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## ACRONYMS

| AABM | Aggregate Abundance Based Management |
| :--- | :--- |
| AC | Allowable Catch |
| ADF\&G | Alaska Department of Fish and Game |
| ASL | Age, Sex, Length |
| BEG | Biological Escapement Goal <br> BLC |
| Base Level Catch |  |
| CAFN | Champagne \& Aishihik First Nation |
| CPUE | Catch Per Unit of Effort |
| CTC | Chinook Technical Committee of the Pacific Salmon Commission |
| CWT | Coded-Wire Tag |
| DFO | Department of Fish and Oceans, Canada |
| DIPAC | Douglas Island Pink and Chum, Inc. |
| ESSR | Excess Salmon to Spawning Requirements |
| FN | First Nation |
| FSC | Food, Social, Ceremonial |
| GSI | Genetic Stock Identification |
| MR | Mark-Recapture |
| MSY | Maximum Sustained Yield |
| NMSY | Spawning escapement goal point estimate |
| PSARC | Pacific Scientific Advice Review Committee of DFO |
| PSC | Pacific Salmon Commission |
| PST | Pacific Salmon Treaty |
| SCMM | Stikine Chinook Management Model |
| SEAK | Southeast Alaska |
| SEPP | Stikine Enhancement Production Plan |
| SFMM | Stikine Forecast Management Model |
| SMM | Stikine Management Model |
| SPA | Scale Pattern Analysis |
| SW | Statistical Week |
| TAC | Total Allowable Catch |
| TTC | Transboundary Technical Committee of the Pacific Salmon Commission |
| THA | Terminal Harvest Area |
| TIFN | Tahltan \& Iskut First Nation |
| TRTFN | Taku River Tlingit First Nation |
| USFS | United States Forest Service |
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## INTRODUCTION

Management of transboundary river salmon to achieve conservation, allocation and enhancement objectives, as stipulated by the Pacific Salmon Treaty (PST), requires a cooperative approach by Canada and the United States. It is important that both Parties have a clear understanding of the objectives and agree upon procedures to be used in managing the fisheries, including the criteria upon which modifications of fishing patterns will be based. This document is intended to facilitate cooperative salmon management, stock assessment, research and enhancement on transboundary stocks of the Stikine, Taku, and Alsek rivers conducted by the Canadian Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO), the Tahltan and Iskut First Nations (TIFN), the Taku River Tlingit First Nation (TRTFN), the Champagne \& Aishihik First Nation (CAFN), and the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF\&G).

This report contains, by river system and species, the 2017 salmon run outlooks, spawning escapement goals, a summary of harvest sharing objectives, and an outline of management procedures to be used during the 2017 fisheries. Numerical forecasts are presented for: Stikine River sockeye and large Chinook salmon and Taku River large Chinook salmon as required by the PST; Taku sockeye and coho salmon; and Alsek sockeye and Chinook salmon. Outlooks for other stocks are given qualitatively with reference to brood year escapement data where available. This report also contains joint plans for fry stocks and egg collections and a detailed list of proposed field projects for 2017 , identifying agency responsibility and contacts for the various functions within the projects. Information shown for 2016 and 2017 is preliminary.

## STIKINE RIVER

## Chinook Salmon

## Preseason Forecast

The bilateral preseason forecast for the Stikine River large Chinook salmon terminal run ${ }^{1}$ is 18,300 fish. Large Chinook salmon are greater than 659 mm mid-eye to fork of tail length. The forecast generated by the Stikine River Chinook salmon forecast model produced a terminal run size estimate of 24,734 fish. Due to the preseason forecast consistently overestimating the actual run size in recent years, this forecast was reduced by $26 \%$ to account for the average forecast error over the previous 5 years (based on data available at time of forecasting). Other considerations taken into account for reducing the model produced forecast is the poor precision for estimating the age-4 Chinook salmon and the general poor performance of Chinook salmon stocks throughout Alaska and northern B.C. in recent years.

Similar to 2005-2016, the 2017 forecast is based solely on the sibling forecast with no credence given to the stock-recruitment forecast. (Previous to 2005, the Chinook salmon forecast was based on the average of the sibling and stock-recruitment methodologies; however the stock recruitment component has been discarded due to poor performance.) The sibling forecast predicts the following components: the terminal return of age-5 fish based on the number of age- 4 fish in 2016; the terminal return of age- 6 fish based on the number age- 5 fish in 2016; and the terminal return of age-7 fish based on the number of age-6 fish in 2016. The sum of the agespecific predictions (age 5 to age 7) generates an estimate of the terminal run.

[^0]The age-specific outlooks are based on the following linear regressions:

- age-4 in $2016\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\text {age-4 }}(\mathrm{y}-1)\right)$ to predict the number of age-5 in $2017\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\text {age- } 5(\mathrm{y})}\right)$ :

$$
\mathrm{N}_{\text {age- }-5(y)}=3.0223 * \mathrm{~N}_{\text {age-4(y-1) }}+2,948
$$

[1]

The correlation coefficient $\left(\mathrm{r}^{2}\right)$ of this relationship $=0.85, \mathrm{df}=11$;

- age-5 in $2016\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\text {age-5 }}(\mathrm{y}-1)\right.$ ) to predict the number of age-6 in $2017\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\text {age- }}\right.$ (y) $)$ :

$$
N_{\text {age-6 } 6(y)}=0.8628 * N_{\text {age-5 } 5(y-1)}-2,686
$$

The correlation coefficient $\left(\mathrm{r}^{2}\right)$ of this relationship $=0.87, \mathrm{df}=11$;

- age-7 in $2016\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\text {age- } 6(\mathrm{y}-1)}\right)$ to predict the number of age-7 in $2017\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\text {age }}-7 \mathrm{y}\right)$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{N}_{\text {age }-7(y)}=0.0212 * N_{\text {age- }-6(y-1)}-45 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The correlation coefficient $\left(\mathrm{r}^{2}\right)=0.88, \mathrm{df}=11$.
On average, the run consists of $13 \%$ age- $4,49 \%$ age- 5 and $37 \%$ age- 6 Chinook salmon; other ages include age3 and age- 7 which make up the remainder. The total estimated number of terminal Stikine Chinook salmon age4 in 2016 was 4,488_fish; age-5 was 12,595_fish; and age-6 was 4,206_fish. Substituting these values into each of the respective equations [1] through [3] above and summing the results, yields a predicted terminal run of approximately 24,734 large Chinook salmon in 2017. The 2017 forecast of 24,734 fish was then reduced by $26 \%$ and rounded to the nearest hundred. This outlook, which constitutes a below average run size, does not include Chinook salmon of age- 4 or less. The performance of the preseason forecast compared to postseason run size is detailed in Table 1.
Table 1. Stikine River large Chinook salmon terminal run preseason forecasts and postseason estimates from 1996 to 2016, and the 2017 preseason forecast. Forecast performance relative to the actual run size determined from postseason run reconstructions. Positive values indicate the forecast was higher than postseason run size
estimates; negative values, the forecast was below postseason run size estimates. Adjusted forecast uses 5-year average percentage error.

|  | Forecast Estimate |  |  | Forecast Performance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Sibling | Adjusted |  | Postseason Run | Sibling |

Data source: Final Estimates of Transboundary River Salmon Production, Harvest, and Escapement and a Review of Joint Enhancement Activities in 2015

## Escapement Goals

The current MSY escapement goal point estimate (NMSY) for above border Stikine River large Chinook salmon is 17,400 fish with a range of 14,000 to 28,000 fish (mid eye to fork length of $>659 \mathrm{~mm}$; typically age $5-7$ ). Corresponding values for counts through the weir on the Little Tahltan are 2,700 to 5,300 fish with a point estimate of 3,300 fish (Bernard et al 2000). This drainage wide goal is subject to periodic review by the TTC. Based on the 10-year average (2007-2016), Little Tahltan River Chinook salmon represent 7\% (Range; 1-18\%) of the total spawning population.

## Harvest Sharing Objectives

Provisions for harvest sharing and management of directed fisheries for Stikine River large Chinook salmon were successfully negotiated by the Transboundary Panel and implemented in 2005. These arrangements, with slight adjustments, were adopted through PST negotiations in 2008 and are in effect through 2018 (Paragraph 3(a) (3) of Annex IV, Chapter 1 of the PST).

Harvest sharing provisions were developed to acknowledge the traditional harvest in fisheries, referred to as base level catches (BLCs), which occurred prior to the new arrangements, these included: incidental harvests in Canadian and U.S. commercial drift gillnet fisheries, U.S. and Canadian sport fisheries, Canadian First Nation fishery, and the test fishery. For directed fisheries, the allowable catch (AC) will be calculated as follows:
where: $\mathrm{BTR}=$ escapement target + test fishery BLC + U.S. BLC + Cdn BLC. BLCs are as follows:

- U.S. Stikine BLC: 3,400 large Chinook salmon²;
- Canadian Stikine BLC: 2,300 large Chinook salmon³;
- Test fishery: 1,400 large Chinook salmon.

Harvest sharing and accounting of the AC shall be as described in Table 2.
Table 2. U.S. and Canadian allowable catches of Stikine large Chinook salmon for directed fisheries.

|  |  | Allowable Catch Share |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allowable Catch Range | U.S. |  |  | Canada |  |  |
| Lower | Upper | Lower | Upper |  | Lower | Upper |
| 0 | 5,000 | 0 | 500 |  | 0 | 4,500 |
| 5,001 | 20,000 | 501 |  | 17,000 |  | 4,500 |
| 20,001 | 30,000 |  | 11,001 |  | 9,000 | 12,500 |
| 30,001 | 50,000 | 17,501 | 30,500 |  | 12,500 | 19,500 |
| 50,001 | 100,000 |  | 30,501 | 63,000 |  | 19,500 |

Within each allowable catch range, each Party's AC will be calculated proportional to where the AC occurs within the range. The TTC developed a spreadsheet to calculate specific harvest shares. Each Party shall determine the domestic allocation of their respective harvest shares.

When the terminal run is insufficient to provide for the Party's Stikine Chinook salmon BLC and the lower end of the escapement goal range, the reductions in each Party's base level fisheries, i.e. the fisheries that contributed to the BLCs, will be proportionate to the BLC shares, excluding the test fishery.
U.S. harvest of Stikine Chinook salmon AC will not count towards the Southeast Alaska (SEAK) aggregate abundance based management (AABM) allocation (as described in Chapter 3 of the PST). In particular:

1. non-Stikine Treaty Chinook salmon harvested in District 108 will continue to count toward the SEAK AABM harvest limit;
2. U.S. BLC of Stikine Chinook salmon in District 108 will count toward the SEAK AABM harvest limit;
3. U.S. catch of Stikine Chinook salmon in District 108 above U.S. BLC will not count towards the SEAK AABM allocation.

Accounting for the SEAK AABM Chinook salmon catches as pertains to harvests of transboundary river origin Chinook salmon, will continue to be the responsibility of the CTC as modified by (a) through (c) above.

## Management Procedures

[^1]Paragraph 3(a) (3) of Annex IV, Chapter 1 of the PST include the following management details for directed fisheries targeting large Chinook salmon that apply in 2017:

- Both Parties shall take the appropriate management action to ensure that the necessary escapement goals for Chinook salmon bound for the Canadian portions of the Stikine River are achieved. The Parties agree to share in the burden of conservation. Fishing arrangements must take biodiversity and eco-system requirements into account.
- Management of directed fisheries will be abundance-based through an approach developed by the Committee. The Parties agree to implement assessment programs in support of the abundance- based management regime.
- Unless otherwise agreed, directed fisheries on Stikine River Chinook salmon will occur only in the Stikine River drainage in Canada, and in District 108 in the U.S.
- Management of Stikine River Chinook salmon will take into account the conservation of specific stocks or conservation units when planning and prosecuting their respective fisheries. To avoid over-harvesting of specific components of the run, weekly guideline harvests or other agreed management measures will be developed by the Committee by apportioning their allowable harvest of each Party over the total Chinook season based on historical weekly run timing.
- Commencing in 2009, the Parties agree to develop and implement through the Committee an agreed Chinook salmon stock identification program to assist the management of Stikine Chinook salmon.
- A preseason forecast of the Stikine River Chinook salmon terminal run size will be made by the Committee by December 1st of each year.
- Directed fisheries may be implemented based on preseason forecasts only if the preseason forecast terminal run size equals or exceeds the midpoint of the MSY escapement goal range plus the combined Canada, U.S., and test fishery BLCs of Stikine River Chinook salmon. The preseason forecast will only be used for management until inseason projections become available.
- For the purposes of determining whether to allow directed fisheries using inseason information in 2017, such fisheries will not be implemented unless the projected terminal run size exceeds the escapement goal point estimate ( $\mathrm{N}_{\text {MSY }}$ ) plus the combined Canada, U.S., and test fishery BLCs of Stikine River Chinook salmon. The Committee shall determine when inseason projections can be used for management purposes and shall establish the methodology for inseason projections and update them weekly or at other agreed intervals.
- If the escapement of Stikine River Chinook salmon is below the lower bound of the agreed escapement range for three consecutive years, the Parties will examine the management of base level fisheries and any other fishery which harvests Stikine River Chinook salmon stocks, with a view to rebuilding the escapement.

Fishery openings will be based on weekly run strength and the TAC as defined by the PST. The preseason forecast will serve as the principal run size estimator until approximately May 25, SW21 (May 21-27). This will be replaced with inseason run projections once a reliable inseason projection is generated based on the performance of the Kakwan Point tagging catch per hour model, or a MR estimate, or a combination of the two methods. On average, approximately $25 \%$ of the run has passed the Kakwan Point site (1996-2016) by May 25.

The Kakwan Point-based estimate is generated by the Stikine Chinook Management Model (SCMM). An inseason run estimate before May 25 may be adopted if agreed to by Canada and the U.S. Reliable weekly MR estimates are expected to be available by SW23 (May 29-June 4). These weekly MR estimates may be used as the principal run size estimator or be used in concert with the SCMM in assessing weekly run sizes. Catch performance of the Lower Stikine River fishery, in conjunction with daily water levels, will be monitored and may also be used, in part, to assess run size. From 2005 to 2016, the MR and SCMM average was deemed to be the most reliable predictor of terminal run size and was the principal method used to predict terminal run after SW22.

Inseason estimates of the inriver run based on a MR estimate will be made using a bilaterally agreed-to sulk rate for tags released in event 1 of the 2 -event MR program. Sulk rates will be based on the analysis of inseason data. In the event bilateral agreement cannot be reached with respect to the sulk rate, an assumed 11-day sulk rate will be used. During years with directed fisheries in District 108, a District 108 timing model is used to expand the cumulative catch to date to project the catch for the season, which is added to the inriver run projection to give an estimate of terminal run size. It is also used to determine weekly guideline harvests for the District 108 fisheries. During years without directed fisheries in District108, the average harvest observed in District 108 for similar run sizes will be added to the inriver run projection to give an estimate of terminal run size. For the inseason run projections, MR abundance estimates will be expanded by timing models which include:

1. Average run timing of large Chinook salmon observed in the Canadian commercial/assessment fisheries in 2007-2016. (The Canadian commercial Chinook salmon fishery was in assessment mode in 2010-2014 and 2016 i.e. the commercial fleet served as a test fishery). Inriver timing models are used to expand the point MR estimate to project the total inriver run sizes. Inriver timing models are also used to determine weekly guideline harvests for the lower Stikine commercial fishery and;
2. Average run timing of large Chinook salmon in the District 108 drift gillnet fishery. This is based on combination of run timing for the District 108 drift gillnet harvests from 1969 to 1973, select years of Canadian test fishery timing data for lagged by 2 weeks, and Kakwan Point tagging CPUE lagged by 7 days (annual Kakwan Point CPUE data used for run timing was based on fishing conditions that were not unduly fettered by extraordinary high water conditions in any particular year).

## United States

The 2017 preseason forecast does not allow for directed Chinook salmon fisheries in District 108. The U.S. does not anticipate any directed fisheries in 2017 based on recent trends of Stikine River Chinook salmon abundance and trends in Chinook salmon abundance throughout Southeast Alaska. As such the U.S. will be restricting fisheries for Chinook salmon conservation. However, in the event that reliable inseason run size estimates indicate the run is robust enough to provide for a U.S. AC, the U.S. would initiate directed Chinook salmon fisheries in District 108. Directed fisheries in District 108 would be initiated in order of domestic priority and/or expected harvest levels beginning with the U.S. Federal Stikine River subsistence fishery, followed by liberalization the sport fishery, and lastly the opening of commercial fisheries.

It is anticipated that a U.S. Federal Stikine River subsistence fishery for Chinook salmon will not initially open in 2017. In the event that inseason run size estimates produce a U.S. AC during the period of May 15 to June 20, the subsistence fishery would open. A subsistence permit issued by the USFS to federally qualified subsistence users is required to fish in the Stikine River. Permit restrictions include: restricting fishing area to upriver from tidal waters to the U.S./Canadian border; prohibiting fishing at stock assessment sites used by ADF\&G and DFO; and restricting fishing gear to dipnets, spears, gaffs, rod and reel, beach seine, or gillnets not exceeding 15 fathoms ( 27.4 m ) in length with mesh size no larger than 8 inches $(20.3 \mathrm{~cm})$. Subsistence fishermen will be
required to check gillnets twice a day. The subsistence fishery is monitored inseason by USFS biologists who will provide weekly harvest and effort estimates to the ADF\&G.

The Chinook salmon sport fishery in District 108 will be restricted in 2017 resulting in a daily bag and possession limit of one Chinook salmon 28 inches ( 71 cm ) or greater in length for resident and nonresident anglers, one rod may be used when sport fishing. The annual limit for nonresident anglers will be three Chinook salmon. If inseason run size estimates yield a U.S. AC, the District 108 sport fishery may be liberalized. Liberalized regulations include: the use of two rods per angler, a resident bag limit of three Chinook salmon 28 inches ( 71 cm ) or greater in length with a possession limit of six fish and; a nonresident bag and possession limit of two Chinook salmon 28 inches ( 71 cm ), or greater, in length with a nonresident annual limit of six Chinook salmon.

The District 108 directed drift gillnet fishery will not open and there will likely be restrictions implemented during the sockeye salmon fishery. The extent of the restrictions during the sockeye salmon fishery will be dependent on inseason run size estimates. Restrictions may include a six inch maximum mesh restriction, reduced time, and reduced fishing area. If the inseason run size estimates produced a U.S. AC large enough to warrant directed commercial fisheries and the gillnet fishery were to proceed, gillnets would be restricted to 7 inch ( 178 mm ) minimum stretched mesh, 60 meshes deep, and 300 fathoms ( 549 m ) long. Gillnet openings would occur on Mondays at 8:00 a.m., unless fishing occurs during the week of Memorial Day (week of May 28), in which case the opening would occur on Tuesday at 8:00 a.m. Weekly open time before the second Monday in June would be limited to a maximum of 4 days per week and would not occur on a weekend, or state or federal holiday

Troll fisheries in District 108 will initially be managed to target Alaskan hatchery produced Chinook salmon according to the provisions of the spring troll management plan. Spring troll fisheries will be restricted in time and area for Chinook salmon conservation. Restrictions could be increased or decreased depending on inseason run size estimates and/or the percentage of Alaskan hatchery fish in the harvest. If inseason run size estimates are robust enough to allow for directed commercial fisheries, the District 108 directed troll fishery would occur. Time and area for the District 108 directed troll fishery is determined by the amount of open time in the District 108 drift gillnet fishery. When the drift gillnet fishery is open for 1 day, the troll fishery is open for 3 days per week and open for 5 days per week when the gillnet fishery is open for more than 1 day. Open area would include most of the district except for small closed areas to minimize gear conflicts between sport and commercial troll gear. Only Chinook salmon 28 inches ( 71 cm ) or greater in length may be retained in the troll fishery.

## Canada

The preseason forecast of 18,300 large Chinook salmon does not allow for a directed fishery in Canada.
Though a directed commercial fishery is not anticipated to occur in 2017, the Canadian lower Stikine River commercial fishery (Figure 2) will be managed on a weekly basis with management actions driven by results of terminal run size projections derived by the SCMM and inseason MR results (if tags are applied in 2017, it is not likely that recoveries will be significant enough to generate reliable inseason estimates), complemented with ancillary data from the U.S. sport and troll fisheries as well at the relative performance of the Lower Stikine fishery. Weekly inputs to the model will include: catch data from Alaska District 108 gillnet, troll and sport fisheries; catch data from the Canadian Stikine River commercial, test, First Nations, and sport fisheries; catch and effort from the Kakwan Point tagging site; and, escapement requirements. Weekly inputs required to generate a MR estimate will include: the number of tags to date collected from large Chinook salmon from the Lower Stikine commercial fishery, the total catch to date of large Chinook salmon, and an estimate the fraction of the run that transited the fishery to date. Total available tags to date entering the fishery will be based on the median travel speed of the tagged fish harvested. This metric (days from tagging site to fishery) will be subtracted from the total tags applied to date at the Kakwan Point tagging site. Openings will be governed by weekly
abundance and AC of large Chinook salmon based on historical weekly run timing. The average run timing of large Chinook salmon observed in the Canadian commercial/assessment fisheries in 2007-2016 will be used.

Should inseason projections warrant a directed harvest, fishers will be permitted one net with a maximum length of 135 m ( $\sim 440 \mathrm{ft}$.); may be deployed as a set net or drift net. The maximum mesh size permitted is 20.4 cm ( $\sim 8.0$ inch). Daily and weekly catches will be collected by a DFO representative on site. The catches will be reported to the Whitehorse office on a daily basis.

The fishing zone is bounded by the international boundary upstream to near the confluence of the Porcupine and Stikine rivers. The Iskut River is open to commercial fishing from its mouth upstream approximately 10 km . The management of the lower river commercial fishery will switch to sockeye salmon at 12:00 noon June 18 (SW25) unless Chinook salmon escapement concerns persist then the initial opening may be delayed for up to a week. Additionally, mesh size restrictions will be adopted, specifically limiting fishers to the use of 14.0 cm ( $\sim 5.5$ inch) mesh size through the Chinook salmon migrational period.

The achievement of escapement objectives is the foremost priority in management considerations. Inriver allocation priority will be to fulfill the food, social and ceremonial requirements of the traditional First Nation fishery. The commercial fisheries, therefore, will be managed to accommodate these fundamental priorities. The area of most intense management will be within the lower Stikine River commercial fishery.

It is anticipated the three primary fishery management responses to inseason Chinook salmon run size projections will include:

1. Adjusting fishing time. Fishing time in the lower Stikine River fishery generally depends upon stock assessment and international and domestic catch allocation considerations. Although the preseason expectation is for a run size capable of providing commercial fishing opportunities, initial fishing periods will likely be of shorter duration due to uncertainty over the preseason run outlook. Once inseason projections become available, caution will be exercised in providing extensions to fishing times.
2. Adjusting the fishing area. Initially, fishing boundary locations will include the Stikine River upstream to near the mouth of the Porcupine River. The section of the Stikine River from the confluence of the Porcupine and Stikine rivers upstream to near the mouth of the Scud River may be opened should the Chinook salmon return arrive in numbers that are well above spawning escapement and First Nation fishery requirements. In the Iskut River, the area will remain unchanged from previous years, i.e. from the mouth to a marker located approximately 10 km upstream from the mouth.
3. Adjusting the quantity of fishing gear. Initially only one drift or set gillnet may be used. Gear may be increased to two gillnets, should an increase in exploitation rate be warranted based on inseason terminal run size estimates. The maximum mesh size permitted is $20.4 \mathrm{~cm}(\sim 8.0 \mathrm{inch})$. The maximum allowable net length will remain at 135 meters ( $\sim 440 \mathrm{ft}$.).

In the upper Stikine River commercial fishery, should inseason run projections warrant a directed Chinook salmon harvest, the fishery will be based on the openings fished in the lower Stikine River commercial fishery, lagged one week. The upper Stikine River fishers are permitted to use one net of the same dimensions as that used by fishers participating in the lower Stikine River commercial fishery as noted above. The fishing zone is bounded in the south by the confluence of the Chutine and Stikine rivers, and in the north by the confluence of the Tuya and Stikine rivers. Daily and weekly catches will be collected by a DFO representative on site. The catches will be reported to the Whitehorse office on a weekly basis (of particular note is historical commercial fishing activity shows that this fishery is largely inactive through till late June, SW26).

As in past years, weekly fishing times in the First Nation fishery will not normally be restricted. In the First Nation fishery, reductions in fishing time would be considered only if no other adjustments could be made in the lower and upper river commercial fisheries and in the recreational fishery. Daily and weekly catches will be collected by a DFO representative on site. The catches will be reported to the Whitehorse office on a weekly basis. Biological sampling to assess age, size, and stock identification will be conducted throughout the course of the fishery. Records will be delivered to DFO in the postseason.

The Stikine Chinook salmon recreational fishery is centred at the Tahltan River near its confluence with the Stikine River. Minor recreational fishing occurs in the mainstem Stikine River as well as the Iskut River. The Tahltan River will be closed to recreational salmon fishing June 01 to August 31. The closure will be in effect until salmon passage has been verified by the recent landslide (2014) located approximately one kilometre upstream from the confluence with the Stikine River. Subject to Chinook salmon escapement concerns, retention of fish over 65 cms may be restricted and the Tahltan River closure could remain in effect to the end of August. Once fish passage has been verified and escapement is not a concern, the Tahltan River will open to recreational salmon fishing except from boundary signs located approximately 400 metres upstream from the Tahltan River bridge to the landslide. Fishers are permitted four Chinook salmon per day, only two of which may be larger than $65 \mathrm{~cm}(\sim 26 \mathrm{in})$ fork length. The possession limit consists of a two-day catch quota. The annual harvest by individual anglers is limited to ten large fish. Fishing activity, including harvest and release records, will be monitored and maintained, opportunistically, by a field technician stationed near the Tahltan River. The technician will also be tasked with the collection of baseline biological data including sex, size, and age of harvested fish as well is the collection and collation of fish tags recovered by the fishery.

## Harvest Information Sharing

The U.S. shall provide catches and effort in the following strata for each SW:

1. District 108 gillnet, sport, and troll fisheries;
2. Stikine River subsistence fishery;
3. test fisheries in District 108

Canada shall provide catch and effort statistics in the following strata for each SW:

1. the lower river commercial fishery (all areas);
2. the lower river commercial fishery located near Flood Glacier (if it opens);
3. the upper river commercial fishery;
4. the First Nation fishery;
5. recreational fishery (season estimate);
6. the lower Stikine River assessment fishery conducted near the international border;
7. ESSR or other terminal fishery catches will be reported as data become available.


Figure 1. U.S. fishing areas adjacent to the Stikine River.

## Stock Assessment Program

Each country shall:

1. provide harvest statistics for the same strata as sockeye salmon are reported;
2. sample its fisheries for: GSI (U.S.), CWT, and spaghetti tags;
3. conduct escapement and stock assessment programs as resources permit (see Appendix A. 1 for projects anticipated to be conducted in 2017).

## Stock Composition of U.S. Harvests

Weekly contribution of above border Stikine River large Chinook salmon harvested in Alaska sport and commercial fisheries will be determined inseason by sampling harvest for CWT and Age, Sex, and Length (ASL). The minimum sampling goal for CWTs is $20 \%$ of the harvest. The weekly sampling goal for ASL and GSI is 80 matched scales, tissue, and lengths with an additional 120 lengths for size composition determination.

Stock composition for sport and commercial harvest will be determined postseason. Tissue samples will be taken from sport and commercially harvested Chinook salmon in District 108 and processed postseason by ADF\&G Gene Conservation Laboratory in Anchorage. Genetic stock identification (GSI) estimates will be used to recalculate contributions of above border Stikine River Chinook salmon in the District 108 sport and commercial fisheries. In the absence of GSI data, CWT data will be used to determine stock composition postseason. Scales will be collected inseason and analyzed postseason to determine the age structure composition of the harvest.

## Stock Composition of Canadian Harvests (lower River commercial fishery)

GSI samples will be collected from both the lower Stikine River and commercial fishery and from tagged fish originating from the Kakwan Point tagging project. These data will be analyzed to determine weekly abundance and run timing of Little Tahltan /Tahltan origin Chinook salmon contrasted with the combined "other" stock groupings. Run timing and abundance of specific stock groupings included in the "other" category will also be determined. It is expected that these analyses will be conducted in 2017. Scales will be collected inseason and analyzed postseason to determine the age structure composition of the harvest. A minimum of $50 \%$ of the harvest will be sampled for CWT marked fish.

## Sockeye Salmon

## Stock Definitions

Stikine River sockeye salmon are for research, management, and monitoring purposes, subdivided into four stock groups: 1) wild Tahltan stock, which are those fish originating from naturally spawning sockeye salmon in Tahltan Lake; 2) enhanced Tahltan stock, which are those fish originating from broodstock collected at Tahltan Lake and are subsequently back-planted as fry into Tahltan Lake; 3) Tuya stock, which are those fish originating from broodstock collected at Tahltan Lake and are subsequently planted as fry into Tuya Lake; and 4) mainstem stock, which are all other natural sockeye salmon populations in the Stikine River. For management purposes, collective wild and enhanced Tahltan Lake stocks are referred to as "total Tahltan stock", or sometimes, just "Tahltan stock."

## Preseason Forecast

For 2017, the terminal run ${ }^{4}$ outlook for Stikine sockeye salmon is 185,000 fish, which constitutes an above average run size. For comparison, the recent 10 -year average total Stikine sockeye salmon run size is approximately 168,000 fish. The 2017 forecast includes approximately 58,000 wild Tahltan ( $31 \%$ ), 52,000 enhanced Tahltan ( $28 \%$ ), 24,000 enhanced Tuya ( $13 \%$ ), and 51,000 mainstem sockeye salmon ( $28 \%$ ).

The 2017 overall Stikine River sockeye salmon prediction is based on the following components:

1. an outlook of approximately 110,000 Tahltan wild + enhanced sockeye salmon of which 52,000 are expected from the enhancement project, and 58,000 are expected from natural spawners. This outlook is based solely on the recent five year average survival of age 2 and 3 -year old smolts emigrating from Tahltan Lake in 2014 and 2015 respectively. In light of the poor performance of the sibling forecast since 2007 it was decided to forego incorporating this forecast in favour of using the smolt forecast, which has shown to be more accurate than the sibling forecast;
2. an outlook of approximately 24,000 Tuya sockeye salmon, which is based on recent 5 -year average agespecific fry-to-adult survival data for Tuya sockeye salmon (age $4=0.5 \%$, age $5=1.7 \%$, age $6=0.2 \%$ );
3. an outlook of approximately 51,000 mainstem sockeye salmon based on the average of a sibling-based prediction $\left(\mathrm{N}_{\text {(total) }}=4.342^{*} \mathrm{n}_{\text {age- }-4(\mathrm{y}-1 \mathrm{l}}+33,015 *\right.$ forecast error $\left.=0.79\right)$ of 45,819 fish and a stockrecruitment outlook of 56,894 sockeye salmon.

Due to fluctuations in survival for Stikine River sockeye salmon, there is a high level of uncertainty in the preseason outlooks. There have been wide discrepancies between past forecasts and postseason run size estimates. The performance of the preseason forecasts relative to final postseason estimates is summarized in Table 3. Despite problems with preseason forecasting, the outlooks are useful when used in concert with catch performance (CPUE) for management until inseason data becomes available for inseason run size projections.

[^2]Table 3. Stikine River sockeye salmon preseason run forecasts and the postseason run size estimates from 1983 to 2016, and the 2017 preseason run forecast. The preseason forecast is based on combination of sibling, smolt and stock-recruitment forecast methods. The forecast expressed as $\%$ deviation from the postseason run size estimate. Negative numbers indicate the forecast was lower than the actual run size.

| Year | Preseason <br> forecast | Postseason run size | Forecast <br> performance | Absolute deviation | Absolute $\%$ <br> deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 | 62,900 | 77,457 | $19 \%$ | 14,557 | $19 \%$ |
| 1984 | 37,500 | 83,961 | $55 \%$ | 46,461 | $55 \%$ |
| 1985 | 91,000 | 214,494 | $58 \%$ | 123,494 | $58 \%$ |
| 1986 | 262,000 | 75,456 | $-247 \%$ | 186,544 | $247 \%$ |
| 1987 | 114,000 | 43,350 | $-163 \%$ | 70,650 | $163 \%$ |
| 1988 | 123,500 | 45,096 | $-174 \%$ | 78,404 | $174 \%$ |
| 1989 | 80,500 | 90,549 | $11 \%$ | 10,049 | $11 \%$ |
| 1990 | 94,000 | 67,384 | $-39 \%$ | 26,616 | $39 \%$ |
| 1991 | 94,000 | 151,437 | $38 \%$ | 57,437 | $38 \%$ |
| 1992 | 127,338 | 231,936 | $45 \%$ | 104,598 | $45 \%$ |
| 1993 | 135,000 | 280,730 | $52 \%$ | 145,730 | $52 \%$ |
| 1994 | 312,000 | 208,036 | $-50 \%$ | 103,964 | $50 \%$ |
| 1995 | 169,000 | 218,728 | $23 \%$ | 49,728 | $23 \%$ |
| 1996 | 329,000 | 372,785 | $12 \%$ | 43,785 | $12 \%$ |
| 1997 | 211,000 | 226,915 | $7 \%$ | 15,915 | $7 \%$ |
| 1998 | 218,500 | 121,448 | $-80 \%$ | 97,052 | $80 \%$ |
| 1999 | 126,000 | 124,644 | $-1 \%$ | 1,356 | $1 \%$ |
| 2000 | 138,000 | 78,504 | $-76 \%$ | 59,496 | $76 \%$ |
| 2001 | 113,000 | 127,255 | $11 \%$ | 14,255 | $11 \%$ |
| 2002 | 80,000 | 79,329 | $-1 \%$ | 671 | $1 \%$ |
| 2003 | 184,000 | 240,977 | $24 \%$ | 56,977 | $24 \%$ |
| 2004 | 289,500 | 311,987 | $7 \%$ | 22,487 | $7 \%$ |
| 2005 | 477,120 | 259,932 | $-84 \%$ | 217,188 | $84 \%$ |
| 2006 | 179,178 | 268,585 | $33 \%$ | 89,407 | $33 \%$ |
| 2007 | 233,600 | 197,786 | $-18 \%$ | 35,814 | $18 \%$ |
| 2008 | 228,600 | 120,209 | $-90 \%$ | 108,391 | $90 \%$ |
| 2009 | 274,500 | 185,275 | $-48 \%$ | 89,225 | $48 \%$ |
| 2010 | 187,700 | 157,001 | $-20 \%$ | 30,699 | $20 \%$ |
| 2011 | 183,000 | 213,399 | $14 \%$ | 30,399 | $14 \%$ |
| 2012 | 134,000 | 124,540 | $-8 \%$ | 9,460 | $8 \%$ |
| 2013 | 136,000 | 113,515 | $-20 \%$ | 22,485 | $20 \%$ |
| 2014 | 152,300 | 153,323 | $1 \%$ | 1,023 | $1 \%$ |
| 2015 | 171,200 | 174,292 | $2 \%$ | 3,092 | $2 \%$ |
| 2016 | 223,000 | 247,892 | $10 \%$ | 24,892 | $10 \%$ |
| 2017 | 185,000 |  |  |  |  |
| $1983-2016$ | 175,645 | 167,300 | $-21 \%$ | 58,750 | $87 \%$ |
| $2007-2016$ | 192,390 | 168,723 | $-125 \%$ | 35,748 | $165 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

The 2017 sockeye run outlook is characterized as above average. The preseason forecast translates into an expected TAC of 126,400 Stikine River sockeye salmon. Of this approximately 1,800 sockeye salmon are expected to be harvested in test fisheries (stock assessment), leaving approximately 124,600 fish to be shared 50:50 between Canada and the U.S., (i.e. 62,300 fish to each country, excluding terminal Tuya harvest in Canada). The TAC outlook is comprised of the following components:

1. a forecasted TAC of 84,979 (total TAC of 85,579 fish minus test harvest of 600 fish) Tahltan sockeye salmon with a maximum exploitation rate of 0.78 at the predicted run size of 109,579 fish and an escapement target of 24,000 fish;
2. a forecasted TAC of 18,306 ( 23,982 run size $* 0.78$ exploitation rate -400 test fish) Tuya sockeye salmon. Since Tuya River sockeye are entirely enhanced with no escapement goal, the maximum exploitation rate is based on Tahltan sockeye salmon and is estimated to be 0.78. This leaves a predicted 5,276 fish surplus for the Tuya stock which potentially would be available for Canadian harvest in the Tuya River or other terminal areas;
3. a forecasted TAC of 20,557 (total TAC of 21,357 fish minus test harvest of 800 fish) mainstem sockeye salmon, which is based on an escapement target of 30,000 spawners and the expected run size of 51,357 fish.

## Spawning Escapement Goals

Escapement goals have been established by the TTC for two Stikine River sockeye salmon stock groups; Tahltan stock group and mainstem stock group. Tahltan and mainstem stocks are considered to be independent. Surpluses or deficits in escapement in one stock are not used to balance deficits or surpluses in the other. The Tuya stock, which is enhanced and has no access to spawning or rearing grounds, has an escapement goal of zero. In practice, since the Tahltan and Tuya stocks co-mingle and have the similar migratory timing and distribution, the harvest rate on Tuya fish in traditional fisheries should not exceed that which can be sustained by the Tahltan fish so as not to over harvest the latter stock.

Escapement goals have been established as ranges which reflect biological data and professional judgment regarding stock productivity, the ability of existing management systems to attain established goals, the precision of estimates of escapement generated by stock assessment programs, and the degree of risk considered acceptable.

Subjective management categories have been defined for various escapement ranges. A postseason estimate of escapement that falls within the Green Management Category shall be considered fully acceptable; one that falls within the Yellow Management Category shall be considered acceptable, but not desired; and one that falls within the Red Management Category shall be considered undesirable. The escapement goal ranges by management category represent the best judgment of desired escapement levels.

Tahltan Stock
In 1993, the TTC established an escapement goal of 24,000 fish for the Tahltan stock (Wood et. al., unpublished data), which takes into account an escapement of 20,000 naturally spawning fish and up to 4,000 fish needed for broodstock to meet objectives of the Canada/U.S. Stikine River enhancement program.

Escapement goal ranges for the various management categories for the Tahltan stock are:

|  | TARGET $=24 \mathrm{k}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Escapement | $0-13 \mathrm{k}$ | $13 \mathrm{k}-18 \mathrm{k}$ | $18 \mathrm{k}-30 \mathrm{k}$ | $30 \mathrm{k}-45 \mathrm{k}$ | $>45 \mathrm{k}$ |
| Mgmt. Category | Red | Yellow | Green | Yellow | Red |

## Mainstem Stock

Escapement goal ranges for the various management categories for the mainstem stock are:

|  | TARGET $=30 \mathrm{k}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Escapement | $0-15 \mathrm{k}$ | $15 \mathrm{k}-20 \mathrm{k}$ | $20 \mathrm{k}-40 \mathrm{k}$ | $40 \mathrm{k}-75 \mathrm{k}$ | $>75 \mathrm{k}$ |
| Mgmt. Category | Red | Yellow | Green | Yellow | Red |

## Data Exchange

The following data for the Tahltan sockeye salmon stock will be collected and exchanged for use in evaluating escapement goals:

1. spawning escapements, separated by wild and enhanced components;
2. smolt production, separated by wild and enhanced components;
3. stock-specific harvests in the various fisheries.

The following relationships for the Tahltan stock will be examined:

1. terminal run as a function of spawning escapement level;
2. smolt production as a function of the number of natural spawners and enhanced fry;
3. adult production as a function of the number of smolts;
4. terminal run as a function of the return of age-4 sockeye salmon in the previous year;
5. relationship between the terminal run estimates to patterns of distribution and timing. This will include comparisons of various estimates (Stikine River sockeye forecast models, test fishing vs. commercial fishing CPUE, different stock ID results).

The following data for the mainstem stock will be collected and exchanged for use in evaluating escapement goals:

1. survey counts and escapement estimates based on reconstructions of inriver runs apportioned by stock ID data;
2. mainstem stock component of harvests from the various fisheries;
3. inventory and assessment data regarding historical run patterns of distribution, abundance, and timing of spawning fish.

The following relationships for the mainstem stock will be examined:

1. total escapement as a function of survey counts of escapement;
2. terminal run as a function of total spawning escapements;
3. terminal run as a function of the return of age-4 sockeye salmon in the previous year;
4. relationship of terminal run estimates to patterns of distribution and timing. This will include comparisons of various estimates (Stikine River sockeye salmon forecast models, aerial surveys, test fishing vs. commercial fishing CPUE, different stock ID results, etc.).

The following data for the Tuya sockeye salmon stock will be collected and exchanged for use in evaluating adult returns:

1. escapement estimates generated from stock ID, CPUE, and inriver run estimates;
2. number of enhanced fry;
3. stock specific harvests in the various fisheries.

The following relationships for the Tuya stock will be examined:

1. adult production as a function of the number of fry planted;
2. terminal run as a function of the return of age-4 sockeye salmon in the previous year;
3. the relationship of terminal run estimates to patterns of distribution and timing. This will include comparisons of various estimates (Stikine River sockeye salmon forecast models, test fishing vs. commercial fishing CPUE, different stock ID results, etc.).

## Harvest Sharing Objectives

Pacific salmon harvest sharing provisions were renegotiated by the PSC in January 2008 for the period 2009 through 2018. Stock assessment and harvest arrangements for Stikine sockeye salmon stocks are found in Annex IV, Chapter 1, of the PST and Appendix to Annex IV, Chapter 1 entitled "Understanding on the Joint Enhancement of Transboundary River Sockeye Salmon Stocks".

Management plans for the 2017 Stikine River harvest outline the TAC of Stikine River sockeye salmon, both natural and enhanced, to be shared 50/50 between the Parties in existing, i.e. customary, fisheries. If the existing fisheries do not manage to harvest the entire TAC, Canada will be allowed to harvest those fish in excess to naturally spawning and broodstock needs for Tahltan and Tuya stocks. Through 2018, the harvest sharing provision will be predicated upon carrying out agreed enhancement activities contributing to years 2014-2018. This information will be documented in annual Stikine Enhancement Production Plans (SEPP) (see Annex IV, Chapter 1 (3) (a) (1) (iii) of the PST)(Appendix B. 4.).

## Management Procedures

## United States

Commercial drift gillnet fisheries occur in the waters of northern Clarence Strait and Sumner Strait of District 106 and in the waters surrounding the terminus of the Stikine River in District 108 (Figure 1). Due to their close proximity, management of these areas is interrelated, resulting in some major stocks being subject to harvest in both areas. Two distinct management areas exist within each district: Frederick Sound (Section 8-A) and Wrangell (Section 8-B) portions of District 108, and the Sumner Strait (Subdistricts 106-41/42) and Clarence Strait (Subdistrict 106-30) portions of District 106. Fishing gear used in Districts 106 and 108 are similar; with common sockeye net sizes ranging between 5 and $51 / 2$ inches ( $130-140 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Both districts will be managed in accordance with the current Transboundary Rivers Annex of the PST.

The sockeye salmon season could open by regulation as early as 12:00 noon on Monday, June 12 (SW24). However, with an expected poor return of Stikine River Chinook salmon, conservation measures will likely be in place for the start of the sockeye salmon fishery. Conservation measures include, delaying the start of the sockeye salmon fishery by one week, implementing a six inch maximum mesh size, limiting fishing time, and reducing fishing area. The initial opening is expected to be 48 hours in Districts 106 and 108, but may be up to 72 hours based on expected or observed effort and the latest inseason run size estimate for Stikine River Chinook salmon. During the first few weeks of the sockeye salmon fishery, any extended fishing time or midweek openings will be based on the preseason forecasts, harvest estimates, and stock proportion data. Subsequent openings, fishery extensions, or midweek openings will be based primarily on inseason estimates produced by the SMM and other agreed upon methods for the remainder of the sockeye salmon season.

Due to the expected return of Tahltan Lake and mainstem sockeye salmon, fishing time may be similar to 2016 and be more liberal than recent years. If the Tahltan Lake component of the run appears to be weaker than forecasted, a more conservative management approach may limit fishing time in District 108 and fishery extensions in District 106 would likely not occur. In the event that inseason estimates of mainstem sockeye salmon fall below expectations, more conservative management actions may be needed during SWs 29-32. If management actions are taken to conserve mainstem sockeye salmon, they will occur in District 108 and midweek fishing extensions would likely not occur.

Pink salmon typically begin entering District 106 in significant numbers by the third or fourth week of July. Management emphasis will transition to from sockeye to pink salmon the first week of August. In 2017, the Southeast Alaska pink salmon harvest is forecasted to be 43 million fish, which is above the 10 -year average (2007-2016) of 39 million fish. Early portions of the pink salmon fishery will be managed primarily by fishery performance. By early to mid-August, pink salmon destined for local systems will begin to enter the fishery in greater numbers and at that time management will be based on observed local escapements. If escapements are not evenly dispersed throughout the district, area and/or time restrictions may be necessary.

Chum salmon are not managed directly by the department in Districts 106 and 108 and are harvested incidentally while targeting other species. Interest in harvesting chum salmon has increased in recent years due to good market conditions and hatchery chum salmon production. Hatchery produced chum salmon returning to Anita Bay are intercepted by gillnet fishermen in both districts. Chum salmon returns to Anita Bay this season are expected to be lower in abundance when compared to 2016 with a forecasted total run of 240,500 fish. In recent years, chum salmon returning to Anita Bay have attracted greater fishing effort in the lower sections of District 108 near Anita Bay throughout the month of July and this trend is expected to continue in 2017. However, management actions in District 108 are based solely on Stikine River sockeye salmon stocks during this period.

Drift gillnet openings throughout the sockeye salmon season will begin Sunday at noon, with the exception of SWs 24-25, which will commence at noon on Monday. Announcements for drift gillnet openings throughout Southeast Alaska are made on Thursday afternoons. Announcements for any fishery extensions, or midweek openings, will be made on the fishing grounds by 10:00 a.m. of the last day of the regularly scheduled fishing period.

A U.S. Stikine River subsistence fishery for sockeye salmon will occur from June 19 to July 31 with a guideline harvest level of 600 fish. A subsistence permit issued by the USFS to federally qualified subsistence users is required to fish in the Stikine River. Permit restrictions include: restricting fishing area to upriver from tidal waters to the U.S./Canadian border; prohibiting fishing in tributaries or at stock assessment sites used by ADF\&G and DFO; and restricting fishing gear to dipnets, spears, gaffs, rod and reel, beach seine, or gillnets not exceeding 15 fathoms ( 27.4 m ) in length with mesh size no larger than $51 / 2$ inches ( 14.0 cm ). Additionally, subsistence fishermen are required to check gillnets twice a day. The subsistence fishery is monitored inseason by USFS biologists who will provide weekly estimates of harvest and effort to ADF\&G.

ADF\&G manages a subsistence drift gillnet fishery targeting sockeye salmon in the waters of Sumner Strait near Point Baker that harvest an unknown number of Stikine River sockeye salmon will occur again in 2017. Waters of Sumner Strait permitted for this subsistence fishery are within three nautical miles of the Prince of Wales Island shoreline north of "Hole-in-the-Wall" at $56^{\circ} 15.69^{\prime}$ N. lat. and west of the longitude of the western entrance to Buster Bay at $133^{\circ} 29.0^{\prime}$ W. long. Only Alaska residents may participate in this fishery and will open each week from Wednesday noon through Sunday noon from June 14 through July 30 with a limit of 25 sockeye salmon per household per year. Drift gillnet restrictions include a maximum net length of 50 fathoms ( 91.4 m ). Harvests for the past five years have ranged up to 31 sockeye salmon with two to three permits fished. It is anticipated that fewer than 100 sockeye salmon will be harvested in this fishery in 2017. Due to the low effort and harvest in the Point Baker subsistence fishery, the potential interception of Stikine River sockeye salmon is negligible.

## Canada

The Canadian lower Stikine River commercial fishery (Figure 2) will be managed on a weekly basis with management actions driven by results of stock, catch, and escapement projections derived from the SMM, inriver catch performance compared to historical catch performance and run size and water levels, and inseason escapement monitoring projects. Weekly inputs to the model will include: effort and catch data from Alaska District 106 and 108 gillnet fisheries; catch, effort and inseason stock composition data from the Canadian lower Stikine River commercial and test fisheries; and escapement requirements.

It is anticipated that the management of the lower river commercial fishery will switch from Chinook to sockeye salmon at 1200 hrs June 18 (SW25) for an initial 24-hour period. Subject to Chinook salmon escapement concerns, the start of the sockeye salmon fishery may be delayed by a week. Consideration for Tahltan Lake sockeye salmon stock management objectives will likely persist through July 22 (SW29). Thereafter, management attention will be focused primarily on mainstem sockeye salmon stock objectives. Actual time frames of responses to specific stock compositions may be fine-tuned inseason according to the weekly results of the stock ID program.

The achievement of escapement objectives is the foremost priority in management considerations. Inriver allocation priority will be to fulfill the food, social and ceremonial requirements of the traditional First Nation fishery. The commercial fisheries, therefore, will be managed to accommodate these fundamental priorities. The area of most intense management will be within the lower Stikine River commercial fishery.

The three primary fishery management responses to inseason sockeye salmon run size projections will include:

1. Adjusting the fishing time. Fishing time in the lower Stikine River fishery generally depends upon stock assessment and international and domestic catch allocation considerations. Although the preseason expectation is for a run size capable of providing commercial fishing opportunities, initial fishing periods will likely be of shorter duration due to uncertainty over the preseason run outlook. Once inseason projections become available, caution will be exercised in providing extensions to fishing times.
2. Adjusting the fishing area. Initially, fishing boundary locations will extend from the Canada/U.S. boundary upstream to a location near the mouth of the Porcupine River. The area includes the lower 10 km reach of the Iskut River. The section of the Stikine River upstream from the Porcupine-Stikine confluence will be closed for the initial sockeye salmon fishing periods. Consideration for increasing the fishing area upstream to the boundary sign located approximately 9 km below the Stikine-Scud confluence will only be given if the inseason indicators for both Chinook and sockeye salmon indicate a strong run, escapement targets are expected to be exceeded and harvests are below allocation targets. In the Iskut River, the area will remain unchanged from previous years, i.e. from the mouth to a marker located approximately 10 km upstream from the mouth.
3. Adjusting the quantity of fishing gear. Initially, only one net per license will be permitted and may be deployed as a set or drift gillnet. Gear may be increased to two gillnets should an increase in exploitation rate be warranted based on inseason terminal run size estimates. The maximum allowable net length will remain at $135 \mathrm{~m}(\sim 440 \mathrm{ft})$ and, in the absence of a directed Chinook salmon fishery, there will be a maximum mesh size restriction of 14.0 cm ( $\sim 5.5 \mathrm{inch}$ ) throughJuly 22 ( SW 29 ) to conserve Chinook salmon.

In the upper Stikine River commercial fishery, the sockeye salmon fishery will open on June 25 (SW26) subject to Chinook salmon escapement concerns for a 24 -hour period. Thereafter, weekly fishing times will generally follow those of the lower river lagged by one week. Management regimes designed to reduce exploitation include reducing weekly fishing times and reducing gear from two nets to one net.

As in past years, weekly fishing times in the First Nation fishery are not expected to be restricted. Subject to conservation requirements, terminal catches in the lower Tuya River and/or at Tahltan Lake may occur under ESSR or other authorizations. In the First Nation fishery, reductions in fishing time would be considered only if no other adjustments could be made in the lower and upper river commercial fisheries.

## Summary

Attainment of escapement goals for both the Tahltan Lake and mainstem sockeye salmon stocks is the primary objective of Stikine River sockeye salmon management. Harvest sharing will be based upon the TAC projections derived primarily from the SMM as outlined in the PST. In addition, other methods of estimating run sizes may be used in conjunction with the SMM with consultation between managers. Other factors that may influence harvest management include results from inseason escapement projections, e.g. projected Tahltan Lake weir counts and water levels. The TAC estimates will likely change from week to week as the SMM updates the projected run sizes from the cumulative CPUE's each week. Variations in the TAC estimates will likely be larger early in the season when CPUE is high, than later in the season. Management actions will reflect these week-toweek changes in the TAC estimates. Fishery managers from both countries will have weekly contact in order to evaluate the output from the SMM and other stock assessment tools and to update the outcome of their respective management actions.

## Inseason Data Exchange and Review

Canada and the U.S. will conduct data exchanges by telephone and/or email on Wednesday afternoon or Thursday morning of each week during the fishing season. At that time, current harvest statistics and stock assessment data will be updated, exchanged, and reviewed. Management plans for the next week for each country will be discussed at this time. It is anticipated that additional communications will be required each week. Weekly decision deadlines will be: a) for Districts 106 and 108, 11:00 a.m., Thursday, Alaska Daylight Time; and, b) for the Canadian Stikine River fishery, 10:00 a.m., Friday, Pacific Daylight Time.
DFO field personnel will provide weekly otolith samples from the lower Stikine River commercial and test fisheries for pick-up by ADF\&G; or, the otoliths may be delivered to Wrangell via select commercial fishers Tuesday each week for processing and analysis in Juneau. Results from preliminary analysis can be expected by Thursday of the current week.

## Stock Assessment Program

This section summarizes agreements regarding the data which will be collected by each Party and, when appropriate, procedures that will be used for analysis.

## Sockeye Salmon Harvest Statistics

The U.S. shall provide harvest and effort by SW in the following strata:

1. Subdistricts 106-41/42 (Sumner Strait);
2. Subdistrict 106-30 (Clarence Strait);
3. District 108 ;
4. Stikine River subsistence fishery.

Canada shall provide harvest and effort by SW in the following strata:

1. lower river commercial fishery (all areas);
2. lower river commercial fishery located near Flood Glacier (if it opens);
3. upper river commercial fishery;
4. First Nation fishery;
5. lower Stikine River test fishery conducted near the international border;
6. ESSR or other terminal fishery catches will be reported as data become available.


Figure 2. $\quad$ The Stikine River and Canadian fishing areas.

## Inseason Stock Assessment

## Stock Composition of U.S. Harvests

The District 108 and subdistricts 106-41/42 and 106-30 drift gillnet fisheries sockeye salmon harvest will be sampled weekly to obtain matched genetic tissue, otolith, and ASL samples; 300 samples per week in Subdistricts 106-41/42 and 106-30 and 520 samples per week in District 108. Otolith samples collected inseason will be sent to the ADF\&G Mark, Tag, and Age Laboratory in Juneau to be processed and analyzed, within two days of the end of the fishing period, for contributions of enhanced Tahltan, Tuya, Taku, and U.S. sockeye salmon. The proportions of enhanced fish and U.S. harvest data will be portions of the data in the weekly Stikine Management Models to estimate Stikine River inriver and terminal run estimates.

Postseason GSI analysis will be used to estimate final contributions of Tahltan and mainstem sockeye salmon stocks to the harvests made each week in District 108 and Subdistrict 106-41/42 and 106-30. Enhanced Tahltan and Tuya stocks will be determined through otolith analysis. U.S. subsistence sockeye salmon harvest stock composition analysis will be based on postseason estimates of the Canadian lower Stikine River commercial fishery.

## Stock Composition of the Inriver Canadian Catch

Egg diameter data is used to estimate Tahltan/ Tuya stock versus the mainstem stock contributions to the sockeye salmon harvest. Tahltan/Tuya fish generally have smaller diameter eggs ( $<3.7 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) compared to mainstem fish. In addition both enhanced Tahltan and Tuya components will be determined from the analysis of otolith samples collected each week.

In the lower Stikine River commercial fishery, sockeye salmon harvest will be sampled weekly to obtain a total of 400 samples; 200 targeted and 200 random samples. The targeted samples include 150 matched egg diameter, otolith, and ASL samples from female fish and 50 matched otolith and ASL samples from male fish. The random samples include 200 ASL samples. ADF\&G will analyze the thermal marks from a subsample of at least 60 fish each week. Arrangements will be made to ensure timely transfer of samples and notification of results for use in management decisions no later than the week following when the samples are collected. As stated above, weekly pickup/delivery times for the otolith samples from the river will be on Tuesday, unless otherwise agreed. In addition, up to 200 GSI samples will be collected each week for future stock composition analysis. If samples are not available in August due to lack of fishing effort, samples may be augmented from the test fishery.

In the upper Stikine River fishing area, annually up to 600 sockeye salmon will be sampled for age, sex, length, egg diameters, and otoliths from the combined commercial and First Nation fisheries.

## Stock Composition and Run Timing in the Canadian Test Fishery

The proportions of Tahltan/Tuya and mainstem sockeye salmon in test fishery harvest in the lower Stikine River will be estimated inseason in a similar manner to the commercial fishery. Up to 400 fish harvested in the test fishery per week will be sampled for scales, sex, length, and otoliths. All female samples will include matched egg diameter, otolith, and ASL data. The test fishery otolith samples will be transferred to ADF\&G, as per the arrangements made for the commercial samples, for inseason analysis. Additionally, up to 200 samples per week will be collected for GSI analysis.

The postseason sockeye salmon stock composition estimates will be based on egg diameter, otolith, and ASL analyses. Similar to the commercial fishery, the enhanced portion of the harvest will be determined postseason from otolith samples.

## Spawning Escapement Estimates

An adult enumeration weir will be used to estimate the Tahltan Lake sockeye salmon escapement. The age composition will be estimated from scale samples, and contributions of enhanced sockeye salmon will be determined from otolith samples. Approximately 800 fish will be sampled during the season for scales, length and sex; as well 400 otolith samples will be taken at the weir (subject to conservation concerns) and 400 otolith samples will be taken from the broodstock.

The mainstem sockeye salmon escapement will be estimated postseason using migratory timing information obtained from CPUE and stock identification data from the commercial and/or test fishery, combined with weekly stock compositions estimated from the commercial and/or test fishery harvest. Aerial surveys of six mainstem sockeye salmon spawning indices will be conducted to serve as ancillary escapement information. The Tuya sockeye salmon escapement will be estimated postseason in a similar way.

Subject to funding, up to 400 Tuya River sockeye salmon will be sampled for age, size and sex composition and otoliths.

## Stikine River Run Estimates

The annual inriver Stikine River run is estimated by dividing the total inriver Tahltan run by the inriver stock composition of the Tahltan stock. The inriver Tahltan stock composition is estimated from analyzing data from samples taken in the lower river commercial harvest and/or the lower river test fishery (drift gillnet and set net). Samples include data on egg diameter (large/small egg; small eggs are Tahltan/Tuya stocks), otolith marks (Tahltan or Tuya), age, and sex. To estimate the total stock composition by commercial and test fishery postseason, separately by fishery all of the variables are used to smooth the data and proportion out the known female stock information from egg diameter and marks to the male portion of the run. Fishery stock composition is then multiplied by the respective harvest to get stock specific harvest, CPUE, and migratory timing.

## Data Evaluation Procedures

## Historical Database

Canadian commercial fishing began in the Stikine River in 1975, but the methodology for estimating sockeye salmon terminal run sizes was not well standardized until 1982. Therefore, estimates of run size after this time are considered to be better than those made prior to 1982. The historical databases from 1979 on for the Canadian lower Stikine River, from 1985 on for Alaskan Subdistricts 106-41/42 commercial fisheries, from 1986-2004 for the Canadian test fishery, from 2002 on for the Subdistrict 106-30 fishery, and from 1986 on for the District 108 fishery will be used in the development of the Stikine Forecast Management Model (SFMM) for 2016 (note: the incomplete fishing pattern and unusual migratory behavior observed in the Canadian Lower Stikine River commercial fishery in some years may preclude the use of the data from those years in the model). The 2016 run size estimated by the model at the end of the fishing season will be replaced in the fall/winter of 2016 using postseason stock composition data for use in the database in future years.

## Management Models: SMM and SFMM

A description of the original Stikine Management model (SMM) is given in the Transboundary Technical Committee Report: TCTR (88)-2, Salmon Management Plan for the Transboundary Rivers, 1988. Many subtle
changes have been made in the model since that documentation was written and a new documentation is in progress. The purpose of the model is to aid managers in making weekly harvest decisions to meet U.S./Canada treaty obligations for harvest sharing and conservation of Stikine sockeye salmon.

The SMM prediction model, based on the relationship between inriver cumulative CPUE and inriver run size along with the weekly run fraction (based on the cumulative CPUE in the District 108 fishery) and cumulative harvest in District 108, is updated to make weekly inseason predictions of the total terminal run size and the TAC. First, a separate linear regression is used to predict inriver run size using cumulative CPUE from the inriver fishery for each week of the fishery beginning in SW27 (using cumulative CPUE from SW24-26). If the inriver run abundance is expected to be below average (low), the intercept in the linear regression is forced to be zero. Second, to estimate the terminal run, the projected inriver run is added to the projected total season harvest of Stikine River sockeye salmon in District 108. The projected harvest in District 108 is based on an assumed $90 \%$ contribution of Stikine River sockeye salmon to the cumulative harvest expanded by historical run timing, and the projected District 106 harvest is based on the assumption that $10 \%$ of the terminal run will be harvested in District 106. Therefore, the terminal run projection is the sum of the projection for the inriver run and the projection of the District 108 cumulative harvest expanded by historical run timing and then multiplied by $1 / 0.9$.

The SMM also estimates the stock proportions in District 106 and 108 harvests, from historical postseason scale pattern analysis (SPA) into triggers of run size for Tahltan and Mainstem; the averages used each week depended upon whether the run was judged to be below average ( $0-40,000$ ), average ( $40,000-80,000$ ), or above average $(+80,000)$. The SMM for 2015 is based on CPUE data from 1994 to 2013 from the Alaska District 106 fishery and the Canadian commercial fishery in the lower river and from the lower Stikine River test fishery from 1986 to 2004. The enhanced Tuya and Tahltan stock proportions are adjusted inseason based on the analysis of otolith samples taken in Districts 106 and 108.

The inriver CPUE from 1994-2000, 2004-2011 (excluding the upper fishing area harvests and when additional nets were introduced into the fishery), is standardized, depending on the management regime expected to be in place, to ensure the annual CPUE values are comparable. The historical CPUE values will reflect those of a one net regime; model inputs of the CPUE from the lower river commercial fishery will be adjusted accordingly depending on whether one or two nets are being fished. If the management regime permits two nets and a fishing zone extended upstream to the mouth of the Flood River, as occurred in 2003-2009, the model will use adjusted data for the lower Stikine River commercial CPUE which will exclude harvest and effort data from the Flood Glacier area, i.e. the extended fishing area fished during 1997-2000, 2004-2007, and 2009. In addition, the weekly CPUE data from 1994-2000, 2005-2009 (excluding the Flood area CPUE data) is decreased by $25 \%$ to account for the extra gear allowed during this period. This makes the historical CPUE data comparable with the 2016 data.

Four sets of CPUE data have been used to predict the terminal run. These include:

1. The Subdistrict 106-41/42 cumulative CPUE of Stikine sockeye salmon stocks;
2. The District 108 cumulative CPUE of Stikine sockeye salmon stocks;
3. The lower Stikine River commercial CPUE of Stikine sockeye salmon stocks;
4. The lower river test fishery cumulative CPUE.

For 2016, along with the SMM prediction model, the SFMM preliminary prediction model will be updated to make weekly inseason predictions of the total terminal run size and the TAC. The SFMM gives six estimates of run size compared to three estimates given by the SMM. The first four inseason terminal run size estimates of the SFMM (Model1-Model4) all have the same second order polynomial regression model structure,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{Z}_{i, j}=\alpha+\beta_{1} X_{i-1, j 1}+\beta_{2} X_{i-1, j 1}^{2}+\sum_{i=26}^{36} \gamma_{i}\left(D_{i}\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this model structure, $\hat{Z}$ is the predicted terminal run size estimated from data source $j$ and for time period $i$, $\alpha$ is the intercept for SW 25, $\beta$ is the slope of the regression line, $\gamma$ is the adjustment to the intercept based on the SW of the prediction ( $i=26-36$ ), and $X$ is data from data source $j$ through time period $i-1$. The four data sources for the inseason model are: (1) cumulative commercial harvest of Subdistrict 106-41/42 through SWs $i-1$; (2) cumulative commercial harvest of the District 108 primary sockeye salmon harvest area through SWs $i-1$; (3) cumulative commercial CPUE of Subdistrict 106-41/42 through SWs $i-1$; or (4) cumulative commercial CPUE of the District 108 sockeye salmon area through SWs $i-1$.

Similar to the SMM model structure, Model5 and Model6 have the model structure,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{Z}_{i, j}=\frac{I_{i, k}+\left(X_{i-1, j} / Y_{i-1}\right)}{0.9} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is the projected inriver run estimate by model $k$ for time period $i$ added to the data from data source $j$ through the time period $i-1(X)$ divided by the cumulative historical run timing through SWs $i-1(Y)$. The data source is the cumulative commercial harvest of the District 108 sockeye salmon area through SWs $i-1$. The projected harvest in the District 108 sockeye salmon area is based on an assumed $90 \%$ contribution of total Stikine sockeye salmon to the cumulative harvest. There were two different inriver models ( $I_{k}$ ). The first inriver model, used for the Model5 terminal run size prediction, is based on an ANCOVA model,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{I}_{i, j}=\alpha+\beta_{1} X_{i-1,1}+\sum_{i=27}^{36} \gamma_{i}\left(D_{i}\right)+\sum_{i=27}^{36} \delta_{1 i}\left(X_{i-1,1} D_{i}\right), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X$ is cumulative inriver commercial harvest through SWs $i-1$ and $\delta$ is an interaction term. The second inriver model, used for the Model6 terminal run size prediction, is a second order polynomial regression model using cumulative CPUE of the lower inriver commercial fishery through SWs $i-1$ (similar to equation 1 ).

The 2016 inseason predictions of abundance and TAC will be based on the following datasets:

1. Management actions in SW24-25 (possibly SW26-27) will be based on the preseason forecast.
2. The forecasts for SW27-30 will be based on the SMM with consideration given to the preliminary SFMM produced forecasts.
3. After SW30, the management models will continue to be updated using the cumulative harvest data from Subdistrict 106-41/42 fishery data; however, run projections tend to be less reliable after SW30 and will be viewed accordingly.
4. Historical timing data will be used to provide weekly guideline harvests for each country.
5. Weekly management decisions may include other considerations such as:
a. The lower river commercial CPUE of the Tahltan Lake stock grouping may be used to calculate inriver run size by a linear regression equation independent of the model. The run size of the Tuya and mainstem stock grouping will be determined based on the proportion of the CPUE of these stock groupings in the current SW and expanded by run timing (note: water levels and associated changes in exploitation rates will be monitored and used in assessing the run size);
b. The current week's inriver run size of Tahltan Lake sockeye salmon may be calculated based on the estimated harvest rate in the lower Stikine River commercial fishery expanded by run timing. The harvest rate is estimated based on the historical relationship between effort and inriver run size. The run size projections for the Tuya and mainstem stock groupings will be determined based on the proportion of the CPUE of these stock groupings through the current SW and expanded by run timing (note: water levels and associated changes in exploitation rates will be monitored and used in assessing the run size);
c. Harvest rates in existing fisheries compared to historical averages, run sizes, and water levels;
d. Comparison of current year inriver harvest performance by stock grouping against past harvest performance and run size, and perceived changes in current year run timing information from the run timing regime identified in the management models.

Separate projections of terminal run size will be made for the combined Stikine sockeye salmon stocks (wild plus enhanced), the Tahltan Lake stock (wild plus enhanced), the enhanced Tuya stock, and the mainstem stock. This information will be used inseason to assist in fisheries management and postseason will be evaluated along with other measures of abundance.

The part of the models which determines total and weekly TAC levels for the U.S. and Canadian fisheries has been formulated in EXCEL® for use by managers inseason. This part of the model uses the coefficients from the linear regression model, the established escapement goals, and PST harvest sharing provisions to determine the TAC for each country. Estimates of weekly TAC and effort are provided as guidelines for the managers and are derived from the 1986-2011 average run timing of the stocks and the corresponding average CPUE levels of each fishery.

Inseason Use
For 2016, the models predictions will set the TAC levels; however, additional information may be used to calculate run size to inform decisions regarding fishery openings. The models output will be evaluated and compared with discrepancies from other information available on the run strength (e.g. inriver Tahltan Lake CPUE and water level). The postseason evaluation will be used to improve the SMM and SFMM for the next year.

## Postseason Evaluation

After the fishing season is over, the TTC will evaluate how well the SMM and SFMM performed in predicting the terminal run, where discrepancies occurred, and what might have caused them. The TTC will also determine whether escapement goals were met according to the Spawning Escapement Goals section of this report. Results from the evaluation will be presented in the annual harvest and escapement report prepared by the committee.

## Coho Salmon

## Preseason Forecast

Although annual aerial surveys and past test fishing projects, the latter of which, provided reliable run timing information coupled with "coarse" estimates of coho salmon run size relative to the inriver run size of sockeye salmon, there remains a lack of reliable escapement and marine survival data for Stikine River coho salmon required to generate a sound, defensible outlook.

## Escapement Goal

The interim spawning objective for Stikine coho salmon is 30,000 to 50,000 fish. However, this is not biologically based nor is there an escapement assessment program in place for Stikine coho salmon.

## Harvest Sharing Objectives

The U.S. management intent is to ensure that sufficient coho salmon enter the Canadian section of the Stikine River to meet the agreed spawning objective, plus an annual Canadian harvest of 5,000 coho salmon in a directed coho salmon fishery (PST, Transboundary Rivers, Annex IV, para. 3(a)(2)(ii)).

## Stock Assessment Program

Each country shall:

1. provide harvest statistics for the same strata as sockeye salmon;
2. sample its fisheries for CWT;
3. conduct escapement programs as resources permit.

## Management Procedures

## United States

Drift gillnet fishing for coho salmon will start late August or early September. Alaskan hatcheries contribute substantially to coho salmon harvest in District 106 and 108 fisheries. Inseason estimates from CWT recovery data will be used to identify the hatchery component of the harvest. Only the harvest of wild coho salmon will be used for fishery performance evaluation. If there is a conservation concern for Stikine River coho salmon, the District 108 drift gillnet and troll fisheries will be restricted.

By regulation, coho salmon may not be retained in the salmon troll fishery until June 1. Spring troll fisheries (from the end of the winter fishery to June 30) are managed to target Alaskan hatchery Chinook salmon and must stay within certain Treaty harvest limits adopted by the Alaska Board of Fisheries. Coho salmon are harvested incidentally during the last two weeks of the spring troll fishery and harvests during that time period are typically low. During the summer troll fishery (July 1 to September 30), the salmon troll fishery in District 108 is opened concurrently with drift gillnet fishing. When first opened, the summer fishery targets Chinook and coho salmon. When Chinook salmon harvest goals are reached, the fishery is closed to Chinook salmon retention but remains open to coho salmon retention. The coho salmon season usually remains open through September 20 but may be closed earlier for conservation and/or allocative reasons in July or August. An extension of the coho salmon season to September 30 may occur during years when the department projects escapements will be met.

A U.S. Stikine River subsistence fishery for coho salmon will occur in 2017 from August 1 to October 31 with a guideline harvest level of 400 fish. A subsistence permit issued by the USFS to federally qualified subsistence users is required to fish in the Stikine River. Permit restrictions include: restricting fishing area to upriver from tidal waters to the U.S./Canadian border; prohibiting fishing in tributaries or at stock assessment sites used by ADF\&G and DFO; and restricting fishing gear to dipnets, spears, gaffs, rod and reel, beach seine, or gillnets not exceeding 15 fathoms ( 27.4 m ) in length with mesh size no larger than $51 / 2$ inches ( 14.0 cm ). Subsistence fishermen will be required to check gillnets twice a day. The subsistence fishery is monitored inseason by USFS biologists who will provide weekly estimates of harvest and effort to the ADF\&G.

An Alaska State subsistence fishery, targeting coho salmon, will be conducted again in 2017. Subsistence fishing for coho salmon is permitted in all streams of District 105 north of a line from Pt. Saint Albans to Cape Pole, District 106 west of line from Macnamara Pt. to Mitchell Pt. and west of the longitude of Macnamara Pt., and all of District 107 and District 108 (excluding the Stikine River). Only Alaska residents can participate in the subsistence fishery, which is open from August 16 to October 31 with a limit of 40 coho salmon per household per year.

## Canada

Coho salmon management will commence in SW35 (starting August 27). The fleet is expected to harvest the allocated AC of 5,000 pieces within a two to three week directed coho salmon fishery. Fishers will be permitted the use of one, 135 metres ( $\sim 440 \mathrm{ft}$.) gillnet. The maximum mesh size will be restricted to $20.4 \mathrm{~cm}(\sim 8.0$ inch).The Canadian fishery will be restricted in the event of conservation concerns.

## TAKU RIVER

## Chinook Salmon

## Preseason Forecast

The bilateral preseason forecast for the Taku River large Chinook salmon terminal run is 13,300 fish. The Taku River Chinook salmon sibling model produced a terminal run size forecast of 18,100 fish. Due to consistent overestimation in recent years, the estimate was discounted using the 5 -year average percentage error of $36 \%$ (based on data available at the time of forecasting). An additional consideration for reducing the model produced forecast is the general poor performance of Chinook salmon stocks throughout Alaska, northern British Columbia, and the Yukon in recent years.

This forecast is based on sibling returns and is below the 10-year average (2007-2016) terminal run of 26,900 large Chinook salmon. The principal brood years contributing to the 2017 Chinook salmon run are 2011 (27,523 large fish spawning escapement), 2012 (19,538 large fish spawning escapement), and 2013 (18,002 large fish spawning escapement). The 2017 preseason forecast is insufficient for directed and assessment fisheries in both the U.S. and Canada.

Table 4. Taku River large Chinook salmon terminal run preseason forecasts versus postseason estimates from 1997 to 2016, and the 2017 preseason forecast. Forecast performance relative to the actual run size determined from postseason run reconstructions. Positive values indicate the forecast was higher than postseason run size estimates; negative values, the forecast was below postseason run size estimates. Adjusted forecast uses 5-year average percentage error.

| Year | Forecast Estimate |  | Postseason Run | Forecast Performance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sibling ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Adjusted |  | Sibling | Adjusted |
| 1997 | 106,103 |  | 126,202 | -16\% |  |
| 1998 | 47,827 |  | 34,916 | 37\% |  |
| 1999 | 24,525 |  | 22,445 | 9\% |  |
| 2000 | 32,130 |  | 41,512 | -23\% |  |
| 2001 | 38,559 |  | 53,390 | -28\% |  |
| 2002 | 39,947 |  | 61,340 | -35\% |  |
| 2003 | 44,166 |  | 42,882 | 3\% |  |
| 2004 | 56,451 |  | 82,681 | -32\% |  |
| 2005 | 99,610 |  | 65,334 | 52\% |  |
| 2006 | 64,150 |  | 61,859 | 4\% |  |
| 2007 | 38,720 |  | 18,650 | 108\% |  |
| 2008 | 39,406 |  | 30,186 | 31\% |  |
| 2009 | 50,164 |  | 35,106 | 43\% |  |
| 2010 | 41,328 |  | 35,784 | 15\% |  |
| 2011 | 40,986 |  | 31,939 | 28\% |  |
| 2012 | 48,036 |  | 23,883 | 101\% |  |
| 2013 | 26,088 | 18,500 | 19,372 | 35\% | -5\% |
| 2014 | 37,936 | 26,781 | 27,227 | 39\% | -2\% |
| 2015 | 36,949 | 26,137 | 32,058 | 15\% | -18\% |
| 2016 | 32,635 | 29,233 | 14,835 | 120\% | 97\% |
| 2017 | 18,126 | 13,307 |  |  |  |

Data source: Preliminary Estimates of Transboundary River Salmon Production, Harvest, and Escapement and a Review of Joint Enhancement Activities in 2016
${ }^{\text {a. }}$ Preseason forecasts of large Chinook salmon terminal run size based on the sibling models; prior to 2005, forecasts were for escapement.

## Escapement Goal

The Taku River large Chinook salmon spawning objective is a range from 19,000 to 36,000 fish (mid eye to fork length of $>659 \mathrm{~mm}$; typically age 5-7) with a MSY point goal of 25,500 fish (McPherson et al 2010).

## Harvest Sharing Objectives

Harvest sharing provisions for Taku River large Chinook salmon are included in Paragraph 3(a) (3) of Annex IV, Chapter 1 of the PST. The catch sharing provisions were developed to acknowledge the traditional catches in fisheries, referred to as base level catches (BLCs), which occurred prior to the new arrangements; these included incidental harvest in Canadian and U.S. commercial gillnet fisheries, U.S. and Canadian sport fisheries, the Canadian First Nation fishery, and the test fishery. For the new directed fisheries, the allowable catch (AC) will be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \text { AC }=\text { Terminal run }- \text { Base Terminal Run (BTR); where } \\
& \text { BTR }=\text { escapement target }+ \text { test fishery BLC }+ \text { U.S. BLC }+ \text { Cdn BLC } \\
& \text { BLCs are as follows: } \\
& \quad-\quad \text { U.S. Taku BLC: 3,500 large Chinook salmon }{ }^{5} \\
& \quad-\quad \text { Canadian Taku BLC: 1,500 large Chinook salmon }{ }^{6} \\
& \quad-\quad \text { Test fishery: 1,400 large Chinook salmon; }
\end{aligned}
$$

Harvest sharing and accounting of the AC is detailed in Table 5.
Table 5. U.S. and Canadian allowable harvests of Taku River large Chinook salmon for directed fisheries.

| Allowable Catch Range |  | Allowable Catch Share |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U.S. |  | Canada |  |
| Lower | Upper | Lower | Upper | Lower | Upper |
| 0 | 5,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,000 |
| 5,001 | 20,000 | 1 | 11,000 | 5,000 | 9,000 |
| 20,001 | 30,000 | 11,001 | 17,500 | 9,000 | 12,500 |
| 30,001 | 50,000 | 17,501 | 30,500 | 12,500 | 19,500 |
| 50,001 | 100,000 | 30,501 | 63,000 | 19,500 | 37,000 |

Within each allowable catch range, each Party's AC will be calculated proportional to where the AC occurs within the range. The TTC has developed a spreadsheet to calculate specific catch shares. The Parties shall determine the domestic allocation of their respective harvest shares.

When the terminal run is insufficient to provide for the Party's Taku River Chinook salmon BLC and the lower end of the escapement goal range, the reductions in each Party's base level fisheries, i.e. the fisheries that contributed to the BLCs, will be proportionate to the BLC shares, excluding the test fishery.

The U.S. catch of the Taku River Chinook salmon AC will not count towards the Southeast Alaska (SEAK) aggregate abundance based management (AABM) allocation (as described in Chapter 3 of the PST). In particular:
a. non-Taku River Treaty Chinook salmon harvested in District 111 will continue to count toward the SEAK AABM harvest limit;
b. the U.S. BLC of Taku River Chinook salmon in District 111 will count toward the SEAK AABM harvest limit;
c. the U.S. catch of Taku River Chinook salmon in District 111 above the U.S. BLC will not count towards the SEAK AABM allocation.

Accounting for the SEAK AABM Chinook salmon catches as pertains to transboundary rivers harvests will continue to be the responsibility of the CTC as modified by (a) through (c) above.

## Management Procedures

[^3]The management coordination between U.S. and Canadian fishery managers will involve weekly communication between designated members or alternates. Canadian and U.S. fishery managers will conduct data exchanges by telephone and/or email on Wednesday afternoon or Thursday morning of each week during the fishing season. At that time, current harvest statistics and stock assessment data including MR data will be updated, exchanged, and reviewed. Management plans for the next week for each country will be discussed at this time. It is anticipated that additional communications will be required each week. Weekly decision deadlines will be: a) for District 111, 11:00 a.m., Thursday, Alaska Daylight Time; i.e. noon Pacific Daylight Time; and, b) for the Canadian Taku River fishery, 10:00 a.m., Friday, Pacific Daylight Time.

The 2009-2018 Taku River Chinook salmon agreement (Paragraph 3(a) (3) of Annex IV, Chapter 1 of the PST) includes the following management details for directed fisheries:

- This agreement shall apply to large (greater than 659 mm mid eye to fork length) Chinook salmon originating in the Taku River.
- Both Parties shall take the appropriate management action to ensure that the necessary escapement goals for Chinook salmon bound for the Canadian portions of the Taku River are achieved. The Parties agree to share in the burden of conservation. Fishing arrangements must take biodiversity and eco-system requirements into account.
- Management of directed fisheries will be abundance-based through an approach developed by the TTC. The Parties agree to implement assessment programs in support of the abundance-based management regime.
- Unless otherwise agreed, directed fisheries on Taku River Chinook salmon will occur only in the Taku River drainage in Canada, and in District 111 in the U.S.
- Management of Taku River Chinook salmon will take into account the conservation of specific stocks or conservation units when planning and prosecuting their respective fisheries. To avoid over-harvesting of specific components of the run, weekly guideline harvests, or other agreed management measures, will be developed by the TTC by apportioning the allowable harvest of each Party over the total Chinook salmon season based on historical weekly run timing.
- The Parties agree to implement through the TTC an agreed Chinook salmon genetic stock identification (GSI) program to assist the management of Taku River Chinook salmon. The Parties agree to continue the development of joint (GSI) baselines.
- The Parties agree to periodically review the above border Taku River Chinook salmon spawning escapement goal which will be expressed in terms of large fish (greater than 659 mm mid eye to fork length).
- A preseason forecast of the Taku River Chinook salmon terminal run ${ }^{7}$ size will be made by the TTC by December 1 of each year.
- Directed fisheries may be implemented based on preseason forecasts only if the preseason forecast terminal run size equals or exceeds the midpoint of the MSY escapement goal range plus the combined

[^4]Canada, U.S., and test fishery base level catches (BLCs) of Taku River Chinook salmon. The preseason forecast will only be used for management until inseason projections become available.

- For the purposes of determining whether to allow directed fisheries using inseason information, such fisheries will not be implemented unless the projected terminal run size exceeds the bilaterally agreed escapement goal point estimate ( $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ ) plus the combined Canada, U.S. and test fishery BLCs of Taku River Chinook salmon. The Committee shall determine when inseason projections can be used for management purposes and shall establish the methodology for inseason projections and update them weekly or at other agreed intervals.
- When the terminal run is insufficient to provide for the Party's Taku River Chinook salmon BLC and the lower end of the escapement goal range, the reductions in each Party's base level fisheries, i.e. the fisheries that contributed to the BLCs, will be proportionate to the Taku River Chinook BLC shares, excluding the test fishery.
- When the escapement of Taku River Chinook salmon is below the lower bound of the agreed escapement range for three consecutive years, the Parties will examine the management of base level fisheries and any other fishery which harvests Taku River Chinook salmon stocks, with a view to rebuilding the escapement.

Inseason estimates of the inriver run will be made using a bilaterally agreed-to sulk rate for tags released in event 1 of the two-event MR program. Sulk rates will be based on the analysis of inseason data. In the event bilateral agreement cannot be reached with respect to the sulk rate, an assumed 10 -day sulk rate will be used. Inseason terminal run projections will be made using average run timing seen in catches at Canyon Island (or other bilaterally agreed-to timing). In addition, the terminal marine harvests will be lagged one week to account for travel time between Taku Inlet and the event 2 sampling area.

For inseason estimates, a valid Petersen will be sought. In the event a valid Petersen is not available, upon agreement, another valid estimate may be used. Should there be no agreement on an alternate valid estimator then the most recent agreed valid estimate will be used. If no agreed-to valid estimate has been generated the preseason forecast will be used.

$$
\left.\mathbf{T R}=\left[\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{t}}+\operatorname{Cus}_{(t-1)}\right) / p_{t}\right)\right]
$$

Where: TR = the projected terminal run of large Chinook salmon for the season;
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}=$ the inriver population estimate from the MR program through week " t ";
Cus $_{t-1}=$ the cumulative U.S. Chinook salmon catch to week " $t-1$ ", i.e. U.S. catch lagged one week to account for migration timing;
$p_{\mathrm{t}} \quad=$ the estimated cumulative proportion of run through to week t determined from the inriver run timing based on historical catch data from Canyon Island. (Both Parties must agree prior to adjusting run timing estimates inseason).

The PST harvest sharing provisions will be applied to the weekly Chinook salmon AC projections to guide the management of the Parties respective commercial fisheries. Run timing will be used to apportion the Parties allowable catches each week to provide guideline harvest levels for use in management. Though not likely to occur in 2017 due to the poor Chinook salmon forecast, test/assessment fisheries will be based on no more than four openings per week and effort will be standardized as best as possible throughout these days.

## United States

The 2017 preseason forecast of 13,300 Taku River large Chinook salmon does not provide an AC for any directed Taku River Chinook salmon fisheries. The U.S. does not anticipate any directed fisheries in 2017 based on recent trends of Taku River Chinook salmon abundance and trends in Chinook salmon abundance throughout Southeast Alaska. Depending on the development of the Taku River Chinook salmon return, Chinook salmon conservation measures including restrictions in time, mesh size ( 6 inch maximum), and area (upper Taku Inlet, Point Bishop and Point Arden closed) may be imposed during the initial weeks of the directed sockeye salmon fishery.

The Chinook salmon sport fishery in District 111 will not be liberalized in 2017 and will have significant area and retention restrictions imposed during the time period when Taku River fish are historically transiting the district.

## Canada

As in past years, restrictions in weekly fishing times in the Canadian First Nation fishery are not anticipated. Any reductions in fishing time would be considered only if no other adjustments could be made in the commercial and recreational fisheries. Through discussions with the TRTFN, the poor Chinook salmon forecast for 2017 has been conveyed by DFO and it is believed that fishing effort will be limited during the Chinook salmon season. Catches will be collected by TRTFN representatives and reported to the Whitehorse office of DFO on a periodic basis.

The Taku River recreational fishery takes place primarily on the Nakina River; some additional fishing occurs on the Tatsamenie Lake outlet stream and other Taku River tributaries. In light of the poor Chinook salmon forecast, the Taku recreational fishery will be restricted to non-retention of Chinook salmon over a fork length of 65 cm effective April 1 through to the end of March 2018; retention of Chinook salmon with a fork length of 65 cm or less will be limited to two per day and four in possession effective April 1 through to the end of March 2018. The Nakina River fishery will be closed to salmon fishing July 20 through August 15. The Tatsamenie Lake outlet stream will be closed from August 20 through September 15. The aggregate daily limit for salmon is four fish and the possession limit is eight fish. The annual harvest of Chinook salmon over 65 cms ( $\sim 26 \mathrm{in}$ ) fork length is limited to ten fish from all fresh waters of British Columbia.

The Taku River commercial fishing area extends from approximately 50 m ( $\sim 165 \mathrm{ft}$ ) upstream of the Canada/U.S. border to boundary signs located near Yellow Bluff, approximately $18 \mathrm{~km}(\sim 11 \mathrm{mi})$ upstream and excluding Flannigan and South Fork sloughs. The vast majority of fishing effort occurs downstream of the Tulsequah River.

The 2017 bilaterally agreed on preseason forecast of 13,300 large Chinook salmon is not sufficient for a directed commercial fishery and is below the lower end of the escapement goal range. Typically, the inseason management of Taku River Chinook salmon depends on abundance estimates generated from the joint MR program in the lower Taku River with tags being applied at Canyon Island and recoveries being made in the Canadian assessment and/or commercial fisheries or other agreed to recovery methodology. For 2017, it is anticipated there will not be an assessment fishery to support the MR program or any other means of generating reliable inseason run estimates; as such, the preseason forecast will be used to manage the commercial fishery and to make necessary adjustments in the other fisheries with the intention of limiting the harvest of large Chinook salmon.

## Harvest Information Sharing

The U.S. shall provide to Canadian managers harvest and effort data by SW for the District 111 drift gillnet, sport, troll and personal use fisheries.

Canada shall provide to U.S. managers harvest and effort data by SW in the commercial and test fisheries and for the season in the First Nation and recreational fisheries.

## Stock Assessment Program

Each country shall:

1. provide harvest statistics for the same strata as sockeye salmon are reported;
2. sample its fisheries for CWTs, spaghetti tags, and GSI (U.S. D111 Marine);
3. conduct escapement and stock assessment programs as resources permit (see Appendix A. 2 for projects anticipated to be conducted in 2017).

## Stock Composition of U.S. Harvests

Chinook salmon harvested in Alaska will be sampled for CWTs. The minimum sampling goal is $20 \%$ of the harvest; the target for 2017 is $30 \%$.

Stock composition for sport and commercial harvest will be determined postseason. Tissue samples will be taken from sport and commercially harvested Chinook salmon in District 111 and processed postseason by ADF\&G Gene Conservation Laboratory in Anchorage. Genetic stock identification (GSI) will be used to recalculate contributions of above border Taku River Chinook salmon in the District 111 sport and commercial fisheries. In the absence of GSI data, CWT data will be used to determine stock composition postseason. Scales will be collected inseason and analyzed postseason to determine the age structure composition of the harvest.

## Stock Composition of Canadian Harvests

If available for 2017, mixed stock Chinook salmon DNA samples will be collected in the Taku River commercial and assessment fisheries for stock identification analysis. A minimum of $40 \%$ of the Chinook salmon harvested in the commercial fishery and all of the assessment fishery will be examined for adipose clips for CWT's. Further details on these sampling programs are summarized in Appendix A. 2.

## Spawning Escapement Estimates

System-wide escapement will be determined by the joint Canada/U.S. MR program. Head water areas will be sampled using a variety of methods including carcass weirs, angling, aerial surveys, etc. (Appendix A. 2). Fish will be sampled for age, length, and sex. A study utilizing sonar to estimate escapement to the Nahlin subdrainage will continue for a second season in 2017.

## Sockeye Salmon

## Preseason Forecast

The preseason forecast for the terminal run of Taku River wild sockeye salmon in 2017 is approximately 198,000 fish, which is above the recent 10 -year average run size of 176,000 fish. This is a stock-recruitment based forecast. If the run comes in as expected, the TAC of wild sockeye salmon will be approximately 125,000 fish.

This forecast for wild fish is based on the historical relationship between the number of spawners (composite of all Taku River stocks) and the subsequent returns, described by the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln (R / S)=1.831-0.000011 \bullet S \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where: $\quad \boldsymbol{R}=$ total adult return; and
$S=$ number of spawners.
Equation [5] above is based on the estimated return of spawners from the 1984 to 2010 brood years and the subsequent age-specific returns from these escapements. ${ }^{8}$ The relationship is significant at a level of $\alpha=0.05$. The estimated numbers of spawners from the principal brood years were 125,314 fish in 2012 and 79,877 fish in 2013. The calculated returns per spawner for these years based on equation [5] are 1.6 and 2.6 , respectively. Assuming that the fish from these brood years mature as per the average age-at-maturity ( $61 \%$ age- $5,31 \%$ age$4,4 \%$ age- 6 , and $4 \%$ age-3), the forecast terminal run size for 2017 is 197,974 wild sockeye salmon, based on stock-recruitment data. Historical performance of the preseason forecast compared to postseason run size is detailed in Table 7. Factoring in the maximum forecast errors observed to date, the 2017 forecast could range from 110,000 to 266,000 fish.

A sibling forecast was also produced for comparison purposes. This was based on the historical (1989-2016) relationship between the number of age- 5 sockeye salmon in year $(\mathrm{t})$ and the number of age- 4 sockeye salmon in year ( $\mathrm{t}-1$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{5(t)}=52,620+1.12 \bullet N_{4(t-1)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\text { where: } N_{5(t)}=\quad \text { return of age- } 5 \text { in year }(\mathrm{t}) \text {; and }
$$

$\boldsymbol{N}_{4(t-1)}=\quad$ return of age-4 in year(t-1).
The correlation coefficient $\left(\mathrm{r}^{2}\right)$ of this relationship $=0.28, \mathrm{df}=27$. The preliminary estimate of the return of age4 sockeye salmon in 2016 is 173,679 fish. When substituted into equation [4], this gives a predicted return of 247,587 age- 5 fish in 2017. Over the last ten years, Taku River the contribution of age- 5 fish to total returns has averaged $51 \%$. Using this average, the predicted age-5 return expands to a total terminal run sibling forecast of 485,696 wild sockeye in 2017. In some years this number has been used to refine forecasts; however, given the value is larger than any previously seen run size it was not incorporated this year.

[^5]Table 6. Taku River wild sockeye salmon preseason run forecasts vs. postseason run size estimates, 1994 to 2017. Preseason forecast based on an average of sibling and stock-recruitment forecasts except for 1995 and 2007-2010 which were based solely on stock-recruitment. Forecast performance relative to the actual run size determined from postseason run reconstructions. Positive values indicate the forecast was higher than postseason run size estimates; negative values, the forecast was below postseason run size estimates.

| Year | Preseason Forecast | Postseason Run Size | Forecast Performance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 | 237,500 | 229,642 | $3 \%$ |
| 1995 | 211,300 | 238,434 | $-11 \%$ |
| 1996 | 219,000 | 322,379 | $-32 \%$ |
| 1997 | 285,200 | 174,565 | $63 \%$ |
| 1998 | 238,100 | 139,824 | $70 \%$ |
| 1999 | 202,884 | 176,764 | $15 \%$ |
| 2000 | 273,168 | 246,954 | $11 \%$ |
| 2001 | 250,451 | 396,678 | $-37 \%$ |
| 2002 | 293,113 | 251,633 | $16 \%$ |
| 2003 | 303,802 | 330,332 | $-8 \%$ |
| 2004 | 231,153 | 204,059 | $13 \%$ |
| 2005 | 272,106 | 188,244 | $45 \%$ |
| 2006 | 169,284 | 233,425 | $-27 \%$ |
| 2007 | 211,733 | 170,141 | $24 \%$ |
| 2008 | 181,038 | 163,260 | $11 \%$ |
| 2009 | 213,028 | 119,329 | $79 \%$ |
| 2010 | 195,887 | 155,795 | $26 \%$ |
| 2011 | 230,685 | 211,731 | $9 \%$ |
| 2012 | 197,313 | 207,612 | $-5 \%$ |
| 2013 | 254,974 | 206,493 | $23 \%$ |
| 2014 | 190,000 | 143,210 | $33 \%$ |
| 2015 | 216,000 | 194,426 | $11 \%$ |
| 2016 | 200,000 | 288,725 | $-31 \%$ |
| 2017 | 198,000 |  |  |
| $2007-2016$ | Average absolute difference from postseason run size | $+/-18 \%$ |  |

Data source: Final Estimates of Transboundary River Salmon Production, Harvest, and Escapement and a Review of Joint Enhancement Activities in 2015.

## Tatsamenie Sockeye Salmon

The outlook for Tatsamenie sockeye salmon is for an above average run. The weir counts to Tatsamenie Lake in 2012 and 2013, the primary brood years for 2017 returns, were 15,605 and 10,246 fish, respectively. For comparison, the 10-year average (2007-2016) was approximately 9,600 fish. Combining forecasts for wild and enhanced components of the run, the 2017 forecast is approximately 47,400 sockeye salmon, which is above the average run size of 18,300 fish estimated using assumptions outlined in the following section.

The 2017 forecast for the terminal run of Tatsamenie Lake enhanced sockeye salmon is 19,400 fish, which is well above the 10-year average (2007-2016) of 8,600 fish. This outlook is the average of smolt- and combined smolt/sibling-based forecasts. The smolt-based forecast, 9,301 fish, uses out-migration estimates at Tatsamenie Lake over the period 2012-2015, average age-at-return of $33 \%$, $64 \%$, and $3 \%$ for age classes 4, 5, and 6, respectively, and the recent five-year (2007-2011 brood years) average enhanced smolt to adult survival of $3.5 \%$. The 2014 and 2015 out-migrations of approximately 340,000 and 134,000 enhanced smolts respectively, are expected to be the primary contributors to the 2017 run, returning as age 4 and 5 fish. Regarding the sibling forecast, the return of enhanced age-4 fish in 2016 is estimated at 9,140 fish; using the sibling relationship (rsquare $=0.73$ ), approximately 28,026 age -5 fish can be expected in 2017. Adding the expected number of age4 fish from the smolt-based forecast results in a combined smolt/sibling-based forecast of 29,569 fish (Note: for the purposes of this forecast the freshwater age of all enhanced fish (including non-overwintering fish) is
assumed to be age- 1 since freshwater age is not readily available for returns and on average age- 2 fish comprise less than $2 \%$ of outmigrants).

The estimated outmigrations of wild smolts from Tatsamenie Lake in 2014 and 2015, the primary outmigrations expected to contribute to the 2017 run, were $1,035,000$ and 423,800 fish, respectively. In comparison, the previous 5 -year smolt outmigration averaged 220,000 fish. Assuming that both the maturity schedule and the recent 5-year average survival rate of wild smolt is comparable to that of enhanced smolt, a run of about 28,000 wild fish is expected in 2017. For comparison, assuming the average exploitation rate for wild fish is the same as that for enhanced fish, the average run size of wild fish is approximately 9,700 fish (average escapement of 5,731 wild fish divided by average exploitation rate of 0.59 ).

The escapement of sockeye salmon to Tatsamenie Lake has bearing on the Canada/U.S. egg take program. Based on a fecundity of approximately 4,000 eggs per female, equal sex ratios, a broodstock holding success rate of $80 \%$, along with the guideline that no more than $30 \%$ of the escapement can be utilized for enhancement purposes, an escapement of about 4,000 sockeye salmon would be needed to achieve the maximum egg take of 2.0 million eggs referred to in the 2017 Taku Enhancement Production Plan.

## Escapement Goals

The Taku River sockeye salmon spawning objective is a range from 71,000 to 80,000 fish with a point goal of 75,000 fish.

## Harvest Sharing Objectives

Harvest sharing agreements between Canada and the United States for Taku River sockeye salmon are dictated by Annex IV, Chapter 1 of the PST and are in effect through 2018.

Sockeye salmon arrangements for the 2009-2018 period as specified in the PST include the following:

- Directed fisheries on Taku River sockeye salmon will occur only in the Taku River drainage in Canada, and in District 111 in the U.S.
- Annual abundance of the wild run of Taku River sockeye salmon will be estimated by adding the catch of wild run sockeye salmon in U.S. District 111 to the estimated above border passage of wild run sockeye salmon. The annual Total Allowable Catch (TAC) of wild run Taku River sockeye salmon will be estimated by subtracting the agreed spawning escapement goal from the annual abundance estimate.
- The management of U.S. and Canadian fisheries shall be based on weekly estimates of the TAC of wild sockeye salmon.
- The primary management objective of the Parties is to achieve the agreed spawning escapement goal. If the projected inriver escapement of wild run sockeye salmon is greater than 1.6 , or other agreed factor, times the agreed spawning escapement goal, Canada may, in addition to its share of the TAC, harvest the projected surplus inriver escapement apportioned by run timing.
- For inseason management purposes, identifiable enhanced Taku River origin sockeye salmon will not be included in the calculations of the annual TAC. Notwithstanding the paragraph below, enhanced sockeye will be harvested in existing fisheries incidentally to the harvest of wild Taku River sockeye salmon.
- It is anticipated that surplus enhanced sockeye salmon will remain unharvested in existing commercial fisheries due to management actions required to ensure the wild spawning escapement. Canada may implement additional fisheries upstream of the existing commercial fishery to harvest surplus enhanced sockeye salmon.
- Both Parties agree to the objective of increasing sockeye salmon runs in the Taku River. The United States long-term objective is to maintain the $82 \%$ U.S. harvest share of wild Taku River sockeye salmon only adjusted based on documented enhanced sockeye salmon returns. Canada's long-term objective is to achieve an equal sharing arrangement for sockeye salmon. The Parties agree to continue to develop and implement a joint Taku River enhancement program intended to eventually produce annually 100,000 returning enhanced sockeye salmon.
- The Parties annual TAC share of Taku River sockeye salmon will be as described in Table 8.

Table 7. U.S and Canadian harvest shares of Taku River sockeye salmon.

| Enhanced Production | U.S. TAC Share | Canadian TAC Share |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $82 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| $1-5,000$ | $80 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| $5,001-15,000$ | $79 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| $15,001-25,000$ | $77 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| $25,001-35,000$ | $75 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| $35,001-45,000$ | $73 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| $45,001-55,000$ | $71 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| $55,001-65,000$ | $69 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| $65,001-75,000$ | $68 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| $75,001-85,000$ | $67 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| $85,001-95,000$ | $66 \%$ | $34 \%$ |
| $95,001-100,000$ | $65 \%$ | $35 \%$ |

The Parties' performance relative to these catch shares will be based on the postseason analysis of documented production of enhanced sockeye salmon.

1. A Taku Enhancement Production Plan (TEPP) shall be prepared annually by the Committee by February 1. The TEPP will detail the planned enhancement activities to be undertaken by the Parties and the expected production from site specific egg takes, access improvements and all other enhancement activities outlined in the annual TEPP. The Committee will use these data to prepare an initial enhancement production forecast based on the best available information.
2. The Panel shall review the annual TEPP and make recommendations to the Parties concerning the TEPP by February 28.
3. The Committee shall annually review and document joint enhancement projects and activities undertaken by the Parties, including the estimated returns of identifiable and unidentifiable enhanced sockeye salmon, and present the results to the Panel during the annual postseason review.

## Management Procedures

A similar management process as described for Chinook salmon will be followed for sockeye salmon whereby inriver population estimates from the joint MR program will be used to project inseason run sizes and inseason data exchange and review will occur between parties. Management agencies will collaborate to work toward joint inriver MR estimates. A similar approach will be taken for projecting terminal run sizes with catch estimates updated frequently.

A coordinated management focus on Tatsamenie sockeye salmon in Taku Inlet and inriver has occurred in the past. Management measures during these periods have attempted to ensure adequate numbers of sockeye salmon escape to Tatsamenie Lake to support wild production and egg-take objectives. If conservation concerns arose, e.g. due to depressed CPUE in fisheries and/or inriver assessment programs, management actions may include conservative and/or reduced fishing time. Managers will be closely monitoring stock assessment data inseason to determine if special management measures will be required for the Tatsamenie stock in 2017.

## United States

Directed sockeye salmon fishing in District 111 traditionally opens for a 72-hour fishing period beginning noon on the third Sunday in June (June 18, SW25). Depending on the development of the Taku River Chinook salmon return, Chinook salmon conservation measures including restrictions in time, mesh size (6-inch maximum), and area (upper Taku Inlet, Point Bishop and Point Arden closed) may be imposed. District 111 will be managed through mid-August primarily on the basis of sockeye salmon abundance. Taku River sockeye salmon abundance will be evaluated using District 111 overall harvest and CPUE data and weekly inriver run size estimates from the Taku River MR program. Contributions of enhanced sockeye salmon will be estimated inseason by analysis of salmon otoliths sampled from commercial harvests. For purposes of inseason run size estimation, average weekly historical stock composition data will be used to estimate the contribution of wild Taku River and Port Snettisham sockeye salmon contributions to the harvest. The above data will be used to generate weekly estimates of the terminal Taku River sockeye salmon run size, U.S. Taku River sockeye salmon TAC and U.S. harvest of Taku River sockeye salmon. The age and stock compositions of the harvest of wild sockeye salmon stocks will be revised postseason by analysis of GSI data derived from sampling harvests and escapements.

Returns from domestic hatchery programs are expected to contribute significantly to the District 111 fishery in 2017. The forecast return of Snettisham Hatchery sockeye salmon is 236,000 fish. DIPAC's summer chum salmon return to Gastineau Channel and Limestone Inlet is forecast to be 775,000 fish. Portions of these returns will be available for incidental harvest in the directed wild sockeye salmon fisheries in Taku Inlet. Fishing time may be extended in Stephens Passage south of Circle Point during July to harvest hatchery returns of summer chum salmon to Limestone Inlet and during August to harvest returns of Snettisham Hatchery sockeye salmon.

A personal use fishery in U.S. portions of the Taku River was established by the Alaska Board of Fisheries in 1989 and will operate from July 10 through August 9. In 2017, the one-month fishery will be delayed by one week to further aid in Taku River Chinook salmon conservation. The legal gear type is set nets, not to exceed 15 fathoms in length. The seasonal bag limit is five sockeye salmon for a household of one, or ten sockeye salmon for a household of two or more persons. Fishing is not allowed within 100 yards of the U.S./Canada research fish wheels.

## Canada

For the sockeye salmon season, a directed commercial fishery will be delayed a week and commence at noon Sunday, June 25 (SW26) restricted to a maximum of a 48 -hour period due to the poor large Chinook salmon forecast and the lowest return observed in brood year 2012 at Kuthai Lake. Additional measures will also be
implemented based on Chinook salmon considerations. A maximum mesh size restriction of 140 mm (approximately 5.5 inches) will be in effect through SW29 (ending July 22) and reductions in fishing time may be required if large Chinook salmon catches are significant during the early weeks of the directed sockeye fishery. The maximum net length will be $36.6 \mathrm{~m}(120 \mathrm{ft})$ for both drift- and set-nets. Canadian sockeye salmon management decisions for the Taku River fishery (Figure 3) will be based on weekly projections of terminal run sizes of wild and enhanced fish, TAC, and the escapement of wild stocks.

The weekly sockeye salmon TAC projections (wild stocks) will be made using the following calculations:

$$
\mathbf{T A} \mathbf{C}_{(\mathbf{w})}=\left[\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{t})}+\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{t})}+\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{t}-1)}\right) / \rho_{\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{t})}\right]-\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{w}}
$$

Where: $\quad \mathrm{TAC}_{(\mathrm{w})}=$ the projected total allowable catch of wild $w$ sockeye salmon for the season;
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{t})}=$ the cumulative escapement to week $t$ based on MR data;
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{t})}=$ the cumulative Canadian wild catch to week $t ;$
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{t}-1)}=$ the estimated cumulative U.S. catch of wild Taku sockeye salmon to the preceding week t-1 (preceding week used to allow for migration time).
$\rho_{\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{t})} \quad=$ the estimated proportion of run through to week $t$ determined from the average inriver run timing based on historical inriver CPUE data. (Run timing estimates will be adjusted inseason according to inseason CPUE data relative to historical data in both U.S. and Canadian fisheries);
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{w}} \quad=$ the system-wide escapement goal for wild stocks. (A value of 75,000 fish will be used which is close to the midpoint in the interim range of 71,000 to 80,000 fish).

The PST harvest sharing provisions will be applied to the weekly wild sockeye salmon TAC projections to guide the management of the commercial fishery. Run timing will be used to apportion the projected Canadian allowable catch each week and to make projections of the total escapement. The Canadian catch will be adjusted with the objective of meeting escapement and agreed Canada/US harvest sharing objectives. Since it is expected the production of enhanced sockeye salmon will be between 15,001 and 25,000 fish, Canada's harvest share will be $23 \%$ of the TAC. If inseason projections of enhanced fish drop below 15,001 fish or rise above 25,000 fish, Canada's share will be adjusted as per the harvest sharing provisions of the PST.

Low escapements of the Kuthai Lake sockeye salmon stock continue to be of concern. The duration of openings in SW26-27 (June 2 -July 8) may be reduced if it appears that the escapement of the Kuthai Lake stock is at risk of being compromised. During SW31-33 (July 30-August 19), management attention will focus on Tatsamenie sockeye salmon to ensure adequate numbers of sockeye salmon escape to Tatsamenie Lake to support wild production and egg-take objectives.

## Harvest Information Sharing

The U.S. shall provide harvest and effort data in the following strata for each SW:

1. District 111 (Subdistricts 111-20, 31, 32, 33, 34);
2. Taku River personal use fishery (season estimate).

Canada shall provide harvest and effort data in the following strata for each SW:

1. Taku River commercial fishery;
2. First Nation fishery (season estimate).

## Stock Assessment Program

## Stock Composition of U.S. Harvests

The District 111 drift gillnet sockeye salmon harvest will be sampled weekly to obtain 300 matched genetic tissue, otolith, and ASL samples in both Subdistrict 111-31 and 111-32. Otolith samples collected inseason will be sent to the ADF\&G Mark, Tag, and Age Laboratory in Juneau to be processed and analyzed, within two days of the end of the fishing period, for contributions of enhanced Taku, Stikine, and Port Snettisham sockeye salmon. Proportions of enhanced fish, combined with inriver MR data, will be used in weekly Taku Management Models to estimate the wild sockeye salmon terminal run size.

Postseason, the matched GSI/otolith samples collected inseason will be used to estimate weekly contributions of wild and enhanced sockeye salmon stocks in the District 111 drift gillnet fishery.

## Stock Composition of Canadian Harvests

To evaluate the contribution of enhanced sockeye salmon to the Canadian inriver commercial harvest, 192 otoliths will be collected per week from the inriver commercial gillnet fishery. Otolith samples collected inseason will be flown from Canyon Island, Taku River, to Juneau every Wednesday afternoon. Inseason processing of otoliths by the ADF\&G Mark, Age, and Tag Lab (MTA) will be completed within two days of delivery. Data collected from sampled otoliths will be used both inseason and postseason to estimate the contribution of enhanced Tatsamenie sockeye salmon. In addition, at least 125 genetic samples will be collected each week for postseason stock composition analysis.

## Spawning Escapement Estimates

System-wide escapement will be determined by the joint Canada/U.S. MR program. Adult enumeration weirs will be used to estimate escapements of sockeye to Tatsamenie, Little Trapper, Kuthai and King Salmon lakes. The age composition will be estimated from scale samples, and contributions of enhanced sockeye salmon will be determined from otolith samples. Approximately 750 fish will be sampled during the season for age, length, and sex; 400 otoliths will be taken from Tatsamenie broodstock.

## Coho Salmon

## Preseason Forecast

The forecast for the total run of Taku River coho salmon in 2017 is 184,000 fish which equates to an inriver run of about 117,000 fish assuming an average harvest rate of $36 \%$. In comparison, the recent ten-year run size averages are 158,400 and 123,400 fish for total run and inriver run size, respectively. The 2017 forecast was generated using the relationship between the CPUE in smolt tagging and the total run estimates seen over the past twenty years.

## Escapement Goals

The Taku River coho salmon escapement goal has a range from 50,000 to 90,000 fish with a MSY point goal of 70,000 fish (Pestal and Johnston 2015). Prior to the development of this goal and from the inception of the PST in 1985, the management intent of the U.S. was to ensure a minimum above border inriver run of 38,000 coho salmon. In 1999, the Party's agreed to implement a new abundance-based approach and development and analysis began in 2014 with eventual approval by the PSC TBR Panel in February 2015.

## Harvest Sharing Objectives

Coho salmon arrangements for 2017 as agreed by the TBR Panel in February of 2017 to replace Chapter 1 provision 3(b)(2) are:

- The escapement goal range is 50,000 to 90,000 fish, with a MSY point escapement goal of 70,000 fish;
- The MSY point escapement goal will be used by U.S. and Canadian fishery managers as the spawning escapement target for the above border coho salmon run during preseason and inseason management activities;
- A directed Canadian harvest of 5,000 coho salmon is allowed for assessment purposes as part of the joint Canada/U.S. Taku River mark recapture program;
- Canada may harvest all coho salmon that pass above the border in excess of both the MSY point escapement goal and 5,000 fish assessment fishery.


## Management Procedures

## United States

Beginning in mid-August, management of the District 111 drift gillnet fishery will be based on the run strength of coho salmon. Inseason management will be based on evaluation of fishery harvest, effort and CPUE relative to historical levels, recovery of CWTs from fishery sampling, and inriver run size estimates from the Taku River MR program. The U.S. will manage its fisheries to allow a minimum above border run of approximately 75,000 coho salmon. A substantial run of coho salmon ( 50,000 fish) is expected to the Macaulay Hatchery in Gastineau Channel. Portions of these returns will be available for incidental harvest in the directed coho salmon fisheries in Taku Inlet.

## Canada

In mid-August (SW34, starting August 20), management actions will shift to coho salmon. The inriver coho salmon projections will be based on the following simplified formula:

## $\left.R_{I R(A C I)}=\boldsymbol{R}_{\text {IR(ACI) }}\right) / T$

Where: $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{IR}(A C D)}=$ projected total inriver run above Canyon Island;
$R_{\mathrm{IR}(A C I)} \mathrm{t}=$ estimated run size to time " t " based on MR data;
$\mathrm{T}=$ average cumulative run timing at Canyon Island through time " t ".
Inseason management will be based on evaluation of fishery harvest, effort and CPUE data relative to historical levels and inriver run size estimates from the Taku River MR program. Based on the escapement goal of 70,000 fish, Canada will endeavor to manage to the agreed goal and harvest the surplus above escapement needs in a combination of commercial and assessment fisheries. In the event reliable inriver run projections fall below 50,000 fish, no commercial or assessment fishing will take place.

To address chum salmon conservation concerns, the retention of chum salmon will be prohibited throughout the season. In addition, fishers must release any steelhead caught. It is anticipated that the commercial fishery will remain closed for pink salmon unless markets are developed.

## Stock Assessment Program

All coho salmon caught in the Canadian fisheries missing an adipose fin will be landed head-on and sampled for CWT's to determine the contribution of Taku River origin marked fish.

## Inseason Data Exchange and Review

Canada and the U.S. will conduct data exchanges by telephone and/or email on Wednesday afternoon or Thursday morning of each week during the fishing season. At that time, current harvest statistics and stock assessment data will be updated, exchanged, and reviewed. Management plans for the next week for each country will be discussed at this time. It is anticipated that additional communications will be required each week. Weekly decision deadlines will be: a) for Districts 111, 11:00 a.m., Thursday, Alaska Daylight Time; and, b) for the Canadian Taku River fishery, 10:00 a.m., Friday, Pacific Daylight Time.


Figure 3. The Taku River showing Canadian commercial fishing area.


Figure 4. U.S. fishing areas adjacent to the Taku River
(see Figure 5 for specific Chinook salmon management areas).


Figure 5. U.S. directed Taku River Chinook salmon fishing areas.


#### Abstract

ALSEK RIVER Salmon stocks returning to the Alsek River drainage (Figure 6) are jointly managed by FOC, the Champagne and Aishihik First Nation (CAFN) and ADF\&G through the joint TCTR of the PSC.

The principal U.S. fishery that targets Alsek River stocks is a commercial set gillnet fishery that operates in Dry Bay at the mouth and within approximately 20 km up the Alsek River. A small subsistence fishery also operates in Dry Bay. U.S. fishers target sockeye and coho salmon but other Alsek River stocks are also harvested incidentally.

The principal Canadian fisheries occur in the upper Tatshenshini River drainage. A traditional First Nation (FSC) fishery takes place in the upper Tatshenshini River drainage. At present, approximately, 100-150 members of CAFN harvest salmon via traditional and non-traditional methods (gaffs, traps, rod and reel, nets, weir), primarily, in the Klukshu River, and to a lesser extent, in Village Creek, Blanchard River, and Goat Creek. Recreational fisheries take place primarily on the Tatshenshini River in the Dalton Post area and on the Takhanne and Blanchard rivers.

Most Alsek Chinook, sockeye and coho salmon spawn in Canada, but spawning occurs in U.S. tributaries as well.

In February 2013, the bilateral TTC and bilateral TBR Panel agreed to the revised biological escapement goals for Alsek River Chinook (Bernard and Jones 2010) and sockeye salmon (Eggers and Bernard 2011). These were: Alsek River Chinook salmon MSY target of 4,700 fish (esc. goal range 3,500-5,300 fish), Klukshu River Chinook salmon MSY target of 1,000 fish (esc. goal range of 800-1,200 fish), Alsek River sockeye salmon MSY target of 29,700 fish (esc. goal range of 24,000-33,500 fish), and Klukshu River sockeye salmon MSY target of 9,700 fish (esc. goal range 7,500-11,000 fish).


## Preseason Run Outlooks

The Klukshu River Chinook salmon escapements in 2012 and 2013 were 693 and 1,227 Chinook salmon, respectively. These were below and above the escapement goal range of 800 to 1,200 Chinook salmon as determined from the current stock-recruitment analysis. Based on these primary brood year escapements, the production outlook for 2017 is 1,400 Klukshu River Chinook salmon (discounted using the 5 -year average percentage error of $38 \%$ ), close to the recent 10 -year average of approximately 1,500 fish and above the revised escapement goal range.

The 2017 overall Alsek River drainage sockeye salmon run is expected to be approximately 74,000 fish; this is above the recent 10 -year average run size estimate of approximately 64,000 sockeye salmon. The outlook for 2017 is based on a predicted run of 17,000 Klukshu River sockeye salmon derived from the latest Klukshu River stock-recruitment data (2011 Eggers et al.) and an assumed Klukshu River contribution to the total run of $23 \%$, which is based on MR results (2000-2004) and run size estimates using GSI (2005-2006, 2011). Principal contributing brood years will be 2012 (Klukshu River escapement of 17,200 sockeye salmon) and 2013 (Klukshu River escapement of 3,800 sockeye salmon); the 10 -year average Klukshu River sockeye salmon escapement is approximately 10,800 fish. Based on the current stock-recruitment analysis, the range of Klukshu River escapements that appears most likely to produce optimum yields is 7,500 to 11,000 sockeye salmon.

The Klukshu River early sockeye salmon run counts in 2012 and 2013 were 5,969 and 312 fish, respectively. The recent 10 -year average count is approximately 2,800 sockeye salmon which is above the minimum
management target of 1,500 fish used by DFO. The early run to the weir is expected to be above this level in 2017.

The coho salmon escapements at the Klukshu River weir in 2013 (7,300 fish) and 2014 (300 fish) suggest the run in 2017 will be above average. The recent 10-year average weir count is approximately 2,200 coho salmon.

There is much uncertainty with these outlooks. Recent survivals of Chinook and sockeye salmon have been highly variable; therefore, developing accurate forecasts has been problematic.

## Management Approach for the 2017 Season

A large and variable proportion of the drainage-wide escapement of Alsek River Chinook, sockeye, and coho salmon stocks are enumerated at a counting weir on the Klukshu River operated by FOC.

The Department of Fish and Game will manage the U.S. Dry Bay commercial set gillnet fishery to achieve the agreed upon escapement goal range plus 3,000 sockeye salmon as per the 2009-2018 agreement reached during Transboundary PST negotiations in February 2008.

## United States

In 2017 the U.S. fisheries will open downstream from a marker located three miles below the southern end of Alsek Basin on June 4 for 24 hours. The remainder of this fishery will be managed on sockeye salmon run strength which is expected to be above average in 2017. The top end of the BEG for sockeye salmon was exceeded in 2012 but fell below the escapement goal range in 2013. Although the 2017 outlook suggests an average to above average sockeye salmon escapement, management strategies will continue to be conservative until it can be ascertained that the BEG will be met. Weekly openings will initially be set at 24 hours. The duration of weekly fishing periods will be based on comparison of inseason fishery performance data (CPUE) to historical fishery performance data, as well as Klukshu River weir data.

The U.S. commercial fishery opens during the peak of the Chinook salmon return to the Alsek River. The peak timing appears to be during the first two weeks of June based on tagging data (1998-2004) and the Chinook salmon test fishery data (2005-2008, 2011 and 2012). Chinook salmon tagging studies conducted from 1998 through 2004 indicated that approximately $15-30 \%$ of the Chinook salmon passing through Dry Bay are bound for the Klukshu River drainage. U.S. Alsek River harvests have been less than 1,000 Chinook salmon each year since 1981; with a historical average harvest of approximately 500 Chinook salmon. The 2016 harvest was the lowest harvest on record and the 2017 harvest most likely will not exceed average harvests. Although Chinook salmon escapements have been variable in recent years, conservative fisheries management decisions will continue in an attempt to protect Chinook salmon stocks and to meet escapement goals. Chinook salmon returns to the Klukshu River have been within or above the BEG since 2009; except in 2012 and 2016. In order to ensure the Klukshu River BEG will be met, a six inch mesh restriction will be implemented in 2017.

The U.S. conducted Alsek River Chinook salmon test fisheries in 2005-2008, 2011, and 2012 as provided in the current Annex. Because the Chinook salmon escapement goal of 1,100 fish (lower bound BEG range) in place at the time of the fisheries was not attained in 2005 through 2008 the test fishery was suspended in 2009 and 2010 in order to facilitate Chinook salmon escapement. Test fishing has not been conducted since 2012 and the U.S. will not conduct a test fishery in 2017.

Beginning in mid-August, management of the set gillnet fishery will be based on the run strength of coho salmon. Inseason management will be based on evaluation of fishery harvest, effort and CPUE relative to historical levels, similar to the management plan for sockeye salmon. Recent years have seen a decline in fishing effort during the coho salmon season on the Alsek River, mainly due to economic struggles and lack of aircraft
charters to transport fish to town. Again, there was minimal effort for harvesting coho salmon in 2016 and it is anticipated effort will again be minimal in 2017.

## Canada

Canadian fisheries for Alsek River salmon will proceed similarly to regimes in recent years. Next to conservation, the priority in management will be to provide for the basic food, social and ceremonial needs of the CAFN. The basic needs allocations are 200 Chinook and 3,000 sockeye salmon, as documented in the CAFN final land claim agreement. As in recent years, some First Nation's salmon harvest will be allowed to occur at the weir which will also provide opportunities to collect biological data and samples. Restrictions in the First Nation fishery will be considered if the projected Klukshu River weir counts are below 800 Chinook salmon, 1,500 early sockeye and/or 7,500 total sockeye salmon. Decisions to implement restrictions will take into account management actions taken to conserve stocks in both the Canadian recreational fishery and the U.S. Dry Bay fishery.

In the recreational fishery, the following closed/open times will be in effect for 2017: the Dalton Post area of the Tatshenshini River will be open seven days per week; the closed times for Klukshu River, Nesketahin Lake and Village Creek will be from June 15 to November 30; the salmon non-retention periods on the Takhanne and Blanchard rivers will be from July 24 to August 31; and salmon non-retention in Klukshu Lake will be in effect year round. Normal Chinook salmon limits of one per day, two in possession will be in effect subject to conservation concerns. In the event that the run size into the Klukshu River is well above the minimum management target of 1,000 fish ( 800 fish escapement plus 200 fish CAFN allocation), Canadian managers may liberalize harvest opportunities. If run forecasts are below the minimum weir target, further restrictions in the recreational fishery will be considered. Non-retention of sockeye salmon will be in effect through mid-August to conserve early runs and address domestic allocation priorities. However, if the early sockeye salmon run size into the Klukshu River is projected to be greater than 4,500 sockeye salmon ( 1,500 early sockeye plus 3,000 sockeye salmon CAFN allocations); Canadian managers may allow sockeye salmon retention in the recreational fishery prior to August 15. After August 15, normal sockeye salmon catch limits of two per day, four in possession will be in effect. However, if the projected total sockeye salmon weir count is less than 10,500 sockeye salmon ( 7,500 fish minimum escapement plus 3,000 fish CAFN allocation), catch restrictions may be necessary. For coho salmon, additional harvesting opportunities through increased catch limits in the recreational fishery may be provided subject to conservation concerns.

## Stock Assessment Program

The escapements of Chinook, sockeye, and coho salmon through the Klukshu River weir and sockeye salmon through the Village Creek (Nesketahin Lake) weir serve as an inseason indicator of stock strength. Adjustments to above border fisheries may be made on the basis of these counts. An estimate of the total Alsek River sockeye salmon run will be made using GSI analysis (funded through the PSC Northern Fund) of U.S. commercial fishery samples and an expansion of the Klukshu River weir count. A summary of the anticipated field projects in the Alsek River drainage is presented in Appendix A. 3.


Figure 6. The Alsek River principal Canadian fishing areas.

## 2017 TRANSBOUNDARY ENHANCEMENT PLANS

## Overview

Joint sockeye salmon enhancement projects are conducted on the Stikine and Taku rivers. Broodstock are collected in Canada at Tahltan Lake in the Stikine River drainage and from Tatsamenie Lake in the Taku River drainage. The eggs from these sockeye salmon are incubated and thermally marked at the Snettisham Hatchery in Alaska. The fry originating from the Tahltan Lake egg take are back-planted into Tahltan and/or Tuya lakes, both of which are located in the Stikine River drainage. The fry originating from the Tatsamenie Lake egg take are returned to their lake of origin. Two other projects of interest on the Taku River include: the investigation of the suitability of Trapper Lake for anadromous salmon production; and feasibility of broodstock capture, egg collection, and back-planting at King Salmon Lake.

As part of the current agreement the parties agreed that:

1. A Stikine Enhancement Production Plan (SEPP) and a Taku Enhancement Production Plan (TEPP) shall be prepared annually by the Committee by February 1. The SEPP and TEPP will detail the planned enhancement activities to be undertaken by the Parties and the expected production from site specific egg takes, access improvements and all other enhancement activities outlined in the annual SEPP and TEPP. The Committee will use these data to prepare an initial enhancement production forecast based on the best available information.
2. The Panel shall review the annual SEPP and TEPP and make recommendations to the Parties concerning them by February 28 (Table 9 and 10).

Table 8. The 2017 SEPP. Reviewed and approved by the Panel at the February 2017 annual meeting.

| 2017 SEPP |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enhancement Project | Activities | Expected Production ${ }^{2}$ | Technique to document production |
| Tahltan Lake | Egg take with target of 5.0 million eggs ${ }^{1}$. <br> Guideline for last fishing day will be September 25 <br> (Fry to be planted into Tahltan and/or Tuya lakes) | (72\% green egg - fry, 28\% fry-smolt, $7 \%$ smolt-adult) <br> Fry planted into Tahltan Lake | Thermal mark |
|  |  | Expected Total <br> Production ${ }^{3} \mathbf{7 0 , 5 6 0}$ |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ Egg take target will be based on actual escapement into Tahltan Lake, and matching enhanced smolt production to expected wild smolt production. <br> ${ }^{2}$ Survivals based on historical data starting with brood year 1989. <br> ${ }^{3}$ Prior year SEPPs were developed to comply with Chapter 1, paragraph 3(a)(1)(iii)(a). Those estimates were based upon assumed survivals different than observed long-term averages as well as the intended stocking of both Tahltan and Tuya lakes. The Panel recognizes the result of the SEPP is unlikely to achieve 100,000 enhanced sockeye salmon as identified in Chapter 1, paragraph 3(a)(1)(iii)(a) because: Canada is withdrawing Tuya Lake for stocking in 2018; biological constraints associated with enhancement of Tahltan Lake; the practicality and achievability of Tahltan Lake sockeye salmon egg takes; and there being no other identified enhancement projects. |  |  |  |

Table 9. The 2017 TEPP. Reviewed and approved by the Panel at the February 2017 annual meeting.

| 2017 TEPP |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enhancement Project | Activities | Expected Production | Technique to document production |
| Tatsamenie Lake | Egg take with target of $30 \%$ of available broodstock ~ goal of up to 2.0 million. <br> (Approx. 225K fry for on-shore / in-lake extended rearing and remainder for lake out-planting) | 5,854 adults from outplanting <br> (5.1\% green egg - smolt, 5.4\% smolt -adult) <br> 1,248 adults from ext. rearing <br> (36.3\% green egg - smolt,, $1.2 \%$ smolt - adult) | Thermal mark |
| Trapper Lake | Egg take with target of 250,000 . Contingent on barrier removal. | 700 adults (4\% green egg - smolt, $7 \%$ smolt adult $)^{1}$ | Thermal mark |
|  |  | Expected Total Production 7,802 |  |

The agreement has a provision for possible adjustments in harvest shares if either Party were to intentionally depart from the SEPP; consequently in February 2017 the Panel reviewed the parties' actions relative to the 2012 SEPP. No intentional deviation was made from the SEPP by either party in 2012).

In January 2017, the Panel reviewed the 2015 SEPP (Table 11) and TEPP (Table 12) results, as well as received an update on activities from the 2016 SEPP (Table 13) and TEPP (Table 14) to date.

Table 10. The 2015 SEPP results.

| Enhancement Project | SEPP | Actual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tahltan Lake | - Egg take with target of 6 million <br> - Guideline for last fishing day will be September 25. <br> (Fry to be planted into Tahltan and/or Tuya lakes) | - Canada revised egg-take target to 5.5 million eggs to match wild smolt production in Tahltan Lake. <br> - 4,500,000 eggs were collected. <br> - Record low fecundity. <br> - Last fishing day was September 27. <br> - No IHNV losses. <br> - 3,400,000 fry released in Tahltan Lake. <br> - No fry released in Tuya Lake. |

Table 11. The 2015 TEPP results.

| Enhancement Project | Stated Goals | Actual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tatsamenie Lake | - Egg-take goal of 2,000,000 eggs, including 225,000 for extended rearing. | - Record low weir escapement $(1,537$, average 7,824 ). <br> - 730,000 eggs collected. <br> - One incubator lost to IHNV (89,000 fry). <br> - 334,000 fry directly released in lake. <br> - 86,000 fry released from extended rearing project. <br> - 50,000 fry for lake net pen trial. |
| Trapper Lake | Egg take with target of 100,000. Contingent on expectation that barrier modification would be anticipated. | - No eggs collected. <br> - Additional temperature data collected. <br> - Northern Fund proposal submitted for egg take.. |

Table 12. The 2016 SEPP results. (as of January 2017).

| Enhancement Project | SEPP | Actual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tahltan Lake | - Egg take with target of 4.91 million <br> - Guideline for last fishing day will be September 25. <br> (Fry to be planted into Tahltan and/or Tuya lakes) | - Canada revised egg-take target to 5.0 million eggs to match wild smolt production in Tahltan Lake. <br> - An estimated 5,300,000 eggs collected. <br> - Last fishing day was on September 22th. <br> - Fry Release pending |

Table 13. The 2016 TEPP results. (as of January 2017).

| Enhancement Project | TEPP | Actual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tatsamenie Lake | - Egg take goal of 2,000,000 eggs, including 225,000 for extended rearing. | - Record high weir escapement (32,934, average 7,054). <br> - An estimated 2,190,000 eggs collected . <br> - Fry Release pending |
| Trapper Lake | - Egg take with target of 250,000 . Contingent on barrier removal. | - 271,000 eggs collected. <br> - Fry release pending. |

A number of assessment projects are conducted to monitor the recipient lakes (e.g. plankton, water chemistry) and the survival of out planted fry (e.g. smolt enumeration, fry sampling). A summary of the enhancement field and incubation projects is presented in Appendix A. 4.

## Fry Plants

Fry plants from the transboundary sockeye egg-takes in 2016 are scheduled to occur in May and possibly into June 2017. It is expected the following number of sockeye fry will be out-planted based on estimated fry on hand at Snettisham Hatchery as of February 2017:

Stikine drainage: Tahltan Lake: All fry from the 2016 egg take will be transported to Tahltan Lake (3,866,505)

Taku drainage: Tatsamenie Lake: 1,257,478 total fry are available: 225 thousand fry for extended onshore rearing; 50 thousand fry for extended lake net pen rearing; and the rest of the fry released directly into the lake as unfed fry.

Trapper Lake: All fry from the 2016 egg take will be transported to Trapper Lake $(227,288)$.

At Tahltan Lake, the plan is to transport fry on several flights during the period from May 20 to May 30 pending ice free lake conditions. Fry will be held for approximately 24 hours in net pens for observations.

At Tatsamenie Lake, the plan is to transport fry on several flights during the last two weeks of May pending ice free lake conditions. The 2017 extended rearing program will involve holding and feeding fry in a series of on shore-based fish tanks designed to capture flow from an unnamed, salmon free, and presumably pathogen free stream. The fish will be transferred to floating net pens once a threshold weight of 3 grams is achieved. 50,000 fry designated for direct lake release will be placed in a floating net pen in the lake for an in-lake extended rearing trial. The fed fry will be released at a site located in the mid-lake area (pelagic zone) approximately 2 km upstream from the outlet of the lake in August. The fry that are not subject to the grow-out experiment will be released near shore at various sites within the north section of the lake.

## Egg Take Goals

Target sockeye egg takes for the fall of 2017 are as follows:

## Tahltan Lake

- Up to 5.0 million eggs or a maximum of $30 \%$ of available female escapement.
- Final egg take target to be determined inseason based on actual escapement into Tahltan Lake, and matching enhanced smolt production to expected wild smolt production. In consideration of the desire to minimize disturbance of natural spawning at the adult collection sites, the guideline for the last date that eggs will be collected at Tahltan Lake is September 25.

Tatsamenie Lake

- Up to 2.0 million eggs or a maximum of $30 \%$ of available female escapement.
- A total of 225,000 fry will be used in the Tatsamenie extended rearing project with the remainder going to direct lake out planting.


## Little Trapper Lake

- Up to 250 thousand eggs. Contingent on barrier removal.


## King Salmon Lake

- No egg take is planned.


## GENETIC STOCK IDENTIFICATION PROJECTS

Harvests of transboundary salmon fisheries are subject to the harvest sharing agreement outlined in Annex IV of the PST, and thus stock composition estimates are critical to document compliance with these agreements, as well as to reconstruct runs of wild stocks, estimate the return of enhanced fish, forecast upcoming returns, and support sustainable management. Genetic stock identification is the preferred method for estimating stock contributions in fisheries in and near the Stikine, Taku, and Alsek rivers, and has been in use for transboundary management for sockeye salmon since 2011 and for Chinook salmon since 2005. Members of the TTC met in April 2013 to define agreed-upon reporting groups for each species for Taku and Stikine fisheries (Table 9 and 10), and to define precision and accuracy goals (to be within $10 \%$ of the true mixture $90 \%$ of the time). The section below describes the methods that the Parties use to estimate stock composition of Chinook and sockeye salmon in transboundary fisheries. Baseline collection priorities for 2016 are listed in Appendix Table A.5; existing Chinook and sockeye collections and identified gaps are listed in Appendix C. 1 and C.4.

Table 14. Chinook salmon GSI reporting groups agreed upon by the TTC in April 2013 for fisheries occurring in and near the Taku and Stikine rivers. Reporting groups under the "Treaty" management objective are those necessary for calculating the harvest sharing agreement outlined in Annex IV of the

PST. Reporting groups under the "Transboundary" objective are those necessary for run reconstructions and forecasting upcoming returns.

| Management Objective | Party | Fishery | Reporting Groups |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Treaty | U.S., Canada | U.S. District 108, Inriver Stikine | Stikine <br> Other |
|  |  | U.S. District 111, Inriver Taku | Taku Other |
| Transboundary | U.S. | U.S. District 108 | Little Tahltan Stikine Other Non-Stikine |
|  |  | U.S. District 111 | Taku Other |
|  | Canada | Inriver Stikine | Little Tahltan Stikine Other |
|  |  | Inriver Taku | Taku |
| Domestic (not PST) | U.S. | U.S. District 108 | Taku <br> Stikine <br> Andrews <br> Southern SEAK <br> Other |
|  |  | U.S. District 111 | Taku <br> Stikine <br> Andrews <br> Other |
|  |  | Inriver Stikine | Early (Little Tahltan, Tahltan, Christine) ${ }^{a}$ Late (Verrett, Craig) ${ }^{a}$ |
|  |  | Inriver Taku | Early (Nahlin, Dudidontu, Tseta) ${ }^{a}$ <br> Mid (Nakina) ${ }^{a}$ <br> Late (Kowatua, Tatsatua) ${ }^{a}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Indicates a Conservation Unit (CU) under Canada's Wild Salmon Policy.

Table 15. Sockeye salmon GSI reporting groups agreed upon by the TTC in April 2013 for fisheries occurring in and near the Taku and Stikine rivers. Reporting groups under the "Treaty" management objective are those necessary for calculating the harvest sharing agreement outlined in Annex IV of the PST. Reporting groups under the "Transboundary" objective are those necessary for run reconstructions, forecasting upcoming returns, and estimating returns of enhanced fish.

| Management Objective | Party | Fishery | Reporting Groups |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Treaty | U.S., Canada | U.S. District 106, 108; Inriver Stikine | Stikine <br> Other |
|  |  | U.S. District 111, Inriver Taku | Taku <br> Other |
| Transboundary | U.S. | U.S. District 106, 108 | Tahltan <br> Stikine Other <br> Non-Stikine |
|  |  | U.S. District 111 | Tatsamenie <br> Taku Lakes Other <br> Taku River-type <br> Non-Taku |
|  | Canada | Inriver Stikine | Tahltan <br> Stikine Other |
|  |  | Inriver Taku | Tatsamenie <br> Taku Lakes Other <br> Taku River-type |
| Domestic (not PST) | U.S. | U.S. District 106, 108 | Tahltan <br> Stikine Other <br> McDonald <br> SEAK <br> Other |
|  |  | U.S. District 111 | Tatsamenie <br> Taku Lakes Other <br> Taku River-type <br> Speel <br> SEAK <br> Other |
|  |  | Inriver Stikine | Chutine ${ }^{a}$ <br> Christina ${ }^{a}$ <br> Tahltan ${ }^{a}$ <br> Mainstem ${ }^{a}$ <br> Iskut |
|  |  | Inriver Taku | Kuthai ${ }^{a}$ <br> Little Trapper/Trapper ${ }^{a}$ <br> Tatsamenie ${ }^{a}$ <br> Tatsatua/Little Tatsamenie ${ }^{a}$ <br> King Salmon ${ }^{a}$ <br> Taku River-type ${ }^{a}$ |

${ }^{2}$ Indicates a Conservation Unit (CU) under Canada's Wild Salmon Policy.

## Chinook salmon

## United States

The following methods are used by the ADF\&G Gene Conservation Laboratory to estimate stock proportions of transboundary Chinook salmon harvested by commercial fishers in U.S. Districts 108 and 111 in Southeast Alaska.

## Fishery Sampling

Chinook salmon were collected from commercial gillnet landings at processors in Southeast Alaska, and in the sport fishery by onboard participants and by creel census samplers. During sampling, Chinook salmon were selected without regard to size, sex, adipose fin-clip, or position in the hold. Axillary process tissue was dissected from sampled fish and placed in alcohol in 2 ml cryovials or dried onto Whatman paper. Along with each individual sampled, basic information were recorded such as size, sex, date, vessel, and age (from scale samples). At the end of the fishery, samples were transported back to the ADF\&G Gene Conservation Laboratory, Anchorage, for analysis. Associated data was archived as part of the ASL database maintained by ADF\&G.

Representative tissue collections of individuals for mixture analysis were created by subsampling 1,600 large ( $\geq 660 \mathrm{~mm}$ mid-eye-to-fork length) individuals from the collected samples in proportions weighted by harvest in the ports and quadrants that comprise the mixture composition to be estimated (Table 3). Because the PST applies to large Chinook salmon, only large Chinook salmon were included in the analysis. Where sufficient samples exist, the sample was randomly subsampled proportional to harvests. Target mixture sample sizes was 400 individuals to achieve acceptable levels of accuracy and precision. But due to the vagaries of fisheries and fishery sampling, target sample sizes were not always available for every stratum. Sample sizes smaller than the target could be analyzed, but strata represented by fewer than 100 individuals were pooled into larger groups for analysis whenever possible. If directed gillnet fisheries did not occur, commercial fishery samples were obtained by sampling Chinook salmon caught incidentally in sockeye gillnet fisheries in Districts 108 and 111.

## Laboratory Analysis

Samples were assayed for DNA loci developed by the GAPS group for use in Treaty fisheries (Seeb et al. 2007). DNA was extracted from axillary process tissue using DNeasy ${ }^{\circledR}$, 96 -tissue kits (QIAGEN ${ }^{\circledR}$ Valencia CA). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was carried out in 10 ul reaction volumes ( 10 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, 50 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{KCl}, 0.2 \mathrm{mM}$ each dNTP, 0.5 units Taq DNA polymerase [Promega, Madison, WI]) using an Applied Biosystems (AB, Foster City CA) thermocycler. Primer concentrations, $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$ concentrations and the corresponding annealing temperature for each primer are available in Seeb et al. 2007. PCR fragment analysis was done on an AB 3730 capillary DNA sequencer. A 96 -well reaction plate was loaded with 0.5 ul PCR product along with 0.5 ul of GS500LIZ (AB) internal lane size standard and 9.0 ul of Hi-Di (AB). PCR bands were visualized and separated into bin sets using AB GeneMapper software v4.0. All laboratory analyses followed protocols accepted by the CTC.

Genetic data was collected as individual multilocus genotypes for the 13 microsatellite loci currently included in the CTC standardized baseline. According to the convention implemented by the CTC, at each locus, a standardized allele is one that has a recognized holotype specimen from which the standardized allele can be reproduced using commonly applied fragment analysis techniques. By the process of sizing the alleles from the holotype specimens, any individual laboratory should be able to convert allele sizes obtained in the laboratory to standardized allele names. Genotype data were stored as GeneMapper (*.fsa)
files on a network drive that is backed up nightly. Long-term storage of the data was in an Oracle database (LOKI) on a network drive maintained by ADF\&G computer services.

## Quality Control

Several measures were implemented to insure the quality of data produced. First, each individual tissue sample was assigned a unique accession identifier. At the time DNA is extracted or analyzed from each sample, a sample sheet was created that linked each individual sample's code to a specific well number in a uniquely numbered 96 -well plate. This sample sheet followed the sample through all phases of the project, minimizing the risk of misidentification of samples through human-induced errors. Second, genotypes were assigned to individuals using a system in which two individuals score the genotype data independently. Discrepancies between the two sets of scores were then resolved with one of two possible outcomes: (1) one score was accepted and the other rejected, or (2) both scores were rejected and the score was blanked. Lastly, approximately $8 \%$ of the individuals, eight samples from each 96 -well DNA extraction plate, were reanalyzed for all loci. This insures that the data were reproducible, and any errors created from the processing of individual plates were corrected.

## Estimating Stock Compositions

Whenever possible, representative mixtures of individuals for GSI were created by subsampling individuals from the collected tissue samples in proportion to harvest by SW. The stock composition of fishery mixtures were estimated using the program BAYES (Pella and Masuda 2001). The Bayesian method of MSA estimates the proportion of stocks caught within each fishery using 4 pieces of information: 1) a baseline of allele frequencies for each population, 2) the grouping of populations into the reporting groups desired for MSA, 3) prior information about the stock proportions of the fishery, and 4) the genotypes of fish sampled from the fishery.
The baseline of allele frequencies for Chinook salmon populations was obtained from the Genetic Analysis of Pacific Salmon (GAPS) consortium baseline database. Version 3.0 of the CTC baseline contains allele frequencies from 357 populations contributing to PSC fisheries, ranging from the Situk River in Alaska to the Central Valley of California (Table 3). Reporting groups have been defined based upon transboundary management needs and meeting criteria set by the Gene Conservation Laboratory (Habicht et al. 2012). The reporting groups for these fisheries are: 1) Taku, 2) Andrew, 3) Stikine, 4) Southern Southeast Alaska, and 5) Other. At the request of the TTC, these reporting groups will be rolled up into the agreed-upon reporting groups. These reporting groups meet the minimum critical level of $90 \%$ correct allocation in repeated proof tests (Seeb et al. 2000). Results were noted if estimates do not meet the precision and accuracy guidelines set by the TTC in April 2013 (to estimate the proportion of mixtures within $10 \%$ of the true mixture $90 \%$ of the time).

The choice of prior information about stock proportions in a fishery (the prior probability distribution hereafter referred to as the prior) is important to the outcome of MSA (Habicht et al. 2012a). In this analysis, the estimated stock proportions from the previous year in a given stratum were used as the prior for that stratum across years. The prior information about stock proportions was incorporated in the form of a Dirichlet probability distribution. The sum of all prior parameters was set to 1 (prior weight), which is equivalent to adding 1 fish to each mixture (Pella and Masuda 2001).
For each fishery mixture, 5 independent Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) chains of 40,000 iterations were run with different starting values and discarded the first 20,000 iterations to remove the influence of the initial start values. In order to assess the among-chain convergence, the Gelman-Rubin shrink factors computed for all stock groups in BAYES were examined (Gelman and Rubin 1992). If a shrink factor for any stock group in a mixture was greater than 1.2, the mixture was reanalyzed with 80,000 iterations. If a mixture still had a shrink factor greater than 1.2 after the reanalysis, results from the 5 chains were averaged
and a note made in the results. We combined the second half of the 5 chains to form the posterior distribution and tabulated mean estimates, $90 \%$ credibility intervals, and standard deviations from a total of 100,000 iterations.

## Canada

The following methods are used by the DFO's Molecular Genetics Laboratory, Pacific Biological Station, Nanaimo, B.C. to estimate stock proportions of transboundary Chinook salmon harvested by inriver fisheries on the Alsek, Taku, and Stikine rivers.

## Laboratory Analysis

Once Chinook salmon genomic DNA was available, surveys of variation at the following 15 microsatellite loci were conducted: Ots100, Ots101, Ots104, Ots107 (Nelson and Beacham 1999), Ssal97 (O'Reilly et al. 1996), Ogo2, Ogo4 (Olsen et al. 1998), Oke4 (Buchholz et al. 2001), Omy325 (O’Connell et al. 1997), Oki100 (Beacham et al.2008), Ots2, Ots9 (Banks et al. 1999), Ots201b, Ots211, Ots213 (Grieg et al. 2003). This panel of loci called "DFO plus 3" consists of the DFO markers plus three loci from the Genetic Analysis of Pacific Salmon (GAPs) consortium panel of markers. Microsatellites were size fractionated in an Applied Biosystems (ABI) 3730 capillary DNA sequencer, and genotypes were scored by GeneMapper software 3.0 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) using an internal lane sizing standard.

In general, polymerase chain (PCR) reactions were conducted in $10 \mu$ l volumes consisting of 0.06 units of Taq polymerase, $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 30 ng DNA, $1.5-2.5 \mathrm{mM}$ MgCl2, 1 mM 10 x buffer, 0.8 mM dNTP's, $0.006-0.065 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of labeled forward primer (depending on the locus), $0.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ unlabeled forward primer, $0.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ unlabeled reverse primer, and deionized H2O. PCR was completed on an MJResearch ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ DNA Engine ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ PCT-200 or a DNA Engine Tetrad ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ PCT-225. The amplification profile involved one cycle of $2 \mathrm{~min} @ 92^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 30 cycles of $15 \mathrm{sec} @ 92^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $15 \mathrm{sec} @ 52-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (depending on the locus) and $30 \mathrm{sec} @ 72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and a final extension for $10 \mathrm{~min} @ 72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Specific PCR conditions for a particular locus could vary from this general outline. Further information on laboratory equipment and techniques is available at the Molecular Genetics Laboratory website at http://www.pac.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/science/facilities-installations/pbs-sbp/mgl-lgm.

## Baseline Populations

Mixture analysis required microsatellite analysis of Chinook salmon from drainage specific baselines within Canada, consisting of 11 populations/sampling sites for the Stikine River, six populations/sampling sites for the Alsek River, and nine populations/sampling sites for the Taku River. All annual baseline samples available for a specific sample location were combined to estimate population allele frequencies, as was recommended by Waples (1990).

## Estimation of Stock Composition

Analysis of fishery samples was conducted with a Bayesian procedure (BAYES) as outlined by Pella and Masuda (2001). Each locus was assumed to be in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, and expected genotypic frequencies were determined from the observed allele frequencies and used as model inputs. For BAYES, the initial FORTRAN-based computer program as outlined by Pella and Masuda (2001) required large amounts of computer analytical time when applied to stock identification problems with a baseline as comprehensive as employed in the current study. Given this limitation, a new version of the program was developed by our laboratory as a C-based program which is available from the Molecular Genetics Laboratory website (Neaves et al. 2005). In the analysis, ten 20,000-iteration Monte Carlo Markov chains of estimated stock compositions were produced, with initial starting values for each chain set at 0.90 for a particular population which was different for each chain. Estimated stock compositions were estimated when all Monte Carlo Markov chains had converged producing a Gelman-Rubin coefficient < 1.2 (Pella
and Masuda 2001). The last 1,000 iterations from each of the 10 chains were combined, and for each fish the probability of originating from each population in the baseline was determined. These individual probabilities were summed over all fish in the sample, and divided by the number of fish sampled to provide the point estimate of stock composition. Standard deviations of estimated stock compositions were also determined from the last 1,000 iterations from each of the 10 Monte Carlo Markov chains incorporated in the analysis.
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## Sockeye Salmon

## United States

The following methods are used by the ADF\&G Gene Conservation Laboratory to estimate stock proportions of transboundary sockeye salmon harvested by commercial fishers in U.S. Districts 106, 108, and 111 in Southeast Alaska.

## Fishery Sampling

Landings from drift gillnet fisheries in Subdistricts 106-30 and 106-41 (District 106), in District 108, and in District 111 were sampled by ADF\&G at fish processing facilities in Ketchikan, Wrangell, Petersburg, and Juneau, and by observers on tenders. Sampling protocols ensured that the fish sampled were as representative of catches as possible. Axillary processes were excised and placed into individually labeled vials and preserved in ethanol or dried onto Whatman paper. Associated data for each sample including fishery and capture date were recorded, and the tissue sample for each fish was paired with age, sex, and length (ASL) information and with otolith samples. Otolith samples were examined for enhanced marks by the ADF\&G Mark, Tag, and Age Laboratory in Juneau.

## Laboratory Analysis

We extracted genomic DNA from tissue samples using a DNeasy® 96 Blood and Tissue Kit by QIAGEN® (Valencia, CA). We screened 96 SNP markers using Fluidigm ${ }^{\circledR} 96.96$ Dynamic Array ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Integrated Fluidic Circuits (IFCs), which systematically combine up to 96 assays and 96 samples into 9,216 parallel reactions. The components are pressurized into the IFC using the IFC Controller HX (Fluidigm). Each reaction is conducted in a 7.2 nL volume chamber consisting of a mixture of 20X Fast GT Sample Loading Reagent (Fluidigm), 2X TaqMan ${ }^{\circledR}$ GTXpress ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Master Mix (Applied Biosystems ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ ), Custom TaqMan ${ }^{\circledR}$ SNP Genotyping Assay (Applied Biosystems ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ ), 2X Assay Loading Reagent (Fluidigm), 50X ROX Reference Dye (Invitrogen ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ ), and $60-400 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{LDNA}$. Thermal cycling is performed on a FluidigmFC1 $1^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Cycler using a Fast-PCR protocol as follows: a "Thermal-Mix" step of $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min , an initial "Hot-Start" denaturation of $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 sec and annealing at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 sec , with a final "Cool-Down" at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 sec . The Dynamic Array IFCs were read on a Biomark ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ or EP1 ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ System (Fluidigm) after amplification and scored using Fluidigm SNP Genotyping Analysis software.

Assays that failed to amplify on the Fluidigm system were reanalyzed with the QuantStudio ${ }^{\text {TM }} 12 \mathrm{~K}$ Flex Real-Time PCR System (Life Technologies). Each reaction was performed in 384-well plates in a $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ volume consisting of $6-40 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ of DNA, 2 X TaqMan ${ }^{\circledR}$ GTXpress $^{\text {TM }}$ Master Mix (Applied Biosystems ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ ), and Custom TaqMan ${ }^{\circledR}$ SNP Genotyping Assay (Applied Biosystems). Thermal cycling was performed on a Dual 384-Well GeneAmp ${ }^{\circledR}$ PCR System 9700 (Applied Biosystems) as follows: an initial "Hot-Start" denaturation of $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at $92^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 sec and annealing at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 min , with a final "Cool-Down" hold at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The plates were scanned on the system after amplification and scored using the Life Technologies QuantStudio 12K Flex Software.
Genotypes produced on both platforms were imported and archived in the Gene Conservation Lab Oracle database, LOKI.

## Quality Control

Quality control methods consisted of reextracting $8 \%$ of project fish and genotyping them for the same SNPs assayed in the original extraction. Discrepancy rates were calculated as the number of conflicting genotypes, divided by the total number of genotypes examined. These rates describe the difference between original project data and quality control data for all SNPs and are capable of identifying extraction, assay plate, and genotyping errors. This quality control method is the best representation of the error rate of our current genotype production.

Error rates for the original genotyping can be estimated as half the rate of discrepancy by assuming that the discrepancies among analyses were due equally to errors during the original genotyping and to errors during quality control, and by assuming that at least one of these assays produced the correct genotype.

## Estimating Stock Compositions

A single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) baseline was recently developed for Southeast Alaska (SEAK) and British Columbia (BC) (Rogers Olive et al. in prep review). This baseline included populations spanning from Prince William Sound, south to Washington State for a total of 171 populations (Table 1Appendix Table C.5). This baseline was analyzed at a total of 96 markers, of which 91 markers were kept for MSA (Appendix Table 2C.6).

Reporting groups were defined based upon transboundary management needs and meeting criteria set by the Gene Conservation Laboratory (Habicht et al. 2012). Once defined, reporting groups underwent extensive testing for use in MSA. This included repeated proof tests, in which we sampled 200 individuals without replacement from each reporting group and analyzed them as a mixture against the reduced baseline. The reporting groups tested for Stikine River area fisheries included: 1) Tahltan, 2) Stikine Other, and 3) Non-Stikine. Reporting groups tested for Taku River area fisheries included: 1) Tatsamenie, 2) Taku Lakes Other, 3) Taku/Stikine Mainstem, and 4) Other. These reporting groups meet the minimum critical level of $90 \%$ correct allocation in repeated proof tests (Seeb et al. 2000).

Methods for mixture analysis have improved since the inception of this project, and can now include additional available data to help inform the genetic estimates. Specifically, ages from matched scales and hatchery marks on matched otoliths allow more detailed stock composition estimates. With the additional information gained from including ages and otolith marked fish, results were reported for 5 reporting groups for Stikine River area fisheries (Stikine/Taku Mainstem, Tahltan Wild, Enhanced Tahltan, Enhanced Tuya, and Non-Stikine). At the request of the TTC, these groups will be rolled up into the agreed-upon reporting groups, with the Tahltan reporting groups including Tahltan Wild, Enhanced Tahltan, and Enhanced Tuya. For Taku River area fisheries, results were reported for 9 reporting groups (Taku/Stikine Mainstem, Taku Lakes, Tatsamenie Wild, Speel Wild, Enhanced Tatsamenie, Enhanced Trapper, Enhanced Snettisham, and Enhanced Stikine). At the request of the TTC, these reporting groups will be rolled up into the agreed-upon reporting groups, with the Tatsamenie reporting group including Tatsamenie Wild and Enhanced Tatsamenie, the Taku Lakes Other reporting group including Taku Lakes and Enhanced Trapper, the Taku/Stikine Mainstem reporting group staying the same, and the Other reporting group including Speel Wild, Enhanced Snettisham, Enhanced Stikine, and Other. Results were noted if estimates do not meet the precision and accuracy guidelines set by the TTC in April 2013 (to estimate the proportion of mixtures within $10 \%$ of the true mixture $90 \%$ of the time).

In the mark- and age-enhanced GSI model, the Bayesian methods of the Pella-Masuda Model (Pella and Masuda 2001) were extended to include otolith-marked and aged individuals for estimating stock compositions where unmarked fish have unknown origin, but are known to belong to some wild stock in
the genetic baseline and otolith-marked individuals are known to belong to a hatchery stock. While all individuals were aged, none of the otolith-marked fish were genotyped and only a subset of wild fish were genotyped. Thus, the entire mixture sample was comprised of 3 sample components: 1) the number of wild individuals that are aged and genotyped; 2) the number of wild individuals that are aged but not genotyped; and 3) the number of aged and otolith-marked fish.

Two sets of parameters were required for running the model: 1) a vector of stock compositions, summing to one, with a proportion for each of the wild and hatchery stocks weighted by harvest per stratum; and 2) a matrix of age composition, with a row for each of the wild and hatchery stocks (summing to one), and a column for each age class. This information was "completed" iteratively by stochastically assigning each wild fish to a population, then estimating the stock proportions based on summaries of assignments from each iteration.

To initialize the algorithm, all wild fish were given a stock assignment stochastically. The initialized algorithm then proceeded in the following steps:

1) Summarize all age data by assigned and observed stocks for both wild and hatchery individuals;
2) Estimate the stock proportions and age composition from previous summaries (accounting for sampling error);
3) Stochastically assign each wild fish with genotypes to a wild stock of origin based on the product of its genotypic frequency, age frequency, and stock proportion for each population;
4) Stochastically assign each wild fish without genotypes to a stock of origin based on the product of its age frequency and stock proportion for each population; and
5) Repeat steps 1-4 while updating and recording the estimates of the stock proportions and age compositions with each iteration.

This algorithm was run for 40,000 iterations, discarding the first 20,000 iterations to eliminate the effect of the initial state. Multiple chains were run to assess convergence via the Gelman-Rubin shrink factor which compares variation within a chain to the total variation among chains (Gelman and Rubin 1992). Shrink factors greater than 1.2 indicated that the chains did not converge for a given mixture. To address this, we reanalyzed the mixture in question with double the number of iterations. The point estimates and credibility intervals for the stock proportions and age composition were summary statistics of the output.

## Canada

The following methods are used by the DFO's Molecular Genetics Laboratory, Pacific Biological Station, Nanaimo, B.C. to estimate stock proportions of transboundary Sockeye salmon harvested by inriver fisheries on the Alsek, Taku, and Stikine rivers.

## Laboratory Analysis

Once sockeye salmon genomic DNA was available, surveys of variation at the following 15 microsatellite loci were conducted: Ots2, Ots3 (Banks et al. 1999); Ots100, Ots103, Ots107 (Beacham et al. 1998; Nelson and Beacham 1999); Oki1a Oki1b, Oki6, Oki10, Oki16, and Oki29 (Smith et al. 1998 and unpublished); One8 (Scribner et al. 1996); and Omy77 (Morris et al. 1996). Microsatellites were size fractionated in an Applied Biosystems (ABI) 3730 capillary DNA sequencer, and genotypes were scored by GeneMapper software 3.0 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) using an internal lane sizing standard.

In general, polymerase chain (PCR) reactions were conducted in $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volumes consisting of 0.06 units of Taq polymerase, $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 30 ng DNA, $1.5-2.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,1 \mathrm{mM} 10 \mathrm{x}$ buffer, 0.8 mM dNTP's, $0.006-0.065 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of labeled forward primer (depending on the locus), $0.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ unlabeled forward primer, $0.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ unlabeled reverse primer, and deionized H2O. PCR was completed on an MJResearch ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ DNA Engine ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ PCT-200
or a DNA Engine Tetrad ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ PCT-225. The amplification profile involved one cycle of $2 \mathrm{~min} @ 92^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30$ cycles of $15 \mathrm{sec} @ 92^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{sec} @ 52-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (depending on the locus) and $30 \mathrm{sec} @ 72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and a final extension for $10 \mathrm{~min} @ 72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Specific PCR conditions for a particular locus could vary from this general outline. Further information on laboratory equipment and techniques is available at the Molecular Genetics Laboratory website at http://www.pac.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/science/facilities-installations/pbs-sbp/mgl-lgm.

## Baseline Populations

Mixture analysis required microsatellite analysis of Sockeye salmon from drainage specific baselines within Canada, consisting of 16 populations/sampling sites for the Stikine River, 16 populations/sampling sites for the Alsek River, and 17 populations/sampling sites for the Taku River. All annual baseline samples available for a specific sample location were combined to estimate population allele frequencies, as was recommended by Waples (1990).

## Estimation of Stock Composition

Analysis of fishery samples was conducted with a Bayesian procedure (BAYES) as outlined by Pella and Masuda (2001). Each locus was assumed to be in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, and expected genotypic frequencies were determined from the observed allele frequencies and used as model inputs. For BAYES, the initial FORTRAN-based computer program as outlined by Pella and Masuda (2001) required large amounts of computer analytical time when applied to stock identification problems with a baseline as comprehensive as employed in the current study. Given this limitation, a new version of the program was developed by our laboratory as a C-based program which is available from the Molecular Genetics Laboratory website (Neaves et al. 2005). In the analysis, ten 20,000-iteration Monte Carlo Markov chains of estimated stock compositions were produced, with initial starting values for each chain set at 0.90 for a particular population which was different for each chain. Estimated stock compositions were estimated when all Monte Carlo Markov chains had converged producing a Gelman-Rubin coefficient < 1.2 (Pella and Masuda 2001). The last 1,000 iterations from each of the 10 chains were combined, and for each fish the probability of originating from each population in the baseline was determined. These individual probabilities were summed over all fish in the sample, and divided by the number of fish sampled to provide the point estimate of stock composition. Standard deviations of estimated stock compositions were also determined from the last 1,000 iterations from each of the 10 Monte Carlo Markov chains incorporated in the analysis.
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## APPENDIX

## 2017 ANTICIPATED TRANSBOUNDARY PROJECTS

Proposed projects regarding the Stikine, Taku, and Alsek salmon stocks are summarized in Appendix A. 1 to A. 5. For each project listed, information regarding the dates of operation, primary objectives, and agency roles are described. Contacts are listed at the bottom of each table. The agencies will endeavor to achieve the proposed field projects detailed below. The agencies acknowledge these projects or elements thereof are subject to funding shortfalls from time to time. In the event there is a deviation from the elements described the agency will provide advance notification, where possible, to the other agency.

Appendix A. 1. Proposed field projects, Stikine River 2017.

| Project/ <br> Approx. Dates | Function | Agency | Involvement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stikine Chinook Mark-Recapture |  |  |  |
| 5/3-7/7 | Tag through June 1 and re-evaluate whether to continue tagging; a target of 445 Stikine River large Chinook salmon captured from Kakwan Point drift net site. | ADF\&G/ <br> DFO/ <br> TIFN | All aspects except tag recovery |
|  | - Collect GSI samples (from each fish tagged) separated by week; provided to DFO. | ADF\&G/ <br> DFO/TIFN |  |
|  | - Recover spaghetti tags and CWTs from Canadian fisheries (non-directed), Little Tahltan weir (record only) and from Iskut tributaries (Verrett). Tags may also be recovered from other spawning sites (e.g. Shakes, Craig, Tashoots). | DFO/TIFN | All aspects |
|  | - At tagging site recover CWTs from nonlarge Chinook salmon and wand large Chinook salmon with missing adipose for presence/absence; samples to ADF\&G lab. | ADF\&G/ <br> DFO/TIFN | All aspects |

Tahltan Lake Smolt Estimation
4/29-6/15

- Enumerate Tahltan Lake sockeye salmon smolts.

DFO/TIFN
All aspects

- Sample up to 800 smolts for age, size, and otoliths.

Appendix A. 1. (continued)

| Project/ | Function | Agency | Involvement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approx. Dates |  |  |  |

## Upper Stikine Sampling

- Sample up to 600 sockeye for matched ASL egg diameters and otoliths proportionally from the TIFN and commercial fishery at Telegraph Cr.
- Sample up to 500 Chinook salmon for ASLCWTs, TIFN/DFO and spaghetti tags. ASL all tagged/marked Chinook salmon.


## Little Tahltan Chinook Salmon Enumeration

- Enumerate Little Tahltan Chinook salmon from a weir located at the mouth of the river. Modifications to the weir are planned for 2017 to include video counter.
- Record presence/absence of spaghetti tags and adipose fins.
- Record estimated lengths through video counter.


## Test Fishery in Lower Stikine

6/18-9/2

- Conduct test fishery for sockeye salmon to assess run size and run timing.
- Chinook salmon caught in the drift test net shall be released. In the test set net sample any Chinook salmon for tags/tag loss, CWTs and for ASL. CWT samples to go to DFO lab in Vancouver, unless other arrangements are made.
- Sample up to 400 sockeye salmon per week for otoliths matched with scales and, for females, with egg diameters. Transfer otolith samples to ADF\&G weekly for inseason processing. For inseason analysis, a combined sample of 60-200 otoliths per week from the lower river test fishery will be analyzed for stock ID.
TIFN/DFO
TIFN/DFO

Collect samples, data collection, and data analysis

Sampling and data analysis

DFO/TIFN
All aspects

All aspects

All aspects

DFO/TIFN All aspects

DFO
All aspects

DFO/TIFN, All aspects ADF\&G Otolith analysis

Appendix A. 1. (continued)
Project/ Function
Agency Involvement
Approx. Dates
Test Fishery in Lower Stikine continued

- Sample all coho (caught in sockeye test fishery) for

DFO/TIFN All aspects CWTs and ASL; CWT samples to go to DFO lab in Vancouver, unless other arrangements are made.

## Commercial Inriver Fishery Stock ID Sampling

- In the unlikely event of a directed commercial fishery, commercial catch sampling for directed Chinook salmon fishery to include up to 200/week for ASL and secondary marks (operculum punch), plus observe $50 \%$ of the catch for adipose clips. Collect heads from all clipped fish observed. CWT samples to go to DFO lab in Vancouver, unless other arrangements are made. Collect up to 200 GSI samples/week. U.S. port samplers will sample a portion of the lower river harvest delivered to Wrangell-Petersburg.

6/18-8/26 - Commercial catch sampling for sockeye salmon to include 200/week for ASL, plus up to 200 otolith samples including 150 matched eggdiameter/otolith samples. Otolith deliveries to be arranged with ADF\&G and will require delivery by boat to Wrangell. Analyze 60 to 200 sockeye otolith samples per week.

- Incidental commercial catch sampling for Chinook salmon during targeted sockeye salmon fishery to include up to 200/week for ASL and secondary marks (operculum punch), plus observe $>50 \%$ of the catch for adipose clips. Collect heads and ASL information from all clipped fish observed. CWT samples to go to DFO lab in Vancouver, unless other arrangements are made. Collect 200 GSI samples/week.

8/27-9/16 Sample all adipose clipped coho for CWTs and ASL; annual commercial fishery sampling target is 500 for ASL. CWT samples to go to DFO lab in Vancouver, unless other arrangements are made.

Appendix A. 1. (continued)

| Project/ <br> Approx. Dates | Function |
| :--- | :--- |
| Districts 106 \&108 Stock ID Sampling |  |
| $5 / 8-7 / 22$ | Sample a minimum of 20\% of Chinook salmon <br> harvest for CWTs as per PSC coastwide standard; |
|  | sample Chinook salmon for ASL (ASL sampling <br> goals are 600 for the season for D108). GSI |
| sampling targets for Chinook salmon in D108 <br> commercial fisheries are 120/week for directed |  |
| fisheries and 80/week for nondirected fisheries. |  |

$6 / 18-8 / 12$

- Collect 300 sockeye salmon samples/week for ASL, GSI, and otoliths matched samples in drift gillnet fisheries in each of Subdistricts 106-41 and 106-30 and 520 sockeye salmon samples/week in District 108.

6/18-10/21 - Sample a minimum $20 \%$ of coho catches in the drift gillnet fisheries in each district for CWT and sample 600 coho for ASL (sampling goals are 600 per district for the season).

## Chinook Salmon Surveys

7/25-8/19 - Survey Chinook salmon in Andrew Creek and sample a minimum 200 Chinook salmon for ASL, spaghetti- and coded-wire tags. Conduct aerial and foot surveys.

Tahltan Lake Salmon Enumeration
7/5-9/15

- Enumerate Tahltan Lake sockeye entering the lake at weir.
- Live-sample a minimum of 600 sockeye for ASL and an additional 125 fish per day for sex.
- Endeavour to conduct terminal fishery at Tahltan Lake if escapement targets are likely to be exceeded.

ADF\&G All aspects

ADF\&G
All aspects

ADF\&G All aspects

ADF\&G All aspects

DFO/TIFN All aspects

DFO/TIFN All aspects

DFO/TIFN All aspects

Appendix A. 1. (continued)
Project/

| Approx. Dates |
| :--- |
| Tahltan Lake Salmon Enumeration Continued |
| $\bullet$ If escapement goal is achieved, sample up to 400 |
| sockeye for both otoliths and egg diameters (400 |
| additional fish will be sampled from the |
| broodstock take). If the return is weak, fish will |
| not be sacrificed for otoliths. Attempts will be |
| made to obtain samples from broodstock or |
| carcasses | carcasses.

- Sample available postspawn Chinook salmon in Johnny Tashoots Creek for ASL, spaghetti tags, and CWTs. Collect GSI baseline samples to complete inventory.

Chinook and Coho Coded Wire Tagging
4/18-5/30 - Targets are 40k Chinook smolts and 10k coho smolts.

- Sample every $100^{\text {th }} \mathrm{CN}$ and $115^{\text {th }} \mathrm{CO}$ smolt for length (FL).

Sport Fishery Sampling
6/6-8/7 - Survey anglers in the Tahltan River subject to recreational fishery opening (and sample FSC fish at same sites).

4/30-7/22

- Conduct catch sampling program for Petersburg and Wrangell sport fisheries and sample for CWTs, GSI, and ASL. Target is to sample $30 \%$ of catch for CWTs. Conduct postseason surveys (statewide survey) to obtain harvest data.

Coho and Sockeye Salmon Aerial Surveys
9/10, 11/04 - Enumerate Stikine sockeye and coho salmon spawning in index areas (and Tahltan Lake) within the Canadian portion of the river (subject to inseason funding).

Appendix A. 1. (continued)

## Contacts: Stikine Projects

Ian Boyce/Johnny Sembsmoen
Steve Smith
Cheri Frocklage/Kerry Carlick
Phil Richards/Troy Jaecks

| (DFO) | All DFO projects. |
| :--- | :--- |
| (DFO) | All DFO projects. |
| (TIFN) | Inriver sampling projects. |
| (ADF\&G) | Chinook tagging and <br>  <br> Andrew Creek sampling. |
| (ADF\&G) | Anveys; <br> 106\&108 samples, stock assessment. |

Canadian staff associated with Stikine projects that may be crossing the Canadian/U.S. border:
Johnny Sembsmoen, Cheri Frocklage, Collin Ball, Al MacCleod, Sean Stark, Bill Waugh, Kyle Inkster, Kerry Carlick, Mel Besharah, Jared Dennis, Drew Inkster, Bonnie Huebschwerlen, Ian Boyce, Shelby Marion, Michael Nole, Brianna Tashoots, John Nole, Sheldon Dennis, Steve Smith, others
U.S. staff associated with Stikine projects that may be crossing the Canadian/U.S. border:

Jim Andel, Troy Thynes, Kevin Clark, Sara Gilk-Baumer, Serena Rogers Olive, Julie Bednarski, Tom Kowalske, Phil Richards, Stephen Todd, Ed Jones, Troy Jaecks, Chris Ford, Caitlin Cardinell, Kiana Putman, Larry Derby, Clay Culbert, Sarah Power, Laura Junge, Jeff Williams, Patrick Fowler, Andy Piston, Bob Clark, John H. Clark, Lowell Fair, Scott Forbes, others

Appendix A. 2. Proposed field projects, Taku River, 2017.

| Project/Dates | Function |
| :--- | :--- |
| Canyon Island Marking Program <br> Mid-April | - |
|  |  |
| $5 / 1-10 / 3$ | - |
|  | Fish wheel/gillnet operation. |
|  | - |
|  |  | spaghetti tags. Tagging goals for each species are: - Chinook salmon:

- Spaghetti tag 1,500 large, 500 medium and 250 small
- $25-30 \%$ precision goal for season;
- Drift gillnet downstream of Canyon Island (4hrs/day);
- Radio tag 150 large Chinook salmon (drift gillnet);
- Target 500 GSI samples (delivered to Canada)
- Sample all Chinook salmon for ASL
- Sacrifice all adipose-clipped nonlarge Chinook salmon and wand large Chinook salmon with missing adipose for presence/absence of CWT; CWT samples to go to ADF\&G lab.
- sockeye salmon:
- Spaghetti tag 4,000-6,000;
- Sample for ASL: 260/week
- Precision goals $50 \%$ for weekly inseason estimates, $10 \%$ for postseason;
- Fish wheels spinning prior to sockeye salmon timing;
- Radio tag 430 caught in fish wheels.
- coho salmon:
- Spaghetti tag 2,500
- Sample for ASL: 600
- $25 \%$ precision goal for season ( $95 \%$ relative precision).
- Sacrifice all adipose-clipped coho salmon caught for CWTs; CWT samples to go to ADF\&G lab.


## Salmon Telemetry

- 10 towers at various sites to account for fish behavior and inform M-R estimates.

ADF\&G/ All aspects DFO

- weekly flights to determine fish positions within the drainage.
Appendix A. 2. (continued)

| Project/Dates | Function |
| :--- | :--- |
| Smolt Tagging - CWT lower Taku |  |
| $4 / 7-5 / 30$ | - $\quad$CWTing goals are 40,000 Chinook and 30,000 coho <br>  <br> - <br> salmon smolt. |
| Sample every 100th Chinook and 115th coho salmon <br> smolt for length (FL) and weight. |  |
| - | Sample 300 coho salmon smolt for age (12-15 scales). |

## Canadian Aboriginal Fishery Monitoring

TRTFN All aspects
Nahlin Sonar
6/02-7/28

- Enumerate large Chinook salmon using sonar in lower Nahlin River.


## Nahlin/Tseta Sampling

7/25-8/7

- Sample Chinook salmon in Nahlin River and Tseta Creek for ASL, spaghetti tags/tag loss, and CWT. CWT samples to go to ADF\&G lab.
Agency Involvement


## Smolt Tagging - CWT lower Taku

- CWTing goals are 40,000 Chinook and 30,000 coho

| ADF\&G/ | All aspects |
| :--- | :--- |
| DFO | ADF\&G |

ADF\&G 4 staff
DFO 2 staff

62-7/28
DFO All aspects
ADFG/ All aspects
DFO/
TRTFN

## Dudidontu Sampling

8/5-8/15

- Sample Chinook in Dudidontu River for ASL, CWTs, and spaghetti tags/tag loss. CWT samples to go to DFO or ADF\&G lab.
ADFG/ All aspects
DFO/
TRTFN

Nakina Chinook Salmon Escapement Sampling
8/1-8/26

- Operate the Chinook salmon carcass weir on the Nakina River.
- Examine all Chinook salmon for ASL, spaghetti tags/tag loss, and CWT. CWT samples to go to DFO lab. A subsample of 600 will be sampled for age.
- Opportunistically obtain genetic samples from Nakina sockeye salmon (target is 200 over the long term).

Canadian Commercial Fishery Sampling
6/25-10/8

- Collect and record commercial catch information; forward to ADF\&G Juneau via Whitehorse.
- Sample Chinook, sockeye and coho salmon for ASL and secondary marks, 200 per week for sockeye; 520 per season for coho salmon; 50-150 scale samples per week for Chinook salmon. Examine a minimum of $40 \%$ of Chinook and $20 \%$ of coho salmon catch for adipose clips and secondary marks.

TRTFN All aspects
TRTFN All aspects

TRTFN All aspects

DFO
All aspects

DFO
All aspects

Appendix A. 2. (continued)

| Project/Dates | Function |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Sample 125 sockeye salmon per week for GSI <br> samples. |
|  | Collect 192 sockeye salmon otolith samples per week <br> to estimate contribution of enhanced fish; send otolith <br> samples to ADF\&G for processing via Canyon Island. |

- Inseason sockeye salmon otolith analysis.
- Collect and record all spaghetti tags and radio tags caught in commercial fisheries.
- Collect salmon roe as required for CWT program.


## Canadian Coho Assessment Fishery

9/10-10/7

- Capture and inspect up to 500 coho salmon per week for spaghetti- and coded-wire tags. Sample up to 520 coho salmon for the season for ASL and tag scars. Sample 125 per week for GSI. CWT samples to go to ADF\&G Juneau.


## District 111 Fishery Sampling

Agency Involvement

All aspects

DFO
All aspects

ADF\&G All aspects
DFO
All aspects

DFO
All aspects

DFO
All aspects

ADF\&G All aspects

ADF\&G All aspects salmon harvests for CWTs; all species except pink salmon for ASL, as well as Chinook salmon for maturity ( 600 per season for Chinook, chum, and coho salmon).

- Sample commercial Chinook salmon harvest for GSI samples; target is 120 /week if directed fishery occurs. Goal for nondirected incidental catch is $80 /$ week.).

ADF\&G All aspects

Appendix A. 2. (continued)
Project/Dates Fu
District 111 Fishery Sampling Continued

- Collect and record all spaghetti and radio tags caught in marine fisheries.
- Collect 320 matched genetics/scale/otolith samples per week from sockeye salmon with subdistrict specific goals.


## Kuthai Sockeye Sampling

7/6-9/5 - $\begin{aligned} & \text { Operate the adult sockeye salmon weir at Kuthai Lake; } \\ & \text { enumerate and sample for ASL and spaghetti tag loss }\end{aligned}$ (750 samples) and recover spaghetti tags.

## King Salmon Weir

$7 / 7-9 / 6 \quad$ Operate the adult sockeye salmon weir at King
Salmon Lake; enumerate and sample for ASLspaghetti tag loss ( 750 samples), recover spaghetti tags.

## Aerial Chinook surveys

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 7 / 21-8 / 25- \text { Aerial surveys of spawning Chinook salmon in the } \\
& \text { Nakina, Nahlin, Dudidontu, Tatsatua, Kowatua, and } \\
& \text { Tseta rivers. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Sport Fishery Sampling (Marine)

6/15-7/9 - | Conduct catch sampling program in the Juneau sport |
| :--- |
| fishery and sample for CWTs, ASL, and GSI. Target |
| is to sample $20 \%$ of catch for CWTs (includes derby |
| sampling). Conduct postseason surveys (statewide |
| survey) to obtain harvest data. |

## Nakina Chinook Fishery Monitoring

6/14-7/15 • Monitor FSC and Recreation Fishery

## Little Trapper Weir

7/20-8/31 - Enumerate adult sockeye salmon through weir and sample for ASL, spaghetti tag loss ( 750 samples), and recover spaghetti tags.
Agency Involvement

ADF\&G All aspects

## ADF\&G <br> All aspects

TRTFN
All aspects

TRTFN
All aspects

ADF\&G
All aspects

ADF\&G All aspects

TRTFN/DFO All aspects

DFO
All aspects

Appendix A. 2. (continued)

## Tatsamenie Sockeye Weir

8/3-9/30 - $\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Enumerate adult sockeye salmon through weir and } \\ & \text { sample for ASL, spaghetti tag loss ( } 750 \text { samples }) \text {, and }\end{aligned}$ recover spaghetti tags. 400 broodstock will be sampled for ASL and matched otoliths.

Tatsamenie Area Chinook sampling
9/1-10/1 - At upper Tatsamenie, sample Chinook salmon for ASL, spaghetti tags/tag loss, and CWTs. CWT samples to go to DFO lab.
$8 / 20-9 / 16$

- Operate the carcass weir at Lower Tatsamenie and sample all Chinook salmon recovered for ASL, spaghetti tags/tag loss, and CWTs. Target sample size is 600-900 all sizes.


## Kowatua Sampling

9/1-10/1 • Sample Chinook for ASL, spaghetti tags/tag loss, and DFO All aspects CWTs.

## Contacts: Taku Projects

Ed Jones (ADF\&G) Smolt tagging, adult Chinook escapement sampling.
Jim Andel (ADF\&G) Canyon Island adult tagging.
Julie Bednarski (ADF\&G) All ADF\&G Com Fish Research Programs.
Phil Richards (ADF\&G) Smolt tagging, adult Chinook escapement sampling. Chinook surveys.
Jeff Williams (ADF\&G) Smolt tagging, adult Chinook escapement sampling. Chinook surveys.
Sara Gilk-Baumer
Bonnie (DFO)
Huebschwerlen
Ian Boyce (DFO) All DFO Taku programs.
Bill Waugh (DFO) All DFO Taku programs.
Steve Smith (DFO) All DFO Taku programs.
Cheri Frocklage (TIFN) All TIFN programs.
Mark Connor (TRTFN) All TRTFN programs.
Richard Erhardt (TRTFN) All TRTFN programs.
Canadian staff associated with Taku projects that may be crossing the Canadian/U.S. border:
Ian Boyce, Bill Waugh, Kirstie Falkevitch, Al MacCleod, Mark McFarland, Richard Erhardt, Mark Connor, Shawn McFarland, Chris Kirby, Trevor Williams, Shauna Yoemans, Logan Fraser, Keith Carlick, Trevor Carlick, Sabrina Williams, Brian Mercer, Steve Smith, Cheri Frocklage, Jason Williams, Bonnie Huebschwerlen, Mathieu Ducharme, others.
U.S. staff associated with Taku projects that may be crossing the Canadian/U.S. border:

Jim Andel, Julie Bednarski, Ed Jones, Sara Gilk-Baumer, Kent Crabtree, Dave Harris, Scott Forbes, Phil Richards, John Cooney, David Dreyer, Brian Frenette, Jeff Williams, Zane Chapman, Andy Piston, Nathan Frost, Lee Close, Lars Sorensen, Stephen Todd, Troy Jaecks, Lowell Fair, Serena Rogers Olive, Bob Clark, John H. Clark, others.

Appendix A. 3. Proposed field projects, Alsek River, 2017.

| Project/Dates | Function | Agency | Involvement |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Klukshu River Sampling <br> $6 / 5-10 / 06$ | Enumerate Chinook, sockeye and coho salmon at <br> weir. | DFO/CAFN | All aspects |  |
|  | $\bullet$ | Estimate sport and aboriginal fishery catches. | DFO/CAFN | All aspects |
|  | $\bullet$ | Opportunistically collect ASL information from <br> sockeye salmon caught by First Nations (up to 600 <br> scale samples per species). | DFO/CAFN | All aspects |
|  |  |  |  |  |

- Opportunistically sample 200 Chinook salmon in each of sport and aboriginal harvest for scales, sex, length (MEF), and CWTs.
- Sample 600 Chinook, 700 sockeye, and coho salmon (opportunistically) at weir for ASL.
- Install video weir for enumeration.

Village Creek sockeye enumeration
6/15-9/30

- Enumerate salmon (sockeye focus) using a video counting system at Village Creek.


## Lower Alsek Sampling

6/4-7/31

- Collect ASL, GSI data (sockeye-800, Chinook-600) from Dry Bay commercial fishery.
- Analyze GSI samples to derive drainage wide escapement estimate sockeye salmon based on proportion Klukshu fish expansion of Dry Bay harvest.

ADF\&G All aspects
DFO All aspects

## Contact: Alsek Projects

| Ian Boyce | (DFO) | All DFO projects |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bill Waugh | (DFO) | All DFO projects |
| Steve Smith | (DFO) | All DFO projects |
| Sean Stark | (DFO) | All DFO projects |
| Nicole Zeiser | (ADF\&G) | U.S. fisheries |
| Rick Hoffman | (ADF\&G) | U.S. fisheries |
| Dixie Smeeton | (CAFN) | CAFN projects |

Canadian staff associated with Alsek projects that may be crossing the Canadian/U.S. border:
Mark McFarland, Alfie Lavallee, Ian Boyce, Bill Waugh, Shawn McFarland, Dixie Smeeton, Sean Stark, Steve Smith, others.
U.S. staff associated with Alsek projects that may be crossing the Canadian/U.S. border:

Nicole Zeiser, Julie Bednarski, others
Appendix A. 4. Proposed enhancement projects for Transboundary Stikine and Taku rivers, 2017.
Project Function

2/1-5/15 - Acquire Canadian permitting regarding egg and fry DFO All aspects

Tahltan Lake Enhancement Project

| $5 / 5-6 / 20$ | - | Enumeration and sampling of smolts from Tahltan <br> Lake (Stikine River, in Canada) and collection of <br> otolith samples to determine planted contribution. | DFO | All aspects |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $5 / 15-6 / 30$ | - | Backplant sockeye fry from Snettisham Hatchery <br> into Tahltan Lake. | DIPAC/ <br> ADF\&G | All aspects |
| $6 / 1-8 / 30$ | - | Limnological samples from Tahltan Lake monthly. | DFO | All Aspects |
| $8 / 24-10 / 05$ | - | Collect up to 5.0 million sockeye eggs from Tahltan <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> Lake and transport to Snettisham Hatchery in <br> Alaska. (Dates are subject to onsite conditions). | DFO | Egg-take <br> and |
| transport |  |  |  |  |

## Tuya Straying Assessment

9/1-9/30

- Survey Shakes Creek spawning area for incidence and success of sockeye spawning
DFO-support All aspects from TFN

Appendix A. 4. (continued)

| Project Function | Agency | Involvement |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Tatsamenie Lake Enhancement Project

| 5/10-8/30 | - Sample smolt out-migration from Tatsamenie (Taku River, in Canada) and conduct mark-recapture program on smolt from Tatsamenie Lake, submit samples to DFO for otolith analysis. | DFO/Northern <br> - funding | All aspects |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5/24-5/30 | - Back-plant sockeye fry from Snettisham Hatchery into Tatsamenie Lake. | DFO/DIPAC/ <br> ADF\&G | All aspects |
| 6/1-8/15 | - Onshore extended rearing - net pen rearing of ~ 225,000 sockeye fry. Expected growth from 0.35 g to 4.0 grams. | DFO/DIPAC/ <br> Mercer and Assoc. | All Aspects |
| 8/15-10/30 | - Collect up to $30 \%$ available broodstock (up to 2.0 million sockeye eggs) from Tatsamenie Lake and transport to Snettisham Hatchery in Alaska. | DFO | Egg-take and transport |
| 9/25-10/05 | - Sample 200 male and 200 female adult sockeye from Tatsamenie Lake broodstock for otolith samples. | DFO | All aspects |

## Trapper Lake Enhancement

6/1-9/30

- Egg Take of 250 K for planting into Trapper Lake.

DFO/Northern All aspects Funding

## Salmon Egg Incubation

9/1-6/15

- Incubation and thermal marking of juvenile sockeye (eggs \& alevins) collected from transboundary lakes

DIPAC/
ADF\&G at the Snettisham Incubation Facility in Alaska.

## Canadian staff that may be crossing the Canadian/US border:

Flight crew
US staff that may be crossing the Canadian/US border:
Snettisham Hatchery Staff, Eric Prestegard, Garold V. Pryor, Lorraine Vercessi, John Joyce, Ron Josephson flight crew from Ward Air airline.

Appendix A. 5. Baseline collection priorities, 2017. (Baseline collections are opportunistic no identified funding).


| Appendix A. 5. (continued) | Location | Priority |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Project/Dates |  | Agency |
| Alsek sockeye baseline samples | H |  |
| Blanchard Lake | H | DFO |
| Takhanne River | M | DFO |
| Goat Creek | H | DFO |
| Mainstem Tatshenshini (lower) | DFO |  |
| Tats Lake | M | DFO |
| Detour Creek | L | DFO |
| Stinky Creek | M | DFO |
| Tweedsmuir | L | DFO |
| Alsek mainstem | M | $\mathrm{ADF} \mathrm{\& G}$ |
| Border Slough | L | DFO |
| Tanis (US section) | H | $\mathrm{ADF} \mathrm{\& G}$ |
| Basin (US section) |  | $\mathrm{ADF} \mathrm{\& G}$ |
|  | L |  |
| Adjacent Alsek baseline samples | L | $\mathrm{ADF} \mathrm{\& G}$ |
| Ahrnklin R. | L | ADF\&G |
| Akwe | M | ADF\&G |
| Italio | ADF\&G |  |
| Lost |  |  |

GSI sampling protocol:

- the target sample size is 200 adult samples per population unless otherwise noted.
- the preferred tissue to sample is the axillary appendage. For baseline samples, each fish will be sampled for two appendages; one to be sent to the DFO lab and the other to the ADF\&G lab. If only one appendage is sampled, that party's lab will subsample the existing tissue and send to the other lab. For fishery samples, each fish will be sampled for one axillary appendage which will be shared if requested.
- If opercular punches are taken, two punches will be taken from each fish, again one for each of the respective labs. To eliminate problems associated with potential delamination of punches in composite samples i.e. where punches from one population and/or location are all stored in one vial as has been the practice, opercular punches will now be stored in individual labeled vials.
- Axillary appendages and opercular punches will be stored in ethanol (full strength) or dried onto Whatman tissue paper and each sample appropriately labeled (date, location (GPS), species, number of samples, fixative and volume thereof, collector, contact name, agency, phone number).
- Although it is recognized that there are potential efficiencies in terms of effort, time, storage, shipping and archiving associated with using scale samples for GSI, this should not be a tissue of choice when obtaining fishery or other samples for GSI (e.g. out of a tote) but may be used as last resort.


## Appendix B. 1. Stikine Enhancement Production Plan 2016. (Signed by TBR Panel Chairs)

| 2016 SEPP |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Enhancement Project | Activities | Expected Production ${ }^{2}$ | Technique to <br> document production |
| Tahltan Lake | Egg take with target of 4.91 million eggs <br> Guideline for last fishing day will be Sept. 25 <br> (Fry to be planted into Tahltan and/or Tuya lakes) | $(71.88 \%$ green egg - fry, 27.22\% fry- <br> smolt, $6.54 \%$ smolt-adult) | Thermal mark |
|  | Fry planted into Tahltan Lake. |  |  |
|  |  | Expected Total Production $\mathbf{6 2 , 8 4 8}$ |  |


$\qquad$ $2 / 12 / 16$
U.S., TBR Panel Co-Chair

Date

Appendix B. 2. Stikine Enhancement Production Plan 2011 Five-Year Review. (Signed by TBR Panel Chairs)

## 2011 Stikine Enhancement Production PIan (SEPP) Overview and Results (5 Yr Review)

| Brood <br> Year | Result | Egg Take <br> Target* | Green Egg <br> to Fry <br> Survival | Fry | Fry to Smolt <br> Smolt to Adult <br> and overall Fry to <br> Adult Survival | Expect <br> Adults |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | Goal/Expected | 6 M | $80 \%$ | 4.8 M | $25 \%$ and $8 \%$ <br> $=2.0 \%$ | 100,000 |
|  | Actual | 6.48 M | $57 \%$ | $3.73 \mathrm{M}^{* *}$ <br> $(2.13 \mathrm{M}$ to Tahltan) <br> (1.6M to Tuya) | TBD | TBD |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*Additional fishing efforts were employed in 2011 to assist in Broodstock collection targets.
No intentional deviation was made from egg take targets or SEPP by either party in 2011
**IHNV loss of 1.77 Million green eggs (or 1.36 Million fry) from 2011
 Date: for $12 / 2016$ Signature $\qquad$ Date: 2/12/16

Appendix B. 3. Taku Enhancement Production Plan 2016. (Signed by TBR Panel Chairs)

| 2016 TEPP |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enhancement Project | Activities | Expected Production | Technique to document production |
| Tatsamenie Lake | Egg take with target of $30 \%$ of available brood stock ~ goal of up to 2.0 million (Approx. 225 K fry for subsequent on-shore ! inlake extended rearing and remainder for lake outplanting) | 4,400 adults from out-planting <br> ( $3.7 \%$ green egg - smolt, $6.8 \%$ smolt - adult) <br> 1,530 adults from ext. rearing <br> ( $10 \%$ fry - smolt, $6.8 \%$ smolt - adult) | Thermal mark |
| Trapper Lake | Egg take with target of 100,000 . Contingent on barrier removal. | $280 \text { adults ( } 4 \% \text { green egg - smolt, } 7 \%$ $\text { smolt - adult })^{I}$ | Thermal mark |
|  |  | Expected Total Production 6,210 |  |



[^6]
$\frac{2 / 12 / 16}{\text { Date }}$

Appendix B. 4. Stikine Enhancement Production Plan Compliance as determined by the Panel

| Compliance with Plan |  | Harvest Sharing Arrangement |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year |  | Year | Percent U.S./Canada |
| 2009 | Yes | 2014 | $50 / 50$ |
| 2010 | Yes | 2015 | $50 / 50$ |
| 2011 | Yes | 2016 | $50 / 50$ |
| 2012 | Yes | 2017 | $50 / 50$ |

Appendix C. 1. Catalog of genetic tissue collections for transboundary Chinook salmon stocks. Information from 2007 (number of samples held by the U.S. and Canada and baseline gaps identified) is from Report TCTR(07)-02, "Summary of the Transboundary Genetic Stock ID Workshop: January 18-19, 2007".

| Location/Pop | Sample <br> Goal | No. samples 2007 |  | $\begin{gathered} 2007 \\ \text { Gap } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | No. samples 2016 |  | Collection Years |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2016 \\ & \text { Gap } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U.S. | Can. |  | U.S. | Can. | U.S. | Canada |  |
| Stikine Adjacent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unuk |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clear | 200 | 194 |  | 6 | 197 |  | 89,03,04 |  | 3 |
| Cripple | 200 | 153 |  | 47 | 153 |  | 88,03 |  | 47 |
| Gene's Lake | 200 | 152 |  | 48 | 125 |  | 89,03,04 |  | 75 |
| Boundary | 200 | 24 |  | 176 | 23 |  | 03 |  | 177 |
| Kerr | 200 | 154 |  | 46 | 156 |  | 03,04 |  | 44 |
| Lake Creek | 200 | 27 |  | 173 | 30 |  | 03 |  | 170 |
| Eulachon | 200 | 0 |  | 200 | 0 |  |  |  | 200 |
| Bradfield | 400 | 39 |  | 161 | 447 |  | 12,15 |  | 0 |
| Farragut | 400 | 186 |  | 14 | 190 |  | 93,94,13 |  | 210 |
| Harding | 400 | 45 |  | 155 | 318 |  | 89,12,15 |  | 82 |
| Aaron | 200 | 0 |  | 200 | 0 |  |  |  | 200 |
| Eagle | 200 | 0 |  | 200 | 0 |  |  |  | 200 |
| Stikine |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Arm Ck (US) | 200 | 18 |  | 182 | 18 |  | 89 |  | 182 |
| Alpine/Clear (US) | 200 |  |  | 200 | 121 |  | 07,09,10,13,14 |  | 79 |
| Andrews Ck (US) | 200 | 348 | 25 |  | 255 | 144 | 89,04 | 00 | 0 |
| Goat Ck (US) | 200 |  |  | 200 | 57 |  | 07,09,12-14 |  | 143 |
| Kikahe (US) | 200 |  |  |  | 17 |  | 09 |  | 183 |
| Katete | 200 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  | 200 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 00,02,03,07,09,1 |  |
| Verrett | 200 |  | 472 |  | 423 | 854 | 07,10,15 | 0 | 0 |
| Craig | 200 |  | 113 | 87 |  | 114 |  | 01 | 86 |
| Christina (or Christine?) | 200 |  | 205 |  |  | 240 |  | 00-02 | 0 |
| Bear Ck | 200 |  |  | 200 |  | 5 |  | 11 | 195 |
| Stikine (below Chutine) | 200 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Chutine | 200 |  |  | 200 |  | 7 |  | 02 | 193 |
| Stikine (above Chutine) | 200 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Shakes | 200 |  | 169 | 31 | 84 | 225 | 93,07 | 00-03,07 | 0 |
| Tahltan R | 200 |  |  | 200 | 360 | 212 | 89,90,08,09,11 | 08,09,11 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 91,05,08,10,12- |  |  |
| Little Tahltan R | 400 | 409 | 130 |  | 1,486 | 745 | 15 | 99,01,04,10 | 0 |
| Johnny Tashoots | 200 |  | 26 | 174 | 76 | 99 | 08,09 | 01,04,05,08,09 | 101 |
| Beatty | 200 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Tuya | 200 |  |  | 200 | 48 | 32 | 07-09,11-13 | 08,09,11,13 | 152 |


| Appendix C. 1. Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sample | No. samples 2007 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2007 \\ & \text { Gap } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | No. samples 2016 |  | Collection Years |  | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ \text { Gap } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Location/Pop | Goal | U.S. | Canada |  | U.S. | Canada | U.S. | Canada |  |
| Taku Adjacent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chilkat - Big Boulder Ck | 200 | 175 |  | 25 | 180 |  | 91,92,95,04 |  | 20 |
| Whiting | 200 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Taku |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mainstem Taku | 200 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| King Salmon | 200 |  |  | 200 | 17 |  | 07,08,10 |  | 183 |
| Inklin | 200 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sutlahine | 200 |  |  | 200 | 4 | 3 | 10 | 10 | 196 |
| Yeth | 200 |  |  | 200 | 56 | 53 | 08-10 | 08-10 | 144 |
| Kowatua/Little Trapper | 200 | 321 | 78 |  | 190 | 379 | 89,90 | 89,90,99,05 | 0 |
| Tatsatua/Tatsamenie | 200 | 437 | 299 |  | 887 | 736 | 89-90,03-05,07 | 99,05-07 | 0 |
| Hackett | 200 |  |  | 200 | 189 | 233 | 07-08 | 06-08 | 0 |
| Dudidontu | 200 | 189 |  | 11 | 358 | 352 | 90,05,06,08 | 02,04-06,08 | 0 |
| Tseta | 200 | 132 |  | 68 | 374 | 327 | 89,03,08,10 | 89,08,10 | 0 |
| Nahlin | 200 | 210 |  |  | 297 | 303 | 89,90,04,05 | 99,04,06,07 | 0 |
| Sloko | 200 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Nakina | 400 | 198 | 76 |  | 214 | 480 | 89,90,07 | 01,04-07 | 0 |
| Alsek Adjacent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Situk | 400 | 174 | 132 | 26 | 513 |  | 88,90-92,11,13 |  | 0 |
| Alsek |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mainstem Alsek | 200 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Tatshenshini |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |  | 01 |  |
| Mainstem (lower) | 200 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Mainstem (upper) | 200 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Low Fog | 200 |  |  | 200 | 2 |  | 10 |  | 198 |
| Mainstem (middle)/Kudwat | 200 |  |  | 200 | 72 | 70 | 08,10,11 | 08,10,11 | 128 |
| Klukshu | 200 | 250 |  |  | 228 | 433 | 89,90,91 | 87,00,01 | 0 |
| Village Creek | 200 |  |  |  | 16 |  | 12,13 |  | 184 |
| Takhanne | 200 |  |  | 12 | 35 | 218 | 08,10,11 | 00-03,08,10,11 | 0 |
| Blanchard | 200 |  |  |  |  | 381 |  | 00,01,02,03 | 0 |
| Stanley Ck | 200 |  |  |  | 34 |  | 10-13 |  | 166 |
| Goat Ck | 200 |  |  | 200 | 164 | 174 | 07-13 | 07-13 | 36 |
| Tweedsmuir | 200 |  |  |  | 6 | 6 | 09,11 | 09,11 | 194 |

Appendix C. 2. Chinook salmon coastwide baseline of microsatellite data. Location and reporting group details for each population by reporting groups, sample size, and collection dates. This baseline is used by ADF\&G for GSI of Chinook salmon in U.S. Districts 108 and 111 fisheries of Chinook salmon. Reporting groups may be rolled up to correspond with those identified as necessary to meet transboundary management objectives.

|  | Reporting Group | Population | N | Collection Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Other | Situk River | 127 | 1988, 1990, 1991, 1992 |
| 2 |  | Blanchard River | 349 | 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003 |
| 3 |  | Goat Creek | 62 | 2007, 2008 |
| 4 |  | Klukshu River | 238 | 1987, 1989, 1990, 1991, 2000, 2001 |
| 5 |  | Takhanne River | 196 | 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2008 |
| 6 |  | Big Boulder Creek | 138 | 1992, 1995, 2004 |
| 7 |  | Tahini River--Macaulay Hatchery | 77 | 2005 |
| 8 |  | Tahini River | 119 | 1992, 2004 |
| 9 |  | Kelsall River | 153 | 2004 |
| 10 |  | King Salmon River | 143 | 1989, 1990, 1993 |
| 11 | Taku | Dudidontu River | 233 | 2002, 2004, 2005, 2006 |
| 12 |  | Kowatua Creek | 288 | 1989, 1990, 2005 |
| 13 |  | Little Tatsamenie River | 684 | 1999, 2005, 2006, 2007 |
| 14 |  | Little Trapper River | 74 | 1999 |
| 15 |  | Upper Nahlin River | 132 | 1989, 1990, 2004 |
| 16 |  | Nakina River | 428 | 1989, 1990, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007 |
| 17 |  | Tatsatua Creek | 171 | 1989, 1990 |
| 18 | Andrew | Andrew Creek | 131 | 1989, 2004 |
| 19 |  | Andrew Creek-Crystal Hatchery | 207 | 2005 |
| 20 |  | Andrew Creek-Macaulay Hatchery | 135 | 2005 |
| 21 |  | Andrew Creek-Medvejie Hatchery | 177 | 2005 |
| 22 | Stikine | Christina River | 164 | 2000, 2001, 2002 |
| 23 |  | Craig River | 96 | 2001 |
| 24 |  | Johnny Tashoots Creek | 62 | 2001, 2004, 2005, 2008 |
| 25 |  | Little Tahltan River | 126 | 2001. 2004 |
| 26 |  | Shakes Creek | 164 | 2000, 2001, 2002, 2007 |
| 27 |  | Tahltan River | 80 | 2008 |
| 28 |  | Verrett River | 482 | 2000, 2002, 2003, 2007 |
| 29 | S. Southeast Alaska | Chickamin River | 126 | 1990, 2003 |
| 30 |  | King Creek | 136 | 2003 |
| 31 |  | Butler Creek | 190 | 2004 |
| 32 |  | Leduc Creek | 43 | 2004 |
| 33 |  | Humpy Creek | 124 | 2003 |
| 34 |  | Chickamin River-Little Port Walter H. | 218 | 1993, 2005 |
| 35 |  | Chickamin River-Whitman Hatchery | 193 | 2005 |
| 36 |  | Clear Creek | 134 | 1989, 2003, 2004 |
| 37 |  | Cripple Creek | 141 | 1988, 2003 |
| 38 |  | Gene's Lake | 92 | 1989, 2003, 2004 |
| 39 |  | Kerr Creek | 151 | 2003, 2004 |
| 40 |  | Unuk River-Little Port Walter H. | 149 | 2005 |
| 41 |  | Keta River | 200 | 1989, 2003, 2004 |
| 42 |  | Blossom River | 190 | 2004 |
| 43 | Other | Cranberry River | 158 | 1996, 1997 |
| 44 |  | Damdochax River | 63 | 1996 |
| 45 |  | Ishkheenickh River | 192 | 2004, 2006 |
| 46 |  | Kincolith River | 220 | 1996, 1999 |
| 47 |  | Kiteen River | 54 | 2006 |
| 48 |  | Kwinageese River | 67 | 1996, 1997 |
| 49 |  | Meziadin River | 45 | 1996 |
| 50 |  | Oweegie Creek | 147 | 1996, 1997, 2004 |
| 51 |  | Tseax River | 198 | 1995, 1996, 2002, 2006, 2008 |
| 52 |  | Cedar River | 112 | 1996 |
| 53 |  | Ecstall River | 149 | 2000, 2001, 2002 |
| 54 |  | Exchamsiks River | 106 | 1995, 2009 |

Appendix C. 2. Continued

|  | Reporting Group | Population | N | Collection Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 55 |  | Exstew River | 140 | 2009 |
| 56 |  | Gitnadoix River | 170 | 1995, 2009 |
| 57 |  | Kitsumkalum River (Lower) | 449 | 1996, 1998, 2001, 2009 |
| 58 |  | Kasiks River | 60 | 2006 |
| 59 | Other (cont) | Zymagotitz River | 119 | 2006, 2009 |
| 60 |  | Zymoetz River (Upper) | 54 | 1995, 2004, 2009 |
| 61 |  | Kispiox River | 88 | 1995, 2004, 2006, 2008 |
| 62 |  | Kitseguecla River | 258 | 2009 |
| 63 |  | Kitwanga River | 169 | 1996, 2002, 2003 |
| 64 |  | Shegunia River | 78 | 2009 |
| 65 |  | Sweetin River | 60 | 2004, 2005, 2008 |
| 66 |  | Bear River | 99 | 1991, 1995, 1996, 2005 |
| 67 |  | Kluakaz Creek | 98 | 2007, 2008, 2009 |
| 68 |  | Kluayaz Creek | 144 | 2007, 2008, 2009 |
| 69 |  | Kuldo Creek | 170 | 2008, 2009 |
| 70 |  | Osti Creek | 90 | 2009 |
| 71 |  | Sicintine River | 105 | 2009 |
| 72 |  | Slamgeesh River | 125 | 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009 |
| 73 |  | Squingala River | 259 | 2008, 2009 |
| 74 |  | Sustut River | 337 | 1995, 1996, 2001, 2002, 2005, 2006 |
| 75 |  | Babine River | 105 | 1996 |
| 76 |  | Bulkley River (Upper) | 206 | 1991, 1998, 1999 |
| 77 |  | Morice River | 105 | 1991, 1995, 1996 |
| 78 |  | Suskwa River | 85 | 2004, 2005, 2009 |
| 79 |  | Yakoun River | 131 | 1989, 1996, 2001 |
| 80 |  | Atnarko Creek | 142 | 1996 |
| 81 |  | Chuckwalla River | 46 | 1999, 2001, 2005 |
| 82 |  | Dean River | 175 | 2002, 2003, 2004, 2006 |
| 83 |  | Dean River (Upper) | 176 | 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2006 |
| 84 |  | Docee River | 42 | 1999, 2002, 2007 |
| 85 |  | Kateen River | 128 | 2004, 2005 |
| 86 |  | Kilbella River | 50 | 2001, 2005 |
| 87 |  | Kildala River | 197 | 1999, 2000 |
| 88 |  | Kitimat River | 135 | 1997 |
| 89 |  | Kitlope River | 181 | 2004, 2006 |
| 90 |  | Takia River | 46 | 2002, 2003, 2006 |
| 91 |  | Wannock River | 129 | 1996 |
| 92 |  | Capilano River | 75 | 1999 |
| 93 |  | Cheakamus River | 54 | 2006, 2007, 2008 |
| 94 |  | Devereux River | 148 | 1997, 2000 |
| 95 |  | Klinaklini River | 198 | 1997, 1998, 2002 |
| 96 |  | Phillips River | 287 | 2000, 2004, 2006, 2007, 2008 |
| 97 |  | Squamish River | 181 | 2003 |
| 98 |  | Burman River | 218 | 1985, 1989, 1990, 1991, 1992, 2000, '02, 2003 |
| 99 |  | Conuma River | 140 | 1997 |
| 100 |  | Gold River | 258 | 1983, 1985, 1986, 1987, 1992, 2002 |
| 101 |  | Kennedy River (Lower) | 320 | 2005, 2007, 2008 |
| 102 |  | Marble River | 136 | 1996, 1999, 2000 |
| 103 |  | Nahmint River | 43 | 2002, 2003 |
| 104 |  | Nitinat River | 125 | 1996 |
| 105 |  | Robertson Creek | 124 | 1996, 2003 |
| 106 |  | San Juan River | 175 | 2001, 2002 |
| 107 |  | Sarita River | 137 | 1997, 2001 |
| 108 |  | Tahsis River | 174 | 1996, 2002, 2003 |
| 109 |  | Thornton Creek | 158 | 2001 |
| 110 |  | Tlupana River | 58 | 2002, 2003 |
| 111 |  | Toquart River | 68 | 1999, 2000 |

Appendix C. 2. Continued

|  | Reporting Group | Population | N | Collection Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 112 |  | Tranquil Creek | 227 | 1996, 1999, 2004 |
| 113 |  | Zeballos River | 148 | 2002, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008 |
| 114 |  | Chemainus River | 202 | 1996, 1999 |
| 115 |  | Nanaimo River (Fall) | 122 | 1996, 2002 |
| 116 |  | Nanaimo River (Summer) | 166 | 1996, 2002 |
| 117 |  | Nanaimo River (Spring) | 94 | 1998 |
| 118 |  | Nanaimo River (Upper) | 114 | 2003, 2004 |
| 119 |  | Nimpkish River | 68 | 2004 |
| 120 | Other (cont) | Puntledge River (Fall) | 279 | 2000, 2001 |
| 121 |  | Puntledge River (Summer) | 255 | 1998, 2000, 2006 |
| 122 |  | Qualicum River | 79 | 1996 |
| 123 |  | Quinsam River | 143 | 1996, 1998 |
| 124 |  | Harrison River | 216 | 1999, 2002 |
| 125 |  | Big Silver Creek | 54 | 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008 |
| 126 |  | Birkenhead River | 154 | 1998, 1999, 2001, 2002, 2005, 2006 |
| 127 |  | Pitt River (Upper) | 65 | 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008 |
| 128 |  | Maria Slough | 271 | 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2005 |
| 129 |  | Baezaeko River | 80 | 1984, 1985 |
| 130 |  | Bridge River | 157 | 1996 |
| 131 |  | Cariboo River | 76 | 1996, 2007, 2008 |
| 132 |  | Cariboo River (Upper) | 166 | 2001 |
| 133 |  | Chilcotin River | 201 | 1996, 1997, 1998, 2001 |
| 134 |  | Chilcotin River (Lower) | 173 | 1996, 2000, 2001 |
| 135 |  | Chilko River | 144 | 1995, 1999, 2001, 2002 |
| 136 |  | Cottonwood River (Upper) | 118 | 2004, 2007, 2008 |
| 137 |  | Elkin Creek | 190 | 1996 |
| 138 |  | Endako River | 42 | 1997, 1998, 2000 |
| 139 |  | Nazko River | 179 | 1983, 1984, 1985 |
| 140 |  | Nechako River | 128 | 1992, 1996 |
| 141 |  | Portage Creek | 138 | 2002, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2008 |
| 142 |  | Quesnel River | 119 | 1996, 1997 |
| 143 |  | Stuart River | 125 | 1996 |
| 144 |  | Taseko River | 120 | 1997, 1998, 2002 |
| 145 |  | Bowron River | 78 | 1997, 1998, 2001, 2003 |
| 146 |  | Fontoniko Creek | 46 | 1996 |
| 147 |  | Goat River | 46 | 1997, 2000, 2001, 2002 |
| 148 |  | Holmes River | 100 | 1996, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002 |
| 149 |  | James Creek | 53 | 1984, 1988 |
| 150 |  | McGregor River | 119 | 1997 |
| 151 |  | Morkill River | 152 | 2001 |
| 152 |  | Salmon River (Fraser) | 153 | 1996, 1997 |
| 153 |  | Slim Creek | 113 | 1996, 1998, 2001 |
| 154 |  | Swift Creek | 120 | 1996, 2000 |
| 155 |  | Fraser River above Tete Jaune | 183 | 2001 |
| 156 |  | Torpy River | 135 | 2001 |
| 157 |  | Willow River | 37 | 1997, 2002, 2004 |
| 158 |  | Coldwater River | 109 | 1995, 1997, 1998, 1999 |
| 159 |  | Coldwater River (Upper) | 69 | 2004, 2005, 2006 |
| 160 |  | Deadman River | 256 | 1997, 1998, 1999, 2006 |
| 161 |  | Lois River | 259 | 1997, 1999, 2001, 2006, 2008 |
| 162 |  | Nicola Hatchery | 135 | 1998, 1999 |
| 163 |  | Nicola River | 88 | 1998, 1999 |
| 164 |  | Spius Creek | 52 | 1998, 1999 |
| 165 |  | Spius Creek (Upper) | 82 | 2001, 2006 |
| 166 |  | Spius Hatchery | 95 | 1996, 1997, 1998 |
| 167 |  | Blue River | 57 | 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2006, 2007 |
| 168 |  | Clearwater River | 112 | 1997 |
| 169 |  | Finn Creek | 174 | 1996, 1998, 2002, 2006, 2008 |

Appendix C. 2. Continued

|  | Reporting Group | Population | N | Collection Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 170 |  | Lemieux Creek | 56 | 2001, 2002, 2004, 2006 |
| 171 |  | North Thompson River | 77 | 2001 |
| 172 |  | Raft River | 105 | 2001, 2002, 2006, 2008 |
| 173 |  | Adams River | 76 | 1996, 2001, 2002 |
| 174 |  | Bessette Creek | 103 | 1998, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2006, 2008 |
| 175 |  | Eagle River | 76 | 2003, 2004 |
| 176 |  | Shuswap River (Lower) | 93 | 1996, 1997 |
| 177 |  | Shuswap River (Middle) | 149 | 1997, 2001 |
| 178 |  | South Thompson River | 73 | 1996, 2001 |
| 179 |  | Salmon River | 126 | 1997, 1998, 1999 |
| 180 |  | Thompson River (Lower) | 175 | 2001, 2008 |
| 181 | Other (cont) | Dungeness River | 123 | 2004 |
| 182 |  | Elwha Hatchery | 209 | 1996, 2004 |
| 183 |  | Elwha River | 139 | 2004, 2005 |
| 184 |  | Upper Cascade River | 43 | 1998, 1999 |
| 185 |  | Marblemount Hatchery | 91 | 2006 |
| 186 |  | North Fork Nooksack River | 137 | 1998, 1999 |
| 187 |  | North Fork Stilliguamish River | 290 | 1996, 2001, 2004 |
| 188 |  | Samish Hatchery | 74 | 1998 |
| 189 |  | Upper Sauk River | 120 | 1994, 1998, 1999, 2006 |
| 190 |  | Skagit River (Summer) | 99 | 1994, 1995 |
| 191 |  | Skagit River (Lower; Fall) | 95 | 1998, 2006 |
| 192 |  | Skagit River (Upper) | 53 | 1998 |
| 193 |  | Skykomish River | 73 | 1996, 2000 |
| 194 |  | Snoqualmie River | 49 | 2005 |
| 195 |  | Suiattle River | 122 | 1989, 1998, 1999 |
| 196 |  | Wallace Hatchery | 191 | 1996, 2004, 2005 |
| 197 |  | Bear Creek | 204 | 1998, 1999, 2003, 2004 |
| 198 |  | Cedar River | 170 | 1994, 2003, 2004 |
| 199 |  | Nisqually River-Clear Creek Hatchery | 132 | 2005 |
| 200 |  | Grovers Creek Hatchery | 95 | 2004 |
| 201 |  | Hupp Springs Hatchery | 90 | 2002 |
| 202 |  | Issaquah Creek | 166 | 1999, 2004 |
| 203 |  | Nisqually River | 94 | 1998, 1999, 2000, 2006 |
| 204 |  | South Prairie Creek | 78 | 1998, 1999, 2002 |
| 205 |  | Soos Creek | 178 | 1998, 2004 |
| 206 |  | Univ of Washington Hatchery | 125 | 2004 |
| 207 |  | Voights Hatchery | 93 | 1998 |
| 208 |  | White River | 146 | 1998 |
| 209 |  | George Adams Hatchery | 131 | 2005 |
| 210 |  | Hamma Hamma River | 128 | 1999, 2000, 2001 |
| 211 |  | North Fork Skokomish River | 87 | 1998, 1999, 2000, 2004, 2005, 2006 |
| 212 |  | South Fork Skokomish River | 96 | 2005, 2006 |
| 213 |  | Forks Creek Hatchery | 140 | 2005 |
| 214 |  | Hoh River (Fall) | 115 | 2004, 2005 |
| 215 |  | Hoh River (Spring/Summer) | 138 | 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 2005, 2006 |
| 216 |  | Hoko Hatchery | 73 | 2004, 2006 |
| 217 |  | Humptulips Hatchery | 60 | 1990 |
| 218 |  | Makah Hatchery | 128 | 2001, 2003 |
| 219 |  | Queets River | 53 | 1996, 1997 |
| 220 |  | Quillayute River | 52 | 1995, 1996 |
| 221 |  | Quinault River | 54 | 1995, 1997, 1998 |
| 222 |  | Quinault Hatchery | 82 | 2001, 2006 |
| 223 |  | Sol Duc Hatchery | 94 | 2003 |
| 224 |  | Cowlitz Hatchery (Spring) | 124 | 2004 |
| 225 |  | Kalama Hatchery | 133 | 2004 |
| 226 |  | Lewis Hatchery | 116 | 2004 |
| 227 |  | Abernathy Creek | 89 | 1995, 1997, 1998, 2000 |

Appendix C. 2. Continued

|  | Reporting Group | Population | N | Collection Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 228 |  | Abernathy Hatchery | 91 | 1995 |
| 229 |  | Coweeman River | 109 | 1996, 2006 |
| 230 |  | Cowlitz Hatchery (Fall) | 116 | 2004 |
| 231 |  | Elochoman River | 88 | 1995, 1997 |
| 232 |  | Green River | 55 | 2000 |
| 233 |  | Lewis River (Fall) | 79 | 2003 |
| 234 |  | Lewis River (Lower; Summer) | 83 | 2004 |
| 235 |  | Lewis River (Summer) | 128 | 2004 |
| 236 |  | Sandy River (Fall) | 106 | 2002, 2004 |
| 237 |  | Washougal River | 108 | 1995, 1996, 2006 |
| 238 |  | Big Creek Hatchery | 95 | 2004 |
| 239 |  | Elochoman Hatchery | 94 | 2004 |
| 240 |  | Spring Creek | 194 | 2001, 2002, 2006 |
| 241 |  | Sandy River (Spring) | 63 | 2006 |
| 242 | Other (cont) | McKenzie Hatchery | 127 | 2002, 2004 |
| 243 |  | McKenzie River | 90 | 1997 |
| 244 |  | North Fork Clackamas River | 62 | 1997 |
| 245 |  | North Santiam Hatchery | 125 | 2002, 2004 |
| 246 |  | North Santiam River | 83 | 1997 |
| 247 |  | Klickitat Hatchery | 82 | 2002, 2006 |
| 248 |  | Klickitat River (Spring) | 40 | 2005 |
| 249 |  | Shitike Creek | 127 | 2003, 2004 |
| 250 |  | Warm Springs Hatchery | 127 | 2002, 2003 |
| 251 |  | Granite Creek | 54 | 2005, 2006 |
| 252 |  | John Day River (upper mainstem) ${ }^{\text { }}$ | 65 | 2004, 2005, 2006 |
| 253 |  | Middle Fork John Day River | 83 | 2004, 2005, 2006 |
| 254 |  | North Fork John Day River | 105 | 2004, 2005, 2006 |
| 255 |  | American River | 116 | 2003 |
| 256 |  | Upper Yakima Hatchery | 179 | 1998 |
| 257 |  | Little Naches River | 73 | 2004 |
| 258 |  | Yakima River (Upper) | 46 | 1992, 1997 |
| 259 |  | Naches River | 64 | 1989, 1993 |
| 260 |  | Carson Hatchery | 168 | 2001, 2004, 2006 |
| 261 |  | Entiat Hatchery | 127 | 2002 |
| 262 |  | Little White Salmon Hatchery (Spring) | 93 | 2005 |
| 263 |  | Methow River (Spring) | 85 | 1998, 2000 |
| 264 |  | Twisp River | 122 | 2001, 2005 |
| 265 |  | Wenatchee Hatchery | 43 | 1998, 2000 |
| 266 |  | Wenatchee River | 62 | 1993 |
| 267 |  | Tucannon River | 112 | 2003 |
| 268 |  | Chamberlain Creek | 45 | 2006 |
| 269 |  | Crooked Fork Creek | 100 | 2005, 2006 |
| 270 |  | Dworshak Hatchery | 81 | 2005 |
| 271 |  | Lochsa River | 125 | 2005 |
| 272 |  | Lolo Creek | 92 | 2001, 2002 |
| 273 |  | Newsome Creek | 75 | 2001, 2002 |
| 274 |  | Rapid River Hatchery | 136 | 1997, 1999, 2002 |
| 275 |  | Rapid River Hatchery | 46 | 2001, 2002 |
| 276 |  | Red River/South Fork Clearwater | 172 | 2005 |
| 277 |  | Catherine Creek | 111 | 2002, 2003 |
| 278 |  | Lookingglass Hatchery | 188 | 1994, 1995, 1998 |
| 279 |  | Minam River | 136 | 1994, 2002, 2003 |
| 280 |  | Wenaha Creek | 46 | 2002 |
| 281 |  | Imnaha River | 132 | 1998, 2002, 2003 |
| 282 |  | Bear Valley Creek | 45 | 2006 |
| 283 |  | Johnson Creek | 186 | 2001, 2002, 2003 |
| 284 |  | Johnson Hatchery | 92 | 2002, 2003, 2004 |
| 285 |  | Knox Bridge | 90 | 2001, 2002 |

Appendix C. 2. Continued

|  | Reporting Group | Population | N | Collection Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 286 |  | McCall Hatchery | 80 | 1999, 2001 |
| 287 |  | Poverty Flat | 88 | 2001, 2002 |
| 288 |  | Sesech River | 115 | 2001, 2002, 2003 |
| 289 |  | Stolle Meadows | 91 | 2001, 2002 |
| 290 |  | Big Creek | 142 | 2001, 2002, 2003 |
| 291 |  | Big Creek (Lower) | 74 | 1999, 2002 |
| 292 |  | Big Creek (Upper) | 87 | 1999, 2002 |
| 293 |  | Camas Creek | 42 | 2006 |
| 294 |  | Capehorn Creek | 51 | 2006 |
| 295 |  | Marsh Creek | 95 | 2001, 2002 |
| 296 |  | Decker Flat | 78 | 1999, 2002 |
| 297 |  | Valley Creek (Lower) | 94 | 1999, 2002 |
| 298 |  | Valley Creek (Upper) | 95 | 1999, 2002 |
| 299 |  | East Fork Salmon River | 141 | 2004, 2005 |
| 300 |  | Pahsimeroi River | 71 | 2002 |
| 301 |  | Sawtooth Hatchery | 260 | 2002, 2003, 2005, 2006 |
| 302 |  | West Fork Yankee Fork | 59 | 2005 |
| 303 | Other (cont) | Hanford Reach | 163 | 1999, 2000, 2001 |
| 304 |  | Klickitat River (Summer/Fall) | 149 | 1994, 2005 |
| 305 |  | Little White Salmon Hatchery (Fall) | 94 | 2006 |
| 306 |  | Marion Drain | 131 | 1989, 1992 |
| 307 |  | Methow River (Summer) | 115 | 1992, 1993, 1994 |
| 308 |  | Okanagan River | 72 | 2000, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2006, 2007, 2008 |
| 309 |  | Priest Rapids Hatchery | 181 | 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001 |
| 310 |  | Priest Rapids Hatchery | 67 | 1998 |
| 311 |  | Umatilla Hatchery | 90 | 2006 |
| 312 |  | Umatilla Hatchery | 94 | 2003 |
| 313 |  | Wells Dam Hatchery | 128 | 1993 |
| 314 |  | Wenatchee River | 119 | 1993 |
| 315 |  | Yakima River (Lower) | 102 | 1990, 1993, 1998 |
| 316 |  | Deschutes River (Lower) | 101 | 1999, 2001, 2002 |
| 317 |  | Deschutes River (Upper) | 128 | 1998, 1999, 2002 |
| 318 |  | Clearwater River | 88 | 2000, 2001, 2002 |
| 319 |  | Lyons Ferry | 185 | 2002, 2003 |
| 320 |  | Nez Perce Tribal Hatchery | 123 | 2003, 2004 |
| 321 |  | Alsea River | 108 | 2004 |
| 322 |  | Kilchis River | 44 | 2000, 2005 |
| 323 |  | Necanicum Hatchery | 50 | 2005 |
| 324 |  | Nehalem River | 131 | 2000, 2002 |
| 325 |  | Nestucca Hatchery | 119 | 2004, 2005 |
| 326 |  | Salmon River | 83 | 2003 |
| 327 |  | Siletz River | 107 | 2000 |
| 328 |  | Trask River | 123 | 2005 |
| 329 |  | Wilson River | 120 | 2005 |
| 330 |  | Yaquina River | 113 | 2005 |
| 331 |  | Siuslaw River | 105 | 2001 |
| 332 |  | Coos Hatchery | 58 | 2005 |
| 333 |  | Coquille River | 118 | 2000 |
| 334 |  | Elk River | 129 | 2004 |
| 335 |  | South Coos Hatchery | 73 | 2005 |
| 336 |  | South Coos River | 45 | 2000 |
| 337 |  | South Umpqua Hatchery | 128 | 2002 |
| 338 |  | Sixes River | 107 | 2000, 2005 |
| 339 |  | Umpqua Hatchery | 132 | 2004 |
| 340 |  | Applegate Creek | 110 | 2004 |
| 341 |  | Cole Rivers Hatchery | 126 | 2004 |
| 342 |  | Klaskanine Hatchery | 96 | 2009 |
| 343 |  | Chetco River | 136 | 2004 |
| 344 |  | Klamath River | 111 | 2004 |
| 345 |  | Trinity Hatchery (Fall) | 144 | 1992 |
| 346 |  | Trinity Hatchery (Spring) | 127 | 1992 |
| 347 |  | Eel River | 122 | 2000, 2001 |
| 348 |  | Russian River | 142 | 2001 |
| 349 |  | Battle Creek | 99 | 2002, 2003 |
| 350 |  | Butte Creek | 61 | 2002, 2003 |

Appendix C. 2. Continued

|  | Reporting Group | Population | N | Collection Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 351 | Feather Hatchery (Fall) | 129 | 2003 |  |
| 352 | Stanislaus River | 61 | 2002 |  |
| 353 | Butte Creek | 101 | 2002,2003 |  |
| 354 | Deer Creek | 42 | 2002 |  |
| 355 | Feather Hatchery (Spring) | 144 | 2003 |  |
| 356 | Mill Creek | 76 | 2002,2003 |  |
| 357 | Sacramento River (Winter) | 95 | $1992,1993,1994, ‘ 95, ' 97, ‘ 98,2001, ‘ 03, ‘ 04$ |  |

Appendix C. 3. Inventory of DFO sample collections analyzed for Chinook salmon microsatellite variation reported by region, population, sampling year, and sample size from which a subset is used for the Transboundary GSI analysis.

| Region Code | Region Name | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stock } \\ & \text { Code } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Population Name | Collection Year | Sample Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | UPFR | 37 | Dome | 199119941995199620002001 | 382 |
| 1 | UPFR | 38 | Salmon@PG | 19961997 | 263 |
| 1 | UPFR | 39 | Tete_Jaune | 1993199419952001 | 475 |
| 1 | UPFR | 49 | Bowron | 199519971998200120032009 | 250 |
| 1 | UPFR | 63 | Horsey | 19951997200020012002200320042010 | 47 |
| 1 | UPFR | 64 | Goat | 19951997200020012002 | 76 |
| 1 | UPFR | 65 | Holmes | 199519961999200020012002 | 219 |
| 1 | UPFR | 66 | Swift | 19951996200020012006200920102012 | 452 |
| 1 | UPFR | 67 | Slim_C | 1995199619982001 | 240 |
| 1 | UPFR | 68 | Indianpoint | 1995 | 47 |
| 1 | UPFR | 69 | Willow_R | 199519961997200020022004 | 117 |
| 1 | UPFR | 98 | Fontoniko | 1996 | 63 |
| 1 | UPFR | 100 | Herrick | 1996 | 1 |
| 1 | UPFR | 134 | Holliday_Cr | 200020012002200320042005 | 29 |
| 1 | UPFR | 142 | McGregor | 1997 | 125 |
| 1 | UPFR | 182 | Antler | 1998 | 5 |
| 1 | UPFR | 185 | Small | 19982000200120022003 | 19 |
| 1 | UPFR | 225 | Nevin_Cr | 200120022003200420102011 | 50 |
| 1 | UPFR | 229 | Snowshoe | 200020012002 | 8 |
| 1 | UPFR | 230 | RedMountain | 2001 | 4 |
| 1 | UPFR | 231 | Kenneth_Cr | 200120022004 | 98 |
| 1 | UPFR | 232 | Ptarmigan | 2000200120022004 | 32 |
| 1 | UPFR | 233 | Walker | 20002001 | 45 |
| 1 | UPFR | 234 | Humbug | 200020022009 | 6 |
| 1 | UPFR | 246 | Morkill | 2001 | 208 |
| 1 | UPFR | 247 | Torpy | 2001 | 174 |
| 1 | UPFR | 259 | Robson | 20002002 | 22 |
| 1 | UPFR | 269 | Driscoll_Cr | 2002 | 5 |
| 1 | UPFR | 327 | EastTwin_Cr | 2002200420062012 | 7 |
| 1 | UPFR | 328 | McKale | 20022012 | 13 |
| 1 | UPFR | 339 | Menzies | 2002 | 3 |
| 1 | UPFR | 350 | James | 19841988 | 58 |
| 1 | UPFR | 447 | Hay_Cr | 2004 | 12 |
| 1 | UPFR | 448 | Narcosli_Cr | 20042008 | 8 |
| 1 | UPFR | 449 | Twan_Cr | 2004 | 1 |
| 2 | MUFR | 8 | Quesnel | 19901994199519961997 | 562 |
| 2 | MUFR | 29 | Stuart | 19911992199419951996 | 545 |
| 2 | MUFR | 30 | Nechako | 19911992199419951996 | 562 |
| 2 | MUFR | 44 | Chilko | 199419951996199920012002 | 425 |
| 2 | MUFR | 45 | Bridge | 1994199519962011 | 424 |
| 2 | MUFR | 50 | Cottonwood | 1995200420072008 | 176 |
| 2 | MUFR | 71 | Elkin_R | 199519962010 | 248 |
| 2 | MUFR | 73 | U_Chilcotin | 19951996199719982001 | 276 |
| 2 | MUFR | 74 | Portage_C | $\begin{aligned} & 19951996200120022004200520062008 \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ | 286 |


| 2 | MUFR | 96 | Horsefly | 199619972004201120122013 | 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MUFR | 99 | L_Cariboo | 1996199820072008 | 104 |
| 2 | MUFR | 102 | L_Chilcoti | 199620002001 | 236 |
| 2 | MUFR | 103 | Westroad | 1996199720072008 | 104 |
| 2 | MUFR | 104 | Endako | 19961997199820002006200720082009 | 207 |
| 2 | MUFR | 143 | Taseko | 19971998200120022010 | 205 |
| 2 | MUFR | 149 | Seton_Dam | 2001 | 4 |
| 2 | MUFR | 206 | Chilako | 1998 | 45 |
| 2 | MUFR | 207 | Pinchi | 20002003200520082009201120122013 | 27 |
| 2 | MUFR | 228 | Kuzkwa_Cr | $\begin{aligned} & 20012003200420072008200920112012 \\ & 2013 \end{aligned}$ | 114 |
| 2 | MUFR | 254 | U_Cariboo | 2001 | 171 |
| 2 | MUFR | 264 | Tachie | 20052009 | 3 |
| 2 | MUFR | 349 | Nazko | 198319841985 | 194 |
| 2 | MUFR | 351 | Baezaeko | 19841985 | 82 |
| 2 | MUFR | 450 | Ahbau_Cr | 2004 | 5 |
| 2 | MUFR | 451 | John_Boyd_Cr | 2004 | 13 |
| 2 | MUFR | 452 | Lightning_Cr | 2004 | 14 |
| 2 | MUFR | 453 | Wansa_Cr | 2004 | 5 |
| 2 | MUFR | 472 | McKinley_R | 2007 | 1 |
| 2 | MUFR | 481 | U_Mckinley_R | 2006 | 1 |
| 2 | MUFR | 482 | Baker_Cr | 2008 | 31 |
| 2 | MUFR | 483 | Kazchek_Cr | 200820092013 | 7 |
| 2 | MUFR | 484 | Stellako_R | 200820102011 | 7 |
| 3 | LWFR-F | 6 | Harrison | 19881992199419992002 | 686 |
| 3 | LWFR-F | 40 | Chilliwack_F | 199419951998199920022010 | 696 |
| 3 | LWFR-F | 194 | Chilliwac@Stav | 19941999200020012002 | 381 |
| 3 | LWFR-F | 333 | Inch_Cr | 2002 | 1 |
| 3 | LWFR-F | 471 | Sweltzer_Cr | 2006 | 22 |
| 4 | NOTH | 70 | Raft_R | $\begin{aligned} & 19951996200120022006200820092010 \\ & 20112013 \end{aligned}$ | 496 |
| 4 | NOTH | 77 | Mahood | 1995 | 19 |
| 4 | NOTH | 87 | Finn | $\begin{aligned} & 19961998200220062008200920102011 \\ & 2013 \end{aligned}$ | 216 |
| 4 | NOTH | 145 | Clearwater | 19971998 | 281 |
| 4 | NOTH | 208 | Barriere | 200020012002 | 55 |
| 4 | NOTH | 210 | Blue | $\begin{aligned} & 20002001200220032004200620072009 \\ & 20102011 \end{aligned}$ | 84 |
| 4 | NOTH | 211 | Lemieux_Cr | $\begin{aligned} & 20002001200220042006200820092010 \\ & 20112013 \end{aligned}$ | 161 |
| 4 | NOTH | 226 | N_Thom@Main | 20012011 | 116 |
| 4 | NOTH | 260 | Albreda | 2000 | 1 |
| 4 | NOTH | 441 | West_Twin_Cr | 20032004 | 13 |
| 5 | SOTH | 43 | L_Shuswap | 19941995199619972010 | 389 |
| 5 | SOTH | 47 | M_Shuswap | 1994199519972001 | 375 |
| 5 | SOTH | 75 | Eagle_R | 19952000200120032004200920102011 | 331 |
| 5 | SOTH | 76 | Salmon@SA | 199519961997199819992011 | 215 |
| 5 | SOTH | 84 | L_Adams | 1996200120022010 | 340 |
| 5 | SOTH | 85 | South_Thom | 199620002001 | 266 |
| 5 | SOTH | 95 | Little_R | 199620012010 | 254 |
| 5 | SOTH | 136 | Scotch_Cr | 2001 | 2 |
| 5 | SOTH | 137 | L_Thompson | 20012008 | 229 |


| 5 | SOTH | 183 | Bessette | 19982001200220032004200620082011 | 201 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | SOTH | 195 | L_Shus@U_Adams | 199319972001 | 46 |
| 5 | SOTH | 235 | Duteau_Cr | 200120022003200620102013 | 75 |
| 5 | SOTH | 268 | Harris_Cr | 200120102013 | 5 |
| 5 | SOTH | 270 | Seymour@Thomp | 200220032010 | 44 |
| 6 | LWTH | 42 | Nicola | 199219941995199719981999 | 433 |
| 6 | LWTH | 46 | Coldwater | 199419951996199719981999 | 274 |
| 6 | LWTH | 81 | Spius | 199619981999 | 137 |
| 6 | LWTH | 82 | Deadman | 19961997199819992006 | 492 |
| 6 | LWTH | 83 | Bonaparte | 19962006 | 344 |
| 6 | LWTH | 90 | Louis | 19961997199920002001200620082010 | 621 |
| 6 | LWTH | 223 | U_Coldwat_SP | 20012002200420052006 | 221 |
| 6 | LWTH | 224 | U_Spius_SP | 2001200220062009 | 175 |
| 7 | ECVI | 2 | Big_Qualicum | 1988199219961997 | 365 |
| 7 | ECVI | 3 | Quinsam | 19881992199619971998201220142015 | 564 |
| 7 | ECVI | 7 | Nanaimo_SU | 19961998199920022005 | 459 |
| 7 | ECVI | 11 | Cowichan | 1988199619992000 | 680 |
| 7 | ECVI | 18 | Chemainus | 19961999 | 261 |
| 7 | ECVI | 94 | Nimpkish | 19962004200720102011 | 316 |
| 7 | ECVI | 97 | L_Qualicum | 1996199820022007 | 305 |
| 7 | ECVI | 101 | Nanaimo_F | 199619971998199920022003 | 523 |
| 7 | ECVI | 105 | Puntledge_Su | 19881996199719982000200120052006 | 1120 |
| 7 | ECVI | 106 | Puntledge_F | 19881996199719982000200120052006 | 652 |
| 7 | ECVI | 110 | Quatse | 19962000 | 30 |
| 7 | ECVI | 266 | Goldstream | 1998 | 22 |
| 7 | ECVI | 335 | Woss_Lake | 2001 | 31 |
| 7 | ECVI | 386 | NanaimoUpper | 199619982002200320042005 | 135 |
| 7 | ECVI | 553 | PuntledgeSum | 20132014 | 844 |
| 8 | WCVI | 1 | Robertson | 198819962003201320142015 | 965 |
| 8 | WCVI | 5 | Conuma | 1988199619971998201320142015 | 1052 |
| 8 | WCVI | 9 | Nitinat | 198919962003201320142015 | 1019 |
| 8 | WCVI | 31 | Kennedy | 19922005200720082015 | 431 |
| 8 | WCVI | 34 | Thornton | 19921999200020012015 | 621 |
| 8 | WCVI | 72 | Marble@NVI | 19941996199920002015 | 553 |
| 8 | WCVI | 107 | Sarita | 19961997200120132015 | 928 |
| 8 | WCVI | 108 | Nahmint | 199620012002200320042005 | 411 |
| 8 | WCVI | 109 | Stamp | 1973199619982015 | 339 |
| 8 | WCVI | 111 | Tranquil | 19961999200420142015 | 409 |
| 8 | WCVI | 135 | San_Juan | 2001200220142015 | 401 |
| 8 | WCVI | 242 | Burman | $\begin{aligned} & 19761985198619891990199119922000 \\ & 20022003200620132015 \end{aligned}$ | 960 |
| 8 | WCVI | 257 | Toquart | 199920002015 | 111 |
| 8 | WCVI | 314 | Gold_R | 1987199219992002 | 227 |
| 8 | WCVI | 315 | Zeballos | 2002200420052006200720082009 | 199 |
| 8 | WCVI | 330 | Colonial_Cay | 199920042015 | 82 |
| 8 | WCVI | 331 | Tahsis | 199619992002200320142015 | 437 |
| 8 | WCVI | 332 | Tlupana | 200220032013 | 98 |
| 8 | WCVI | 340 | Sucwoa | 20022005 | 10 |
| 8 | WCVI | 405 | Sooke | 200420142015 | 233 |


| 8 | WCVI | 406 | Cardy | 2004 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | WCVI | 458 | Cypre_R | 200420142015 | 68 |
| 8 | WCVI | 459 | Megin_R | 20032004200620072015 | 97 |
| 8 | WCVI | 460 | Ursus_Cr | 200320042006 | 8 |
| 8 | WCVI | 461 | Bedwell_R | 2004200720142015 | 51 |
| 8 | WCVI | 463 | Kaouk_R | 201020112015 | 223 |
| 8 | WCVI | 464 | Moyeha_R | 20032004200620102011 | 57 |
| 8 | WCVI | 491 | Taylor_R | 2015 | 2 |
| 8 | WCVI | 550 | Clemens_Cr | 20112015 | 3 |
| 8 | WCVI | 551 | Sprout_R | 2013 | 12 |
| 8 | WCVI | 557 | Gordon_R | 2014 | 33 |
| 8 | WCVI | 558 | Leiner_R | 20142015 | 140 |
| 8 | WCVI | 567 | Artlish | 2015 | 36 |
| 8 | WCVI | 568 | AshRiver | 2015 | 9 |
| 8 | WCVI | 570 | Tahsish | 2015 | 39 |
| 8 | WCVI | 571 | WarnBayCr | 2015 | 1 |
| 9 | SOMN | 12 | Squamish | 199019961997 | 161 |
| 9 | SOMN | 119 | Mamquam | 199620032005200720082012 | 38 |
| 9 | SOMN | 123 | Shovelnose | 1996200220042008 | 22 |
| 9 | SOMN | 147 | Klinaklini | 199719982002 | 472 |
| 9 | SOMN | 148 | Devereux | 199719982000 | 325 |
| 9 | SOMN | 177 | Homathko | 19971998 | 51 |
| 9 | SOMN | 241 | Phillips | $\begin{aligned} & 20002004200520062007200820092010 \\ & 20112014 \end{aligned}$ | 677 |
| 9 | SOMN | 262 | Capilano | 1999 | 126 |
| 9 | SOMN | 338 | Quatam | 2003 | 3 |
| 9 | SOMN | 409 | Ahnuhati | 2004 | 2 |
| 9 | SOMN | 410 | Clear | 2004 | 1 |
| 9 | SOMN | 412 | Heydon | 2003 | 3 |
| 9 | SOMN | 415 | Cheakamus | 200520062007200820092012 | 99 |
| 9 | SOMN | 445 | Kingcome_Cr | 2004 | 2 |
| 9 | SOMN | 457 | Ashlu_Cr | 200420052007 | 6 |
| 9 | SOMN | 470 | Cheakamus_F | 2006200720082011 | 114 |
| 9 | SOMN | 486 | Squamish_28Mile | 2004 | 3 |
| 9 | SOMN | 487 | Mashiter_Cr | 200420052012 | 5 |
| 9 | SOMN | 488 | Cheakamus_Su | 2008 | 40 |
| 9 | SOMN | 489 | Furry_Cr | 200720082009 | 4 |
| 9 | SOMN | 509 | Highfalls_Cr | 2008 | 1 |
| 10 | NOMN | 4 | Kitimat | 199619971998 | 483 |
| 10 | NOMN | 23 | Wannock_R | 1991199619972000 | 506 |
| 10 | NOMN | 27 | Atnarko | 19911996 | 275 |
| 10 | NOMN | 32 | Marble@CC | 2000 | 41 |
| 10 | NOMN | 112 | U_Atnarko | 19962011 | 200 |
| 10 | NOMN | 116 | Kilbella | 19961998200020012005 | 196 |
| 10 | NOMN | 117 | Chuckwalla | 199619981999200020012005 | 315 |
| 10 | NOMN | 118 | Kildala | 19961997199819992000 | 441 |
| 10 | NOMN | 121 | Nusatsum | 19962006 | 103 |
| 10 | NOMN | 122 | Saloompt | 19962006 | 138 |
| 10 | NOMN | 184 | Hirsch | 199819992000 | 474 |
| 10 | NOMN | 214 | Neechanz | 2000200220032005 | 57 |
| 10 | NOMN | 215 | Ashlulm | 2000200220032005 | 66 |


| 10 | NOMN | 216 | Washwash | 2000 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | NOMN | 217 | Tzeo | 2000 | 3 |
| 10 | NOMN | 222 | Kwinamass | 2000200120022003 | 362 |
| 10 | NOMN | 249 | U_Dean | 20012002200320042006 | 203 |
| 10 | NOMN | 250 | Dean@Main | 2001 | 25 |
| 10 | NOMN | 256 | Dala | 1998 | 14 |
| 10 | NOMN | 261 | Docee | 199819992002200420072010 | 126 |
| 10 | NOMN | 334 | Khutzeymateen | 2002 | 3 |
| 10 | NOMN | 343 | Sheemahant | 20022003 | 18 |
| 10 | NOMN | 344 | Amback | 2002 | 1 |
| 10 | NOMN | 345 | Takia | 200220032006 | 63 |
| 10 | NOMN | 346 | Dean | 2002200320042006 | 219 |
| 10 | NOMN | 394 | Kitlope | 20042006 | 201 |
| 10 | NOMN | 395 | Kateen | 200420052006 | 244 |
| 10 | NOMN | 408 | Kumealon | 20042010 | 4 |
| 10 | NOMN | 425 | Jayesco | 2006 | 11 |
| 10 | NOMN | 534 | LowAtnarko | 2011 | 50 |
| 11 | NASS | 25 | Kwinageese | 19961997 | 266 |
| 11 | NASS | 53 | Damdochax | 199519961997 | 273 |
| 11 | NASS | 57 | Meziadin | 199519961997 | 194 |
| 11 | NASS | 58 | Owegee | 1995199619972004 | 235 |
| 11 | NASS | 59 | Seaskinnish | 199519961997 | 99 |
| 11 | NASS | 61 | Tseax | 19951996200220062008 | 244 |
| 11 | NASS | 62 | Cranberry | 19961997 | 175 |
| 11 | NASS | 78 | Snowbank | 1996 | 51 |
| 11 | NASS | 79 | Kincolith | 19961999 | 286 |
| 11 | NASS | 80 | Teigen | 19961997 | 30 |
| 11 | NASS | 88 | Bowser | 1996 | 1 |
| 11 | NASS | 397 | Ishkheenickh | 20042006 | 199 |
| 11 | NASS | 398 | Kiteen | 20042006 | 59 |
| 12 | LWFR-Sp | 92 | Big_Silver | $\begin{aligned} & 19962002200320042005200620072008 \\ & 20092012 \end{aligned}$ | 210 |
| 12 | LWFR-Sp | 93 | Birkenhead | $\begin{aligned} & 19911993199419961997199819992000 \\ & 2001200220032005200620092010 \end{aligned}$ | 347 |
| 12 | LWFR-Sp | 209 | Chilliwack_Sp | 20002001200220052006 | 16 |
| 12 | LWFR-Sp | 272 | Upper_Pitt | $\begin{aligned} & 20022003200420052006200720082009 \\ & 201020112012 \end{aligned}$ | 235 |
| 12 | LWFR-Sp | 341 | Sloquet_Cr | 2002200320042006 | 35 |
| 12 | LWFR-Sp | 342 | Douglas_Cr | 2002 | 3 |
| 12 | LWFR-Sp | 387 | DollyVarden | 20032009 | 3 |
| 12 | LWFR-Sp | 426 | BlueCr_UpPitt | 20062007200820112012 | 50 |
| 13 | LWFR-Su | 91 | Nahatlatch_R | 1991199620012002200320072013 | 29 |
| 13 | LWFR-Su | 212 | Maria_Slough | 19992000200120022005 | 366 |
| 14 | QCI | 186 | Yakoun | 1987198919962001 | 211 |
| 15 | Alaska | 187 | Unuk | 1989199920032004 | 336 |
| 15 | Alaska | 188 | King_Salmon | 1989199019931999200720082010 | 266 |
| 15 | Alaska | 190 | Chickamin | 199019931999 | 259 |
| 15 | Alaska | 428 | Tahini | 19922004 | 142 |
| 15 | Alaska | 429 | Situk | 1988199019911992 | 132 |
| 15 | Alaska | 430 | Big_Boulder_C | 199219952004 | 144 |
| 17 | Taku | 189 | Little_Tatsam | 1999200520062007 | 698 |


| 17 | Taku | 192 | Nahlin | 1999200420062007 | 303 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | Taku | 253 | Nakina | 20012004200520062007 | 480 |
| 17 | Taku | 326 | Dudidontu | 20022004200520062008 | 352 |
| 17 | Taku | 414 | Tseta | 198920082010 | 327 |
| 17 | Taku | 422 | Kowatua | 1989199019992005 | 379 |
| 17 | Taku | 437 | Hackett_r | 200620072008 | 233 |
| 17 | Taku | 465 | Tatsamenie | 2005 | 38 |
| 17 | Taku | 505 | Yeth_Cr | 200820092010 | 53 |
| 17 | Taku | 516 | Satlahine_R | 2010 | 3 |
| 18 | Stikine | 191 | Little_Tahltan | 1999200120042010 | 745 |
| 18 | Stikine | 220 | Andrew_Cr | 2000 | 144 |
| 18 | Stikine | 240 | Christina | 200020012002 | 240 |
| 18 | Stikine | 243 | Verrett | 200020022003200720092010 | 854 |
| 18 | Stikine | 248 | Shakes_Cr | 20002001200220032007 | 225 |
| 18 | Stikine | 252 | Craig | 2001 | 114 |
| 18 | Stikine | 336 | Johnny_Tashoot | 20012004200520082009 | 99 |
| 18 | Stikine | 337 | Chutine | 2002 | 7 |
| 18 | Stikine | 476 | Tahltan_R | 200820092011 | 212 |
| 18 | Stikine | 477 | Tuya_R | 20082009201120122013 | 41 |
| 18 | Stikine | 533 | BearCr | 2011 | 5 |
| 18 | Stikine | 565 | Goat_Cr | 20132014 | 21 |
| 18 | Stikine | 566 | Alpine_Cr | 2013 | 5 |
| 18 | Stikine | 569 | LowryCr | 2015 | 1 |
| 19 | Skeena Upper | 20 | Bear | 19911995199620052012 | 270 |
| 19 | Skeena Upper | 51 | Sustut | $\begin{aligned} & 19951996199920012002200320052006 \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ | 603 |
| 19 | Skeena Upper | 396 | Slamgeesh | 200420052006200720082009 | 129 |
| 19 | Skeena Upper | 418 | Kluatantan | 2006200820092010 | 38 |
| 19 | Skeena Upper | 466 | Kluayaz_Cr | 2007200820092010 | 165 |
| 19 | Skeena Upper | 479 | Squingula_R | 20082009 | 271 |
| 19 | Skeena Upper | 480 | Kuldo_C | 200820092010 | 171 |
| 19 | Skeena Upper | 492 | Otsi_Cr | 20072008200920102011 | 276 |
| 19 | Skeena Upper | 495 | Sicintine_R | 20092010 | 319 |
| 20 | Skeena Babine | 511 | Babine | 20102011 | 198 |
| 21 | Skeena Bulkley | 15 | Bulkley_Early | 1991199619981999 | 567 |
| 21 | Skeena Bulkley | 399 | Suskwa | 200420052009201020112012 | 201 |
| 21 | Skeena Bulkley | 510 | Morice_R | 20102011 | 243 |
| 22 | Skeena Mid | 16 | Kitwanga | 1991199620022003 | 284 |
| 22 | Skeena Mid | 55 | Kispiox | $\begin{aligned} & 19791985198919911995200420062008 \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ | 197 |
| 22 | Skeena Mid | 401 | Sweetin | 2004200520082010 | 245 |
| 22 | Skeena Mid | 493 | Shegunia_R | 2009201020112012 | 255 |
| 22 | Skeena Mid | 494 | Kitseguecla_R | 2009 | 260 |
| 22 | Skeena Mid | 501 | Nangeese_R | 2010 | 32 |
| 23 | Skeena Lower | 21 | Ecstall | 199520002001200220032013 | 371 |
| 23 | Skeena Lower | 24 | Kitsumkalum_R | 199119951996199820012009 | 810 |
| 23 | Skeena Lower | 54 | Exchamsiks | 19952009 | 116 |
| 23 | Skeena Lower | 86 | Cedar_Early | 1996 | 116 |
| 23 | Skeena Lower | 271 | Gitnadoix | 1995200220032009 | 245 |
| 23 | Skeena Lower | 402 | Thomas_Cr | 2003200420092010 | 117 |
| 23 | Skeena Lower | 496 | Exstew_R | 2009 | 140 |


| 23 | Skeena Lower | 497 | Kasiks_R | 2009 | 63 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | Skeena Lower | 498 | Zymogotitz_R | 20062009 | 120 |
| 23 | Skeena Lower | 500 | Fiddler_Cr | 2010 | 113 |
| 23 | Skeena Lower | 502 | Khyex_R | 2010 | 37 |
| 23 | Skeena Lower | 503 | Lakelse_R | 2010 | 10 |
| 23 | Skeena Lower | 504 | McDonell | 2010 | 5 |
| 24 | Alsek | 236 | Blanchard | 2000200120022003 | 381 |
| 24 | Alsek | 237 | Klukshu | 198720002001 | 433 |
| 24 | Alsek | 239 | Takhanne | 2000200120022003200820102011 | 218 |
| 24 | Alsek | 251 | Tatshenshi | 2001 | 24 |
| 24 | Alsek | 469 | Goat_Cr | 2007200820092010201120122013 | 174 |
| 24 | Alsek | 478 | Kudwat_Cr | 200820102011 | 70 |
| 24 | Alsek | 506 | Tweedmuir | 20092011 | 6 |
| 25 | Unuk River | 427 | Cripple_Cr | 19882003 | 143 |
| 50 | Puget Sound | 160 | Skagit_Su | 199419951996 | 310 |
| 50 | Puget Sound | 164 | White_F | 19941998 | 252 |
| 50 | Puget Sound | 165 | Nooksack_SP@Ke | 1998 | 200 |
| 50 | Puget Sound | 166 | Green_F@Soos | 1998 | 100 |
| 50 | Puget Sound | 168 | Green@Kendal_F | 1998 | 50 |
| 50 | Puget Sound | 171 | Skykomish_Su | 199620042005 | 114 |
| 50 | Puget Sound | 173 | StillaguamishS | 1996 | 87 |
| 50 | Puget Sound | 317 | Serpentine | 2002 | 46 |
| 50 | Puget Sound | 439 | Soos_Cr_H | 19982004 | 183 |
| 50 | Puget Sound | 499 | Snohomish_R | 20092010 | 306 |
| 51 | Juan de Fuca | 167 | Elwha_F | 1996 | 99 |
| 52 | Coastal Wash | 161 | Solduc_F | 1995 | 98 |
| 52 | Coastal Wash | 162 | Quinault_F | 199519972006 | 100 |
| 52 | Coastal Wash | 163 | Hoh_River_SP_S | 199519961997 | 59 |
| 52 | Coastal Wash | 169 | Queets | 19961997 | 138 |
| 52 | Coastal Wash | 515 | Willapa_Cr | 20052010 | 261 |
| 53 | Low Col | 158 | Abernathy_F | 1995 | 100 |
| 53 | Low Col | 170 | Coweeman | 19962006 | 195 |
| 53 | Low Col | 433 | Cowlitz_H_Sp | 2004 | 138 |
| 54 | Up Col-Sp | 154 | Chewuch_SP | 1993 | 100 |
| 54 | Up Col-Sp | 159 | Twisp_SP | 199520012005 | 227 |
| 54 | Up Col-Sp | 175 | Chiwawa_SP | 1993 | 100 |
| 54 | Up Col-Sp | 299 | Entiat_Sp | 2002 | 142 |
| 55 | Up Col-Su/F | 172 | Silmilkameen_S | 199320052006 | 370 |
| 55 | Up Col-Su/F | 174 | Wenatchee_Su | 1993 | 235 |
| 55 | Up Col-Su/F | 204 | Hanford_Reach | 199819992000200120042006 | 617 |
| 55 | Up Col-Su/F | 281 | Deschutes-F | 1998199920012002 | 230 |
| 55 | Up Col-Su/F | 347 | Okanagan | 20002002200320042005200620072008 | 132 |
| 55 | Up Col-Su/F | 348 | Osoyoos_Resid | 200320042009 | 35 |
| 55 | Up Col-Su/F | 407 | OkanaganJuv | 2003 | 7 |
| 56 | Snake-Sp/Su | 155 | Snake_S | 1993 | 36 |
| 56 | Snake-Sp/Su | 157 | Tucannon_SP | 19952003 | 274 |
| 56 | Snake-Sp/Su | 196 | McCall_Hat | 1989 | 41 |
| 56 | Snake-Sp/Su | 198 | Valley_Cr | 1989 | 43 |
| 56 | Snake-Sp/Su | 199 | Imnaha | 1998199920022003 | 239 |
| 56 | Snake-Sp/Su | 200 | Rapid_Sp | 199719992002 | 363 |


| 56 | Snake-Sp/Su | 201 | Upper_Valley | 1998 | 77 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 56 | Snake-Sp/Su | 202 | Wenaha | 19982002 | 89 |
| 56 | Snake-Sp/Su | 203 | Marsh_Cr | 1989199119981999 | 220 |
| 56 | Snake-Sp/Su | 205 | McCall | 1997 | 32 |
| 56 | Snake-Sp/Su | 278 | Up_Salmon-SP | 198919921993 | 165 |
| 56 | Snake-Sp/Su | 279 | Frenchman-SP | 19911992 | 61 |
| 56 | Snake-Sp/Su | 280 | Decker_FlatSP | 2000 | 16 |
| 56 | Snake-Sp/Su | 293 | Salmon_E_Fork | 1999 | 53 |
| 56 | Snake-Sp/Su | 434 | Minam_Cr | 199420022003 | 144 |
| 56 | Snake-Sp/Su | 435 | Secech | 200120022003 | 277 |
| 56 | Snake-Sp/Su | 440 | Johnson_Cr | 200120022003 | 240 |
| 57 | Snake-F | 156 | Lyon's_Ferry_F | 1993199820022003 | 370 |
| 58 | North \& Central Oregon | 178 | Trask_hat_SP | 1997 | 48 |
| 58 | North \& Central Oregon | 179 | Trask_hat_F | 19972005 | 236 |
| 58 | North \& Central Oregon | 273 | Euchre_Cr | 1996 | 57 |
| 58 | North \& Central Oregon | 275 | Umpqua_Smith | 199719982004 | 229 |
| 58 | North \& Central Oregon | 282 | Elk | 19952004 | 206 |
| 58 | North \& Central Oregon | 311 | Nehalem | 19962000200220042005 | 327 |
| 58 | North \& Central Oregon | 312 | Siuslaw | 19952011 | 258 |
| 58 | North \& Central Oregon | 535 | Cle_Elm_Hatch | 2004 | 95 |
| 59 | South Oregon coastal | 274 | Hunter_Cr | 1995 | 96 |
| 59 | South Oregon coastal | 276 | Cole | 19952004 | 188 |
| 59 | South Oregon coastal | 277 | Pistol | 1995 | 98 |
| 59 | South Oregon coastal | 298 | Winchuk | 1995 | 80 |
| 59 | South Oregon coastal | 300 | Lobster_Cr | 1998 | 49 |
| 59 | South Oregon coastal | 436 | Umpqua_Sp | 2004 | 136 |
| 59 | South Oregon coastal | 438 | Nestucca_F | 20042005 | 153 |
| 61 | Klamath/Trinity | 213 | Trinity_SP | 1998 | 100 |
| 61 | Klamath/Trinity | 219 | Trinity_F | 19921998 | 244 |
| 61 | Klamath/Trinity | 289 | Salmon_Cal | 1998 | 28 |
| 61 | Klamath/Trinity | 297 | Blue_Cr | 1999 | 94 |
| 61 | Klamath/Trinity | 307 | Trinity_S_Fork | 1997 | 15 |
| 62 | Mid Col-Sp | 176 | Naches_Sp | 19891993 | 109 |
| 62 | Mid Col-Sp | 291 | Granite | 200020052006 | 93 |
| 62 | Mid Col-Sp | 294 | John_Day_Mid | 2000 | 40 |
| 62 | Mid Col-Sp | 295 | John_Day_N | 2000 | 40 |
| 62 | Mid Col-Sp | 296 | John_Day_main | 2000200420052006 | 228 |
| 62 | Mid Col-Sp | 432 | Spring_Cr_H | 20012002 | 137 |
| 63 | Up Willamette | 180 | North_Santiam | 199720022004 | 236 |
| 63 | Up Willamette | 285 | Sandy | 199720022004 | 208 |


| 63 | Up Willamette | 292 | Mackenzie | 1997 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 63 | Up Willamette | 308 | Clackamas_N | 1997 | 79 |
| 64 | Cent Val-F | 124 | Sacr_F | 19931995 | 129 |
| 64 | Cent Val-F | 125 | Sacr_LF | $\begin{aligned} & 19921993199419951997199820012003 \\ & 2004 \end{aligned}$ | 211 |
| 64 | Cent Val-F | 197 | Mokelumne | 1995 | 95 |
| 64 | Cent Val-F | 283 | Toulumne | 1998 | 34 |
| 64 | Cent Val-F | 284 | Merced | 19981999 | 200 |
| 64 | Cent Val-F | 286 | Yuba | 2000 | 50 |
| 64 | Cent Val-F | 287 | Stanislaus | 19982002 | 101 |
| 64 | Cent Val-F | 302 | American | 1999 | 69 |
| 64 | Cent Val-F | 303 | Feather_F | 199920002003 | 272 |
| 64 | Cent Val-F | 305 | Battle_Cr | 199920022003 | 183 |
| 64 | Cent Val-F | 309 | Butte_F | 2000 | 49 |
| 64 | Cent Val-F | 310 | Deer_Cr | 2000 | 15 |
| 65 | Cent Val-Sp | 288 | Butte_Sp | 200020022003 | 186 |
| 65 | Cent Val-Sp | 304 | Feather_Sp | 199920002003 | 226 |
| 65 | Cent Val-Sp | 306 | Yuba_Sp | 2000 | 32 |
| 66 | Coastal California | 431 | Eel_F | 20002001 | 279 |

Appendix C. 4. Catalog of genetic tissue collections for transboundary sockeye salmon stocks. Information from 2007 (number of samples held by the U.S. and Canada and baseline gaps identified) is from Report TCTR(07)-02, "Summary of the Transboundary Genetic Stock ID Workshop: January 18-19, 2007".

| Location/Pop | Sample Goal | No. samples 2007 |  | $\begin{gathered} 2007 \\ \text { Gap } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | No. samples 2016 |  | Collection Years |  | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ \text { Gap } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U.S. | Can. |  | U.S. | Can. | U.S. | Canada |  |
| Stikine Adjacent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hugh Smith - Cobb | 200 | 200 |  | 100 | 450 |  | 03,12,13 |  | 0 |
| Karta River | 200 | 99 |  | 101 | 139 |  | 92, 08 |  | 61 |
| Mahoney Creek | 200 | 64 |  | 136 | 198 |  | 03,07 |  | 2 |
| Salmon Bay Lake | 200 | 139 |  | 61 | 213 |  | 92,04,07 |  | 0 |
| Virginia | 200 |  |  | 200 | 295 |  | 07 |  | 0 |
| Hatchery Cr - Sweetwater | 200 |  |  | 200 | 732 |  | 03,07,13,15 |  | 0 |
| Eek Cr | 200 |  |  | 168 | 52 |  | 04,07 |  | 148 |
| Fillmore Lk - Hoffman Cr | 200 |  |  | 145 | 55 |  | 05 |  | 145 |
| Sarkar - Five Finger Cr | 200 |  |  | 145 | 55 |  | 05 |  | 145 |
| Sarkar Lakes | 200 |  |  | 155 | 45 |  | 00 |  | 155 |
| Stikine |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alpine Ck | 200 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 09 |  | 199 |
| Andrew Ck | 200 |  |  |  | 3 | 2 | 05,06 | 06 | 197 |
| Shakes Slough Ck | 200 |  |  |  | 367 | 214 | 06-13 | 01,02,06-09,12 | 0 |
| Mainstem |  |  | 144 |  | 100 | 154 | 01 | 01,10 |  |
| Andy Smith Slough | 200 |  |  |  | 42 | 40 | 07-09,11 | 07-09,11 | 158 |
| Devil's Elbow | 200 |  | 58 | 200 | 257 | 311 | 07-09 | 01,07-09 | 0 |
| Fowler Slough | 200 |  |  |  | 61 | 39 | 07-12 | 07-10 | 139 |
| Porcupine Slough | 200 |  | 70 | 200 | 125 | 187 | 07-12 | 00,01,07-12 | 13 |
| Katete | 200 |  | 25 |  | 31 | 31 | 01,02 | 01,02 | 169 |
| Iskut |  | 54 | 87 |  | 199 | 200 | $\begin{gathered} 85,86,02,06-09 \\ 00-03,08,10- \end{gathered}$ | 85,02,06-08 |  |
| Verrett River/Slough | 200 |  | 327 | 200 | 249 | 420 | 14,15 | 86,00-03,10,11 | 0 |
| Iskut m.s. - Craig/Craigson Sl | 200 |  | 39 |  | 38 | 88 | 06-08 | 01,06-08 | 112 |
| Iskut m.s. -Bronson Sl/Bugleg | 200 |  | 68 | 200 | 101 | 149 | 08,09,12 | 01,08,09 | 51 |
| Iskut m.s. - Hoodoo Slough | 200 |  |  |  | 10 | 26 | 10 | 10 | 174 |
| Iskut m.s.- Zappa | 200 |  |  |  | 7 | 7 | 08 | 08 | 193 |
| Iskut m.s. - Inhini Slough | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Iskut m.s. - Twin | 200 |  | 23 |  |  | 29 |  | 02 | 171 |
| Christina |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake spawners | 400 |  | 51 | 200 | 134 | 130 | 84,10-12 | 84,10-12 | 266 |
| Inlet spawners | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 85,87,00,01,07- |  |
| Scud | 200 |  | 376 | 200 | 402 | 623 | 01,07-12 | 12 | 0 |
| Chutine |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chutine River | 200 |  | 371 | 200 | 348 | 537 | 01,08-10 | 85,00-02,08,09 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix C. 4. Continued

| Location/Pop | Sample Goal | No. samples 2007 |  | $\begin{gathered} 2007 \\ \hline \text { Gap } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | No. samples 2016 |  | Collection Years |  | $\frac{2016}{\text { Gap }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U.S. | Canada |  | U.S. | Canada | U.S. | Canada |  |
| Chutine Lake | 200 |  |  | 200 | 225 | 258 | 09,11 | 09-11 | 0 |
| Tatalaska Ck | 200 |  |  |  | 50 |  | 13 |  | 150 |
| Tahltan | 400 | 297 | 474 | 200 | 296 | 468 | 90,06 | 87,96,02 | 200 |
| Tuya | 200 |  | 166 | 200 | 206 | 239 | 08 | 96,02,07,08 | 0 |
| Upper Stikine | 200 |  |  |  |  | 352 |  | 96 | 0 |
| Taku Adjacent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chilkat Lake | 200 | 45 |  | 155 | 637 |  | 90,07,13 |  | 0 |
| Mule Meadows | 200 | 183 |  | 17 | 383 |  | 03,07 |  | 0 |
| Windfall | 200 | 56 |  | 144 | 432 |  | 03,07,14 |  | 0 |
| Whiting | 200 |  |  | 200 | 0 |  |  |  | 200 |
| Taku |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yehring | 200 |  |  | 200 | 204 | 109 | 07,09,11 | 07,11 | 0 |
| Fish Ck | 200 |  |  | 200 | 290 | 107 | 09,10 | 10 | 0 |
| Johnson (US section) | 200 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Mainstem |  |  |  |  | 142 | 126 | 07,13 | 07 |  |
| Chunk/Bear Sl | 200 |  |  |  | 134 | 306 | 09 | 08,09 | 0 |
| Shustahini | 200 |  |  |  | 206 | 210 | 08,09 | 00,08,09 | 0 |
| Takwahoni/Sinwa | 200 |  | 31 | 200 | 176 | 211 | 09-11 | 00,09-11 | 0 |
| Tuskwa | 200 |  | 334 | 200 | 414 | 468 | 04,08,09 | 00,04,08,09 | 0 |
| Yonakina | 200 |  | 48 | 200 | 7 | 54 | 11 | 04,11 | 146 |
| Yellow Bluff | 200 |  |  |  | 82 | 81 | 08,10,11 | 08,10,11 | 118 |
| Tulsequah | 200 |  | 43 | 200 | 267 | 306 | 07-09 | 00,07-09 | 0 |
| King Salmon | 400 |  | 271 | 200 | 216 | 484 | 10,11 | 00,03-05,10,11 | 0 |
| Inklin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Little Trapper | 400 | 315 | 106 |  | 270 | 107 | 90,91,06 | $\begin{gathered} 92,04 \\ 85,87,93,05,11,1 \end{gathered}$ | 130 |
| Tatsatua Lake (L. Tatsamenie) | 400 | 400 | 199 |  | 280 | 388 | 90,91,11,12 | 2 | 12 |
| Tatsamenie Lake | 400 | 92 | 151 |  | 401 | 151 | 92,05,06 | 92,93 | 0 |
| Samotua | 200 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Hackett | 200 |  | 91 | 200 | 192 | 292 | 07-09 | 85,87,07-09 | 0 |
| Dudidontu | 200 |  |  | 200 | 7 |  | 11 |  | 193 |
| Tseta | 200 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Nahlin River | 200 | 50 | 65 | 150 | 263 | 459 | 03,07,12 | 04-07,12 | 0 |
| Silver Salmon R | 200 |  |  |  | 33 |  | 08 |  | 167 |
| Kuthai Lake | 400 | 202 | 371 |  | 300 | 372 | 86,04,06 | 86,87,04,05 | 28 |
| Nakina | 200 |  |  | 200 | 10 | 39 | 08,09,11,12 | 08,09,11 | 161 |

Appendix C. 4. Continued

| Location/Pop | Sample <br> Goal | No. samples 2007 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2007 \\ & \hline \text { Gap } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | No. samples 2016 |  | Collection Years |  | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ \hline \text { Gap } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U.S. | Canada |  | U.S. | Canada | U.S. | Canada |  |
| Alsek Adjacent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ahrnklin River | 200 | 94 |  | 106 | 185 |  | 03,07 |  | 15 |
| Lost/Tahwah Rivers | 200 | 187 |  | 13 | 187 |  | 03 |  | 13 |
| Situk Lake | 200 | 40 |  | 160 | 648 |  | 95,07,13 |  | 0 |
| Old Situk | 200 |  |  | 160 | 309 |  | 95,07,15 |  | 0 |
| Dangerous | 200 |  |  | 200 | 221 |  | 09 |  | 0 |
| Italio | 200 |  |  | 200 | 0 |  |  |  | 200 |
| Akwe | 200 | 40 |  | 200 | 193 |  | 09 |  | 7 |
| Alsek |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basin Creek | 200 |  |  | 200 |  | 45 |  | 02,03 | 155 |
| Tanis (US section) | 200 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Alsek mainstem (US) | 200 |  |  | 163 |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Border Slough | 200 |  |  |  | 177 | 145 | 07-09, 11, 12 | 07-09,11 | 23 |
| Alsek mainstem (Can) | 200 |  |  | 168 |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Tashenshini |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower | 200 |  | 79 | 121 |  | 121 |  | 00-03,10 | 79 |
| Upper | 200 |  | 324 | 100 |  | 318 |  | 01-03 | 0 |
| Tats Lake | 200 |  |  | 200 | 13 |  | 10 |  | 187 |
| O'Connor | 200 |  | 22 | 178 |  | 96 |  | 01-03 | 104 |
| Sediment Ck | 200 |  |  |  | 13 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 187 |
| Low fog | 200 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 02,03 | 197 |
| Detour | 200 |  | 22 | 178 | 4 | 26 | 11 | 01,11 | 174 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 00,01,03,07,09- |  |  |
| Kudwat | 200 |  | 83 | 117 | 349 | 224 | 12 | 01,07,09-11 | 0 |
| Stinky | 200 |  | 64 | 136 | 40 | 103 | 11 | 01,11 | 97 |
| Bridge/Silver | 200 |  |  |  | 105 | 30 | 11,12 | 11 | 95 |
| Kane | 200 |  |  |  |  | 108 |  | 01-03 | 92 |
| Nesketahin Lk | 200 |  |  | 200 | 298 | 832 | 01,07 | 00-03,07 | 0 |
| Klukshu | 400 | 355 | 539 | 200 | 775 | 1059 | 02,03,06-08,10 | 92,00-02,07,08 | 0 |
| Takhanne | 200 |  |  | 200 |  | 4 |  | 02,03 | 196 |
| Blanchard Lake | 200 |  | 23 | 177 | 178 | 252 | 07-09 | 01-03,07-09 | 0 |
| Stanley Ck | 200 |  |  |  |  | 31 |  | 01-03 | 169 |
| Goat Ck | 200 |  |  |  | 42 | 56 | 07,11,12 | 17,12 | 144 |
| Kwatini | 200 |  |  |  | 85 | 65 | 11,13 | 11 | 115 |
| Datlaska Ck | 200 |  |  |  | 111 |  | 12 |  | 89 |
| Vern Ritchie | 200 |  |  |  | 212 | 217 | 07-10 | 07-10 | 0 |
| Tweedsmuir | 200 |  |  |  | 150 | 152 | 07,09-12 | 03,07,09-12 | 48 |

Appendix C. 5. Sockeye salmon genetic baseline by reporting groups for 171 wild populations used in Southeast Alaska. Hatchery populations of sockeye salmon determined using otolith information. This baseline is used by ADF\&G for GSI of sockeye salmon in U.S. Districts 106, 108, and 111. Reporting groups may be rolled up to correspond with those identified as necessary to meet transboundary management objectives.

|  | Stikine Reporting Groups | Taku <br> Reporting <br> Groups | Region | Location | N | Year(s) Collected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Non-Stikine | Other | Prince William | Bainbridge Lake | 95 | 2010 |
| 2 |  |  | Sound | Coghill Lake | 378 | 1991, 1992, 2010 |
| 3 |  |  |  | Eshamy Lake | 185 | 1991, 2008 |
| 4 |  |  |  | Main Bay | 96 | 1991 |
| 5 |  |  |  | Miners Lake | 191 | 1991, 2009 |
| 6 |  |  | Copper | Bering Lake | 95 | 1991 |
| 7 |  |  |  | Clear Creek at 40 Mile | 86 | 2007 |
| 8 |  |  |  | Eyak Lake - Hatchery Creek | 95 | 2010 |
| 9 |  |  |  | Eyak Lake - Middle Arm | 95 | 2007 |
| 10 |  |  |  | Eyak Lake - South beaches | 87 | 2007 |
| 11 |  |  |  | Fish Creek - East Fork Gulkana R | 95 | 2008 |
| 12 |  |  |  | Gulkana River - East Fork | 75 | 2008 |
| 13 |  |  |  | Klutina Lake - inlet | 95 | 2008, 2009 |
| 14 |  |  |  | Klutina River - mainstem | 95 | 2008 |
| 15 |  |  |  | Banana Lake - Klutina | 80 | 2008 |
| 16 |  |  |  | Bear Hole - tributary Klutina | 94 | 2008 |
| 17 |  |  |  | Kushtaka Lake | 189 | 2007, 2008 |
| 18 |  |  |  | Long Lake weir | 95 | 2005 |
| 19 |  |  |  | Mahlo River | 94 | 2008 |
| 20 |  |  |  | Martin Lake | 187 | 2007, 2008 |
| 21 |  |  |  | Martin River Slough | 95 | 2008 |
| 22 |  |  |  | McKinley Lake | 95 | 2007 |
| 23 |  |  |  | McKinley Lake | 95 | 2008 |
| 24 |  |  |  | McKinley Lake/Salmon Creek | 188 | 1991, 2007 |
| 25 |  |  |  | Salmon Creek - Bremner | 93 | 2008 |
| 26 |  |  |  | Mendeltna Creek | 188 | 2008, 2009 |
| 27 |  |  |  | Mentasta Lake | 95 | 2008 |
| 28 |  |  |  | Paxson Lake - outlet | 75 | 2009 |
| 29 | Non-Stikine | Other |  | St. Anne Creek | 186 | 2005, 2008 |
| 30 | (cont.) | (cont.) |  | Steamboat Lake - Bremner | 95 | 2008 |
| 31 |  |  |  | Swede Lake | 95 | 2008 |
| 32 |  |  |  | Tanada Creek weir | 94 | 2005 |
| 33 |  |  |  | Tanada Lake - lower outlet | 95 | 2009 |
| 34 |  |  |  | Tanada Lake - shore | 93 | 2009 |
| 35 |  |  |  | Tebay River - Outlet | 93 | 2008 |
| 36 |  |  |  | Tokun Lake | 189 | 2008, 2009 |
| 37 |  |  |  | Tonsina Lake | 94 | 2009 |
| 38 |  |  | Yakutat | Ahrnklin River | 90 | 2007 |
| 39 |  |  |  | Akwe River | 95 | 2009 |
| 40 |  |  |  | Dangerous River | 95 | 2009 |
| 41 |  |  |  | East Alsek River | 94 | 2003 |
| 42 |  |  |  | Lost/Tahwah Rivers | 93 | 2003 |
| 43 |  |  |  | Old Situk River | 163 | 2007 |
| 44 |  |  |  | Mountain Stream | 159 | 2007 |
| 45 |  |  |  | Situk Lake | 190 | 2013 |
| 46 |  |  | Alsek | Blanchard River | 160 | 2007-2009 |
| 47 |  |  |  | Border Slough | 141 | 2007-2009, 2011 |
| 48 |  |  |  | Klukshu River | 101 | 2007, 2008 |
| 49 |  |  |  | Upper Tatshenshini R/Kudwat Ck | 195 | 2003, 2009-2011 |
| 50 |  |  |  | Tatshenshini - Kwatini River | 65 | 2011 |
| 51 |  |  |  | Neskataheen Lake | 195 | 2007 |
| 52 |  |  |  | Tweedsmuir Lake | 94 | 2007, 2009 |
| 53 |  |  |  | Vern Ritchie | 114 | 2009, 2010 |
| 54 |  |  | N. Southeast | Chilkat Lake | 190 | 2007 |
| 55 |  |  |  | Chilkat Mainstem - Mosquito Lk | 95 | 2007 |
| 56 |  |  |  | Chilkat Mainstem - Bear Flats | 95 | 2007 |
| 57 |  |  |  | Chilkat River - Mule Meadows | 190 | 2003, 2007 |
| 58 |  |  |  | Chilkoot Lake - beaches | 251 | 2007 |

Appendix C. 5. Continued

|  | Taku |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Stikine Reporting | Reporting |  |  |
|  | Groups | Groups | Region | Location |
| 59 |  |  | Chilkoot Lake - Bear Creek | N |

Appendix C. 5. Continued


Appendix C. 6. Ninety-six single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers used by ADF\&G to provide GSI of sockeye salmon in U.S. Districts 106, 108, and 111, and the source lab for each marker.

| Marker | Source ${ }^{1}$ | Marker | Source ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One_ACBP-79 | A | One_srp09-127 | C |
| One_agt-132 | B | One_ssrd-135 | C |
| One_aldB-152 | C | One_STC-410 | A |
| One_apoe-83 | B | One_STR07 | A |
| One_CD9-269 | B | One_SUMO1-6 | C |
| One_cetn 1-167 | B | One_sys1-230 | C |
| One_CFP1 | D | One_taf12-248 | C |
| One_cin-177 | C | One_Tf_ex11-750 | A |
| One_CO1 ${ }^{2}$ | A | One_Tf_in3-182 | A |
| One_ctgf-301 | A | One_tshB-92 | C |
| One_Cytb_17 ${ }^{2}$ | A | One_txnip-401 | C |
| One_Cytb_26 ${ }^{2}$ | A | One_U1003-75 | B |
| One_E2-65 | A | One_U1004-183 | B |
| One_gdh-212 | C | One_U1009-91 | B |
| One_GHII-2165 | A | One_U1010-81 | B |
| One_ghsR-66 | C | One_U1012-68 | B |
| One_GPDH-20 | A | One_U1013-108 | B |
| One_GPH-414 | A | One_U1014-74 | B |
| One_HGFA-49 | A | One_U1016-115 | B |
| One_HpaI-71 | A | One_U1024-197 | B |
| One_HpaI-99 | A | One_U1101 | B |
| One_hsc71-220 | A | One_U1103 | B |
| One_Hsp47 | D | One_U1105 | B |
| One_IL8r-362 | A | One_Ul201-492 | B |
| One_KCT1-453 | B | One_U1202-1052 | B |
| One_KPNA-422 | A | One_U1203-175 | B |
| One_LEI-87 | A | One_U1204-53 | B |
| One_lppl-44 | B | One_U1205-57 | B |
| One_metA-253 | C | One_U1206-108 | B |
| One_MHC2_190 | A | One_U1208-67 | B |
| One_Mkpro-129 | C | One_U1209-111 | B |
| One_ODC1-196 | B | One_U1210-173 | B |
| One_Ots208-234 | C | One_U1212-106 | B |
| One_Ots213-181 | A | One_U1214-107 | B |
| One_p53-534 | A | One_U1216-230 | B |
| One_pax 7-248 | C | One_U301-92 | A |
| One_PIP | D | One_U401-224 | A |
| One_Prl2 | A | One_U404-229 | A |
| One_rabla-76 | B | One_U502-167 | A |
| One_RAG1-103 | A | One_U503-170 | A |
| One_RAG3-93 | A | One_U504-141 | A |
| One_reddl-414 | C | One_vamp5-255 | C |
| One_RFC2-102 | A | One_vatf-214 | C |
| One_RFC2-285 | A | One_VIM-569 | A |
| One_rpo2j-261 | C | One_ZNF-61 | A |
| One_sast-211 | C | One_Zp3b-49 | A |
| One_spf30-207 | C | One_CO1_Cytb $17 \ldots 26^{2}$ |  |

[^7]Appendix C. 7. Inventory of DFO sample collections analyzed for Sockeye salmon microsatellite variation reported by region, population, sampling year, and sample size from which a subset is used for the Transboundary GSI analysis.

| Region Code | Region Name | Stock <br> Code | Population <br> Name | Collection Year | Sample Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Stuart(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 25 | Kynock | 19941997 | 180 |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Stuart(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 35 | Dust | 19881991199419972005 | 349 |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Stuart(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 36 | Gluskie | 1997 | 151 |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Stuart(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 37 | Forfar | 1997 | 151 |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Stuart(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 183 | Porter_Cr | 20002005 | 120 |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Stuart(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 184 | Hudson_Bay | 20002005 | 120 |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Stuart(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 185 | Blackwater | 20002005 | 123 |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Stuart(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 405 | Rossette | 2005 | 100 |
| 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Early } \\ \text { Stuart(Fr) } \end{gathered}$ | 406 | Sinta | 2005 | 97 |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Stuart(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 407 | Paula | 2005 | 116 |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Stuart(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 408 | Sandpoint | 2005 | 97 |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Stuart(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 409 | Narrows | 2005 | 98 |
| 1 | Early Stuart(Fr) | 410 | Bivouac | 2005 | 99 |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Stuart(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 411 | Felix | 2005 | 99 |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Stuart(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 412 | FiveMile | 2005 | 99 |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Stuart(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 413 | Driftwood | 2005 | 98 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 9 | Scotch | 19941995199619992000 | 536 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 16 | Gates_Cr | 19861992199519992000 | 433 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 18 | Eagle | 20002002 | 198 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 19 | Nadina | 1986199219992000 | 353 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 20 | Nahatlatch_Lak e | 199619972010 | 338 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 22 | Seymour | 198619961999 | 335 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 28 | Pitt | 19862000200120052010 | 447 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 29 | U_Adams | 199620002010 | 466 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 30 | Upper_Barrier | 1996199920002001 | 491 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 31 | Chilliw_lake | 1996200320042005 | 226 |


| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 32 | Raft | 1996200020012012 | 319 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 33 | Chilko_south | 199619972001 | 410 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 104 | Bowron | 199920002001 | 264 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 181 | Cayenne | 2000 | 100 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 298 | Thompson_N | 200320052012 | 225 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 443 | Taseko | 200720102011 | 126 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 480 | Yohetta_Cr | 20102011 | 25 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 481 | Nahatlatch_R | 2010 | 102 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 482 | Corbold_Cr | 2010 | 102 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 483 | Anstey_R | 2010 | 98 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 485 | Sinmax_Cr | 2010 | 54 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 486 | Nemian_Cr | 2010 | 20 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 487 | Taseko_R_upp er | 2010 | 2 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 511 | Bridge_R | 2011 | 17 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 1 | Stellako | 1992199519961998199920002011 | 689 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 2 | Birkenhead | 1992199519971998199920012010 | 644 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 12 | Chilko | 19981999 | 222 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 13 | Middle_R | 199319961997199820002001 | 425 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 21 | Tachie | 199419951996199719992000200120112012 | 682 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 24 | Horsefly | 19851986199319961997199819992005 | 946 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 34 | Mitchell | 1993199419961997199820012005 | 537 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 56 | Pinchi_Cr | 19992005 | 171 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 208 | Kuzkwa_Cr | 2001 | 104 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 209 | L_Horsefly | 2001 | 200 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 210 | M_Horsefly | 2001 | 198 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 211 | U_Horsefly | 20002001 | 497 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 238 | Roaring | 2001 | 100 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 239 | McKinley | 20012005 | 225 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 241 | Wasko_Cr | 2001 | 100 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 242 | Blue_Lead_Cr | 2001 | 100 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 327 | Cogburn_Cr | 20032011 | 29 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 328 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DollyVarden_C } \\ & \mathrm{r} \end{aligned}$ | 20012003 | 121 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 414 | Quesnel_Decep | 2005 | 77 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 454 | Chilko_North | 19921995199619972000200120082009 | 782 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 488 | Ormonde_Cr | 2010 | 24 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 489 | Sampson_Slou gh | 201020112012 | 163 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 490 | Nechako_R | 20102014 | 29 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 509 | GreenRiver | 20112012 | 95 |


| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 512 | Pemberton_Cr | 2011 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Late(Fr) | 3 | L_Adams | 198219901995199619981999 | 550 |
| 4 | Late(Fr) | 4 | Weaver | 19821986199219961998199920002001 | 692 |
| 4 | Late(Fr) | 8 | L_Shuswap | 1983198619901996199819992002 | 408 |
| 4 | Late(Fr) | 10 | Harrison | 198619952000 | 329 |
| 4 | Late(Fr) | 11 | Cultus_Lake | 199219951999200020012002200420052006 | 2407 |
| 4 | Late(Fr) | 14 | Portage_Cr | 1986199719981999 | 466 |
| 4 | Late(Fr) | 15 | MiddleShuswa <br> p | 19862002 | 246 |
| 4 | Late(Fr) | 17 | WidgeonSloug <br> h | 2002 | 97 |
| 4 | Late(Fr) | 23 | Big_Silver | 20002002 | 199 |
| 4 | Late(Fr) | 256 | Eagle_L | 1986199020022010 | 384 |
| 4 | Late(Fr) | 257 | Douglas_Harr | 200220032011 | 19 |
| 4 | Late(Fr) | 288 | Little | 2002 | 101 |
| 4 | Late(Fr) | 484 | Salmon_R_SA | 20102014 | 88 |
| 5 | Washington | 182 | LakeWashingto <br> n | 2000 | 198 |
| 5 | Washington | 192 | Baker_Lake | 199119962011 | 189 |
| 5 | Washington | 194 | Ozette_Lake | 1995 | 50 |
| 5 | Washington | 519 | BigCr_Quinalt <br> R | 1995 | 100 |
| 6 | South Coast | 252 | Sakinaw | $\begin{aligned} & 199820002001200220052006201020112012 \\ & 201320142015 \end{aligned}$ | 834 |
| 6 | South Coast | 292 | Phillips | 20022005 | 205 |
| 6 | South Coast | 296 | Village_Bay | 20032006 | 21 |
| 6 | South Coast | 299 | Heydon | 2003 | 176 |
| 6 | South Coast | 301 | Glendale | 2003 | 188 |
| 6 | South Coast | 431 | Stephens_Cr | 2004 | 2 |
| 6 | South Coast | 561 | Tzoonie_R | 2015 | 0 |
| 7 | VI | 5 | Sproat | 1987199019922002 | 469 |
| 7 | VI | 6 | Great_Central | 1987199019922002 | 750 |
| 7 | VI | 7 | Henderson | 1988199319952002 | 346 |
| 7 | VI | 54 | Hobiton | 1992 | 81 |
| 7 | VI | 145 | Kennedy | 1986 | 91 |
| 7 | VI | 149 | Woss_Lake | 198520012002 | 283 |
| 7 | VI | 228 | Vernon_L | 20012002 | 360 |
| 7 | VI | 229 | Nimpkish_L | 20012002200320112014 | 302 |
| 7 | VI | 297 | Quatse | 20022003 | 292 |
| 7 | VI | 302 | Schoen | 2003 | 29 |
| 7 | VI | 329 | Muchalat | 2004 | 65 |
| 7 | VI | 345 | Nahwitti | 2004 | 32 |
| 8 | Columbia | 129 | Okanagan | 19931997199819992000200120022012 | 908 |
| 8 | Columbia | 193 | Lake_Wenatch ee | 19882007 | 89 |
| 8 | Columbia | 306 | Osoyoos | 200220032004 | 165 |
| 8 | Columbia | 428 | Rocky_Reach | 2005 | 80 |
| 8 | Columbia | 468 | RedfishLk_Ida ho | 200820092010 | 200 |
| 8 | Columbia | 523 | Bedrock_Cr | 1996 | 99 |
| 9 | Nass | 43 | Bonney | 198719941996199819992001 | 544 |
| 9 | Nass | 44 | Gingit_RT | 1987198819972011 | 442 |


| 9 | Nass | 45 | Kwinageese | 198720002001 | 194 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | Nass | 47 | Damdochax | 198719941998199920002001 | 557 |
| 9 | Nass | 48 | Bowser | 1986198719941998199920002001 | 827 |
| 9 | Nass | 49 | Zolzap_juv_RT | 19961997 | 60 |
| 9 | Nass | 232 | Meziadin_beac h | 2001 | 188 |
| 9 | Nass | 233 | Tintina_Cr | 200120022006 | 203 |
| 9 | Nass | 234 | Hanna_Cr | 200120022006 | 253 |
| 9 | Nass | 560 | Gitzyon_RTCr | 20132014 | 30 |
| 10 | Lower Skeena | 65 | McDonnell | 1987198819942002 | 283 |
| 10 | Lower Skeena | 68 | Swan_Lk | 198819942006 | 288 |
| 10 | Lower Skeena | 75 | Williams | 19871988199420052006 | 434 |
| 10 | Lower Skeena | 76 | Schulbuckhand | 19882005 | 102 |
| 10 | Lower Skeena | 79 | Alastair | 19871988199419982006 | 354 |
| 10 | Lower Skeena | 80 | Kitwanga_R | 19982009 | 153 |
| 10 | Lower Skeena | 82 | Kalum | 1994 | 77 |
| 10 | Lower Skeena | 289 | Stephens_Lk | 20012004 | 202 |
| 10 | Lower Skeena | 436 | Kalum_lake | 2006 | 89 |
| 10 | Lower Skeena | 444 | Zymoetz_RT | 2006 | 64 |
| 10 | Lower Skeena | 463 | KitwangaBeach | 20082009 | 401 |
| 10 | Lower Skeena | 530 | Kalam/Cedar_ Cha | 2012 | 100 |
| 11 | Upper Skeena | 66 | Motase | 1987 | 75 |
| 11 | Upper Skeena | 78 | SalixBear | 19871988 | 116 |
| 11 | Upper Skeena | 173 | Sustut | 1993200020012006 | 341 |
| 11 | Upper Skeena | 465 | Damshilgwit | 2004 | 203 |
| 11 | Upper Skeena | 470 | Slamgeesh | 20062008 | 469 |
| 12 | Bulkley | 73 | Nanika | 1988199420032012 | 157 |
| 12 | Bulkley | 466 | Bulkley_R_upp er | 2004200520122014 | 45 |
| 13 | Babine | 67 | Grizzly | 1987 | 78 |
| 13 | Babine | 69 | U_Babine | 198719942006 | 291 |
| 13 | Babine | 70 | Pinkut | 1985198719901994 | 492 |
| 13 | Babine | 71 | Fulton_L | 1985198719901994 | 536 |
| 13 | Babine | 72 | L_Babine | 19871994 | 150 |
| 13 | Babine | 77 | Pierre | 1987198820062013 | 318 |
| 13 | Babine | 118 | Twain_Cr | 19871990 | 154 |
| 13 | Babine | 123 | Four_Mile | 198719882006 | 227 |
| 13 | Babine | 331 | Babine_Fence | 19591960 | 190 |


| 13 | Babine | 446 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HallidaySlou_R } \\ & \mathrm{T} \end{aligned}$ | 2005200620072009 | 68 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | Babine | 531 | Morrison_L | 2012 | 88 |
| 13 | Babine | 540 | Johnston_Lake | 2010 | 121 |
| 14 | Stikine | 40 | Tuya | 1996200220072008 | 239 |
| 14 | Stikine | 41 | Tahltan | 198719962002 | 468 |
| 14 | Stikine | 42 | U_Stikine | 1996 | 352 |
| 14 | Stikine | 81 | Scud_RT | $\begin{aligned} & 198519872000200120072008200920102011 \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ | 623 |
| 14 | Stikine | 95 | Iskut_RT | 19852002200620072008 | 200 |
| 14 | Stikine | 120 | ChutineRiver | 198520002001200220082009 | 537 |
| 14 | Stikine | 121 | Christina_Lk | 1984201020112012 | 130 |
| 14 | Stikine | 139 | Iskut_Verrett | 19862000200120022003201020112012 | 459 |
| 14 | Stikine | 165 | PorcupineSlo_ RT | 20002001200720082009201020112012 | 187 |
| 14 | Stikine | 221 | Katete_RT | 20012002 | 31 |
| 14 | Stikine | 222 | Bugleg_Cr_RT | 2001 | 42 |
| 14 | Stikine | 223 | Shakes_Cr_RT | 2001200220062007200820092012 | 214 |
| 14 | Stikine | 224 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bronson_Slou_ } \\ & \text { RT } \end{aligned}$ | 2001200820092012 | 126 |
| 14 | Stikine | 225 | Devils_Elbow_ RT | 2001200720082009 | 311 |
| 14 | Stikine | 226 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Iskut_Craig_R } \\ & \text { T } \end{aligned}$ | 2001200620072009 | 66 |
| 14 | Stikine | 227 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stikine_main_ } \\ & \text { RT } \end{aligned}$ | 20012010 | 154 |
| 14 | Stikine | 276 | Twin | 2002 | 29 |
| 14 | Stikine | 439 | St_Main@Fowl _ | 2007200820092010 | 39 |
| 14 | Stikine | 440 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { St_Main@And } \\ & \text { y_RT } \end{aligned}$ | 2007200820092011 | 40 |
| 14 | Stikine | 457 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { StikineCraig_R } \\ & \mathrm{T} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2008 | 22 |
| 14 | Stikine | 458 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Isket_Zappa_R } \\ & \text { T } \end{aligned}$ | 2008 | 7 |
| 14 | Stikine | 459 | AndrewCr | 2006 | 2 |
| 14 | Stikine | 476 | ChutineLake | 200920102011 | 258 |
| 14 | Stikine | 496 | Hoodoo_Sloug h | 1522010 | 26 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 57 | Tenas | 1985 | 80 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 89 | Banks | 1986 | 41 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 99 | Namu | 1999 | 93 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 100 | Mary_Cove | 1999 | 78 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 101 | Lagoon_Cr | 1999 | 50 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 102 | Devon_Lake | 198519992004 | 332 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 103 | Mikado_Cr | 19861999 | 162 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 106 | Lowe_Lake | 1986 | 40 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 107 | Kimsquit | 1986 | 78 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 108 | Canoona | 1986 | 100 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 109 | Tankeeah | 198620012002200320042005 | 399 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 110 | Kitlope | 198620062010 | 270 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 111 | Koeye | 19862004 | 86 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 119 | Lonesome | 1997 | 99 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 126 | Long_Lake | 19891998199920002001 | 483 |


| 15 | Central Coast | 130 | Klinaklini | 19982002 | 319 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | Central Coast | 230 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Smokehouse_C } \\ & \mathrm{r} \end{aligned}$ | 20012002 | 231 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 231 | Canoe_Cr | 20012002 | 139 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 295 | Klemtu | 2002 | 27 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 305 | Martin | 2002 | 1 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 317 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bella_Coola_m } \\ & \text { ix } \end{aligned}$ | 2003 | 222 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 335 | Prudhomme_Cr | 2004 | 111 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 336 | Curtis_Cr | 2004 | 106 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 337 | Kooryet_Cr | 2004 | 129 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 338 | Freda_Lake | 2004 | 37 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 340 | Keecha_Lake | 2004 | 99 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 341 | Kingkown_N | 2004 | 95 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 342 | Kingkown_S | 2004 | 107 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 343 | Diane_Cr | 2004 | 91 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 347 | Shawatlan_Lak <br> e | 2004 | 100 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 348 | Evelyn_Lake | 2004 | 103 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 349 | Kent_Lake | 2004 | 105 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 350 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L_Kwakwa_La } \\ & \text { ke } \end{aligned}$ | 2004 | 57 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 351 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U_Kwakwa_La } \\ & \text { ke } \end{aligned}$ | 2004 | 66 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 352 | Deer_Lake | 20042008 | 185 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 353 | Kitkiata_Lake | 2004 | 100 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 363 | Maria | 2004 | 1 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 364 | Kadjusdis | 2004 | 98 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 365 | Kwakusdis | 2004 | 7 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 366 | Hooknose | 2004 | 6 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 367 | Dean | 2004 | 1 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 426 | Kitimat | 20052010 | 312 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 427 | West_Arm_Cr | 200520062008 | 137 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 429 | Bloomfield_Cr | 2005 | 117 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 471 | Moore_Lk | 2006 | 22 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 472 | Tsimtack_Cr | 2006 | 22 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 473 | Atnarko | 2005 | 44 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 475 | NWMoorelake | 2009 | 18 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 493 | Tezwa_R | 2006 | 21 |
| 16 | Taku | 55 | Kuthai | 1986198720042005 | 372 |
| 16 | Taku | 58 | Tatsatua | 198519871993200520112012 | 388 |
| 16 | Taku | 85 | Hackett_RT | 19851987200720082009 | 292 |
| 16 | Taku | 90 | Little_Trapper | 19922004 | 107 |
| 16 | Taku | 144 | B_Tatsamenie | 19921993 | 151 |
| 16 | Taku | 167 | Tuskwa_RT | 2000200420082009 | 468 |
| 16 | Taku | 169 | Taku_KingSal mon | 2000200320042005201020112013 | 557 |
| 16 | Taku | 170 | Tulsequah_RT | 2000200720082009 | 306 |
| 16 | Taku | 171 | Shustahini_RT | 200020082009 | 210 |
| 16 | Taku | 172 | Takwahoni_RT | 2000200920102011 | 211 |
| 16 | Taku | 316 | Nahlin | 20042005200620072012 | 459 |
| 16 | Taku | 344 | Yonakina_RT | 20042011 | 54 |


| 16 | Taku | 445 | TakuMainstem _RT | 2007 | 126 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | Taku | 460 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YellowBluff_R } \\ & T \end{aligned}$ | 200820102011 | 81 |
| 16 | Taku | 461 | BearSlough_R $\mathrm{T}$ | 20082009 | 306 |
| 16 | Taku | 462 | NakinaR | 200820092011 | 39 |
| 16 | Taku | 495 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yehring_Cr_R } \\ & \text { T } \end{aligned}$ | 20072011 | 109 |
| 16 | Taku | 516 | Fish_Cr | 2010 | 107 |
| 17 | Alsek | 59 | Klukshu_mix | 1992200020072008 | 524 |
| 17 | Alsek | 166 | Neskataheen | 20002001200220032007 | 832 |
| 17 | Alsek | 168 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L_Tatshenshi_ } \\ & \text { RT } \end{aligned}$ | 20002001200220032010 | 121 |
| 17 | Alsek | 217 | Kudwat_Cr_RT | 200120072009201020112012 | 249 |
| 17 | Alsek | 218 | Detour_Cr_RT | 20012011 | 26 |
| 17 | Alsek | 219 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U_Tatshensh_R } \\ & \text { T } \end{aligned}$ | 200120022003 | 318 |
| 17 | Alsek | 220 | Stinky_Cr_RT | 20012011 | 103 |
| 17 | Alsek | 236 | Klukshu_Early | 200020012002 | 226 |
| 17 | Alsek | 237 | Klukshu_Late | 200020012002 | 309 |
| 17 | Alsek | 243 | Alsek_T_down | 200120022003 | 75 |
| 17 | Alsek | 244 | Stanley_Cr_RT | 200120022003 | 31 |
| 17 | Alsek | 245 | Alsek_T_up | 200120022003 | 50 |
| 17 | Alsek | 246 | Blanchard | 200120022003200720082009 | 252 |
| 17 | Alsek | 247 | OConnor_RT | 200120022003 | 96 |
| 17 | Alsek | 249 | Kane | 200120022003 | 108 |
| 17 | Alsek | 250 | Uknown_Alsek | 2001 | 35 |
| 17 | Alsek | 432 | Basin_Cr_RT | 20022003 | 45 |
| 17 | Alsek | 433 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tweedsmuir_R } \\ & \mathrm{T} \end{aligned}$ | 200320072009201020112012 | 152 |
| 17 | Alsek | 434 | LowFog_RT | 20022003 | 3 |
| 17 | Alsek | 435 | Takhanne_RT | 20022003 | 4 |
| 17 | Alsek | 437 | VernRichie_RT | 2007200820092010 | 217 |
| 17 | Alsek | 438 | Goat_Cr_RT | 20072012 | 66 |
| 17 | Alsek | 441 | BorderSlough_ RT | 20072008200920112012 | 185 |
| 17 | Alsek | 497 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sediment_Cr_R } \\ & \mathrm{T} \end{aligned}$ | 2010 | 11 |
| 17 | Alsek | 513 | Kwatine_Cr | 2011 | 65 |
| 17 | Alsek | 515 | Bridge_Silver | 20112012 | 105 |
| 18 | Owikeno | 97 | Inziana | 1997200020012002 | 397 |
| 18 | Owikeno | 98 | Washwash | 1997200020012002 | 366 |
| 18 | Owikeno | 132 | Ashlulm | 20002001200220042007 | 234 |
| 18 | Owikeno | 133 | Dallery | 200020012002 | 161 |
| 18 | Owikeno | 134 | Genesee | 20002001200220042007 | 190 |
| 18 | Owikeno | 135 | Neechanz | 2000200120022004 | 328 |
| 18 | Owikeno | 136 | Amback | 2000200120022004 | 411 |
| 18 | Owikeno | 137 | Sheemahant | 2000200120022004 | 282 |
| 18 | Owikeno | 251 | Marble_Cr | 20012002 | 121 |
| 18 | Owikeno | 300 | Wannock | 2002 | 86 |
| 19 | QCI | 128 | CopperR_QCI | 199319962001 | 170 |
| 19 | QCI | 131 | Yakoun | 19891993 | 160 |


| 19 | QCI | 188 | Awun | 1995 | 79 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | QCI | 189 | Naden | 1995 | 98 |
| 19 | QCI | 235 | Mercer_Lake | 1983 | 41 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 113 | Hugh_Smith | 19922000 | 282 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 114 | Heckman | 19922000 | 296 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 116 | McDonald | 19922000 | 276 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 117 | Karta | 19922000 | 265 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 147 | Thoms_Lake | 2000 | 212 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 154 | Kutlaku_Lake | 2000 | 203 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 155 | Red_Bay_Lake | 2000 | 201 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 162 | Sitkoh | 20002001 | 382 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 174 | PetersburgLake | 2000 | 193 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 175 | Salmon_Bay | 2000 | 198 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 176 | Sarkar | 2000 | 45 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 177 | Luck | 2000 | 200 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 178 | Hetta | 20002002 | 313 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 179 | Klakas | 2000 | 200 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 180 | Kegan | 2000 | 196 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 272 | Mahoney | 2002 | 71 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 273 | Kah_Sheets | 2002 | 105 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 274 | Kunk | 2002 | 107 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 275 | Shipley | 2002 | 105 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 455 | Chilkoot | 2003 | 95 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 456 | ChilkootLkBea ch | 2007 | 95 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 477 | Klawock | 20042010 | 288 |
| 21 | Unuk | 60 | Border_Lake | 1987 | 50 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Stikine River large Chinook salmon terminal run size $=$ total Stikine large Chinook salmon run size minus the U.S. troll harvest of Stikine Chinook salmon outside District 108

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Includes average combined U.S. gillnet, troll and sport harvest of Stikine River large Chinook salmon in District 108.
    ${ }^{3}$ Includes average combined Canadian Aboriginal, commercial and sport harvest of Stikine River large Chinook salmon.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ Terminal run size $=$ total run excluding allowance for harvests in marine areas outside the terminal Alaskan drift gillnet fisheries (e.g. Districts 106, and 108).

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ Includes average combined U.S. drift gillnet and sport harvest of Taku River large Chinook salmon in District 111.
    ${ }^{6}$ Includes average combined Canadian Aboriginal, commercial and estimated sport harvest of Taku River large Chinook salmon.

[^4]:    ${ }^{7}$ Terminal run $=$ total Taku River Chinook salmon run size minus the U.S. troll catch of Taku River Chinook salmon outside District 111.

[^5]:    ${ }^{8}$ Escapement estimates for 1981 and 1985 were based on the Canyon Island mark-recapture program. Annual age-specific returns were estimated assuming the inriver age composition, determined from sampling in the Canadian commercial fishery, was representative of the entire run.

[^6]:    $\frac{\text { FEB } 12 / 20 / 6}{\text { Date }}$

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ A) Gene Conservation Laboratory of ADF\&G; B) International Program for Salmon Ecological Genetics at the University of Washington; C) Hagerman Genetics Laboratory of the Columbia River Inter-Tribal Fish Commission; and D) Molecular Genetics Laboratory at the Canadian Department of Fisheries and Oceans.

