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## INTRODUCTION

Management of transboundary river salmon to achieve conservation, allocation and enhancement objectives, as stipulated by the PST, requires a cooperative approach by Canada and the United States. It is important that both Parties have a clear understanding of the objectives and agree upon procedures to be used in managing the fisheries, including the criteria upon which modifications of fishing patterns will be based. This document is intended to facilitate cooperative salmon management, stock assessment, research and enhancement on transboundary stocks of the Stikine, Taku, and Alsek rivers conducted by DFO, TFN, TRTFN, CAFN, and ADF\&G.

This report contains, by river system and species, the 2018 salmon run outlooks, spawning escapement goals, a summary of harvest sharing objectives, and an outline of management procedures to be used during the 2018 fisheries. Numerical forecasts are presented for: Stikine River sockeye and large (MEF $>659 \mathrm{~mm}$; typically age 5-7) Chinook salmon and Taku River large Chinook salmon as required by the PST; Taku River sockeye and coho salmon; and Alsek River sockeye and Chinook salmon. Outlooks for other stocks are given qualitatively with reference to brood year escapement data where available. This report also contains joint plans for fry stocks and egg collections and a detailed list of proposed field projects for 2018, identifying agency responsibility and contacts for the various functions within the projects. Information shown for 2017 and 2018 is preliminary. Unless otherwise define the 10-year average is 2008-2017 and the 5-year average is 2013-2017.

## STIKINE RIVER

## Chinook Salmon

## Preseason Forecast

The bilateral preseason forecast for the Stikine River large Chinook salmon terminal run is 6,900 fish. The forecast uses a sibling model in which the 2017 returns of age 4 (BY 2013) and age 5 (BY 2012) Chinook were used to predict the returns of age 5 (BY2013) and age 6 (BY2012) fish in 2018 using the relationships observed between age classes over the past nine years corrected with the 5-year average (2013-2017) model error. The $95 \%$ confidence interval of this forecast is 1,500 to 12,500 fish.

This forecast is well below the 10-year average (2008-2017) terminal run of 22,140 large Chinook salmon. The principal brood years contributing to the 2018 Chinook salmon run are 2012 (22,327 large fish spawning escapement), 2013 (16,783 large fish spawning escapement), and 2014 (24,366 large fish spawning escapement). The 2018 preseason forecast is insufficient for directed and assessment fisheries in both the U.S. and Canada.

Table 1. Stikine River large Chinook salmon terminal run preseason forecasts and postseason estimates from 2004 to 2017, and the 2018 preseason forecast. Forecast performance relative to the actual was determined using postseason run reconstruction. Positive values indicate the forecast was higher than actual while negative values indicate the forecast was less than the actual. Adjusted forecast uses 5-year average (2013-2017) percentage error.

|  | Forecast Estimate |  |  | Forecast Performance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Sibling | Adjusted |  | Postseason Run | Sibling |

Data source: Final Estimates of Transboundary River Salmon Production, Harvest, and Escapement and a Review of Joint Enhancement Activities in 2017.

## Escapement Goals

The current $\mathrm{N}_{\text {MSY }}$ for above border Stikine River large Chinook salmon is 17,400 fish with a MSY goal range of 14,000 to 28,000 fish. Corresponding values for counts through the weir on the Little Tahltan are 2,700 to 5,300 fish with a point estimate of 3,300 fish (Bernard et al 2000). This drainage wide goal is subject to periodic review by the TTC. Based on the 10 -year average (2008-2017), Little Tahltan River Chinook salmon represent $7 \%$ (Range; 1-18\%) of the total spawning population.

## Harvest Sharing Objectives

Provisions for harvest sharing and management of directed fisheries for Stikine River large Chinook salmon were successfully negotiated by the Transboundary Panel and implemented in 2005. These arrangements, with slight adjustments, were adopted through PST negotiations in 2008 and are in effect through 2018 (Paragraph 3(a) (3) of Annex IV, Chapter 1 of the PST).

Harvest sharing provisions were developed to acknowledge the traditional harvest in fisheries, referred to as base level catches (BLCs), which occurred prior to the new arrangements, these included: incidental harvests in Canadian and U.S. commercial drift gillnet fisheries, U.S. and Canadian sport fisheries, Canadian First Nation fishery, and the test fishery. For directed fisheries, the allowable catch (AC) will be calculated as follows:
where: BTR $=$ escapement target + test fishery BLC+ U.S. BLC + Cdn BLC. BLCs are as follows:

- U.S. Stikine BLC: 3,400 large Chinook salmon ${ }^{1}$;
- Canadian Stikine BLC: 2,300 large Chinook salmon ${ }^{2}$;
- Test fishery: 1,400 large Chinook salmon.

Harvest sharing and accounting of the AC shall be as described in Table 2.

Table 2. U.S. and Canadian allowable catches of Stikine large Chinook salmon for directed fisheries.

| Allowable Catch Range |  | Allowable Catch Share |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U.S. |  | Canada |  |
| Lower | Upper | Lower | Upper | Lower | Upper |
| 0 | 5,000 | 0 | 500 | 0 | 4,500 |
| 5,001 | 20,000 | 501 | 11,000 | 4,500 | 9,000 |
| 20,001 | 30,000 | 11,001 | 17,500 | 9,000 | 12,500 |
| 30,001 | 50,000 | 17,501 | 30,500 | 12,500 | 19,500 |
| 50,001 | 100,000 | 30,501 | 63,000 | 19,500 | 37,000 |

Within each allowable catch range, each Party's AC will be calculated proportional to where the AC occurs within the range. The TTC developed a spreadsheet to calculate specific harvest shares. Each Party shall determine the domestic allocation of their respective harvest shares.

When the terminal run is insufficient to provide for the Party's Stikine Chinook salmon BLC and the lower end of the escapement goal range, the reductions in each Party's base level fisheries, i.e. the fisheries that contributed to the BLCs, will be proportionate to the BLC shares, excluding the test fishery.
U.S. harvest of Stikine Chinook salmon AC will not count towards the SEAK AABM allocation (as described in Chapter 3 of the PST). In particular:

1. non-Stikine Treaty Chinook salmon harvested in District 108 will continue to count toward the SEAK AABM harvest limit;
2. U.S. BLC of Stikine Chinook salmon in District 108 will count toward the SEAK AABM harvest limit;
3. U.S. catch of Stikine Chinook salmon in District 108 above U.S. BLC will not count towards the SEAK AABM allocation.

Accounting for the SEAK AABM Chinook salmon catches as pertains to harvests of transboundary river origin Chinook salmon, will continue to be the responsibility of the CTC as modified by (a) through (c) above.

## Management Procedures

[^0]Paragraph 3(a) (3) of Annex IV, Chapter 1 of the PST include the following management details for directed fisheries targeting large Chinook salmon that apply in 2018:

- Both Parties shall take the appropriate management action to ensure that the necessary escapement goals for Chinook salmon bound for the Canadian portions of the Stikine River are achieved. The Parties agree to share in the burden of conservation. Fishing arrangements must take biodiversity and ecosystem requirements into account.
- Management of directed fisheries will be abundance-based through an approach developed by the Committee. The Parties agree to implement assessment programs in support of the abundance- based management regime.
- Unless otherwise agreed, directed fisheries on Stikine River Chinook salmon will occur only in the Stikine River drainage in Canada, and in District 108 in the U.S.
- Management of Stikine River Chinook salmon will take into account the conservation of specific stocks or conservation units when planning and prosecuting their respective fisheries. To avoid over-harvesting of specific components of the run, weekly guideline harvests or other agreed management measures will be developed by the Committee by apportioning their allowable harvest of each Party over the total Chinook season based on historical weekly run timing.
- Commencing in 2009, the Parties agree to develop and implement through the Committee an agreed Chinook salmon stock identification program to assist the management of Stikine Chinook salmon.
- A preseason forecast of the Stikine River Chinook salmon terminal run size will be made by the Committee by December 1st of each year.
- Directed fisheries may be implemented based on preseason forecasts only if the preseason forecast terminal run size equals or exceeds the midpoint of the MSY escapement goal range plus the combined Canada, U.S., and test fishery BLCs of Stikine River Chinook salmon. The preseason forecast will only be used for management until inseason projections become available.
- For the purposes of determining whether to allow directed fisheries using inseason information in 2018, such fisheries will not be implemented unless the projected terminal run size exceeds the escapement goal point estimate ( $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ ) plus the combined Canada, U.S., and test fishery BLCs of Stikine River Chinook salmon. The Committee shall determine when inseason projections can be used for management purposes and shall establish the methodology for inseason projections and update them weekly or at other agreed intervals.
- If the escapement of Stikine River Chinook salmon is below the lower bound of the agreed escapement range for three consecutive years, the Parties will examine the management of base level fisheries and any other fishery which harvests Stikine River Chinook salmon stocks, with a view to rebuilding the escapement.

Fishery openings will be based on weekly run strength and the TAC as defined by the PST. The preseason forecast will serve as the principal run size estimator until approximately May 25, SW21 (May 20-26); when inseason run projections typically become available. Inseason projections are generated based on the Stikine Chinook Management Model (SCMM), or a MR estimate, or a combination of the two methods. On average, approximately $25 \%$ of the run has passed the Kakwan Point site (1996-2017) by May 25. An inseason run
estimate before May 25 may be adopted if agreed to by Canada and the U.S. Weekly MR estimates are expected to be available by SW22 (May 27-June 2). If available, MR estimates may be used as the principal run size estimator or be used in concert with the SCMM in assessing weekly run sizes. Catch performance of the Lower Stikine River fishery, in conjunction with daily water levels, will be monitored and may also be used, in part, to assess run size. From 2005 to 2017, the MR and SCMM average was deemed to be the most reliable predictor of terminal run size and was the principal method used to predict terminal run after SW22.

Inseason estimates of the inriver run based on a MR estimate will be made using a bilaterally agreed-to sulk rate for tags released in event 1 of the 2-event MR program. Sulk rates will be based on the analysis of inseason data. In the event bilateral agreement cannot be reached with respect to the sulk rate, an assumed 11-day sulk rate will be used. During years with directed fisheries in District 108, a District 108 timing model is used to expand the cumulative catch to date to project the catch for the season, which is added to the inriver run projection to give an estimate of terminal run size. It is also used to determine weekly guideline harvests for the District 108 fisheries. During years without directed fisheries in District108, the average harvest observed in District 108 for similar run sizes will be added to the inriver run projection to give an estimate of terminal run size. For the inseason run projections, MR abundance estimates will be expanded by timing models which include:

1. Average run timing of large Chinook salmon observed in the Canadian commercial/assessment fisheries in 2007-2016. Inriver timing models are used to expand the point MR estimate to project the total inriver run sizes. Inriver timing models are also used to determine weekly guideline harvests for the lower Stikine commercial fishery and;
2. Average run timing of large Chinook salmon in the District 108 drift gillnet fishery. This is based on combination of run timing for the District 108 drift gillnet harvests from 1969 to 1973, select years of Canadian test fishery timing data for lagged by 2 weeks, and Kakwan Point tagging CPUE lagged by 7 days (annual Kakwan Point CPUE data used for run timing was based on fishing conditions that were not unduly fettered by extraordinary high water conditions in any particular year).

## United States

The 2018 preseason forecast does not allow for directed Chinook salmon fisheries in District 108. The U.S. does not anticipate any directed fisheries in 2018 based on recent trends of Stikine River Chinook salmon abundance and trends in Chinook salmon abundance throughout Southeast Alaska. As such, the U.S. will be restricting fisheries for Chinook salmon conservation.

The U.S. Federal Stikine River subsistence fishery for Chinook salmon will not open in 2018. If inseason run size estimates produce a U.S. AC during the period of May 15 to June 20, the subsistence fishery may open. A subsistence permit issued by the USFS to federally qualified subsistence users is required to fish in the Stikine River. Permit restrictions include: restricting fishing area to upriver from tidal waters to the U.S./Canadian border; prohibiting fishing at stock assessment sites used by ADF\&G and DFO; and restricting fishing gear to dipnets, spears, gaffs, rod and reel, beach seine, or gillnets not exceeding 15 fathoms ( 27.4 m ) in length with mesh size no larger than 8 inches ( 20.3 cm ). Subsistence fishermen will be required to check gillnets twice a day. The subsistence fishery is monitored inseason by USFS biologists who will provide weekly harvest and effort estimates to the ADF\&G.

The Chinook salmon sport fishery in District 108 will be closed May 1 through July 15 in 2018. A small area inside District 108, immediately adjacent to City Creek in Petersburg, will be open from June 1 through July 31 to target Alaska hatchery Chinook salmon in this location.

The District 108 directed Chinook salmon drift gillnet fishery will not open and restrictions will be implemented during the sockeye salmon fishery. Restrictions will include the delay of the initial opening by two weeks, a six inch maximum mesh restriction, reduced time, and reduced fishing area.

Spring troll fisheries targeting hatchery Chinook salmon in District 108 will be closed in 2018. In addition, the summer troll fishery in District 108 may be restricted.

## Canada

The preseason forecast of 6,900 large Chinook salmon does not allow for a directed fishery in Canada.
Though a directed commercial fishery is not anticipated to occur in 2018, the Canadian lower Stikine River commercial fishery (Figure 2) will be managed on a weekly basis with management actions driven by results of terminal run size projections derived by the SCMM and inseason MR results (for 2018, it is not likely that tag recoveries will be significant enough to generate reliable inseason MR estimates). Weekly inputs to the model may include: catch data from Alaska District 108 gillnet, troll and sport fisheries; catch data from the Canadian Stikine River commercial, test, First Nations, and recreational fisheries; catch and effort from the Kakwan Point tagging site; and, escapement requirements. Weekly inputs required to generate a MR estimate will include: the number of tags to date recovered from large Chinook salmon from the Lower Stikine commercial fishery, the total catch to date of large Chinook salmon, and an estimate the fraction of the run that transited the fishery to date. Total available tags to date entering the fishery will be based on the median travel speed of the tagged fish harvested. This metric (days from tagging site to fishery) will be subtracted from the total tags applied to date at the Kakwan Point tagging site. Openings will be governed by weekly abundance and AC of large Chinook salmon based on historical weekly run timing. The average run timing of large Chinook salmon observed in the Canadian commercial/assessment fisheries in 2008-2017 will be used.

Should inseason projections warrant a directed harvest, fishers will be permitted one net with a maximum length of 135 m ( $\sim 440 \mathrm{ft}$.); may be deployed as a set gillnet or drift gillnet. The maximum mesh size permitted is 20.4 cm ( $\sim 8.0$ inch). Daily and weekly catches will be collected by a DFO representative on site. The harvests will be reported to the Whitehorse office on a daily basis.

The fishing zone is bounded by the international boundary upstream to near the confluence of the Porcupine and Stikine rivers. The Iskut River is open to commercial fishing from its mouth upstream approximately 10 km . The management of the lower river commercial fishery will switch to sockeye salmon at 12:00 noon June 17 (SW25) unless Chinook salmon escapement concerns persist then the initial opening will be delayed for a week. Additionally, mesh size restrictions will be adopted, specifically limiting fishers to the use of 14.0 cm ( $\sim 5.5$ inch) mesh size through the Chinook salmon migrational period.

The achievement of escapement objectives is the foremost priority in management considerations. Inriver allocation priority will be to fulfill the food, social and ceremonial requirements of the traditional First Nation fishery. The commercial fisheries, therefore, will be managed to accommodate these fundamental priorities. The area of most intense management will be within the lower Stikine River commercial fishery.

It is anticipated the three primary fishery management responses to inseason Chinook salmon run size projections will include:

1. Adjusting fishing time. Fishing time in the lower Stikine River fishery generally depends upon stock assessment and international and domestic catch allocation considerations. Although the preseason expectation is for a run size not capable of providing commercial fishing opportunities, initial fishing periods would likely be of shorter duration due to uncertainty over the preseason run outlook should
they be warranted. If inseason projections become available, caution will be exercised in providing any fishing opportunities.
2. Adjusting the fishing area. Initially, fishing boundary locations will include the Stikine River upstream to near the mouth of the Porcupine River. The section of the Stikine River from the confluence of the Porcupine and Stikine rivers upstream to near the mouth of the Scud River may be opened should the Chinook salmon return arrive in numbers that are well above spawning escapement and First Nation fishery requirements. In the Iskut River, the area will remain unchanged from previous years, i.e. from the mouth to a marker located approximately 10 km upstream from the mouth.
3. Adjusting the quantity of fishing gear. Initially only one drift or set gillnet may be used. Gear may be increased to two gillnets, should an increase in exploitation rate be warranted based on inseason terminal run size estimates. The maximum mesh size permitted is 20.4 cm ( $\sim 8.0$ inch). The maximum allowable net length will remain at 135 meters ( $\sim 440 \mathrm{ft}$.).

In the upper Stikine River commercial fishery, should inseason run projections warrant a directed Chinook salmon harvest, the fishery will be based on the openings fished in the lower Stikine River commercial fishery, lagged one week. The upper Stikine River fishers are permitted to use one net of the same dimensions as that used by fishers participating in the lower Stikine River commercial fishery as noted above. The fishing zone is bounded in the south by the confluence of the Chutine and Stikine rivers, and in the north by the confluence of the Tuya and Stikine rivers. Daily and weekly harvests will be collected by a DFO representative on site. The harvests will be reported to the Whitehorse office on a weekly basis (note: historical information indicates this fishery is largely inactive through late June, SW26).

As in past years, weekly fishing times in the First Nation fishery will not normally be restricted. In the First Nation fishery, reductions in fishing time would be considered only if no other adjustments could be made in the lower and upper river commercial fisheries and in the recreational fishery. Daily and weekly harvests will be collected by a DFO representative on site. The harvests will be reported to the Whitehorse office on a weekly basis. Biological sampling to assess age, size, and stock identification will be conducted throughout the course of the fishery. Records will be delivered to DFO in the postseason.

The Stikine Chinook salmon recreational fishery is centred at the Tahltan River near its confluence with the Stikine River. Minor recreational fishing occurs in the mainstem Stikine River as well as the Iskut River. Due to Chinook salmon escapement concerns, retention of Chinook salmon will not be permitted in the Stikine River drainage. Additionally, the Tahltan River will be closed to recreational salmon fishing June 01 to August 31. Typically, fishers are permitted four Chinook salmon per day, only two of which may be larger than 65 cm ( $\sim 26$ in) fork length. The possession limit consists of a two-day catch quota. The annual harvest by individual anglers is limited to ten large fish. Fishing activity, including harvest and release records, will be monitored and maintained, opportunistically, by a field technician stationed near the Tahltan River should restrictions in the recreational fishery be removed.

## Harvest Information Sharing

The U.S. shall provide catches and effort in the following strata for each SW:

1. District 108 gillnet, sport, and troll fisheries;
2. Stikine River subsistence fishery;
3. test fisheries in District 108.

Canada shall provide catch and effort statistics in the following strata for each SW:

1. the lower river commercial fishery (all areas);
2. the upper river commercial fishery;
3. the First Nation fishery;
4. recreational fishery (season estimate);
5. the lower Stikine River assessment fishery conducted near the international border;
6. ESSR or other terminal fishery catches will be reported as data become available.


Figure 1. U.S. fishing areas adjacent to the Stikine River.

## Stock Assessment Program

Each country shall:

1. provide harvest statistics for the same strata as sockeye salmon are reported;
2. sample its fisheries for: GSI (U.S.), CWT, and spaghetti tags;
3. conduct escapement and stock assessment programs as resources permit (see Appendix A. 1 for projects anticipated to be conducted in 2018).

## Stock Composition of U.S. Harvests

Weekly contribution of above border Stikine River large Chinook salmon harvested in Alaska sport and commercial fisheries will be determined inseason by sampling harvest for CWT and ASL. The minimum sampling goal for CWTs is $20 \%$ of the harvest. The weekly sampling goal for ASL and GSI is 80 matched scales, tissue, and lengths with an additional 120 lengths for size composition determination.

Stock composition for sport and commercial harvest will be determined postseason. Tissue samples will be taken from sport and commercially harvested Chinook salmon in District 108 and processed postseason by ADF\&G Gene Conservation Laboratory in Anchorage. GSI estimates will be used to recalculate contributions of above border Stikine River Chinook salmon in the District 108 sport and commercial fisheries (Appendix C.1). In the absence of GSI data, CWT data will be used to determine stock composition postseason. Scales will be collected inseason and analyzed postseason to determine the age structure composition of the harvest.

## Stock Composition of Canadian Harvests (lower River commercial fishery)

GSI samples will be collected from both the lower Stikine River and commercial fishery and from tagged fish originating from the Kakwan Point tagging project. These data will be analyzed to determine weekly abundance and run timing of Little Tahltan /Tahltan origin Chinook salmon contrasted with the combined "other" stock groupings (Appendix C.1). Run timing and abundance of specific stock groupings included in the "other" category will also be determined. It is expected that these analyses will be conducted postseason (2019). Scales will be collected inseason and analyzed postseason to determine the age structure composition of the harvest. A minimum of $50 \%$ of the harvest will be sampled for CWT marked fish.

## Sockeye Salmon

## Stock Definitions

Stikine River sockeye salmon are for research, management, and monitoring purposes, subdivided into four stock groups: 1) wild Tahltan stock, which are those fish originating from naturally spawning sockeye salmon in Tahltan Lake; 2) enhanced Tahltan stock, which are those fish originating from broodstock collected at Tahltan Lake and are subsequently back-planted as fry into Tahltan Lake; 3) Tuya stock, which are those fish originating from broodstock collected at Tahltan Lake and are subsequently planted as fry into Tuya Lake; and 4) mainstem stock, which are all other natural sockeye salmon populations in the Stikine River. For management purposes, collective wild and enhanced Tahltan Lake stocks are referred to as "total Tahltan stock", or sometimes, just "Tahltan stock."

## Preseason Forecast

For 2018, the terminal run ${ }^{3}$ forecast for Stikine sockeye salmon is 160,900 fish, which constitutes an average run size. For comparison, the 10 -year average (2008-2017) total Stikine sockeye salmon run size is approximately 159,000 fish. The 2018 forecast includes approximately 46,300 wild Tahltan (29\%), 66,100 enhanced Tahltan (41\%), 12,900 enhanced Tuya (8\%), and 35,500 mainstem sockeye salmon (22\%).

The 2018 overall Stikine River sockeye salmon prediction is based on the following components:

1. A forecast of approximately 112,400 Tahltan wild + enhanced sockeye salmon of which 66,100 fish are expected from the enhancement project, and 46,300 fish are expected from natural spawners. This forecast is based on a smolt model in which the 2-year average (2016 and 2017) age specific marine survival is applied to the number of smolts that emigrated from Tahltan Lake in 2015 and 2016. The smolt forecast, has proven to be more accurate than the sibling forecast in recent years, and in 2018 the average marine survival was changed from a 5 -year average to a 2 -year average to better reflect recent ocean conditions these sockeye salmon brood years have been exposed to;
2. A forecast of approximately 12,900 Tuya enhanced sockeye salmon, which is based on a fry survival model where the 2-year (2016 and 2017) average age specific fry to adult survival rates for Tuya sockeye salmon are applied to the number of fry outplanted from brood years expected to return in 2018. The last outplant to Tuya Lake was in 2014. Only age 5 fish and older will return in 2018, the last year of returns of any number for the Tuya enhancement project. In 2018 the average marine survival was changed from a 5 -year average to a 2 -year average to better reflect recent ocean conditions these sockeye salmon brood years have been exposed to;
3. A forecast of approximately 35,500 mainstem sockeye salmon based on a sibling model in which the 2013 brood year returns in 2017 (age 4 fish) were used to predict the returns of sibling fish (age 5) in 2018 using the relationships observed between these age classes over the past thirty four years. The 5year old forecast is then expanded by average age composition of the run. In past years the mainstem forecast averaged a sibling model with a stock-recruit model, but the sibling model has proven to be more accurate than either the stock-recruit model or the average model in recent years

Due to fluctuations in survival for Stikine River sockeye salmon, there is a high level of uncertainty in the preseason forecasts. There have been wide discrepancies between past forecasts and postseason run size estimates. The performance of the preseason forecasts relative to final postseason estimates is summarized in Table 3. Despite problems with preseason forecasting, the forecasts are useful when used in concert with catch performance (CPUE) for management until inseason data becomes available for inseason run size projections.

[^1]Table 3. Stikine River sockeye salmon preseason run forecasts and the postseason run size estimates from 1983 to 2017, and the 2018 preseason run forecast. The preseason forecasts have been based on combinations of sibling, smolt and stock-recruitment forecast methods. The forecast performance is expressed as \% deviation from the postseason run size estimate. Negative numbers indicate the forecast was higher than the actual run size.

| Year | Preseason forecast | Postseason run size | Forecast performance | Absolute deviation | Absolute \% deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 | 62,900 | 77,457 | 19\% | 14,557 | 19\% |
| 1984 | 37,500 | 83,961 | 55\% | 46,461 | 55\% |
| 1985 | 91,000 | 214,494 | 58\% | 123,494 | 58\% |
| 1986 | 262,000 | 75,456 | -247\% | 186,544 | 247\% |
| 1987 | 114,000 | 43,350 | -163\% | 70,650 | 163\% |
| 1988 | 123,500 | 45,096 | -174\% | 78,404 | 174\% |
| 1989 | 80,500 | 90,549 | 11\% | 10,049 | 11\% |
| 1990 | 94,000 | 67,384 | -39\% | 26,616 | 39\% |
| 1991 | 94,000 | 151,437 | 38\% | 57,437 | 38\% |
| 1992 | 127,300 | 231,936 | 45\% | 104,598 | 45\% |
| 1993 | 135,000 | 280,730 | 52\% | 145,730 | 52\% |
| 1994 | 312,000 | 208,036 | -50\% | 103,964 | 50\% |
| 1995 | 169,000 | 218,728 | 23\% | 49,728 | 23\% |
| 1996 | 329,000 | 372,785 | 12\% | 43,785 | 12\% |
| 1997 | 211,000 | 226,915 | 7\% | 15,915 | 7\% |
| 1998 | 218,500 | 121,448 | -80\% | 97,052 | 80\% |
| 1999 | 126,000 | 124,644 | -1\% | 1,356 | 1\% |
| 2000 | 138,000 | 78,504 | -76\% | 59,496 | 76\% |
| 2001 | 113,000 | 127,255 | 11\% | 14,255 | 11\% |
| 2002 | 80,000 | 79,329 | -1\% | 671 | 1\% |
| 2003 | 184,000 | 240,977 | 24\% | 56,977 | 24\% |
| 2004 | 289,500 | 311,987 | 7\% | 22,487 | 7\% |
| 2005 | 477,100 | 259,932 | -84\% | 217,188 | 84\% |
| 2006 | 179,200 | 268,585 | 33\% | 89,407 | 33\% |
| 2007 | 233,600 | 197,786 | -18\% | 35,814 | 18\% |
| 2008 | 228,600 | 120,209 | -90\% | 108,391 | 90\% |
| 2009 | 274,500 | 185,275 | -48\% | 89,225 | 48\% |
| 2010 | 187,700 | 157,001 | -20\% | 30,699 | 20\% |
| 2011 | 183,000 | 213,399 | 14\% | 30,399 | 14\% |
| 2012 | 134,000 | 124,540 | -8\% | 9,460 | 8\% |
| 2013 | 136,000 | 113,515 | -20\% | 22,485 | 20\% |
| 2014 | 152,300 | 153,323 | 1\% | 1,023 | 1\% |
| 2015 | 171,200 | 174,292 | 2\% | 3,092 | 2\% |
| 2016 | 223,000 | 247,892 | 10\% | 24,892 | 10\% |
| 2017 | 185,000 | NA | --- | --- | --- |
| 2018 | 160,900 |  |  |  |  |
| 1983-2017 | 175,600 | 165,500 |  | 59,200 | 45\% |
| 2008-2017 | 187,800 | 159,400 |  | 40,000 | 26\% |

The 2018 sockeye run forecast is characterized as average. The preseason forecast translates into an expected TAC of 107,000 Stikine River sockeye salmon. Of this approximately 1,800 sockeye salmon are expected to be harvested in test fisheries (stock assessment), leaving approximately 105,200 fish to be shared 50:50 between Canada and the U.S., (i.e. 52,600 fish to each country, excluding terminal Tuya harvest in Canada). The TAC outlook is comprised of the following components:

1. a forecasted Tahltan sockeye salmon TAC of 87,800 fish (total TAC of 88,400 fish minus test harvest of 600 fish). This equates to a maximum exploitation rate of 0.78 at the forecast run size of 112,400 fish with an escapement target of 24,000 fish;
2. a forecasted Tuya sockeye salmon TAC of 9,700 fish ( 12,900 run size * 0.78 exploitation rate -400 test fish). As Tuya Lake sockeye are entirely enhanced with no escapement goal, the maximum exploitation rate is based on Tahltan sockeye salmon and estimated at 0.78 . This leaves a predicted 2,800 fish surplus for the Tuya stock which would potentially be available for Canadian harvest in the Tuya River or other terminal areas;
3. a forecasted mainstem sockeye salmon TAC of 4,700 fish (total TAC of 5,500 fish minus test harvest of 800 fish), This equates to a maximum exploitation rate of 0.13 , at the forecast run size of 35,500 fish with an escapement target of 30,000 fish.

## Spawning Escapement Goals

Escapement goals have been established by the TTC for two Stikine River sockeye salmon stock groups; Tahltan stock group and mainstem stock group. Tahltan and mainstem stocks are considered to be independent. Surpluses or deficits in escapement in one stock are not used to balance deficits or surpluses in the other. The Tuya stock, which is enhanced and has no access to spawning or rearing grounds, has an escapement goal of zero.

Escapement goals have been established as ranges which reflect biological data and professional judgment 4regarding stock productivity, the ability of existing management systems to attain established goals, the precision of estimates of escapement generated by stock assessment programs, and the degree of risk considered acceptable.

Subjective management categories have been defined for various escapement ranges. A postseason estimate of escapement that falls within the Green Management Category shall be considered fully acceptable; one that falls within the Yellow Management Category shall be considered acceptable, but not desired; and one that falls within the Red Management Category shall be considered undesirable. The escapement goal ranges by management category represent the best judgment of desired escapement levels.

## Tahltan Stock

In 1993, the TTC established an escapement target of 24,000 fish for the Tahltan stock which takes into account 20,000 naturally spawning fish (Wood et. al., unpublished data), and up to 4,000 fish needed for broodstock to meet objectives of the Canada/U.S. Stikine River enhancement program.

Escapement goal ranges for the various management categories for the Tahltan stock are:

|  | TARGET $=24 \mathrm{k}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Escapement | $0-13 \mathrm{k}$ | $13 \mathrm{k}-18 \mathrm{k}$ | $18 \mathrm{k}-30 \mathrm{k}$ | $30 \mathrm{k}-45 \mathrm{k}$ | $>45 \mathrm{k}$ |  |
| Mgmt. Category | Red | Yellow | Green | Yellow | Red |  |

## Mainstem Stock

Escapement goal ranges for the various management categories for the mainstem stock are:

|  | TARGET $=30 \mathrm{k}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Escapement | $0-15 \mathrm{k}$ | $15 \mathrm{k}-20 \mathrm{k}$ | $20 \mathrm{k}-40 \mathrm{k}$ | $40 \mathrm{k}-75 \mathrm{k}$ | $>75 \mathrm{k}$ |
| Mgmt. Category | Red | Yellow | Green | Yellow | Red |

Data Exchange
The following data for the Tahltan sockeye salmon stock will be collected and exchanged for use in evaluating escapement goals:

1. spawning escapements, separated by wild and enhanced components;
2. smolt production, separated by wild and enhanced components;
3. stock-specific harvests in the various fisheries.

The following relationships for the Tahltan stock will be examined:

1. terminal run as a function of spawning escapement level;
2. smolt production as a function of the number of natural spawners and enhanced fry;
3. adult production as a function of the number of smolts;
4. terminal run as a function of the return of age-4 sockeye salmon in the previous year;
5. relationship between the terminal run estimates to patterns of distribution and timing. This will include comparisons of various estimates (Stikine River sockeye forecast models, test fishing vs. commercial fishing CPUE, different stock ID results).

The following data for the mainstem stock will be collected and exchanged for use in evaluating escapement goals:

1. survey counts and escapement estimates based on reconstructions of inriver runs apportioned by stock ID data;
2. mainstem stock component of harvests from the various fisheries;
3. inventory and assessment data regarding historical run patterns of distribution, abundance, and timing of spawning fish.

The following relationships for the mainstem stock will be examined:

1. total escapement as a function of survey counts of escapement;
2. terminal run as a function of total spawning escapements;
3. terminal run as a function of the return of age-4 sockeye salmon in the previous year;
4. relationship of terminal run estimates to patterns of distribution and timing. This will include comparisons of various estimates (Stikine River sockeye salmon forecast models, aerial surveys, test fishing vs. commercial fishing CPUE, different stock ID results, etc.).

The following data for the Tuya sockeye salmon stock will be collected and exchanged for use in evaluating adult returns:

1. escapement estimates generated from stock ID, CPUE, and inriver run estimates;
2. stock specific harvests in the various fisheries.

The following relationships for the Tuya stock will be examined:

1. adult production as a function of the number of fry planted;
2. terminal run as a function of the return of age-4 sockeye salmon in the previous year;
3. the relationship of terminal run estimates to patterns of distribution and timing. This will include comparisons of various estimates (Stikine River sockeye salmon forecast models, test fishing vs. commercial fishing CPUE, different stock ID results, etc.).

## Harvest Sharing Objectives

Pacific salmon harvest sharing provisions were renegotiated by the PSC in January 2008 for the period 2009 through 2018. Stock assessment and harvest arrangements for Stikine sockeye salmon stocks are found in Annex IV, Chapter 1, of the PST and Appendix to Annex IV, Chapter 1 entitled "Understanding on the Joint Enhancement of Transboundary River Sockeye Salmon Stocks".

Management plans for the 2018 Stikine River harvest outline the TAC of Stikine River sockeye salmon, both natural and enhanced, to be shared 50/50 between the Parties in existing, i.e. customary, fisheries. If the existing fisheries do not manage to harvest the entire TAC, Canada will be allowed to harvest those fish in excess to naturally spawning and broodstock needs for Tahltan and Tuya stocks. Through 2018, the harvest sharing provision will be predicated upon carrying out agreed enhancement activities contributing to years 2014-2018. This information will be documented in annual SEPP (see Annex IV, Chapter 1 (3) (a) (1) (iii) of the PST)(Appendix B. 4.).

## Management Procedures

## United States

Commercial drift gillnet fisheries occur in the waters of northern Clarence Strait and Sumner Strait of District 106 and in the waters surrounding the terminus of the Stikine River in District 108 (Figure 1). Due to their proximity, management of these areas are interrelated, resulting in some major stocks being subject to harvest in both areas. Two distinct management areas exist within each district: Frederick Sound (Section 8-A) and Wrangell (Section 8-B) portions of District 108, and the Sumner Strait (Subdistricts 106-41/42) and Clarence Strait (Subdistrict 106-30) portions of District 106. Fishing gear used in Districts 106 and 108 are similar; with common sockeye salmon net sizes ranging between five and six inches (130-140 mm). Both districts will be managed in accordance with the current Transboundary Rivers Annex of the PST.

The sockeye salmon season could open by regulation as early as 12:00 noon on Monday, June 11 (SW24). However, with an expected poor return of Stikine River Chinook salmon as well as Chinook salmon stocks throughout Southeast Alaska, conservation measures will be in place for the start of the sockeye salmon fishery. Conservation measures include, delaying the start of the sockeye salmon fishery by two weeks in District 108 and by one week on District 106, implementing a six inch maximum mesh size, limiting fishing time, and limiting District 108 fishing area. The initial District 106 opening will be limited to 48 hours. The following week, SW 26, both Districts will be open for an initial 48 hours but may be extended for an additional 72 hours based on observed effort and harvest levels. During the first few weeks of the sockeye salmon fishery, any extended fishing time or midweek openings will be based on the preseason forecasts, harvest estimates, and stock proportion data. Subsequent openings, fishery extensions, or midweek openings will be based primarily on inseason estimates produced by the SMM and other agreed upon methods for the remainder of the sockeye salmon season.

Due to the expected return of Tahltan Lake and mainstem sockeye salmon, fishing time will likely be similar to 2017 fishing time. If the Tahltan Lake component of the run appears to be weaker than forecasted, a more conservative management approach may limit fishing time in District 108 and fishery extensions in District 106 would likely not occur. If inseason estimates of mainstem sockeye salmon fall below expectations, more conservative management actions may be needed during SWs 29-32. If management actions are taken to conserve mainstem sockeye salmon, they will occur in District 108 and midweek fishing extensions would likely not occur. District 106 will be limited to two days a week during SWs 29-32 due to McDonald Lake sockeye salmon concerns.

Pink salmon typically begin entering District 106 in significant numbers by the third or fourth week of July. Management emphasis will transition from sockeye to pink salmon the first week of August. In 2018, the Southeast Alaska pink salmon harvest is forecasted to be 23 million fish, which is below the 10-year average (2008-2017) of 38 million fish. Early portions of the pink salmon fishery will be managed primarily by fishery performance. By early to mid-August, pink salmon destined for local systems will begin to enter the fishery in greater numbers and at that time management will be based on observed local escapements. If escapements are not evenly dispersed throughout the district, area and/or time restrictions may be necessary.

Chum salmon are not managed directly by the department in Districts 106 and 108 and are harvested incidentally while targeting other species. Interest in harvesting chum salmon has increased in recent years due to good market conditions and hatchery chum salmon production. Hatchery produced chum salmon returning to Anita Bay are intercepted by drift gillnet fishermen in both districts. Chum salmon returns to Anita Bay this season are expected to be similar in abundance to 2017 with a forecasted total run of 459,000 fish. Chum salmon returning to Anita Bay have attracted greater fishing effort in the lower sections of District 108 near Anita Bay
throughout the month of July and this trend is expected to continue in 2018. However, management actions in District 108 are based primarily on Stikine River sockeye salmon stocks during this period.

Drift gillnet openings throughout the sockeye salmon season will begin at noon on Sundays. Announcements for drift gillnet openings throughout Southeast Alaska are made on Thursday afternoons. Announcements for any fishery extensions, or midweek openings, will be made on the fishing grounds by 10:00 a.m. of the last day of the regularly scheduled fishing period.

A U.S. Stikine River subsistence fishery for sockeye salmon will occur from June 19 to July 31 with a guideline harvest level of 600 fish. A subsistence permit issued by the USFS to federally qualified subsistence users is required to fish in the Stikine River. Permit restrictions include: restricting fishing area to upriver from tidal waters to the U.S./Canadian border; prohibiting fishing in tributaries or at stock assessment sites used by ADF\&G and DFO; and restricting fishing gear to dipnets, spears, gaffs, rod and reel, beach seine, or gillnets not exceeding 15 fathoms ( 27.4 m ) in length with mesh size no larger than $51 / 2$ inches ( 14.0 cm ). Additionally, subsistence fishermen are required to check gillnets twice a day. The subsistence fishery is monitored inseason by USFS biologists who will provide weekly estimates of harvest and effort to ADF\&G.

ADF\&G manages a subsistence drift gillnet fishery targeting sockeye salmon in the waters of Sumner Strait near Point Baker, which harvests an unknown number of Stikine River sockeye salmon. Waters of Sumner Strait permitted for this subsistence fishery are within three nautical miles of the Prince of Wales Island shoreline north of "Hole-in-the-Wall" at $56^{\circ} 15.69^{\prime}$ N. lat. and west of the longitude of the western entrance to Buster Bay at $133^{\circ} 29.0^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long. Only Alaska residents may participate in this fishery which will open each week from Wednesday noon through Sunday noon from June 13 through July 29 with a limit of 25 sockeye salmon per household per year. Drift gillnet restrictions include a maximum net length of 50 fathoms ( 91.4 m ). Harvests for the past five years have ranged up to 31 sockeye salmon with two to three permits fished. It is anticipated that fewer than 100 sockeye salmon will be harvested in this fishery in 2018. Due to the low effort and harvest in the Point Baker subsistence fishery, the potential interception of Stikine River sockeye salmon is negligible.

## Canada

The Canadian lower Stikine River commercial fishery (Figure 2) will be managed on a weekly basis with management actions driven by results of stock, harvest, and escapement projections derived from the SMM, inriver catch performance compared to historical catch performance and run size and water levels, and inseason escapement monitoring projects. Weekly inputs to the model will include: effort and harvest data from Alaska District 106 and 108 gillnet fisheries; harvest, effort and inseason stock composition data from the Canadian lower Stikine River commercial and test fisheries; and escapement requirements.

It is anticipated that the management of sockeye salmon in the lower river commercial fishery will begin at 1200 hrs June 26 (SW26) for an initial 10-hour period. Due to Chinook salmon escapement concerns, the start of the sockeye salmon fishery will be delayed by over a week. Consideration for Tahltan Lake sockeye salmon stock management objectives will likely persist through July 21 (SW29). Thereafter, management attention will be focused primarily on mainstem sockeye salmon stock objectives. Actual time frames of responses to specific stock compositions may be fine-tuned inseason according to the weekly results of the stock ID program.

Annex IV, Chapter 1, paragraph 4 of the PST prescribes that either Party takes corrective action in the event that a Party exceeds its catch allocation in any three of five consecutive years. In 2017, fisheries management actions based on bilaterally agreed to inseason run size information resulted in Canada exceeding its allocation for the third time in the last five years. In response, Canada reviewed its management actions for 2017 in relation to the stock assessment information available during the fishing season. It was found that the preseason forecast was significantly higher than the postseason run estimate, resulting in early season fishing opportunity (SW26-27)
that led Canada to exceed its weekly guidelines. Once inseason information became available, run projections dropped significantly but still exceeded the postseason run estimate which further exacerbated Canada's ability to manage within its AC. Through the review, it was found that Canada exceeded the appropriate amount of fishing time by approximately $20 \%$ during the Tahltan sockeye salmon management period and approximately $50 \%$ during the mainstem sockeye salmon management period.

In an attempt to align the Canadian harvest with its allocation in 2018, Canada will implement the following measures based on anticipated fishing conditions (water levels) and effort (11 licences) being similar to 2017:

- preseason forecast adjusted to reflect the recent observed smolt to adult survival rates for Tahltan sockeye salmon - will be used to inform management in SW26-27;
- for SW28-34, if inseason run projections are at or below the preseason forecast, commercial openings will be reduced by approximately $20 \%$ for the Tahltan stock and by approximately $50 \%$ for the mainstem stock management periods;
- should inseason run projections exceed the preseason forecast, commercial fishery will be adjusted to reflect a normal fishery.

The achievement of escapement objectives is the foremost priority in management considerations. Inriver allocation priority will be to fulfill the food, social and ceremonial requirements of the traditional First Nation fishery. The commercial fisheries, therefore, will be managed to accommodate these fundamental priorities. The area of most intense management will be within the lower Stikine River commercial fishery.

The three primary fishery management responses to inseason sockeye salmon run size projections will include:

1. Adjusting the fishing time. Fishing time in the lower Stikine River fishery generally depends upon stock assessment and international and domestic catch allocation considerations. Although the preseason expectation is for a run size capable of providing commercial fishing opportunities, initial fishing periods will likely be of shorter duration due to uncertainty over the preseason run outlook. Once inseason projections become available, caution will be exercised in providing further fishing time.
2. Adjusting the fishing area. Initially, fishing boundary locations will extend from the Canada/U.S. boundary upstream to a location near the mouth of the Porcupine River. The area includes the lower 10 km reach of the Iskut River. The section of the Stikine River upstream from the Porcupine-Stikine confluence will be closed for the initial sockeye salmon fishing periods. Consideration for increasing the fishing area upstream to the boundary sign located approximately 9 km below the Stikine-Scud confluence will only be given if the inseason indicators for both Chinook and sockeye salmon indicate a strong run, escapement targets are expected to be exceeded and harvests are below allocation targets. In the Iskut River, the area will remain unchanged from previous years, i.e. from the mouth to a marker located approximately 10 km upstream from the mouth.
3. Adjusting the quantity of fishing gear. Initially, only one net per license will be permitted and may be deployed as a set or drift gillnet. Gear may be increased to two gillnets should an increase in exploitation rate be warranted based on inseason terminal run size estimates. The maximum allowable net length will remain at 135 m ( $\sim 440 \mathrm{ft}$ ) and, in the absence of a directed Chinook salmon fishery, there will be a maximum mesh size restriction of 14.0 cm ( $\sim 5.5$ inch) through the sockeye salmon management period to conserve Chinook salmon.

In the upper Stikine River commercial fishery, the sockeye salmon fishery will open on June 24 (SW26) subject to Chinook salmon escapement concerns for a 24 -hour period. Thereafter, weekly fishing times will generally follow those of the lower river lagged by one week. Management regimes designed to reduce exploitation include reducing weekly fishing times and reducing gear from two nets to one net.

As in past years, weekly fishing times in the First Nation fishery are not expected to be restricted. Subject to conservation requirements, terminal harvests in the lower Tuya River and/or at Tahltan Lake may occur under ESSR or other authorizations. In the First Nation fishery, reductions in fishing time would be considered only if no other adjustments could be made in the lower and upper river commercial fisheries.

## Summary

Attainment of escapement goals for both the Tahltan Lake and mainstem sockeye salmon stocks is the primary objective of Stikine River sockeye salmon management. Harvest sharing will be based upon the TAC projections derived primarily from the SMM as outlined in the PST. In addition, other methods of estimating run sizes may be used in conjunction with the SMM with consultation between managers. Other factors that may influence harvest management include results from inseason escapement projections, e.g. projected Tahltan Lake weir counts and water levels. The TAC estimates will likely change from week to week as the SMM updates the projected run sizes from the cumulative CPUE's each week. Variations in the TAC estimates will likely be larger early in the season when CPUE is high, than later in the season. Management actions will reflect these week-toweek changes in the TAC estimates. Fishery managers from both countries will have weekly contact in order to evaluate the output from the SMM, SFMM, and other stock assessment tools and to update the outcome of their respective management actions.

## Inseason Data Exchange and Review

Canada and the U.S. will conduct data exchanges by telephone and/or email on Wednesday afternoon or Thursday morning of each week during the fishing season. At that time, current harvest statistics and stock assessment data will be updated, exchanged, and reviewed. Management plans for the next week for each country will be discussed at this time. It is anticipated that additional communications will be required each week. Weekly decision deadlines will be: a) for Districts 106 and 108, 11:00 a.m., Thursday, Alaska Daylight Time; and, b) for the Canadian Stikine River fishery, 10:00 a.m., Friday, Pacific Daylight Time.

DFO field personnel will provide weekly otolith samples from the lower Stikine River commercial and test fisheries for pick-up by ADF\&G; or, the otoliths may be delivered to Wrangell via select commercial fishers Tuesday each week for processing and analysis in Juneau. Results from preliminary analysis can be expected by Thursday of the current week.

## Stock Assessment Program

This section summarizes agreements regarding the data which will be collected by each Party and, when appropriate, procedures that will be used for analysis.
Sockeye Salmon Harvest Statistics
The U.S. shall provide harvest and effort by SW in the following strata:

1. Subdistricts 106-41/42 (Sumner Strait);
2. Subdistrict 106-30 (Clarence Strait);
3. District 108;
4. Stikine River subsistence fishery.

Canada shall provide harvest and effort by SW in the following strata:

1. lower river commercial fishery (all areas);
2. upper river commercial fishery;
3. First Nation fishery;
4. lower Stikine River test fishery conducted near the international border;
5. ESSR or other terminal fishery catches will be reported as data become available.


Figure 2. The Stikine River and Canadian fishing areas.

## Stock Assessment Program

Stock Composition of U.S. Harvests

Districts 108 and 106 drift gillnet fisheries sockeye salmon harvest will be sampled weekly to obtain biological data used to estimate stock composition and age determination. Per week samples include 300 matched genetic tissue, otolith, and ASL samples in Subdistrict 106-41/42 (no otolith collection after SW 31); 520 matched genetic tissue, otolith, and ASL in District 108; and 300 matched genetic tissue, and ASL samples in Subdistrict 106-30. Otolith samples collected inseason will be sent to the ADF\&G Mark, Tag, and Age Laboratory in Juneau to be processed and analyzed, within two days of the end of the fishing period, for contributions of enhanced Tahltan, Tuya, Taku, and U.S. sockeye salmon. In Subdistrict 106-30 weekly enhanced contribution will be estimate by applying the weekly proportion of enhanced Tahltan fish from the total Tahltan fish harvested in Subdistrict 106-41 to the total weekly harvest of Tahltan fish in Subdistrict 106-30. The proportions of enhanced fish and U.S. harvest data will be part of the data used in the weekly Stikine Management Models to estimate Stikine River inriver and terminal run estimates.

Postseason, GSI analysis will provide weekly stock composition estimates, which are used to estimate final contributions of Tahltan and mainstem sockeye salmon stocks to the harvests made each week in District 108 and Subdistrict 106-41/42 and 106-30 (Appendix C.2). Enhanced Tahltan and Tuya stocks will be determined through otolith analysis. Additionally, GSI analysis will provide seasonal estimates of age-specific stock composition for all major contributing age classes ( $>5 \%$ ) for use in brood tables. These estimates are produced with a mark- and age-enhanced genetic mixed-stock analysis (MAGMA) model, which is an extension of the Pella-Masuda GSI model (Pella and Masuda 2001) that incorporates paired otolith mark and scale-age data. Agespecific stock composition estimates are only provided at the annual level because weekly sample sizes are not sufficient to meet precision standards. U.S. subsistence sockeye salmon harvest stock composition analysis will be based on postseason estimates of the Canadian lower Stikine River commercial fishery.

## Stock Composition of the Inriver Canadian Harvest

Egg diameter data is used to estimate Tahltan/ Tuya stock versus the mainstem stock contributions to the sockeye salmon harvest. Tahltan/Tuya fish generally have smaller diameter eggs ( $<3.7 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) compared to mainstem fish. In addition, both enhanced Tahltan and Tuya components will be determined from the analysis of otolith samples collected each week.

In the lower Stikine River commercial fishery, sockeye salmon harvest will be sampled weekly to obtain a total of 400 random samples. The first 200 will be sampled for ASL, egg diameter from females, otoliths, and genetic tissue sample collection. The second 200 will be sampled for length, sex, and egg diameter from females. ADF\&G will analyze the thermal otolith marks from a subsample of at least 60 of these samples each week. Arrangements will be made to ensure timely transfer of samples and notification of results for use in management decisions no later than the week following when the samples are collected. Weekly shipment times for the otolith samples from the river to ADF\&G will be on Tuesday afternoon or Wednesday morning, unless otherwise agreed. If sample sizes are not available due to lack of fishing effort, samples may be augmented from test fishery harvests. The proportions of enhanced fish, stock proportions, and Canadian harvest data will be part of the data used in the weekly Stikine Management Models to estimate Stikine River inriver and terminal run estimates.

In the upper Stikine River fishing area, up to 600 sockeye salmon will be sampled annually for ASL, egg diameters from females, and otoliths from the combined upper river commercial and First Nation fisheries.

## Stock Composition and Run Timing in the Canadian Test Fishery

The proportions of Tahltan/Tuya and mainstem sockeye salmon in test fishery harvest in the lower Stikine River will be estimated inseason in a similar manner to the commercial fishery. Up to 400 fish harvested in the test fishery per week will be sampled for matched ASL, egg diameter from females, and otoliths samples. Two hundred of these will include matched? genetic tissue sample collection. The test fishery otolith samples will be transferred to ADF\&G as per the arrangements made for the commercial samples, for inseason analysis.

The postseason sockeye salmon stock composition estimates will be based on egg diameter from females, otolith, and ASL analyses; the enhanced proportion will be determined from otolith samples.

## Spawning Escapement Estimates

An adult enumeration weir will be used to estimate the Tahltan Lake sockeye salmon escapement. The age composition will be estimated from scale samples, and contributions of enhanced sockeye salmon will be determined from otolith samples. Approximately 800 fish will be sampled at the weir in proportion to the run for ASL; as well 400 otolith samples (subject to conservation concerns, as this sampling component is lethal), Additionally, 400 otolith samples will be taken from the broodstock.

The mainstem sockeye salmon escapement will be estimated postseason using migratory timing information obtained from CPUE and stock identification data from the commercial and/or test fishery, combined with weekly stock compositions estimated from the commercial and/or test fishery harvest. Aerial surveys of six mainstem sockeye salmon spawning indices will be conducted to serve as ancillary escapement information. The Tuya sockeye salmon escapement will be estimated postseason in a similar way.

## Stikine River Run Estimates

The annual inriver Stikine River run is estimated by dividing the total inriver Tahltan run by the inriver stock composition of the Tahltan stock. The inriver Tahltan stock composition is estimated from analyzing data and samples taken in the lower river commercial harvest and/or the lower river test fishery (drift gillnet and set net). Samples include data on egg diameter from females (small eggs are Tahltan/Tuya stocks), otolith marks (Tahltan or Tuya enhanced fish), age, and sex. To estimate the total stock composition postseason, all variables are used to proportion out the known female stock information using egg diameter and otolith marks to derive the male portion of the run. Fishery stock composition is then multiplied by the respective harvest to get stock specific harvest, CPUE, and migratory timing.

## Data Evaluation Procedures

## Historical Database

Canadian commercial fishing began in the Stikine River in 1975, but the methodology for estimating sockeye salmon terminal run sizes was not well standardized until 1982. Therefore, estimates of run size after this time are considered to be better than those made prior to 1982. The historical databases from 1979 on for the Canadian lower Stikine River, from 1985 on for Alaskan Subdistricts 106-41/42 commercial fisheries, from 1986-2004 for the Canadian test fishery, from 2002 on for the Subdistrict 106-30 fishery, and from 1986 on for the District 108 fishery was used in the development of the Stikine Forecast Management Model (SFMM) for 2016 (note: the incomplete fishing pattern and unusual migratory behavior observed in the Canadian Lower Stikine River commercial fishery in some years may preclude the use of the data from those years in the model).

A description of the original SMM is given in the PSC report (1988). Many subtle changes have been made in the model since that documentation was written and was recently updated in Miller and Bednarski 2017. The purpose of the model is to aid managers in making weekly harvest decisions to meet U.S./Canada treaty obligations for harvest sharing and conservation of Stikine River sockeye salmon.

The SMM prediction model, based on the relationship between inriver cumulative CPUE and inriver run size along with the weekly run fraction (based on the cumulative CPUE in the District 108 fishery) and cumulative harvest in District 108, is updated to make weekly inseason predictions of the total terminal run size and the TAC. First, a separate linear regression is used to predict inriver run size using cumulative CPUE from the inriver fishery for each week of the fishery beginning in SW27 (using cumulative CPUE from SW24-26). If the inriver run abundance is expected to be below average (low), the intercept in the linear regression is forced to be zero. Second, to estimate the terminal run, the projected inriver run is added to the projected total season harvest of Stikine River sockeye salmon in District 108. The projected harvest in District 108 is based on an assumed $90 \%$ contribution of Stikine River sockeye salmon to the cumulative harvest expanded by historical run timing, and the projected District 106 harvest is based on the assumption that $10 \%$ of the terminal run will be harvested in District 106. Therefore, the terminal run projection is the sum of the projection for the inriver run and the projection of the District 108 cumulative harvest expanded by historical run timing and then multiplied by $1 / 0.9$.

The SMM also estimates the stock proportions in District 106 and 108 harvests, from historical postseason SPA into triggers of run size for Tahltan and Mainstem; the averages used each week depended upon whether the run was judged to be below average ( $0-40,000$ ), average $(40,000-80,000)$, or above average $(+80,000)$. The SMM for 2018 is based on CPUE data from 1994 to 2016 from the Alaska District 106 fishery and the Canadian commercial fishery in the lower river and from the lower Stikine River test fishery from 1986 to 2004. The enhanced Tuya and Tahltan stock proportions are adjusted inseason based on the analysis of otolith samples taken in Districts 106 and 108.

The inriver CPUE from 1994-2000, 2004-2011 (excluding the upper fishing area harvests and when additional nets were introduced into the fishery), is standardized, depending on the management regime expected to be in place, to ensure the annual CPUE values are comparable. The historical CPUE values will reflect those of a one net regime; model inputs of the CPUE from the lower river commercial fishery will be adjusted accordingly depending on whether one or two nets are being fished. If the management regime permits two nets and a fishing zone extended upstream to the mouth of the Flood River, as occurred in 2003-2009, the model will use adjusted data for the lower Stikine River commercial CPUE which will exclude harvest and effort data from the Flood Glacier area, i.e. the extended fishing area fished during 1997-2000, 2004-2007, and 2009. In addition, the weekly CPUE data from 1994-2000, 2005-2009 (excluding the Flood area CPUE data) is decreased by $25 \%$ to account for the extra gear allowed during this period. This makes the historical CPUE data comparable with the 2016 data.

Four sets of CPUE data have been used to predict the terminal run. These include:

1. The Subdistrict 106-41/42 cumulative CPUE of Stikine sockeye salmon stocks;
2. The District 108 cumulative CPUE of Stikine sockeye salmon stocks;
3. The lower Stikine River commercial CPUE of Stikine sockeye salmon stocks;
4. The lower river test fishery cumulative CPUE.

For 2018, along with the SMM prediction model, the SFMM preliminary prediction model will be updated to make weekly inseason predictions of the total terminal run size and the TAC. The SFMM gives six estimates of run size compared to three estimates given by the SMM. The first four inseason terminal run size estimates of the SFMM (Model1-Model4) all have the same second order polynomial regression model structure,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{Z}_{i, j}=\alpha+\beta_{1} X_{i-1, j 1}+\beta_{2} X_{i-1, j 1}^{2}+\sum_{i=26}^{36} \gamma_{i}\left(D_{i}\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this model structure, $\hat{z}$ is the predicted terminal run size estimated from data source $j$ and for time period $i, \alpha$ is the intercept for SW 25, $\beta$ is the slope of the regression line, $\gamma$ is the adjustment to the intercept based on the SW of the prediction ( $i=26-36$ ), and $X$ is data from data source $j$ through time period $i-1$. The four data sources for the inseason model are: (1) cumulative commercial harvest of Subdistrict 106-41/42 through SWs $i-1$; (2) cumulative commercial harvest of the District 108 primary sockeye salmon harvest area through SWs $i-1$; (3) cumulative commercial CPUE of Subdistrict 106-41/42 through SWs i-1; or (4) cumulative commercial CPUE of the District 108 sockeye salmon area through SWs $i-1$.

Similar to the SMM model structure, Model5 and Model6 have the model structure,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{Z}_{i, j}=\frac{I_{i, k}+\left(X_{i-1, j} / Y_{i-1}\right)}{0.9} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is the projected inriver run estimate by model $k$ for time period $i$ added to the data from data source $j$ through the time period $i-1(X)$ divided by the cumulative historical run timing through SWs $i-1(Y)$. The data source is the cumulative commercial harvest of the District 108 sockeye salmon area through SWs $i-1$. The projected harvest in the District 108 sockeye salmon area is based on an assumed $90 \%$ contribution of total Stikine sockeye salmon to the cumulative harvest. There were two different inriver models ( $I_{k}$ ). The first inriver model, used for the Model5 terminal run size prediction, is based on an ANCOVA model,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{I}_{i, j}=\alpha+\beta_{1} X_{i-1,1}+\sum_{i=27}^{36} \gamma_{i}\left(D_{i}\right)+\sum_{i=27}^{36} \delta_{1 i}\left(X_{i-1,1} D_{i}\right), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X$ is cumulative inriver commercial harvest through SWs $i-1$ and $\delta$ is an interaction term. The second inriver model, used for the Model6 terminal run size prediction, is a second order polynomial regression model using cumulative CPUE of the lower inriver commercial fishery through SWs i-1 (similar to equation 1).

The 2018 inseason predictions of abundance and TAC will be based on the following datasets:

1. Management actions in SW24-26 (possibly SW27) will be based on the preseason forecast.
2. The forecasts for SW27-30 will be based on the SMM with consideration given to the preliminary SFMM produced forecasts.
3. After SW30, the management models will continue to be updated using the cumulative harvest data from Subdistrict 106-41/42 fishery data; however, run projections tend to be less reliable after SW30 and will be viewed accordingly.
4. Historical timing data will be used to provide weekly guideline harvests for each country.
5. Weekly management decisions may include other considerations such as:
a. The lower river commercial CPUE of the Tahltan Lake stock grouping may be used to calculate inriver run size by a linear regression equation independent of the model. The run size of the Tuya and mainstem stock grouping will be determined based on the proportion of the CPUE of these stock groupings in the current SW and expanded by run timing (note: water levels and associated changes in exploitation rates will be monitored and used in assessing the run size);
b. The current week's inriver run size of Tahltan Lake sockeye salmon may be calculated based on the estimated harvest rate in the lower Stikine River commercial fishery expanded by run timing. The harvest rate is estimated based on the historical relationship between effort and inriver run size. The run size projections for the Tuya and mainstem stock groupings will be determined based on the proportion of the CPUE of these stock groupings through the current SW and expanded by run timing (note: water levels and associated changes in exploitation rates will be monitored and used in assessing the run size);
c. Harvest rates in existing fisheries compared to historical averages, run sizes, and water levels;
d. Comparison of current year inriver harvest performance by stock grouping against past harvest performance and run size, and perceived changes in current year run timing information from the run timing regime identified in the management models.

Separate projections of terminal run size will be made for the combined Stikine sockeye salmon stocks (wild plus enhanced), the Tahltan Lake stock (wild plus enhanced), the enhanced Tuya stock, and the mainstem stock. This information will be used inseason to assist in fisheries management and postseason will be evaluated along with other measures of abundance.

The part of the models which determines total and weekly TAC levels for the U.S. and Canadian fisheries has been formulated in EXCEL® for use by managers inseason. This part of the model uses the coefficients from the linear regression model, the established escapement goals, and PST harvest sharing provisions to determine the TAC for each country. Estimates of weekly TAC and effort are provided as guidelines for the managers and are derived from the 1986-2011 average run timing of the stocks and the corresponding average CPUE levels of each fishery.

## Inseason Use

For 2018, the models predictions will set the TAC levels; however, additional information may be used to calculate run size to inform decisions regarding fishery openings. The models output will be evaluated and compared with discrepancies from other information available on the run strength (e.g. inriver Tahltan Lake CPUE and water level). The postseason evaluation will be used to improve the SMM and SFMM for the next year.

## Postseason Evaluation

After the fishing season is over, the TTC will evaluate how well the SMM and SFMM performed in predicting the terminal run, where discrepancies occurred, and what might have caused them. The TTC will also determine whether escapement goals were met according to the Spawning Escapement Goals section of this report. Results from the evaluation will be presented in the annual harvest and escapement report prepared by the committee.

## Coho Salmon

## Preseason Forecast

Although annual aerial surveys and past test fishing projects, the latter of which, provided reliable run timing information coupled with "coarse" estimates of coho salmon run size relative to the inriver run size of sockeye salmon, there remains a lack of reliable escapement and marine survival data for Stikine River coho salmon required to generate a sound, defensible outlook.

## Escapement Goal

The interim spawning objective for Stikine River coho salmon is 30,000 to 50,000 fish. However, this is not biologically based nor is there an escapement assessment program in place for Stikine River coho salmon.

## Harvest Sharing Objectives

The U.S. management intent is to ensure that sufficient coho salmon enter the Canadian section of the Stikine River to meet the agreed spawning objective, plus an annual Canadian harvest of 5,000 coho salmon in a directed coho salmon fishery (PST, Transboundary Rivers, Annex IV, para. 3(a)(2)(ii)).

## Stock Assessment Program

Each country shall:

1. provide harvest statistics for the same strata as sockeye salmon;
2. sample its fisheries for CWT;
3. conduct escapement programs as resources permit.

## Management Procedures

## United States

Drift gillnet fishing for coho salmon will start late August or early September. Alaskan hatcheries contribute substantially to coho salmon harvest in District 106 and 108 fisheries. Inseason estimates from CWT recovery data will be used to identify the hatchery component of the harvest. Only the harvest of wild coho salmon will be used for fishery performance evaluation. If there is a conservation concern for Stikine River coho salmon, the District 108 drift gillnet and troll fisheries will be restricted.

Coho salmon will mostly be harvested in the summer salmon troll fishery in 2018 since the spring salmon troll fisheries will largely be closed in 2018. During the summer troll fishery (July 1 to September 30), the salmon troll fishery in District 108 is opened concurrently with drift gillnet fishing. When first opened, the summer fishery targets Chinook and coho salmon. When Chinook salmon harvest goals are reached, the fishery is closed to Chinook salmon retention but remains open to coho salmon retention. The coho salmon season usually remains open through September 20 but may be closed earlier for conservation and/or allocative reasons in July or August. An extension of the coho salmon season to September 30 may occur during years when the department projects escapements will be met.

A U.S. Stikine River subsistence fishery for coho salmon will occur in 2018 from August 1 to October 31 with a guideline harvest level of 400 fish. A subsistence permit issued by the USFS to federally qualified subsistence users is required to fish in the Stikine River. Permit restrictions include: restricting fishing area to upriver from tidal waters to the U.S./Canadian border; prohibiting fishing in tributaries or at stock assessment sites used by ADF\&G and DFO; and restricting fishing gear to dipnets, spears, gaffs, rod and reel, beach seine, or gillnets not exceeding 15 fathoms ( 27.4 m ) in length with mesh size no larger than $51 / 2$ inches ( 14.0 cm ). Subsistence fishermen will be required to check gillnets twice a day. The subsistence fishery is monitored inseason by USFS biologists who will provide weekly estimates of harvest and effort to the ADF\&G.

## Canada

Coho salmon management will commence in SW35 (starting August 26). It is anticipated that the AC of 5,000 pieces will be harvested within a two to three week directed coho salmon fishery. Fishers will be permitted the use of one, 135 metres ( $\sim 440 \mathrm{ft}$.) gillnet. The maximum mesh size will be restricted to 20.4 cm ( $\sim 8.0$ inch). The Canadian fishery will be restricted in the event of conservation concerns.

## TAKU RIVER

## Chinook Salmon

## Preseason Forecast

The bilateral preseason forecast for the Taku River large Chinook salmon terminal run is 4,700 fish. The forecast uses a sibling model in which the 2017 returns of age 4 (BY 2013) and age 5 (BY 2012) Chinook were used to predict the returns of age 5 (BY2013) and age 6 (BY2012) fish in 2018 using the relationships observed between age classes over the past nine years corrected with the 5-year average (2013-2017) model error. The 95\% confidence interval of this forecast is 2,200 to 7,200 fish.

This forecast is well below the 10-year average (2008-2017) terminal run of 26,000 large Chinook salmon. The principal brood years contributing to the 2018 Chinook salmon run are 2012 (19,538 large fish spawning escapement), 2013 ( 18,002 large fish spawning escapement), and 2014 (23,532 large fish spawning escapement). The 2018 preseason forecast is insufficient for directed and assessment fisheries in both the U.S. and Canada.

## Escapement Goal

The Taku River large Chinook salmon spawning objective is a MSY goal range of 19,000 to 36,000 fish with an $\mathbf{N}_{\text {MSY }}$ of 25,500 fish (McPherson et al 2010).

Table 4. Taku River large Chinook salmon terminal run preseason forecasts versus postseason estimates from 1997 to 2017, and the 2018 preseason forecast. Forecast performance relative to the actual was determined using postseason run reconstruction. Positive values indicate the forecast was higher than actual while negative values indicate the forecast was less than the actual. Adjusted forecast uses 5-year average (2013-2017) percentage error.

|  | Forecast Estimate |  |  | Forecast Performance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Sibling | Adjusted |  | Postseason Run | Sibling |

Data source: Preliminary Estimates of Transboundary River Salmon Production, Harvest, and Escapement and a Review of Joint Enhancement Activities in 2017
a. Preseason forecasts of large Chinook salmon terminal run size based on the sibling models; prior to 2005, forecasts were for escapement.

## Harvest Sharing Objectives

Harvest sharing provisions for Taku River large Chinook salmon are included in Paragraph 3(a) (3) of Annex IV, Chapter 1 of the PST. The catch sharing provisions were developed to acknowledge the traditional harvest in fisheries, referred to as base level catches (BLCs), which occurred prior to the new arrangements; these included incidental harvest in Canadian and U.S. commercial gillnet fisheries, U.S. and Canadian sport fisheries, the Canadian First Nation fishery, and the test fishery. For the new directed fisheries, the allowable catch (AC) will be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \text { AC }=\text { Terminal run }- \text { Base Terminal Run (BTR); where } \\
& \text { BTR }=\text { escapement target }+ \text { test fishery BLC + U.S. BLC + Cdn BLC } \\
& \begin{aligned}
& \text { BLCs are as follows: } \\
& \text { - U.S. Taku BLC: 3,500 large Chinook salmon }{ }^{4} \\
& \text { - Canadian Taku BLC: 1,500 large Chinook salmon }{ }^{5} \\
& \text { - Test fishery: 1,400 large Chinook salmon; }
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

Harvest sharing and accounting of the AC is detailed in Table 5.

Table 5. U.S. and Canadian allowable harvests of Taku River large Chinook salmon for directed fisheries. Allowable Catch Range Allowable Catch Share

|  |  | U.S. |  |  |  | Canada |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lower | Upper | Lower | Upper |  | Lower | Upper |  |
| 0 | 5,000 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 5,000 |  |
| 5,001 | 20,000 | 1 | 11,000 |  | 5,000 | 9,000 |  |
| 20,001 | 30,000 | 11,001 |  |  | 9,000 | 12,500 |  |
| 30,001 | 50,000 | 17,501 |  |  | 30,500 |  | 12,500 |
| 50,001 | 100,000 | 30,501 | 63,000 |  | 19,500 | 37,500 |  |

Within each allowable catch range, each Party's AC will be calculated proportional to where the AC occurs within the range. The TTC has developed a spreadsheet to calculate specific catch shares. The Parties shall determine the domestic allocation of their respective harvest shares.

When the terminal run is insufficient to provide for the Party's Taku River Chinook salmon BLC and the lower end of the escapement goal range, the reductions in each Party's base level fisheries, i.e. the fisheries that contributed to the BLCs, will be proportionate to the BLC shares, excluding the test fishery.

The U.S. catch of the Taku River Chinook salmon AC will not count towards the SEAK AABM allocation (as described in Chapter 3 of the PST). In particular:
a. non-Taku River Treaty Chinook salmon harvested in District 111 will continue to count toward the SEAK AABM harvest limit;
b. the U.S. BLC of Taku River Chinook salmon in District 111 will count toward the SEAK AABM harvest limit;
c. the U.S. catch of Taku River Chinook salmon in District 111 above the U.S. BLC will not count towards the SEAK AABM allocation.

Accounting for the SEAK AABM Chinook salmon catches as pertains to transboundary rivers harvests will continue to be the responsibility of the CTC as modified by (a) through (c) above.
Management Procedures
The management coordination between U.S. and Canadian fishery managers will involve weekly communication between designated members or alternates. Canadian and U.S. fishery managers will conduct

[^2]data exchanges by telephone and/or email on Wednesday afternoon or Thursday morning of each week during the fishing season. At that time, current harvest statistics and stock assessment data including MR data will be updated, exchanged, and reviewed. Management plans for the next week for each country will be discussed at this time. It is anticipated that additional communications will be required each week. Weekly decision deadlines will be: a) for District 111, 11:00 a.m., Thursday, Alaska Daylight Time; i.e. noon Pacific Daylight Time; and, b) for the Canadian Taku River fishery, 10:00 a.m., Friday, Pacific Daylight Time.

The 2009-2018 Taku River Chinook salmon agreement (Paragraph 3(a) (3) of Annex IV, Chapter 1 of the PST) includes the following management details for directed fisheries:

- This agreement shall apply to large (greater than 659 mm mid eye to fork length) Chinook salmon originating in the Taku River.
- Both Parties shall take the appropriate management action to ensure that the necessary escapement goals for Chinook salmon bound for the Canadian portions of the Taku River are achieved. The Parties agree to share in the burden of conservation. Fishing arrangements must take biodiversity and eco-system requirements into account.
- Management of directed fisheries will be abundance-based through an approach developed by the TTC. The Parties agree to implement assessment programs in support of the abundance-based management regime.
- Unless otherwise agreed, directed fisheries on Taku River Chinook salmon will occur only in the Taku River drainage in Canada, and in District 111 in the U.S.
- Management of Taku River Chinook salmon will take into account the conservation of specific stocks or conservation units when planning and prosecuting their respective fisheries. To avoid over-harvesting of specific components of the run, weekly guideline harvests, or other agreed management measures, will be developed by the TTC by apportioning the allowable harvest of each Party over the total Chinook salmon season based on historical weekly run timing.
- The Parties agree to implement through the TTC an agreed Chinook salmon genetic stock identification (GSI) program to assist the management of Taku River Chinook salmon. The Parties agree to continue the development of joint GSI baselines.
- The Parties agree to periodically review the above border Taku River Chinook salmon spawning escapement goal which will be expressed in terms of large fish (> 659 mm MEF).
- A preseason forecast of the Taku River Chinook salmon terminal run ${ }^{6}$ size will be made by the TTC by December 1 of each year.
- Directed fisheries may be implemented based on preseason forecasts only if the preseason forecast terminal run size equals or exceeds the midpoint of the MSY escapement goal range plus the combined Canada, U.S., and test fishery base level catches (BLCs) of Taku River Chinook salmon. The preseason forecast will only be used for management until inseason projections become available.
- For the purposes of determining whether to allow directed fisheries using inseason information, such fisheries will not be implemented unless the projected terminal run size exceeds the bilaterally agreed

[^3]escapement goal point estimate ( $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ ) plus the combined Canada, U.S. and test fishery BLCs of Taku River Chinook salmon. The Committee shall determine when inseason projections can be used for management purposes and shall establish the methodology for inseason projections and update them weekly or at other agreed intervals.

- When the terminal run is insufficient to provide for the Party's Taku River Chinook salmon BLC and the lower end of the escapement goal range, the reductions in each Party's base level fisheries, i.e. the fisheries that contributed to the BLCs, will be proportionate to the Taku River Chinook BLC shares, excluding the test fishery.
- When the escapement of Taku River Chinook salmon is below the lower bound of the agreed escapement range for three consecutive years, the Parties will examine the management of base level fisheries and any other fishery which harvests Taku River Chinook salmon stocks, with a view to rebuilding the escapement.

Inseason estimates of the inriver run will be made using a bilaterally agreed-to sulk rate for tags released in event 1 of the two-event MR program. Sulk rates will be based on the analysis of inseason data. In the event bilateral agreement cannot be reached with respect to the sulk rate, an assumed 10 -day sulk rate will be used. Inseason terminal run projections will be made using average run timing seen in catches at Canyon Island (or other bilaterally agreed-to timing). In addition, the terminal marine harvests will be lagged one week to account for travel time between Taku Inlet and the event 2 sampling area.

For inseason estimates, a valid Petersen will be sought. In the event a valid Petersen is not available, upon agreement, another valid estimate may be used. Should there be no agreement on an alternate valid estimator then the most recent agreed valid estimate will be used. If no agreed-to valid estimate has been generated the preseason forecast will be used.

$$
\left.T R=\left[\left(\mathbf{P}_{t}+\operatorname{Cus}_{(t-1)}\right) / p_{t}\right)\right]
$$

Where: TR = the projected terminal run of large Chinook salmon for the season;
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}} \quad=$ the inriver population estimate from the MR program through week " t ";
Cus $_{t-1}=$ the cumulative U.S. Chinook salmon catch to week " $t-1$ ", i.e. U.S. catch lagged one week to account for migration timing;
$p_{\mathrm{t}} \quad=$ the estimated cumulative proportion of run through to week t determined from the inriver run timing based on historical catch data from Canyon Island. (Both Parties must agree prior to adjusting run timing estimates inseason).

The PST harvest sharing provisions will be applied to the weekly Chinook salmon AC projections to guide the management of the Parties respective commercial fisheries. Run timing will be used to apportion the Parties allowable catches each week to provide guideline harvest levels for use in management. Though not likely to occur in 2018 due to the poor Chinook salmon forecast, test/assessment fisheries will be based on no more than four openings per week and effort will be standardized as best as possible throughout these days.

## United States

The 2018 preseason forecast of 4,700 Taku River large Chinook salmon does not provide an AC for any directed Taku River Chinook salmon fisheries in District 111. The U.S. does not anticipate any directed fisheries in 2018 based on recent trends in Chinook salmon abundance throughout Southeast Alaska. Depending on the development of the Taku River Chinook salmon return, Chinook salmon conservation measures including restrictions in time (including night closures), mesh size (6 inch maximum), and area (upper Taku Inlet, Point Bishop and Point Arden south below Grand Island closed) may be imposed during the initial weeks of the directed sockeye salmon fishery.

The Chinook salmon sport fishery in District 111 will not be liberalized in 2018 and will have significant area and retention restrictions imposed during the time period when Taku River fish are historically transiting the district. The Taku River Personal Use sockeye salmon fishery will have a delayed start occurring in the middle of July.

## Canada

As in past years, restrictions in weekly fishing times in the Canadian First Nation fishery are not anticipated. Any reductions in fishing time would be considered only if no other adjustments could be made in the commercial and recreational fisheries. Through discussions with the TRTFN, the poor Chinook salmon forecast for 2018 has been conveyed by DFO and it is believed that fishing effort will be limited during the Chinook salmon season. Catches will be collected by TRTFN representatives and reported to the Whitehorse office of DFO on a periodic basis.

The Taku River recreational fishery takes place primarily on the Nakina River; some additional fishing occurs on the Tatsamenie Lake outlet stream and other Taku River tributaries. In light of the poor Chinook salmon forecast, the Taku recreational fishery will be restricted to nonretention of Chinook salmon effective April 1 through to the end of March 2019. The Nakina River fishery will be closed to salmon fishing July 20 through August 15. The Tatsamenie Lake outlet stream will be closed from August 20 through September 15. The aggregate daily limit for salmon is four fish and the possession limit is eight fish. The annual harvest of Chinook salmon over 65 cms ( $\sim 26$ in) fork length is limited to ten fish from all fresh waters of British Columbia.

The Taku River commercial fishing area extends from approximately 50 m ( $\sim 165 \mathrm{ft}$ ) upstream of the Canada/U.S. border to boundary signs located near Yellow Bluff, approximately 18 km ( $\sim 11 \mathrm{mi}$ ) upstream and excluding Flannigan and South Fork sloughs. The vast majority of fishing effort occurs downstream of the Tulsequah River.

The 2018 bilaterally agreed on preseason forecast of 4,700 large Chinook salmon is not sufficient for a directed commercial fishery and is well below the lower end of the escapement goal range. Typically, the inseason management of Taku River Chinook salmon depends on abundance estimates generated from the joint MR program in the lower Taku River with tags being applied at Canyon Island and recoveries being made in the Canadian assessment and/or commercial fisheries or other agreed to recovery methodology. For 2018, there will not be an assessment fishery to support the MR program or any other means of generating reliable inseason run estimates; as such, the preseason forecast will be used to manage the commercial fishery and to make necessary adjustments in the other fisheries with the intention of limiting the interception of Chinook salmon. As per the Taku River commercial conditions of licence, harvest of Chinook salmon will not be permitted.

## Harvest Information Sharing

The U.S. shall provide to Canadian managers harvest and effort data by SW for the District 111 drift gillnet, sport, and troll fisheries and for the season in the personal use fishery.

Canada shall provide to U.S. managers harvest and effort data by SW in the commercial and test fisheries and for the season in the First Nation and recreational fisheries.

## Stock Assessment Program

Each country shall:

1. provide harvest statistics for the same strata as sockeye salmon are reported;
2. sample its fisheries for CWTs, spaghetti tags, and GSI (U.S. D111 Marine);
3. conduct escapement and stock assessment programs as resources permit (see Appendix A. 2 for projects anticipated to be conducted in 2018).

## Stock Composition of U.S. Harvests

Chinook salmon harvested in Alaska will be sampled for CWTs. The minimum sampling goal is $20 \%$ of the harvest; the target for 2018 is $30 \%$.

Stock composition for sport and commercial harvest will be determined postseason. Genetic tissue samples will be taken from sport and commercially harvested Chinook salmon in District 111 and processed postseason by ADF\&G Gene Conservation Laboratory in Anchorage (Appendix C.1). GSI will be used to recalculate contributions of above border Taku River Chinook salmon in the District 111 sport and commercial fisheries. In the absence of GSI data, CWT data will be used to determine stock composition postseason. ASL data will be collected inseason and analyzed postseason to determine the age structure composition of the harvest.

## Stock Composition of Canadian Harvests

If available for 2018, mixed stock Chinook salmon DNA samples will be collected in the Taku River commercial and assessment fisheries for stock identification analysis (Appendix C.1). A minimum of $40 \%$ of the Chinook salmon harvested in the commercial fishery and all of the assessment fishery will be examined for adipose clips for CWT's. Further details on these sampling programs are summarized in Appendix A. 2.

## Spawning Escapement Estimates

Drainage wide escapement will be determined by the joint Canada/U.S. MR program. Headwater areas will be sampled using a variety of methods including carcass weirs, angling, aerial surveys, etc. (Appendix A. 2). Fish will be sampled for ASL. A study utilizing sonar to estimate escapement to the Nahlin subdrainage will continue for a third season in 2018.

## Sockeye Salmon

## Preseason Forecast

The preseason forecast for the terminal run of Taku River wild sockeye salmon in 2018 is approximately 159,900 fish, which is below the 10-year average (2008-2017) run size of 181,000 fish. This is a stock-recruitment model forecast that was adjusted using the 10-year model error (2008-2017; $22 \%$ ) for the first time in 2018. If the run comes in as expected, the TAC of wild sockeye salmon will be approximately 84,900 fish.

Table 6. Taku River sockeye salmon preseason run forecasts and postseason run estimates, 1994 to 2018. Forecast performance relative to the actual was determined using postseason run reconstruction. Positive values indicate the forecast was higher than actual while negative values indicate the forecast was less than the actual.

| Year | Preseason Forecast | Forecast Method $^{\text {a }}$ | Postseason Run Size | Forecast Performance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 | 237,500 |  | 229,642 | $3 \%$ |
| 1995 | 211,300 | SR - Total | 238,434 | $-11 \%$ |
| 1996 | 219,000 | Average - Total | 322,379 | $-32 \%$ |
| 1997 | 285,200 | Average - Total | 174,565 | $63 \%$ |
| 1998 | 238,100 | Average - Total | 139,824 | $70 \%$ |
| 1999 | 202,900 | Average - Total | 176,764 | $15 \%$ |
| 2000 | 273,200 | Average - Total | 246,954 | $11 \%$ |
| 2001 | 250,500 | Average - Total | 396,678 | $-37 \%$ |
| 2002 | 293,100 | Average - Total | 251,633 | $16 \%$ |
| 2003 | 303,800 | Average - Total | 330,332 | $-8 \%$ |
| 2004 | 231,200 | Average - Total | 204,059 | $13 \%$ |
| 2005 | 272,100 | Average - Total | 188,244 | $45 \%$ |
| 2006 | 204,100 | Average - Total | 233,425 | $-13 \%$ |
| 2007 | 211,700 | SR - Wild | 161,429 | $31 \%$ |
| 2008 | 181,000 | SR - Wild | 145,239 | $25 \%$ |
| 2009 | 213,000 | SR - Wild | 118,625 | $80 \%$ |
| 2010 | 205,000 | SR - Wild | 153,207 | $34 \%$ |
| 2011 | 230,700 | Average - Wild | 201,875 | $14 \%$ |
| 2012 | 197,300 | Average - Wild | 193,583 | $2 \%$ |
| 2013 | 255,000 | Average - Wild | 184,411 | $38 \%$ |
| 2014 | 190,000 | Average - Wild | 140,929 | $35 \%$ |
| 2015 | 216,000 | Average - Wild | 193,431 | $12 \%$ |
| 2016 | 200,000 | SR - Wild | 271,796 | $-26 \%$ |
| 2017 | 198,000 | SR - Wild | 199,789 | $-1 \%$ |
| 2018 | 160,000 | SR-Adj. - Wild |  |  |
| $2008-2017$ |  | Average absolute difference from postseason run size | $+/-27 \%$ |  |

Data source: Final Estimates of Transboundary River Salmon Production, Harvest, and Escapement and a Review of Joint Enhancement Activities in 2017.
a SR=stock-recruitment model; SR-Adj.= stock-recruitment model adjusted by 10-year average (2008-2017) model error; average=average of stock-recruitment and sibling-based models; Total=Terminal run of wild and enhanced fish; Wild=Terminal run of wild fish

## Tatsamenie Sockeye Salmon

The 2018 terminal run forecast for Tatsamenie sockeye is approximately 18,600 fish, which is near the 10-year average (2008-2017) run size of 18,900 fish. The 2018 forecast is comprised of an enhanced component of 5,400 fish, and a wild component of 13,200 fish.

The enhanced component is based on the average of a smolt and a sibling forecast. The smolt forecast uses enhanced smolt emigration estimates from Tatsamenie Lake and applies the 5- year average (2013-2017) enhanced smolt to adult survival rate of $3.4 \%$. The sibling forecast uses the 2013 enhanced brood year returns in 2017 (age 4 fish) to predict the returns of sibling fish (age 5) in 2018 based on the relationship observed between these age classes over the past nineteen years. The 5 -year old forecast is then expanded by average age composition of the run.

The wild component is based on a smolt forecast exclusively. The smolt forecast uses wild smolt emigration estimates from Tatsamenie Lake and applies the 5 -year average (2013-2017) smolt to adult survival rate of $3.4 \%$. This is based on assumptions that both the maturity schedule and the 5 -year average 2013-2017) smolt to adult survival rate of wild smolt is comparable to that of enhanced smolt.

The escapement of sockeye salmon to Tatsamenie Lake has bearing on the Canada/U.S. egg take program. Based on a fecundity of approximately 4,000 eggs per female, equal sex ratios, a broodstock holding success rate of $80 \%$, along with the guideline that no more than $30 \%$ of the escapement can be utilized for enhancement purposes, an escapement of about 4,000 sockeye salmon would be needed to achieve the maximum egg take of 2.5 million eggs referred to in the 2018 Taku Enhancement Production Plan.

## Escapement Goals

The Taku River sockeye salmon spawning objective is a range from 71,000 to 80,000 fish with a point goal of 75,000 fish.

## Harvest Sharing Objectives

Harvest sharing agreements between Canada and the United States for Taku River sockeye salmon are dictated by Annex IV, Chapter 1 of the PST and are in effect through 2018.

Sockeye salmon arrangements for the 2009-2018 period as specified in the PST include the following:

- Directed fisheries on Taku River sockeye salmon will occur only in the Taku River drainage in Canada, and in District 111 in the U.S.
- Annual abundance of the wild run of Taku River sockeye salmon will be estimated by adding the catch of wild run sockeye salmon in U.S. District 111 to the estimated above border passage of wild run sockeye salmon. The annual Total Allowable Catch (TAC) of wild run Taku River sockeye salmon will be estimated by subtracting the agreed spawning escapement goal from the annual abundance estimate.
- The management of U.S. and Canadian fisheries shall be based on weekly estimates of the TAC of wild sockeye salmon.
- The primary management objective of the Parties is to achieve the agreed spawning escapement goal. If the projected inriver escapement of wild run sockeye salmon is greater than 1.6 , or other agreed factor, times the agreed spawning escapement goal, Canada may, in addition to its share of the TAC, harvest the projected surplus inriver escapement apportioned by run timing.
- For inseason management purposes, identifiable enhanced Taku River origin sockeye salmon will not be included in the calculations of the annual TAC. Notwithstanding the paragraph below, enhanced sockeye will be harvested in existing fisheries incidentally to the harvest of wild Taku River sockeye salmon.
- It is anticipated that surplus enhanced sockeye salmon will remain unharvested in existing commercial fisheries due to management actions required to ensure the wild spawning escapement. Canada may implement additional fisheries upstream of the existing commercial fishery to harvest surplus enhanced sockeye salmon.
- Both Parties agree to the objective of increasing sockeye salmon runs in the Taku River. The United States long-term objective is to maintain the $82 \%$ U.S. harvest share of wild Taku River sockeye salmon only adjusted based on documented enhanced sockeye salmon returns. Canada's long-term objective is to achieve an equal sharing arrangement for sockeye salmon. The Parties agree to continue to develop and implement a joint Taku River enhancement program intended to eventually produce annually 100,000 returning enhanced sockeye salmon.
- The Parties annual TAC share of Taku River sockeye salmon will be as described in Table 7.

Table 7. U.S and Canadian harvest shares of Taku River sockeye salmon.

| Enhanced Production | U.S. TAC Share | Canadian TAC Share |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $82 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| $1-5,000$ | $80 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| $5,001-15,000$ | $79 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| $15,001-25,000$ | $77 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| $25,001-35,000$ | $75 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| $35,001-45,000$ | $73 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| $45,001-55,000$ | $71 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| $55,001-65,000$ | $69 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| $65,001-75,000$ | $68 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| $75,001-85,000$ | $67 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| $85,001-95,000$ | $66 \%$ | $34 \%$ |
| $95,001-100,000$ | $65 \%$ | $35 \%$ |

The Parties' performance relative to these catch shares will be based on the postseason analysis of documented production of enhanced sockeye salmon.

1. A Taku Enhancement Production Plan (TEPP) shall be prepared annually by the Committee by February 1. The TEPP will detail the planned enhancement activities to be undertaken by the Parties and the expected production from site specific egg takes, access improvements and all other enhancement activities outlined in the annual TEPP. The Committee will use these data to prepare an initial enhancement production forecast based on the best available information.
2. The Panel shall review the annual TEPP and make recommendations to the Parties concerning the TEPP by February 28.
3. The Committee shall annually review and document joint enhancement projects and activities undertaken by the Parties, including the estimated returns of identifiable and unidentifiable enhanced sockeye salmon, and present the results to the Panel during the annual postseason review.

## Management Procedures

A similar management process as described for Chinook salmon will be followed for sockeye salmon whereby inriver population estimates from the joint MR program will be used to project inseason run sizes and inseason data exchange and review will occur between parties. Management agencies will collaborate to work toward joint inriver MR estimates. A similar approach will be taken for projecting terminal run sizes with harvest estimates updated frequently.

A coordinated management focus on Tatsamenie sockeye salmon in Taku Inlet and inriver has occurred in the past. Management measures during these periods have attempted to ensure adequate numbers of sockeye salmon escape to Tatsamenie Lake to support wild production and egg-take objectives. If conservation concerns arose, e.g. due to depressed CPUE in fisheries and/or inriver assessment programs, management actions may include conservative and/or reduced fishing time. Managers will be closely monitoring stock assessment data inseason to determine if special management measures will be required for the Tatsamenie stock in 2018.

## United States

Directed sockeye salmon fishing in District 111 traditionally opens for a 72 -hour fishing period beginning noon on the third Sunday in June (June 17; SW25). Depending on the development of the Taku River Chinook salmon return, Chinook salmon conservation measures including restrictions in time (including night closures), mesh size (6-inch maximum), and area (upper Taku Inlet, Point Bishop and Point Arden south below Grand Island closed) may be imposed. District 111 will be managed through mid-August primarily on the basis of sockeye salmon abundance. Taku River sockeye salmon abundance will be evaluated using District 111 overall harvest and CPUE data and weekly inriver run size estimates from the Taku River MR program. Contributions of enhanced sockeye salmon will be estimated inseason by analysis of salmon otoliths sampled from commercial harvests. For purposes of inseason run size estimation, average weekly historical stock composition data will be used to estimate the contribution of Taku River wild and Port Snettisham enhanced sockeye salmon contributions to the harvest. The above data will be used to generate weekly estimates of the Taku River terminal sockeye salmon run size, Taku River sockeye salmon TAC and U.S. harvest of Taku River sockeye salmon. The age and stock compositions of the harvest of wild sockeye salmon stocks will be revised postseason by analysis of GSI data derived from sampling harvests and escapements.

Returns from domestic hatchery programs are expected to contribute significantly to the District 111 fishery in 2018. The forecast return of Snettisham Hatchery sockeye salmon is 244,000 fish. DIPAC's summer chum salmon return to Gastineau Channel and Limestone Inlet is forecast to be 1.1 million fish. Portions of these returns will be available for incidental harvest in the directed wild sockeye salmon fisheries in Taku Inlet. Fishing time may be extended in Stephens Passage south of Circle Point during July to harvest hatchery returns of summer chum salmon to Limestone Inlet and during August to harvest returns of Snettisham Hatchery sockeye salmon.

A personal use fishery in U.S. portions of the Taku River was established by the Alaska Board of Fisheries (BOF) in 1989 and will operate from mid-July through mid-August in 2018. The one-month fishery will be delayed by approximately two weeks to further aid in Taku River Chinook salmon conservation. The legal gear type is set nets, not to exceed 15 fathoms in length. The seasonal bag limit was increased at the 2018 BOF meeting and is now ten sockeye salmon for a household of one, or twenty sockeye salmon for a household of two or more persons. Fishing is not allowed within 100 yards of the U.S./Canada research fish wheels.

## Canada

For the sockeye salmon season, a directed commercial fishery will be delayed a week and commence at noon Tuesday, June 26 (SW26) restricted to a maximum of a 48 -hour period due to the poor large Chinook salmon forecast and the lowest return observed in brood year 2013 at Kuthai Lake. Additional measures will also be implemented based on Chinook salmon considerations. For 2018, as per the Taku River commercial conditions of licence, the harvest of Chinook salmon will not be permitted. The use of set nets will not be permitted for the first commercial opening (SW26) to allow for the release of healthy Chinook salmon. Potentially, an additional week prohibiting the use of set nets may be required dependent upon the level of Chinook salmon interceptions observed in SW26. A maximum mesh size restriction of 140 mm (approximately 5.5 inches) will be in effect through SW29 (ending July 21) and reductions in fishing time may be required if large Chinook salmon harvests are significant during the early weeks of the directed sockeye salmon fishery. The maximum net length will be 36.6 m (120 ft) for both drift- and set-gillnets. Canadian sockeye salmon management decisions for the Taku River fishery (Figure 3) will be based on weekly projections of terminal run sizes of wild and enhanced fish, TAC, and the escapement of wild stocks.

The weekly sockeye salmon TAC projections (wild stocks) will be made using the following calculations:

$$
\mathbf{T A C}_{(w)}=\left[\left(\mathbf{E}_{w(t)}+\mathbf{C}_{w(t)}+\mathbf{A}_{w(t-1)}\right) / \rho_{w(t)}\right]-\mathbf{E}_{w}
$$

Where: $\quad \mathrm{TAC}_{(w)}=$ the projected total allowable catch of wild $w$ sockeye salmon for the season;
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{t})} \quad=$ the cumulative escapement to week $t$ based on MR data;
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{w}(t)} \quad=$ the cumulative Canadian wild catch to week $t$;
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{w}(t-1)}=$ the estimated cumulative U.S. harvest of wild Taku sockeye salmon to the preceding week t-1 (preceding week used to allow for migration time).
$\rho_{\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{t})} \quad=\quad$ the estimated proportion of run through to week $t$ determined from the average inriver run timing based on historical inriver CPUE data. (Run timing estimates will be adjusted inseason according to inseason CPUE data relative to historical data in both U.S. and Canadian fisheries);
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{w}} \quad=$ the system-wide escapement goal for wild stocks. (A value of 75,000 fish will be used which is close to the midpoint in the interim range of 71,000 to 80,000 fish).

The PST harvest sharing provisions will be applied to the weekly wild sockeye salmon TAC projections to guide the management of the commercial fishery. Run timing will be used to apportion the projected Canadian allowable catch each week and to make projections of the total escapement. The Canadian harvest will be adjusted with the objective of meeting escapement and agreed Canada/US harvest sharing objectives. Since it is expected the production of enhanced sockeye salmon will be between 5,001 and 15,000 fish, Canada's harvest share will be $21 \%$ of the TAC. If inseason projections of enhanced fish drop below 5,001 fish or rise above 15,000 fish, Canada's share will be adjusted as per the harvest sharing provisions of the PST.

Low escapements of the Kuthai Lake sockeye salmon stock continue to be of concern. The duration of openings in SWs26-27 (June 24 - July 7) may be reduced if it appears that the escapement of the Kuthai Lake stock is at risk of being compromised. During SWs31-33 (July 29 - August 18), management attention will focus on Tatsamenie sockeye salmon to ensure adequate numbers of sockeye salmon escape to Tatsamenie Lake to support wild production and egg-take objectives.

## Harvest Information Sharing

The U.S. shall provide harvest and effort data in the following strata for each SW:

1. District 111 (Subdistricts 111-20, 31, 32, 33, 34);
2. Taku River personal use fishery (season estimate).

Canada shall provide harvest and effort data in the following strata for each SW:

1. Taku River commercial fishery;
2. First Nation fishery (season estimate).

## Stock Assessment Program

## Stock Composition of U.S. Harvests

The District 111 drift gillnet sockeye salmon harvest will be sampled weekly to obtain 300 matched genetic tissue, otolith, and ASL samples in both Subdistrict 111-31 and 111-32. Otolith samples collected inseason will be sent to the ADF\&G Mark, Tag, and Age Laboratory in Juneau to be processed and analyzed, within two days of the end of the fishing period, for contributions of Taku, Stikine, and Port Snettisham enhanced sockeye salmon. Proportions of enhanced fish, combined with inriver MR data, will be used in weekly Taku Management Models to estimate the wild sockeye salmon terminal run size.

Postseason, the matched GSI/otolith samples collected inseason will be used to estimate weekly contributions of wild and enhanced sockeye salmon stocks in the District 111 drift gillnet fishery. Additionally, GSI analysis will provide seasonal estimates of age-specific stock composition for all major contributing age classes ( $>5 \%$ ) for use in brood tables (Appendix C.2). These estimates are produced with a mark- and age-enhanced genetic mixed-stock analysis (MAGMA) model, which is an extension of the Pella-Masuda GSI model (Pella and Masuda 2001) that incorporates paired otolith mark and scale-age data. Age-specific stock composition estimates are only provided at the annual level because weekly sample sizes are not sufficient to meet precision standards.

## Stock Composition of Canadian Harvests

To evaluate the contribution of enhanced sockeye salmon to the Canadian inriver commercial harvest, 192 otoliths will be collected per week from the inriver commercial gillnet fishery. Otolith samples collected inseason will be flown from Canyon Island, Taku River, to Juneau every Wednesday afternoon. Inseason processing of otoliths by the ADF\&G Mark, Age, and Tag Lab will be completed within two days of delivery. Data collected from sampled otoliths will be used both inseason and postseason to estimate the contribution of Tatsamenie enhanced sockeye salmon. In addition, at least 125 genetic samples will be collected each week for postseason stock composition analysis (Appendix C.2).

## Spawning Escapement Estimates

System-wide escapement will be determined by the joint Canada/U.S. MR program. Adult enumeration weirs will be used to estimate escapements of sockeye salmon to Tatsamenie, Little Trapper, Kuthai and King Salmon lakes. The age composition will be determined from scale samples, and contributions of enhanced sockeye salmon will be determined from otolith samples. Approximately 750 fish will be sampled during the season at each location for ASL; 400 otoliths will also be taken from Tatsamenie broodstock.

## Coho Salmon

## Preseason Forecast

The forecast for the total run of Taku River coho salmon in 2018 is 106,000 fish which equates to a terminal run of about 81,000 fish after applying an average nonterminal marine harvest rate of $24 \%$. In comparison, the $10-$ year average (2008-2017) for total run is 155,000 fish and for the inriver run is 98,000 fish. The 2018 forecast was generated using the relationship between the CPUE in smolt tagging and the total run estimates seen over the past twenty years.

## Escapement Goals

The Taku River coho salmon escapement goal has a range from 50,000 to 90,000 fish with an $\mathbf{N}_{\text {MSY }}$ of 70,000 fish (Pestal and Johnston 2015). Prior to the development of this goal and from the inception of the PST in 1985, the management intent of the U.S. was to ensure a minimum above border inriver run of 38,000 coho salmon. In 1999, the Party's agreed to implement a new abundance-based approach and development and analysis began in 2014 with eventual approval by the PSC TBR Panel in February 2015.

## Harvest Sharing Objectives

Coho salmon arrangements for 2018 as agreed by the TBR Panel in February of 2018 to replace Chapter 1 provision 3(b)(2) are:

- The MSY goal range is 50,000 to 90,000 fish, with an N $_{\text {MSY }}$ of 70,000 fish;
- The $\mathrm{N}_{\text {MSY }}$ will be used by U.S. and Canadian fishery managers as the spawning escapement target for the above border coho salmon run during preseason and inseason management activities;
- A directed Canadian harvest of 5,000 coho salmon is allowed for assessment purposes as part of the joint Canada/U.S. Taku River mark recapture program;
- Canada may harvest all coho salmon that pass above the border in excess of both the $\mathrm{N}_{\text {MSY }}$ and 5,000 fish assessment fishery.


## Management Procedures

## United States

Beginning in mid-August, management of the District 111 drift gillnet fishery will be based on the run strength of coho salmon. Inseason management will be based on evaluation of fishery harvest, effort and CPUE relative to historical levels, recovery of CWTs from fishery sampling, and inriver run size estimates from the Taku River MR program. The U.S. will manage its fisheries to allow a minimum above border run of approximately 75,000 coho salmon. A substantial run of coho salmon ( 37,000 fish) is expected to the Macaulay Hatchery in Gastineau Channel. Portions of these returns will be available for incidental harvest in the directed coho salmon fisheries in Taku Inlet.

## Canada

In mid-August (SW34, starting August 19), management actions will shift to coho salmon. The inriver coho salmon projections will be based on the following simplified formula:

$$
R_{I R(A C D)}=R_{I R(A C I)} t T
$$

Where: $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{ACI})}=$ projected total inriver run above Canyon Island;
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{IR}(A C I)} \mathrm{t}=$ estimated run size to time " t " based on MR data;
$\mathrm{T}=$ average cumulative run timing at Canyon Island through time " t ".
Inseason management will be based on evaluation of fishery harvest, effort and CPUE data relative to historical levels and inriver run size estimates from the Taku River MR program. Based on the escapement goal of 70,000 fish, Canada will endeavor to manage to the agreed goal and harvest the surplus above escapement needs in a combination of commercial and assessment fisheries. In the event reliable inriver run projections fall below 50,000 fish, no commercial or assessment fishing will take place.

To address chum salmon conservation concerns, the retention of chum salmon will be prohibited throughout the season. In addition, fishers must release any steelhead caught. It is anticipated that the commercial fishery will remain closed for pink salmon unless markets are developed.

## Stock Assessment Program

All coho salmon caught in the Canadian fisheries missing an adipose fin will be landed head-on and sampled for CWT's to determine the contribution of Taku River origin marked fish.

## Inseason Data Exchange and Review

Canada and the U.S. will conduct data exchanges by telephone and/or email on Wednesday afternoon or Thursday morning of each week during the fishing season. At that time, current harvest statistics and stock assessment data will be updated, exchanged, and reviewed. Management plans for the next week for each country will be discussed at this time. It is anticipated that additional communications will be required each week. Weekly decision deadlines will be: a) for Districts 111, 11:00 a.m., Thursday, Alaska Daylight Time; and, b) for the Canadian Taku River fishery, 10:00 a.m., Friday, Pacific Daylight Time.


Figure 3. The Taku River showing the Canadian commercial fishing area.


Figure 4. U.S. fishing areas adjacent to the Taku River.

## ALSEK RIVER

Salmon stocks returning to the Alsek River drainage (Figure 5) are jointly managed by DFO, CAFN, and ADF\&G through the joint TTC of the PSC.

The principal U.S. fishery that targets Alsek River stocks is a commercial set gillnet fishery that operates near Dry Bay, from the Gulf of Alaska approximately 20 km up the Alsek River. A small subsistence fishery also operates in Dry Bay. U.S. fishers target sockeye and coho salmon but other Alsek River stocks are also harvested incidentally.

The principal Canadian fisheries occur in the upper Tatshenshini River drainage. A traditional First Nation fishery also takes place in the upper Tatshenshini River drainage. At present, approximately, 100-150 members of CAFN harvest salmon via traditional and nontraditional methods (gaffs, traps, rod and reel, nets, weir), primarily, in the Klukshu River, and to a lesser extent, in Village Creek, Blanchard River, and Goat Creek. Recreational fisheries take place primarily on the Tatshenshini River in the Dalton Post area and on the Takhanne and Blanchard rivers.

Most Alsek River Chinook, sockeye, and coho salmon spawn in Canada, but spawning occurs in U.S. tributaries as well.

The biological escapement goal for Alsek River Chinook salmon is a NMSY of 4,700 fish (MSY goal range of 3,500 to 5,300 fish) with a Klukshu River Chinook salmon NMSY target of 1,000 fish (MSY goal range of 800 to 1,200 fish) (Bernard and Jones 2010). The biological escapement goal for Alsek River sockeye salmon is a NMSY of 29,700 fish (MSY goal range of 24,000 to 33,500 fish), with a Klukshu River sockeye salmon NMSY of 9,700 fish (MSY goal range 7,500 to 11,000 fish) (Eggers and Bernard 2011).

## Preseason Forecasts

The preseason forecast for Klukshu River Chinook salmon escapement in 2018 is 700-1,250 fish. These forecasts are below the 10 -year average (2008-2017) of approximately 1,400 fish and bracket the escapement goal range of 800-1,200 Chinook salmon. Two models were used in forecasting: a sibling model (700 fish) and a stock recruit model ( 1,250 fish). The sibling model uses 2017 returns of age 4 (BY 2013) and age 5 (BY 2012) Chinook salmon to predict the returns of age 5 (BY 2013) and age 6 (BY 2012) in 2018 using the relationships observed between age classes over the past nine years corrected with the 10 -year average (2008-2017) model error. The stock recruit model forecast is based on 23 years of Klukshu Chinook production data and was discounted using the 5-year average (2013-2017) model error (45\%).

On average, the Klukshu River Chinook salmon stock comprises $25 \%$ of the Alsek River drainage wide run (Bernard and Jones 2010). Expanding the Klukshu forecast by a factor of 4.0 provides a 2018 Alsek River Chinook run forecast of approximately 5,000 fish.

The preseason forecast for Klukshu River sockeye salmon escapement in 2018 is 6,500 fish. This is well below the 10 -year average (2008-2017) of 14,000 fish and below the escapement goal range of $7,500-11,000$ fish. The forecast is a stock recruit model based on 23 years of Klukshu sockeye salmon production data and was discounted using the 3-year average (2015-2017) model error (this is a change from past years where forecasts were adjusted using a 5 -year model (2013-2017) error, it is assumed that the shorter time series more accurately reflects the ocean conditions that 2018 returning brood years have experienced).

Based on MR results (2000-2004) and run size estimates using GSI (2005-2006, 2011), the Klukshu sockeye stock comprises approximately $23 \%$ of the Alsek River drainage wide sockeye salmon run. Expanding the

Klukshu forecast provides a 2018 Alsek River sockeye salmon run forecast of approximately 28,200 fish; this is below the 10 -year average (2008-2017) run size estimate of approximately 61,000 Alsek River sockeye salmon.

There is much uncertainty with these forecasts. Recent survival of Chinook and sockeye salmon has been highly variable; therefore, developing accurate forecasts has been problematic.

## Management Approach for the 2018 Season

A large and variable proportion of the drainage wide escapements of Alsek River Chinook, and sockeye salmon stocks are enumerated through an enumeration facility located on the Klukshu River operated by DFO.

Escapement goals are in place for Alsek and Klukshu rivers Chinook and sockeye salmon stocks. ADF\&G will manage the U.S. Dry Bay commercial set gillnet sockeye salmon fishery to achieve the agreed upon escapement goal range of 800 to 1,200 Klukshu River Chinook salmon and $7,500-11,000$ (plus 3,000 ) sockeye salmon as per the 2009-2018 agreement reached during Transboundary PST negotiations in February 2008.

## United States

The U.S. commercial sockeye salmon fishery in Dry Bay traditionally opens for a 24 - hour period beginning noon on the first Sunday in June (June 3; SW 23). Historically, inseason management decisions have been made by monitoring fishery performance data and comparing it to historical CPUE for a given opening to adjust time and area openings. Parent-year escapement information and harvest trends are also considered when determining the weekly fishing periods. Although there is no directed Chinook salmon fishery, the directed sockeye salmon fishery opens during the peak of the Chinook salmon return to the Alsek River. The peak timing appears to be during the first two weeks of June based on tagging data (1998-2004) and the Chinook salmon test fishery data (2005-2008, 2011 and 2012). Chinook salmon tagging studies conducted from 1998 through 2004 indicated that approximately $15-30 \%$ of the Chinook salmon passing through Dry Bay are bound for the Klukshu River drainage. U.S. Alsek River harvests have been less than 1,000 Chinook salmon each year since 1981; with a historical average harvest of approximately 400 Chinook salmon. The 2017 harvest of 124 Chinook salmon was the lowest harvest on record and the 2018 harvest most likely will not exceed average harvests. The 2017 Dry Bay sockeye salmon harvest of just under 5,000 fish was the second lowest harvest since 2007.

Chinook salmon returns to the Klukshu River have been within or above the BEG range since 2009; except in 2012, 2016, and 2017. Sockeye salmon escapements to the Klukshu River have also been variable in recent years. The top end of the BEG range was exceeded in 2012 but fell below the escapement goal range in 2013, 2016, and 2017.

Based on forecasts for 2018 and recent levels of poor production in Klukshu River sockeye and Chinook salmon stocks, the Dry Bay commercial set gillnet fishery will be curtailed in 2018. Restrictions will include the delay of the initial opening by two weeks and a six-inch maximum mesh restriction in place through July 1. Management strategies will remain conservative through SW 29 until it can be ascertained that the Klukshu River sockeye salmon BEG range will be met.

The U.S. conducted Alsek River Chinook salmon test fisheries in 2005-2008, 2011, and 2012 as provided in the current Annex. Because the Chinook salmon escapement goal of 1,100 fish (lower bound BEG range) in place at the time of the fisheries was not attained in 2005 through 2008 the test fishery was suspended in 2009 and 2010 in order to facilitate Chinook salmon escapement. Test fishing has not been conducted since 2012 and the U.S. will not conduct a test fishery in 2018.

Beginning in mid-August, management of the set gillnet fishery will be based on the run strength of coho salmon. Inseason management will be based on evaluation of fishery harvest trends, fishing effort, and CPUE relative to historical levels, similar to the management plan for sockeye salmon. Recent years have seen a decline in fishing effort during the coho salmon season on the Alsek River, mainly due to economic struggles and lack of aircraft charters to transport fish to town. It is anticipated that there will be minimal fishing effort for harvesting coho salmon again in 2018.

## Canada

Canadian fisheries for Alsek River salmon will proceed similarly to regimes in recent years. Next to conservation, the priority in management will be to provide for the basic food, social and ceremonial needs of the CAFN. The basic needs allocations are 200 Chinook and 3,000 sockeye salmon, as documented in the CAFN final land claim agreement. As in recent years, some First Nation's salmon harvest will be allowed to occur at the weir which will also provide opportunities to collect biological data and samples. Restrictions in the First Nation fishery will be considered if the projected Klukshu River weir counts are below 800 Chinook salmon, 1,500 early sockeye and/or 7,500 total sockeye salmon. Decisions to implement restrictions will take into account management actions taken to conserve stocks in both the Canadian recreational fishery and the U.S. Dry Bay fishery.

In the recreational fishery, the following closed/open times will be in effect for 2018: the Dalton Post area of the Tatshenshini River will be open seven days per week; the closed times for Klukshu River, Nesketahin Lake and Village Creek will be from June 15 to November 30; the salmon non-retention periods on the Takhanne and Blanchard rivers will be from July 24 to August 31; and salmon non-retention in Klukshu Lake will be in effect year round. Additionally, Chinook and sockeye salmon daily limits will be varied to zero, zero in possession for the season due to conservation concerns. In the event that the Chinook salmon run size into the Klukshu River is well above the minimum management target of 1,000 fish ( 800 fish escapement plus 200 fish CAFN allocation), Canadian managers may liberalize harvest opportunities. If the early sockeye salmon run size into the Klukshu River is projected to be greater than 4,500 sockeye salmon (1,500 early sockeye plus 3,000 sockeye salmon CAFN allocation); Canadian managers may allow sockeye salmon retention in the recreational fishery prior to August 15. Additionally, if the sockeye salmon count is projected to exceed 10,500 fish (7,500 lower end of the escapement goal range plus 3,000 sockeye salmon CAFN allocation) after August 15, recreational harvest opportunities may be provided. For coho salmon, additional harvesting opportunities through increased catch limits in the recreational fishery may be provided subject to conservation concerns.

## Stock Assessment Program

The escapements of Chinook, sockeye, and coho salmon through the Klukshu River escapement monitoring programme and sockeye salmon through the Village Creek (Nesketahin Lake) escapement monitoring programme serve as an inseason indicator of stock strength. Adjustments to above border fisheries may be made on the basis of these results. An estimate of the total Alsek River sockeye salmon run will be made using GSI analysis (funded through the PSC Northern Fund) of U.S. commercial fishery samples and an expansion of the Klukshu River results. A summary of the anticipated field projects in the Alsek River drainage is presented in Appendix A. 3.


Figure 5. The Alsek River principal Canadian fishing areas.

## 2018 TRANSBOUNDARY ENHANCEMENT PLANS

## Overview

Joint sockeye salmon enhancement projects are conducted on the Stikine and Taku rivers. Broodstock are collected in Canada at Tahltan Lake in the Stikine River drainage and from Tatsamenie Lake in the Taku River drainage. The eggs from these sockeye salmon are incubated and thermally marked at Snettisham Hatchery in Alaska. The fry originating from the Tahltan Lake egg take are back-planted into Tahltan and/or Tuya lakes, both of which are in the Stikine River drainage. The fry originating from the Tatsamenie Lake egg take are returned to their lake of origin. Two other projects of interest on the Taku River include: the investigation of the suitability of Trapper Lake for anadromous salmon production; and feasibility of broodstock capture, egg collection, and back-planting at King Salmon Lake.

As part of the current agreement the parties agreed that:

1. A Stikine Enhancement Production Plan (SEPP) and a Taku Enhancement Production Plan (TEPP) shall be prepared annually by the Committee by February 1. The SEPP and TEPP will detail the planned enhancement activities to be undertaken by the Parties and the expected production from site specific egg takes, access improvements and all other enhancement activities outlined in the annual SEPP and TEPP. The Committee will use these data to prepare an initial enhancement production forecast based on the best available information.
2. The Panel shall review the annual SEPP and TEPP and make recommendations to the Parties concerning them by February 28 (Table 8 and 9).

Table 8. The 2018 SEPP. Reviewed and approved by the Panel at the February 2018 annual meeting.

| 2018 SEPP |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Enhancement <br> Project | Activities | Expected Production ${ }^{2}$ | Technique to <br> document <br> production |
| Tahltan Lake | Egg take with target of 5.0 million <br> eggs <br> Guideline for last fishing day will <br> be September 25 <br> (Fry to be planted into Tahltan <br> and/or Tuya lakes) | (72\% green egg - fry, <br> $28 \%$ fry-smolt, 7\% <br> smolt-adult) | Fry planted into Tahltan <br> Lake |
|  | Expected Total <br> Production |  |  |
| ²0,560 | Thermal mark |  |  |
| production to expected wild smolt production. <br> 2 Survivals based on historical data starting with brood year 1989. <br> 3 Prior year SEPPs were developed to comply with Chapter 1, paragraph 3(a)(1)(iii)(a). Those estimates <br> were based upon assumed survivals different than observed long-term averages as well as the intended <br> stocking of both Tahltan and Tuya lakes. The Panel recognizes the result of the SEPP is unlikely to <br> achieve 100,000 enhanced sockeye salmon as identified in Chapter 1, paragraph 3(a)(1)(iii)(a) because: <br> Canada is withdrawing Tuya Lake for stocking in 2019; biological constraints associated with <br> enhancement of Tahltan Lake; the practicality and achievability of Tahltan Lake sockeye salmon egg <br> takes; and there being no other identified enhancement projects. |  |  |  |

Table 9. The 2018 TEPP. Reviewed and approved by the Panel at the February 2018 annual meeting.

| 2018 TEPP |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enhancement Project | Activities | Expected Production | Technique to document production |
| Tatsamenie Lake | Egg take with target of $30 \%$ of available broodstock ~ goal of up to 2.5 million. <br> (500K eggs) (400K fry for in-lake extended rearing and remainder for lake out-planting) | 6,936 adults from outplanting <br> (5.1\% green egg - smolt, <br> $6.8 \%$ smolt -adult) ${ }^{1}$ <br> 2,541 adults from ext. <br> rearing <br> (36.3\% green egg - smolt,, <br> $1.4 \%$ smolt - adult $)^{1}$ | Thermal mark |
| Trapper Lake | Egg take with target of 250,000. Contingent on barrier removal. | 1,400 adults (4\% green egg - smolt, 7\% smolt adult) ${ }^{2}$ | Thermal mark |
|  |  | Expected Total Production 10,877 |  |

[^4]The agreement has a provision for possible adjustments in harvest shares if either Party were to intentionally depart from the SEPP. In February 2018, the Panel reviewed the parties’ actions relative to the 2013 SEPP and determined no intentional deviation was made.

In January 2018, the Panel reviewed the 2016 SEPP (Table 10) and TEPP (Table 11) results, as well as received an update on activities from the 2017 SEPP (Table 12) and TEPP (Table 13) to date.

Table 10. The 2016 SEPP results.

| Enhancement Project | SEPP | Actual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tahltan Lake | - Egg take with target of 4.91 million <br> - Guideline for last fishing day will be September 25. <br> (Fry to be planted into Tahltan and/or Tuya lakes) | - Canada revised egg-take target to 5.0million eggs to match wild smolt production in Tahltan Lake. <br> - 5.3 million eggs were collected. <br> - Last fishing day was September 23. <br> - 1 incubator lost to IHNV (174K) <br> - 3.1 million fry released in Tahltan Lake. <br> - No fry released in Tuya Lake. |

Table 11. The 2016 TEPP results.

| Enhancement Project | Stated Goals | Actual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tatsamenie Lake | - Egg-take goal of 2,000,000 eggs, including 225,000 for extended rearing. | - Record high weir escapement 32,934. <br> - 1.8 million eggs collected. <br> - One incubator lost to IHNV (89,000 fry). <br> - 1.0 million fry directly released in lake. <br> - 183,000 extended rearing fry placed in 4 in-lake net pens; 149,000 fry released into the lake; 38,000 fry lost to IHNV (1 net pen infected). <br> - Onshore rearing water source was lost. |
| Trapper Lake | Egg take with target of 250,000 . Contingent on barrier removal. | - 277,000 eggs collected. <br> - 212,000 fry released to lake. |

Table 12. The 2017 SEPP results. (as of March 2018).

| Enhancement <br> Project | SEPP | Actual |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Tahltan Lake | $\bullet \quad$Egg take with target of 5.0 <br> million | $\bullet$Canada revised egg-take target to 3.72 <br> million eggs to match wild smolt |
|  | $\bullet \quad$Guideline for last fishing <br> day will be September 25. | production in Tahltan Lake. |
|  | An estimated 3,7200,000 eggs <br> (Fry to be planted into Tahltan <br> and/or Tuya lakes) | collected. |
|  |  | Last fishing day was on September 21st. |
|  |  | Fry Release pending |

Table 13. The 2017 TEPP results. (as of March 2018).

| Enhancement <br> Project | TEPP | Actual |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tatsamenie Lake | $\bullet \quad$Egg take goal of 2,000,000 <br> eggs, including 225,000 <br> for extended rearing. | $\bullet$ <br> $\bullet$ <br> $\bullet$ | High weir escapement (Approx 27,000). |
| Trapper Lake Release pending |  |  |  |

A number of assessment projects are conducted to monitor the recipient lakes (e.g. plankton, water chemistry) and the survival of out planted fry (e.g. smolt enumeration, fry sampling). A summary of the enhancement field and incubation projects is presented in Appendix A. 4.

## Fry Plants

Fry plants from the 2017 transboundary sockeye salmon egg-takes are scheduled to occur in May, and possibly June, 2018. It is expected the following number of sockeye salmon fry will be out-planted based on estimated fry on hand at Snettisham Hatchery as of March 2018:

Stikine drainage: Tahltan Lake: All fry from the 2017 egg take will be transported to Tahltan Lake (3,047,000)

Taku drainage: Tatsamenie Lake: 1,303,000 total fry are available: 219,000 fry for extended onshore rearing; 1,384,000 fry released directly into the lake as unfed fry.

Trapper Lake: All fry from the 2017 egg take will be transported to Trapper Lake $(210,345)$.

At Tahltan Lake, the plan is to transport fry on several flights during the period from May 20 to May 30 pending ice free lake conditions. Fry will be held for approximately 24 hours in net pens for observations.

At Tatsamenie Lake, the plan is to transport fry on several flights during the last two weeks of May pending ice free lake conditions. The 2018 extended rearing program will involve holding and feeding fry from May 25-June 21 approximately pending ice out conditions. Cap troughs are not able to be used in 2018 due to water supply changes resulting from the stream establishing a new course away from the rearing site. Extended rearing designated fry will be transported to the lake on or near May 25 at 0.5 grams. The fry will be reared in four lake net pens, the same pens as previously used for the latter period of the extended rearing conducted in 2008-2017 after the initial cap trough rearing was complete. Currently, a larger mesh size is being sought to use upon receipt of the fry to mitigate potential stresses to fry by increasing water exchange rates. The fed fry will be released on June 21 at a site located in the mid lake area (pelagic zone) approximately 2 km upstream from the outlet of the lake on or near June 21. The fry that are not subject to the grow-out experiment will be released near shore at various sites within the north section of the lake.

## Egg Take Goals

Target sockeye egg takes for the fall of 2018 are as follows:

Tahltan Lake

- Up to 5.0 million eggs or a maximum of $30 \%$ of available female escapement.
- Final egg take target to be determined inseason based on actual escapement into Tahltan Lake, and matching enhanced smolt production to expected wild smolt production. In consideration of the desire to minimize disturbance of natural spawning at the adult collection sites, the guideline for the last date that eggs will be collected at Tahltan Lake is September 25.
- Up to 2.5 million eggs or a maximum of $30 \%$ of available female escapement.
- A total of 400,000 fry will be used in the Tatsamenie extended rearing project with the remainder going to direct lake out planting.


## Little Trapper Lake

- Up to 500 thousand eggs. Contingent on barrier removal.


## King Salmon Lake

- No egg take is planned.
- Carcass sampling to be completed to assess proportion of enhanced return form previous egg take efforts


## GENETIC STOCK IDENTIFICATION PROJECTS

Harvests of transboundary salmon fisheries are subject to the harvest sharing agreement outlined in Annex IV of the PST, and thus stock composition estimates are critical to document compliance with these agreements, as well as to reconstruct runs of wild stocks, estimate the return of enhanced fish, forecast upcoming returns, and support sustainable management. Genetic stock identification is the preferred method for estimating stock contributions in fisheries in and near the Stikine, Taku, and Alsek rivers, and has been in use for transboundary management for sockeye salmon since 2011 and for Chinook salmon since 2005. Members of the TTC met in April 2013 to define agreed-upon reporting groups for each species for Taku and Stikine River fisheries (Table 14 and 15), and to define precision and accuracy goals (to be within $10 \%$ of the true mixture $90 \%$ of the time). Appendices C. 1 and C. 2 describes methods that the Parties use to estimate stock composition of Chinook and sockeye salmon in transboundary fisheries, as well as existing Chinook and sockeye salmon collections and identified gaps. Baseline collection priorities for 2018 are listed in Appendix Table A.5.

Table 14. Chinook salmon GSI reporting groups agreed upon by the TTC in April 2013 for fisheries occurring in and near the Taku and Stikine rivers. Reporting groups under the "Treaty" management objective are those necessary for calculating the harvest sharing agreement outlined in Annex IV of the PST. Reporting groups under the "Transboundary" objective are those necessary for run reconstructions and forecasting upcoming returns.

| Management Objective | Party | Fishery | Reporting Groups |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Treaty | U.S., Canada | U.S. District 108, Inriver Stikine | Stikine |
|  |  |  | Other |
|  |  | U.S. District 111, Inriver Taku | Taku |
|  |  |  | Other |
| Transboundary | U.S. | U.S. District 108 | Little Tahltan |
|  |  |  | Stikine Other |
|  |  |  | Non-Stikine |
|  |  | U.S. District 111 | Taku |
|  |  |  | Other |
|  | Canada | Inriver Stikine | Little Tahltan |
|  |  |  | Stikine Other |
|  |  | Inriver Taku | Taku |
| Domestic (not PST) | U.S. | U.S. District 108 | Taku |
|  |  |  | Stikine |
|  |  |  | Andrews |
|  |  |  | Southern SEAK |
|  |  |  | Other |
|  |  | U.S. District 111 | Taku |
|  |  |  | Stikine |
|  |  |  | Andrews |
|  |  |  | Other |
|  |  | Inriver Stikine | Early (Little Tahltan, Tahltan, Christine) ${ }^{a}$ <br> Late (Verrett, Craig) ${ }^{a}$ |
|  |  | Inriver Taku | Early (Nahlin, Dudidontu, Tseta) ${ }^{a}$ Mid (Nakina) Late (Kowatua, Tatsatua) ${ }^{a}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Indicates a Conservation Unit (CU) under Canada’s Wild Salmon Policy.

Table 15. Sockeye salmon GSI reporting groups agreed upon by the TTC in April 2013 for fisheries occurring in and near the Taku and Stikine rivers. Reporting groups under the "Treaty" management objective are those necessary for calculating the harvest sharing agreement outlined in Annex IV of the PST. Reporting groups under the "Transboundary" objective are those necessary for run reconstructions, forecasting upcoming returns, and estimating returns of enhanced fish.

| Management Objective | Party | Fishery | Reporting Groups |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Treaty | U.S., Canada | U.S. District 106, 108; Inriver Stikine | Stikine <br> Other |
|  |  | U.S. District 111, Inriver Taku | Taku <br> Other |
| Transboundary | U.S. | U.S. District 106, 108 | Tahltan <br> Stikine Other <br> Non-Stikine |
|  |  | U.S. District 111 | Tatsamenie <br> Taku Lakes Other <br> Taku River-type <br> Non-Taku |
|  | Canada | Inriver Stikine | Tahltan <br> Stikine Other |
|  |  | Inriver Taku | Tatsamenie <br> Taku Lakes Other Taku River-type |
| Domestic (not PST) | U.S. | U.S. District 106, 108 | Tahltan <br> Stikine Other <br> McDonald <br> SEAK <br> Other |
|  |  | U.S. District 111 | Tatsamenie <br> Taku Lakes Other <br> Taku River-type <br> Speel <br> SEAK <br> Other |
|  |  | Inriver Stikine | Chutine ${ }^{a}$ <br> Christina ${ }^{a}$ <br> Tahltan ${ }^{a}$ <br> Mainstem ${ }^{a}$ <br> Iskut |
|  |  | Inriver Taku | Kuthai ${ }^{a}$ <br> Little Trapper/Trapper ${ }^{a}$ <br> Tatsamenie ${ }^{a}$ <br> Tatsatua/Little Tatsamenie ${ }^{a}$ <br> King Salmon ${ }^{a}$ <br> Taku River-type ${ }^{a}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Indicates a Conservation Unit (CU) under Canada’s Wild Salmon Policy.
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## APPENDIX A: ANTICIPATED TRANSBOUNDARY PROJECTS, 2018

Proposed projects regarding the Stikine, Taku, and Alsek salmon stocks are summarized in Appendix A. 1 to A. 5. For each project listed, information regarding the dates of operation, primary objectives, and agency roles are described. Contacts are listed at the bottom of each table. The agencies will endeavor to achieve the proposed field projects detailed below. The agencies acknowledge these projects or elements thereof are subject to funding shortfalls from time to time. In the event there is a deviation from the elements described the agency will provide advance notification, where possible, to the other agency.

Appendix A. 1. Proposed field projects, Stikine River 2018.

| Project/ Function Agency Involvement |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Approx. Dates |  |  |

## Stikine Chinook Mark-Recapture

5/3-7/7 - Tag through June 1 and re-evaluate whether to continue tagging; a target of 445 Stikine River large Chinook salmon captured from Kakwan Point drift net site.

- Collect GSI samples (from each fish tagged) separated by week; provided to DFO.

ADF\&G/
DFO/
TFN

ADF\&G/
DFO/TFN

- Record spaghetti tags and CWTs from Canadian fisheries (non-directed), Little Tahltan weir (record only) and from Iskut tributaries (Verrett). Tags may also be recovered from other spawning sites (e.g. Shakes, Craig, Tashoots).
- Sacrifice all adipose-clipped nonlarge Chinook salmon and wand large Chinook salmon with missing adipose for presence/absence of CWT; samples to go to ADF\&G lab.

DFO/TFN All aspects

ADF\&G/
All aspects DFO/TFN

Tahltan Lake Smolt Estimation
5/2-6/15

- Enumerate Tahltan Lake sockeye salmon smolts.

DFO/TFN
All aspects

- Sample up to 800 smolts for age, size, and otoliths.

Appendix A. 1. (continued)


## Little Tahltan Chinook Salmon Enumeration

## 6/21-8/9

- Enumerate Little Tahltan Chinook salmon using a video weir located at the mouth of the river.
- Record presence/absence of spaghetti tags and adipose fins.
- Record estimated lengths through video counter.

DFO/TFN
All aspects

DFO/TFN
All aspects

DFO/TFN All aspects

## Test Fishery in Lower Stikine

6/17-8/25

- Conduct test fishery for sockeye salmon to assess

DFO/TFN
All aspects run size and run timing.

- Chinook salmon caught in the test drift net shall be

DFO released. In the test set net sample any Chinook salmon for tags/tag loss, CWTs and for ASL. CWT samples to go to DFO lab in Vancouver, unless other arrangements are made.

- Sample up to 400 sockeye salmon per week for otoliths matched with scales and for females, with egg diameters. Transfer otolith samples to ADF\&G weekly for inseason processing.




DFO/TFN, ADF\&G

All aspects

All aspects
Otolith analysis

Appendix A. 1. (continued)

## Project/ <br> Approx. Dates <br> Test Fishery in Lower Stikine continued

- Sample all coho salmon (caught in sockeye test fishery) for CWTs and ASL; CWT samples to go to DFO lab in Vancouver, unless other arrangements are made.


## Commercial Inriver Fishery Stock ID Sampling

- In the unlikely event of a directed commercial Chinook salmon fishery, commercial harvest sampling to include up to 200/week for ASL and secondary marks (operculum punch), plus observe $50 \%$ of the harvest for adipose clips. Collect heads from all clipped fish observed. CWT samples to go to DFO lab in Vancouver, unless other arrangements are made. Collect up to 200 GSI samples/week. U.S. port samplers will sample a portion of the lower river harvest delivered to Wrangell-Petersburg.
$6 / 26-8 / 25$
- Randomly sample the commercial harvest of sockeye salmon to include 200/week for matched ASL, otolith, egg-diameter, and GSI, and another 200/week for egg-diameter and length. Otolith deliveries to be arranged with ADF\&G and will require delivery by boat to Wrangell. Analyze 60 to 200 sockeye otolith samples per week.
- Incidental commercial catch sampling for Chinook salmon during targeted sockeye salmon fishery to include up to 200/week for ASL and secondary marks (operculum punch), plus observe $>50 \%$ of the catch for adipose clips. Collect heads and ASL information from all clipped fish observed. CWT samples to go to DFO lab in Vancouver, unless other arrangements are made. Collect 200 GSI samples/week.

8/26-9/15 Sample all adipose clipped coho for CWTs and ASL; annual commercial fishery sampling target is 500 for ASL, plus observe $>50 \%$ of the catch for adipose clips. CWT samples to go to DFO lab in Vancouver, unless other arrangements are made.

| DFO/TFN/ | All aspects |
| :--- | :--- |
| ADF\&G | Harvest <br> delivered in <br> U.S. |
| DFO/TFN, | All aspects, |
| ADF\&G | Otolith analysis |

## DFO <br> All aspects

DFO/TFN All aspects

Appendix A. 1. (continued)

| Project/ <br> Approx. Dates | Function | Agency | Involveme |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Districts 106 \&108 Stock ID Sampling |  |  |  |
| 6/24-7/21 | - Sample a minimum of $20 \%$ of Chinook salmon harvest for CWTs as per PSC coastwide standard; sample Chinook salmon for ASL (ASL sampling goals are 600 for the season for D108). GSI sampling targets for Chinook salmon in D108 commercial fisheries are 120/week for directed fisheries and 80/week for nondirected fisheries. | ADF\&G | All aspects |
| 6/17-8/11 | - Collect 300 sockeye salmon samples/week for ASL, GSI, and otoliths matched samples in drift gillnet fisheries in Subdistrict 106-41 and 520 sockeye salmon samples/week in District 108. | ADF\&G | All aspects |
| 6/17-10/20 | - Sample a minimum $20 \%$ of coho catches in the drift gillnet fisheries in each district for CWT and sample 600 coho for ASL (sampling goals are 600 per district for the season). | ADF\&G | All aspects |
| Chinook Salmon Surveys |  |  |  |
| 7/25-8/19 | - Survey Chinook salmon in Andrew Creek and sample a minimum 200 Chinook salmon for ASL, spaghetti tags, and CWTs. Conduct aerial and foot surveys. | ADF\&G | All aspects |
| 8/1-8/10 | - Conduct aerial surveys on Verrett, Tahltan, and Little Tahltan rivers, and Christina, Beatty, and Bear creeks. | DFO/TFN | All aspects |
| Tahltan Lake Salmon Enumeration |  |  |  |
| 7/4-9/15 | - Enumerate Tahltan Lake sockeye entering the lake at weir. | DFO/TFN | All aspects |
|  | - Live-sample a minimum of 600 sockeye for ASL and an additional 100 fish per day for sex. | DFO/TFN | All aspects |
|  | - Endeavour to conduct terminal fishery at Tahltan Lake if escapement targets are likely to be exceeded. | DFO/TFN | All aspects |

Appendix A. 1. (continued)
Project/ Function
Approx. Dates
Tahltan Lake Salmon Enumeration Continued

- | If escapement goal is projected to be achieved, |
| :--- |
| lethally sample up to 400 sockeye for ASL and |
| otoliths from the weir ( 400 fish will also be |
| sampled from the broodstock take). |
- Sample available postspawn Chinook salmon in Johnny Tashoots Creek for ASL, spaghetti tags, and CWTs. Collect GSI baseline samples to complete inventory.


## Chinook and Coho Coded Wire Tagging

4/16-5/30

- Targets are 40 k Chinook smolts and 10 k coho smolts.
- Sample every $100^{\text {th }}$ Chinook and $115^{\text {th }}$ Coho smolt for length (FL).

Sport Fishery Chinook Salmon Sampling
7/1-8/7

- Survey anglers in the Tahltan River subject to recreational fishery opening (and sample FSC fish at same sites).

6/1-7/21

- Conduct catch sampling program for Petersburg and Wrangell sport fisheries and sample for CWTs, GSI, and ASL. Target is to sample $30 \%$ of catch for CWTs. Conduct postseason surveys (statewide survey) to obtain harvest data.

Coho and Sockeye Salmon Aerial Surveys
9/10, 11/04 - Enumerate Stikine sockeye and coho salmon spawning abundance within index areas of the Canadian portion of the river.

DFO/TFN
All aspects

DFO/TFN

ADF\&G/
DFO/TFN

ADF\&G/
All aspects
DFO/TFN

TFN/DFO

ADF\&G

TFN
All aspects
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Contacts: Stikine Projects
Aaron Foos/Johnny Sembsmoen
Steve Smith/Bill Waugh
Cheri Frocklage/Kerry Carlick
Phil Richards/Troy Jaecks
Julie Bednarski/Jim Andel
(DFO) All DFO projects.
(DFO) All DFO projects.
(TFN) Inriver sampling projects.
(ADF\&G) Chinook tagging and surveys; Andrew Creek sampling.
(ADF\&G) 106\&108 samples, stock assessment.

Canadian staff associated with Stikine projects that may be crossing the Canadian/U.S. border:
Johnny Sembsmoen, Aaron Foos, Steve Smith, Sean Stark, Paul Vecsei, Ian Boyce, Mel Besharah, Mathieu Ducharme, Cheri Frocklage, Kerry Carlick, Kyle Inkster, Jared Dennis, Drew Inkster, Michael Nole, John Nole, Sheldon Dennis, Fabian Vance.
U.S. staff associated with Stikine projects that may be crossing the Canadian/U.S. border:

Troy Thynes, Kevin Clark, Sara Gilk-Baumer, Kyle Shedd, Julie Bednarski, Tom Kowalske, Phil Richards, Stephen Todd, Ed Jones, Chris Ford, Caitlin Cardinell, Kiana Putman, Larry Derby, Clay Culbert, Randy Peterson, Laura Junge, Jeff Williams, Patrick Fowler, Andy Piston, Bob Clark, John H. Clark, Lowell Fair, Scott Forbes, Judy Lum, Jeff Nichols.

Appendix A. 2. Proposed field projects, Taku River, 2018.


Appendix A. 2. (continued)

| Project/Dates | Function |
| :--- | :--- |
| Salmon Telemetry |  |
| $4 / 28-7 / 1$ | -10 towers operated at various sites to account for fish <br> behavior and inform MR estimates; 6 river and 4 lake <br> sites. Towers will remain in place until all fish have |
| passed. |  |

## Smolt Tagging - CWT lower Taku

4/4-5/30 - CWT goals are 40,000 Chinook and 30,000 coho salmon smolt.

- Sample every 100th Chinook and 115th coho salmon smolt for length (FL) and weight.
- Sample 300 coho salmon smolt for age (12-15 scales per fish).


## Canadian Aboriginal Fishery Monitoring

5/1-10/11 - Collect and record FN catch information.

## Nahlin Sonar

6/02-7/28

- Enumerate large Chinook salmon using sonar in lower Nahlin River.


## Nahlin/Tseta Sampling

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 7/25-8/7 } & \text { Sample Chinook salmon in Nahlin River and Tseta } \\ & \text { Creek for ASL, spaghetti tags/tag loss, and CWT. } \\ & \text { CWT samples to go to DFO or ADF\&G lab. }\end{array}$
Dudidontu Sampling
8/5-8/15

- Sample Chinook in Dudidontu River for ASL, CWTs, and spaghetti tags/tag loss. CWT samples to go to DFO or ADF\&G lab.

Nakina Chinook Salmon Escapement Sampling
8/1-8/26 - Operate the Chinook salmon carcass weir on the TRTFN All aspects Nakina River.

- Sample all Chinook salmon for ASL, spaghetti tags/tag loss, and CWT. CWT samples to go to DFO lab.
- Opportunistically obtain GSI samples from Nakina sockeye salmon (target is 200 over the long term).
Agency Involvement

ADF\&G/ All aspects DFO

| ADF\&G/ All aspects |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DFO | ADF\&G |

ADF\&G 4 staff

DFO 2 staff
TRTFN 1 staff

TRTFN All aspects

DFO All aspects

ADF\&G/ All aspects DFO/
TRTFN

ADF\&G/ All aspects
DFO/
TRTFN

TRTFN All aspects

TRTFN
All aspects

Appendix A. 2. (continued)
Project/Dates Function Agency Involvement

## Canadian Commercial Fishery Sampling

6/26-10/10 - Collect and record commercial harvest information; forward to ADF\&G Juneau via Whitehorse.

- Sample Chinook, sockeye, and coho salmon for ASL and secondary marks; 200 per week for sockeye; 520 per season for coho salmon; $50-150$ scale samples per week for Chinook salmon. Examine all Chinook and coho salmon harvest for adipose clips and secondary marks.
- Sample 125 sockeye salmon per week for GSI samples.
- Collect 192 sockeye salmon otolith samples per week to estimate contribution of enhanced fish; send otolith samples to ADF\&G for processing via Canyon Island.
- Inseason sockeye salmon otolith analysis.
- Collect and record all spaghetti tags and radio tags caught in commercial fisheries.
- Collect salmon roe as required for CWT program.


## Canadian Coho Assessment Fishery

9/16-10/12

- Capture and inspect up to 500 coho salmon per week for spaghetti tags and CWTs. Sample up to 520 coho salmon for the season for ASL and tag scars. Sample 125 per week for GSI. CWT samples to go to DFO or ADF\&G lab.


## District 111 Fishery Sampling

6/17-10/20

- Collect and record commercial harvest information and all spaghetti and radio tags; forward to DFO Whitehorse via Juneau.
- Sample a minimum of $20 \%$ of Chinook and coho salmon harvests for CWTs/ASL.
- Sample commercial Chinook salmon harvest for GSI samples; targets are 120 /week for directed and 80/week for nondirected incidental harvest.

Appendix A. 2. (continued)

| Project/Dates | Function |
| :--- | :--- |
| District 111 Fishery Sampling Continued |  |
|  | Collect 320 matched GSI/ASL/otolith samples per |
|  | week from sockeye salmon with subdistrict specific | goals.

## Kuthai Sockeye Sampling

7/6-9/5

- Operate the adult sockeye salmon weir at Kuthai Lake; enumerate and sample for ASL and spaghetti tag loss (750 samples) and recover spaghetti tags.


## King Salmon Weir

- Operate the adult sockeye salmon weir at King Salmon Lake; enumerate and sample for ASLspaghetti tag loss (750 samples), recover spaghetti tags.


## Aerial Chinook surveys

- Aerial surveys of spawning Chinook salmon in the Nakina, Nahlin, Dudidontu, Tatsatua, Kowatua, and Tseta rivers.


## Sport Fishery Sampling (Marine)

6/15-7/9 - Conduct harvest sampling program in the Juneau sport fishery and sample for CWTs, ASL, and GSI. Target is to sample $20 \%$ of harvest for CWTs. Conduct postseason surveys (statewide survey) to obtain harvest data.

## Nakina Chinook Fishery Monitoring

6/14-7/15 - Monitor FSC and Recreation Fishery.

## Little Trapper Weir

7/20-8/31 - $\begin{aligned} & \text { Enumerate adult sockeye salmon through weir and } \\ & \text { sample for ASL, spaghetti tag loss (750 samples), and }\end{aligned}$ recover spaghetti tags.

Agency Involvement
ADF\&G
All aspects

TRTFN
All aspects

TRTFN
All aspects

ADF\&G
All aspects

ADF\&G
All aspects

TRTFN/DFO All aspects

DFO
All aspects

Appendix A. 2. (continued)

| Project/Dates | Function | Agency | Involvement |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tatsamenie Sockeye Weir |  |  |  |
| $8 / 3-10 / 5$ | Enumerate adult sockeye salmon through weir and <br> sample for ASL, spaghetti tag loss (750 samples), and <br> recover spaghetti tags. 400 <br> sampled for ASL and matched otoliths. | DFO will be |  |$\quad$ All aspects


| Contacts: | Taku Projects <br> Ed Jones | (ADF\&G) | Smolt tagging, adult Chinook escapement sampling |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Jim Andel | (ADF\&G) | Canyon Island adult tagging |
|  | Julie Bednarski | (ADF\&G) | All ADF\&G Com Fish Research Programs |
|  | Phil Richards | (ADF\&G) | Smolt tagging, adult Chinook escapement <br> samplingChinook surveys |
|  | Jeff Williams | (ADF\&G) | Smolt tagging, adult Chinook escapement |
|  |  | samplingChinook surveys |  |
|  | Sara Gilk-Baumer | (ADF\&G) | Genetics |
| Aaron Foos | (DFO) | All DFO Taku Programs |  |
| Bonnie | (DFO) | All DFO Taku programs |  |
| Huebschwerlen |  |  |  |
| Bill Waugh | (DFO) | All DFO Taku programs |  |
| Steve Smith | (DFO) | All DFO Taku programs |  |
| Cheri Frocklage | (TFN) | All TFN programs |  |
| Mark Connor | (TRTFN) | All TRTFN programs |  |
|  | Jason Williams | (TRTFN) | All TRTFN programs |

Canadian staff associated with Taku projects that may be crossing the Canadian/U.S. border:
Aaron Foos, Bonnie Huebschwerlen, Ian Boyce, Steve Smith, Paul Vecsei, Mathieu Ducharme, Adam Brennan, Teresa Bachynski, Mark Connor, Richard Erhardt, Jason Williams, Chris Kirby, Trevor Williams, Shauna Yoemans, Logan Fraser, Keith Carlick, Trevor Carlick, Sabrina Williams, Brian Mercer.
U.S. staff associated with Taku projects that may be crossing the Canadian/U.S. border:

Julie Bednarski, Ed Jones, Sara Gilk-Baumer, Kent Crabtree, Dave Harris, Scott Forbes, Phil Richards, John Cooney, David Dreyer, Jeff Nichols, Randy Peterson, Jeff Williams, Zane Chapman, Andy Piston, Nathan Frost, Lee Close, Lars Sorensen, Stephen Todd, , Lowell Fair, Judy Lum, Kyle Shedd, Bob Clark, John H. Clark.

Appendix A. 3. Proposed field projects, Alsek River, 2018.

| Project/Dates | Function | Agency | Involvement |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Klukshu River Sampling |  |  |  |
| $6 / 5-10 / 06$ | $\bullet$ | Enumerate Chinook, sockeye and coho salmon with |  |
|  | a video enumeration programme. | DFO/CAFN | All aspects |
|  |  |  |  |

- Estimate sport and Champagne and Aishihik First DFO/CAFN All aspects Nations fishery catches.
- Opportunistically collect ASL information from sockeye salmon caught by Champagne and Aishihik First Nations (up to 600 scale samples).
- Opportunistically sample 200 Chinook salmon in each of sport and Champagne and Aishihik First Nations harvest for ASL (MEF), and CWTs.
- Sample Chinook, sockeye, coho salmon DFO/CAFN All aspects opportunistically within the drainage for ASL.

DFO/CAFN All aspects

DFO/CAFN
All aspects

Alsek Chinook/sockeye assessment

- Pilot project to enumerate Chinook and sockeye salmon on the Blanchard River using sonar
- Alsek sockeye salmon run reconstruction using

DFO/CAFN All aspects

DFO/CAFN All aspects
Dry Bay commercial fishery performance data; stock composition will be determined using GSI

## Village Creek sockeye enumeration

- Enumerate salmon (sockeye salmon focus) using a video enumeration program at Village Creek.


## Lower Alsek Sampling

6/17-7/31

- Collect ASL, GSI data (sockeye 800, all Chinook) from Dry Bay commercial fishery.
- Analyze GSI samples to derive drainage wide escapement estimate sockeye salmon based on proportion Klukshu fish expansion of Dry Bay harvest.

ADF\&G All aspects

DFO
All aspects

Contact: Alsek Projects

| Aaron Foos | (DFO) | All DFO projects |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bill Waugh | (DFO) | All DFO projects |
| Steve Smith | (DFO) | All DFO projects |
| Sean Stark | (DFO) | All DFO projects |
| Nicole Zeiser | (ADF\&G) | U.S. fisheries |
| Rick Hoffman | (ADF\&G) | U.S. fisheries |
| Dixie Smeeton | (CAFN) | CAFN projects |

Canadian staff associated with Alsek projects that may be crossing the Canadian/U.S. border:
Aaron Foos, Steve Smith, Sean Stark, Bill Waugh, Ian Boyce, Paul Vecsei, Dixie Smeeton, Monica Krieger.
U.S. staff associated with Alsek projects that may be crossing the Canadian/U.S. border: Nicole Zeiser, Julie Bednarski, Rick Hoffman, Matt Catterson.

| Project | Function | Agency | Involvement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Projects, Egg Collection and Transport, Fry Releases |  |  |  |
| 2/1-5/15 | - Acquire Canadian permitting regarding egg and fry transport | DFO | All aspects |
| Tahltan Lake Enhancement Project |  |  |  |
| 5/5-6/20 | - Enumeration and sampling of smolts from Tahltan Lake (Stikine River, in Canada) and collection of otolith samples to determine planted contribution. | DFO | All aspects |
| 5/15-6/30 | - Backplant sockeye fry from Snettisham Hatchery into Tahltan Lake. | DIPAC/ <br> ADF\&G | All aspects |
| $6 / 1-8 / 30$ | - Limnological samples from Tahltan Lake monthly. | DFO | All Aspects |
| 8/24-10/05 | - Collect up to 5.0 million sockeye eggs from Tahltan Lake and transport to Snettisham Hatchery in Alaska. (Dates are subject to onsite conditions). | DFO | Egg-take and transport |
| 8/24-10/05 | - Sample 200 male and 200 female adult sockeye from Tahltan Lake broodstock for otolith samples. | DFO | All aspects |

Appendix A. 4. (continued)

| Project | Function | Agency | Involvement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tatsamenie Lake Enhancement Project |  |  |  |
| 5/10-8/30 | - Sample smolt out-migration from Tatsamenie (Taku River, in Canada) and conduct mark-recapture program on smolt from Tatsamenie Lake, submit samples to DFO for otolith analysis. | DFO/Northern <br> - funding | All aspects |
| 5/24-5/30 | - Back-plant sockeye fry from Snettisham Hatchery into Tatsamenie Lake. | DFO/DIPAC/ ADF\&G | All aspects |
| 5/25-6/21 | - Onshore extended rearing - net pen rearing of ~ 225,000 sockeye fry. Expected growth from 0.5 g to 2.0 grams. | DFO/DIPAC/ <br> Mercer and Assoc. | All Aspects |
| 8/15-10/30 | - Collect up to $30 \%$ available broodstock (up to 2.5 million sockeye eggs) from Tatsamenie Lake and transport to Snettisham Hatchery in Alaska. | DFO | Egg-take and transport |
| 9/25-10/05 | - Sample 200 male and 200 female adult sockeye from Tatsamenie Lake broodstock for otolith samples. | DFO | All aspects |

## Trapper Lake Enhancement

6/1-9/30

- Egg Take of 500 K for planting into Trapper Lake.

DFO/Northern All aspects
Funding

## Salmon Egg Incubation

- Incubation and thermal marking of juvenile sockeye (eggs \& alevins) collected from transboundary lakes

DIPAC/
ADF\&G at the Snettisham Incubation Facility in Alaska.

All aspects

## Canadian staff that may be crossing the Canadian/US border:

Flight crew
US staff that may be crossing the Canadian/US border:
Snettisham Hatchery Staff, Eric Prestegard, Garold V. Pryor, and Lorraine Vercessi; flight crew from Ward Air airline.

Appendix A. 5. Genetic baseline collection priorities, 2018. (Baseline collections are opportunistic; no identified funding).

| Drainage Location | Priority | Agency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjacent Stikine Chinook baseline samples |  |  |
| Farragut | M | ADF\&G/NMFS |
| Bradfield | H | ADF\&G/NMFS |
| Harding | M | ADF\&G/NMFS |
| Stikine Chinook baseline samples |  |  |
| Chutine | M | DFO |
| Tuya | M | DFO |
| Beatty Creek | M | DFO/ADF\&G |
| Bear Creek | H | DFO |
| Johnny Tashoots Creek | H | DFO |
| Craig | M | DFO |
| Katete | L | DFO |
| Stikine (above Chutine) | L | DFO |
| Stikine (below Chutine) | M | DFO |
| N. Arm (US section) | L | ADF\&G |
| Goat (US section) | L | ADF\&G |
| Alpine/Clear (US section) | L | ADF\&G |
| Kikahe (US section) | L | ADF\&G |
| Stikine sockeye baseline samples |  |  |
| Tahltan Lake | M | DFO |
| Stikine mainstem (look alike) | L | DFO |
| Iskut (look alike) | L | DFO |
| Christina Lake (lake spawners) | H | DFO |
| Christina Lake (inlet spawners) | M | DFO |
| Katete | M | DFO |
| Taku Chinook baseline samples |  |  |
| Yeth | H | DFO |
| King Salmon | H | DFO |
| Sloko | M | DFO |
| mainstem Taku | L | DFO |
| Sutlahine | L | DFO |
| Inklin | L | DFO |
| Taku sockeye baseline samples |  |  |
| Taku Mainstem (look alike) | L | DFO/ADF\&G |
| Nakina | M | TRT |
| Johnson (US section) | L | ADF\&G |
| Samotua | L | DFO |
| Kuthai | H | DFO |
| Little Trapper | H | DFO |
| Alsek Chinook baseline samples |  |  |
| Goat Creek | H | DFO |
| Lofog Creek | L | DFO |
| mainstem Tatshenshini (middle, i.e. Kudwat) | H | DFO |
| mainstem Tatshenshini (lower) | H | DFO |
| mainstem Tatshenshini (upper) | H | DFO |
| mainstem Alsek | L | DFO |
| Tweedsmuir |  | DFO |

Appendix A. 5. (continued)

| Project/Dates | Location | Priority |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Alsek sockeye baseline samples |  | Agency |
| Blanchard Lake | H | DFO |
| Takhanne River | H | DFO |
| Goat Creek | M | DFO |
| Mainstem Tatshenshini (lower) | H | DFO |
| Tats Lake | M | DFO |
| Detour Creek | L | DFO |
| Stinky Creek | M | DFO |
| Tweedsmuir | M | DFO |
| Alsek mainstem | L | $\mathrm{ADF} \mathrm{\& G}$ |
| Border Slough | M | DFO |
| Tanis (US section) | L | $\mathrm{HDF} \mathrm{\& G}$ |
| Basin (US section) | H | ADF\&G |
|  |  |  |
| Adjacent Alsek baseline samples | L | L |
| Ahrnklin R. | L | ADF\&G |
| Akwe | L | ADF\&G |
| Italio | M | ADF\&G |
| Lost |  |  |

GSI sampling protocol:
o the target sample size is 200 adult samples per population unless otherwise noted.
o the preferred tissue to sample is the axillary appendage. For baseline samples, each fish will be sampled for two appendages; one to be sent to the DFO lab and the other to the ADF\&G lab. If only one appendage is sampled, that party's lab will subsample the existing tissue and send to the other lab. For fishery samples, each fish will be sampled for one axillary appendage which will be shared if requested.
0 If opercular punches are taken, two punches will be taken from each fish, again one for each of the respective labs. To eliminate problems associated with potential delamination of punches in composite samples i.e. where punches from one population and/or location are all stored in one vial as has been the practice, opercular punches will now be stored in individual labeled vials.
o Axillary appendages and opercular punches will be stored in ethanol (full strength) or dried onto Whatman tissue paper and each sample appropriately labeled (date, location (GPS), species, number of samples, fixative and volume thereof, collector, contact name, agency, phone number).
0 Although it is recognized that there are potential efficiencies in terms of effort, time, storage, shipping and archiving associated with using scale samples for GSI, this should not be a tissue of choice when obtaining fishery or other samples for GSI (e.g. out of a tote) but may be used as last resort.

## APPENDIX B: TRANSBOUNDARY ENCHANCEMENT PRODUCTION PLANS, 2018

Appendix B. 1. Stikine Enhancement Production Plan 2018 (Signed by TBR Panel Chairs).



Canada, TBR Panel Co-Chair

FEB 15,2018
Date

U.S., TBR Panel Co-Chair

$$
2 / 15 / 18
$$

Date

Appendix B. 2. Stikine Enhancement Production Plan 2013 Five-Year Review (Signed by TBR Panel Chairs).

## 2013 Stikine Enhancement Production Plan (SEPP) Overview and Results (5-year Review).

| 2013 SEPP |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enhancement Project | Activities | Expected Production | Actual |
| Tahltan Lake | Egg take with target of 6 million eggs ${ }^{1}$ Guideline for last fishing day will be Sept. 25 Fry to be planted into Tahltan and/or Tuya Lake(s) | 100,000 adults ( $80 \%$ green egg - fry, 25\% fry-smolt, $8 \%$ smolt-adult) | - Egg take of 4.22 million eggs <br> - 2.07 million fry release to Tahltan Lake. <br> - 0.462 million fry released to Tuya Lake <br> - 0.370 million eggs lost to IHNV |
|  |  | Expected Total Production 100,000 |  |

Tahltan Lake Weir Escapement of 15,828 .


$$
\frac{2 / 15 / 10}{\text { Date }}
$$

Appendix B. 3. Taku Enhancement Production Plan 2018 (Signed by TBR Panel Chairs).

| 2018 TEPP |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enhancement Project | Activities | Expected Production | Technique to document production |
| Tatsamenie Lake | Egg take with target of $30 \%$ of available brood stock $\sim$ goal of up to 2.5 million <br> ( 500 K eggs) ( 400 K ) fry for in-lake extended rearing and remainder for lake outplanting) | 6,936 adults from out-planting <br> ( $5.1 \%$ green egg - smolt, $6.8 \%$ smolt - adult) ${ }^{1}$ <br> 2,541 adults from ext. rearing <br> (36.3\% green egg - smolt, 1.4\% smolt - adult) ${ }^{1}$ | Thermal mark |
| . |  |  |  |
| Trapper Lake | Egg take with target of 500,000. Program continuation contingent on barrier removal. | 1,400 adults (4\% green egg - smolt, $7 \%$ smolt - adult) ${ }^{2}$ | Thermal mark |
|  |  | Expected Total Production 10,877 |  |

${ }^{2}$ Estimate made without Trapper Lake specific survival rates.

FES $15 / 6018$ Date


$$
\frac{2 / 115 / 188}{\text { Date }}
$$

Appendix B. 4. Stikine Enhancement Production Plan Compliance as determined by the Panel.

| Compliance with Plan |  | Harvest Sharing Arrangement |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year |  | Year | Percent U.S./Canada |
| 2009 | Yes | 2014 | $50 / 50$ |
| 2010 | Yes | 2015 | $50 / 50$ |
| 2011 | Yes | 2016 | $50 / 50$ |
| 2012 | Yes | 2017 | $50 / 50$ |
| 2013 | Yes | 2018 | $50 / 50$ |

## APPENDIX C: GENETIC STOCK IDENTIFICATION METHODS, 2018

Appendix C. 1. Genetic stock identification methods for Chinook salmon stocks in the Transboundary rivers, 2018.

## United States

The following methods are used by the ADF\&G Gene Conservation Laboratory to estimate stock proportions of transboundary Chinook salmon harvested by commercial fishers in U.S. Districts 108 and 111 in Southeast Alaska.

## Fishery Sampling

Chinook salmon were collected from commercial gillnet landings at processors in Southeast Alaska, and in the sport fishery by onboard participants and by creel census samplers. During sampling, Chinook salmon were selected without regard to size, sex, adipose fin-clip, or position in the hold. Axillary process tissue was dissected from sampled fish and placed in alcohol in 2 ml cryovials or dried onto Whatman paper. Along with each individual sampled, basic information were recorded such as size, sex, date, vessel, and age (from scale samples). At the end of the fishery, samples were transported back to the ADF\&G Gene Conservation Laboratory, Anchorage, for analysis. Associated data was archived as part of the ASL database maintained by ADF\&G.

Representative tissue collections of individuals for mixture analysis were created by subsampling 1,600 large ( $>659 \mathrm{~mm}$ MEF) individuals from the collected samples in proportions weighted by harvest in the ports and quadrants that comprise the mixture composition to be estimated. Because the PST applies to large Chinook salmon, only large Chinook salmon were included in the analysis. Where sufficient samples exist, the sample was randomly subsampled proportional to harvests. Target mixture sample sizes was 400 individuals to achieve acceptable levels of accuracy and precision. But due to the vagaries of fisheries and fishery sampling, target sample sizes were not always available for every stratum. Sample sizes smaller than the target could be analyzed, but strata represented by fewer than 100 individuals were pooled into larger groups for analysis whenever possible. If directed gillnet fisheries did not occur, commercial fishery samples were obtained by sampling Chinook salmon caught incidentally in sockeye gillnet fisheries in Districts 108 and 111.

## Laboratory Analysis

Samples were assayed for DNA loci developed by the GAPS group for use in Treaty fisheries (Seeb et al. 2007). DNA was extracted from axillary process tissue using DNeasy ${ }^{\circledR}$, 96 -tissue kits (QIAGEN ${ }^{\circledR}$ Valencia CA). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was carried out in 10 ul reaction volumes ( 10 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, 50 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{KCl}, 0.2 \mathrm{mM}$ each dNTP, 0.5 units Taq DNA polymerase [Promega, Madison, WI]) using an Applied Biosystems (AB, Foster City CA) thermocycler. Primer concentrations, $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$ concentrations and the corresponding annealing temperature for each primer are available in Seeb et al. 2007. PCR fragment analysis was done on an AB 3730 capillary DNA sequencer. A 96 -well reaction plate was loaded with 0.5 ul PCR product along with 0.5 ul of GS500LIZ (AB) internal lane size standard and 9.0 ul of Hi-Di (AB). PCR bands were visualized and separated into bin sets using AB GeneMapper software v4.0. All laboratory analyses followed protocols accepted by the CTC.

Genetic data was collected as individual multilocus genotypes for the 13 microsatellite loci currently included in the CTC standardized baseline. According to the convention implemented by the CTC, at each locus, a standardized allele is one that has a recognized holotype specimen from which the standardized allele can be reproduced using commonly applied fragment analysis techniques. By the process of sizing
the alleles from the holotype specimens, any individual laboratory should be able to convert allele sizes obtained in the laboratory to standardized allele names. Genotype data were stored as GeneMapper (*.fsa) files on a network drive that is backed up nightly. Long-term storage of the data was in an Oracle database (LOKI) on a network drive maintained by ADF\&G computer services.

## Quality Control

Several measures were implemented to insure the quality of data produced. First, each individual tissue sample was assigned a unique accession identifier. At the time DNA is extracted or analyzed from each sample, a sample sheet was created that linked each individual sample's code to a specific well number in a uniquely numbered 96 -well plate. This sample sheet followed the sample through all phases of the project, minimizing the risk of misidentification of samples through human-induced errors. Second, genotypes were assigned to individuals using a system in which two individuals score the genotype data independently. Discrepancies between the two sets of scores were then resolved with one of two possible outcomes: (1) one score was accepted and the other rejected, or (2) both scores were rejected and the score was blanked. Lastly, approximately $8 \%$ of the individuals, eight samples from each 96 -well DNA extraction plate, were reanalyzed for all loci. This insures that the data were reproducible, and any errors created from the processing of individual plates were corrected.

## Estimating Stock Compositions

Whenever possible, representative mixtures of individuals for GSI were created by subsampling individuals from the collected tissue samples in proportion to harvest by SW. The stock composition of fishery mixtures were estimated using the program BAYES (Pella and Masuda 2001). The Bayesian method of MSA estimates the proportion of stocks caught within each fishery using 4 pieces of information: 1) a baseline of allele frequencies for each population, 2) the grouping of populations into the reporting groups desired for MSA, 3) prior information about the stock proportions of the fishery, and 4) the genotypes of fish sampled from the fishery.
The baseline of allele frequencies for Chinook salmon populations was obtained from the Genetic Analysis of Pacific Salmon (GAPS) consortium baseline database. Version 3.0 of the CTC baseline contains allele frequencies from 357 populations contributing to PSC fisheries, ranging from the Situk River in Alaska to the Central Valley of California (Table C.1.1). A catalog of existing tissues and potential gaps in this baseline for transboundary applications is described in Table C.1.2. Reporting groups have been defined based upon transboundary management needs and meeting criteria set by the Gene Conservation Laboratory (Habicht et al. 2012). The reporting groups for these fisheries are: 1) Taku, 2) Andrew, 3) Stikine, 4) Southern Southeast Alaska, and 5) Other. At the request of the TTC, these reporting groups will be rolled up into the agreed-upon reporting groups. These reporting groups meet the minimum critical level of $90 \%$ correct allocation in repeated proof tests (Seeb et al. 2000). Results were noted if estimates do not meet the precision and accuracy guidelines set by the TTC in April 2013 (to estimate the proportion of mixtures within $10 \%$ of the true mixture $90 \%$ of the time).

The choice of prior information about stock proportions in a fishery (the prior probability distribution hereafter referred to as the prior) is important to the outcome of MSA (Habicht et al. 2012a). In this analysis, the estimated stock proportions from the previous year in a given stratum were used as the prior for that stratum across years. The prior information about stock proportions was incorporated in the form of a Dirichlet probability distribution. The sum of all prior parameters was set to 1 (prior weight), which is equivalent to adding 1 fish to each mixture (Pella and Masuda 2001).

For each fishery mixture, 5 independent Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) chains of 40,000 iterations were run with different starting values and discarded the first 20,000 iterations to remove the influence of the initial start values. In order to assess the among-chain convergence, the Gelman-Rubin shrink factors
computed for all stock groups in BAYES were examined (Gelman and Rubin 1992). If a shrink factor for any stock group in a mixture was greater than 1.2, the mixture was reanalyzed with 80,000 iterations. If a mixture still had a shrink factor greater than 1.2 after the reanalysis, results from the 5 chains were averaged and a note made in the results. We combined the second half of the 5 chains to form the posterior distribution and tabulated mean estimates, $90 \%$ credibility intervals, and standard deviations from a total of 100,000 iterations.

## Canada

The following methods are used by the DFO’s Molecular Genetics Laboratory, Pacific Biological Station, Nanaimo, B.C. to estimate stock proportions of transboundary Chinook salmon harvested by inriver fisheries on the Alsek, Taku, and Stikine rivers.

## Laboratory Analysis

Once Chinook salmon genomic DNA was available, surveys of variation at the following 15 microsatellite loci were conducted: Ots100, Ots101, Ots104, Ots107 (Nelson and Beacham 1999), Ssa197 (O’Reilly et al. 1996), Ogo2, Ogo4 (Olsen et al. 1998), Oke4 (Buchholz et al. 2001), Omy325 (O’Connell et al. 1997), Oki100 (Beacham et al.2008), Ots2, Ots9 (Banks et al. 1999), Ots201b, Ots211, Ots213 (Grieg et al. 2003). This panel of loci called "DFO plus 3" consists of the DFO markers plus three loci from the Genetic Analysis of Pacific Salmon (GAPs) consortium panel of markers. Microsatellites were size fractionated in an Applied Biosystems (ABI) 3730 capillary DNA sequencer, and genotypes were scored by GeneMapper software 3.0 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) using an internal lane sizing standard.

In general, polymerase chain (PCR) reactions were conducted in $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volumes consisting of 0.06 units of Taq polymerase, $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 30 ng DNA, $1.5-2.5 \mathrm{mM}$ MgCl2, 1 mM 10 x buffer, 0.8 mM dNTP's, $0.006-0.065 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of labeled forward primer (depending on the locus), $0.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ unlabeled forward primer, $0.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ unlabeled reverse primer, and deionized H2O. PCR was completed on an MJResearch ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ DNA Engine ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ PCT-200 or a DNA Engine Tetrad ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ PCT-225. The amplification profile involved one cycle of $2 \mathrm{~min} @ 92^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30$ cycles of 15 sec @ $92^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15$ sec @ $52-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (depending on the locus) and 30 sec @ $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and a final extension for $10 \mathrm{~min} @ 72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Specific PCR conditions for a particular locus could vary from this general outline. Further information on laboratory equipment and techniques is available at the Molecular Genetics Laboratory website at http://www.pac.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/science/facilities-installations/pbs-sbp/mgl-lgm.

## Baseline Populations

Mixture analysis required microsatellite analysis of Chinook salmon from drainage specific baselines within Canada, consisting of 11 populations/sampling sites for the Stikine River, six populations/sampling sites for the Alsek River, and nine populations/sampling sites for the Taku River (Table C.1.3). A catalog of existing tissues and potential gaps in this baseline for transboundary applications is described in Table C.1.2. All annual baseline samples available for a specific sample location were combined to estimate population allele frequencies, as was recommended by Waples (1990).

## Estimation of Stock Composition

Analysis of fishery samples was conducted with a Bayesian procedure (BAYES) as outlined by Pella and Masuda (2001). Each locus was assumed to be in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, and expected genotypic frequencies were determined from the observed allele frequencies and used as model inputs. For BAYES, the initial FORTRAN-based computer program as outlined by Pella and Masuda (2001) required large amounts of computer analytical time when applied to stock identification problems with a baseline as comprehensive as employed in the current study. Given this limitation, a new version of the program was developed by our laboratory as a C-based program which is available from the Molecular Genetics

Laboratory website (Neaves et al. 2005). In the analysis, ten 20,000-iteration Monte Carlo Markov chains of estimated stock compositions were produced, with initial starting values for each chain set at 0.90 for a particular population which was different for each chain. Estimated stock compositions were estimated when all Monte Carlo Markov chains had converged producing a Gelman-Rubin coefficient < 1.2 (Pella and Masuda 2001). The last 1,000 iterations from each of the 10 chains were combined, and for each fish the probability of originating from each population in the baseline was determined. These individual probabilities were summed over all fish in the sample, and divided by the number of fish sampled to provide the point estimate of stock composition. Standard deviations of estimated stock compositions were also determined from the last 1,000 iterations from each of the 10 Monte Carlo Markov chains incorporated in the analysis.
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Appendix Table C.1.1. Chinook salmon coastwide baseline of microsatellite data used by the ADF\&G Gene Conservation Laboratory. Location and reporting group details for each population by reporting groups, sample size, and collection dates. This baseline is used by ADF\&G for GSI of Chinook salmon in U.S. Districts 108 and 111 fisheries of Chinook salmon. Reporting groups may be rolled up to correspond with those identified as necessary to meet transboundary management objectives.

|  | Reporting Group | Population | N | Collection Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Other | Situk River | 127 | 1988, 1990, 1991, 1992 |
| 2 |  | Blanchard River | 349 | 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003 |
| 3 |  | Goat Creek | 62 | 2007, 2008 |
| 4 |  | Klukshu River | 238 | 1987, 1989, 1990, 1991, 2000, 2001 |
| 5 |  | Takhanne River | 196 | 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2008 |
| 6 |  | Big Boulder Creek | 138 | 1992, 1995, 2004 |
| 7 |  | Tahini River--Macaulay Hatchery | 77 | 2005 |
| 8 |  | Tahini River | 119 | 1992, 2004 |
| 9 |  | Kelsall River | 153 | 2004 |
| 10 |  | King Salmon River | 143 | 1989, 1990, 1993 |
| 11 | Taku | Dudidontu River | 233 | 2002, 2004, 2005, 2006 |
| 12 |  | Kowatua Creek | 288 | 1989, 1990, 2005 |
| 13 |  | Little Tatsamenie River | 684 | 1999, 2005, 2006, 2007 |
| 14 |  | Little Trapper River | 74 | 1999 |
| 15 |  | Upper Nahlin River | 132 | 1989, 1990, 2004 |
| 16 |  | Nakina River | 428 | 1989, 1990, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007 |
| 17 |  | Tatsatua Creek | 171 | 1989, 1990 |
| 18 | Andrew | Andrew Creek | 131 | 1989, 2004 |
| 19 |  | Andrew Creek-Crystal Hatchery | 207 | 2005 |
| 20 |  | Andrew Creek-Macaulay Hatchery | 135 | 2005 |
| 21 |  | Andrew Creek-Medvejie Hatchery | 177 | 2005 |
| 22 | Stikine | Christina River | 164 | 2000, 2001, 2002 |
| 23 |  | Craig River | 96 | 2001 |
| 24 |  | Johnny Tashoots Creek | 62 | 2001, 2004, 2005, 2008 |
| 25 |  | Little Tahltan River | 126 | 2001. 2004 |
| 26 |  | Shakes Creek | 164 | 2000, 2001, 2002, 2007 |
| 27 |  | Tahltan River | 80 | 2008 |
| 28 |  | Verrett River | 482 | 2000, 2002, 2003, 2007 |
| 29 | S. Southeast Alaska | Chickamin River | 126 | 1990, 2003 |
| 30 |  | King Creek | 136 | 2003 |
| 31 |  | Butler Creek | 190 | 2004 |
| 32 |  | Leduc Creek | 43 | 2004 |
| 33 |  | Humpy Creek | 124 | 2003 |
| 34 |  | Chickamin River-Little Port Walter H. | 218 | 1993, 2005 |
| 35 |  | Chickamin River-Whitman Hatchery | 193 | 2005 |
| 36 |  | Clear Creek | 134 | 1989, 2003, 2004 |
| 37 |  | Cripple Creek | 141 | 1988, 2003 |
| 38 |  | Gene's Lake | 92 | 1989, 2003, 2004 |
| 39 |  | Kerr Creek | 151 | 2003, 2004 |
| 40 |  | Unuk River-Little Port Walter H. | 149 | 2005 |
| 41 |  | Keta River | 200 | 1989, 2003, 2004 |
| 42 |  | Blossom River | 190 | 2004 |
| 43 | Other | Cranberry River | 158 | 1996, 1997 |
| 44 |  | Damdochax River | 63 | 1996 |
| 45 |  | Ishkheenickh River | 192 | 2004, 2006 |
| 46 |  | Kincolith River | 220 | 1996, 1999 |
| 47 |  | Kiteen River | 54 | 2006 |
| 48 |  | Kwinageese River | 67 | 1996, 1997 |
| 49 |  | Meziadin River | 45 | 1996 |
| 50 |  | Oweegie Creek | 147 | 1996, 1997, 2004 |
| 51 |  | Tseax River | 198 | 1995, 1996, 2002, 2006, 2008 |
| 52 |  | Cedar River | 112 | 1996 |

Appendix Table C.1.1. Continued

|  | Reporting Group | Population | N | Collection Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 53 | Other (cont) | Ecstall River | 149 | 2000, 2001, 2002 |
| 54 |  | Exchamsiks River | 106 | 1995, 2009 |
| 55 |  | Exstew River | 140 | 2009 |
| 56 |  | Gitnadoix River | 170 | 1995, 2009 |
| 57 |  | Kitsumkalum River (Lower) | 449 | 1996, 1998, 2001, 2009 |
| 58 |  | Kasiks River | 60 | 2006 |
| 59 |  | Zymagotitz River | 119 | 2006, 2009 |
| 60 |  | Zymoetz River (Upper) | 54 | 1995, 2004, 2009 |
| 61 |  | Kispiox River | 88 | 1995, 2004, 2006, 2008 |
| 62 |  | Kitseguecla River | 258 | 2009 |
| 63 |  | Kitwanga River | 169 | 1996, 2002, 2003 |
| 64 |  | Shegunia River | 78 | 2009 |
| 65 |  | Sweetin River | 60 | 2004, 2005, 2008 |
| 66 |  | Bear River | 99 | 1991, 1995, 1996, 2005 |
| 67 |  | Kluakaz Creek | 98 | 2007, 2008, 2009 |
| 68 |  | Kluayaz Creek | 144 | 2007, 2008, 2009 |
| 69 |  | Kuldo Creek | 170 | 2008, 2009 |
| 70 |  | Osti Creek | 90 | 2009 |
| 71 |  | Sicintine River | 105 | 2009 |
| 72 |  | Slamgeesh River | 125 | 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009 |
| 73 |  | Squingala River | 259 | 2008, 2009 |
| 74 |  | Sustut River | 337 | 1995, 1996, 2001, 2002, 2005, 2006 |
| 75 |  | Babine River | 105 | 1996 |
| 76 |  | Bulkley River (Upper) | 206 | 1991, 1998, 1999 |
| 77 |  | Morice River | 105 | 1991, 1995, 1996 |
| 78 |  | Suskwa River | 85 | 2004, 2005, 2009 |
| 79 |  | Yakoun River | 131 | 1989, 1996, 2001 |
| 80 |  | Atnarko Creek | 142 | 1996 |
| 81 |  | Chuckwalla River | 46 | 1999, 2001, 2005 |
| 82 |  | Dean River | 175 | 2002, 2003, 2004, 2006 |
| 83 |  | Dean River (Upper) | 176 | 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2006 |
| 84 |  | Docee River | 42 | 1999, 2002, 2007 |
| 85 |  | Kateen River | 128 | 2004, 2005 |
| 86 |  | Kilbella River | 50 | 2001, 2005 |
| 87 |  | Kildala River | 197 | 1999, 2000 |
| 88 |  | Kitimat River | 135 | 1997 |
| 89 |  | Kitlope River | 181 | 2004, 2006 |
| 90 |  | Takia River | 46 | 2002, 2003, 2006 |
| 91 |  | Wannock River | 129 | 1996 |
| 92 |  | Capilano River | 75 | 1999 |
| 93 |  | Cheakamus River | 54 | 2006, 2007, 2008 |
| 94 |  | Devereux River | 148 | 1997, 2000 |
| 95 |  | Klinaklini River | 198 | 1997, 1998, 2002 |
| 96 |  | Phillips River | 287 | 2000, 2004, 2006, 2007, 2008 |
| 97 |  | Squamish River | 181 | 2003 |
| 98 |  | Burman River | 218 | 1985, 1989, 1990, 1991, 1992, 2000, '02, 2003 |
| 99 |  | Conuma River | 140 | 1997 |
| 100 |  | Gold River | 258 | 1983, 1985, 1986, 1987, 1992, 2002 |
| 101 |  | Kennedy River (Lower) | 320 | 2005, 2007, 2008 |
| 102 |  | Marble River | 136 | 1996, 1999, 2000 |
| 103 |  | Nahmint River | 43 | 2002, 2003 |
| 104 |  | Nitinat River | 125 | 1996 |
| 105 |  | Robertson Creek | 124 | 1996, 2003 |
| 106 |  | San Juan River | 175 | 2001, 2002 |
| 107 |  | Sarita River | 137 | 1997, 2001 |
| 108 |  | Tahsis River | 174 | 1996, 2002, 2003 |
| 109 |  | Thornton Creek | 158 | 2001 |
| 110 |  | Tlupana River | 58 | 2002, 2003 |
| 111 |  | Toquart River | 68 | 1999, 2000 |

Appendix Table C.1.1. Continued

|  | Reporting Group | Population | N | Collection Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 112 | Other (cont) | Tranquil Creek | 227 | 1996, 1999, 2004 |
| 113 |  | Zeballos River | 148 | 2002, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008 |
| 114 |  | Chemainus River | 202 | 1996, 1999 |
| 115 |  | Nanaimo River (Fall) | 122 | 1996, 2002 |
| 116 |  | Nanaimo River (Summer) | 166 | 1996, 2002 |
| 117 |  | Nanaimo River (Spring) | 94 | 1998 |
| 118 |  | Nanaimo River (Upper) | 114 | 2003, 2004 |
| 119 |  | Nimpkish River | 68 | 2004 |
| 120 |  | Puntledge River (Fall) | 279 | 2000, 2001 |
| 121 |  | Puntledge River (Summer) | 255 | 1998, 2000, 2006 |
| 122 |  | Qualicum River | 79 | 1996 |
| 123 |  | Quinsam River | 143 | 1996, 1998 |
| 124 |  | Harrison River | 216 | 1999, 2002 |
| 125 |  | Big Silver Creek | 54 | 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008 |
| 126 |  | Birkenhead River | 154 | 1998, 1999, 2001, 2002, 2005, 2006 |
| 127 |  | Pitt River (Upper) | 65 | 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008 |
| 128 |  | Maria Slough | 271 | 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2005 |
| 129 |  | Baezaeko River | 80 | 1984, 1985 |
| 130 |  | Bridge River | 157 | 1996 |
| 131 |  | Cariboo River | 76 | 1996, 2007, 2008 |
| 132 |  | Cariboo River (Upper) | 166 | 2001 |
| 133 |  | Chilcotin River | 201 | 1996, 1997, 1998, 2001 |
| 134 |  | Chilcotin River (Lower) | 173 | 1996, 2000, 2001 |
| 135 |  | Chilko River | 144 | 1995, 1999, 2001, 2002 |
| 136 |  | Cottonwood River (Upper) | 118 | 2004, 2007, 2008 |
| 137 |  | Elkin Creek | 190 | 1996 |
| 138 |  | Endako River | 42 | 1997, 1998, 2000 |
| 139 |  | Nazko River | 179 | 1983, 1984, 1985 |
| 140 |  | Nechako River | 128 | 1992, 1996 |
| 141 |  | Portage Creek | 138 | 2002, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2008 |
| 142 |  | Quesnel River | 119 | 1996, 1997 |
| 143 |  | Stuart River | 125 | 1996 |
| 144 |  | Taseko River | 120 | 1997, 1998, 2002 |
| 145 |  | Bowron River | 78 | 1997, 1998, 2001, 2003 |
| 146 |  | Fontoniko Creek | 46 | 1996 |
| 147 |  | Goat River | 46 | 1997, 2000, 2001, 2002 |
| 148 |  | Holmes River | 100 | 1996, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002 |
| 149 |  | James Creek | 53 | 1984, 1988 |
| 150 |  | McGregor River | 119 | 1997 |
| 151 |  | Morkill River | 152 | 2001 |
| 152 |  | Salmon River (Fraser) | 153 | 1996, 1997 |
| 153 |  | Slim Creek | 113 | 1996, 1998, 2001 |
| 154 |  | Swift Creek | 120 | 1996, 2000 |
| 155 |  | Fraser River above Tete Jaune | 183 | 2001 |
| 156 |  | Torpy River | 135 | 2001 |
| 157 |  | Willow River | 37 | 1997, 2002, 2004 |
| 158 |  | Coldwater River | 109 | 1995, 1997, 1998, 1999 |
| 159 |  | Coldwater River (Upper) | 69 | 2004, 2005, 2006 |
| 160 |  | Deadman River | 256 | 1997, 1998, 1999, 2006 |
| 161 |  | Lois River | 259 | 1997, 1999, 2001, 2006, 2008 |
| 162 |  | Nicola Hatchery | 135 | 1998, 1999 |
| 163 |  | Nicola River | 88 | 1998, 1999 |
| 164 |  | Spius Creek | 52 | 1998, 1999 |
| 165 |  | Spius Creek (Upper) | 82 | 2001, 2006 |
| 166 |  | Spius Hatchery | 95 | 1996, 1997, 1998 |
| 167 |  | Blue River | 57 | 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2006, 2007 |
| 168 |  | Clearwater River | 112 | 1997 |
| 169 |  | Finn Creek | 174 | 1996, 1998, 2002, 2006, 2008 |

Appendix Table C.1.1. Continued

|  | Reporting Group | Population | N | Collection Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 170 | Other (cont) | Lemieux Creek | 56 | 2001, 2002, 2004, 2006 |
| 171 |  | North Thompson River | 77 | 2001 |
| 172 |  | Raft River | 105 | 2001, 2002, 2006, 2008 |
| 173 |  | Adams River | 76 | 1996, 2001, 2002 |
| 174 |  | Bessette Creek | 103 | 1998, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2006, 2008 |
| 175 |  | Eagle River | 76 | 2003, 2004 |
| 176 |  | Shuswap River (Lower) | 93 | 1996, 1997 |
| 177 |  | Shuswap River (Middle) | 149 | 1997, 2001 |
| 178 |  | South Thompson River | 73 | 1996, 2001 |
| 179 |  | Salmon River | 126 | 1997, 1998, 1999 |
| 180 |  | Thompson River (Lower) | 175 | 2001, 2008 |
| 181 |  | Dungeness River | 123 | 2004 |
| 182 |  | Elwha Hatchery | 209 | 1996, 2004 |
| 183 |  | Elwha River | 139 | 2004, 2005 |
| 184 |  | Upper Cascade River | 43 | 1998, 1999 |
| 185 |  | Marblemount Hatchery | 91 | 2006 |
| 186 |  | North Fork Nooksack River | 137 | 1998, 1999 |
| 187 |  | North Fork Stilliguamish River | 290 | 1996, 2001, 2004 |
| 188 |  | Samish Hatchery | 74 | 1998 |
| 189 |  | Upper Sauk River | 120 | 1994, 1998, 1999, 2006 |
| 190 |  | Skagit River (Summer) | 99 | 1994, 1995 |
| 191 |  | Skagit River (Lower; Fall) | 95 | 1998, 2006 |
| 192 |  | Skagit River (Upper) | 53 | 1998 |
| 193 |  | Skykomish River | 73 | 1996, 2000 |
| 194 |  | Snoqualmie River | 49 | 2005 |
| 195 |  | Suiattle River | 122 | 1989, 1998, 1999 |
| 196 |  | Wallace Hatchery | 191 | 1996, 2004, 2005 |
| 197 |  | Bear Creek | 204 | 1998, 1999, 2003, 2004 |
| 198 |  | Cedar River | 170 | 1994, 2003, 2004 |
| 199 |  | Nisqually River-Clear Creek Hatchery | 132 | 2005 |
| 200 |  | Grovers Creek Hatchery | 95 | 2004 |
| 201 |  | Hupp Springs Hatchery | 90 | 2002 |
| 202 |  | Issaquah Creek | 166 | 1999, 2004 |
| 203 |  | Nisqually River | 94 | 1998, 1999, 2000, 2006 |
| 204 |  | South Prairie Creek | 78 | 1998, 1999, 2002 |
| 205 |  | Soos Creek | 178 | 1998, 2004 |
| 206 |  | Univ of Washington Hatchery | 125 | 2004 |
| 207 |  | Voights Hatchery | 93 | 1998 |
| 208 |  | White River | 146 | 1998 |
| 209 |  | George Adams Hatchery | 131 | 2005 |
| 210 |  | Hamma Hamma River | 128 | 1999, 2000, 2001 |
| 211 |  | North Fork Skokomish River | 87 | 1998, 1999, 2000, 2004, 2005, 2006 |
| 212 |  | South Fork Skokomish River | 96 | 2005, 2006 |
| 213 |  | Forks Creek Hatchery | 140 | 2005 |
| 214 |  | Hoh River (Fall) | 115 | 2004, 2005 |
| 215 |  | Hoh River (Spring/Summer) | 138 | 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 2005, 2006 |
| 216 |  | Hoko Hatchery | 73 | 2004, 2006 |
| 217 |  | Humptulips Hatchery | 60 | 1990 |
| 218 |  | Makah Hatchery | 128 | 2001, 2003 |
| 219 |  | Queets River | 53 | 1996, 1997 |
| 220 |  | Quillayute River | 52 | 1995, 1996 |
| 221 |  | Quinault River | 54 | 1995, 1997, 1998 |
| 222 |  | Quinault Hatchery | 82 | 2001, 2006 |
| 223 |  | Sol Duc Hatchery | 94 | 2003 |
| 224 |  | Cowlitz Hatchery (Spring) | 124 | 2004 |
| 225 |  | Kalama Hatchery | 133 | 2004 |
| 226 |  | Lewis Hatchery | 116 | 2004 |
| 227 |  | Abernathy Creek | 89 | 1995, 1997, 1998, 2000 |

Appendix Table C.1.1. Continued

|  | Reporting Group | Population | N | Collection Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 228 | Other (cont) | Abernathy Hatchery | 91 | 1995 |
| 229 |  | Coweeman River | 109 | 1996, 2006 |
| 230 |  | Cowlitz Hatchery (Fall) | 116 | 2004 |
| 231 |  | Elochoman River | 88 | 1995, 1997 |
| 232 |  | Green River | 55 | 2000 |
| 233 |  | Lewis River (Fall) | 79 | 2003 |
| 234 |  | Lewis River (Lower; Summer) | 83 | 2004 |
| 235 |  | Lewis River (Summer) | 128 | 2004 |
| 236 |  | Sandy River (Fall) | 106 | 2002, 2004 |
| 237 |  | Washougal River | 108 | 1995, 1996, 2006 |
| 238 |  | Big Creek Hatchery | 95 | 2004 |
| 239 |  | Elochoman Hatchery | 94 | 2004 |
| 240 |  | Spring Creek | 194 | 2001, 2002, 2006 |
| 241 |  | Sandy River (Spring) | 63 | 2006 |
| 242 |  | McKenzie Hatchery | 127 | 2002, 2004 |
| 243 |  | McKenzie River | 90 | 1997 |
| 244 |  | North Fork Clackamas River | 62 | 1997 |
| 245 |  | North Santiam Hatchery | 125 | 2002, 2004 |
| 246 |  | North Santiam River | 83 | 1997 |
| 247 |  | Klickitat Hatchery | 82 | 2002, 2006 |
| 248 |  | Klickitat River (Spring) | 40 | 2005 |
| 249 |  | Shitike Creek | 127 | 2003, 2004 |
| 250 |  | Warm Springs Hatchery | 127 | 2002, 2003 |
| 251 |  | Granite Creek | 54 | 2005, 2006 |
| 252 |  | John Day River (upper mainstem)` | 65 | 2004, 2005, 2006 |
| 253 |  | Middle Fork John Day River | 83 | 2004, 2005, 2006 |
| 254 |  | North Fork John Day River | 105 | 2004, 2005, 2006 |
| 255 |  | American River | 116 | 2003 |
| 256 |  | Upper Yakima Hatchery | 179 | 1998 |
| 257 |  | Little Naches River | 73 | 2004 |
| 258 |  | Yakima River (Upper) | 46 | 1992, 1997 |
| 259 |  | Naches River | 64 | 1989, 1993 |
| 260 |  | Carson Hatchery | 168 | 2001, 2004, 2006 |
| 261 |  | Entiat Hatchery | 127 | 2002 |
| 262 |  | Little White Salmon Hatchery (Spring) | 93 | 2005 |
| 263 |  | Methow River (Spring) | 85 | 1998, 2000 |
| 264 |  | Twisp River | 122 | 2001, 2005 |
| 265 |  | Wenatchee Hatchery | 43 | 1998, 2000 |
| 266 |  | Wenatchee River | 62 | 1993 |
| 267 |  | Tucannon River | 112 | 2003 |
| 268 |  | Chamberlain Creek | 45 | 2006 |
| 269 |  | Crooked Fork Creek | 100 | 2005, 2006 |
| 270 |  | Dworshak Hatchery | 81 | 2005 |
| 271 |  | Lochsa River | 125 | 2005 |
| 272 |  | Lolo Creek | 92 | 2001, 2002 |
| 273 |  | Newsome Creek | 75 | 2001, 2002 |
| 274 |  | Rapid River Hatchery | 136 | 1997, 1999, 2002 |
| 275 |  | Rapid River Hatchery | 46 | 2001, 2002 |
| 276 |  | Red River/South Fork Clearwater | 172 | 2005 |
| 277 |  | Catherine Creek | 111 | 2002, 2003 |
| 278 |  | Lookingglass Hatchery | 188 | 1994, 1995, 1998 |
| 279 |  | Minam River | 136 | 1994, 2002, 2003 |
| 280 |  | Wenaha Creek | 46 | 2002 |
| 281 |  | Imnaha River | 132 | 1998, 2002, 2003 |
| 282 |  | Bear Valley Creek | 45 | 2006 |
| 283 |  | Johnson Creek | 186 | 2001, 2002, 2003 |
| 284 |  | Johnson Hatchery | 92 | 2002, 2003, 2004 |
| 285 |  | Knox Bridge | 90 | 2001, 2002 |

Appendix Table C.1.1. Continued

|  | Reporting Group | Population | N | Collection Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 286 | Other (cont) | McCall Hatchery | 80 | 1999, 2001 |
| 287 |  | Poverty Flat | 88 | 2001, 2002 |
| 288 |  | Sesech River | 115 | 2001, 2002, 2003 |
| 289 |  | Stolle Meadows | 91 | 2001, 2002 |
| 290 |  | Big Creek | 142 | 2001, 2002, 2003 |
| 291 |  | Big Creek (Lower) | 74 | 1999, 2002 |
| 292 |  | Big Creek (Upper) | 87 | 1999, 2002 |
| 293 |  | Camas Creek | 42 | 2006 |
| 294 |  | Capehorn Creek | 51 | 2006 |
| 295 |  | Marsh Creek | 95 | 2001, 2002 |
| 296 |  | Decker Flat | 78 | 1999, 2002 |
| 297 |  | Valley Creek (Lower) | 94 | 1999, 2002 |
| 298 |  | Valley Creek (Upper) | 95 | 1999, 2002 |
| 299 |  | East Fork Salmon River | 141 | 2004, 2005 |
| 300 |  | Pahsimeroi River | 71 | 2002 |
| 301 |  | Sawtooth Hatchery | 260 | 2002, 2003, 2005, 2006 |
| 302 |  | West Fork Yankee Fork | 59 | 2005 |
| 303 |  | Hanford Reach | 163 | 1999, 2000, 2001 |
| 304 |  | Klickitat River (Summer/Fall) | 149 | 1994, 2005 |
| 305 |  | Little White Salmon Hatchery (Fall) | 94 | 2006 |
| 306 |  | Marion Drain | 131 | 1989, 1992 |
| 307 |  | Methow River (Summer) | 115 | 1992, 1993, 1994 |
| 308 |  | Okanagan River | 72 | 2000, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2006, 2007, 2008 |
| 309 |  | Priest Rapids Hatchery | 181 | 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001 |
| 310 |  | Priest Rapids Hatchery | 67 | 1998 |
| 311 |  | Umatilla Hatchery | 90 | 2006 |
| 312 |  | Umatilla Hatchery | 94 | 2003 |
| 313 |  | Wells Dam Hatchery | 128 | 1993 |
| 314 |  | Wenatchee River | 119 | 1993 |
| 315 |  | Yakima River (Lower) | 102 | 1990, 1993, 1998 |
| 316 |  | Deschutes River (Lower) | 101 | 1999, 2001, 2002 |
| 317 |  | Deschutes River (Upper) | 128 | 1998, 1999, 2002 |
| 318 |  | Clearwater River | 88 | 2000, 2001, 2002 |
| 319 |  | Lyons Ferry | 185 | 2002, 2003 |
| 320 |  | Nez Perce Tribal Hatchery | 123 | 2003, 2004 |
| 321 |  | Alsea River | 108 | 2004 |
| 322 |  | Kilchis River | 44 | 2000, 2005 |
| 323 |  | Necanicum Hatchery | 50 | 2005 |
| 324 |  | Nehalem River | 131 | 2000, 2002 |
| 325 |  | Nestucca Hatchery | 119 | 2004, 2005 |
| 326 |  | Salmon River | 83 | 2003 |
| 327 |  | Siletz River | 107 | 2000 |
| 328 |  | Trask River | 123 | 2005 |
| 329 |  | Wilson River | 120 | 2005 |
| 330 |  | Yaquina River | 113 | 2005 |
| 331 |  | Siuslaw River | 105 | 2001 |
| 332 |  | Coos Hatchery | 58 | 2005 |
| 333 |  | Coquille River | 118 | 2000 |
| 334 |  | Elk River | 129 | 2004 |
| 335 |  | South Coos Hatchery | 73 | 2005 |
| 336 |  | South Coos River | 45 | 2000 |
| 337 |  | South Umpqua Hatchery | 128 | 2002 |
| 338 |  | Sixes River | 107 | 2000, 2005 |
| 339 |  | Umpqua Hatchery | 132 | 2004 |
| 340 |  | Applegate Creek | 110 | 2004 |
| 341 |  | Cole Rivers Hatchery | 126 | 2004 |
| 342 |  | Klaskanine Hatchery | 96 | 2009 |
| 343 |  | Chetco River | 136 | 2004 |
| 344 |  | Klamath River | 111 | 2004 |
| 345 |  | Trinity Hatchery (Fall) | 144 | 1992 |
| 346 |  | Trinity Hatchery (Spring) | 127 | 1992 |
| 347 |  | Eel River | 122 | 2000, 2001 |
| 348 |  | Russian River | 142 | 2001 |
| 349 |  | Battle Creek | 99 | 2002, 2003 |
| 350 |  | Butte Creek | 61 | 2002, 2003 |

Appendix Table C.1.1. Continued

|  | Reporting Group | Population | N | Collection Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 351 | Other (cont) | Feather Hatchery (Fall) | 129 | 2003 |
| 352 |  | Stanislaus River | 61 | 2002 |
| 353 |  | Butte Creek | 101 | 2002, 2003 |
| 354 |  | Deer Creek | 42 | 2002 |
| 355 |  | Feather Hatchery (Spring) | 144 | 2003 |
| 356 |  | Mill Creek | 76 | 2002, 2003 |
| 357 |  | Sacramento River (Winter) | 95 | 1992, 1993, 1994, '95, '97, '98, 2001, '03, '04 |

Appendix Table C.1.2. Catalog of genetic tissue collections for transboundary Chinook salmon stocks. Information from 2007 (number of samples held by the U.S. and Canada and baseline gaps identified) is from Report TCTR(07)-02, "Summary of the Transboundary Genetic Stock ID Workshop: January 18-19, 2007".


| Location/Pop | Sample Goal | No. samples 2007 |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2007 \\ \text { Gap } \end{gathered}$ | No. samples 2016 | Collection Years |  | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ \text { Gap } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U.S. | Can. |  | U S Can | U.S. | Canada |  |


| Appendix Table C.1.2. Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location/Pop | Sample | No. samples 2007 |  | $\begin{gathered} 2007 \\ \text { Gap } \end{gathered}$ | No. samples 2016 |  | Collection Years |  | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ \text { Gap } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Goal | U.S. | Canada |  | U.S. | Canada | U.S. | Canada |  |
| Taku Adjacent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chilkat - Big Boulder Ck | 200 | 175 |  | 25 | 180 |  | 91,92,95,04 |  | 20 |
| Whiting | 200 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Taku |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mainstem Taku | 200 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| King Salmon | 200 |  |  | 200 | 17 |  | 07,08,10 |  | 183 |
| Inklin | 200 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sutlahine | 200 |  |  | 200 | 4 | 3 | 10 | 10 | 196 |
| Yeth | 200 |  |  | 200 | 56 | 53 | 08-10 | 08-10 | 144 |
| Kowatua/Little Trapper | 200 | 321 | 78 |  | 190 | 379 | 89,90 | 89,90,99,05 | 0 |
| Tatsatua/Tatsamenie | 200 | 437 | 299 |  | 887 | 736 | 89-90,03-05,07 | 99,05-07 | 0 |
| Hackett | 200 |  |  | 200 | 189 | 233 | 07-08 | 06-08 | 0 |
| Dudidontu | 200 | 189 |  | 11 | 358 | 352 | 90,05,06,08 | 02,04-06,08 | 0 |
| Tseta | 200 | 132 |  | 68 | 374 | 327 | 89,03,08,10 | 89,08,10 | 0 |
| Nahlin | 200 | 210 |  |  | 297 | 303 | 89,90,04,05 | 99,04,06,07 | 0 |
| Sloko | 200 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Nakina | 400 | 198 | 76 |  | 214 | 480 | 89,90,07 | 01,04-07 | 0 |
| Alsek Adjacent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Situk | 400 | 174 | 132 | 26 | 513 |  | 88,90-92,11,13 |  | 0 |
| Alsek |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mainstem Alsek | 200 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Tatshenshini |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |  | 01 |  |
| Mainstem (lower) | 200 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Mainstem (upper) | 200 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Low Fog | 200 |  |  | 200 | 2 |  | 10 |  | 198 |
| Mainstem (middle)/Kudwat | 200 |  |  | 200 | 72 | 70 | 08,10,11 | 08,10,11 | 128 |
| Klukshu | 200 | 250 |  |  | 228 | 433 | 89,90,91 | 87,00,01 | 0 |
| Village Creek | 200 |  |  |  | 16 |  | 12,13 |  | 184 |
| Takhanne | 200 |  |  | 12 | 35 | 218 | 08,10,11 | 00-03,08,10,11 | 0 |
| Blanchard | 200 |  |  |  |  | 381 |  | 00,01,02,03 | 0 |
| Stanley Ck | 200 |  |  |  | 34 |  | 10-13 |  | 166 |
| Goat Ck | 200 |  |  | 200 | 164 | 174 | 07-13 | 07-13 | 36 |
| Tweedsmuir | 200 |  |  |  | 6 | 6 | 09,11 | 09,11 | 194 |

Appendix Table C.1.3. Inventory of DFO sample collections analyzed for Chinook salmon microsatellite variation reported by region, population, sampling year, and sample size from which a subset is used for the Transboundary GSI analysis.

| Region <br> Code | Region Name | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stock } \\ & \text { Code } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Population Name | Collection Year | Sample Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | UPFR | 37 | Dome | 199119941995199620002001 | 382 |
| 1 | UPFR | 38 | Salmon@PG | 19961997 | 263 |
| 1 | UPFR | 39 | Tete_Jaune | 1993199419952001 | 475 |
| 1 | UPFR | 49 | Bowron | 199519971998200120032009 | 250 |
| 1 | UPFR | 63 | Horsey | 19951997200020012002200320042010 | 47 |
| 1 | UPFR | 64 | Goat | 19951997200020012002 | 76 |
| 1 | UPFR | 65 | Holmes | 199519961999200020012002 | 219 |
| 1 | UPFR | 66 | Swift | 19951996200020012006200920102012 | 452 |
| 1 | UPFR | 67 | Slim_C | 1995199619982001 | 240 |
| 1 | UPFR | 68 | Indianpoint | 1995 | 47 |
| 1 | UPFR | 69 | Willow_R | 199519961997200020022004 | 117 |
| 1 | UPFR | 98 | Fontoniko | 1996 | 63 |
| 1 | UPFR | 100 | Herrick | 1996 | 1 |
| 1 | UPFR | 134 | Holliday_Cr | 200020012002200320042005 | 29 |
| 1 | UPFR | 142 | McGregor | 1997 | 125 |
| 1 | UPFR | 182 | Antler | 1998 | 5 |
| 1 | UPFR | 185 | Small | 19982000200120022003 | 19 |
| 1 | UPFR | 225 | Nevin_Cr | 200120022003200420102011 | 50 |
| 1 | UPFR | 229 | Snowshoe | 200020012002 | 8 |
| 1 | UPFR | 230 | RedMountain | 2001 | 4 |
| 1 | UPFR | 231 | Kenneth_Cr | 200120022004 | 98 |
| 1 | UPFR | 232 | Ptarmigan | 2000200120022004 | 32 |
| 1 | UPFR | 233 | Walker | 20002001 | 45 |
| 1 | UPFR | 234 | Humbug | 200020022009 | 6 |
| 1 | UPFR | 246 | Morkill | 2001 | 208 |
| 1 | UPFR | 247 | Torpy | 2001 | 174 |
| 1 | UPFR | 259 | Robson | 20002002 | 22 |
| 1 | UPFR | 269 | Driscoll_Cr | 2002 | 5 |
| 1 | UPFR | 327 | EastTwin_Cr | 2002200420062012 | 7 |
| 1 | UPFR | 328 | McKale | 20022012 | 13 |
| 1 | UPFR | 339 | Menzies | 2002 | 3 |
| 1 | UPFR | 350 | James | 19841988 | 58 |
| 1 | UPFR | 447 | Hay_Cr | 2004 | 12 |
| 1 | UPFR | 448 | Narcosli_Cr | 20042008 | 8 |
| 1 | UPFR | 449 | Twan_Cr | 2004 | 1 |
| 2 | MUFR | 8 | Quesnel | 19901994199519961997 | 562 |
| 2 | MUFR | 29 | Stuart | 19911992199419951996 | 545 |
| 2 | MUFR | 30 | Nechako | 19911992199419951996 | 562 |
| 2 | MUFR | 44 | Chilko | 199419951996199920012002 | 425 |
| 2 | MUFR | 45 | Bridge | 1994199519962011 | 424 |
| 2 | MUFR | 50 | Cottonwood | 1995200420072008 | 176 |
| 2 | MUFR | 71 | Elkin_R | 199519962010 | 248 |
| 2 | MUFR | 73 | U_Chilcotin | 19951996199719982001 | 276 |
| 2 | MUFR | 74 | Portage_C | $\begin{aligned} & 19951996200120022004200520062008 \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ | 286 |
| 2 | MUFR | 96 | Horsefly | 199619972004201120122013 | 100 |


| 2 | MUFR | 99 | L_Cariboo | 1996199820072008 | 104 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MUFR | 102 | L_Chilcoti | 199620002001 | 236 |
| 2 | MUFR | 103 | Westroad | 1996199720072008 | 104 |
| 2 | MUFR | 104 | Endako | 19961997199820002006200720082009 | 207 |
| 2 | MUFR | 143 | Taseko | 19971998200120022010 | 205 |
| 2 | MUFR | 149 | Seton_Dam | 2001 | 4 |
| 2 | MUFR | 206 | Chilako | 1998 | 45 |
| 2 | MUFR | 207 | Pinchi | 20002003200520082009201120122013 | 27 |
| 2 | MUFR | 228 | Kuzkwa_Cr | $\begin{aligned} & 20012003200420072008200920112012 \\ & 2013 \end{aligned}$ | 114 |
| 2 | MUFR | 254 | U_Cariboo | 2001 | 171 |
| 2 | MUFR | 264 | Tachie | 20052009 | 3 |
| 2 | MUFR | 349 | Nazko | 198319841985 | 194 |
| 2 | MUFR | 351 | Baezaeko | 19841985 | 82 |
| 2 | MUFR | 450 | Ahbau_Cr | 2004 | 5 |
| 2 | MUFR | 451 | John_Boyd_Cr | 2004 | 13 |
| 2 | MUFR | 452 | Lightning_Cr | 2004 | 14 |
| 2 | MUFR | 453 | Wansa_Cr | 2004 | 5 |
| 2 | MUFR | 472 | McKinley_R | 2007 | 1 |
| 2 | MUFR | 481 | U_Mckinley_R | 2006 | 1 |
| 2 | MUFR | 482 | Baker_Cr | 2008 | 31 |
| 2 | MUFR | 483 | Kazchek_Cr | 200820092013 | 7 |
| 2 | MUFR | 484 | Stellako_R | 200820102011 | 7 |
| 3 | LWFR-F | 6 | Harrison | 19881992199419992002 | 686 |
| 3 | LWFR-F | 40 | Chilliwack_F | 199419951998199920022010 | 696 |
| 3 | LWFR-F | 194 | Chilliwac@Stav | 19941999200020012002 | 381 |
| 3 | LWFR-F | 333 | Inch_Cr | 2002 | 1 |
| 3 | LWFR-F | 471 | Sweltzer_Cr | 2006 | 22 |
| 4 | NOTH | 70 | Raft_R | $\begin{aligned} & 19951996200120022006200820092010 \\ & 20112013 \end{aligned}$ | 496 |
| 4 | NOTH | 77 | Mahood | 1995 | 19 |
| 4 | NOTH | 87 | Finn | $\begin{aligned} & 19961998200220062008200920102011 \\ & 2013 \end{aligned}$ | 216 |
| 4 | NOTH | 145 | Clearwater | 19971998 | 281 |
| 4 | NOTH | 208 | Barriere | 200020012002 | 55 |
| 4 | NOTH | 210 | Blue | $\begin{aligned} & 20002001200220032004200620072009 \\ & 20102011 \end{aligned}$ | 84 |
| 4 | NOTH | 211 | Lemieux_Cr | $\begin{aligned} & 20002001200220042006200820092010 \\ & 20112013 \end{aligned}$ | 161 |
| 4 | NOTH | 226 | N_Thom@Main | 20012011 | 116 |
| 4 | NOTH | 260 | Albreda | 2000 | 1 |
| 4 | NOTH | 441 | West_Twin_Cr | 20032004 | 13 |
| 5 | SOTH | 43 | L_Shuswap | 19941995199619972010 | 389 |
| 5 | SOTH | 47 | M_Shuswap | 1994199519972001 | 375 |
| 5 | SOTH | 75 | Eagle_R | 19952000200120032004200920102011 | 331 |
| 5 | SOTH | 76 | Salmon@SA | 199519961997199819992011 | 215 |
| 5 | SOTH | 84 | L_Adams | 1996200120022010 | 340 |
| 5 | SOTH | 85 | South_Thom | 199620002001 | 266 |
| 5 | SOTH | 95 | Little_R | 199620012010 | 254 |
| 5 | SOTH | 136 | Scotch_Cr | 2001 | 2 |
| 5 | SOTH | 137 | L_Thompson | 20012008 | 229 |


| 5 | SOTH | 183 | Bessette | $\begin{aligned} & 19982001200220032004200620082011 \\ & 201220132014 \end{aligned}$ | 201 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | SOTH | 195 | L_Shus@U_Adams | 199319972001 | 46 |
| 5 | SOTH | 235 | Duteau_Cr | 200120022003200620102013 | 75 |
| 5 | SOTH | 268 | Harris_Cr | 200120102013 | 5 |
| 5 | SOTH | 270 | Seymour@Thomp | 200220032010 | 44 |
| 6 | LWTH | 42 | Nicola | 199219941995199719981999 | 433 |
| 6 | LWTH | 46 | Coldwater | 199419951996199719981999 | 274 |
| 6 | LWTH | 81 | Spius | 199619981999 | 137 |
| 6 | LWTH | 82 | Deadman | 19961997199819992006 | 492 |
| 6 | LWTH | 83 | Bonaparte | 19962006 | 344 |
| 6 | LWTH | 90 | Louis | $\begin{aligned} & 19961997199920002001200620082010 \\ & 20112013 \end{aligned}$ | 621 |
| 6 | LWTH | 223 | U_Coldwat_SP | 20012002200420052006 | 221 |
| 6 | LWTH | 224 | U_Spius_SP | 2001200220062009 | 175 |
| 7 | ECVI | 2 | Big_Qualicum | 1988199219961997 | 365 |
| 7 | ECVI | 3 | Quinsam | 19881992199619971998201220142015 | 564 |
| 7 | ECVI | 7 | Nanaimo_SU | 19961998199920022005 | 459 |
| 7 | ECVI | 11 | Cowichan | 1988199619992000 | 680 |
| 7 | ECVI | 18 | Chemainus | 19961999 | 261 |
| 7 | ECVI | 94 | Nimpkish | 19962004200720102011 | 316 |
| 7 | ECVI | 97 | L_Qualicum | 1996199820022007 | 305 |
| 7 | ECVI | 101 | Nanaimo_F | 199619971998199920022003 | 523 |
| 7 | ECVI | 105 | Puntledge_Su | 19881996199719982000200120052006 | 1120 |
| 7 | ECVI | 106 | Puntledge_F | 19881996199719982000200120052006 | 652 |
| 7 | ECVI | 110 | Quatse | 19962000 | 30 |
| 7 | ECVI | 266 | Goldstream | 1998 | 22 |
| 7 | ECVI | 335 | Woss_Lake | 2001 | 31 |
| 7 | ECVI | 386 | NanaimoUpper | 199619982002200320042005 | 135 |
| 7 | ECVI | 553 | PuntledgeSum | 20132014 | 844 |
| 8 | WCVI | 1 | Robertson | 198819962003201320142015 | 965 |
| 8 | WCVI | 5 | Conuma | 1988199619971998201320142015 | 1052 |
| 8 | WCVI | 9 | Nitinat | 198919962003201320142015 | 1019 |
| 8 | WCVI | 31 | Kennedy | 19922005200720082015 | 431 |
| 8 | WCVI | 34 | Thornton | 19921999200020012015 | 621 |
| 8 | WCVI | 72 | Marble@NVI | 19941996199920002015 | 553 |
| 8 | WCVI | 107 | Sarita | 19961997200120132015 | 928 |
| 8 | WCVI | 108 | Nahmint | 199620012002200320042005 | 411 |
| 8 | WCVI | 109 | Stamp | 1973199619982015 | 339 |
| 8 | WCVI | 111 | Tranquil | 19961999200420142015 | 409 |
| 8 | WCVI | 135 | San_Juan | 2001200220142015 | 401 |
| 8 | WCVI | 242 | Burman | $\begin{aligned} & 19761985198619891990199119922000 \\ & 20022003200620132015 \end{aligned}$ | 960 |
| 8 | WCVI | 257 | Toquart | 199920002015 | 111 |
| 8 | WCVI | 314 | Gold_R | 1987199219992002 | 227 |
| 8 | WCVI | 315 | Zeballos | 2002200420052006200720082009 | 199 |
| 8 | WCVI | 330 | Colonial_Cay | 199920042015 | 82 |
| 8 | WCVI | 331 | Tahsis | 199619992002200320142015 | 437 |
| 8 | WCVI | 332 | Tlupana | 200220032013 | 98 |
| 8 | WCVI | 340 | Sucwoa | 20022005 | 10 |
| 8 | WCVI | 405 | Sooke | 200420142015 | 233 |


| 8 | WCVI | 406 | Cardy | 2004 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | WCVI | 458 | Cypre_R | 200420142015 | 68 |
| 8 | WCVI | 459 | Megin_R | 20032004200620072015 | 97 |
| 8 | WCVI | 460 | Ursus_Cr | 200320042006 | 8 |
| 8 | WCVI | 461 | Bedwell_R | 2004200720142015 | 51 |
| 8 | WCVI | 463 | Kaouk_R | 201020112015 | 223 |
| 8 | WCVI | 464 | Moyeha_R | 20032004200620102011 | 57 |
| 8 | WCVI | 491 | Taylor_R | 2015 | 2 |
| 8 | WCVI | 550 | Clemens_Cr | 20112015 | 3 |
| 8 | WCVI | 551 | Sprout_R | 2013 | 12 |
| 8 | WCVI | 557 | Gordon_R | 2014 | 33 |
| 8 | WCVI | 558 | Leiner_R | 20142015 | 140 |
| 8 | WCVI | 567 | Artlish | 2015 | 36 |
| 8 | WCVI | 568 | AshRiver | 2015 | 9 |
| 8 | WCVI | 570 | Tahsish | 2015 | 39 |
| 8 | WCVI | 571 | WarnBayCr | 2015 | 1 |
| 9 | SOMN | 12 | Squamish | 199019961997 | 161 |
| 9 | SOMN | 119 | Mamquam | 199620032005200720082012 | 38 |
| 9 | SOMN | 123 | Shovelnose | 1996200220042008 | 22 |
| 9 | SOMN | 147 | Klinaklini | 199719982002 | 472 |
| 9 | SOMN | 148 | Devereux | 199719982000 | 325 |
| 9 | SOMN | 177 | Homathko | 19971998 | 51 |
| 9 | SOMN | 241 | Phillips | $\begin{aligned} & 20002004200520062007200820092010 \\ & 20112014 \end{aligned}$ | 677 |
| 9 | SOMN | 262 | Capilano | 1999 | 126 |
| 9 | SOMN | 338 | Quatam | 2003 | 3 |
| 9 | SOMN | 409 | Ahnuhati | 2004 | 2 |
| 9 | SOMN | 410 | Clear | 2004 | 1 |
| 9 | SOMN | 412 | Heydon | 2003 | 3 |
| 9 | SOMN | 415 | Cheakamus | 200520062007200820092012 | 99 |
| 9 | SOMN | 445 | Kingcome_Cr | 2004 | 2 |
| 9 | SOMN | 457 | Ashlu_Cr | 200420052007 | 6 |
| 9 | SOMN | 470 | Cheakamus_F | 2006200720082011 | 114 |
| 9 | SOMN | 486 | Squamish_28Mile | 2004 | 3 |
| 9 | SOMN | 487 | Mashiter_Cr | 200420052012 | 5 |
| 9 | SOMN | 488 | Cheakamus_Su | 2008 | 40 |
| 9 | SOMN | 489 | Furry_Cr | 200720082009 | 4 |
| 9 | SOMN | 509 | Highfalls_Cr | 2008 | 1 |
| 10 | NOMN | 4 | Kitimat | 199619971998 | 483 |
| 10 | NOMN | 23 | Wannock_R | 1991199619972000 | 506 |
| 10 | NOMN | 27 | Atnarko | 19911996 | 275 |
| 10 | NOMN | 32 | Marble@CC | 2000 | 41 |
| 10 | NOMN | 112 | U_Atnarko | 19962011 | 200 |
| 10 | NOMN | 116 | Kilbella | 19961998200020012005 | 196 |
| 10 | NOMN | 117 | Chuckwalla | 199619981999200020012005 | 315 |
| 10 | NOMN | 118 | Kildala | 19961997199819992000 | 441 |
| 10 | NOMN | 121 | Nusatsum | 19962006 | 103 |
| 10 | NOMN | 122 | Saloompt | 19962006 | 138 |
| 10 | NOMN | 184 | Hirsch | 199819992000 | 474 |
| 10 | NOMN | 214 | Neechanz | 2000200220032005 | 57 |
| 10 | NOMN | 215 | Ashlulm | 2000200220032005 | 66 |


| 10 | NOMN | 216 | Washwash | 2000 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | NOMN | 217 | Tzeo | 2000 | 3 |
| 10 | NOMN | 222 | Kwinamass | 2000200120022003 | 362 |
| 10 | NOMN | 249 | U_Dean | 20012002200320042006 | 203 |
| 10 | NOMN | 250 | Dean@Main | 2001 | 25 |
| 10 | NOMN | 256 | Dala | 1998 | 14 |
| 10 | NOMN | 261 | Docee | 199819992002200420072010 | 126 |
| 10 | NOMN | 334 | Khutzeymateen | 2002 | 3 |
| 10 | NOMN | 343 | Sheemahant | 20022003 | 18 |
| 10 | NOMN | 344 | Amback | 2002 | 1 |
| 10 | NOMN | 345 | Takia | 200220032006 | 63 |
| 10 | NOMN | 346 | Dean | 2002200320042006 | 219 |
| 10 | NOMN | 394 | Kitlope | 20042006 | 201 |
| 10 | NOMN | 395 | Kateen | 200420052006 | 244 |
| 10 | NOMN | 408 | Kumealon | 20042010 | 4 |
| 10 | NOMN | 425 | Jayesco | 2006 | 11 |
| 10 | NOMN | 534 | LowAtnarko | 2011 | 50 |
| 11 | NASS | 25 | Kwinageese | 19961997 | 266 |
| 11 | NASS | 53 | Damdochax | 199519961997 | 273 |
| 11 | NASS | 57 | Meziadin | 199519961997 | 194 |
| 11 | NASS | 58 | Owegee | 1995199619972004 | 235 |
| 11 | NASS | 59 | Seaskinnish | 199519961997 | 99 |
| 11 | NASS | 61 | Tseax | 19951996200220062008 | 244 |
| 11 | NASS | 62 | Cranberry | 19961997 | 175 |
| 11 | NASS | 78 | Snowbank | 1996 | 51 |
| 11 | NASS | 79 | Kincolith | 19961999 | 286 |
| 11 | NASS | 80 | Teigen | 19961997 | 30 |
| 11 | NASS | 88 | Bowser | 1996 | 1 |
| 11 | NASS | 397 | Ishkheenickh | 20042006 | 199 |
| 11 | NASS | 398 | Kiteen | 20042006 | 59 |
| 12 | LWFR-Sp | 92 | Big_Silver | $\begin{aligned} & 19962002200320042005200620072008 \\ & 20092012 \end{aligned}$ | 210 |
| 12 | LWFR-Sp | 93 | Birkenhead | 19911993199419961997199819992000 2001200220032005200620092010 | 347 |
| 12 | LWFR-Sp | 209 | Chilliwack_Sp | 20002001200220052006 | 16 |
| 12 | LWFR-Sp | 272 | Upper_Pitt | $\begin{aligned} & 20022003200420052006200720082009 \\ & 201020112012 \end{aligned}$ | 235 |
| 12 | LWFR-Sp | 341 | Sloquet_Cr | 2002200320042006 | 35 |
| 12 | LWFR-Sp | 342 | Douglas_Cr | 2002 | 3 |
| 12 | LWFR-Sp | 387 | DollyVarden | 20032009 | 3 |
| 12 | LWFR-Sp | 426 | BlueCr_UpPitt | 20062007200820112012 | 50 |
| 13 | LWFR-Su | 91 | Nahatlatch_R | 1991199620012002200320072013 | 29 |
| 13 | LWFR-Su | 212 | Maria_Slough | 19992000200120022005 | 366 |
| 14 | QCI | 186 | Yakoun | 1987198919962001 | 211 |
| 15 | Alaska | 187 | Unuk | 1989199920032004 | 336 |
| 15 | Alaska | 188 | King_Salmon | 1989199019931999200720082010 | 266 |
| 15 | Alaska | 190 | Chickamin | 199019931999 | 259 |
| 15 | Alaska | 428 | Tahini | 19922004 | 142 |
| 15 | Alaska | 429 | Situk | 1988199019911992 | 132 |
| 15 | Alaska | 430 | Big_Boulder_C | 199219952004 | 144 |
| 17 | Taku | 189 | Little_Tatsam | 1999200520062007 | 698 |


| 17 | Taku | 192 | Nahlin | 1999200420062007 | 303 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | Taku | 253 | Nakina | 20012004200520062007 | 480 |
| 17 | Taku | 326 | Dudidontu | 20022004200520062008 | 352 |
| 17 | Taku | 414 | Tseta | 198920082010 | 327 |
| 17 | Taku | 422 | Kowatua | 1989199019992005 | 379 |
| 17 | Taku | 437 | Hackett_r | 200620072008 | 233 |
| 17 | Taku | 465 | Tatsamenie | 2005 | 38 |
| 17 | Taku | 505 | Yeth_Cr | 200820092010 | 53 |
| 17 | Taku | 516 | Satlahine_R | 2010 | 3 |
| 18 | Stikine | 191 | Little_Tahltan | 1999200120042010 | 745 |
| 18 | Stikine | 220 | Andrew_Cr | 2000 | 144 |
| 18 | Stikine | 240 | Christina | 200020012002 | 240 |
| 18 | Stikine | 243 | Verrett | 200020022003200720092010 | 854 |
| 18 | Stikine | 248 | Shakes_Cr | 20002001200220032007 | 225 |
| 18 | Stikine | 252 | Craig | 2001 | 114 |
| 18 | Stikine | 336 | Johnny_Tashoot | 20012004200520082009 | 99 |
| 18 | Stikine | 337 | Chutine | 2002 | 7 |
| 18 | Stikine | 476 | Tahltan_R | 200820092011 | 212 |
| 18 | Stikine | 477 | Tuya_R | 20082009201120122013 | 41 |
| 18 | Stikine | 533 | BearCr | 2011 | 5 |
| 18 | Stikine | 565 | Goat_Cr | 20132014 | 21 |
| 18 | Stikine | 566 | Alpine_Cr | 2013 | 5 |
| 18 | Stikine | 569 | LowryCr | 2015 | 1 |
| 19 | Skeena Upper | 20 | Bear | 19911995199620052012 | 270 |
| 19 | Skeena Upper | 51 | Sustut | 19951996199920012002200320052006 | 603 |
| 19 | Skeena Upper | 396 | Slamgeesh | 200420052006200720082009 | 129 |
| 19 | Skeena Upper | 418 | Kluatantan | 2006200820092010 | 38 |
| 19 | Skeena Upper | 466 | Kluayaz_Cr | 2007200820092010 | 165 |
| 19 | Skeena Upper | 479 | Squingula_R | 20082009 | 271 |
| 19 | Skeena Upper | 480 | Kuldo_C | 200820092010 | 171 |
| 19 | Skeena Upper | 492 | Otsi_Cr | 20072008200920102011 | 276 |
| 19 | Skeena Upper | 495 | Sicintine_R | 20092010 | 319 |
| 20 | Skeena Babine | 511 | Babine | 20102011 | 198 |
| 21 | Skeena Bulkley | 15 | Bulkley_Early | 1991199619981999 | 567 |
| 21 | Skeena Bulkley | 399 | Suskwa | 200420052009201020112012 | 201 |
| 21 | Skeena Bulkley | 510 | Morice_R | 20102011 | 243 |
| 22 | Skeena Mid | 16 | Kitwanga | 1991199620022003 | 284 |
| 22 | Skeena Mid | 55 | Kispiox | 19791985198919911995200420062008 | 197 |
| 22 | Skeena Mid | 401 | Sweetin | 2004200520082010 | 245 |
| 22 | Skeena Mid | 493 | Shegunia_R | 2009201020112012 | 255 |
| 22 | Skeena Mid | 494 | Kitseguecla_R | 2009 | 260 |
| 22 | Skeena Mid | 501 | Nangeese_R | 2010 | 32 |
| 23 | Skeena Lower | 21 | Ecstall | 199520002001200220032013 | 371 |
| 23 | Skeena Lower | 24 | Kitsumkalum_R | 199119951996199820012009 | 810 |
| 23 | Skeena Lower | 54 | Exchamsiks | 19952009 | 116 |
| 23 | Skeena Lower | 86 | Cedar_Early | 1996 | 116 |
| 23 | Skeena Lower | 271 | Gitnadoix | 1995200220032009 | 245 |
| 23 | Skeena Lower | 402 | Thomas_Cr | 2003200420092010 | 117 |
| 23 | Skeena Lower | 496 | Exstew_R | 2009 | 140 |


| 23 | Skeena Lower | 497 | Kasiks_R | 2009 | 63 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | Skeena Lower | 498 | Zymogotitz_R | 20062009 | 120 |
| 23 | Skeena Lower | 500 | Fiddler_Cr | 2010 | 113 |
| 23 | Skeena Lower | 502 | Khyex_R | 2010 | 37 |
| 23 | Skeena Lower | 503 | Lakelse_R | 2010 | 10 |
| 23 | Skeena Lower | 504 | McDonell | 2010 | 5 |
| 24 | Alsek | 236 | Blanchard | 2000200120022003 | 381 |
| 24 | Alsek | 237 | Klukshu | 198720002001 | 433 |
| 24 | Alsek | 239 | Takhanne | 2000200120022003200820102011 | 218 |
| 24 | Alsek | 251 | Tatshenshi | 2001 | 24 |
| 24 | Alsek | 469 | Goat_Cr | 2007200820092010201120122013 | 174 |
| 24 | Alsek | 478 | Kudwat_Cr | 200820102011 | 70 |
| 24 | Alsek | 506 | Tweedmuir | 20092011 | 6 |
| 25 | Unuk River | 427 | Cripple_Cr | 19882003 | 143 |
| 50 | Puget Sound | 160 | Skagit_Su | 199419951996 | 310 |
| 50 | Puget Sound | 164 | White_F | 19941998 | 252 |
| 50 | Puget Sound | 165 | Nooksack_SP@Ke | 1998 | 200 |
| 50 | Puget Sound | 166 | Green_F@Soos | 1998 | 100 |
| 50 | Puget Sound | 168 | Green@Kendal_F | 1998 | 50 |
| 50 | Puget Sound | 171 | Skykomish_Su | 199620042005 | 114 |
| 50 | Puget Sound | 173 | StillaguamishS | 1996 | 87 |
| 50 | Puget Sound | 317 | Serpentine | 2002 | 46 |
| 50 | Puget Sound | 439 | Soos_Cr_H | 19982004 | 183 |
| 50 | Puget Sound | 499 | Snohomish_R | 20092010 | 306 |
| 51 | Juan de Fuca | 167 | Elwha_F | 1996 | 99 |
| 52 | Coastal Wash | 161 | Solduc_F | 1995 | 98 |
| 52 | Coastal Wash | 162 | Quinault_F | 199519972006 | 100 |
| 52 | Coastal Wash | 163 | Hoh_River_SP_S | 199519961997 | 59 |
| 52 | Coastal Wash | 169 | Queets | 19961997 | 138 |
| 52 | Coastal Wash | 515 | Willapa_Cr | 20052010 | 261 |
| 53 | Low Col | 158 | Abernathy_F | 1995 | 100 |
| 53 | Low Col | 170 | Coweeman | 19962006 | 195 |
| 53 | Low Col | 433 | Cowlitz_H_Sp | 2004 | 138 |
| 54 | Up Col-Sp | 154 | Chewuch_SP | 1993 | 100 |
| 54 | Up Col-Sp | 159 | Twisp_SP | 199520012005 | 227 |
| 54 | Up Col-Sp | 175 | Chiwawa_SP | 1993 | 100 |
| 54 | Up Col-Sp | 299 | Entiat_Sp | 2002 | 142 |
| 55 | Up Col-Su/F | 172 | Silmilkameen_S | 199320052006 | 370 |
| 55 | Up Col-Su/F | 174 | Wenatchee_Su | 1993 | 235 |
| 55 | Up Col-Su/F | 204 | Hanford_Reach | 199819992000200120042006 | 617 |
| 55 | Up Col-Su/F | 281 | Deschutes-F | 1998199920012002 | 230 |
| 55 | Up Col-Su/F | 347 | Okanagan | 20002002200320042005200620072008 | 132 |
| 55 | Up Col-Su/F | 348 | Osoyoos_Resid | 200320042009 | 35 |
| 55 | Up Col-Su/F | 407 | OkanaganJuv | 2003 | 7 |
| 56 | Snake-Sp/Su | 155 | Snake_S | 1993 | 36 |
| 56 | Snake-Sp/Su | 157 | Tucannon_SP | 19952003 | 274 |
| 56 | Snake-Sp/Su | 196 | McCall_Hat | 1989 | 41 |
| 56 | Snake-Sp/Su | 198 | Valley_Cr | 1989 | 43 |
| 56 | Snake-Sp/Su | 199 | Imnaha | 1998199920022003 | 239 |
| 56 | Snake-Sp/Su | 200 | Rapid_Sp | 199719992002 | 363 |


| 56 | Snake-Sp/Su | 201 | Upper_Valley | 1998 | 77 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 56 | Snake-Sp/Su | 202 | Wenaha | 19982002 | 89 |
| 56 | Snake-Sp/Su | 203 | Marsh_Cr | 1989199119981999 | 220 |
| 56 | Snake-Sp/Su | 205 | McCall | 1997 | 32 |
| 56 | Snake-Sp/Su | 278 | Up_Salmon-SP | 198919921993 | 165 |
| 56 | Snake-Sp/Su | 279 | Frenchman-SP | 19911992 | 61 |
| 56 | Snake-Sp/Su | 280 | Decker_FlatSP | 2000 | 16 |
| 56 | Snake-Sp/Su | 293 | Salmon_E_Fork | 1999 | 53 |
| 56 | Snake-Sp/Su | 434 | Minam_Cr | 199420022003 | 144 |
| 56 | Snake-Sp/Su | 435 | Secech | 200120022003 | 277 |
| 56 | Snake-Sp/Su | 440 | Johnson_Cr | 200120022003 | 240 |
| 57 | Snake-F | 156 | Lyon's_Ferry_F | 1993199820022003 | 370 |
| 58 | North \& Central Oregon | 178 | Trask_hat_SP | 1997 | 48 |
| 58 | North \& Central Oregon | 179 | Trask_hat_F | 19972005 | 236 |
| 58 | North \& Central Oregon | 273 | Euchre_Cr | 1996 | 57 |
| 58 | North \& Central Oregon | 275 | Umpqua_Smith | 199719982004 | 229 |
| 58 | North \& Central Oregon | 282 | Elk | 19952004 | 206 |
| 58 | North \& Central Oregon | 311 | Nehalem | 19962000200220042005 | 327 |
| 58 | North \& Central Oregon | 312 | Siuslaw | 19952011 | 258 |
| 58 | North \& Central Oregon | 535 | Cle_Elm_Hatch | 2004 | 95 |
| 59 | South Oregon coastal | 274 | Hunter_Cr | 1995 | 96 |
| 59 | South Oregon coastal | 276 | Cole | 19952004 | 188 |
| 59 | South Oregon coastal | 277 | Pistol | 1995 | 98 |
| 59 | South Oregon coastal | 298 | Winchuk | 1995 | 80 |
| 59 | South Oregon coastal | 300 | Lobster_Cr | 1998 | 49 |
| 59 | South Oregon coastal | 436 | Umpqua_Sp | 2004 | 136 |
| 59 | South Oregon coastal | 438 | Nestucca_F | 20042005 | 153 |
| 61 | Klamath/Trinity | 213 | Trinity_SP | 1998 | 100 |
| 61 | Klamath/Trinity | 219 | Trinity_F | 19921998 | 244 |
| 61 | Klamath/Trinity | 289 | Salmon_Cal | 1998 | 28 |
| 61 | Klamath/Trinity | 297 | Blue_Cr | 1999 | 94 |
| 61 | Klamath/Trinity | 307 | Trinity_S_Fork | 1997 | 15 |
| 62 | Mid Col-Sp | 176 | Naches_Sp | 19891993 | 109 |
| 62 | Mid Col-Sp | 291 | Granite | 200020052006 | 93 |
| 62 | Mid Col-Sp | 294 | John_Day_Mid | 2000 | 40 |
| 62 | Mid Col-Sp | 295 | John_Day_N | 2000 | 40 |
| 62 | Mid Col-Sp | 296 | John_Day_main | 2000200420052006 | 228 |
| 62 | Mid Col-Sp | 432 | Spring_Cr_H | 20012002 | 137 |
| 63 | Up Willamette | 180 | North_Santiam | 199720022004 | 236 |
| 63 | Up Willamette | 285 | Sandy | 199720022004 | 208 |


| 63 | Up Willamette | 292 | Mackenzie | 1997 | 12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 63 | Up Willamette | 308 | Clackamas_N | 1997 | 79 |
| 64 | Cent Val-F | 124 | Sacr_F | 19931995 | 129 |
|  |  |  |  | 19921993199419951997199820012003 | 211 |
| 64 | Cent Val-F | 125 | Sacr_LF | 2004 | 95 |
| 64 | Cent Val-F | 197 | Mokelumne | 1995 | 34 |
| 64 | Cent Val-F | 283 | Toulumne | 1998 | 200 |
| 64 | Cent Val-F | 284 | Merced | 19981999 | 50 |
| 64 | Cent Val-F | 286 | Yuba | 2000 | 101 |
| 64 | Cent Val-F | 287 | Stanislaus | 19982002 | 69 |
| 64 | Cent Val-F | 302 | American | 1999 | 272 |
| 64 | Cent Val-F | 303 | Feather_F | 199920002003 | 183 |
| 64 | Cent Val-F | 305 | Battle_Cr | 199920022003 | 49 |
| 64 | Cent Val-F | 309 | Butte_F | 2000 | 15 |
| 64 | Cent Val-F | 310 | Deer_Cr | 2000 | 186 |
| 65 | Cent Val-Sp | 288 | Butte_Sp | 200020022003 | 226 |
| 65 | Cent Val-Sp | 304 | Feather_Sp | 199920002003 | 32 |
| 65 | Cent Val-Sp | 306 | Yuba_Sp | 2000 | 279 |
| 66 | Coastal California | 431 | Eel_F | 20002001 |  |

Appendix C. 2. Genetic stock identification methods for sockeye salmon stocks in the Transboundary rivers, 2018.

## United States

The following methods are used by the ADF\&G Gene Conservation Laboratory to estimate stock proportions of transboundary sockeye salmon harvested by commercial fishers in U.S. Districts 106, 108, and 111 in Southeast Alaska.

## Fishery Sampling

Landings from drift gillnet fisheries in Subdistricts 106-30 and 106-41 (District 106), in District 108, and in District 111 were sampled by ADF\&G at fish processing facilities in Ketchikan, Wrangell, Petersburg, and Juneau, and by observers on tenders. Sampling protocols ensured that the fish sampled were as representative of catches as possible. Axillary processes were excised and placed into individually labeled vials and preserved in ethanol or dried onto Whatman paper. Associated data for each sample including fishery and capture date were recorded, and the tissue sample for each fish was paired with age, sex, and length (ASL) information and with otolith samples. Otolith samples were examined for enhanced marks by the ADF\&G Mark, Tag, and Age Laboratory in Juneau.

## Laboratory Analysis

We extracted genomic DNA from tissue samples using a DNeasy® ${ }^{\circledR} 96$ Blood and Tissue Kit by QIAGEN® (Valencia, CA). We screened 96 SNP markers using Fluidigm ${ }^{\circledR} 96.96$ Dynamic Array ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Integrated Fluidic Circuits (IFCs), which systematically combine up to 96 assays and 96 samples into 9,216 parallel reactions. The components are pressurized into the IFC using the IFC Controller HX (Fluidigm). Each reaction is conducted in a 7.2 nL volume chamber consisting of a mixture of 20X Fast GT Sample Loading Reagent (Fluidigm), 2X TaqMan ${ }^{\circledR}$ GTXpress ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Master Mix (Applied Biosystems ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ ), Custom TaqMan ${ }^{\circledR}$ SNP Genotyping Assay (Applied Biosystems ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ ), 2X Assay Loading Reagent (Fluidigm), 50X ROX Reference Dye (Invitrogen ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ ), and $60-400 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{LDNA}$. Thermal cycling is performed on a Fluidigm FC1 ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Cycler using a Fast-PCR protocol as follows: a "Thermal-Mix" step of $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min , an initial "Hot-Start" denaturation of $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 sec and annealing at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 sec , with a final "Cool-Down" at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 sec . The Dynamic Array IFCs were read on a Biomark ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ or EP1 ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ System (Fluidigm) after amplification and scored using Fluidigm SNP Genotyping Analysis software.

Assays that failed to amplify on the Fluidigm system were reanalyzed with the QuantStudio ${ }^{\text {TM }} 12 \mathrm{~K}$ Flex Real-Time PCR System (Life Technologies). Each reaction was performed in 384 -well plates in a $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ volume consisting of $6-40 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ of DNA, $2 \mathrm{X} \mathrm{TaqMan}{ }^{\circledR}$ GTXpress ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Master Mix (Applied Biosystems ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ ), and Custom TaqMan ${ }^{\circledR}$ SNP Genotyping Assay (Applied Biosystems). Thermal cycling was performed on a Dual 384-Well GeneAmp ${ }^{\circledR}$ PCR System 9700 (Applied Biosystems) as follows: an initial "Hot-Start" denaturation of $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at $92^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 sec and annealing at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 min , with a final "Cool-Down" hold at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The plates were scanned on the system after amplification and scored using the Life Technologies QuantStudio 12K Flex Software. Genotypes produced on both platforms were imported and archived in the Gene Conservation Lab Oracle database, LOKI.

## Quality Control

Quality control methods consisted of reextracting 8\% of project fish and genotyping them for the same SNPs assayed in the original extraction. Discrepancy rates were calculated as the number of conflicting genotypes, divided by the total number of genotypes examined. These rates describe the difference between original project data and quality control data for all SNPs and can identify extraction, assay plate, and genotyping errors. This quality control method is the best representation of the error rate of our current genotype production.

Error rates for the original genotyping can be estimated as half the rate of discrepancy by assuming that the discrepancies among analyses were due equally to errors during the original genotyping and to errors during quality control, and by assuming that at least one of these assays produced the correct genotype.

## Estimating Stock Compositions

A single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) baseline was recently developed for Southeast Alaska (SEAK) and British Columbia (BC) (Rogers Olive et al. in review). This baseline included populations spanning from Prince William Sound, south to Washington State for a total of 171 populations (Table C.2.1). This baseline was analyzed at a total of 96 markers, of which 91 markers were kept for MSA (Table C.2.2). A catalog of existing tissues and potential gaps in this baseline for transboundary applications is described in Table C.2.3.

Reporting groups were defined based upon transboundary management needs and meeting criteria set by the Gene Conservation Laboratory (Habicht et al. 2012). Once defined, reporting groups underwent extensive testing for use in MSA. This included repeated proof tests, in which we sampled 200 individuals without replacement from each reporting group and analyzed them as a mixture against the reduced baseline. The reporting groups tested for Stikine River area fisheries included: 1) Tahltan, 2) Stikine Other, and 3) Non-Stikine. Reporting groups tested for Taku River area fisheries included: 1) Tatsamenie, 2) Taku Lakes Other, 3) Taku/Stikine Mainstem, and 4) Other. These reporting groups meet the minimum critical level of $90 \%$ correct allocation in repeated proof tests (Seeb et al. 2000).

Methods for mixture analysis have improved since the inception of this project, and can now include additional available data to help inform the genetic estimates. Specifically, ages from matched scales and hatchery marks on matched otoliths allow more detailed stock composition estimates. With the additional information gained from including ages and otolith marked fish, results were reported for 5 reporting groups for Stikine River area fisheries (Stikine/Taku Mainstem, Tahltan Wild, Enhanced Tahltan, Enhanced Tuya, and Non-Stikine). At the request of the TTC, these groups will be rolled up into the agreed-upon reporting groups, with the Tahltan reporting groups including Tahltan Wild, Enhanced Tahltan, and Enhanced Tuya. For Taku River area fisheries, results were reported for 9 reporting groups (Taku/Stikine Mainstem, Taku Lakes, Tatsamenie Wild, Speel Wild, Enhanced Tatsamenie, Enhanced Trapper, Enhanced Snettisham, and Enhanced Stikine). At the request of the TTC, these reporting groups will be rolled up into the agreed-upon reporting groups, with the Tatsamenie reporting group including Tatsamenie Wild and Enhanced Tatsamenie, the Taku Lakes Other reporting group including Taku Lakes and Enhanced Trapper, the Taku/Stikine Mainstem reporting group staying the same, and the Other reporting group including Speel Wild, Enhanced Snettisham, Enhanced Stikine, and Other. Results were noted if estimates do not meet the precision and accuracy guidelines set by the TTC in April 2013 (to estimate the proportion of mixtures within $10 \%$ of the true mixture $90 \%$ of the time).

In the mark- and age-enhanced GSI model, the Bayesian methods of the Pella-Masuda Model (Pella and Masuda 2001) were extended to include otolith-marked and aged individuals for estimating stock
compositions where unmarked fish have unknown origin, but are known to belong to some wild stock in the genetic baseline and otolith-marked individuals are known to belong to a hatchery stock. While all individuals were aged, none of the otolith-marked fish were genotyped and only a subset of wild fish were genotyped. Thus, the entire mixture sample was comprised of 3 sample components: 1 ) the number of wild individuals that are aged and genotyped; 2) the number of wild individuals that are aged but not genotyped; and 3) the number of aged and otolith-marked fish.

Two sets of parameters were required for running the model: 1) a vector of stock compositions, summing to one, with a proportion for each of the wild and hatchery stocks weighted by harvest per stratum; and 2) a matrix of age composition, with a row for each of the wild and hatchery stocks (summing to one), and a column for each age class. This information was "completed" iteratively by stochastically assigning each wild fish to a population, then estimating the stock proportions based on summaries of assignments from each iteration.

To initialize the algorithm, all wild fish were given a stock assignment stochastically. The initialized algorithm then proceeded in the following steps:

1) Summarize all age data by assigned and observed stocks for both wild and hatchery individuals;
2) Estimate the stock proportions and age composition from previous summaries (accounting for sampling error);
3) Stochastically assign each wild fish with genotypes to a wild stock of origin based on the product of its genotypic frequency, age frequency, and stock proportion for each population;
4) Stochastically assign each wild fish without genotypes to a stock of origin based on the product of its age frequency and stock proportion for each population; and
5) Repeat steps 1-4 while updating and recording the estimates of the stock proportions and age compositions with each iteration.

This algorithm was run for 40,000 iterations, discarding the first 20,000 iterations to eliminate the effect of the initial state. Multiple chains were run to assess convergence via the Gelman-Rubin shrink factor which compares variation within a chain to the total variation among chains (Gelman and Rubin 1992). Shrink factors greater than 1.2 indicated that the chains did not converge for a given mixture. To address this, we reanalyzed the mixture in question with double the number of iterations. The point estimates and credibility intervals for the stock proportions and age composition were summary statistics of the output.

## Canada

The following methods are used by the DFO’s Molecular Genetics Laboratory, Pacific Biological Station, Nanaimo, B.C. to estimate stock proportions of transboundary Sockeye salmon harvested by inriver fisheries on the Alsek, Taku, and Stikine rivers.

## Laboratory Analysis

Once sockeye salmon genomic DNA was available, surveys of variation at the following 15 microsatellite loci were conducted: Ots2, Ots3 (Banks et al. 1999); Ots100, Ots103, Ots107 (Beacham et al. 1998; Nelson and Beacham 1999); Oki1a Oki1b, Oki6, Oki10, Oki16, and Oki29 (Smith et al. 1998 and unpublished); One8 (Scribner et al. 1996); and Omy77 (Morris et al. 1996). Microsatellites were size fractionated in an Applied Biosystems (ABI) 3730 capillary DNA sequencer, and genotypes were scored by GeneMapper software 3.0 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) using an internal lane sizing standard.

In general, polymerase chain (PCR) reactions were conducted in $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volumes consisting of 0.06 units of Taq polymerase, $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 30 ng DNA, $1.5-2.5 \mathrm{mM}$ MgCl2, 1 mM 10 x buffer, 0.8 mM dNTP's, $0.006-0.065 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of labeled forward primer (depending on the locus), $0.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ unlabeled forward primer, $0.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ unlabeled
reverse primer, and deionized H2O. PCR was completed on an MJResearch ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ DNA Engine ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ PCT-200 or a DNA Engine Tetrad ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ PCT-225. The amplification profile involved one cycle of 2 min @ $92^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 30 cycles of 15 sec @ $92^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $15 \mathrm{sec} @ 52-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (depending on the locus) and $30 \mathrm{sec} @ 72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and a final extension for $10 \mathrm{~min} @ 72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Specific PCR conditions for a particular locus could vary from this general outline. Further information on laboratory equipment and techniques is available at the Molecular Genetics Laboratory website at http://www.pac.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/science/facilities-installations/pbs-sbp/mgl-lgm.

## Baseline Populations

Mixture analysis required microsatellite analysis of Sockeye salmon from drainage specific baselines within Canada, consisting of 16 populations/sampling sites for the Stikine River, 16 populations/sampling sites for the Alsek River, and 17 populations/sampling sites for the Taku River (Table C.2.4). All annual baseline samples available for a specific sample location were combined to estimate population allele frequencies, as was recommended by Waples (1990). A catalog of existing tissues and potential gaps in this baseline for transboundary applications is described in Table C.2.3.

## Estimation of Stock Composition

Analysis of fishery samples was conducted with a Bayesian procedure (BAYES) as outlined by Pella and Masuda (2001). Each locus was assumed to be in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, and expected genotypic frequencies were determined from the observed allele frequencies and used as model inputs. For BAYES, the initial FORTRAN-based computer program as outlined by Pella and Masuda (2001) required large amounts of computer analytical time when applied to stock identification problems with a baseline as comprehensive as employed in the current study. Given this limitation, a new version of the program was developed by our laboratory as a C-based program which is available from the Molecular Genetics Laboratory website (Neaves et al. 2005). In the analysis, ten 20,000-iteration Monte Carlo Markov chains of estimated stock compositions were produced, with initial starting values for each chain set at 0.90 for a particular population which was different for each chain. Estimated stock compositions were estimated when all Monte Carlo Markov chains had converged producing a Gelman-Rubin coefficient < 1.2 (Pella and Masuda 2001). The last 1,000 iterations from each of the 10 chains were combined, and for each fish the probability of originating from each population in the baseline was determined. These individual probabilities were summed over all fish in the sample, and divided by the number of fish sampled to provide the point estimate of stock composition. Standard deviations of estimated stock compositions were also determined from the last 1,000 iterations from each of the 10 Monte Carlo Markov chains incorporated in the analysis.
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Appendix Table C.2.1. Sockeye salmon genetic baseline by reporting groups for 171 wild populations used in Southeast Alaska. Hatchery populations of sockeye salmon determined using otolith information. This baseline is used by ADF\&G for GSI of sockeye salmon in U.S. Districts 106, 108, and 111. Reporting groups may be rolled up to correspond with those identified as necessary to meet transboundary management objectives.

|  | Stikine Reporting | Taku <br> Reporting <br> Groups | Region |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Nroups | Location |  |  |
| 1 | Other | Prince William | Bainbridge Lake | N |

Appendix Table C.2.1. Continued

|  | Stikine Reporting Groups | Taku Reporting Groups | Region | Location | N | Year(s) Collected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | Non-Stikine | Other |  | Chilkoot Lake - Bear Creek | 233 | 2007 |
| 60 | (cont.) | (cont.) |  | Chilkoot River - Chilkoot River | 159 | 2003 |
| 61 |  |  |  | Berners Bay | 165 | 2003, 2013 |
| 62 |  |  |  | Lace River | 63 | 2013 |
| 63 |  |  |  | Steep Creek | 91 | 2003 |
| 64 |  |  |  | Windfall Lake | 142 | 2003, 2007 |
| 65 |  |  |  | Lake Creek (Auke Creek Weir) | 200 | 2013 |
| 66 |  |  |  | Crescent Lake | 194 | 2003 |
| 67 |  | Speel Wild |  | Speel Lake | 95 | 2003 |
| 68 |  |  |  | Snettisham Hatchery | 241 | 2007, 2013 |
| 69 |  | Other |  | Vivid Lake | 48 | 1993 |
| 70 |  |  |  | Bartlett River - Creel survey | 69 | 2013 |
| 71 |  |  |  | North Berg Bay Inlet | 153 | 1991, 1992 |
| 72 |  |  |  | Neva Lake | 160 | 2013 |
| 73 |  |  |  | Sitkoh Lake | 351 | 2003, 2011, 2012 |
| 74 |  |  |  | Lake Eva | 115 | 2012 |
| 75 |  |  |  | Kook Lake | 346 | 2007, 2010, 2012-13 |
| 76 |  |  |  | Pavlof Lake | 174 | 2012, 2013 |
| 77 |  |  |  | Hasselborg Lake | 209 | 2012, 2013 |
| 78 |  |  |  | Kanalku Lake ${ }^{1}$ | 319 | 2007, 2010, 2013 |
| 79 |  |  |  | Kutlaku Lake | 128 | 2012, 2013 |
| 80 |  |  |  | Hoktaheen Lake | 96 | 2004 |
| 81 |  |  |  | Falls Lake | 190 | 2003, 2010 |
| 82 |  |  |  | Ford Arm Creek | 199 | 2013 |
| 83 |  |  |  | Klag Bay Stream outlet | 200 | 2009 |
| 84 |  |  |  | Redfish Lake Beaches | 94 | 1993 |
| 85 |  |  |  | Salmon Lake weir | 185 | 2007, 2008 |
| 86 |  |  |  | Redoubt Lake - outlet | 200 | 2013 |
| 87 |  |  |  | Benzeman Lake | 95 | 1991, 1993 |
| 88 |  | Taku Lakes | Taku | King Salmon Lake | 214 | 2010, 2011 |
| 89 |  |  |  | Little Tatsamenie | 139 | 1990, 1991, 2011 |
| 90 |  |  |  | Little Trapper Lake | 237 | 1990, 2006 |
| 91 |  |  |  | Kuthai Lake | 171 | 2006 |
|  |  | Tatsamenie |  |  |  |  |
| 92 |  | Wild |  | Tatsamenie Lake | 288 | 2005, 2006 |
| 93 | Stikine/Taku | Stikine/Taku |  | Hackett River | 52 | 2008 |
| 94 | Mainstem | Mainstem |  | Nahlin River | 84 | 2003, 2007 |
| 95 |  |  |  | Tulsequah River | 156 | 2007, 2008, 2009 |
| 96 |  |  |  | Yellow Bluff Slough | 81 | 2008, 2010, 2011 |
| 97 | Stikine/Taku | Stikine/Taku |  | Shustahine Slough | 185 | 2008, 2009 |
| 98 | Mainstem | Mainstem |  | Taku River | 95 | 2007 |
| 99 | (cont.) | (cont.) |  | Takwahoni/Sinwa Creek | 108 | 2009, 2011 |
| 100 |  |  |  | Tuskwa/Chunk/Bear Sloughs | 356 | 2008, 2009 |
| 101 |  |  |  | Fish Creek | 159 | 2009, 2010 |
| 102 |  |  |  | Yehring Creek | 171 | 2007, 2009 |
| 103 |  |  | Stikine | Shakes Slough | 67 | $\begin{aligned} & 2006,2007,2009 \\ & 1985-6,2002,2006- \end{aligned}$ |
| 104 |  |  |  | Iskut River | 318 | 09 |
| 105 |  |  |  | Verrett River | 65 | 2010, 2011 |
| 106 |  |  |  | Scud River | 191 | 2007, 2008, 2009 |
| 107 |  |  |  | Andy Smith/Porcupine/Fowler Sl | 120 | 2007-2011 |
| 108 |  |  |  | Devil's Elbow | 201 | 2007, 2008, 2009 |
| 109 |  |  |  | Chutine River | 94 | 2008 |
| 110 |  |  |  | Chutine Lake | 224 | 2009, 2011 |
| 111 |  |  |  | Christina Lake | 50 | 2010, 2011 |
| 112 | Tahltan Wild | Other |  | Little Tahltan River | 95 | 1990 |
| 113 |  |  |  | Tahltan Lake | 196 | 2006 |
| 114 | Non-Stikine |  | S. Southeast | Hugh Smith Lake | 309 | 2004, 2007, 2013 |
| 115 |  |  |  | McDonald Lk - Hatchery Ck | 369 | 2001, 2003, '07, '13 |
| 116 |  |  |  | Hatchery Creek - Sweetwater Lk | 142 | 2003, 2007 |
| 117 |  |  |  | Kah Sheets Lake | 96 | 2003 |
| 118 |  |  |  | Kunk Lake | 96 | 2003 |
| 119 |  |  |  | Luck Lake | 94 | 2004 |
| 120 |  |  |  | Big Lake | 90 | 2010, 2011 |

## 118

Appendix Table C.2.1. Continued


Appendix Table C.2.2. Ninety-six single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers used by ADF\&G to provide GSI of sockeye salmon in U.S. Districts 106, 108, and 111, and the source lab for each marker.

| Marker | Source ${ }^{1}$ | Marker | Source ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One_ACBP-79 | A | One_srp09-127 | C |
| One_agt-132 | B | One_ssrd-135 | C |
| One_aldB-152 | C | One_STC-410 | A |
| One_apoe-83 | B | One_STR07 | A |
| One_CD9-269 | B | One_SUMO1-6 | C |
| One_cetn1-167 | B | One_sys1-230 | C |
| One_CFP1 | D | One_taf12-248 | C |
| One_cin-177 | C | One_Tf_ex11-750 | A |
| One_CO1 ${ }^{2}$ | A | One_Tf_in3-182 | A |
| One_ctgf-301 | A | One_tshB-92 | C |
| One_Cytb_17 ${ }^{2}$ | A | One_txnip-401 | C |
| One_Cytb_26 ${ }^{2}$ | A | One_U1003-75 | B |
| One_E2-65 | A | One_U1004-183 | B |
| One_gdh-212 | C | One_U1009-91 | B |
| One_GHII-2165 | A | One_U1010-81 | B |
| One_ghsR-66 | C | One_U1012-68 | B |
| One_GPDH-20 | A | One_U1013-108 | B |
| One_GPH-414 | A | One_U1014-74 | B |
| One_HGFA-49 | A | One_U1016-115 | B |
| One_HpaI-71 | A | One_U1024-197 | B |
| One_Hpal-99 | A | One_U1101 | B |
| One_hsc71-220 | A | One_U1103 | B |
| One_Hsp47 | D | One_U1105 | B |
| One_IL8r-362 | A | One_U1201-492 | B |
| One_KCT1-453 | B | One_U1202-1052 | B |
| One_KPNA-422 | A | One_U1203-175 | B |
| One_LEI-87 | A | One_U1204-53 | B |
| One_lpp1-44 | B | One_U1205-57 | B |
| One_metA-253 | C | One_U1206-108 | B |
| One_MHC2_190 | A | One_U1208-67 | B |
| One_Mkpro-129 | C | One_U1209-111 | B |
| One_ODC1-196 | B | One_U1210-173 | B |
| One_Ots208-234 | C | One_U1212-106 | B |
| One_Ots213-181 | A | One_U1214-107 | B |
| One_p53-534 | A | One_U1216-230 | B |
| One_pax7-248 | C | One_U301-92 | A |
| One_PIP | D | One_U401-224 | A |
| One_Prl2 | A | One_U404-229 | A |
| One_rab1a-76 | B | One_U502-167 | A |
| One_RAG1-103 | A | One_U503-170 | A |
| One_RAG3-93 | A | One_U504-141 | A |
| One_redd1-414 | C | One_vamp5-255 | C |
| One_RFC2-102 | A | One_vatf-214 | C |
| One_RFC2-285 | A | One_VIM-569 | A |
| One_rpo2j-261 | C | One_ZNF-61 | A |
| One_sast-211 | C | One_Zp3b-49 | A |
| One_spf30-207 | C | One_CO1_Cytb17_26 ${ }^{2}$ |  |

[^5]Appendix Table C.2.3. Catalog of genetic tissue collections for transboundary sockeye salmon stocks. Information from 2007 (number of samples held by the U.S. and Canada and baseline gaps identified) is from Report TCTR(07)-02, "Summary of the Transboundary Genetic Stock ID Workshop: January 18-19, 2007".

| Location/Pop | Sample <br> Goal | No. samples 2007 |  | $\begin{gathered} 2007 \\ \text { Gap } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | No. samples 2016 |  | Collection Years |  | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ \text { Gap } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U.S. | Can. |  | U.S. | Can. | U.S. | Canada |  |
| Stikine Adjacent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hugh Smith - Cobb | 200 | 200 |  | 100 | 450 |  | 03,12,13 |  | 0 |
| Karta River | 200 | 99 |  | 101 | 139 |  | 92, 08 |  | 61 |
| Mahoney Creek | 200 | 64 |  | 136 | 198 |  | 03,07 |  | 2 |
| Salmon Bay Lake | 200 | 139 |  | 61 | 213 |  | 92,04,07 |  | 0 |
| Virginia | 200 |  |  | 200 | 295 |  | 07 |  | 0 |
| Hatchery Cr - Sweetwater | 200 |  |  | 200 | 732 |  | 03,07,13,15 |  | 0 |
| Eek Cr | 200 |  |  | 168 | 52 |  | 04,07 |  | 148 |
| Fillmore Lk - Hoffman Cr | 200 |  |  | 145 | 55 |  | 05 |  | 145 |
| Sarkar - Five Finger Cr | 200 |  |  | 145 | 55 |  | 05 |  | 145 |
| Sarkar Lakes | 200 |  |  | 155 | 45 |  | 00 |  | 155 |
| Stikine |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alpine Ck | 200 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 09 |  | 199 |
| Andrew Ck | 200 |  |  |  | 3 | 2 | 05,06 | 06 | 197 |
| Shakes Slough Ck | 200 |  |  |  | 367 | 214 | 06-13 | 01,02,06-09,12 | 0 |
| Mainstem |  |  | 144 |  | 100 | 154 | 01 | 01,10 |  |
| Andy Smith Slough | 200 |  |  |  | 42 | 40 | 07-09,11 | 07-09,11 | 158 |
| Devil's Elbow | 200 |  | 58 | 200 | 257 | 311 | 07-09 | 01,07-09 | 0 |
| Fowler Slough | 200 |  |  |  | 61 | 39 | 07-12 | 07-10 | 139 |
| Porcupine Slough | 200 |  | 70 | 200 | 125 | 187 | 07-12 | 00,01,07-12 | 13 |
| Katete | 200 |  | 25 |  | 31 | 31 | 01,02 | 01,02 | 169 |
| Iskut |  | 54 | 87 |  | 199 | 200 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 85,86,02,06-09 } \\ 00-03,08,10- \end{gathered}$ | 85,02,06-08 |  |
| Verrett River/Slough | 200 |  | 327 | 200 | 249 | 420 | 14,15 | 86,00-03,10,11 | 0 |
| Iskut m.s. - Craig/Craigson Sl | 200 |  | 39 |  | 38 | 88 | 06-08 | 01,06-08 | 112 |
| Iskut m.s. -Bronson Sl/Bugleg | 200 |  | 68 | 200 | 101 | 149 | 08,09,12 | 01,08,09 | 51 |
| Iskut m.s. - Hoodoo Slough | 200 |  |  |  | 10 | 26 | 10 | 10 | 174 |
| Iskut m.s.- Zappa | 200 |  |  |  | 7 | 7 | 08 | 08 | 193 |
| Iskut m.s. - Inhini Slough | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Iskut m.s. - Twin | 200 |  | 23 |  |  | 29 |  | 02 | 171 |
| Christina |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake spawners | 400 |  | 51 | 200 | 134 | 130 | 84,10-12 | 84,10-12 | 266 |
| Inlet spawners | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 85,87,00,01,07- |  |
| Scud | 200 |  | 376 | 200 | 402 | 623 | 01,07-12 | 12 | 0 |
| Chutine |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chutine River | 200 |  | 371 | 200 | 348 | 537 | 01,08-10 | 85,00-02,08,09 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix Table C.2.3. Continued

| Location/Pop | Sample Goal | No. samples 2007 |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2007 \\ \hline \text { Gap } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | No. samples 2016 |  | Collection Years |  | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ \text { Gap } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U.S. | Canada |  | U.S. | Canada | U.S. | Canada |  |
| Chutine Lake | 200 |  |  | 200 | 225 | 258 | 09,11 | 09-11 | 0 |
| Tatalaska Ck | 200 |  |  |  | 50 |  | 13 |  | 150 |
| Tahltan | 400 | 297 | 474 | 200 | 296 | 468 | 90,06 | 87,96,02 | 200 |
| Tuya | 200 |  | 166 | 200 | 206 | 239 | 08 | 96,02,07,08 | 0 |
| Upper Stikine | 200 |  |  |  |  | 352 |  | 96 | 0 |
| Taku Adjacent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chilkat Lake | 200 | 45 |  | 155 | 637 |  | 90,07,13 |  | 0 |
| Mule Meadows | 200 | 183 |  | 17 | 383 |  | 03,07 |  | 0 |
| Windfall | 200 | 56 |  | 144 | 432 |  | 03,07,14 |  | 0 |
| Whiting | 200 |  |  | 200 | 0 |  |  |  | 200 |
| Taku |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yehring | 200 |  |  | 200 | 204 | 109 | 07,09,11 | 07,11 | 0 |
| Fish Ck | 200 |  |  | 200 | 290 | 107 | 09,10 | 10 | 0 |
| Johnson (US section) | 200 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Mainstem |  |  |  |  | 142 | 126 | 07,13 | 07 |  |
| Chunk/Bear Sl | 200 |  |  |  | 134 | 306 | 09 | 08,09 | 0 |
| Shustahini | 200 |  |  |  | 206 | 210 | 08,09 | 00,08,09 | 0 |
| Takwahoni/Sinwa | 200 |  | 31 | 200 | 176 | 211 | 09-11 | 00,09-11 | 0 |
| Tuskwa | 200 |  | 334 | 200 | 414 | 468 | 04,08,09 | 00,04,08,09 | 0 |
| Yonakina | 200 |  | 48 | 200 | 7 | 54 | 11 | 04,11 | 146 |
| Yellow Bluff | 200 |  |  |  | 82 | 81 | 08,10,11 | 08,10,11 | 118 |
| Tulsequah | 200 |  | 43 | 200 | 267 | 306 | 07-09 | 00,07-09 | 0 |
| King Salmon | 400 |  | 271 | 200 | 216 | 484 | 10,11 | 00,03-05,10,11 | 0 |
| Inklin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Little Trapper | 400 | 315 | 106 |  | 270 | 107 | 90,91,06 | $\begin{gathered} 92,04 \\ 85,87,93,05,11,1 \end{gathered}$ | 130 |
| Tatsatua Lake (L. Tatsamenie) | 400 | 400 | 199 |  | 280 | 388 | 90,91,11,12 | 2 | 12 |
| Tatsamenie Lake | 400 | 92 | 151 |  | 401 | 151 | 92,05,06 | 92,93 | 0 |
| Samotua | 200 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Hackett | 200 |  | 91 | 200 | 192 | 292 | 07-09 | 85,87,07-09 | 0 |
| Dudidontu | 200 |  |  | 200 | 7 |  | 11 |  | 193 |
| Tseta | 200 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Nahlin River | 200 | 50 | 65 | 150 | 263 | 459 | 03,07,12 | 04-07,12 | 0 |
| Silver Salmon R | 200 |  |  |  | 33 |  | 08 |  | 167 |
| Kuthai Lake | 400 | 202 | 371 |  | 300 | 372 | 86,04,06 | 86,87,04,05 | 28 |
| Nakina | 200 |  |  | 200 | 10 | 39 | 08,09,11,12 | 08,09,11 | 161 |


| Appendix Table C.2.3. Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location/Pop | Sample | No. samples 2007 |  | 2007 | No. samples 2016 |  | Collection Years |  | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ \text { Gap } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Goal | U.S. | Canada | Gap | U.S. | Canada | U.S. | Canada |  |
| Alsek Adjacent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ahrnklin River | 200 | 94 |  | 106 | 185 |  | 03,07 |  | 15 |
| Lost/Tahwah Rivers | 200 | 187 |  | 13 | 187 |  | 03 |  | 13 |
| Situk Lake | 200 | 40 |  | 160 | 648 |  | 95,07,13 |  | 0 |
| Old Situk | 200 |  |  | 160 | 309 |  | 95,07,15 |  | 0 |
| Dangerous | 200 |  |  | 200 | 221 |  | 09 |  | 0 |
| Italio | 200 |  |  | 200 | 0 |  |  |  | 200 |
| Akwe | 200 | 40 |  | 200 | 193 |  | 09 |  | 7 |
| Alsek |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basin Creek | 200 |  |  | 200 |  | 45 |  | 02,03 | 155 |
| Tanis (US section) | 200 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Alsek mainstem (US) | 200 |  |  | 163 |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Border Slough | 200 |  |  |  | 177 | 145 | 07-09,11,12 | 07-09,11 | 23 |
| Alsek mainstem (Can) | 200 |  |  | 168 |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Tashenshini |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower | 200 |  | 79 | 121 |  | 121 |  | 00-03,10 | 79 |
| Upper | 200 |  | 324 | 100 |  | 318 |  | 01-03 | 0 |
| Tats Lake | 200 |  |  | 200 | 13 |  | 10 |  | 187 |
| O'Connor | 200 |  | 22 | 178 |  | 96 |  | 01-03 | 104 |
| Sediment Ck | 200 |  |  |  | 13 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 187 |
| Low fog | 200 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 02,03 | 197 |
| Detour | 200 |  | 22 | 178 | 4 | 26 | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ 00,01,03,07,09- \end{gathered}$ | 01,11 | 174 |
| Kudwat | 200 |  | 83 | 117 | 349 | 224 | 12 | 01,07,09-11 | 0 |
| Stinky | 200 |  | 64 | 136 | 40 | 103 | 11 | 01,11 | 97 |
| Bridge/Silver | 200 |  |  |  | 105 | 30 | 11,12 | 11 | 95 |
| Kane | 200 |  |  |  |  | 108 |  | 01-03 | 92 |
| Nesketahin Lk | 200 |  |  | 200 | 298 | 832 | 01,07 | 00-03,07 | 0 |
| Klukshu | 400 | 355 | 539 | 200 | 775 | 1059 | 02,03,06-08,10 | 92,00-02,07,08 | 0 |
| Takhanne | 200 |  |  | 200 |  | 4 |  | $02,03$ | 196 |
| Blanchard Lake | 200 |  | 23 | 177 | 178 | 252 | 07-09 | 01-03,07-09 | 0 |
| Stanley Ck | 200 |  |  |  |  | 31 |  | 01-03 | 169 |
| Goat Ck | 200 |  |  |  | 42 | 56 | 07,11,12 | 17,12 | 144 |
| Kwatini | 200 |  |  |  | 85 | 65 | 11,13 | 11 | 115 |
| Datlaska Ck | 200 |  |  |  | 111 |  | 12 |  | 89 |
| Vern Ritchie | 200 |  |  |  | 212 | 217 | 07-10 | 07-10 | 0 |
| Tweedsmuir | 200 |  |  |  | 150 | 152 | 07,09-12 | 03,07,09-12 | 48 |

Appendix C.2.4. Inventory of DFO sample collections analyzed for Sockeye salmon microsatellite variation reported by region, population, sampling year, and sample size from which a subset is used for the Transboundary GSI analysis.

| Region Code | Region Name | Stock Code | Population Name | Collection Year | Sample <br> Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Stuart(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 25 | Kynock | 19941997 | 180 |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Stuart(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 35 | Dust | 19881991199419972005 | 349 |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Stuart(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 36 | Gluskie | 1997 | 151 |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Stuart(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 37 | Forfar | 1997 | 151 |
| 1 | Early Stuart(Fr) | 183 | Porter_Cr | 20002005 | 120 |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Stuart(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 184 | Hudson_Bay | 20002005 | 120 |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Stuart(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 185 | Blackwater | 20002005 | 123 |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Stuart(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 405 | Rossette | 2005 | 100 |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Stuart(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 406 | Sinta | 2005 | 97 |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Stuart(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 407 | Paula | 2005 | 116 |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Stuart(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 408 | Sandpoint | 2005 | 97 |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Stuart(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 409 | Narrows | 2005 | 98 |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Stuart(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 410 | Bivouac | 2005 | 99 |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Stuart(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 411 | Felix | 2005 | 99 |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Stuart(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 412 | FiveMile | 2005 | 99 |
| 1 | Early Stuart(Fr) | 413 | Driftwood | 2005 | 98 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 9 | Scotch | 19941995199619992000 | 536 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 16 | Gates_Cr | 19861992199519992000 | 433 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 18 | Eagle | 20002002 | 198 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 19 | Nadina | 1986199219992000 | 353 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 20 | Nahatlatch_Lak <br> e | 199619972010 | 338 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 22 | Seymour | 198619961999 | 335 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 28 | Pitt | 19862000200120052010 | 447 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 29 | U_Adams | 199620002010 | 466 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 30 | Upper_Barrier | 1996199920002001 | 491 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 31 | Chilliw_lake | 1996200320042005 | 226 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 32 | Raft | 1996200020012012 | 319 |


| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 33 | Chilko_south | 199619972001 | 410 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 104 | Bowron | 199920002001 | 264 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 181 | Cayenne | 2000 | 100 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 298 | Thompson_N | 200320052012 | 225 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 443 | Taseko | 200720102011 | 126 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 480 | Yohetta_Cr | 20102011 | 25 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 481 | Nahatlatch_R | 2010 | 102 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 482 | Corbold_Cr | 2010 | 102 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 483 | Anstey_R | 2010 | 98 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 485 | Sinmax_Cr | 2010 | 54 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 486 | Nemian_Cr | 2010 | 20 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 487 | Taseko_R_upp er | 2010 | 2 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Summer(Fr) } \end{aligned}$ | 511 | Bridge_R | 2011 | 17 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 1 | Stellako | 1992199519961998199920002011 | 689 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 2 | Birkenhead | 1992199519971998199920012010 | 644 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 12 | Chilko | 19981999 | 222 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 13 | Middle_R | 199319961997199820002001 | 425 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 21 | Tachie | 199419951996199719992000200120112012 | 682 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 24 | Horsefly | 19851986199319961997199819992005 | 946 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 34 | Mitchell | 1993199419961997199820012005 | 537 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 56 | Pinchi_Cr | 19992005 | 171 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 208 | Kuzkwa_Cr | 2001 | 104 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 209 | L_Horsefly | 2001 | 200 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 210 | M_Horsefly | 2001 | 198 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 211 | U_Horsefly | 20002001 | 497 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 238 | Roaring | 2001 | 100 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 239 | McKinley | 20012005 | 225 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 241 | Wasko_Cr | 2001 | 100 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 242 | Blue_Lead_Cr | 2001 | 100 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 327 | Cogburn_Cr | 20032011 | 29 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 328 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DollyVarden_C } \\ & \text { r } \end{aligned}$ | 20012003 | 121 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 414 | Quesnel_Decep $\mathrm{t}$ | 2005 | 77 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 454 | Chilko_North | 19921995199619972000200120082009 | 782 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 488 | Ormonde_Cr | 2010 | 24 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 489 | Sampson_Slou gh | 201020112012 | 163 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 490 | Nechako_R | 20102014 | 29 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 509 | GreenRiver | 20112012 | 95 |
| 3 | Summer(Fr) | 512 | Pemberton_Cr | 2011 | 13 |
| 4 | Late(Fr) | 3 | L_Adams | 198219901995199619981999 | 550 |


| 4 | Late(Fr) | 4 | Weaver | 19821986199219961998199920002001 | 692 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Late(Fr) | 8 | L_Shuswap | 1983198619901996199819992002 | 408 |
| 4 | Late(Fr) | 10 | Harrison | 198619952000 | 329 |
| 4 | Late(Fr) | 11 | Cultus_Lake | $\begin{aligned} & 199219951999200020012002200420052006 \\ & 200720082009 \end{aligned}$ | 2407 |
| 4 | Late(Fr) | 14 | Portage_Cr | 1986199719981999 | 466 |
| 4 | Late(Fr) | 15 | MiddleShuswa <br> p | 19862002 | 246 |
| 4 | Late(Fr) | 17 | WidgeonSloug h | 2002 | 97 |
| 4 | Late(Fr) | 23 | Big_Silver | 20002002 | 199 |
| 4 | Late(Fr) | 256 | Eagle_L | 1986199020022010 | 384 |
| 4 | Late(Fr) | 257 | Douglas_Harr | 200220032011 | 19 |
| 4 | Late(Fr) | 288 | Little | 2002 | 101 |
| 4 | Late(Fr) | 484 | Salmon_R_SA | 20102014 | 88 |
| 5 | Washington | 182 | LakeWashingto <br> n | 2000 | 198 |
| 5 | Washington | 192 | Baker_Lake | 199119962011 | 189 |
| 5 | Washington | 194 | Ozette_Lake | 1995 | 50 |
| 5 | Washington | 519 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BigCr_Quinalt_ } \\ & \text { R } \end{aligned}$ | 1995 | 100 |
| 6 | South Coast | 252 | Sakinaw | $\begin{aligned} & 199820002001200220052006201020112012 \\ & 201320142015 \end{aligned}$ | 834 |
| 6 | South Coast | 292 | Phillips | 20022005 | 205 |
| 6 | South Coast | 296 | Village_Bay | 20032006 | 21 |
| 6 | South Coast | 299 | Heydon | 2003 | 176 |
| 6 | South Coast | 301 | Glendale | 2003 | 188 |
| 6 | South Coast | 431 | Stephens_Cr | 2004 | 2 |
| 6 | South Coast | 561 | Tzoonie_R | 2015 | 0 |
| 7 | VI | 5 | Sproat | 1987199019922002 | 469 |
| 7 | VI | 6 | Great_Central | 1987199019922002 | 750 |
| 7 | VI | 7 | Henderson | 1988199319952002 | 346 |
| 7 | VI | 54 | Hobiton | 1992 | 81 |
| 7 | VI | 145 | Kennedy | 1986 | 91 |
| 7 | VI | 149 | Woss_Lake | 198520012002 | 283 |
| 7 | VI | 228 | Vernon_L | 20012002 | 360 |
| 7 | VI | 229 | Nimpkish_L | 20012002200320112014 | 302 |
| 7 | VI | 297 | Quatse | 20022003 | 292 |
| 7 | VI | 302 | Schoen | 2003 | 29 |
| 7 | VI | 329 | Muchalat | 2004 | 65 |
| 7 | VI | 345 | Nahwitti | 2004 | 32 |
| 8 | Columbia | 129 | Okanagan | 19931997199819992000200120022012 | 908 |
| 8 | Columbia | 193 | Lake_Wenatch ee | 19882007 | 89 |
| 8 | Columbia | 306 | Osoyoos | 200220032004 | 165 |
| 8 | Columbia | 428 | Rocky_Reach | 2005 | 80 |
| 8 | Columbia | 468 | RedfishLk_Ida ho | 200820092010 | 200 |
| 8 | Columbia | 523 | Bedrock_Cr | 1996 | 99 |
| 9 | Nass | 43 | Bonney | 198719941996199819992001 | 544 |
| 9 | Nass | 44 | Gingit_RT | 1987198819972011 | 442 |
| 9 | Nass | 45 | Kwinageese | 198720002001 | 194 |
| 9 | Nass | 47 | Damdochax | 198719941998199920002001 | 557 |


| 9 | Nass | 48 | Bowser | 1986198719941998199920002001 | 827 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | Nass | 49 | Zolzap_juv_RT | 19961997 | 60 |
| 9 | Nass | 232 | Meziadin_beac h | 2001 | 188 |
| 9 | Nass | 233 | Tintina_Cr | 200120022006 | 203 |
| 9 | Nass | 234 | Hanna_Cr | 200120022006 | 253 |
| 9 | Nass | 560 | Gitzyon_RTCr | 20132014 | 30 |
| 10 | Lower Skeena | 65 | McDonnell | 1987198819942002 | 283 |
| 10 | Lower Skeena | 68 | Swan_Lk | 198819942006 | 288 |
| 10 | Lower Skeena | 75 | Williams | 19871988199420052006 | 434 |
| 10 | Lower Skeena | 76 | Schulbuckhand | 19882005 | 102 |
| 10 | Lower Skeena | 79 | Alastair | 19871988199419982006 | 354 |
| 10 | Lower Skeena | 80 | Kitwanga_R | 19982009 | 153 |
| 10 | Lower Skeena | 82 | Kalum | 1994 | 77 |
| 10 | Lower Skeena | 289 | Stephens_Lk | 20012004 | 202 |
| 10 | Lower Skeena | 436 | Kalum_lake | 2006 | 89 |
| 10 | Lower Skeena | 444 | Zymoetz_RT | 2006 | 64 |
| 10 | Lower Skeena | 463 | KitwangaBeach | 20082009 | 401 |
| 10 | Lower Skeena | 530 | Kalam/Cedar_ Cha | 2012 | 100 |
| 11 | Upper Skeena | 66 | Motase | 1987 | 75 |
| 11 | Upper Skeena | 78 | SalixBear | 19871988 | 116 |
| 11 | Upper Skeena | 173 | Sustut | 1993200020012006 | 341 |
| 11 | Upper Skeena | 465 | Damshilgwit | 2004 | 203 |
| 11 | Upper Skeena | 470 | Slamgeesh | 20062008 | 469 |
| 12 | Bulkley | 73 | Nanika | 1988199420032012 | 157 |
| 12 | Bulkley | 466 | Bulkley_R_upp <br> er | 2004200520122014 | 45 |
| 13 | Babine | 67 | Grizzly | 1987 | 78 |
| 13 | Babine | 69 | U_Babine | 198719942006 | 291 |
| 13 | Babine | 70 | Pinkut | 1985198719901994 | 492 |
| 13 | Babine | 71 | Fulton_L | 1985198719901994 | 536 |
| 13 | Babine | 72 | L_Babine | 19871994 | 150 |
| 13 | Babine | 77 | Pierre | 1987198820062013 | 318 |
| 13 | Babine | 118 | Twain_Cr | 19871990 | 154 |
| 13 | Babine | 123 | Four_Mile | 198719882006 | 227 |
| 13 | Babine | 331 | Babine_Fence | 19591960 | 190 |
| 13 | Babine | 446 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HallidaySlou_R } \\ & \mathrm{T} \end{aligned}$ | 2005200620072009 | 68 |
| 13 | Babine | 531 | Morrison_L | 2012 | 88 |


| 13 | Babine | 540 | Johnston_Lake | 2010 | 121 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | Stikine | 40 | Tuya | 1996200220072008 | 239 |
| 14 | Stikine | 41 | Tahltan | 198719962002 | 468 |
| 14 | Stikine | 42 | U_Stikine | 1996 | 352 |
| 14 | Stikine | 81 | Scud_RT | ```198519872000200120072008200920102011``` | 623 |
| 14 | Stikine | 95 | Iskut_RT | 19852002200620072008 | 200 |
| 14 | Stikine | 120 | ChutineRiver | 198520002001200220082009 | 537 |
| 14 | Stikine | 121 | Christina_Lk | 1984201020112012 | 130 |
| 14 | Stikine | 139 | Iskut_Verrett | 19862000200120022003201020112012 | 459 |
| 14 | Stikine | 165 | PorcupineSlo_ RT | 20002001200720082009201020112012 | 187 |
| 14 | Stikine | 221 | Katete_RT | 20012002 | 31 |
| 14 | Stikine | 222 | Bugleg_Cr_RT | 2001 | 42 |
| 14 | Stikine | 223 | Shakes_Cr_RT | 2001200220062007200820092012 | 214 |
| 14 | Stikine | 224 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bronson_Slou_ } \\ & \text { RT } \end{aligned}$ | 2001200820092012 | 126 |
| 14 | Stikine | 225 | Devils_Elbow_ RT | 2001200720082009 | 311 |
| 14 | Stikine | 226 | Iskut_Craig_R T | 2001200620072009 | 66 |
| 14 | Stikine | 227 | Stikine_main_ RT | 20012010 | 154 |
| 14 | Stikine | 276 | Twin | 2002 | 29 |
| 14 | Stikine | 439 | St_Main@Fowl _RT | 2007200820092010 | 39 |
| 14 | Stikine | 440 | St_Main@And y_RT | 2007200820092011 | 40 |
| 14 | Stikine | 457 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { StikineCraig_R } \\ & \text { T } \end{aligned}$ | 2008 | 22 |
| 14 | Stikine | 458 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Isket_Zappa_R } \\ & \text { T } \end{aligned}$ | 2008 | 7 |
| 14 | Stikine | 459 | AndrewCr | 2006 | 2 |
| 14 | Stikine | 476 | ChutineLake | 200920102011 | 258 |
| 14 | Stikine | 496 | Hoodoo_Sloug h | 1522010 | 26 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 57 | Tenas | 1985 | 80 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 89 | Banks | 1986 | 41 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 99 | Namu | 1999 | 93 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 100 | Mary_Cove | 1999 | 78 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 101 | Lagoon_Cr | 1999 | 50 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 102 | Devon_Lake | 198519992004 | 332 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 103 | Mikado_Cr | 19861999 | 162 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 106 | Lowe_Lake | 1986 | 40 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 107 | Kimsquit | 1986 | 78 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 108 | Canoona | 1986 | 100 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 109 | Tankeeah | 198620012002200320042005 | 399 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 110 | Kitlope | 198620062010 | 270 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 111 | Koeye | 19862004 | 86 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 119 | Lonesome | 1997 | 99 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 126 | Long_Lake | 19891998199920002001 | 483 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 130 | Klinaklini | 19982002 | 319 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 230 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Smokehouse_C } \\ & \text { r } \end{aligned}$ | 20012002 | 231 |


| 15 | Central Coast | 231 | Canoe_Cr | 20012002 | 139 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | Central Coast | 295 | Klemtu | 2002 | 27 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 305 | Martin | 2002 | 1 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 317 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bella_Coola_m } \\ & \text { ix } \end{aligned}$ | 2003 | 222 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 335 | Prudhomme_Cr | 2004 | 111 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 336 | Curtis_Cr | 2004 | 106 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 337 | Kooryet_Cr | 2004 | 129 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 338 | Freda_Lake | 2004 | 37 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 340 | Keecha_Lake | 2004 | 99 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 341 | Kingkown_N | 2004 | 95 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 342 | Kingkown_S | 2004 | 107 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 343 | Diane_Cr | 2004 | 91 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 347 | Shawatlan_Lak e | 2004 | 100 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 348 | Evelyn_Lake | 2004 | 103 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 349 | Kent_Lake | 2004 | 105 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 350 | L_Kwakwa_La ke | 2004 | 57 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 351 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U_Kwakwa_La } \\ & \text { ke } \end{aligned}$ | 2004 | 66 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 352 | Deer_Lake | 20042008 | 185 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 353 | Kitkiata_Lake | 2004 | 100 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 363 | Maria | 2004 | 1 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 364 | Kadjusdis | 2004 | 98 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 365 | Kwakusdis | 2004 | 7 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 366 | Hooknose | 2004 | 6 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 367 | Dean | 2004 | 1 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 426 | Kitimat | 20052010 | 312 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 427 | West_Arm_Cr | 200520062008 | 137 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 429 | Bloomfield_Cr | 2005 | 117 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 471 | Moore_Lk | 2006 | 22 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 472 | Tsimtack_Cr | 2006 | 22 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 473 | Atnarko | 2005 | 44 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 475 | NWMoorelake | 2009 | 18 |
| 15 | Central Coast | 493 | Tezwa_R | 2006 | 21 |
| 16 | Taku | 55 | Kuthai | 1986198720042005 | 372 |
| 16 | Taku | 58 | Tatsatua | 198519871993200520112012 | 388 |
| 16 | Taku | 85 | Hackett_RT | 19851987200720082009 | 292 |
| 16 | Taku | 90 | Little_Trapper | 19922004 | 107 |
| 16 | Taku | 144 | B_Tatsamenie | 19921993 | 151 |
| 16 | Taku | 167 | Tuskwa_RT | 2000200420082009 | 468 |
| 16 | Taku | 169 | Taku_KingSal mon | 2000200320042005201020112013 | 557 |
| 16 | Taku | 170 | Tulsequah_RT | 2000200720082009 | 306 |
| 16 | Taku | 171 | Shustahini_RT | 200020082009 | 210 |
| 16 | Taku | 172 | Takwahoni_RT | 2000200920102011 | 211 |
| 16 | Taku | 316 | Nahlin | 20042005200620072012 | 459 |
| 16 | Taku | 344 | Yonakina_RT | 20042011 | 54 |
| 16 | Taku | 445 | TakuMainstem _RT | 2007 | 126 |


| 16 | Taku | 460 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YellowBluff_R } \\ & \text { T } \end{aligned}$ | 200820102011 | 81 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | Taku | 461 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BearSlough_R } \\ & \text { T } \end{aligned}$ | 20082009 | 306 |
| 16 | Taku | 462 | NakinaR | 200820092011 | 39 |
| 16 | Taku | 495 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yehring_Cr_R } \\ & \text { T } \end{aligned}$ | 20072011 | 109 |
| 16 | Taku | 516 | Fish_Cr | 2010 | 107 |
| 17 | Alsek | 59 | Klukshu_mix | 1992200020072008 | 524 |
| 17 | Alsek | 166 | Neskataheen | 20002001200220032007 | 832 |
| 17 | Alsek | 168 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L_Tatshenshi_ } \\ & \text { RT } \end{aligned}$ | 20002001200220032010 | 121 |
| 17 | Alsek | 217 | Kudwat_Cr_RT | 200120072009201020112012 | 249 |
| 17 | Alsek | 218 | Detour_Cr_RT | 20012011 | 26 |
| 17 | Alsek | 219 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U_Tatshensh_R } \\ & \text { T } \end{aligned}$ | 200120022003 | 318 |
| 17 | Alsek | 220 | Stinky_Cr_RT | 20012011 | 103 |
| 17 | Alsek | 236 | Klukshu_Early | 200020012002 | 226 |
| 17 | Alsek | 237 | Klukshu_Late | 200020012002 | 309 |
| 17 | Alsek | 243 | Alsek_T_down | 200120022003 | 75 |
| 17 | Alsek | 244 | Stanley_Cr_RT | 200120022003 | 31 |
| 17 | Alsek | 245 | Alsek_T_up | 200120022003 | 50 |
| 17 | Alsek | 246 | Blanchard | 200120022003200720082009 | 252 |
| 17 | Alsek | 247 | OConnor_RT | 200120022003 | 96 |
| 17 | Alsek | 249 | Kane | 200120022003 | 108 |
| 17 | Alsek | 250 | Uknown_Alsek | 2001 | 35 |
| 17 | Alsek | 432 | Basin_Cr_RT | 20022003 | 45 |
| 17 | Alsek | 433 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tweedsmuir_R } \\ & \text { T } \end{aligned}$ | 200320072009201020112012 | 152 |
| 17 | Alsek | 434 | LowFog_RT | 20022003 | 3 |
| 17 | Alsek | 435 | Takhanne_RT | 20022003 | 4 |
| 17 | Alsek | 437 | VernRichie_RT | 2007200820092010 | 217 |
| 17 | Alsek | 438 | Goat_Cr_RT | 20072012 | 66 |
| 17 | Alsek | 441 | BorderSlough_ RT | 20072008200920112012 | 185 |
| 17 | Alsek | 497 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sediment_Cr_R } \\ & \text { T } \end{aligned}$ | 2010 | 11 |
| 17 | Alsek | 513 | Kwatine_Cr | 2011 | 65 |
| 17 | Alsek | 515 | Bridge_Silver | 20112012 | 105 |
| 18 | Owikeno | 97 | Inziana | 1997200020012002 | 397 |
| 18 | Owikeno | 98 | Washwash | 1997200020012002 | 366 |
| 18 | Owikeno | 132 | Ashlulm | 20002001200220042007 | 234 |
| 18 | Owikeno | 133 | Dallery | 200020012002 | 161 |
| 18 | Owikeno | 134 | Genesee | 20002001200220042007 | 190 |
| 18 | Owikeno | 135 | Neechanz | 2000200120022004 | 328 |
| 18 | Owikeno | 136 | Amback | 2000200120022004 | 411 |
| 18 | Owikeno | 137 | Sheemahant | 2000200120022004 | 282 |
| 18 | Owikeno | 251 | Marble_Cr | 20012002 | 121 |
| 18 | Owikeno | 300 | Wannock | 2002 | 86 |
| 19 | QCI | 128 | CopperR_QCI | 199319962001 | 170 |
| 19 | QCI | 131 | Yakoun | 19891993 | 160 |
| 19 | QCI | 188 | Awun | 1995 | 79 |
| 19 | QCI | 189 | Naden | 1995 | 98 |


| 19 | QCI | 235 | Mercer_Lake | 1983 | 41 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 113 | Hugh_Smith | 19922000 | 282 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 114 | Heckman | 19922000 | 296 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 116 | McDonald | 19922000 | 276 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 117 | Karta | 19922000 | 265 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 147 | Thoms_Lake | 2000 | 212 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 154 | Kutlaku_Lake | 2000 | 203 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 155 | Red_Bay_Lake | 2000 | 201 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 162 | Sitkoh | 20002001 | 382 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 174 | PetersburgLake | 2000 | 193 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 175 | Salmon_Bay | 2000 | 198 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 176 | Sarkar | 2000 | 45 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 177 | Luck | 2000 | 200 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 178 | Hetta | 20002002 | 313 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 179 | Klakas | 2000 | 200 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 180 | Kegan | 2000 | 196 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 272 | Mahoney | 2002 | 71 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 273 | Kah_Sheets | 2002 | 105 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 274 | Kunk | 2002 | 107 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 275 | Shipley | 2002 | 105 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 455 | Chilkoot | 2003 | 95 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 456 | ChilkootLkBea | 2007 | 95 |
| 20 | SE Alaska | 477 | Klawock | 20042010 | 288 |
| 21 | Unuk | 60 | Border_Lake | 1987 | 50 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes average combined U.S. gillnet, troll and sport harvest of Stikine River large Chinook salmon in District 108.
    ${ }^{2}$ Includes average combined Canadian Aboriginal, commercial and sport harvest of Stikine River large Chinook salmon.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Terminal run size $=$ total run excluding allowance for harvests in marine areas outside the terminal Alaskan drift gillnet fisheries (e.g. Districts 106, and 108).

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ Includes average combined U.S. drift gillnet and sport harvest of Taku River large Chinook salmon in District 111.
    ${ }^{5}$ Includes average combined Canadian Aboriginal, commercial and estimated sport harvest of Taku River large Chinook salmon.

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ Terminal run $=$ total Taku River Chinook salmon run size minus the U.S. troll harvest of Taku River Chinook salmon outside District 111.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Estimates based on Extended Rearing experiment period 2008-2012.
    ${ }^{2}$ Estimate made without Trapper Lake specific survival rates.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ A) Gene Conservation Laboratory of ADF\&G; B) International Program for Salmon Ecological Genetics at the University of Washington; C) Hagerman Genetics Laboratory of the Columbia River Inter-Tribal Fish Commission; and D) Molecular Genetics Laboratory at the Canadian Department of Fisheries and Oceans.

