

**Northern Boundary and Transboundary Rivers  
Restoration & Enhancement Fund**

**BOUNDARY AREA COHO ESCAPEMENT 2016**

**Final Report**

*Prepared by:*

**LEON SHAUL**  
Alaska Department of Fish and Game  
Commercial Fisheries Division  
802 3<sup>rd</sup> Street  
Douglas, Alaska 99824

(907) 465-4214  
leon.shaul@alaska.gov

## **INTRODUCTION:**

The Hugh Smith Lake coho salmon population has been the only long-term, continuously operated wild coho indicator stock project in the northern boundary area, with a record of catch, escapement, smolt production, marine survival and age composition estimates dating from 1982 (Figure 1; Shaul et al. 2009; 2011). In addition to the higher resolution stock assessment provided by this project, helicopter surveys have been used to broaden escapement assessment to 14 additional streams that have been systematically surveyed annually since 1987 (Figure 1).

Combined, these projects form the core stock assessment program used to manage fisheries for coho salmon in the northern boundary area. The Hugh Smith Lake coho salmon population is substantially exploited by mixed-stock fisheries in both the U.S. and Canada and is, therefore, a key indicator stock used to monitor total adult abundance and escapements, and the pattern and intensity of exploitation by these fisheries on populations in the northern boundary area. It is the only stream in the southern portion of Southeast Alaska where a total count (with back-up MR estimate) of coho salmon escapement has been routinely collected. Its location 70 km southeast of Ketchikan makes it a particularly strategic indicator stock for boundary area fisheries. It has also been one of three key indicator stocks used to measure the exploitation rate by the Alaska troll fishery and to estimate the overall abundance of wild coho salmon available to the fishery. Timely escapement projections are made from both the cumulative weir count and estimation models based on recovery of coded-wire tags (Shaul et al. 2009) to provide real-time information for management of fisheries for escapement. Peak helicopter survey counts provide an index with greater coverage that complements higher resolution assessment at Hugh Smith Lake.

This report includes data collected during the 2016 coho salmon return year.

## **OBJECTIVES:**

The objective of the escapement survey portion of the project will be to achieve an accurate and representative count, of the number of adult coho salmon in each of the 14 streams (Figure 3) during peak spawner abundance, and under favorable conditions for flying in a helicopter and viewing fish. Methodology will be as consistent as possible with prior years.

Specific objectives for the Hugh Smith Lake portion of the project are as follows:

1. Determine the total escapement to Hugh Smith Lake using a weir count. In the event of a failure to enumerate all fish that pass the weir, obtain a Chapman mark-recapture estimate of the escapement such that the estimated coefficient of variation is 7% or less.
2. Estimate the age and sex compositions of the escapement to the Hugh Smith Lake from a sample of approximately 600 returning adult spawners distributed throughout the run at each location so that the estimated proportion of each age class is within 5% of the true value with at least 95% probability.
3. Sample as many returning adults as possible at the weir (up to 100%) for adipose clips and coded-wire tags in order to generate estimates of smolt production, marine survival, and harvest and exploitation rate by area, time and gear type.

The overall project objective for Hugh Smith Lake was to obtain an accurate and precise estimate of spawning escapement (including age, sex and size composition) and to sample adults marked in the prior year for coded-wire tags (CWTs). CWT sampling results were used to provide a suite of population and fishery estimates. Methods and objectives are also described by Shaul and Crabtree (2017).




Figure 1.—Map of Southeast Alaska and northern British Columbia, showing the locations of recent coho salmon full indicator stock assessment projects. Stars mark area index streams and triangles mark surveyed streams with independent escapement goals.

## METHODS:

Coho salmon production in the northern boundary area is broadly distributed, necessitating an assessment and monitoring approach that combines a small number of “indicator stocks” with broader, lower-resolution coverage based on escapement surveys. The wide distribution of populations across thousands of streams, combined with a high average smolt-to-adult survival rate, favors an indicator stock approach over other assessment methods (including genetic stock identification) for this species.

The strategy for helicopter surveys was to initially target two surveys, one for pre-peak timing when most fish are highly visible in holding areas (but may not have reached peak instream abundance), followed by a survey targeted at peak abundance when fish may be more dispersed but before there has been substantial loss to predation and drift-out (Shaul and Crabtree 2014). Surveys were conducted only under good or better visibility and water conditions, while only counts that occurred under acceptable conditions and within a defined time period were included in the index. Statistical interpolations were made for any streams that do not meet those criteria when peak counts are summed for the overall index.

Returning adult coho salmon were counted and marked at an aluminum bipod weir at the outlet of Hugh Smith Lake and sampled in spawning areas at the head of the lake to validate the weir count with a mark-recapture estimate. Escapement counts were used to determine if the established escapement goal of 500–1,600 spawners was met. The coho salmon return was reconstructed (based on recovery of coded-wire tags from smolts marked during spring in 2015), including spawning escapement and catch by area, statistical week and gear type. Smolt estimates and tag recovery rates in the Southeast Alaska troll fishery were used to generate inseason estimates of marine survival and total adult abundance for fishery management (Shaul et al. 2009). Estimates of brood year smolt production and adult return by age class were used to evaluate and refine the biological escapement goal. The sum of peak counts from two helicopter surveys conducted on each of 14 streams were used to evaluate escapement relative to the biological escapement goal of 4,250–8,500 spawners.

#### *Hugh Smith Lake Escapement (objective 1)*

An adult salmon weir was operated at the outlet of Hugh Smith Lake during August 15–November 14, 2016, continuing from an earlier project that operated the weir beginning on June 16. The weir and its trap were constructed of vertical pickets of ¾" EMT conduit supported in three 8' sections of aluminum channel drilled to accommodate 43 evenly spaced pickets per section, with a larger hole on each end for nominal 1" black iron or aluminum pipe. To provide extra height during periods of high water, the weir is extended from the top of the pickets to the catwalk handrail with 2"×2" 12 gauge galvanized hardware cloth.

Almost all healthy adult coho salmon that passed through the weir were captured in the trap, sampled for coded wire tags, and marked with a fin clip. Three fin marks are used for periods that corresponded to historical average thirds of the run: a partial dorsal fin clip 1 July–15 September, a left ventral fin clip 16 September–6 October, and a right ventral fin clip 7 October–November.

Mark–recovery sampling was conducted from late-October and mid-November. Fish were captured with a beach seine and dip nets from the earlier-spawning Cobb Creek escapement and with spinning gear (spoons and spinners) off the mouths of Buschmann and Cobb creeks. All sampled fish were marked with a single left opercular punch (to prevent resampling) and released. All marks (adipose clip, left or right ventral clip, dorsal clip, opercular punch) were recorded and the fish classified as adults (age .1) or jacks (age .0).

#### *Age, Sex and Length Composition (objective 2)*

Males less than 400 mm mid eye to fork length were classified as jacks while larger fish will be classified as adults. The age-sex-length composition of the escapement will be estimated from a target sample of 630 fish apportioned to weekly targets based on average run timing. Samples were randomly collected from both adults and jacks. Fish sampled for age-sex-length were removed from the weir trap, anesthetized in a clove oil solution (Woolsey et al. 2004), placed in a padded measuring trough, and measured to the nearest millimeter (mid eye to fork length). Four scales were taken from the left side of the fish approximately two rows above the lateral line along a diagonal downward from the posterior insertion of the dorsal fin to the anterior insertion of the anal fin (INPFC 1963). Scales were mounted on gum cards and impressions later made in cellulose acetate (Clutter and Whitesel 1956).

### *Coded-wire Tag Sampling (objective 3)*

All fish counted past the weir were captured in the trap and examined for the presence of an adipose fin. When an adipose clip was encountered, a coded wire tag detecting wand was passed over the snout to determine the presence of a tag. Fish that produced a strong signal were recorded as having a tag, while those that did not produce a signal were released and recorded as having no tag.

An individual record was made for each fish, including whether it is an adult (age .1) or jack (age .0), whether or not it has an adipose clip, and, if clipped, whether or not it registers a positive signal on the detector. Age-sex-length samples were recorded on the same form.

### *Helicopter Survey Index Counts (objective 4)*

Helicopter surveys were conducted on 14 index streams in the Boundary Area of District 101, near Ketchikan that have been counted annually since 1987 (Shaul and Tydinco 2006). The annual escapement survey index was the sum of peak counts for all 14 streams, including interpolated values for missing counts.

Surveys are timed so that the maximum (peak) number of fish is available for counting. This usually occurs after most fish have entered the stream from saltwater but before most have begun spawning. Most fall coho stocks migrate into freshwater beginning in late August through mid- to late October, with peak entry in late September through the first week of October. In most short, coastal streams spawning begins in early October, peaks in late October, and continues through mid-November. Initial surveys were conducted at the end of September when the majority of the run has entered the stream but before a substantial number are lost after spawning. A second survey was made in mid-October to account for later migrants and variations in run timing.

The index streams were divided into three groups of four to six streams based on geographical proximity and the timing of coho salmon migration and spawning. The streams in each group were surveyed on the same day. Pre-peak surveys for the three groups are scheduled for 28 September–1 October while the peak surveys are scheduled for 15–20 October. If weather and water conditions were unfavorable, the surveys were conducted as soon after these dates as possible.

Surveys were conducted from a Bell 206 B Jet Ranger or Hughs 500 helicopter from an altitude of 6 to 50 m unless obstructions require flying higher. Airspeed varied from approximately 5 to 50 km per hour depending on terrain, visibility, and the presence or absence of fish. The helicopter was maneuvered so the stream can be continuously viewed from the observer's side. The observer wore polarized glasses to reduce reflective glare. Surveys were conducted by observers experienced on each system. Survey data, including the number of fish counted and survey conditions (water level and visibility), were recorded and entered into the Southeast Alaska Integrated Fisheries Database.

Only peak survey counts that met standards for timing, survey conditions, and completeness were included in the annual index. Missing counts were interpolated in order to maintain a comparable aggregate escapement index. Interpolations were made for missing counts under the assumption that the expected value is determined for a given stream and year in a multiplicative way (i.e., counts across streams for a given year are multiples of counts for other years, and counts across years for a stream are multiples of counts for other streams). The estimated expected count for a given stream in a given year is then equal to the sum of all counts for the year times the sum of all counts for the stream divided by the sum of counts over all streams and years. If there was more than one missing value, an iterative procedure, as described by Brown (1974), was used since the

sums change as missing counts are filled in at each step.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:**

In 2016, 948 wild adult coho salmon were enumerated at the Hugh Smith Lake Weir of which 943 were examined for adipose clips. Of the examined fish, 250 had adipose clips. Of the adipose clipped fish, 247 were examined for tags, of which 245 elicited a signal indicating the presence of a tag. The mark-recovery sample from the upper lake indicated that no adults likely escaped past the weir undetected. The proportion of the wild adult return marked with valid coded-wire tags was estimated at 0.2633. The relatively low marked rate likely reflected escape of smolts during a spring freshet that topped the smolt weir in 2015 when only 9,787 smolts were marked with coded-wire tags and released.

After adding 115 0-ocean jacks sampled in 2015 in the recovery sample, the total sea-ward migration in 2015 was estimated at 38,808 smolts (95% Confidence Interval 34,656–44,090 smolts; Table 1 and Figure 1). Marine survival of those smolts to adulthood in 2016 was estimated at 6.4% (Table 2 and Figure 2). The adult return, including catch and escapement, was estimated at 2,466 fish, of which 1,518 fish were caught and 948 fish escaped to spawn. Based on 123 coded-wire tag recoveries from fisheries, the Alaska troll fishery was the largest harvester (767 fish), followed by drift gillnet fisheries (429 fish), purse seine fisheries (150 fish) and marine sport fisheries (90 fish) in Alaska (Table 2; Figure 3). Troll and marine sport fisheries in northern British Columbia accounted for an estimated combined harvest of 82 fish.

The all fishery exploitation rate on the Hugh Smith Lake stock was estimated at 61.6% of which the Alaska troll fishery accounted for the largest share (31.1%), with 27.2% harvested in drift gillnet, purse seine and sport fisheries in Alaska and 3.3% harvested by troll and sport fisheries in northern British Columbia (Table 3). The total exploitation rate estimate was very close to the long-term average (61.9%).

A total of 645 age-length-sex samples were collected from the spawning escapement, of which 516 fish had ageable scales. Of those, 11 were 0-ocean jacks (5 age 1.0 and 6 age 2.0) while 505 were 1-ocean adults (412 age 1.1 and 93 age 2.1). Of the adults, 60.4% were males while 39.6% were females. Adult males averaged 617 mm in mid-eye to fork length and females averaged 646 mm (Figure 4).

Helicopter surveys met criteria for timing and conditions on 13 of 14 streams in the Ketchikan survey index, whereas the count was interpolated using the method presented by Brown (1974) for single stream (Choca Creek) for which the criteria were not met (Table 3). Water conditions delayed initiation of the first survey targeted at the pre-peak period for a few days. The surveys were conducted under good conditions on October 4 for the west Behm Canal circuit and on October 11 for the Boca de Quadra and Portland Canal circuit. The sum of peak counts for the 14 streams (including the statistical interpolation for Choca Creek) totaled 13,420 spawners, well above the biological escapement goal (4,250–8,000 spawners; Figure 5).

## **CONCLUSION:**

In the spring of 2017, the Northern Fund was used to successfully obtain a count and back-up mark-recapture estimate of the adult coho salmon escapement entering Hugh Smith Lake and a comparable peak index count of coho salmon spawners in 14 streams in the Ketchikan area.


**Table 1.** Annual Hugh Smith Lake coho salmon smolt weir counts and total population estimates in 1982–2017 and estimated survival to adulthood the following year.

Smolt Year	Smolt Weir Count	Number Marked (M)	Returns Sampled (C)	Adjusted Ad Clips (R) <sup>a</sup>	Smolt Estimate (N)	95% C.I. Lower Bound	95% C.I. Upper Bound	Total Adult Return	Marine Survival (%)
1982	5,925	5,573	1,160	221	29,117	25,738	33,519	3,875	13.3
1983	27,552	9,647	1,242	224	53,227	47,087	61,209	4,024	7.6
1984	22,803	16,928	806	422	32,283	29,474	35,683	2,440	7.6
1985	11,111	9,833	692	288	23,572	21,136	26,643	4,365	18.5
1986	6,819	5,716	508	132	21,878	18,705	26,349	2,244	10.3
1987	4,965	4,819	262	34	36,218	27,276	53,883	1,473	4.1
1988	5,319	5,292	341	64	27,904	22,463	36,824	2,404	8.6
1989	7,187	7,187	736	198	26,620	23,376	30,910	4,794	18.0
1990	11,106	11,106	1,582	530	33,101	30,507	36,177	5,767	17.4
1991	13,371	13,269	1,059	601	23,373	21,643	25,402	4,895	20.9
1992	5,519	5,514	835	140	32,657	28,042	39,092	4,242	13.0
1993	19,422	19,401	1,719	688	48,434	45,069	52,341	9,464	19.5
1994	15,993	15,941	1,919	617	49,516	45,898	53,752	6,708	13.5
1995	12,586	12,585	1,034	584	22,267	20,597	24,230	3,948	17.7
1996	24,243	24,220	699	524	32,294	29,748	35,316	2,696	8.3
1997	26,791	26,367	1,061	747	37,436	34,932	40,327	4,371	11.7
1998	20,522	20,213	1,370	927	29,875	28,068	31,930	4,221	14.1
1999	12,001	11,999	616	371	19,902	18,066	22,154	1,346	6.8
2000	19,668	19,663	1,443	1,216	23,327	22,086	24,716	3,119	13.4
2001	30,335	29,388	3,282	2,643	36,487	35,147	37,933	5,406	14.8
2002	19,326	18,935	1,497	1,056	26,841	25,315	28,564	3,676	13.7
2003	16,317	15,572	929	629	22,997	21,331	24,946	2,492	10.8
2004	24,379	23,517	1,807	1,064	39,924	37,662	42,476	3,652	9.1
2005	17,799	17,795	935	590	28,184	26,080	30,656	1,926	6.8
2006	26,128	25,375	1,339	911	37,267	34,996	39,854	3,309	8.9
2007	19,602	19,306	1,732	1,161	28,793	27,228	30,550	3,776	13.1
2008	10,131	10,046	2,277	952	24,006	22,573	25,633	4,383	18.3
2009	18,988	18,722	2,876	2,086	25,813	24,751	26,970	5,417	21.0
2010	25,727	25,437	2,131	1,436	37,742	35,886	39,800	3,937	10.4
2011	21,359	21,359	1,851	1,217	32,482	30,755	34,415	4,163	12.8
2012	21,638	21,456	3,069	1,602	41,093	39,175	43,208	6,906	16.8
2013	24,398	24,113	4,075	2,079	47,247	45,300	49,368	7,707	16.3
2014	18,908	18,675	988	544	33,860	31,238	36,962	1,954	5.8
2015	9,860	9,787	1,058	266	38,808	34,656	44,090	2,466	6.4
2016	11,468	11,432	653	232	32,090	33,756	24,324		
2017	24,862	24,384							
1982-2015 Avg.									
Average	16,994	16,022	1,439	787	32,487	29,765	36,055	4,047	12.6


**Table 2.**—Estimated fishery sample size (expanded CWT recoveries), harvest by gear type, escapement, and total run of coho salmon returning to Hugh Smith Lake, 1982–2016.

Year	Fishery		Number of fish									
	Sample Size	Alaska					B.C.			Total Catch	Escapement	Total Catch
		Troll	Seine	Drift	Gillnet	Trap	Sport	Troll	Net			
1982	91	2,758	628	203	0	0	316	84	0	3,989	2,144	6,132
1983	185	1,374	424	277	49	0	214	50	0	2,388	1,487	3,875
1984	151	1,266	504	471	18	0	331	27	0	2,617	1,407	4,024
1985	213	868	287	137	5	0	201	39	0	1,537	903	2,440
1986	256	1,598	493	213	0	16	236	28	0	2,584	1,782	4,365
1987	99	657	82	148	4	28	155	53	0	1,127	1,117	2,244
1988	41	406	207	78	0	0	242	27	0	960	513	1,473
1989	91	1,217	320	247	0	62	106	20	0	1,972	433	2,404
1990	263	1,803	566	637	23	0	840	54	0	3,923	870	4,794
1991	399	2,103	190	941	0	38	614	44	0	3,930	1,836	5,767
1992	497	1,854	676	600	0	40	289	10	0	3,469	1,426	4,895
1993	155	2,227	269	666	0	0	207	41	0	3,410	832	4,242
1994	838	4,333	1,123	1,450	0	45	694	53	13	7,711	1,753	9,464
1995	432	2,018	947	1,588	0	98	236	28	11	4,926	1,781	6,708
1996	502	1,585	623	487	0	125	125	38	14	2,997	950	3,948
1997	480	1,321	108	397	0	45	91	0	0	1,962	732	2,696
1998	668	1,771	471	980	0	150	0	0	15	3,387	983	4,371
1999	623	1,757	283	726	0	180	0	0	30	2,976	1,246	4,221
2000	161	489	45	116	0	97	0	0	0	747	600	1,346
2001	314	696	454	324	0	58	7	0	0	1,539	1,580	3,119
2002	434	892	451	555	0	91	65	0	61	2,115	3,291	5,406
2003	335	894	354	690	0	106	91	31	0	2,166	1,510	3,676
2004	244	1,017	196	243	0	60	48	20	69	1,653	840	2,492
2005	256	1,163	122	532	0	59	36	8	0	1,920	1,732	3,652
2006	169	703	64	170	0	7	34	0	58	1,036	891	1,926
2007	294	1,262	175	300	0	74	57	11	186	2,065	1,244	3,309
2008	302	716	244	779	0	33	59	12	192	2,035	1,741	3,776
2009	253	1,049	268	483	0	18	265	0	19	2,102	2,281	4,383
2010	632	1,205	287	692	0	36	218	0	101	2,539	2,878	5,417
2011	376	778	148	417	0	25	189	4	239	1,800	2,137	3,937
2012	542	821	348	703	0	41	169	0	173	2,255	1,908	4,163
2013	552	1,754	767	793	0	108	283	32	121	3,858	3,048	6,906
2014	566	1,873	399	798	0	121	218	0	188	3,597	4,110	7,707
2015	203	470	112	272	0	24	102	6	12	998	956	1,954
2016	123	767	150	429	0	90	39	0	43	1,518	948	2,466
Average		1,356	365	530	3	54	194	21	44	2,566	1,540	4,106






**Figure 1.**—Estimated number of coho salmon smolts migrating from Hugh Smith Lake with 95% confidence intervals by smolt year.




**Figure 2.**—Estimated smolt-to-adult survival rate for coho salmon returns to Hugh Smith Lake compared with two systems in northern Southeast Alaska, Auke Creek and Berners River..




**Figure 3.**—Estimated escapement and harvest of Hugh Smith Lake coho salmon by the Alaska troll fishery and other fisheries combined, 1982–2016.

**Table 3.**—Estimated harvest (by gear type) and escapement as a percent of the total Hugh Smith Lake coho salmon run, 1982–2016.

Year	Fishery Sample Size	Percent of Total Return										
		Alaska Troll	Alaska Seine	Alaska Gillnet	Alaska Trap	Alaska Sport	B.C. Troll	B.C. Net	B.C. Sport	Total Harvest	Total Escapement	Total Return
1982	91	45.0	10.2	3.3	0.0	0.0	5.2	1.4	0.0	65.0	35.0	100.0
1983	185	35.5	10.9	7.1	1.3	0.0	5.5	1.3	0.0	61.6	38.4	100.0
1984	151	31.5	12.5	11.7	0.5	0.0	8.2	0.7	0.0	65.0	35.0	100.0
1985	213	35.6	11.8	5.6	0.2	0.0	8.2	1.6	0.0	63.0	37.0	100.0
1986	256	36.6	11.3	4.9	0.0	0.4	5.4	0.7	0.0	59.2	40.8	100.0
1987	99	29.3	3.6	6.6	0.2	1.3	6.9	2.4	0.0	50.2	49.8	100.0
1988	41	27.6	14.0	5.3	0.0	0.0	16.4	1.8	0.0	65.2	34.8	100.0
1989	91	50.6	13.3	10.3	0.0	2.6	4.4	0.8	0.0	82.0	18.0	100.0
1990	263	37.6	11.8	13.3	0.5	0.0	17.5	1.1	0.0	81.9	18.1	100.0
1991	399	36.5	3.3	16.3	0.0	0.7	10.6	0.8	0.0	68.2	31.8	100.0
1992	497	37.9	13.8	12.3	0.0	0.8	5.9	0.2	0.0	70.9	29.1	100.0
1993	155	52.5	6.3	15.7	0.0	0.0	4.9	1.0	0.0	80.4	19.6	100.0
1994	838	45.8	11.9	15.3	0.0	0.5	7.3	0.6	0.1	81.5	18.5	100.0
1995	432	30.1	14.1	23.7	0.0	1.5	3.5	0.4	0.2	73.5	26.5	100.0
1996	502	40.2	15.8	12.3	0.0	3.2	3.2	1.0	0.4	75.9	24.1	100.0
1997	480	49.0	4.0	14.7	0.0	1.7	3.4	0.0	0.0	72.8	27.2	100.0
1998	668	40.5	10.8	22.4	0.0	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.3	77.5	22.5	100.0
1999	623	41.6	6.7	17.2	0.0	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.7	70.5	29.5	100.0
2000	161	36.3	3.4	8.6	0.0	7.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	55.4	44.6	100.0
2001	314	22.3	14.6	10.4	0.0	1.9	0.2	0.0	0.0	49.3	50.7	100.0
2002	434	16.5	8.3	10.3	0.0	1.7	1.2	0.0	1.1	39.1	60.9	100.0
2003	335	24.3	9.6	18.8	0.0	2.9	2.5	0.8	0.0	58.9	41.1	100.0
2004	244	40.8	7.9	9.7	0.0	2.4	1.9	0.8	2.8	66.3	33.7	100.0
2005	256	31.8	3.4	14.6	0.0	1.6	1.0	0.2	0.0	52.6	47.4	100.0
2006	169	36.5	3.3	8.8	0.0	0.4	1.8	0.0	3.0	53.7	46.3	100.0
2007	294	38.1	5.3	9.1	0.0	2.2	1.7	0.3	5.6	62.4	37.6	100.0
2008	302	19.0	6.5	20.6	0.0	0.9	1.6	0.3	5.1	53.9	46.1	100.0
2009	253	23.9	6.1	11.0	0.0	0.4	6.0	0.0	0.4	48.0	52.0	100.0
2010	632	22.2	5.3	12.8	0.0	0.7	4.0	0.0	1.9	46.9	53.1	100.0
2011	376	19.8	3.8	10.6	0.0	0.6	4.8	0.1	6.1	45.7	54.3	100.0
2012	542	19.7	8.4	16.9	0.0	1.0	4.1	0.0	4.2	54.2	45.8	100.0
2013	552	25.4	11.1	11.5	0.0	1.6	4.1	0.5	1.8	55.9	44.1	100.0
2014	566	24.3	5.2	10.4	0.0	1.6	2.8	0.0	2.4	46.7	53.3	100.0
2015	203	24.1	5.7	13.9	0.0	1.2	5.2	0.3	0.6	51.1	48.9	100.0
2016	123	31.1	6.1	17.4	0.0	3.6	1.6	0.0	1.7	61.6	38.4	100.0
Average		33.1	8.6	12.4	0.1	1.5	4.6	0.5	1.1	61.9	38.1	100.0



**Figure 4.**—Annual average mid eye to fork length for age-1 male and female coho salmon sampled in Auke Creek, Berners River, Ford Arm Creek, and Hugh Smith Lake, 1982–2016.



**Figure 5.**—Sum of peak coho salmon escapement survey counts for 14 streams in the Ketchikan area (top graph) and coho salmon escapement counts and estimates for Hugh Smith Lake (bottom graph) with 7 point LOESS trends, 1982–2016.

**Table 3.**—Peak helicopter survey counts of coho salmon spawners in 14 streams in the Ketchikan area, 1987–2016.

Year	Herman Creek	Grant Creek	Eulachon River	Klahini River	Indian River	Barrier Creek	King Creek	Choca Creek
1987	92	78	154	65	336	70	282	113
1988	72	150	205	20	300	50	175	150
1989	75	101	290	15	925	450	510	200
1990	150	30	235	150	242	50	35	81
1991	245	50	285	50	550	100	300	220
1992	115	270	860	90	675	100	250	150
1993	90	175	460	50	475	325	110	300
1994	265	220	755	200	560	175	325	225
1995	250	94	435	165	600	220	415	180
1996	94	92	383	40	570	230	457	220
1997	75	82	420	60	353	73	295	175
1998	94	130	460	120	304	50	411	190
1999	75	127	657	150	356	25	627	225
2000	135	94	600	110	380	72	620	180
2001	80	110	929	151	1,140	164	891	450
2002	88	138	1,105	20	940	70	700	220
2003	242	194	875	39	690	57	1,140	380
2004	150	230	801	170	935	250	640	180
2005	510	300	1,240	360	890	190	810	270
2006	165	113	190	176	280	30	405	130
2007	134	75	276	35	245	15	290	210
2008	115	55	570	25	1,250	23	420	100
2009	149	330	330	340	750	110	1,050	100
2010	85	102	370	62	880	90	570	190
2011	87	83	350	69	175	74	110	85
2012	25	60	400	162	170	40	703	110
2013	194	184	722	153	792	164	664	266
2014	425	80	660	226	1,500	242	850	400
2015	20	200	550	136	1,200	242	550	200
2016	160	25	810	450	370	90	540	315
<b>Average</b>	149	132	546	129	628	128	505	207

Year	Carroll River	Blossum River	Keta River	Marten River	Humpback Creek	Tombstone River	Combined	
							Survey Count	Hugh Smith Lake (Weir)
1987	180	700	800	740	650	532	4,792	1,118
1988	193	790	850	600	52	1,400	5,007	513
1989	70	1,000	650	1,175	350	950	6,761	433
1990	136	800	550	575	135	275	3,444	870
1991	375	725	800	575	671	775	5,721	1,826
1992	360	650	627	1,285	550	1,035	7,017	1,426
1993	310	850	725	1,525	600	1,275	7,270	830
1994	475	775	1,100	2,205	560	850	8,690	1,753
1995	400	800	1,155	1,385	82	2,446	8,627	1,781
1996	240	829	1,506	1,924	440	1,806	8,831	958
1997	140	1,143	571	759	32	847	5,025	732
1998	280	1,004	1,169	1,961	256	666	7,095	983
1999	425	598	1,895	1,518	520	840	8,038	1,246
2000	275	1,354	1,619	1,421	102	1,672	8,634	600
2001	173	1,561	1,714	1,956	506	1,442	11,267	1,580
2002	270	1,359	1,368	2,302	2,004	1,639	12,223	3,291
2003	469	1,940	1,934	1,980	214	1,745	11,899	1,510
2004	455	1,005	1,200	1,835	1,230	823	9,904	840
2005	500	3,680	3,290	1,130	500	1,170	14,840	1,732
2006	272	2,300	645	335	260	1,600	6,901	891
2007	170	990	970	351	3	552	4,316	1,244
2008	660	7,100	2,549	925	2,600	360	16,752	1,741
2009	1,100	1,536	315	1,675	700	225	8,710	2,282
2010	180	350	550	350	200	584	4,563	2,878
2011	201	1,235	776	350	850	652	5,098	2,137
2012	330	2,400	3,300	2,650	360	1,250	11,960	1,908
2013	215	2,140	1,560	2,370	530	1,340	11,295	3,148
2014	220	2,000	1,300	2,661	1,110	5,000	16,675	4,110
2015	450	2,310	1,470	1,555	210	1,035	10,128	956
2016	750	3,070	2,470	2,120	280	1,970	13,420	943
<b>Average</b>	342	1,566	1,314	1,406	552	1,225	8,830	1,542

<sup>a</sup> Total index is the sum of counts and interpolated values. Interpolated values are shown in shaded bold italic print.

**DETAILED BUDGET SUMMARY:**

The budget shows a surplus of \$7,668.49, primarily in contractual services including helicopter charter. This occurred primarily because extensive precipitation and limited favorable stream conditions during the fall allowed only one full helicopter circuit of all surveyed streams within acceptable limits of visibility and timing.

**Table 4.-** Allocated and expended costs for major spending categories see in the Northern Fund project Boundary Area Coho Escapement, 2016.

Line Item	Allocations	Expenditures	Balance
Personnel	\$47,388.00	\$48,595.23	(\$1,207.23)
Travel	\$1,500.00	\$1,388.48	\$111.52
Contractual	\$26,600.00	\$16,983.96	\$9,616.04
Commodities	\$11,600.00	\$12,451.84	(\$851.84)
Equipment	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Administrative Overhead	\$9,952.00	\$9,952.00	\$0.00
All Lines	\$97,040.00	\$89,371.51	\$7,668.49

**REFERENCES:**

- Brown, M. B. 1974. Identification of sources of significance in two-way contingency tables. *Applied Statistics* 23:405–413.
- Shaul, L. D., and K. F. Crabtree. 2017. Operational Plan: Southeast Alaska coho stock assessment. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Regional Operational Plan ROP.CF.1J.2017.04, Douglas.
- Shaul, L. D., and T. A. Tydingco. 2006. Escapement goals for coho salmon counted in aggregate surveys in the Ketchikan and Sitka areas. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Special Publication No. 06-11, Anchorage.
- Shaul, L. D., K. F. Crabtree, M. Kemp, and N. Olmstead. 2009. Coho salmon studies at Hugh Smith Lake, 1982–2007. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Manuscript No. 09-04, Anchorage.
- Shaul, L., K. Crabtree, E. Jones, S. McCurdy, and B. Elliott. 2011. Coho salmon stock status and escapement goals in Southeastern Alaska. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Special Publication No. 1123, Anchorage.