o'clock in the forenoon of the following day during such times as sockeye and/or pink salmon fishing by means of purse seine and reef net fishing gear is not otherwise prohibited and by means of gill net fishing gear from eight o'clock in the forenoon of Friday of each week to six o'clock in the afternoon of the Monday following and from eight o'clock in the forenoon until six o'clock in the afternoon of each day during such times as sockeye and/or pink salmon fishing by gill net fishing gear is not otherwise prohibited between the 18th day of July, 1960, and the 13th day of August, 1960, both days inclusive.
(b) Taking sockeye and/or pink salmon shall be prohibited from Sunday the 14th day of August, 1960, to Sunday the 27th day of August, 1960, both days inclusive.
All times hereinbefore mentioned shall be Pacific Standard Time.
In making the above recommendations for regulatory control of sockeye and pink salmon fishing in United States Convention waters for the year 1960 the Commission recognizes the need for the continued maintenance of certain previously established closed areas by the Director of Fisheries of the State of Washington for the protection and preservation of other species of food fish."

## Canadian Convention Waters

"The International Pacific Salmon Fisheries Commission appointed pursuant to the Convention between Canada and the United States of America for the protection, preservation and extension of the Sockeye Salmon Fisheries in the Fraser River System, signed at Washington on the 26th day of May, 1930, as amended by the Pink Salmon Protocol signed at Ottawa on the 28th day of December, 1956, hereby recommends that regulations to the following effect, in the interests of such fisheries, be adopted by Order-in-Council as amendments to the Special Fishery Regulations for British Columbia, for the season of 1960, under the authority of the Fisheries Act, namely:

1. (1) No person shall fish for sockeye or pink salmon in the waters of the southerly portion of District No. 3 embraced in Area 20 and that portion of Area 19 lying westerly of a straight line clrawn across the Strait of Juan de Fuca joining William Head and Angeles Point through Race Rocks commencing
at the point of intersection with the international boundary line with purse seines:
(a) From the 20th day of June, 1960, to five o'clock in the forenoon of the 18 th day of July, 1960, both dates inclusive;
(b) From the 18th day of July, 1960, to the 31st day of July, 1960, both dates inclusive, except from five o'clock in the forenoon to six o'clock in the afternoon of Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday in each week;
(c) From the 1st day of August, 1960, to the 7th day of August, 1960, both clates inclusive, except from half past five o'clock in the forenoon to six o'clock in the afternoon of Mondlay, August l, Tuesday, August 2, and Wednesday, August 3; and
(d) From the 7th day of August, 1960, to six o'clock in the afternoon of August 28th, 1960, except from half past five o'clock in the forenoon to six o'clock in the afternoon of Monday, August 8th, and from half past five o'clock in the forenoon to six o'clock in the afternoon of Tuesday, August 9th.
(2) No person shall fish for sockeye or pink salmon in the waters of the southerly portion of District No. 3 embraced in Area 20 and that portion of Area 19 lying westerly of a straight line drawn across the Strait of Juan de Fuca joining William Head and Angeles Point through Race Rocks commencing at the point of intersection with the international boundary line with gill nets:
(a) From the 20th day of June, 1960, to six o'clock in the afternoon of the 17 th day of July, 1960, both dates inclusive;
(b) From the 17 th day of July, 1960, to the 30th day of July, 1960, both dates inclusive, except from
(i) six o'clock in the afternoon of Sunday to five o'clock in the forenoon of Monday;
(ii) six o'clock in the afternoon of Monday until five o'clock in the forenoon of Tuesday; and
(iii) six o'clock in the afternoon of Tuesday until five o'clock in the forenoon of Wednesday in each week;
(c) From the 31st day of July, 1960, to the 6th day of August, 1960, both dates inclusive, except from
(i) six o'clock in the afternoon of Sunday, July 31, to half past five o'clock in the forenoon of Monday, August 1;
(ii) six o'clock in the afternoon of Monday, August 1, until half past five o'clock in the forenoon of Tuesday, August 2; and
(iii) six o'clock in the afternoon of Tuesday, August 2, until half past five o'clock in the forenoon of Wednesday, August 3; and
(d) From the 7th day of August, 1960, to six o'clock in the afternoon of the 28th day of August, both dates inclusive, except from
(i) six o'clock in the afternoon of Sunday, August 7th, to half past five o'clock in the forenoon of Monday, August 8th;
(ii) six o'clock in the afternoon of Monday, August 8th, to half past five o'clock in the forenoon of Tuesday, August 9th.
2. No person shall fish for sockeye or pink salmon in the waters of the said southern portion of District No. 3 embraced in Areas 17 and 18 and that portion of Area 19 lying easterly of a straight line drawn across the Strait of Juan de Fuca joining William Head and Angeles Point through Race Rocks commencing at the point of intersection of the international boundary line and in the waters of District No. l:
(a) By means of nets from the 27th day of June, 1960, to the 13th day of August, 1960, both dates inclusive, except from eight o'clock in the forenoon of Monday to eight o'clock in the forenoon of Thursday in each week.
(b) By means of nets from the 14th day of August, 1960, to the 2nd day of October, 1960, both dates inclusive, except from eight o'clock in the forenoon of Monday to eight o'clock in the forenoon of Wednesday in each week.
(c) Subsection 2 (b) above does not apply to sockeye or pink salmon taken in gill nets having mesh of not less than 9 inches extension measure for linen nets and $91 / 2$ inches extension measure for nylon nets on and after September 9 th, 1960, where operation of gill nets having a mesh greater in size than the minimum measurement prescribed herein has been authorized for the taking of spring salmon by the Area Director of Fisheries for British Columbia pursuant to the provisions of British Columbia Fishery Regulations.

All times hereinbefore mentioned shall be Pacific Daylight Saving Time."

## Emergency Amendments

In order to provide for adequate racial escapements and equal division of the season's catch by the Canadian and United States fishermen the approved regulations as detailed above were later amended on the recommendation of the International Pacific Salmon Fisheries Commission. A detailed list of the regulatory amendments is as follows:

July 28, 1960 - Because of the failure of the Chilko run of sockeye to appear in volume as scheduled and to provide for adequate escapement, fishing time was reduced by 24 hours, effective August 1, in Canadian Convention waters lying westerly of the Angeles Point-William Head line. Fishing time was reduced 48 hours effective August 1 and 2 in Canadian Convention waters lying easterly of the Angeles PointWilliam Head line.

August 4, 1960-Because of the continued failure of the Chilko run of sockeye to appear in volume and to secure adequate escapement consistent with equitable distribution of the catch fishing time was reduced by 24 hours effective August 8 in Canadian Convention waters lying easterly of the Angeles Point-William Head line. Fishing time was increased 24 hours for United States fishermen effective August 7.

August 9, 1960 - A substantial increase in the volume of Chilko sockeye appearing in the fishery necessitated a readjustment of regulations as follows:
a. Restoration of 24 hours fishing time in Canadian Convention waters lying easterly of the Angeles PointWilliam Head line effective August 11.
b. The addition of 24 hours fishing time in Canadian Convention waters lying westerly of the Angeles PointWilliam Head line effective August 10.
c. The addition of 24 hours fishing time for United States fishermen effective August 12.

August 16, 1960-To assist in securing equalization of the sockeye catch between the fishermen of the two countries, fishing time was increased 24 hours, effective August 18, in Canadian Convention waters lying easterly of the Angeles PointWilliam Head line.

August 25, 1960 - To provide for increased escapements of the late migrating and delaying sockeye populations the weekly closed season commencing at 8:00 a.m. August 24 was extended to 8:00 a.m. September 5 in Canadian Convention waters lying easterly of the Angeles Point-William Head line. Fishing by large mesh nets was permitted by the Department of Fisheries in those waters of the Fraser River lying inside, i.e. easterly of a straight line drawn from Point Grey to North Arm Jetty, thence to Sand Heads Light, thence to Canoe Pass Buoy, thence in a straight line projected through West Point Roberts Light to the International Boundary, otherwise known as the "Blue Line", from 8:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. daily on August 31 and September 1 in order to harvest available spring salmon. Regulatory control of United States Convention waters was relinquished effective August 28 and of Canadian Convention waters lying westerly of the Angeles Point-William Head line effective August 27.

August 30, 1960 - To further provide for proper escapement of late migrating races of sockeye the weekly closed season in Canadian Convention waters lying easterly of the Angeles PointWilliam Head line scheduled to end at 8:00 a.m. September 5 was extended to 8:00 a.m. September 12.

September 7, 1960 - The small size of the late and delaying runs of sockeye necessitated a further extension of the closed season to 8:00 a.m. September 20 in Canadian Convention waters lying easterly of the Angeles Point-William Head line except that fishing was permitted in those waters of the Fraser River lying inside the "Blue Line" for 48 hours commencing at 8:00 a.m. September 12.

September 15, 1960 - In the further interest of conservation the closed season in the Canadian Convention waters lying easterly of the Angeles Point-William Head line was extended to 8:00 a.m. September 26 except that fishing with large mesh nets was permitted by the Department of Fisheries for 24 hours effective at 8:00 a.m. September 21 for those waters lying inside the "Blue Line". Regulatory control was relinquished by the Commission for those Canadian Convention waters lying easterly of the Angeles Point-William Head line effective 8:00 a.m. September 26.

## SOCKEYE SALMON REPORT

## The Fishery

The major fluctuations in the characteristics of the Fraser River sockeye populations during the past decade continued to be evident in 1960. The sockeye were the smallest in the history of the cycle year at least since 1916; the four-year-old fish averaging only 5.19 pounds as compared with the cycle year average of 6.00 pounds. In the 1952 cycle year the sockeye of four years of age averaged 6.8 pounds which represented the heaviest fish recorded for this cycle year.

Whenever the sockeye run consists of fish below average in size the efficiency of the United States gill nets drop significantly. In 1956 when the average weight was 6.02 pounds the gill nets caught 41.0 per cent of the total United States catch. With the average weight dropping to 5.19 pounds in 1960 the percentage of sockeye taken by the gill net fishermen dropped to 21.12 per cent of the total United States catch. In 1957 and again in 1959 when the average weight of the fish dropped below 5.5 pounds the percentage of the United States catch taken by gill net fishermen dropped significantly.

Cyclical Average Weights of Four-Year-Old Fraser River Sockeye

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cycle } \\ & \text { Year } \end{aligned}$ | Average Weight Pounds | Cycle Year | Average Weight Pounds | Cycle <br> Year | Average Weight Pounds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1916 | ........... 5.86 | 1932 | .......... 6.45 | 1948 | .... 5.53 |
| 1920 | ............ 6.02 | 1936 | ............ 6.47 | 1952 | ............ 6.80 |
| 1924 | ........... 5.60 | 1940 | ........... 6.35 | 1956 | ............ 6.02 |
| 1928 | ............. 6.38 | 1944 | ............ 6.23 | 1960 | ........... 5.19 |

Following a pattern of delay in the spawning migration which started in 1957 the sockeye run was eight days later than anticipated. Such a significant variation in the timing of the run creates a serious problem in management. If the run is early and of small size it is very difficult to prevent overfishing since the peak of the run must pass before the timing and size of the run is accurately established. A difficult situation also prevails if the run is late, for there is a tendency under this circumstance to underestimate the size of the run.

During the past season when the fish failed to appear at the time they were anticipated, special closures were provided, particularly in the Fraser River area, to guarantee an adequate escapement in case the run was below the expected size. Fortunately, while the run was late it approached the expected
size and later readjustment in the regulations was possible to provide the normally expected distribution of the season's catch by individual fishing areas.

The total catch of $2,454,164$ sockeye was the second largest for the cycle year since 1912, the year before the major Hell's Gate slide. United States fishermen harvested $1,198,969$ fish or 48.85 per cent of the total. Canadian fishermen caught $1,255,195$ fish or 51.15 per cent of the total. There was no discernable evidence of increased efficiency on the part of the United States fishing fleet although the largest catch for any single day in the cycle year history since 1912 was recorded on both August 7 and 8.

The proportion of the Canadian catch taken in Juan de Fuca Strait increased in spite of the fact that total fishing time in this area was reduced from the 55 days allowed in the preceding cycle year to 18 days. Some of the increased catch in the Strait was caused by an increase in the operating units of both purse seines and gill nets but there remains the possibility of increased fishing efficiency, particularly on the part of the gill net fishery, over that recorded in 1956.

|  | Per cent of Canadian | Per cent of Canadian Catch Taken by Purse | Per cent of Canadian Catch Taken by Gill |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cycle } \\ & \text { Year } \end{aligned}$ | Catch Taken in Juan de Fuca Strait | Seines in Juan de Fuca Strait* | Nets in Juan de Fuca Strait* |
| 1960 | 43.45 | 27.90 | 15.34 |
| 1956 | 34.70 | 24.18 | 10.47 |
| 1952 | 16.05 | 10.39 | 0.00 |
| 1948 | 11.83 | 1.93 | 0.00 |
| 1944 | 2.92 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

* Troll catches not listed.

A complete statistical record of the 1960 sockeye catch compared with that for previous cycle years in both United States and Canadian Convention waters may be found in Tables I to IV inclusive.

## Escapement

The net escapement from the commercial and Indian fisheries as measured on the spawning grounds (Table VI) was 19.47 per cent of the total 1960 run of $3,184,418$ sockeye. The total of 619,970 spawners is considerably below the number recorded in the preceding cycle year but most of the reduction in the number of spawners occurred in the escapement to Chilko River. While the number of spawners required to produce a maximum run to the Chilko area in the dominant year is not known precisely the most optimum number appears to be between 400,000 and 500,000 . On the basis of current knowledge it may be stated that excessive escapement occurred to Chilko River in the brood year of 1956 and the substantial reduction in the 1960 escapement to this area is considered desirable in the interest of good management.

Test fishing proved to be an exceedingly valuable tool for measuring the gross escapement at the upper limits of commerial fishing at Mission, British Columbia. On the basis of test fishing the estimated gross escapement (including catch by Indians) for the 1960 Chilko population was 533,742 sockeye as compared with the actual figure of 483,806 ; a positive difference of 10.32 per
cent. Field observations by a number of observers including upriver fishermen resulted in an estimated escapement greater than that indicated by test fishing and considerably greater than the number of fish actually obtained. The reliance on test fishing results as opposed to those based on field observations, obviously prevented serious overfishing of the run.

The racial breakdown of the 1960 catch for individual populations other than Chilko River is not yet complete but it appears that the minor mid-season runs were overfished since they were subjected to the same fishery that operated on the dominant Chilko run. It is impossible to harvest properly the large and economically important Chilko run and at the same time give individual consideration to the smaller runs entering the fishery at the same time. Races migrating in mid-season which appear to have been overfished include those destined for Big Silver Creek in the Harrison Lake system, Gates Creek and the Raft River. Other small mid-season populations were subjected also to the same heavy fishing but escapements of these races were equal to or better than those of the brood year; these include the populations destined for Seymour River, Horsefly River, Stellako River and the Lake Stuart spawning areas.

Sockeye populations migrating early in the season and protected by the fishing closure effective until July 18 in all Convention waters except the Fraser River and the Gulf area received increased escapements over those recorded in the brood year. Populations in the above category include those destined for the Early Stuart spawning areas, Bowron River and Nadina River. However, in the case of the escapement of Early Stuart sockeye only 14,572 out of an estimated total escapement of 38,400 fish reached the spawning grounds. Sockeye of the Early Stuart population having advanced spawning coloration were reported by Inspectors of the Canada Department of Fisheries both near Quesnel, B.C. and Fort St. James at the outlet of Stuart Lake. A total of 150 dead unspawned sockeye were observed by the Commission staff on Stuart and Trembleur Lakes; these fish had obviously been delayed at some point en route and as a result had been unable to reach their spawning grounds.

The failure of all of the 1960 escapement of Early Stuart sockeye to reach their spawning grounds indicates a similar but less exaggerated situation than was reported in 1955. In the latter year only 2,170 sockeye in poor condition arrived on their spawning grounds out of an estimated escapement of over 30,000 fish. The obstruction in the migration located near Yale, B.C. was caused by a delayed spring runoff in the Fraser River and was immediately corrected by the construction of what are now known as the Yale Fishways.

With a recurrence of a delayed spring runoff in the Fraser River in 1960 observations were made during the passage of the Early Stuart run at the Yale Fishways and the fish were found to be moving through the fishways with no evidence of an accumulation similar to that which occurred in 1955 at the same location and under similar flow conditions. It is apparent there are other points of difficult passage for migrating sockeye in the Fraser River during delayed peak flood conditions. Flood conditions during the sockeye migration have only occurred during modern times in the years 1933, 1955 and 1960 but in spite of the construction of the Yale Fishways the problem of adequately
passing Early Stuart sockeye to their spawning grounds remains unsolved; furthermore it will be impossible to define and provide a proper solution in time for the large early run destined for the Stuart area in 1961. Studies of the problems are underway preparatory to presenting the governments with a complete report including positive corrective measures. The infrequent occurrence of block and delay conditions during the upstream migration of the Early Stuart escapement makes it improbable that these adverse conclitions will recur twice in consecutive years. Such a situation would cause a very serious setback to a run which has now reached a size in the dominant year that is apparently larger than any previous runs dating back to 1820 . It is obvious from the large size of the current dominant run to the Early Stuart spawning areas that Hell's Gate and other points of difficult passage have always been effective in periodically delaying or blocking these fish even before the slide in 1913.

The escapement to the Upper Pitt River was not satisfactory although this run received some additional protection through the delay in opening the fishery in all Convention waters except the Fraser River and the Gulf area. The escapement to Birkenhead River likewise was unsatisfactory, although this run received extra protection through additional closures of the fishery in late August in all Convention waters including the Fraser River.

The sockeye runs to both the Pitt and Birkenhead Rivers have shown a continuing decline in abundance, the possible cause being discussed in the 1959 Annual Report. In 1960 the maximum regulatory restrictions consistent with proper management of the fishery on other races of sockeye proved insufficient to provide for adequate spawning escapements to these two important areas. Further regulation of the fishery than that already being applied during the passage of the Pitt and Birkenhead runs would interfere with a proper harvest of more populous races migrating at approximately the same time.

During 1960 the Commission started and completed an experimental fish cultural station on Upper Pitt River which is designed to increase fry production and thus raise the reproductive rate of this population to a level competitive with other races migrating at the same time. All of the $3,257,000$ eggs taken for incubation at the station were obtained from fish destined to spawn in side channels which later dried up during the winter low water period. Possible artificial methods for increasing fry production in the Birkenhead River are being studied in order that early action in solving the problem of declining runs in this area can be taken by the Commission.

Late migrating sockeye runs destined for lower river tributaries and also Adams River were protected by drastic closures in all Convention waters to allow for increased escapements. In spite of the lengthy closures during the fall fishery the escapements to Adams River and Weaver Creek were below those of the brood year while the spawning grounds in the Harrison River and Cultus Lake received only nominal increases.

In general the total escapement for 1960 is considered satisfactory in relation to its potential for producing a maximum run in the return cycle year. The declines in the escapements to the Early Stuart spawning area and to the

Birkenhead and Pitt Rivers must be prevented by new procedures since further restrictions of the fishery for this purpose are impractical.

## Rehabilitation of Barren Areas

The sensitivity of Fraser River sockeye to their reproductive environment has been amply illustrated in the history of artificial propagation and transplantation. Hatcheries on the Fraser River were closed by the Federal Government in 1937 because they had been proven to be economically unsound where they were competitive with natural spawning areas. Many attempts had been made to transplant runs from one area to another but there was no recorded instance of a run being transplanted that was capable of maintaining itself by natural propagation.

Efforts of the Commission to transplant runs by the transfer of fingerling sockeye have also proven to be of little value. Only eyed egg transplants from donor streams having the same environmental cycle and located the same distance from the sea have proven to be of value. Runs of sockeye have now been established by eyed egg transfers in Portage Creek, Upper Adams River, Barriere River, and Middle Shuswap River. The number of adult fish returning in most instances have been disappointingly small because the number of eggs available for transfer from the donor stream has been limited. With the rapid increase in the size of spawning runs to the donor streams during recent years, especially to the Seymour River, the size of the eyed egg transplants can now be substantially increased in the hopes that increases can be obtained in the number of adult fish returning to the recipient stream.

Occasional failures continue to occur in attempted transplantations. Only very few individuals returned to Upper Adams River in 1960 from a planting of 253,000 eyed eggs originating from the Seymour population. No sockeye returned to a tributary of Nadina Lake from a planting of 318,000 eyed eggs that originated from Forfar Creek, a tributary of Middle River in the Stuart Lake system. A total of 23 sockeye returned to the Barriere River from a planting of 316,000 eyed eggs originating from Raft River. An effort is now being made to increase the size of all transfers to a minimum of 1,$000 ; 000$ eggs up to a maximum of $3,000,000$ whenever the donor stocks will permit such a transfer without seriously impairing the native spawning population.

The straying of returning adults originating from eyed egg transplants became a possibility on the basis of evidence collected in 1960. Helicopter surveys of the North Thompson River by personnel of the Fraser River Basin Board revealed sockeye spawning at several locations in the North Thompson River between Kamloops and the Raft River. Several hundred spawning sockeye were located just above the confluence of Boulder Creek and over 80 fish were located near Chu Chua below Little Fort, B.C. Spawning sockeye in the North Thompson River have never been observed previously by Commission observers nor have they been reported by local residents. An additional phenomenon was the occurrence of several hundred fish, possibly as many as a thousand in the Momich River, tributary of Adams Lake and having its confluence about six miles downlake from the confluence of Upper Adams River.

No early run of sockeye had ever been observed in the Momich River by the Commission staff in earlier years nor had any been reported by local transients. The fish in 1960 were observed by a Provincial game warden but unfortunately the report of his observation reached the Commission too late for a fruitful investigation. However the fish in the Momich River spawned at the same time as the few fish returning to Upper Adams River from a transfer of eyed eggs from Seymour River and also at the same time as the native run to Seymour River. Whether the sockeye observed spawning in the North Thompson and Momich Rivers were the result of straying of transplanted stocks to the Barriere and Upper Adams River respectively or the end result in each case of a few previously unobserved spawners will be difficult if not impossible to determine.

Eyed Egg Transfers - 1960

| Donor Area | Area Planted | Number of Eggs |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Raft River | Barriere River | $1,083,000$ |
| Taseko Lake | Upper Adams River | 702,000 |

Successful experiments conducted at the Quesnel Field Station for improving the quality of artificially propagated sockeye fry, as described in detail in the 1956 Annual Report, provided justification for the Commission building an experimental hatchery on the Upper Pitt River (Figure 1). The purpose of the hatchery is to increase fry production from this unstable spawning stream to a point where the natural rearing capacity of Pitt Lake can be utilized and thus produce a run of sockeye capable of withstanding standard fishing pressure without declining in abundance.

A total of $3,257,000$ eggs were taken from the 1960 Pitt River run and incubated in the newly completed station. All of the eggs with the exception of 150,000 were taken from sockeye spawning in side channels of the main river which subsequently went dry during the cold winter months. The fertilized eggs were incubated in complete darkness which is the case in natural spawning. When the resulting alevins approach the fry stage they will be removed to troughs where they can become adjusted gradually to normal daylight. The upper half of each 'release' trough will be covered so the young fish can seek either a darkened or lighted area as the process of normal light adjustment develops. When the fry are ready to migrate to Pitt Lake they can leave the release trough at their own selected time. The experiment, if successful, should provide an improved method for transplanting sockeye runs to barren areas and a limited substitute for lost spawning grounds. A successful operation would also provide a method for increasing the production of sockeye salmon fry where the natural spawning area is too limited for the capacity of the related lake rearing area.

The construction of a stream type artificial spawning channel, 3000 feet in length and 20 feet in width, was started in 1960 adjacent to Seton Creek. The channel, designed for a water flow of 40 cubic feet per second, will be completed in time for the 1961 pink salmon runs and has a spawning capacity for at least 10,000 fish. Although the project is considered a full scale experiment for


FIGURE l—Upper Pitt River experimental hatchery built and placed in operation in 1960.


FIGURE 2-The artificial spawning channel being built on Seton Creek to substitute for pink salmon spawning area lost by flooding from the Seton Creek hydroelectric diversion dam.
improving this type of facility it will also serve as a substitute for 2500 lineal feet of highly productive natural spawning grounds flooded out by the Seton Creek hydroelectric project. Silt free water from the same source that supports Seton Creek will be used to supply the channel and initially an average flow velocity of 1.5 feet per second will be maintained over 16 inches of gravel by the construction of boulder drop structures having a head differential of 12 inches. The gravel used in the project was graded to a diameter range of 0.5 to 4.0 inches.

## PINK SALMON REPORT

Since the ratification of the Pink Salmon Protocol on July 3, 1957, it has been evident that the management of this species in the Convention area poses certain inherent problems which do not arise in the management of the Fraser River sockeye fishery. Practically all sockeye passing through Convention waters are of Fraser River origin. In contrast, while Fraser River pink salmon predominate in Convention waters, important segments of the run are destined to spawn in adjacent rivers located outside of Convention waters in the State of Washington and in British Columbia. Thus regulation of the pink salmon fisheries in Convention waters can affect the stocks spawning in these adjacent waters and these regulations are rightly of concern to neighbouring management agencies. This situation was recognized in Article VI of the Pink Salmon Protocol which requires that "The parties shall conduct a coordinated investigation of pink salmon stocks which enter Convention waters for the purpose of determining the migratory movement of such stocks." The ensuing large-scale coordinated tagging and escapement enumeration program* of 1959 extending from Admiralty Inlet and Salmon Banks to Johnstone Strait was designed to furnish the information required to solve the inherent management problems in a rational manner.

Although the joint analysis of the great quantity of data arising out of the cooperative 1959 program has not yet been completed, the urgency of the management problems has prompted those responsible to make some preliminary analyses of data presently available from this program. These preliminary analyses, while not precise or complete, serve to illustrate the general migration paths and distribution of the pink salmon passing through Johnstone and Juan de Fuca Straits as well as their approximate relative abundance in the various fisheries operating in 1959.

Figure 3 illustrates the spawning ground recoveries of fish tagged in Johnstone Strait. The percentages shown are directly related to the total escapement and are not adjusted for variable fishing mortality but they do serve to indicate that a significant number of Fraser River pink salmon approach the Fraser River by the northern route and very few of the Johnstone Strait fish are destined for the spawning streams located in the State of Washington. The dates of tagging of the tagged fish recovered indicated that practically all pink salmon passing through the Johnstone Strait fishery prior to the third week of August were destined for Canadian streams north of the Fraser River.
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FIGURE 3-Stream recoveries from the 1959 tagging in Johnstone Strait expressed as percentages of the total stream recoveries.


FIGURE 4-Stream recoveries from the 1959 tagging at Salmon Banks expressed as percentages of the total stream recoveries.


FIGURE 5-Stream recoveries from the 1959 tagging at West Beach expressed as percentages of the total stream recoveries.

In the last week of August these stocks were mixed with large numbers of Fraser-bound fish while in September the run was made up almost exclusively of Fraser fish. Numerous recoveries of Johnstone Strait tags at Point Roberts in September indicated that Fraser River pink salmon arriving by the northern approach periodically drift into United States fishing waters prior to their entrance into the Fraser River. It is important to note that this escapement of fish from Johnstone Strait is fully available to the Fraser River gill net fishery.

Pink salmon approaching Juan de Fuca Strait are harvested to a rapidly increasing extent by a sizable United States and Canadian troll fleet operating principally outside the entrance of the Strait. Upon entering the Strait the majority of the pink salmon apparently follow the Canadian shoreline where an important Canadian net fishery operates near the entrance to the Strait and to some extent further inside in the vicinity of Sooke. The Salmon Bank district is the first major net fishing area for pink salmon in United States Convention waters.

Tagging in the Salmon Banks area (Figure 4) reveals that this major United States fishery as well as all major United States fisheries to the north operate almost exclusively on pink salmon destined for Canadian streams. Only a small number of the fish tagged at Salmon Banks were recovered in the fishing areas and spawning streams in the non-Convention waters of the State of Washington. However, it should be noted that the early migrating run to the Nooksack River was not tagged but is logically available to all the United States fishing areas except for the Point Roberts fishery.

Tagging in the West Beach area (Figure 5) indicates that the pink salmon available to this fishery are destined primarily for the Skagit River in the State of Washington and to a lesser extent for Canadian streams including the Fraser River.

It would appear, therefore, that pink salmon originating in streams of the State of Washington, with the exception of the Nooksack River and Skagit River, are not subject to a very intensive net fishery in Convention waters by United States fishermen; the only important net fishery on these stocks being the Canadian fishery in Juan de Fuca Strait. In the case of pink salmon entering Juan de Fuca Strait and destined for Canadian streams, including the Fraser River, these fish are available to all the major United States fisheries in Convention waters.

Combining the information analyzed to date it is estimated that the total 1959 pink salmon run entering by way of Juan de Fuca Strait was approximately $6,531,000$ fish. Of these an estimated $1,142,000$ or 17 per cent were of Washington State origin. Fraser River fish amounted to an estimated $4,725,000$ or 73 per cent. The balance of 664,000 fish or 10 per cent of the total was destined for non-Fraser Canadian streams. Further analysis of the combined data, including the catch in the various fishing areas, is given in the following table which records the estimated fishing mortality and escapement in per cent for the runs destined to each of the three major areas for the years 1957 and 1959. It is emphasized that the figures listed are preliminary and subject to further refinement by the technical staffs of the agencies involved in the joint program.

Calculated Catches and Escapements of Major Pink Salmon Runs Entering Juan de Fuca Strait in 1957 and 1959 (All figures in per cent of total for each run.)

| Area | Washington |  | Fraser River* |  | Canadian Non-Fraser* |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1957 | 1959 | 1957 | 1959 | 1957 | 1959 |
| Canadian West Coast <br> Convention Waters | $20.8 \%$ | $19.7 \%$ | $21.1 \%$ | $31.6 \%$ | $17.3 \%$ | $10.0 \%^{* * *}$ |
| United States <br> Convention Waters | 11.7 | 12.7 | 39.4 | 42.8 | 29.0 | 38.5 |
| Canadian Fraser <br> River Catch | - | - | 10.9 | 8.5 | - | - |
| Non-Convention Catch <br> Canada | - | - | - | - | 2.4 | 9.3 |
| Non-Convention Catch <br> United States | 25.3 | 16.7 | - | - | - | - |
| Escapement | 42.2 | 50.9 | 28.6 | 17.1 | 51.3 | 42.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

* The figures listed do not include catches or escapements of fish entering Convention waters from Johnstone Strait.
** Strike of Canadian fishermen prevailed during part of run.


## 1960 PUBLICATIONS

1. Annual Report of the International Pacific Salmon Fisheries Commission for 1959.
2. Progress Report Number 7.

Migratory Behavior of Adult Fraser River Sockeye, by Philip Gilhousen.
3. Research Bulletin Number XI.

Sockeye and Pink Salmon Production in Relation to Proposed Dams in the Fraser River System, by F. J. Andrew and G. H. Geen.

## Table I

## SOCKEYE CATCH BY GEAR

United States Convention Waters

| Year | Purse Seines |  |  | Gill Nets |  |  | Reef Nets |  |  | Total Catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Units | Catch | Percentage | Units | Catch | Percentage | Units | Catch | Percentage |  |
| 1960...................... | 199 | 843,850 | 70.38 | 422 | 253,211 | 21.12 | 63 | 100,915 | 8.42 | 1,198,969 |
| 1956 .................. | 164 | 428,562 | 47.26 | 491 | 371,729 | 40.99 | 85 | 106,581 | 11.75 | 906,872 |
| 1952 ......) | 207 | 826,304 | 74.21 | 195 | 175,064 | 15.72 | 66 | 112,107 | 10.07 | 1,113,475 |
| 1948.................... | 185 | 940,415 | 86.35 | 130 | 70,991 | 6.52 | 71 | 77,685 | 7.13 | 1,089,091 |

Canadian Convention Waters

| Year | Purse Seines |  |  | Gill Nets |  |  | Traps |  |  | Total Catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Units | Catch | Percentage | Units | Catch | Percentage | Units | Catch | Percentage |  |
| 1960 | 77 | 353,482 | 28.16 | 1,466 | 898,826 | 71.61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,255,195 |
| $1956 . .$. | 50 | 216,388 | 24.18 | 1,151 | 678,074 | 75.78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 894,836 |
|  | 41 | 122,114 | 10.58 | 1,470 | 966,852 | 83.75 | 5 | 65,417 | 5.67 | 1,154,383 |
| 1948...................... | 14 | 14,511 | 1.93 | 1,067 | 663,635 | 88.17 | 5 | 74,545 | 9.90 | 752,691 |

Note: Gear counts represent the maximum number of units delivering sockeye on any single day. Unlisted troll catches of sockeye included in figures for total catch.

## Table II CYCLIC LANDINGS AND PACKS OF SOCKEYE FROM CONVENTION WATERS

|  | United States | Canada | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 |  |  |  |
|  | 1,198,969 | 1,255,195 | 2,454,164 |
|  | 48.85\% | 51.15\% |  |
| Total Pack (48 Lb. Cases) ................................. | 96,627 | 98,795 | 195,422 |
|  | 49.45\% | 50.55\% |  |
| 1956 |  |  |  |
|  | 906,872 | 894,836 | 1,801,708 |
|  | 50.33\% | 49.67\% |  |
| 'Total Pack (48 Lb. Cases) | 84,052 | 84,296 | 168,348 |
| Share in Pack ............................................... | 49.93\% | 50.07\% |  |
| 1946-1960 |  |  |  |
|  | 27,965,041 | 27,862,915 | 55,827,956 |
|  | 50.09\% | 49.91\% |  |
|  | 2,458,187 | 2,417,185 | 4,875,372 |
|  | 50.42\% | 49.58\% |  |
| 1960 Cycle Catch |  |  |  |
|  | 1,198,969 | 1,255,195 | 2,454,164 |
| 1956 | 906,872 | 894,836 | 1,801,708 |
| 1952 | 1,113,475 | 1,154,383 | 2,267,858 |
| 1948 | 1,089,091 | 752,691 | 1,841,782 |
| 1944 | 435,443 | 1,003,826 | 1,439,269 |
| 1940 | 654,091 | 1,033,000 | 1,687,091 |
| 1936 | 453,025 | 2,126,074 | 2,579,099 |
| 1932 | 853,406 | 733,735 | 1,587,141 |
| 1928 | 630,457 | 311,226 | 941,683 |
| 1924 | 772,056 | 442,250 | 1,214,306 |
|  | 677,690 | 532,039 | 1,209,729 |
|  | 909,425 | 376,891 | 1,286,316 |
| 1912 | 2,005,869 | 1,357,425 | 3,363,294 |
| 1908 | 1,879,268 | 870,612 | 2,749,880 |
|  | 1,506,137 | 892,934 | 2,399,071 |

Table III
DAILY CATCH OF SOCKEYE, 1948-1952-1956-1960 FROM UNITED STATES CONVENTION WATERS


Table IV
DAILY CATCH OF SOCKEYE, 1948-1952-1956-1960 FROM CANADIAN CONVENTION WATERS


Table V
THE INDIAN CATCHES OF SOCKEYE SALMON BY DISTRICTS AND THE VARIOUS AREAS WITHIN THESE DISTRICTS, 1956, 1960

| District and Area | 1956 |  | 1960 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Catch | No. of Fishermen | Catch | No. of Fishermen |
| Harrison-Birkenhead |  |  |  |  |
| Skookumchuck and Douglas | 1,685 | $11+$ | 1,142 | 12 |
| Birkenhead River and area adjacent | 6,953 | 22 | 5,580 | 30 |
|  | 8,638 | $33+$ | 6,722 | 42 |
| Lower Fraser |  |  |  |  |
| Laidlaw to Vedder River ....................... | 3,045 |  | 9,852 |  |
| Seabird Island and adjacent area .......... | 1,855 |  | 7,123 |  |
| Katz and Ruby Creek ............................. | +710 |  | 2,050 |  |
|  | 5,610 |  | 19,025 | 268 |
| Canyon |  |  |  |  |
| Union and American Bars ..................... | 510 |  | 2,181 |  |
|  | 1,950 |  | 8,336 |  |
| Spuzzum ...................... | 360 |  | 1,538 |  |
| Boston Bar .......... | 480 |  | 660 |  |
| Boothroyd ........... | 1,150 |  | 2,040 |  |
| Cisco .......................................................... | 1,800 |  | 3,300 |  |
| Totals ......... | 6,250 |  | 18,055 | 99 |
| Lytton-Lillooet | 4,585 | 47* | 3,600 | 35 |
| Bridge River Rapids |  |  |  |  |
| Lillooet | 2,958 | 35 | 3,000 | 67 |
| Rapids ............... | 7,103 | 49 | 5,400 | 68 |
|  | 2,854 | 18 | 4,000 | 10 |
|  | 12,915 | 102* | 12,400 | 145 |
| Chilcotin |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,833 |  | 3,006 |  |
| Hances Canyon ....................................... | 1,293 |  | 3,533 |  |
|  | 3,423 |  | 4,134 |  |
|  | 5,161 |  | 3,945 |  |
|  | - |  | 2,756 |  |
|  | 11,710 | 64* | 17,374 | 73 |


| Upper Fraser |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shelley | 85 |  | 68 |  |
| Alkali and Canoe Creek .-..... | 250 |  | 250 |  |
|  | 743 |  | 2,585 |  |
|  | 110 |  | 225 |  |
|  | 45 |  | 165 |  |
| Quesnel ....................................................... | 72 |  | 375 |  |
| Totals ............................................................. | 1,305 | 79* | 3,668 | 84. |
| Nechako |  |  |  |  |
| Nautley Reserve | 2,353 | 10 | 1,009 | 10 |
|  | 2,154 | 11 | 1,230 | 9 |
|  | 4,507 | 21 | 2,239 | 19 |
| Stuart |  |  |  |  |
| Fort St. James ...... | 1,916 | 32 | 937 | 21 |
| Tachie Reserve and Pinchi ............... | 648 | 23 | 726 | 20 |
| Trembleur Lake and Takla Lake ...... | - |  | - |  |
| Totals .......................................................... | 2,564 | 55 | 1,663 | 41 |
| Thompson |  |  |  |  |
| Main Thompson ................................... | 3,419 |  | 900 | 87 |
| North Thompson .................................. | 310 |  | 325 | 20 |
|  | 375 |  | 485 | 47 |
|  | 4,104 |  | 1,710 | 154 |
| Grand Totals ....................................................... | 62,188 |  | 86,456 |  |

[^1]Table VI
SUMMARY OF THE SOCKEYE ESCAPEMENT TO THE FRASER
RIVER SPAWNING AREAS, 1948, 1952, 1956, 1960

| District and Streams | 1960 <br> Period of Peak Spawning | Estimated Number of Sockeye |  |  |  | Jacks | Sex Ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Males | Females |
|  |  | 1948 | 1952 | 1956 | 1960 |  | $4-5 \mathrm{yr}$. | $4-5 \mathrm{yr}$, |
| Lower Fraser |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cultus Lake ......................................... | Nov. 16-19 | 13,086 | 18,910 | 14,133 | 17,689 |  | 49 | 7,520 | 10,120 |
| Upper Pitt River ............................ | Sept. 9-13 | 53,000 | 48,887 | 32,258 | 24,511 | 0 | 11,612 | 12,899 |
| Widgeon Slough .............................. | Nov. 2-6 | , | 1,648 | 1,000 | 400 | 0 | 100 | 300 |
| Harrison |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bear Creek | Sept. 27-Oct. 3 |  |  |  | 189 | 0 | 79 | 110 |
| Big Silver Creek ............................. | Sept. 15-25 | 12,000 | 6,031 | 6,187 | 4,522 | 35 | 1,893 | 2,594 |
| Harrison River .................................. |  | 26,000 | 25,794 | 3,184 | 17,279 | 70 | 10,062 | 7,147 |
| Weaver Creek .................................. | Oct. 18-19 | 20,000 | 33,983 | 8,472 | 7,042 | 9 | 2,738 | 4,295 |
| Lillooet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Birkenhead River ........................... | Sept. 24-26 | 120,000 | 79,082 | 57,899 | 38,916 | 2,987 | 14,905 | 21,024 |
| Seton-Anderson |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South 'Thomison |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seymour River | Aug. 25-Sept. 2 | 4,000 | 6,785 | 2,684 | 3,047 | 146 | 1,039 | 1,862 |
| Lower Adams River ........................ | Oct. 17-19 | 12,600 | 8,692 | 7,512 | 2,152 | 8 | 608 | 1,536 |
| Little River ......................................... | - | 2,400 | 1,964 | 661 | 66 | 0 | 22 | 44 |
| Scotch Creek ..................................... | - - | 50 | 357 | 163 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| South Thompson River ............... |  | 100 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Upper Adams River ..................... | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | Present** | - | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| Momich River ................................... |  | - | - | - | 1,000** | - | 450 | 550 |
| North Thompson |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raft River | Aug. 27-29 | 10,500 | 15,819 | 9,582 | 5,553 | 40 | 2,684 | 2,829 |
| Barriere River .................................. | Sept. 6-12 | - | - | - | 23 * | 0 | 11 | 12 |
| Chilcotin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chilko River ...................................... | Sept. 26-30 | 670,000 | 489,473 | 647,479 | 420,746 | 53 | 174,715 | 245,978 |
| Taseko Lake ....................................... | Aug. 31-Sept. 5 | Present | 3,647 | 1,995 | 2,524 | 0 | 910 | 1,614 |
| Quesnel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Horsefly River ................................. | Sept. 5-7, <br> Sept. 14-18 | 50 | 7,013 | 2,944 | 3,087 | 2,748 | 150 | 189 |
| Mitchell River ................................ | - | - | - | 14 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Little Horsefly | Sept. 21-28, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| River | Oct. 8-16 | - | - | - | 23 | 12 | 5 | 6 |
| Nechako |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Endako River ... | - | 0 | 146 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nadina River .................................... | Aug. 19-22, <br> Sept 29-24 | 30 | 1,677 | 1,311 | 1,723 | 173 | 535 | 1,015 |
| Nithi River | Sept. 22-24 Aug. 26-27 | 1 | 1,675 | 1,311 | 1,31 | 173 3 | 13 | 1,015 15 |
| Ormonde Creek ................................ | Aug. 22-24 | 150 | 996 | 331 | 158 | 0 | 62 | 96 |
| Stellako River ................................... | Sept. 24-28 | 16,000 | 40,462 | 38,459 | 38,884 | 4 | 15,589 | 23,291 |
| Stuart |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Early Runs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Driftwood River .................................. | Aug. 22-26 | - | 38 | 50 | 34 | 6 | 13 | 15 |
| Forfar Creek ....................................... | Aug. 6-9 | 1,500 | 6,975 | 5,497 | 1,755 | 22 | 744 | 989 |
| Gluske Creek ...................................... | Aug. 6-9 | 1,500 | 5,911 | 4,619 | 2,138 | 10 | 895 | 1,233 |
| Kynoclı Creek ...................................... | Aug. 6-9 | 7,500 | 13,439 | 9,535 | 4,154 | 23 | 1,727 | 2,404 |
| Narrows Creek ................................. | Aug. 7-10 | 0 | 1,453 | 697 | 598 | 34 | 249 | 315 |
| Rossette Creek .................................. | Aug. 4-9 | 1,500 | 3,575 | 3,863 | 4,558 | 9 | 1,577 | 2,972 |
| Shale Creek ......................................... | Aug. 10-14 | 0 | 414 | 185 | 139 | $2 \cdot$ | 62 | 75 |
| Misc. Streams ................................. | Ang | $\square$ | 1,775 | 711 | 1,196 | 19 | 529 | 648 |
| Late Runs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kazchek Creek .................................. | Sept. 16-20 | 80 | 295 | 223 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| Middle River ....................................... | Sept. 16-20 | 200 | 476 | 500 | 1,056 | I71 | 410 | 475 |
| Tachie River ................................... | Sept. 22-30 | 20 | 364 | 600 | 1,687 | 159 | 708 | 820 |
| Sakeniche River ................................. | - | - | - | 131 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Northeast |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upper Bowron River ................... | - | 25,218 | 18,672 | 6,996 | 7,620 | 0 | 3,649 | 3,971 |
| Totals ................................................... |  | 997,485 | 851,881 | 878,988 | 619,970 | 6,844 | 258,551 | 354,575 |

[^2]Table VII
DAILY CATCH OF SOCKEYE, 1945-1949-1953-1957 FROM UNITED STATES CONVENTION WATERS


Table VIII
DAILY CATCH OF SOCKEYE, 1945-1949-1953-1957 FROM CANADIAN CONVENTION WATERS


Table IX
SUMMARY OF THE SOGKEYE ESCAPEMENT TO THE FRASER RIVER SPAWNING AREAS, 1945, 1949, 1953, 1957

| District and Streams | 1957 <br> Period of Peak Spawning | Estimated Number of Sockeye |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1945 | 1949 | 1953 | 1957 |
| Lower Fraser |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cultus Lake | Nov. 18-26 | 9,231 | 9,301 | 13,000 | 20,647 |
|  | Sept. 12-18 |  | 9,500 | 18,693 | 12,338 |
|  |  |  |  | 350 |  |
|  | Nov. 1-12 | 1,200 | 650 | 1,518 | 1,200 |
| Harrison |  |  |  |  |  |
| Big Silver Creek | Sept. 15-20 | 2,000 | 2,100 | 432 | 389 |
| Harrison River .... | Nov. 8-12 | 16,060 | 8,000 | 21,328 | 3,812 |
| Weaver Creek | Oct. 26-29 | 12,944 | 12,520 | 9,530 | 20,887 |
| Misc. Streams |  | 199 | 310 | 86 |  |
| Lillooet |  |  |  |  |  |
| Birkenhead River | Sept. 25-28 | 96,664 | 74,300 | 53,111 | 24,168 |
| Seton-Anderson |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gates Creek | Aug. 28-31 | - | - | 78 | 1,112 |
| Portage Creek | Oct. 30-Nov. 1 |  |  | 200 | 470 |
| South Thompson |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seymour River | Aug. 25-28, |  |  |  |  |
|  | Aug. 30-Sept. 3 | 150 | 10,772 1,000 | 5,947 | 14,095 |
| Scotch Creek <br> Lower Adams River | Aug. <br> Oct. $29-3 \mathrm{Sept}$ 2 | 75 59,725 | 1,000 11,700 | 1,364 177,000 | 2,354 257,614 |
| Little River .... | Oct. 30-Nov. 5 | 7,750 | 9,615 | 32,118 | 34,964 |
| South Thompson River ...... | Oct. 30-Nov. 5 |  | 5 | 12,614 | 14,645 |
| North Thompson |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raft River .-..... | Aug. 30-Sept. 2 | 3,300 | 5,900 | 8,242 | 7,264 |
| Barriere River | Sept. 8 |  |  |  | 38 |
| Chilcotin |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chilko River | Sept. 24-26 | 192,884 | 59,000 | 197,660 | 140,765 |
| Taseko Lake | Aug. 28-Sept. 1 |  | 100 | 4,422 | 3,667 |
| Quesnel |  |  |  |  |  |
| Horsefly River | Sept. 2-5 | 3,000 | 20,000 | 105,218 | 226,378 |
| Mitchell River | Sept. 10 |  | 350 | 2,344 | 2,677 |
| Nechako |  |  |  |  |  |
| Endako River . | Aug. 30 | 80 | 1,100 | 605 | 110 |
|  | Aug. 24-26 | 300 | 21,600 | 38,574 | 30,000 |
| Nadina River (late) ..... | Sept. 20-23 |  |  |  | 29,146 |
| Nithi River | Aug. 31-Sept. 2 | 500 | 1,400 | 1,208 | 1,186 |
| Ormonde Creek ...-*) | Aug. 31-Sept. 2 | 400 | 2,500 | 956 | 450 |
| Stellako River .-... | Sept. 28-Oct. 1 | 20,826 | 104,800 | 45,057 | 38,922 |
| Uncha Creek Stuart Rioner |  | 0 | 0 | 209 |  |
| Stuart River |  |  |  |  |  |
| Early Runs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ankwil Creek | Aug. 3-10 | 0 | 750 | 5,913 | 8,285 |
| Bivouac Creek | Aug. 2-6 | 0 | 12,900 | 8,994 | 9,464 |
| Driftwood River | Aug. 10-18 |  | 450 | 8,655 | 45,567 |
| Dust Creek | Aug. 4-8 | 4 | 7,800 | 16,891 | 14,827 |
| Felix Creek | Aug. 3-7 |  |  | 805 | 7,081 |
| 15 Mile Creek | Aug. 3-10 | 0 | 200 | 794 | 511 |
| 5 Mile Creek ..... | Aug. 3-10 | 0 | 600 | 2,632 | 3,821 |
| Forfar Creek ...... | Aug. 3-7 | 7,081 | 80,500 | 18,054 | 17,975 |
| Forsythe Creek | Aug. 3-10 | $0^{\circ}$ | 1,200 | 4,500 | 6,385 |
| Frypan Creek | Aug. 3-10 | 0 | 750 | 4,566 | 3,890 |
| Gluske Creek ... | Aug. 3-8 | 2,783 | 106,000 | 16,074 | 21,899 |
| Kynoch Creek ....- | Aug. 3-7 | 9,304 | 185,400 | 16,676 | 13,473 |
| Leo Creek ..... | Aug. 3-7 | 0 | 1,700 | 6,361 | 10,620 |
| Narrows Creek .... | Aug. 3-7 | 109 | 20,700 | 20,604 | 16,184 |
| Paula Creek ........ | Aug. 3-7 |  |  | 1,406 | 7,918 |
| Rossette Creek ..... | Aug. 3-6 | 6,808 | 152,900 | 6,355 | 7,087 |
| Sakeniche River | Aug. 3-7 | . 0 | 150 | 3,382 | 6,340 |
| Sandpoint Creek ........................ | Aug. 2-6 |  |  | 2,092 | 20,914 |
| Shale Creek | Aug. 3-7 | 250 | 3,000 | 3,809 | 1,606 |
|  | Aug. 4-8 | 0 | 3,300 | 2,167 | 724 |
|  | Aug. 3-7 | 2 | 1,112 | 3,392 | 10,462 |
| Late Runs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kazchek Creek | Sept. 16-18 | 952 | 1,500 | 7,903 | 19,582 |
| Kuzkwa River | Sept. 15-17 |  |  | 3,686 | 50,006 |
| Middle River | Sept. 16-18 | 22,804 | 126,400 | 235,572 | 332,098 |
| Pinchi Creek | Sept. 21-26 |  | - | 72 | 6,390 |
| Sakeniche River ................. | Sept. 19-23 |  |  | 104 | 592 |
| Tachie River ..................... | Sept. 19-23 | 751 | 20,000 | 107,506 | 118,252 |
| Northeast |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upper Bowron River ..... | - | 4,094 | 22,283 | 13,517 | 12,069 |
| Totals . . .i. |  | 482,230 | 1,116,118 | 1,274,346 | 1,663,320 |

Table X
DAILY CATCH OF PINKS, 1953-1955-1957-1959 FROM UNITED STATES CONVENTION WATERS

|  | JULY |  |  |  | AUGUST |  |  |  | SEPTEMBER |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | 1953 | 1955 | 1957 | 1959 | 1953 | 1955 | 1957 | 1959 | 1953 | 1955 | 1957 | 1959 |
| 1 - -a, | 2 |  | 1 |  |  | 9,370 |  |  | 210,985 | 144,389 |  | 187,274 |
| $2 \times \cdots$ | 1 |  |  |  |  | 16,341 |  |  | 267,777 |  | 308,214 | 157,077 |
| 3 3-7.-*- | 2 |  |  |  |  | 10,279 |  | 6,110 | 188,793 |  | 344,634 |  |
|  |  | 6 |  |  | 10,889 |  |  | 10,378 | 109,755 | 154,128 | 198,795 |  |
| 5 .-..- |  | 17 |  |  | 14,070 |  | 17,545 | 13,181 |  | 113,207 |  |  |
|  | 71 | 10 |  |  | 12,244 |  | 12,487 | 12,221 | 212,407 | 167,703 |  |  |
| 7 ...ana | 6 | 4 |  |  | 14,098 | 10,114 |  | 13,229 | 209,440 | 137,636 |  | 108,145 |
| 8 - | 23 |  |  | $\Omega$ |  | 24,948 |  | 9,036 | 205,765 | 55,612 |  | 153,233 |
| 9 9...- | 8 |  | 7 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 19,202 |  |  | 174,981 |  | 143.732 | 133,600 |
| 10 .... | 35 |  | 1 | 0 | 23,873 | 16,197 |  | 10,105 | 158,067 |  | 82,101 | 132,028 |
| $11 .$. |  | - 36 |  | $\hat{\theta}$ | 21,869 | 10,225 |  | 16,642 | 107,144 | 141,602 | 115,338 |  |
| 12 ........ |  | 106 |  |  | 25,948 |  | 24,436 | 17,634 |  | 131,375 | 56,951 |  |
| 13 .-.....- | 26 | 111 |  |  | 29,059 |  | 43,316 | 19,633 | 138,288 | 24,818 | 786 |  |
| $14 \times \cdots$ | 85 | 122 |  |  | 24,516 | 20,165 | 57,329 |  | 106,416 | 76,532 |  |  |
| 15. | 116 |  | 108 |  |  | 23,491 |  |  | 72,293 | 38,369 |  | 41,645 |
| 16 - | 454 |  | 235 |  |  | 26,193 |  |  | 78,636 |  | 40,133 | 30,919 |
|  | 154 |  | 164 |  | 56,867 | 47,162 |  | 57,658 | 75,473 |  | 50,380 | 14,021 |
| 18 ................... |  | 583 |  |  | 56,938 | 38,138 |  | 41,664 | 16,501 | 149,735 | 35,730 |  |
| 19 ....... |  | 736 |  |  | 72,235 | 2,488 | 99,644 | 36,950 |  | 104,360 | 146 |  |
| 20 .........- |  | 658 |  | 1,063 | 40,859 |  | 89,534 |  | 73,159 | 81,676 | 49 |  |
| 21 ..- | 1,190 | 515 |  | 1,533 | 83,825 | 66,618 | 80,747 |  | 36,796 | 68,999 |  |  |
| $22 \times \cdots$ | 611 |  | 1,423 | 1,127 |  | 65,570 | 110,833 |  | 16,013 | 66,773 |  | 8,427 |
| 23 .-. | 1,273 |  | 1,371 |  |  | 136,472 |  | 10,524 | 9,655 |  | 18,459 | 8,204 |
|  |  |  | 1,193 |  | 170,566 | 122,729 |  | 316,210 | 7,363 |  | 12,369 | 4,195 |
| $25 \times \cdots \cdots \cdots$ |  | 1,737 |  |  | 151.858 | 91,280 |  | 232,534 | 3,681 | 102,199 | 5,890 | 1,134 |
| 26 .................... |  | 890 |  |  | 169,118 |  | 228,828 | 59,823 |  | 29,277 |  |  |
| $27 \times \square \times \square$ | 4.264 | 1,785 |  | 3,545 | 156,070 |  | 189,603 | 125,179 | 1,015 | 43,543 |  |  |
|  | 5,356 | 1,827 |  | 5,506 | 164,644 | 228,497 | 133,673 |  | 532 | 46,725 |  |  |
| $29 \times \cdots$ | 3,586 |  | 1,837 | 5,114 |  | 135,610 | 97,861 |  | 732 | 15,696 |  | 3,790 |
| $30 \times$ | 5,675 |  | 3,386 | 4,276 | 196,160 | 162,752 |  |  | 157 |  |  | 2,106 |
| 31 - |  |  | 2,848 |  | 310,263 | 161,889 |  | 232,046 |  |  |  | 2,252 |
| Totals ............ | 22,938 | 9,143 | 12,574 | 22,164 | 1,805,969 | 1,445,730 | 1,185,836 | 1,240,757 | 2,481,824 | 1,894,354 | 1,413,707 | 988,050 |
| Troll and outside |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| seine ........... | 13,764 | 4,830 | 42,145 | 40,259 | 400,315 | 778,434 | 102,386 | 126,019 | 225,291 | 540,117 | 10,748 | 6,545 |
| Monthly |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals ........ | 36,702 | 13.973 | 54,719 | 62,423 | .2,206.284 | 2,224,164 | 1,288,222 | 1,366,776 | 2,707,115 | 2,434,471 | 1,424,455 | 994,595 |
| June, Oct. \& | v. Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1328 | 13.976 | 9,970 | 3,741 |
| Season Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,951,429 | 4,685,984 | 2,777,366 | 2,427,535 |

Table XI
DAILY CATCH OF PINKS，1953－1955－1957－1959 FROM CANADIAN CONVENTION WATERS

| Date | JULY |  |  |  | AUGUST |  |  |  | SEPTEMBER |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1953 | 1955 | 1957 | 1959 | 1953 | 1955 | 1957 | 1959 | 1953 | 1955 | 1957 | 1959 |
| 1．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 185 |  | 2 |  |  | 7，169 | 343 |  | 138，491 | 137，320 |  | 117，313 |
| 2．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 79 |  | 1 |  |  | 6，943 |  |  | 203，070 | 67，163 | 192，149 | 89，335 |
| 3．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 9 |  | 1 |  | 6，509 | 16，178 |  |  | 202，614 |  | 180，181 | 99，848 |
| 4．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． |  | 17 | 6 |  | 15，459 | 11，082 |  | 13 | 175，817 |  | 147，730 | 19，653 |
| 5．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． |  | 5 | 7 |  | 17，664 |  | 20，779 |  |  | 299，702 | 91，813 |  |
| 6．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 91 | 6 |  |  | 15，838 |  | 41，304 |  |  | 175，474 | 58，796 | 95，733 |
| 7．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 165 | 13 |  |  | 7，808 |  | 43，086 |  | 292，202 | 182，104 |  | 52，704 |
| 8．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 78 | 1 | 6 | $\theta$ | 7，808 |  | 162 |  | 159，485 | 136，765 |  | 92，362 |
| 9．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 193 |  | 6 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 32，507 | 163 |  | 252，505 | 117，875 | 20，398 | 131，918 |
| 10．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 92 |  | 6 | 國 | 36，025 | 45，148 |  | 25，687 | 269，828 |  | 113，427 | 88，337 |
| 11．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． |  | 51 | 10 | も | 45，962 | 52，906 |  | 24，563 | 44，210 |  | 96，826 | 9，774 |
| 12． |  | 115 | 10 |  | 30，426 | 40，857 | 88，365 | 24，718 |  | 94，543 | 57，295 |  |
| 13．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 224 | 93 |  |  | 58，612 |  | 53，273 | 34，625 |  | 228，496 | 40，518 |  |
| 14．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 282 | 181 |  |  | 87，204 |  | 116，580 |  | 60，025 | 191，906 |  | 29，041 |
| $15 . . . .{ }_{-1 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~}^{\text {－}}$ | 770 |  | 22 |  |  | 67，273 | 79，958 |  | 63，476 | 31，326 |  | 57，720 |
| 16．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 1，105 |  | 33 |  |  | 72，500 |  |  | 132，282 | 4，719 | 44，764 | 45，086 |
| 17 | 533 |  | 55 |  | 54，173 | 76，519 |  | 40，111 | 82，681 |  | 70，693 | 37，960 |
|  |  | 818 | 101 |  | 38，864 | 63，697 |  | 29，604 | 452 |  | 33，112 | 1，169 |
| 19．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． |  | 522 | 19 |  | 68，481 | 94，825 | 79，913 | 1，749 |  | 19，245 | 42，847 |  |
| 20．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 1，244 | 1，020 |  | 1，603 | 131，155 |  | 77，578 |  |  | 10，069 | 66，096 |  |
| $21 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 2，004 | 1，494 |  | 1，807 | 150，158 |  | 91，077 |  | 339 | 4，989 |  | 20，122 |
| $22 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 9，641 |  | 3，091 | 2，880 |  | 154，777 | 110，547 |  | 336 | 4，543 |  | 17，566 |
| $23 .$ | 36，551 |  | 7，849 | 㞻 |  | 163，202 |  | 201，421 | 330 | 3，112 | 1，455 | 36，721 |
| $24 .$ |  |  | 5，078 |  | 138，875 | 212，995 |  | 225，659 | 75 |  | 1，628 | 22，104 |
| $25 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ |  | 4，684 | 206 | ＞ | 164，030 | 211，931 |  | 146，148 | 64 |  | 1，498 |  |
| 26．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． |  | 6，145 |  | ç | 137，887 | 267，348 | 113，470 | 98，483 |  | 1，046 | 226 |  |
| 27．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 5，941 | 3，838 |  | Q | 216，145 |  | 84，368 |  |  | 671 | 139 |  |
| 28．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 9，734 | 3，097 |  | ç | 257，964 |  | 114，618 |  | 21 | 1，060 |  | 93 |
|  | 6，987 |  | 2，078 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 251，150 | 164，983 |  | 21 | 240 |  | 202 |
| $30 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 9，094 |  | 8，170 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 238，032 |  |  | 21 | 373 | 10 | 63 |
| 31．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 4，631 |  | 14，928 | \％ | 183，237 | 170，565 |  | 123，443 |  |  |  |  |
| Totals $\qquad$ Troll and outside seine $\qquad$ | 89，633 | 22，099 | 41，685 | 6，290 | 1，862，476 | 2，257，604 | 1，280，567 | 976，224 | 2，078，345 | 1，712，741 | 1，261，601 | 1，064，824 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7，273 | 2，216 | 3，398 | 27，542 | 40，415 | 46，117 | 30，460 | 179，795 | 26，707 | 12，052 | 4，788 | 44，467 |
| 8＂Gill Nets Monthly |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9，875 | 6，888 |  | 482 |
| Totals．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．96，906 June，Oct．\＆Nov．Totals |  | 24，315 | 45，083 | 33，832 | 1，902，891 | 2，303，721 | 1，311，027 | 1，156，019 | 2，114，927 | 1，731，681 | 1，266，389 | 1，109，773 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27，393 | －69，346 | 12，221 | 13，282 |
| Season Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4，142，117 | 4，129，063 | 2，634，720 | 2，312，906 |

## Table XII <br> SUMMARY OF THE PINK SALMON ESCAPEMENT TO THE FRASER RIVER SPAWNING AREAS



| LATE RUNS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lower Fraser |  |  |  |
| Stave River | Nov. 1-5 | 6,500 | 1,383 |
| Whonnock Creek | Oct. 20-25 | 549 | 57 |
| Suicide Creek | - | 2 | 0 |
|  | Oct. 13-18 | 52 | 68 |
| Kanaka Creek | Oct. 18-23 | 153 | 18 |
| South Alouette River .......................... |  | 8 | 0 |
| North Alouette River ........................... | - | 8 | 0 |
| Silver Creek (Pitt Lake) ....................... | - | 239 | 0 |
|  | - | 6 | 0 |
| Harrison |  |  |  |
|  | Oct. 20-27 | 585,798 | 110,311 |
|  | Oct. 20-27 | 346 | 87 |
| Chillwack-Vedder |  |  |  |
| Chilliwack-Vedder River | Oct. 20-Nov. 1 | 212,334 | 91,517 |
|  | Oct. 20-Nov. I | 6,874 | 751 |
| Little Chilliwack Creek ...................... | Oct. 20 Nov. | 68 | 0 |
|  |  | 44 | 0 |
|  | Oct. 20-Nov. 1 | - | 317 |
|  | Oct. 15-25 | - | 528 |
|  |  | 812,981 | 205,037 |
| Grand Total ...- |  | 2,424,867 | 1,078,000 |


[^0]:    * The 1959 pink salmon program was organized and conducted under the jurisdiction of the Pink Salmon Coordinating Committee and its technical assistants. Membership in this committee consist of representatives of the Washington Department of Fisheries, the Canada Department of Fisheries, the Fisheries Research Board of Canada and the International Pacific Salmon Fisheries Commission.

[^1]:    * Number of permits issued to Indians in district.

    The Indian catch statistics detailed above are obtained principally from the Protection Officers of the Canadian Department of Fisheries. These officers control the taking of sockeye for food by the Indian poptration residing throughout the Fraser River watershed.

[^2]:    * Newly established run.

