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## INTRODUCTION THE PACIFIC SALMON TREATY CHINOOK REBUILDING PROGRAM

The Pacific Salmon Treaty established a system of fishery-specific catch and harvest rate restrictions intended to:
"halt the decline in spawning escapements of depressed stocks; and attain by 1998, escapement goals established in order to restore production of naturally spawning chinook stocks, as represented by indicator stocks identified by the Parties, based on a rebuilding program begun in 1984".

The goal of the program is to rebuild depressed naturally-spawning stocks and restore production through progressive increases in spawning escapements achieved through a combination of catch ceilings in selected mixed-stock fisheries and harvest rate restrictions in non-ceiling, pass-through fisheries. The Pacific Salmon Commission instructed the Chinook Technical Committee to "develop procedures to evaluate progress in the rebuilding of naturally spawning chinook stocks". The February 1987 Chinook Technical Committee Report, "Assessing Progress Toward Rebuilding Depressed Chinook Stocks", established an evaluation framework that documented an indicator stock program, identified information requirements, and recommended analytical procedures for the assessment of rebuilding. The Committee also identified a number of policy issues that had to be resolved before final conclusions could be reached regarding the status of rebuilding on a regional or coastwide basis. Agreement on those issues has not yet been reached.

In assessing the status of individual stocks under the rebuilding program, the Committee identified 3 main elements that must be examined: (1) spawning escapement levels; (2) fishery harvest and stock-specific exploitation rates; and (3) production responses to increases in spawning escapements. The Committee recommended that rebuilding assessment be stratified into 3 phases corresponding with three 5-year chinook life-cycles in the rebuilding period: 1984-1988; 1989-1993; and 1994-1998. The Committee felt that a three-phase approach to assessment would address the problems of changing data availability and quality over time.

This report provides an evaluation through the midpoint of the second phase of the rebuilding program using data through 1991. This report includes recent catch in fisheries of concern to the Pacific Salmon Commission (Chapter 1), assessment of spawning escapements for 42 escapement indicator stocks (Chapter 2), fishery harvest and stock-specific exploitation rates based on 40 exploitation rate indicator stocks (Chapter 3), and an integration of Chapters 2 and 3 and results from the chinook model (Chapter 4).

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## 1991 CHINOOK SALMON CATCHIES IN FISHERIES WITH CEILINGS

Estimates of 1991 catch for each fishery managed under a harvest ceiling established by the Pacific Salmon Commission (PSC) are presented below.

a/ T=Troll; $N=$ Net; $S=$ Sport
b/ The actual total catch was 357,100 chinook, including a hatchery add-on of 61,400 .
c/ Excludes 6,066 chinook caught in terminal areas in 1991, which Canada proposes to exclude from the ceiling.

## CUMULATIVE DEVIATIONS FROM CATCH CEILINGS

A 7.5\% cumulative management range was established by the PSC in 1987. Annual catches (without add-on) and deviations from catch ceilings since 1987 (in thousands of fish) are as follows:

| Arealfisheries |  | Catch |  |  |  |  | Total Deviation | Cumulative D eviation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $1987$ | $1988$ | $1989$ | $1990$ | $1991$ |  | Numbers | Percent |
| S.E. Alaska (T,N,S) a/ | 263 b/ | 265.2 | 255.2 | 264.4 | 313.2 | 295.6 | + 29.6 | +29.6 | +11.3\%c/ |
| North/Central B.C. (T, N, S) d/ | 263 b/ | 282.8 | 245.6 | 301.2 | 253.0 | 303.2 | + 21.8 | +21.8 | +8.3\% c/ |
| West Coast <br> Vancouver Island (T) | 360 | 379.0 | 408.7 | 203.7 | 298.0 | 202.9 | -307.7 | -27.0 | -7.5\% e/ |
| St. of Georgia (T, S) | 275 | 159.7 | 138.6 | 161.3 | 146.3 | 147.8 | -621.3 | -20.6 | -7.5\% e/ |

a/ S.E. Alaska catches exclude hatchery add-ons of $16,700,23,700,26,700,48,300$, and 61,400 for 1987, 1988, 1989, 1990, and 1991 respectively.
b/ The 1990 ceiling was 302,000 , and the 1991 ceiling was 273,000 .
c/ These overages exceed the $7.5 \%$ management range.
d/ Catches exclude $4,819,5,549$, and 6,066 chinook caught in terminal areas in 1989, 1990, and 1991, respectively, for a total of 16,434 .
e/ Negative deviations below the $7.5 \%$ management range can not be accumulated.

## ESCAPEMENT ASSESSMENT

Our objective is to assess the rebuilding status of each escapement indicator stock through an evaluation of 1) the mean escapement in the base period and the rebuilding period, 2) consistency with a linear approximation of the expected rebuilding pattern, and 3) a positive trend in escapements. As in 1990, 42 naturally spawning escapement indicator stocks were included in the assessment. These stocks represent distinct naturally spawning populations or management groups that originate from individual rivers or watersheds. Some stocks represent several populations aggregated by region and life history type.

The rebuilding response of the escapement indicator stocks is inconsistent with expectations. There has been a general decline in the proportion of stocks that are classified as rebuilding, while the proportion of stocks that are not rebuilding has increased. Furthermore, 29 of the 42 indicator stocks had lower escapements in 1991 than in 1990 and less than half ( 16 of 36 ) of the escapement indicator stocks with goals are currently classified as Above Goal, Rebuilding, or Probably Rebuilding. This is especially significant since most stocks are now more than halfway and the remainder are more than two-thirds through their rebuilding programs. Of particular concern are the 15 stocks classified as Not Rebuilding or Probably Not Rebuilding. In 1991, the escapements of all of these stocks were less than $60 \%$ of their rebuilding goals and, for seven of these 15 stocks, the average escapement during the rebuilding period has actually declined from the base period level.

| STOCKS WITH ESCAPEMENT GOALS |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CATEGORY | Assessment for <br> 1990 with <br> 1991 methods $^{1}$ |  | Actual <br> 1991 <br> Assessment |  |
|  | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ |
| Above Goal | 12 | $34 \%$ | 12 | $33 \%$ |
| Rebuilding | 0 | $0 \%$ | 1 | $3 \%$ |
| Probably Rebuilding | 4 | $12 \%$ | 3 | $8 \%$ |
| Indeterminate | 9 | $26 \%$ | 5 | $14 \%$ |
| Probably Not Rebuilding | 10 | $29 \%$ | 12 | $33 \%$ |
| Not Rebuilding | 0 | $0 \%$ | 3 | $8 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 35 | $100 \%$ | 36 | $100 \%$ |

1 Explanation of the difference between the 1990 and 1991 assessments may be found in Chapter 2, Section 2.3.
The poor response seen in half of the Southeast Alaska (SEAK) and Transboundary (TBR) stocks, primarily the Behm Canal stocks, in 1991 is of particular concern to the CTC since this group has only four years remaining in its rebuilding program. In 1991, five of the ten stocks were classified as
either Probably Not Rebuilding (4) or Not Rebuilding (1). These five stocks all declined in status from 1990 and their 1991 escapements ranged from only $30 \%$ to $54 \%$ of goal.

While the 26 stocks with goals and a target rebuilding date of 1998 still have seven years remaining to rebuild, the CTC is concerned by the large number of these stocks that are classified as Probably Not Rebuilding or Not Rebuilding. Although all six stocks without goals were classified in 1991 as showing a long-term escapement increase, all but the Oregon Coastal stock had declines in escapements from 1990. One of these stocks, Queets spring/summer, had an escapement below its management floor. For those stocks with goals, $58 \%$ ( 15 of 26) were assessed as either Indeterminate (5), Probably Not Rebuilding (8), or Not Rebuilding (2). Five stocks declined in status from 1990 while only one stock improved.

## EXPLOITATION RATE ASSESSMENT

The primary purpose of the Exploitation Rate Assessment is to evaluate the effectiveness of management measures in PSC fisheries. The assessment relies upon coded wire tag (CWT) release and recovery data to estimate indices of fishery harvest rates, a CTC suggested passthrough index for depressed natural stocks, brood exploitation rates, and the survival of CWT groups. The utility of the indices is dependent on how representative the indicator stocks are of the actual populations harvested in the fisheries.

A basic premise of the rebuilding program is that fixed ceilings will act in concert with increases in the abundance of chinook to continually reduce harvest rates. In addition, the CTC recommended when the rebuilding program was developed that restrictions in the length of the season, or other restrictions designed to reduce harvest rates, should be implemented in years in which abundance precluded harvesting the full ceiling without an increase in the harvest rate (PSC 1991). Since 1985, the SEAK and North/Central B.C. (NCBC) all gear fisheries and Georgia Strait (GS) troll fishery have been managed primarily through the use of ceilings, while the West Coast Vancouver Island (WCVI) troll and GS sport fisheries have implemented restrictions related to effort or bag limits to control harvest rates.

For all ceiling fisheries, the initial objective was to achieve the 1985 target reduction in harvest rates. Further reductions in harvest rates were expected to occur in subsequent years as abundance increased. The fishery indices indicate that only the NCBC fishery has consistently achieved these objectives. WCVI has shown mixed results with respect to fishery index changes. Since 1985 there have been 3 years with fishery index changes greater than or equal to the 1985 target reduction, 1 year near the target, and 3 years with fishery indices less or much less than the 1985 target reduction. Management measures in the SEAK and GS fisheries have been insufficient to consistently achieve the target harvest rate reductions.

While the 1985 target harvest rate reduction in the SEAK fishery has been achieved for the reported catch, the total harvest rate reduction has not been met due to the high chinook availability and/or abundance and management regime for the SEAK fisheries, including prolonged chinook nonretention (CNR) periods for the troll fishery. In 1991, the length of the general troll summer season was the shortest ( 7.5 days) since the inception of the PST primarily due to a high abundance and large catch per fleet day. The 1991 CNR period was 64.5 days (1988 to 1990 average was 51.1).

Since 1989, catch in the WCVI fishery has been controlled primarily through restrictions in fishing areas and by limiting the total effort. The 1990 Letter of Transmittal stated that "it is Canada's intention in 1990 to manage this fishery in a manner so as not to exceed the 1985-87 average troll fishery harvest rate". To this end fishing effort, both in terms of days open and total boat days, was restricted to the average 1985-1987 level in each year. Revised estimates of harvest rates included in this report indicate that the commitment to harvest rate reductions was achieved. The 1985-1991 average reduction in the harvest rate of $22 \%$ is near the 1985 target reduction of $24 \%$.

Harvest rates in the combined GS sport and troll fishery remain above even the 1985 target level (1985-91 average reduction being $72 \%$ of the 1985 target reduction) primarily due to the sport fishery. Management actions which have been taken in the sport fishery are summarized in Chapter 1. Despite these actions, the harvest rate in the sport fishery was estimated to be only $2 \%$ less than the base period level. This indicates that management actions taken in this fishery have been insufficient to achieve the 1985 target reduction.

| EISHERY | AGE | CHANGE IN TOTAL RISHERY HARVESTY RATES FROM BASE PERIOD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $1985$ | $1986$ | $1987$ | +1888, | 1989 | +1990 | \% 8 , \% 8 , | 8501 <br> AVERAGE | 86TARGET REDUCTION |
| SEAK Troll | 3,4,5 | 16\% | 5\% | 1\% | -22\% | -30\% | -12\% | -4\% | - 7\% | -22\% |
| NCBC Trall | 3,4,5 | -8\% | -20\% | -21\% | -38\% | -31\% | -30\% | -27\% | -25\% | -16\% |
| WCVI Troll | 3.4 | -11\% | -4\% | -24\% | 2\% | -57\% | -19\% | -43\% | -22\% | -24\% |
| Strait of Georgia Sport \& Troll | 3,4,5 | -53\% | -27\% | -34\% | -41\% | -18\% | -40\% | $-23 \%$ | -34\% | -47\% |

Passthrough indices provided in this report were computed using methods suggested by the CTC in 1992. Although these methods are consistent with assumptions used by the CTC in previous analyses of fishery management regimes, it should be noted that the PSC has not formally provided the CTC with a definition of passthrough which can be used to analytically assess if the passthrough provision of the PST has been satisfied. In addition, the reported indices do not include the WCVI sport fishery and some terminal sport and net fisheries. These fisheries were excluded in instances in which the exploitation rate indicator stock was of hatchery origin and subject to terminal fisheries designed to harvest surplus hatchery production. The analysis indicated that the passthrough commitment has generally been achieved for depressed natural stocks. Exceptions occurred in 1990 for U.S. fisheries (Stillaguamish, Snohomish, and Columbia River Summer stocks), and 1986 and 1989 for Canadian fisheries (Lower GS and Upper GS stocks, respectively).

Implementation of the PST ceilings was expected to reduce brood exploitation rates by 16 percentage points for the Georgia Strait stock and 9 percentage points for the WCVI stock. For reported catch, these targets have now been achieved. Unfortunately, reductions in exploitation associated with reported catch have been offset to a large extent by increases related to incidental mortality. For
example, while the average Robertson Creek (exploitation indicator stock for the WCVI) brood exploitation rate for reported catch in ocean fisheries has declined by 11 percentage points, the brood exploitation rate for total mortality in ocean fisheries has declined by 7 percentage points. Similarly, the average Big Qualicum (exploitation indicator stock for Lower GS) brood exploitation rate for reported catch in all fisheries has declined by 20 percentage points, but the brood exploitation rate for total mortality in all fisheries has declined by only 7 percentage points.

The 1982-1987 average brood year ocean exploitation rates for total mortality have declined from base period levels for 13 of the 16 stocks for which adequate data are available. For these stocks, the median decline was 8 percentage points from the base period. The average 1982-1987 brood year ocean exploitation rates increased from base period levels for three stocks. The median decline in total ocean exploitation rates for all stocks was 6.5 percentage points.

## INTEGRATED ANALYSIS

The technical basis for development of the PST chinook rebuilding program in 1984 relied upon a chinook model that included four stock types: Columbia Upriver Bright, Columbia River Tule, WCVI fall, and GS fall. The Columbia Upriver Bright stock was used as an indicator for far-north migrating fall-type stocks originating in Washington and Oregon, the Columbia River Tule (Spring Creek Hatchery) stock was an indicator for early-maturing chinook stocks harvested off the coast of the WCVI and Washington, the WCVI was represented by Robertson Creek Hatchery to indicate impacts on far-north, fall-type stocks originating in Canada, and the GS stock was represented by the Big Qualicum stock as an indicator for fall-type stocks that contribute primarily to GS fisheries.

The model was used to evaluate a number of potential management actions, with the objective of identifying a regime that would rebuild depressed natural stocks by 1998 and was acceptable to the Parties. The task of rebuilding WCVI and GS stocks was most critical in the development of the PST's management regime since the Columbia Upriver Bright stock was close to its escapement goal and the Spring Creek stock primarily represented hatchery production. The response of stocks other than WCVI and GS to the PST management regime was expected to vary depending upon stock specific attributes, including distribution and productivity. Realizing the limitations of the data available at the time, and the general objective to "attain by 1998, escapement goals...of naturally spawning chinook stocks, as represented by indicator stocks identified by the Parties", the original chinook chapter recognized that modification of the PST chinook management regime might be required to achieve the rebuilding objective.

As expected, the analysis presented in this chapter indicates that the response of stocks to the PST management regime has been highly variable. Among the stock groups which include more than one escapement indicator stock, there is no instance in which the rebuilding status of all stocks is equivalent, and in some instances, the status ranges from Above Goal to Not Rebuilding.

The CTC provided an integrated assessment of the status of chinook stocks two years ago in the 1989 Annual Report. During the two years since the last assessment, if the rebuilding program were proceeding as expected, we would expect fishery and stock indices to have declined further below the 1985 target levels, further reductions in brood year exploitation rates, chinook abundance in fisheries to have increased, and most of the escapement indicator stocks to be in the upper status categories.

When the results of this assessment are compared with the 1989 Annual Report, it is apparent that these expectations have not been fulfilled.

1) In 1989, the 1985 target reductions were achieved in 3 of the 4 ceiling fisheries, and the average reduction was $34 \%$. In 1991, the 1985 target reductions were achieved in 2 of the fisheries, and the average reduction was $24 \%$.
2) In 1989, average brood exploitation rates for stock groups during the rebuilding period had declined by an average of $12 \%$ ( 8 percentage points). In 1991, brood exploitation rates had declined by an average of $10 \%$ ( 7 percentage points).
3) Comparing the rebuilding status of the 35 escapement indicator stocks with goals used in both the 1989 and 1991 assessments, $29 \%$ of the stocks were classified as Probably Not Rebuilding or Not Rebuilding in 1989 and $42 \%$ were in these categories in 1991.
4) The estimated model abundance of chinook available to the ceiling fisheries in 1991 was less than in 1989 with the exception of the GS sport and troll fishery.

| ATIRIBUTE | 1989 | 1991 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Reduction in Ceiling Fishery Harvest Rates | 34\% | 24\% |
| Ocean Brood Exploitation Rates (Average Change From Base) | -12\% | -10\% |
| Percent of Escapement Indicator Stocks in Probably Not Rebuilding or Not Rebuilding Categories | 23\% | 42\% |
| Abundance Indices |  |  |
| SEAK Troll | 1.35 | 1.20 |
| NCBC Troll | 1.04 | 0.98 |
| WCVI Troll | 0.72 | 0.61 |
| GS Sport and Troll | 0.45 | 0.57 |

Bearing in mind the variability observed within the stock groups, several conclusions regarding the rebuilding program may be drawn:

1) Above Average Survival Benefitted Far North Migrating Stocks. Progress toward rebuilding was accelerated in the initial years of the PST by survival rates greater than the long term average for stocks for which a majority of the fishing mortality occurs in the NCBC and SEAK ceiling fisheries. In particular, escapements for many components of the Washington Coastal/Columbia River/Oregon summer/fall (WACO) (1983-1984 broods) and SEAK (19801982) stock groups showed substantial increases in escapement in the period from 1985 to 1989 which were likely related to good survival. Good survival, and the resultant increases in abundance, acted in conjunction with the ceilings to further increase escapements by reducing
harvest rates. These stock groups may also have benefitted from delayed openings in summer seasons and reductions in the exploitation rates in passthrough fisheries. Although the evidence is less conclusive, similar processes may have affected the NCBC and Upper Fraser stock groups. As survival rates declined, model estimates of abundance in the fisheries stabilized or declined, fishery indices increased, and escapement for many of the stocks also stabilized or declined. The 1989 report noted that "the survival of stocks contributing to the northern fisheries is expected to be poor...Consequently, the harvest rate reductions expected under the rebuilding program are not likely to be achieved." This statement continues to be applicable in 1992 and 1993, as survivals are projected to be substantially below the long-term average.
2) Rebuilding Progress is Poor For Stocks Harvested in GS. Escapement indicator stocks in stock groups in which more than $40 \%$ of the fishing mortality occurs in GS are classified as Probably Not Rebuilding (Lower GS, Lower Fraser Fall, and Skagit Spring). This is consistent with results from the chinook model, which predicts that the Lower GS stock and the Lower Fraser stock will not rebuild by 1998 . The limited response of these stocks is likely due to poor recent survivals and the failure to meet target harvest rate reductions in some ceiling fisheries (the stock index for the Lower GS stock indicates that only $25 \%$ of the 1985 target reduction has been achieved). However, brood year total exploitation on the Big Qualicum exploitation indicator stock in Lower GS has been successfully reduced since the base period. Survivals of recent Lower GS broods are expected to remain poor but survival of the Lower Fraser stock is expected to improve relative to recent years. The 1989 CTC report stated that because "an additional [abundance] reduction of $9 \%$ is projected for $1990-1991 \ldots$ the 1985 target reductions are not likely to be achieved in 1990 and 1991 unless additional management actions are implemented." Although some additional management actions have been taken in GS (See Discussion, Chapter 3), these actions appear to have been insufficient.
3) Mixed Progress For Stocks Primarily Harvested in U.S. Passthrough Fisheries. The two stock groups with more than $40 \%$ of the fishing mortality in U.S. non-ceiling fisheries have displayed a mixed response to the PSC management regime. The North Puget Sound (PS) Summer/Fall stock group has responded poorly; all three of the stocks are in the Indeterminate or Not Rebuilding categories and the chinook model predicts that two of the three stocks in the group will not rebuild by 1998. Exploitation rates on these stocks remain high, despite harvest rate reductions in ceiling fisheries and satisfactory achievement of the CTC definition of passthrough. Brood exploitation rates in ocean fisheries alone remain near the MSY ER. In contrast, the South Puget Sound Summer/Fall stock group has shown a marked increase in escapement, perhaps in response to enhancement.
4) In view of poor recent survivals and failures to at least achieve 1985 target harvest rate reductions in some ceiling fisheries, the CTC concludes that stock groups with all escapement indicator stocks presently categorized in the lower two rebuilding categories (WCVI, Lower GS, Lower Fraser Fall, North PS Spring, and Columbia Upriver Spring) will not rebuild by 1998. Rebuilding will require sustained increases in productivity (e.g., through habitat improvements or other enhancement activities) or a sustained decrease in fishing mortality of those stocks. Further, projections for continued poor survivals indicate that the required reductions in exploitation will be greater than originally estimated when average survivals were assumed.
5) Total brood exploitation rates have been reduced for exploitation indicator stocks in most stock groups (no change in SEAK) and are nearing the estimated exploitation rate at the maximum sustainable yield (MSY ER) of associated model stocks (with the exception of the Lower GS and Columbia Upriver Summer stocks). The lack of a positive response in escapements coupled with reduced brood exploitations indicate that poor survivals are limiting our ability to achieve the escapement goals. Exploitation rates are being reduced but have generally not been adequate for the degree of reduction in survivals. This seems particularly true for the Lower GS and Columbia Upriver Summer stocks. Managers of the summer stock noted problems with freshwater survival and the Lower GS stock has the poorest survival index of the 13 stock groups.
6) Harvest management of ocean fisheries is not benefitting all stocks equally. Rebuilding some specific stocks should be expected to require more detailed stock-specific investigations (e.g., examination of the biological basis of the escapement goal) and actions (e.g., habitat improvements, supplementation, etc.). Management of ocean fisheries using catch ceilings must be responsive to changes in abundance and stock productivities in order to achieve target harvest rate reductions but detailed stock-specific actions will likely also be required to rebuild all the indicator stocks.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

## Stock Status and Fishery Regimes

1. Undertake management actions to increase the probability that stocks achieve spawning escapement goals by the end of the rebuilding program. The failure to consistently achieve even the 1985 target reductions in harvest rates in all ceiling fisheries except NCBC, the lack of progress toward rebuilding by many stocks, and the expectations for reduced survival indicate that additional management actions will be required if stocks are to meet escapement goals by the target rebuilding dates. The CTC recommends:
a) Evaluate target fishery harvest rate reductions with respect to projected survival, projected abundance, current estimates of stock productivity, and stock status.
b) Evaluate alternative management approaches that account for annual variations in abundance and impacts on stock status.
c) SEAK: Reduce incidental mortality so as to achieve total mortality harvest rate reductions at least equal to the 1985 target levels.
d) NCBC: Maintain current ceilings and management regime.
e) WCVI: Manage fishery so as to achieve, at a minimum, the 1985 target reductions. If a catch ceiling is not used to control harvest, develop and utilize a measure of effort which will achieve the target harvest rate for chinook salmon.
f) GS: Institute additional management actions to at least achieve the 1985 target reductions, account for depressed current survivals, and attain the conservation objectives for the Lower GS stock.
2. Resolve policy issues and information needs for interpretation of the passthrough provision. The PST should determine if the CTC recommended definition of passthrough is acceptable or provide a definition which can be used to analytically evaluate the impact of nonceiling fisheries on the rebuilding program.
3. Continue controls on passthrough fisheries. Proposed preseason and inseason management actions in nonceiling fisheries should continue to be evaluated with respect to the passthrough provision.
4. Evaluate causes and develop solutions to rebuild stocks classified as Not Rebuilding or Probably Not Rebuilding. If the PSC intends to rebuild these stocks, potential causes for the continued poor response must be evaluated and a remedial management plan developed. Stock specific management actions should be considered for stocks which will not rebuild with PST management actions following from 1) above.
5. Resolve policy issues of what constitutes rebuilding and rebuilt. Southeast Alaska and Transboundary stocks are in the final phase of the 15 year rebuilding program, and the remaining stocks are past the midpoint of the program. Given the limited time prior to the target dates of rebuilding, and the poor progress of some stocks, it is imperative that rebuilding/rebuilt be defined immediately. The definition should include provisions for stocks without escapement goals, or escapement goals should be established for all escapement indicator stocks.

## Monitoring and Evaluation

1. Eliminate data limitations which are compromising the ability of the CTC to complete the escapement and exploitation rate analyses. General research needs of the CTC will be addressed in detail in a separate report currently in preparation. Data needs for the annual report that have not been completely satisfied include the following:
a) Report estimated CWT recoveries to the PSMFC by July of the year following the fishery. As requested by the PSC, the CTC is currently conducting the Exploitation Rate analysis on a yearout basis to allow agencies sufficient time to collect and report recovery data. However, the following data were still not available from the PSMFC: i) Estimated recoveries for the 1990 and 1991 Puget Sound sport fisheries; ii) 1991 tributary sport recoveries in the Columbia River; iii) escapement recoveries for most southern U.S stocks; and iv) expansion factors for CWT recoveries by Alaskan sport fisheries for all years.
b) Collect and provide information on the age and sex composition of escapement. Age and sex specific escapement data are essential to evaluate brood production, stock productivity, and escapement goals. Age specific data also improve the quality of the calibration of the CTC Chinook Model.
c) Tag representative Exploitation Rate indicator stocks at sufficient levels. The CTC is especially concerned about the adequate representation of spring and summer stocks and the lack of an indicator stock (with escapement data) for the Harrison River stock.
d) Establish consistent and standardized recovery programs for CWT fish at hatcheries and on spawning grounds. Accurate estimates of escapement are essential for the Exploitation Rate Analysis. The CTC is concerned that: i) Pilot studies have indicated that many tagged fish may not be successfully identified at hatcheries; ii) CWT fish which do not return to the hatchery may not be accounted for on a consistent basis; and iii) standard procedures to estimate escapement are not used by some hatcheries in SEAK. In addition, standardized procedures should be instituted for enumeration of marked and unmarked releases and tag retention rates.
e) Provide estimates of sublegal encounter rates in troll fisheries and legal and sublegal encounter rates in chinook non-retention and net fisheries. The CTC has estimated that nonlanded catch mortality is approximately $30-50 \%$ of the reported catch (TCCHINOOK (87)-5). However, sampling programs to determine the magnitude and stock composition of the nonlanded catch mortality are virtually nonexistent.
f) Provide estimates of nonreported chinook catches by Canadian Native fisheries and the WCVI sport fishery. The CTC is unable to fully evaluate impacts of these fisheries on chinook stocks and the rebuilding program until these data are provided.

## CHAPTER 1. 1991 CHINOOK CATCH

### 1.1 1991 CHINOOK SALMON CATCHES IN FISHERIES WITH CEILINGS

Estimates of 1991 catch for each fishery managed under a harvest ceiling established by the Pacific Salmon Commission (PSC) are presented below. Catches in all chinook fisheries of interest to the PSC are documented in Table 1.

a/ T=Troll; $N=$ Net; $S=$ Sport
b/ The actual total catch was 357,100 chinook, including a hatchery add-on of 61,400.
c/ Excludes 6,066 chinook caught in terminal areas in 1991, which Canada proposes to exclude from the ceiling.
Catches in all chinook fisheries of interest to the PSC are documented in Table 1 for the years 1988 1991 and in Appendix I for the years 1975-1991.

### 1.2 CUMULATIVE DEVIATIONS FROM CATCH CEILINGS

A $7.5 \%$ cumulative management range was established by the PSC in 1987. Annual catches (without add-on) and deviations from catch ceilings since 1987 (in thousands of fish) are as follows:

| Aikeltisherles |  | Cateh |  |  |  |  | Total Deviation | Sumulative ofiriotion, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990\% | 1998 |  | Nümbers | Profong |
| Southeast Alaska (T,N,S) a/ | 263 b/ | 265.2 | 255.2 | 264.4 | 313.2 | 295.6 | +29.6 | +29.6 | +11.3\% $/$ |
| North/Central B.C. (T,N,S) d/ | 263 b/ | 282.8 | 245.6 | 301.2 | 253.0 | 303.2 | +21.7 | +21.8 | +8.3\% c/ |
| West Coast <br> Vancouver Island (T) | 360 | 379.0 | 408.7 | 203.7 | 298.0 | 202.9 | -307.7 | -27.0 | -7.5\% e/ |
| St. of Georgia (T,S) | 275 | 159.7 | 138.6 | 161.3 | 146.3 | 147.8 | -621.3 | -20.6 | -7.5\% e/ |

a/ Southeast Alaska catches exclude hatchery add-ons of $16,700,23,700,26,700,48,300$, and 61,400 for 1987, 1988, 1989, 1990, and 1991 respectively.
b/ The 1990 ceiling was 302,000 , and the 1991 ceiling was 273,000 .
c/ These overages exceed the $7.5 \%$ management range.
d/ Catches exclude $4,819,5,549$, and 6,066 chinook caught in terminal areas in 1989, 1990, and 1991, respectively, for a total of 16,434
e/ Negative deviations below the $7.5 \%$ management range can not be accumulated.

### 1.3 REVIEW OF FISHERIES WITH CATCH CEILINGS

### 1.3.1 Southeast Alaska Fisheries

In 1991, SEAK fisheries were managed under the following provisions established by the PSC:

1. An all-gear base catch ceiling of 273,000 chinook salmon.
2. An Alaska hatchery add-on calculated on the basis of coded-wire-tag sampling.
3. A $7.5 \%$ management range, calculated in numbers of fish, for cumulative deviations from the base catch ceiling beginning in 1987. This is equivalent to $+/-19,700$ chinook salmon for a 263,000 base catch ceiling.

Catch data for 1991 indicate the following:

1. The total all-gear catch (commercial and recreational) was 357,100 chinook salmon, including a hatchery add-on of 61,400 .
2. The total estimated catch of Alaska hatchery produced chinook salmon was $70,000(19.6 \%$ of the total catch). The add-on was calculated by reducing this by 5,000 for the estimated preTreaty harvest of Alaska hatchery chinook and by 3,600 for risk adjustment.
3. The deviation of the 1991 SEAK chinook salmon catch from the catch ceiling was $+22,600$. The cumulative deviation from 1987 on is 29,600 .

The 1991 SEAK all-gear harvest of 357,100 consisted of a commercial catch of 296,600 and a recreational harvest of 60,500 .

Troll Fisheries: The troll fishery harvested 263,800 chinook salmon as follows:

|  | Catch |  | AK Hatchery \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winter Fishery (October 1, 1990 to April 14,1991) | 42,400 |  | $23.8 \%$ |
| Hatchery Access (June 5-7 and June 21 and 22) | 46,400 | $19.7 \%$ |  |
| Experimental and Terminal | 20,000 | $63.0 \%$ |  |
| Summer Fishery (July 1-8) | 155,000 | $3.2 \%$ |  |

Daily catches in the hatchery access and summer fisheries were the highest recorded (10,200 and 20,600 respectively). Alaska hatchery percentages were also the highest seen in the winter, hatchery access, and experimental fisheries. Chinook nonretention was implemented beginning at noon on July 8 and continued throughout the rest of the fishery except for 10 days during August when the entire fishery was closed. As in past years, areas with high chinook abundance were closed during this period.

Net Fisheries: The 1991 commercial net catch included 32,800 chinook salmon harvested incidentally of which $42.2 \%$ were from Alaskan hatcheries. Chinook salmon represent less than $.1 \%$ of the $70,000,0001991$ net harvest. Net harvest of chinook salmon in the purse seine fishery is limited by a 28 inch minimum size limit and CNR regulations. Net harvest for the gillnet fisheries is limited by early season closures and night closures.

Recreational Fisheries: The recreational fishery harvested 60,500 chinook salmon of which $31.1 \%$ were from Alaskan hatcheries. Recreational fisheries are managed under a two chinook salmon per day bag limit and a 28 inch minimum size limit.

### 1.3.2 Canadian Fisheries

The minimum size limit for troll fisheries remained at 62 cm fork length in the Strait of Georgia and at 67 cm fork length in all other areas. Catch statistics for commercial fisheries are based on sales slips accumulated through October 16, 1992.

North/Central B.C.: The 1991 NCBC fisheries were managed under the following provisions:

1. An all-gear base catch ceiling of 263,000 plus 10,000 chinook salmon.
2. A $7.5 \%$ management range, with cumulative deviations calculated since 1987. Based on preliminary 1990 catch estimates and terminal exclusion calculation procedures, the cumulative deviation at the beginning of the 1991 season was estimated at $-8,459$.

The estimated 1991 all-gear catch was 303,188 , excluding terminal exclusions of 6,066 . These catch statistics indicate a 1991 catch deviation of $+30,188$, and a cumulative deviation through 1991 of $+21,729$ chinook ( $+8.3 \%$ of the catch ceiling). This overage exceeds the $7.5 \%$ management range.

Terminal exclusions, as allowed in the Letter of Transmittal, are calculated as follows:

| Area | Base | 1991\% Catch |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Skeena | 2,900 | 7,286 | 4,386 |
| Bella Coola | 2,950 | 4,629 | 1,679 |
| Kitimat | 2,400 | 2,166 | 0 |
| Total |  |  | 6,066 |

Troll Fisheries: The 1991 troll fishery opened for all species on June 28. There was a four day closure from August 7 through August 10, prior to opening for retention of Fraser River bound sockeye. The management objective for the troll fishery in 1991 was a chinook catch ceiling of 203,300. A number of management actions were taken during the troll fishery to meet this objective, including:

1. The west coast of Queen Charlotte Islands south of Buck Point and Areas 107-2, 107-3, 108111 and 11 were closed to all trolling August 20-24.
2. On August 27 all of Area 2W, Area 142, and the area known as the "Red Line" in Area 1 were closed to trolling to slow the chinook catch rate.
3. On September 3, the entire North Coast (Areas 1-11, 30) was closed to possession and retention of chinook.
4. Also, on September 3 a large portion of Hecate Strait was closed to avoid a CNR fishery.

Trolling for all species closed on September 30, for a total of 27 days of CNR. The catch of chinook in NCBC troll fisheries was 220,625 .

Net Fisheries: Catch of chinook in NCBC areas was 56,100 . Catches by fishery were 7,000 in the Queen Charlotte Islands, 32,100 for the Skeena/Nass and 17,500 in Central British Columbia (CBC). These catches are the estimated total catches of chinook $>5 \mathrm{lb}$. including the catch eligible for terminal exclusion.

Recreational Fisheries: The tidal water sport fishery catch of chinook was 32,500 . Catch by fishery was 15,000 for the Queen Charlotte Islands, 4,500 for the Skeena/Nass and 13,000 for the CBC.

West Coast Vancouver Island (WCVI) Troll: In light of the below average forecast of chinook abundance to the WCVI troll fishery in 1991, Canada's main objective for the WCVI troll fishery was to manage the fishery in a manner consistent with the intent of the Treaty and the rebuilding program. In addition, due to Canada's concern for the Harrison River chinook stock, the intent was to manage the fishery to maintain the 1985-1987 average harvest rate. It was estimated that a fishery of approximately 77 days open for chinook retention would maintain the 1985-1987 average harvest rate. The fishery opened on June 28 with all areas open except Areas F1, G and S (same areas as Fig. 1, page 11, CTC 1991b). There were four major area/time closures on the west coast of Vancouver Island in 1991:

1. Areas F1 and G closed from June 28 to July 14. This area closure was implemented in order to moderate the coho catch rate early in the fishery. Area F1 opened July 14. Area G opened for the duration of the sockeye fishery only (August 11 through August 20).
2. Complete closure to all trolling from August 7 through August 10 prior to the sockeye fishery.
3. Complete closure to all trolling from August 21 through August 23 following the sockeye fishery.
4. Areas F1, G and the waters easterly of Loran-C line 5990-Z-14740 closed on August 24. This action was taken initially to slow coho catch rate. Following closure for coho retention on September 6, the area closure was maintained in order to avoid a coho nonretention fishery.

Trolling closed on September 18, for a total of 76 days open to chinook fishing. There was no CNR period in 1991. Chinook catch in 1991 for the WCVI troll fishery was 202,910.

## Strait of Georgia:

Troll: The management objective was a domestic catch ceiling of 31,000 chinook. The ceiling was reduced to this level in 1988 to achieve a $20 \%$ harvest rate reduction, relative to 1987 levels, as part of a conservation plan for lower GS chinook.

The troll fishery was open for chinook retention from June 27 to August 1. When the early season catch ceiling of 29,000 was reached, CNR and nonpossession with single barbless hooks was implemented (August 2 through August 9). While the sockeye fishery was open, August 10 through August 19, barbed hooks were allowed, but nonretention and nonpossession of chinook was still in effect. On August 20, retention of chinook salmon was again permitted. The objective was to allow for incidental chinook catch during the remainder of the 1991 season. The chinook catch rate proceeded at a faster rate than anticipated and the ceiling of 31,000 was obtained September 12. Beginning September 13 and continuing until the season closed September 30, chinook nonpossession and CNR was in effect. There was a total of 36 CNR days. Chinook catch by trollers was 32,228 .

Recreational: The 1991 management objective for the GS recreational fishery was to maintain a $20 \%$ harvest rate reduction, relative to 1987 levels, on lower GS chinook. Consequently, the management plan implemented in 1989 was continued in 1991. This plan consists of the following management actions:

1. An annual bag limit of 15 chinook and a size limit of 62 cm was implemented for the area north of Cadboro Point (north of Victoria in Statistical area 19B), including Johnstone Strait. These measures represent an increase in the bag limit (from 8 to 15) for the GS recreational fishery compared to 1988.
2. For Johnstone Strait, the daily bag limit was reduced from 4 to 2 chinook, the season limit was reduced from 30 to 15 , and the size limit was increased from 45 cm to 62 cm , relative to 1988.

The estimated 1991 catch in the creel survey area (including the Victoria area, but excluding Johnstone Strait) was 115,500 . Effort in 1991 totalled 466,700 boat trips, which is about $20 \%$ less than the 1986-1990 average effort level.

An evaluation of the lower GS chinook conservation program is currently in progress.

### 1.4 REVIEW OF OTHER FISHERIES

### 1.4.1 Canadian Fisheries

Transboundary Rivers: Chinook catch in the Canadian gillnet fishery was: Taku River, 1,177 chinook adults and 432 jacks, and Stikine River, 850 chinook adults and 400 jacks. The catch of chinook in these rivers is limited to incidental catch during fisheries targeting on sockeye salmon.

## Southern B.C. Commercial Net:

| Area (Stat. Area) | Catch (chinook >5 lb.) |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |
| Johnstone Strait (11-13) | 13,333 |
| Strait of Georgia (14-19) and Fraser River (28,29) | 15,071 |
| Juan de Fuca Strait (20) | 8,136 |
| Barkley Sound (23) | 58,688 |
| Other WCVI (21,22,24-27) | 685 |

The catch of chinook in all of these net fisheries is limited to incidental catch during fisheries targeting on sockeye, pink, or chum, with the exception of the August/September gillnet fishery in Alberni Inlet (Area 23). This fishery is a terminal gillnet fishery for returns to the Robertson Creek Hatchery. Small numbers of chinook may also be harvested incidentally during gillnet and seine fisheries on sockeye salmon in Barkley Sound in July. Management of southern B.C. net fisheries has an objective to reduce the base period harvest rate on chinook by $25 \%$ (an obligation in the PSC chinook rebuilding program). Further, the Johnstone Strait net fisheries have the added objective of reducing harvest rates since 1987 by an additional $20 \%$ as part of the conservation program for chinook stocks in the lower GS.

In all the fisheries, regulations and research programs are attempting to limit the incidental mortality of juvenile chinook and coho. Fishing time, location, and gear are limited in southern B.C. net fisheries to conserve juvenile and adult chinook salmon. In Johnstone and Juan de Fuca straits, known areas of high chinook vulnerability are closed and minimum depth strata are set to reduce the catch of juvenile chinook and coho. In Juan de Fuca, a maximum number of juvenile chinook and coho salmon per set has been established, beyond which the fishing area is further restricted or even closed. Chinook catch in the Fraser River area is usually limited to gillnet fishing and chinook catch is incidental.

Area 12 Troll: Catch is reported as 1,200 chinook. This fishery is a small localized group of trollers at the southern limit of Queen Charlotte Sound. The fishery is limited to a catch ceiling of 5,000 chinook.

Tidal Recreational: The catch estimate for the 1991 Barkley Sound recreational fishery is 80,200 , of which 43,400 were taken in the terminal fishery inside Alberni Canal and 36,800 in Barkley Sound. The survey period covered from July 15 through September 30. The early to mid-summer fishery primarily occurs in outer Barkley Sound and is limited by size limit, catch per day, and possession limits. The Alberni Canal portion occurs primarily in August and is directed on returns to the Robertson Creek Hatchery. A creel survey was conducted in Johnstone Strait in 1991. The catch was estimated to be 10,000 for this sport fishery. Catch estimates for sport fisheries off WCVI are not available.

Nontidal Recreational: Nontidal recreational fisheries occur in most B.C. rivers, including the Alsek, Skeena, Nass, Kitimat, Bella Coola, Somass and Fraser Rivers and various streams on the east coast of Vancouver Island. Most of these are small, localized fisheries to provide the local public with some access to salmon fishing. Recent fisheries in the Fraser River have been limited to the larger chinook populations which have responded well to the chinook rebuilding program and most are managed to catch ceilings.

Chinook catch was estimated at 388 in the Alsek, 8,000 in northern B.C. rivers (Areas 1-10), and 1,457 in the upper Fraser (Bowron, Quesnel, Bridge, Clearwater, Shuswap, South Thompson, Thompson). Sport fisheries also occur in the Vedder-Chilliwack River and lower Fraser mainstem, but were not assessed in 1991 due to inadequate resources.

## Indian Food Fisheries:

| Fishing Area | Adult Catches | Jack Catch |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| North/Central B.C. | 26,800 | - |
| Somass River | 23,800 | - |
| Fraser River | 16,869 | - |
| Stikine | 753 | 310 |
| Alsek | 336 | - |
| Cowichan | 200 | - |
| Squamish | 1,095 | - |

The 1991 Fraser River catch was equal to the 1981-1990 average of 16,700 . The Squamish River catch was similar to 1990 but well above the 1981-1990 average of 368 .

Each of these fisheries involves directed chinook fishing periods and the incidental catch of chinook during fisheries on other species. Small portions of the catch may be taken in marine waters, with the exception of the Stikine and Alsek catches. Catch in these fisheries is mostly limited by fishing time, but allocation to meet Native food fishing requirements is the first priority use of allowable catches.

### 1.4.2 U.S. Fisheries

Strait of Juan de Fuca: As in previous years, management measures were taken in the Strait of Juan de Fuca and other mixed stock areas to protect depressed spring chinook stocks. No directed spring chinook fisheries were permitted and no commercial fisheries were permitted during the spring chinook management period (April 15-June 15). Recreational fisheries were also restricted by a maximum size limit of 30 inches. Further actions were taken in all mixed stock areas to protect depressed summer/fall stocks from Puget Sound. It was recognized that the combined actions for chinook salmon should also serve to protect depressed Canadian-origin chinook stocks (primarily Fraser River runs).

Estimates of 1991 net catch in the Strait of Juan de Fuca total 3,200 chinook, compared to 5,200 in 1990. These fisheries take chinook incidental to the harvest of other species. Estimates of 1991 tribal troll catch in the Straits (Areas 4B, 5, and 6C) total 34,700 chinook compared to 45,700 caught in 1990. This is a chinook directed fishery. Note that tribal troll catch estimates from this area do not include tribal catch in Area 4B during the May 1-September 30 Pacific Fishery Management council (PFMC) management period; catches during this period are included in the North of Cape Falcon troll summary.

Recreational catch estimates for 1991 in Areas 5 and 6 are not available at this time. In 1991, about 400 chinook were caught in the Area 4B state waters fishery, after the PFMC fishery, compared to 400 in 1990. The 1990 recreational chinook catch for Areas 5 and 6 is estimated at 50,500, compared to 53,400 in 1989.

San Juan Islands: Estimates of chinook net catch for 1991 in the San Juan Islands total 13,800, compared to 9,300 in 1990. The recreational catch estimate for 1991 in Area 7 is not available at this time. The 1990 recreational chinook catch for Area 7 is estimated at 7,400 , compared to 10,300 in 1989.

Puget Sound: The status of Puget Sound spring chinook stocks continued to be poor in 1991. As in past years, recreational and commercial fisheries in Puget Sound were regulated by time and area closures to avoid all direct harvest and minimize incidental harvest of these depressed stocks. Some directed harvest was allowed on a few Puget Sound summer/fall stocks. However, several terminal areas, including Area 8 (located near the mouth of the Stillaguamish and Snohomish Rivers), did not have directed chinook net fisheries in order to protect depressed summer/fall stocks.

Net catch of chinook was down considerably in 1991 due to a combination of poor catch rates and management actions taken to protect both chinook and coho. Estimates of 1991 net catch in Puget Sound marine areas total 70,400 chinook, compared to 150,300 in 1990. Estimates of 1991 net catch in Puget Sound freshwater areas total 18,400 chinook, compared to 28,700 in 1990.

Puget Sound recreational catch estimates for 1991 are not available at this time. Recreational fisheries were managed in the same general manner as in recent years. Puget Sound marine and freshwater recreational chinook catch for 1990 is estimated at 70,500, compared to 75,400 in 1989.

Washington Coast: In 1991, terminal runs of northern Washington coastal stocks were expected to be above minimum spawning levels, allowing both commercial and recreational directed chinook fisheries in terminal areas. Returns were generally lower than expected, and one stock (Queets spring/summer) was harvested below its escapement floor. The 1991 estimates of Grays Harbor and Willapa Bay net catch total 41,500 chinook, compared to 41,600 in 1990. The 1991 estimates of commercial net fisheries in north coastal rivers total 12,700 chinook, compared to 16,300 in 1990.

Washington coastal recreational catch estimates for 1991 are not available at this time. The 1990 catch estimates for coastal rivers total 4,500, compared to 5,900 in 1989.

A small recreational fishery has historically occurred in the Grays Harbor estuary. In 1991, effort and catch in this fishery increased significantly in response to the large coho run returning to Grays Harbor. This fishery was sampled through September 29, and the estimated catch is approximately 400 chinook. Catch from this fishery is not included in Table 1.

Ocean Fisheries North of Cape Falcon: In 1991, ocean commercial and recreational fisheries operating in the PFMC region north of Cape Falcon were constrained by domestic quotas for both chinook and coho salmon. Chinook quotas were established taking into account the need to protect several severely depressed chinook stocks, particularly Upper Columbia River runs. Separate quotas were established for the tribal troll and nontribal fisheries.

Under PFMC quota management, ocean fisheries are terminated either when coho or chinook quotas are achieved or when seasons expire. Overall, in 1991, chinook catch success was poor, consistent with 1991 preseason expectations for low abundance of key stocks. Fisheries closed when coho quotas were reached and chinook quotas were not fully harvested. Estimates of 1991 tribal troll chinook catch total $21,400,65 \%$ of the 33,000 chinook quota and down from 31,400 in 1990. Recreational catches are estimated at 13,300 ( 1,000 Oregon and 12,300 Washington), about $34 \%$ of the 40,000 chinook quota and down from 33,100 in 1990. Estimates of nontribal troll chinook catch total 29,700 ( 900 Oregon and 28,800 Washington), about $74 \%$ of the 40,000 chinook quota and down from 33,100 in 1990. Approximately 27,300 of these nontribal troll caught chinook were taken during the early season chinook fishery (May 1 through June 15, 1991).

In 1991, there was no experimental fishery conducted in the inside ocean waters north of Destruction Island to Cape Alava. In 1990, this fishery harvested a total of 11 chinook.

Columbia River: Since 1988, all inriver management of Columbia River fish runs and fisheries has been directly based on the Columbia River Fish Management Plan (CRFMP). "The purpose of this management plan is to provide a framework....to protect, rebuild, and enhance upper Columbia River fish runs while providing harvest for both treaty Indian and non-Indian fisheries" (CRFMP, 1988, p.2). The CRFMP specifies management goals, season timing, catch limits, and maximum incidental impacts for all depressed upriver runs of anadromous fish in the Columbia River.

The 1991 inriver commercial catch of chinook was 106,843 , compared to 147,300 in 1990 and 275,000 in 1989. Total freshwater recreational catch in 1991 (including a Buoy 10 catch of 11,588 ) is estimated to be 77,986 compared to 94,820 in 1990 and 96,878 in 1989.

The 1991 total catch of upriver spring chinook was 6,427 fish, consisting of 2,433 caught in the nonIndian sport and commercial fisheries and 3,994 caught in tribal ceremonial and subsistence fisheries. The CRFMP limits harvest impacts on upriver spring chinook run sizes between 50,000 and 128,800 to $4.1 \%$ of the run in the lower river non-Indian catch and $7.0 \%$ of the run in tribal ceremonial and subsistence fisheries. The estimated 1991 impacts were $4.1 \%$ and $6.7 \%$ respectively.

There has not been a targeted inriver fishery on upriver summer chinook since 1964. In the past, incidental harvest of summer chinook has occurred during commercial sockeye fisheries. However, no commercial sockeye fisheries have occurred below McNary Dam since 1988. There is a very small C\&S catch of summer chinook. The total catch in 1991 is believed to be less than 50 fish.

Commercial catch of fall chinook in 1991 totaled 93,220 (41,550 in lower river non-Indian fisheries below Bonneville Dam). Management constraints imposed by the CRFMP included achieving the Spring Creek hatchery escapement goal of 8,200 adult chinook, an adult escapement of 40,000 Upriver Bright (including a Snake River component) chinook over McNary Dam, and providing a $50 \%$ share of the harvestable portion of the upriver fall chinook run to the treaty Indian fisheries. The Upriver Bright escapement goal for inriver management was increased by 5,000 chinook to 45,000 adults for 1990 and 1991 on an interim basis by agreement of the CRFMP parties to account for increased broodstock hatchery needs and because of concern for the Snake River wild component.

Ocean Fisheries Cape Falcon to Humbug Mountain: Ocean fisheries off Oregon's central coast primarily harvest a mixture of southern chinook stocks not involved in the PSC rebuilding program; these stocks do not migrate north into PSC jurisdiction to any great extent. Some stocks that spawn in Oregon coastal streams do migrate into PSC fisheries, including the Northern Oregon Coastal (NOC) stock aggregate. These north migrating stocks are harvested incidentally (probably <10\%) in Oregon ocean fisheries. The only troll fishery that predominately harvests the NOC stock aggregate is the late season near-shore fishery off the mouth of the Elk River. In both 1990 and 1991, this Elk River fishery was not conducted due to conservation concerns. Recreational catch estimates for 1991 are not available at this time.

Table 1-1. Summary of the 1988-1991 chinook catches in fisheries relevant to the U.S./Canada Pacific Salmon Treaty (numbers in thousands of fish). Note: Catch estimates for 1991 are the based on the best available data to date (as of 17-Oct-92).

|  | Troll |  |  |  | Net |  |  |  | Sport |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | 1991 | 1990 | 1989 | 1988 | 1991 | 1990 | 1989 | 1988 | 1991 | 1990 | 1989 | 1988 | 1991 | 1990 | 1989 | 1988 |
| S.E. ALASKA a/ | 264 | 288 | 236 | 231 | 33 | 28 | 24 | 21 | 60 | 51 | 31 | 26 | 357 | 367 | 291 | 278 |
| BRITISH COLUMBIA b/c/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| W. Vanc. Island d/ | 203 | 298 | 204 | 409 | 60 | 30 | 40 | 15 | 80 | 61 | 48 | 33 | 343 | 389 | 292 | 457 |
| Georgia St./Fraser e/ | 32 | 34 | 28 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 24 | 8 | 116 | 112 | 133 | 119 | 163 | 161 | 185 | 147 |
| Johnstone St. | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 13 | 18 | 29 | 6 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 24 | 30 | 41 | 18 |
| Juan de fuca Strait | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 21 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 8 | 7 | 21 | 4 |
| sub-total | 457 | 513 | 459 | 613 | 146 | 112 | 155 | 77 | 238 | 214 | 226 | 181 | 841 | 839 | 840 | 872 |
| WASHINGTON INSIDE f/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Strait (mar) g/ | 35 | 46 | 65 | 49 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 10 | NA | NA | 52 | 39 | NA | NA | 127 | 98 |
| San Juans (mar) h/ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 9 | 16 | 32 | NA | NA | 9 | 9 | NA | NA | 26 | 41 |
| Other PS (martfu) i/ | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 130 | 179 | 156 | 133 | NA | NA | 70 | 63 | NA | NA | 226 | 196 |
| Coastal (mar+fw) i/ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 58 | 85 | 74 | NA | NA | 6 | 7 | NA | NA | 91 | 81 |
| sub-total | 35 | 47 | 66 | 49 | 201 | 251 | 267 | 249 | NA | NA | 137 | 118 | NA | NA | 470 | 416 |
| COLUMBIA RIVER j/k/ | - | - | - | - | 107 | 147 | 275 | 489 | 78 | 95 | 97 | 110 | 185 | 242 | 372 | 599 |
| WA/OR N OF FALCON I/ | 51 | 65 | 75 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 14 | 33 | 21 | 19 | 65 | 98 | 97 | 130 |
| OREGON <br> Inside Waters m/ | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 | - | - | - | - | 45 | 38 | 45 | 49 | 45 | 38 | 50 | 54 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 807 | 913 | 841 | 1005 | 487 | 538 | 722 | 839 | NA | NA | 557 | 503 | NA | NA | 2120 | 2349 |


| b/ | Southeast Alaska troll chinook catches shown for Oct. 1 - Sept. 30 catch counting year. British Columbia net catches includes only fish over 5 lb . round weight. Native food fishery catches are not included. 1989, 1990, and 1991 exclude catch from terminal gillnet fisheries (3 year total of 16,434 which are excluded from the catch ceiling. |
| :---: | :---: |
| c/ | Sport catches are for tidal waters only. |
| d/ | Estimates of WCVI tidal sport catches are from creel surveys in Barkley Sound only. Survey times and areas may vary from year to year. |
| e/ | Georgia Strait sport catches include Juan de Fuca Strait sport catches. |
| f/ | All WA inside sport numbers adjusted for punch card bias. See "1988 WA State Sport Catch Report" for details. |
| g/ | Strait troll catch includes all catch in areas 5 and 6C and catch in area 4B outside of the PFMC management period (Jan.- May and Oct.- Dec.). |
| h/ | San Juan net catch includes catch in areas 6, 6A, 7 and 7A; sport catch includes area |
| i/ | Coastal and Puget Sound sport catches include marine and freshwater, but only adults in freshwater. |
| j/ | Columbia River net catches include Oregon, Washington and treaty catches, but not cer |
| k/ | Columbia River sport catches include adults only, for Washington, Oregon, Idaho and Buoy 10 |
| $1 /$ | North of Falcon troll catch includes catch in area 4B during the PFMC management |
| m/ | Troll = late season troll off Elk River mouth (Cape Blanco); sport = estuary and inland (preliminary for 1990). |

## CHAPTER 2. ESCAPEMENT ASSESSMENT OF REBUILDING THROUGH 1991

### 2.1 INTRODUCTION

In this chapter, we present the results of a rebuilding assessment based upon escapement information. Our objective is to assess the rebuilding status of each escapement indicator stock. The escapement is a product of the brood year adult abundance, freshwater and marine survival rates and fishery harvest rates, while sources of error may be introduced by counting or estimation procedures. Consequently, to determine if management actions under the PST have been effective in rebuilding, the results of this assessment should be considered together with the Exploitation Rate Assessment in Chapter 3 and the Integrated Analysis in Chapter 4.

Escapement information has been compiled for a set of indicator stocks representing the majority of naturally spawning chinook stocks from central Oregon to SEAK. Spawning escapements of these stocks were assessed as one measure of rebuilding progress since implementation of management actions under the PST. The assessment first identified stocks with escapements greater than their goal in recent years. For the remaining stocks, the assessment focused on: (1) changes in average escapements since the base period years; (2) comparison of recent escapements with a linear escapement trend from the base period to the goal at the rebuilding target date; and (3) trends in escapements since PST implementation.

For SEAK and TBR stocks, conservation actions began in 1981 as part of a 15-year rebuilding program. For all other stocks, a 15 -year rebuilding program was implemented in 1984. These rebuilding programs were divided into three 5 -year phases (CTC 1987) with slightly more stringent assessment criteria used in each successive phase. In 1991, the SEAK and TBR stocks were in the first year of Phase III (1991-1995) and in the eleventh year of the rebuilding program. The remainder of the stocks were in Phase II (1989-1993) and in the eighth year of the rebuilding program.

Caution is urged against directly comparing escapement levels or goals among stocks since escapements are measured in different units. Where available, annual escapement estimates used were measures of stock abundance. However, for many stocks, escapements are indices of abundance and differences in escapements may not represent differences among stocks in terms of population abundance or fishery contribution levels.

### 2.2 FRAMEWORK

### 2.2.1 Escapement Indicator Stocks

Indicator Stocks: As in 1990, 42 naturally spawning escapement indicator stocks were included in the assessment (excluding the Chilkat River, see section 2.6.2). These stocks represent distinct naturally spawning populations or management groups that originate from individual rivers or watersheds. Some stocks represent several populations aggregated by region and life history type. Distribution of the indicator stocks by run timing and area of origin is:

| AREA OF ORIGIN | RUN TIMING ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spring | Spring/ <br> Summer | Summer | Summer/ Fall | Fall | Total |
| Southeast Alaska | 5 |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| Transboundary | 5 |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| North/Central B.C. | 1 | 3 | 3 |  |  | 7 |
| Southern B.C. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 7 |
| Washington/Oregon/Idaho | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 18 |
| TOTALS | 15 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 11 | 42 |

${ }^{1}$ These run timings are determined by management agencies; criteria used for categorization may differ among agencies.
Changes Relative to the 1990 Annual Report:
West Coast Vancouver Island: A 1991 review resulted in revising the constituent stocks in the WCVI indicator stock group from those used in 1990 (CTC 1991). A subsequent review in 1992 recommended a return to the 1989 stock groupings. Consequently, in this report, the WCVI indicator stock group is composed of Kennedy, Burman, Gold, Tahsis, Kaouk, Tahsish, and Marble River populations.

### 2.2.2 Escapement and Terminal Run Data

Data Sources: The escapement and terminal run data used in this report were provided by management agencies in each jurisdiction. As in 1990, data were not provided for the Chilkat River pending review of the estimation method. Data for the other systems are presented in Appendix A tables and in Appendix B graphs.

Estimation Methods: Methods varied depending on river characteristics and agency resources. Most escapement estimates used were measures of actual spawner abundance, where available, or estimates (or indices) of abundance measured at a point of migration beyond the effect of major fisheries. Estimates were made using weirs and counting fences, aerial or foot surveys, dam passage counts, electronic counting devices, or mark-recapture studies. Escapements of Oregon coastal northmigrating stocks are not numerical estimates of abundance; instead they are estimates of the density of spawners per river mile for standard survey areas.

Some estimates are adjusted for hatchery production to make them direct measures of natural stock escapements:

1. For upper Columbia River stocks, mainstem dam counts adjusted for hatchery fish were used.
2. For some stocks, adjustments were made to reduce enhancement related bias. Methods used include: using coded-wire-tag (CWT) data to subtract hatchery-origin fish from the escapement estimate (e.g., some Puget Sound stocks), excluding spawners removed for hatchery brood stock, and excluding rivers with major enhancement influence (e.g., Kitimat River and adjacent tributaries in Area 6 and Bella Coola River in Area 8).
3. For the Quillayute summer stock, escapements represent a composite of naturally spawning fish from the summer stock and strays from spring stock enhancement. Data are not sufficient to allow complete separation of naturally spawning fish (see Section 2.6.5).

Changes Relative to the 1990 Annual Report: Changes in escapement data relative to 1990 (CTC 1991) are summarized below. Minor updates to catch and escapement data, including updates to preliminary estimates for the most recent years, are not described.

Columbia Upriver Brights: Escapement data (1985-1990) were updated to account for sport harvest of adult fall chinook above McNary Dam. Annual sport catches ranging from 2,400 to 4,400 adults were deducted from the previously reported McNary Dam counts to give a more accurate accounting of actual spawning escapement. Sport fisheries for adult fall chinook in the area above McNary Dam were closed prior to 1985 because of low escapements in the late 1970s and early 1980s.

Columbia Upriver Summers: Escapement for this stock is the Bonneville Dam count reduced by estimated catches upriver of the dam. The escapements for the years 1985 to 1988 were updated to take into account incidental catch of summer chinook during commercial sockeye fisheries that occurred above Bonneville Dam. The incidental catch ranged from 230 to 1,160 adult summer chinook during that period.

### 2.2.3 Escapement Goals

Origin of Goals: The escapement goals provided by each management agency define long-term stock rebuilding objectives. Most of these goals were established by the managing agency(ies) for each stock. The Transboundary Technical Committee (TTC) jointly determined goals for the three major transboundary rivers in 1991 (TTC 1991). Where possible, goals were based on estimates of stock productivity, usable spawning habitat, or other factors, and represent estimates of escapement levels that produce maximum average production or sustained harvest (e.g., Columbia Upriver spring, summer and bright). For most stocks, interim escapement goals were developed recognizing the uncertainty in data used for establishing goals. For example, Canadian goals are interim targets based on a doubling of base period average escapements. Goals may change as new information is acquired.

Six of the indicator stocks have no escapement goals: Oregon Coastal, Quillayute fall, Hoh spring/summer, Hoh fall, Queets spring/summer and Queets fall. These six stocks, referred to as stocks without goals, are discussed separately from stocks with goals throughout this report. The five Washington coastal stocks are managed on the basis of escapement floors and inriver harvest rates; when terminal runs exceed the floor, terminal fisheries are managed on the basis of harvest rates.

Changes Relative to the 1990 Annual Report: In 1991, three changes were made:
West Coast Vancouver Island: The escapement goal for this stock was revised to reflect the new populations included as the WCVI stock. The escapement goal of 11,665 is calculated as twice the base period (1979-1982) average escapement.

Lewis River: WDF adopted an escapement goal for the Lewis River stock of 5,700 adult fall chinook based on spawner-recruit techniques applied by McIsaac (1990). The stock was previously analyzed as a stock without goal.

Transboundary Rivers: The TTC established joint escapement goals for index systems on the Alsek, Taku, and Stikine Rivers. These goals replace the river-wide escapement goals previously used. The index systems and goals for the three rivers are:

| Transboundary <br> River | Index <br> System(s) | Escapement <br> Goal |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Alsek | Klukshu | 4,700 |
| Taku | Nakina, <br> Kowatua <br> Tatsamenie, <br> Tseta, <br> Dudidontu, <br> Nahlin | 13,200 |
| Stikine | Little Tahltan | 5,300 |

The index system escapement goals have not been expanded to represent the river-wide drainages, as was done previously by the two Parties, since there are insufficient data to develop such expansions. See TTC 1991 for a more complete explanation of the methods used in developing the new joint goals.

### 2.2.4 Assessment Time Frame

For assessment purposes, a base period and a rebuilding assessment period were established for each stock. The rebuilding assessment period includes all years to date, when management actions were taken as part of the chinook rebuilding program. The base period includes years prior to implementation of management actions. Base and rebuilding assessment periods differ among stocks as follows:

SEAK and TBR Stocks: For SEAK and TBR stocks, a 15 -year rebuilding program was initiated in 1981, prior to implementation of the PST. The target date for completion of rebuilding is 1995. For these stocks, the base period includes the years 1975-1980 and the rebuilding assessment period includes the years 1981-1991.

Harrison Stock: Escapement data pre-1984 are unavailable for the Harrison stock. Consequently, the Harrison base period is defined as 1984 and the rebuilding assessment period includes the years 19851991.

All Other Stocks: For all other stocks, a 15 -year rebuilding program was established for the years 1984-1998. For these stocks, the base period includes the years 1979-1982 and the rebuilding assessment period includes the years 1984-1991.

### 2.3 ASSESSMENT METHOD

### 2.3.1 Stock Assessment and Scoring

Changes Relative to the 1990 Report: In the 1990 report, it was footnoted in Table 2-3a that several stocks had escapements above their escapement goals for several years. The CTC believes that it is informative to separate these stocks from the stocks judged by the standard criteria. New criteria were established to define an "Above Goal" category. Therefore, a new category, Above Goal, was established for the 1991 analysis. Criteria were defined for identifying the Above Goal stocks, and stocks meeting the criteria were not further assessed.

Stocks With Escapement Goals: All escapement indicator stocks were first assessed according to criteria developed for a new Above Goal category. A stock was classified as Above Goal and not evaluated further if it had escapements that have: (a) been above goal for four of the last five years, and (b) averaged more than the escapement goal, over the last four years.

Stocks that did not meet these Above Goal criteria were evaluated based on the following three assessment criteria:

1. The mean criterion assessed the magnitude of escapement changes by comparing averages of the base period and rebuilding assessment period escapements for each stock. A difference between the two time periods of greater than $10 \%$ was accepted as a change between periods. Stocks were scored as follows: (a) stocks with increases of greater than $10 \%$ were scored +1 ; (b) stocks with decreases of greater than $10 \%$ were scored -1 ; and (c) stocks with changes of $10 \%$ or less were judged to show no response and scored 0 .
2. The line criterion assessed escapements for consistency with a linear approximation of the expected rebuilding schedule. For each stock, a base period average escapement was established. A straight line was drawn from this base period average across the 15 -year rebuilding program to the escapement goal in 1995 for SEAK and TBR stocks and 1998 for all other stocks.

For each stock, the most recent three escapements (1989-1991) were compared with the linear approximation. Stocks were scored as follows: (a) stocks with all three escapements on or above the line were scored +1 ; (b) stocks with all three points below the line were scored -1 ; and (c) stocks that did not meet either condition were scored 0 .

Regardless of escapement levels at the initiation of the rebuilding program, the linear approximation assumes for each stock that; (a) the escapement goal will be achieved at the target date (not before or after); and (b) escapement will increase by a constant number in each year until that time. Neither assumption is consistent with theoretical effects of harvest rate reductions or observed escapement trends. Development of more realistic rebuilding schedules would require more information about stock productivity and future marine survivals, as well as policy decisions concerning rebuilding. In the absence of this information, a straight line was selected as a surrogate.
3. The trend criterion identified escapement trends since PST implementation. Slopes were calculated for 1984-1991 escapement data. R-squared values were used as a measure of the strength of a linear trend in the data. R-squared values vary from 0 to 1 , with a higher value
indicating a stronger linear trend. Stocks were scored as follows: (a) stocks that had positive slopes with $r$-squared values of greater than 0.25 were scored +1 ; (b) stocks that had negative slopes with $r$-squared values of greater than 0.25 were scored -1 ; and (c) all other stocks were scored 0 .

An r-squared value was selected to identify stocks with and without minimal positive or negative linear trends in escapement during the rebuilding assessment period. The selection of the r squared value was not intended to measure statistical confidence in the slope values.

Stocks Without Escapement Goals: Stocks without escapement goals were assessed using the mean and the trend criteria. Evaluation of these two criteria was the same as for stocks with escapement goals. These stocks could not be assessed for the line criterion since base-to-goal lines could not be drawn.

### 2.3.2 Stock Classification

Stocks With Escapement Goals: Because each criterion addresses a different aspect of stock status, a classification system based on all three criteria was developed for stocks not classified as Above Goal:

1. For each stock, scores were summed across all three criteria.
2. Stocks were classified according to the following system (SEAK and TBR stocks are in Phase III, other stocks are in Phase II):

| STATUS OF STOCK | TOTAL SCORE OF CRITERIA |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Phase $\mathrm{I}^{1}$ | Phase II ${ }^{2}$ | Phase III ${ }^{3}$ |
| Rebuilding | +3 | +3 | +3 |
| Probably Rebuilding | +2 | $+2$ | $+2$ |
| Indeterminate | +1,0,-1 | +1,0 |  |
| Probably Not Rebuilding | -2 | -1,-2 | +1,0,-1 |
| Not Rebuilding | -3 | -3 | -2,-3 |

${ }^{1}$ 1981-1985 for SEAK and TBR, 1984-1988 for others
${ }^{2}$ 1986-1990 for SEAK and TBR, 1989-1993 for others
${ }^{3}$ 1991-1995 for SEAK and TBR, 1994-1998 for others
This system uses more stringent criteria in Phases II and III, reflecting our recognition that as the rebuilding target date approaches, our expectations for improvement increase and the time remaining for rebuilding diminishes.
3. After completing steps (1) and (2), the resulting classifications were evaluated by the CTC, and stocks classified as Indeterminate were considered for possible status changes.

Stocks Without Escapement Goals: For the six stocks without escapement goals, classifications such as Rebuilding or Not Rebuilding are inappropriate. Stocks were evaluated as follows:

1. For each stock, scores were summed across the mean and trend criteria.
2. Stocks were classified according to the following system:

| Phase II Status | Total Score |
| :--- | :---: |
| Increasing | $+2,+1$ |
| Indeterminate | 0 |
| Decreasing | $-1,-2$ |

Changes Relative to the 1990 Report: For stocks with goals, the Indeterminate category was eliminated in stocks in Phase III. Last year's report indicated that a score of +1 would give an indeterminate status. No SEAK or TBR stocks received a score of +1 in 1991.

### 2.4 RESULTS

### 2.4.1 Rebuilding Categories

Stocks With Escapement Goals: Individual stock results for the rebuilding criteria are shown in Table 2-1a while the assessment scores and subsequent ranks assigned to each stock are shown in Table 2-2a. Distribution of the stocks within the six rebuilding categories follows:

Above Goal: Twelve stocks (33\%) met the criteria for being classified as Above Goal, including three of 10 stocks ( $30 \%$ ) in the eleventh year of rebuilding and nine of 26 stocks ( $35 \%$ ) in the eighth year of rebuilding.

Rebuilding and Probably Rebuilding: Four stocks (11\%) were assessed in these two categories compared to four ( $11 \%$ ) in 1990 (based on 1991 techniques). These included two of 10 stocks ( $20 \%$ ) in the eleventh year of rebuilding and only two of 26 stocks ( $8 \%$ ) in the eighth year of rebuilding.

Indeterminate: Five stocks (14\%) were classified as Indeterminate, compared to nine stocks $(26 \%)$ in 1990. All of these were in the eighth year of rebuilding as this category was eliminated for Phase III stocks.

Probably Not Rebuilding: Twelve stocks (33\%) were classified as Probably Not Rebuilding, compared to 10 stocks ( $29 \%$ ) in 1990. These included 4 of 10 stocks ( $40 \%$ ) in the eleventh year of rebuilding and eight of 26 stocks ( $31 \%$ ) in the eighth year of rebuilding.

Not Rebuilding: Three stocks ( $8 \%$ ) were classified as Not Rebuilding compared to none in 1990, including one in the eleventh year of rebuilding.

Results relative to past years for stocks with escapement goals are graphed in Figure 2-1.

| STOCKS WITH ESCAPEMENT GOALS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CATEGORY | Actual $1990$ <br> Assessment |  | Assessment for 1990 with 1991 methods |  | Actual 1991 Assessment |  |
|  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| Above Goal | N/ ${ }^{1}$ | N/A | 12 | 34\% | 12 | 33\% |
| Rebuilding | 4 | 12\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 3\% |
| Probably Rebuilding | 10 | 30\% | 4 | 12\% | 3 | $8 \%$ |
| Indeterminate | 9 | 27\% | 9 | 26\% | 5 | 14\% |
| Probably Not Rebuilding | 10 | 30\% | 10 | 29\% | 12 | 33\% |
| Not Rebuilding | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 8\% |
| TOTAL ${ }^{2}$ | 33 | 100\% | 35 | 100\% | 36 | 100\% |

1 The Above Goal category was not used in the actual 1990 assessment.
2 Situk and Quillayute summers were not included in 1990 because base period escapements were above goal. Chilkat was not included in 1990 or 1991 because escapement data were not provided. Lewis River was added as a stock with an escapement goal in 1991.

Stocks Without Escapement Goals: The six stocks without escapement goals were evaluated using the mean and trend criterion. As in 1990, all of these stocks were classified as Increasing (Tables 2$2 \mathrm{~b}, 2-3 \mathrm{~b}$ ).

### 2.4.2 Status Changes Relative to $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$

Stocks With Escapement Goals: Ten of 36 classified stocks ( $28 \%$ ) showed status declines relative to the actual 1990 assessment (Table 2-2a), while one stock (3\%) showed an improvement. In addition, four stocks moved from Rebuilding last year to Above Goal this year and five more stocks moved from Probably Rebuilding to Above Goal.

Stocks Without Escapement Goals: No stocks showed status changes relative to 1990 (Table 2-2b). However, five of six stocks had substantial reductions in escapement compared to 1990, the exception being the Oregon Coastal Stock. Lewis River was moved to Stocks with Escapement Goals.

### 2.4.3 1991 Escapements Relative to Escapement Goals

Escapements relative to escapement goals for 1991 are summarized in Table 2-1a. In 1991, 18 of 36 ( $50 \%$ ) stocks with goals had escapements that were less than $60 \%$ of their escapement goal. Of the remaining stocks, $10(28 \%)$ had 1991 escapements that were above their escapement goals.


TABLE 2-1b. Assessment results through 1991 for natural chinook indicator stocks without escapement goals.

| Stock Name | Region | Run type | Esc. <br> Floor 1/ | $\begin{gathered} 1991 \\ \text { Esc. } \end{gathered}$ | MEAN CRITERION |  |  |  | TREND CRITERION ${ }^{-}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mean Escapement |  | Change |  | 1984-1991 Trend |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Base | Rebuild. | Between Periods |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Period | Period | Number | Percent | Slope | r2 |
| Quillayute | WAC | fall | 3000 | 6300 | 5850 | 10350 | 4500 | 77\% | 252 | 0.04 |
| Hoh | WAC | spr/sum | 900 | 1100 | 1325 | 2250 | 925 | 70\% | 264 | 0.22 |
| Hoh | WAC | fall | 1200 | 1400 | 2875 | 3438 | 563 | 20\% | 106 | 0.03 |
| Queets | WAC | spr/sum | 700 | 600 | 925 | 1238 | 313 | 34\% | 104 | 0.13 |
| Queets | WAC | fall | 2500 | 4500 | 3875 | 6550 | 2675 | 69\% | 474 | 0.25 |
| Oregon Coastal 21 | NOC | fall |  | 169 | 91 | 145 | 54 | 59\% | 7 | 0.22 |

1/ Washington Coastal stocks are managed for escapement floors.
2/ Oregon Coastal assessment is based upon index escapement.

TABLE 2-2a. Assessment scores and status through 1991 of natural chinook indicator stocks with escapement goals.

|  | Stock Name |  |  | Assessment Scores |  |  |  | Rebuilding Status Through 1991 | Status Change from 1990 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Region | Run type | Mean | Line | Trend | Total |  |  |
| PHASE <br> III | Situk | SEAK | spring |  |  |  |  | Above Goal |  |
|  | King Salmon | SEAK | spring | 1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | Probably Not Rebuilding | Decline |
|  | Andrew Creek | SEAK | spring |  |  |  |  | Above Goal |  |
|  | Blossom | SEAK | spring | 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | Probably Not Rebuilding | Decline |
|  | Keta | SEAK | spring |  |  |  |  | Above Goal |  |
|  | Alsek | TBR | spring | -1 | -1 | 0 | -2 | Not Rebuilding | Decline |
|  | Taku | TBR | spring | 1 | 0 | i | 2 | Probably Rebuiiding |  |
|  | Stikine | TBR | spring | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | Rebuilding |  |
|  | Unuk | TBR | spring | 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | Probably Not Rebuilding | Decline |
|  | Chickamin | TBR | spring | 1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | Probably Not Rebuilding | Decline |
| PHASE <br> II | Yakoun | NBC | summer |  |  |  |  | Above Goal |  |
|  | Nass | NBC | spr/sum | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | Indeterminate | Decline |
|  | Skeena | NBC | spr/sum |  |  |  |  | Above Goal |  |
|  | Area 6 Index | NBC | summer | -1 | -1 | -1 | -3 | Not Rebuilding | Decline |
|  | Area 8 Index | CBC | spring | 0 | -1 | -1 | -2 | Probably Not Rebuilding |  |
|  | Rivers Inlet | CBC | spr/sum | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | Probably Rebuilding 1/ | Improvement |
|  | Smith Inlet | CBC | summer | -1 | -1 | 0 | -2 | Probably Not Rebuilding |  |
|  | W. Coast Van. Is. | WCVI | fall | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Probably Not Rebuilding 1/ |  |
|  | Upper Geor. St. | GS | sum/fall | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | Indeterminate |  |
|  | Lower Geor. St. | GS | fall | -1 | -1 | 0 | -2 | Probably Not Rebuilding |  |
|  | Upper Fraser | FR | spring |  |  |  |  | Above Goal |  |
|  | Middle Fraser | FR | spr/sum |  |  |  |  | Above Goal |  |
|  | Thompson | FR | summer | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | Indeterminate | Decline |
|  | Harrison | FR | fall | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Probably Not Rebuilding 1/ |  |
|  | Skagit spring | PS | spring | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | Probably Not Rebuilding 1/ | Decline |
|  | Skagit sum/fall | PS | sum/fall | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Indeterminate |  |
|  | Stillaguamish | PS | sum/fall | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | Indeterminate |  |
|  | Snohomish | PS | sum/fall | -1 | -1 | -1 | -3 | Not Rebuilding | Decline |
|  | Green | PS | fall |  |  |  |  | Above Goal |  |
|  | Quillayute summer | WAC | summer |  |  |  |  | Above Goal |  |
|  | Grays Harbor spring | WAC | spring | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | Probably Rebuilding |  |
|  | Grays Harbor fall | WAC | fall |  |  |  |  | Above Goal |  |
|  | Col. UpR. spring | CR | spring | 0 | -1 | 0 | -1 | Probably Not Rebuilding |  |
|  | Col. UpR. summer | CR | summer | 0 | -1 | 0 | -1 | Probably Not Rebuilding |  |
|  | Col. UpR. bright | CR | fall |  |  |  |  | Above Goal |  |
|  | Lewis River | CR | fall |  |  |  |  | Above Goal |  |

1/ The status of these stocks was changed from Indeterminate due to stock-specific circumstances.

TABLE 2-2b. Assessment scores and status through 1991 of natural chinook indicator stocks without escapement goals.

| Stock Name | Region | Run type | Assessment Scores |  |  | Rebuilding Status Through 1991 | Status Change from 1990 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Mean | Trend | Total |  |  |
| Quillayute | WAC | fall | 1 | 0 | 1 | Increasing | None |
| Hoh | WAC | spr/summer | 1 | 0 | 1 | Increasing | None |
| Hoh | WAC | fall | 1 | 0 | 1 | Increasing | None |
| Queets | WAC | spr/summer | 1 | 0 | 1 | Increasing | None |
| Queets | WAC | fall | 1 | 0 | 1 | Increasing | None |
| Oregon | NOC | fall | 1 | 0 | 1 | Increasing | None |

### 2.5 STOCKS WITH STATUS CHANGED BY THE CTC

The CTC examined each stock in the Indeterminate category and considered whether to change its status to Probably Rebuilding or Probably Not Rebuilding. A decision was made to change the status of the following stocks.

### 2.5.1 Rivers Inlet

The CTC changed the Rivers Inlet stock classification to Probably Rebuilding because: (a) although the rebuilding pattern has been erratic, escapement has dropped below the base to goal trend line only once since 1975; and (b) the 1991 escapement was $134 \%$ of goal.

### 2.5.2 West Coast Vancouver Island

The CTC revised the WCVI stock classification to Probably Not Rebuilding because: (a) average escapement has not increased since the base period; and (b) except for 1989, all escapements since 1983 have been below the base-to-goal line. The Marble River stock has been enhanced since 1981, with production increasing and peaking in 1985 and 1986. Consequently, the 1989 escapement was the largest recorded since enhancement commenced and was probably due in large part to the enhanced and not the wild component. Therefore, the CTC concluded that this result was not representative of the WCVI stock group.

### 2.5.3 Harrison

The CTC revised the Harrison stock classification to Probably Not Rebuilding because: (a) the average escapement has not increased since the base period; (b) the 1991 escapement was only $38 \%$ of goal; and (c) the marginal Line Criterion test result reflected the large 1990 escapement. The 1991 escapement was well below the base to goal line and, based on the 1991 age three escapement, the 1992 escapement is expected to be below the base to goal line.

### 2.5.4 Skagit Spring

The CTC revised the Skagit Spring stock classification to Probably Not Rebuilding because: (a) although the average escapement has increased from the base period, escapements have remained static or declined in each of the last six years; and (b) the 1991 escapement was only $47 \%$ of the goal.

### 2.6 OTHER STOCK SPECIFIC NOTES

### 2.6.1 Situk River

The escapement goal for the Situk River was changed from 2,100 to 600 chinook salmon in 1990. This change was based upon a spawner-recruit analysis of 1976-1984 data. The CTC will review and report on documentation of the basis for the escapement goal change.

### 2.6.2 Chilkat River

As in the 1990 Annual Report, this stock has been removed from all tables and is again not assessed by the CTC. The escapement estimation methods for the Chilkat River are still under review by the Alaska Department of Fish \& Game (ADF\&G) and results of the review are expected in 1993.

### 2.6.3 Behm Canal

Three indicator stocks from SEAK and TBR (Blossom, Unuk, and Chickamin) lie within the Behm Canal system. All three of these stocks showed an initial increase from base levels at the start of the rebuilding period (1981) to above-goal levels in 1986 and 1987. Since then, escapements have decreased again to near base period levels. It is not immediately apparent why this decline in escapements is occurring as the commercial harvest of chinook in this area remains heavily restricted.

### 2.6.4 Thompson River

Despite a strong initial response to the rebuilding program, Thompson escapements have remained relatively static for seven consecutive years. As a result, the stock status evaluation has declined for two consecutive years to Indeterminate in 1991. The CTC is concerned that additional increases in escapement have not resulted from elevated 1984-1986 escapement levels.

### 2.6.5 Stillaguamish River

Management actions taken in the terminal area to protect the Stillaguamish stock have been in effect since 1985. However, run reconstruction methods used to estimate terminal harvest have not yet been updated to reflect these management changes. As such, reported terminal run sizes (and thus terminal catches) for 1985-1991 are likely overestimated.

### 2.6.6 Quillayute Summers

The designation "summer" is used to distinguish this native stock from an earlier run nonnative enhanced spring stock. While the summer run is managed for natural production, run timing of the two stocks overlaps to some extent. Because data are not available to separate the natural and enhanced components, future inclusion of this stock as an escapement indicator stock is currently under review.

### 2.6.7 Lewis River

The CTC will review and report on documentation of the basis for the 5,700 Lewis River escapement goal.

### 2.6.8 Columbia Upriver Springs and Summers

The CTC is concerned with the lack of response to the rebuilding program by Columbia Upriver springs and summers. Both of these stocks continue to be classified as Probably Not Rebuilding. In fact, the 1991 terminal runs of both stocks were well below base period escapement levels and the Snake River portion of the spring/summer run has recently been listed as threatened under the U.S. Endangered Species Act (ESA).

### 2.6.9 Columbia Upriver Brights

While Upriver Brights are classified as Above Goal, the stock has shown recent escapement declines to near base period levels and the Snake river portion of the stock has been listed under the ESA.

### 2.7 SUMMARY OF ESCAPEMENT TRENDS

### 2.7.1 General

The rebuilding response of the escapement indicator stocks is inconsistent with expectations. There has been a general decline in the proportion of stocks that are classified as rebuilding, while the proportion of stocks that are not rebuilding has increased (Figure 2-1). Furthermore, 29 of the 42 indicator stocks had lower escapements in 1991 than in 1990 and less than half ( 16 of 36 ) of the escapement indicator stocks with goals are currently classified as Above Goal, Rebuilding, or Probably Rebuilding. This is especially discouraging since most stocks are now more than halfway and the remainder are more than two-thirds through their rebuilding programs. Of particular concern are the 15 stocks classified as Not Rebuilding or Probably Not Rebuilding. In 1991, the escapements of all of these stocks were less than $60 \%$ of their rebuilding goals, and for seven of these 15 stocks, the average escapement during the rebuilding period has actually declined from the base period level (Table 2-1a).

In this report, the escapements of 36 stocks (Table 2-2a) have been assessed for rebuilding status. Three of these stocks are not considered to have been depressed at the start of the rebuilding program since escapements were above goal levels during the pre-Treaty base period. One of these stocks, Situk, had its escapement goal reassessed a year ago and the goal was lowered to the current level. The second stock, Quillayute summer, initially had an incorrect escapement goal used in the evaluation; the error was corrected in the 1989 report. The third stock, Lewis River, was just assigned an escapement goal this year. All three are currently in the Above Goal category and will continue to be monitored. The 33 remaining stocks had base period escapement levels below goal.

Of these 33 stocks, nine are currently in the Above Goal category. These nine stocks include Andrew Creek and Keta River in SEAK, Yakoun and Skeena Rivers in northern B.C., the upper and middle Fraser River stocks, Green River in Puget Sound, Grays Harbor falls from the Washington Coast, and the Columbia Upriver Bright stock. These include three spring stocks, three summer stocks, and three fall stocks. All but one of these stocks achieved goal levels within four years of the start of the rebuilding program; Andrew Creek achieved its goal in six years. Terminal catches have also been up in most years for those four stocks that report terminal catches: Skeena, Green, Grays Harbor, and Columbia Upriver Brights, (see graphs in Appendix B).

Four stocks are showing relatively steady increases that should allow the stocks to reach their escapement goals by the target date. These include the Stikine and Taku TBR stocks, Rivers Inlet in central B.C., and Grays Harbor springs from the Washington Coast. All four are spring or spring/summer stocks. The two TBR stocks have shown escapement trends consistent with the straight line approximation for base to goal rebuilding. Rivers Inlet and Grays Harbor springs, similar to the Above Goal stocks, showed a rapid increase in the early years of the rebuilding program. Unlike the Above Goal stocks, however, escapements of these two stocks have since varied above and below escapement goal levels and they are currently assessed as Rebuilding or Probably Rebuilding.

Two stocks, King Salmon in SEAK and Thompson in the Fraser River, also show this pattern of rapid increase in the first few years of the rebuilding program followed by a leveling off in subsequent years. For these two stocks, leveling off occurred below the escapement goal. Both of these stocks were classified as Probably Rebuilding in the early years, but are now classified as Probably Not Rebuilding and Indeterminate.

The four Behm Canal stocks all showed increases to above goal levels during the first six years of rebuilding, with the highest escapements seen in 1986 and 1987. Since then, escapements have declined to levels near or below base period levels. One other stock with this escapement pattern is Skagit River spring. This stock showed an increase to above goal levels in 1985, and then a marked decrease in 1986 followed by a slow decline since then. The terminal catch on this stock has remained very low or nonexistent. All four of these stocks are currently assessed as Probably Not Rebuilding. One additional stock, Columbia Upriver Brights, also shows this escapement pattern, but it is currently classified in the Above Goal category.

Eleven stocks have escapement levels that remain near or below base period levels. These include the TBR Alsek stock, stocks from Areas 6, 8, and Smith Inlet in central B.C., the West Coast Vancouver Island stock, the Lower Strait of Georgia stock, the Harrison stock from the Fraser River, the Stillaguamish and Snohomish stocks from Puget Sound, and the Upriver Spring and Summer stocks from the Columbia River. Under current survival and management conditions, these stocks are unlikely to rebuild by the end of the rebuilding program. Four have terminal fisheries with catches reported in this document. These catches have varied with escapements. Even the terminal runs to the Lower GS and Harrison have been below escapement goal levels. Of these 11 stocks, all are currently classified as Probably Not Rebuilding or Not Rebuilding, except for the Stillaguamish, which is classified as Indeterminate.

The three remaining stocks all have escapement patterns in which the annual variability is greater than the amount of increase needed to reach the goal from the base period level. The Nass in northern B.C., the Upper Strait of Georgia stock, and the Skagit summer/fall stock in Puget Sound all have shown escapement variation from below base period levels to above goal levels, showing no apparent pattern during the rebuilding period. All three of these stocks are currently classified as Indeterminate.

### 2.7.2 Special Concerns

The poor response seen in half of the SEAK and TBR stocks, primarily the Behm Canal stocks, in 1991 is of particular concern to the CTC since this group has only four years remaining in its rebuilding program. In 1991, five of the ten stocks were classified as either Probably Not Rebuilding (4) or Not Rebuilding (1). These five stocks all declined in status from 1990 and their 1991 escapements ranged from only $30 \%$ to $54 \%$ of their goals.

While the 26 stocks with goals and a target rebuilding date of 1998 still have seven years remaining to rebuild, the CTC is concerned by the large number of these stocks that are classified as Probably Not Rebuilding or Not Rebuilding. Although all six stocks without goals were classified in 1991 as showing a long term escapement increase, all but the Oregon Coastal stock had declines in escapements from 1990. One of these stocks, Queets spring/summer, had an escapement below its management floor. For those stocks with goals, $58 \%$ (15 of 26) were assessed as either Indeterminate
(5), Probably Not Rebuilding (8), or Not Rebuilding (2). Five stocks declined in status from 1990 while only one stock improved.

Table 2-3a. Rebuilding status through 1991 of natural chinook indicator stocks with escapement goals.

| STOCKS IN 11TH YEAR OF REBUILDING (Phase III) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ABOVE GOAL | REGION | RUN TYPE |
| Situk | SEAK | spring |
| Andrew Creek | SEAK | spring |
| Keta | SEAK | spring |
| REBUILDING |  |  |
| Stikine | TBR | spring |
| PROBABLY REBUILDING |  |  |
| Taku | TBR | spring |
| PROBABLY NOT REBUILDING |  |  |
| King Salmon | SEAK | spring |
| Blossom | SEAK | spring |
| Unuk | TBR | spring |
| Chickamin | TBR | spring |
| NOT REBUILDING |  |  |
| Alsek | TBR | spring |
| STOCKS IN 8TH YEAR OF REBUILDING (Phase II) |  |  |
| ABOVE GOAL |  |  |
| Yakoun |  |  |
| Skeena | NBC | summer |
| Upper Fraser | NBC | spring/summer |
| Middle Fraser | FR | spring |
| Green | FR | spring/summer |
| Quillayute summer | PS | fall |
| Grays Harbor Fall | WAC | summer |
| Col. Upriver Brights | WAC | fall |
| Lewis River | CR | fall |
|  | CR | fall |
| PROBABLY REBUILDING |  |  |
| Rivers Inlet 1/ |  |  |
| Grays Harbor spring | CBC | spring/summer |
|  | WAC | spring |
| INDETERMINATE |  |  |
| Nass |  |  |
| Upper Georgia Strait | NBC | spring/summer |
| Thompson | GS | summer/fall |
| Skagit summer/fall | FR | summer |
| Stillaguamish | PS | summer/fall |
|  | PS | summer/fall |
| PROBABLY NOT REBUILDING |  |  |
| Area 8 Index |  |  |
| Smith Inlet | CBC | spring |
| W. Coast Vancouver Island 1/ | CBC | summer |
| Lower Georgia Strait | WCVI | fall |
| Harrison 1/ | GS | fall |
| Skagit spring 1/ | FR | fall |
| Col. Upriver spring | PS | spring |
| Col. Upriver summer | CR | spring |
|  | CR | summer |
| NOT REBUILDING |  |  |
| Area 6 Index |  |  |
| Snohomish | NBC | summer |
|  | PS | summer/fall |

$1 /$ Status of these stocks was altered from Indeterminate (see text for details).

Table 2-3b. Rebuilding status through 1991 of natural chinook indicator stocks without escapement goals.

| STOCK STATUS |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| REGION | RUN TYPE |  |
| INCREASING |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Quillayute fall |  | WAC |
| Hoh spring/summer | WAC | fall |
| Hoh fall | WAC | fall |
| Queets spring/summer | WAC | spring/summer |
| Queets fall | WAC | fall |
| Oregon Coastal | NOC | fall |



Fig. 2-1. Proportion of escapement indicator stocks by rebuilding category, 1987-1991.
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## CHAPTER 3. EXPLOITATION RATE ASSESSMENT Based on CWT Recovery Data Through Calendar Year 1991

### 3.1 INTRODUCTION

The Exploitation Rate Assessment provided in this chapter relies upon coded-wire-tag (CWT) release and recovery data to estimate harvest rate indices for the ceiling fisheries, a CTC recommended passthrough index for depressed natural stocks harvested in nonceiling fisheries, brood exploitation rates, survival rate indices, a stock index for ceiling fisheries, and the distribution of catch and total mortality among fisheries. With the exception of the passthrough index, the types of data and indices presented are similar to those previously reported in the 1989 annual report (CTC 1990). The suggested passthrough index, which is a new addition to the assessment, is described in sections 3.1.1 and 3.2.1.

### 3.1.1 Overview

The 1991 assessment evaluated 40 exploitation rate indicator stocks (Table 3-1), including 1 from Southeast Alaska, 7 from British Columbia, 17 from Puget Sound, 5 from the Washington Coast, 9 from the Columbia River, and 1 from the Oregon Coast. As in previous years, these indicators are dominated by fall stocks (adult migration to terminal areas during the fall months). The analysis includes 7 spring stocks, 2 spring/summer stocks, 1 summer stock, 12 summer/fall stocks, and 18 fall stocks.

Five new indicator stocks were used in this analysis: the Squaxin Pens Fall Yearling (net pen production in south Puget Sound), Tulalip Fall Fingerling (from a hatchery located between the Stillaguamish River and the Snohomish River), Quillayute Summer (north Washington Coast), Hanford Wild (on the Columbia River), and Salmon River (Oregon Coast). Additional stocks are likely to be added as data needs are identified and recoveries become available.

The Squaxin Pens, Tulalip, and Quillayute stocks are tagged as part of an exploitation rate indicator program initiated in Washington in 1985 and discussed in an earlier report (CTC 1987). The tagged Hanford Wild stock is an Upriver bright stock which spawns naturally in the Hanford Reach section of the Columbia River. Juveniles are collected with seines for tagging prior to emigration in the spring. The Salmon River stock was added to the analysis to represent north migrating chinook salmon from the Oregon coast.

Data for some stocks are inadequate for use in all analyses of the exploitation rate assessment. Table 3-2 identifies the stocks used for each type of analysis and Table 3-3 indicates the brood years with available CWT data for each exploitation rate indicator stock. In addition, three stocks in Idaho (Sawtooth Spring, Rapid River Spring, and McCall Summer) are not included because of the extremely limited number of recoveries in ocean fisheries.

The Exploitation Rate Assessment presented in this report consists of six components. The potential use of each component and computational procedures are discussed briefly below.

Table 3-1. List of exploitation rate indicator stocks.

| Stock Name | Location | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska Spring | Southeast Alaska | Spring Yearling |
| Snootli Creek | North/Central BC | Spring/Summer Fingerling |
| Kitimat River | North/Central BC | Spring/Summer Fingerling |
| Robertson Creek | WCVI | Fall Fingerling |
| Quinsam | Georgia Strait | Fall Fingerling |
| Big qualicum | Georgia Strait | Fall Fingerling |
| Chehalis (Harrison Stock) | Lower Fraser River | Fall Fed Fry |
| Chilliwack (Harrison Stock) | Lower Fraser River | Fall Fingerling |
| South Puget Sound Fall Yearling | South Puget Sound | Summer/Fall Yearling |
| Squaxin Pens Fall Yearling* | South Puget Sound | Summer/Fall Yearling |
| University of Washington Accelerated | Central Puget Sound | Summer/Fall Fingerling |
| Samish Fall Fingerling | North Puget Sound | Summer/Fall Fingerling |
| Lummi Ponds Fall Fingerling | North Puget Sound | Summer/Fall Fingerling |
| Stillaguamish Fall Fingerling | Central Puget Sound | Summer/Fall Fingerling |
| Tulalip Fall Fingerling* | Central Puget Sound | Summer/Fall Fingerling |
| George Adams Fall Fingerling | Hood Canal | Summer/Fall Fingerling |
| South Puget Sound Fall Fingerling | South Puget Sound | Summer/Fall Fingerling |
| Kalama Creek Fall Fingerling | South Puget Sound | Summer/Fall Fingerling |
| Elwha Fall Fingerling | Strait of Juan de Fuca | Summer/Fall Fingerling |
| Hoko Fall Fingerling | Strait of Juan de Fuca | Summer/Fall Fingerling |
| Skagit Spring Yearling | Central Puget Sound | Spring Yearling |
| Nooksack Spring Yearling | North Puget Sound | Spring Yearling |
| Skookum Spring Fingerling | North Puget Sound | Spring Yearling |
| Quilcene Spring Yearling | Hood Canal | Spring Yearling |
| White River Spring Yearling | South Puget Sound | Spring Yearling |
| Sooes Fall Fingerling | North Washington Coast | Fall Fingerling |
| Quinault Fall Fingerling | North Washington Coast | Fall Fingerling |
| Queets Fall Fingerling | North Washington Coast | Fall Fingerling |
| Humptulips Fall Fingerling | Grays Harbor | Fall Fingerling |
| Quillayute Summers* | North Washington Coast | Summer Presmolt |
| Cowlitz Tule | Columbia River (WA) | Fall Tule Fingerling |
| Spring Creek Tule | Columbia River (WA) | Fall Tule Fingerling |
| Bonneville Tule | Columbia River (OR) | Fall Tule Fingerling |
| Stayton Pond Tule | Columbia River (OR) | Fall Tule Fingerling |
| Upriver Bright | Upper Columbia River | Fall Bright Fingerting |
| Hanford Wild * | Upper Columbia River | Fall Вright |
| Lewis River Wild | Lower Columbia River | Fall Bright |
| Lyons Ferry | Snake River | Fall Bright Fingerling |
| Willamette Spring | Lower Columbia River | Spring Yearling |
| Salmon River* | North Oregon Coast | Fall Fingerling |

[^1]Table 3-2. Indicator stocks, associated stock group, analyses in which each indicator stock is used, and the availability of quantitative escapement recoveries and base period tagging data. (PT Index, CTC recommended passthrough index; Brood Exp, brood exploitation rates; Esc, quantitative estimates of escapement. Stocks used for survival' analysis are also used in distribution analysis.)

| Stock Name | Stock Group ${ }^{1 /}$ | Fishery Index | PT Index | Brood Exp | Survival Index | Esc | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Base } \\ & \text { Tagging } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska Spring | SEAK Spring | yes | - - | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Snootli Creek | NCBC Spring/Summer | - - | - - | - - | yes | - - |  |
| Kitimat River | NCBC Spring/Summer | - - | - - | - - | yes |  | - - |
| Robertson Creek | WCVI Fall | yes | - - | yes | yes | yes ${ }^{1 /}$ | yes |
| Quinsam | Upper GS Summer/Fall | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Big Qualicum | Lower GS Fall | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Chehalis | Lower FR Fall | - - |  |  | yes |  |  |
| Chilliwack ${ }^{3 /}$ | Lower FR Fall | - - | - - | - - | yes | - - |  |
| South Puget Sound Fall Yearling |  | yes | - - | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Squaxin Pens Fall Yearling |  | - - |  | - - | yes | - |  |
| Univ of Washington Accelerated |  | yes | - - | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Samish Fall Fingerling | North PS Summer/Fall | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Lummi Ponds Fall Fingerling | North PS Summer/Fall | - - | - - | - - | yes | - - | yes |
| Stillaguamish Fall Fingerling | North PS Summer/Fall | - - | - - |  | yes | - - | - - |
| Tulalip Fall Fingerling | North PS Summer/Fall | - - | - - | - - | yes | - - |  |
| George Adams Fall Fingerling |  | yes | - - | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| South Puget Sound Fall Fingering | South PS Summer/Fall | yes | - - | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Kalama Creek Fall Fingerling | South PS Summer/Fall | - - | - - | - - | yes | - - | yes |
| Elwha Fall Fingerling |  | - - | - - | - - | yes | - - | - |
| Hoko Fall Fingerling |  | - - | - - | - - | yes | - - |  |
| Skagit Spring Yearling | North PS Spring | - - | - - | yes | yes | yes |  |
| Nooksack Spring Yearling | North PS Spring | - - | - - | yes | yes | yes |  |
| Skookum Spring Fingerling | North PS Spring | - - | - - | - - | yes | - - |  |
| Quilcene Spring Yearling |  | - - | - - | yes | yes | yes | - - |
| White River Spring Yearling |  | yes | - - | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Sooes Fall fingerling | WACO ${ }^{4 /}$ | - - | - - | yes | yes | yes |  |
| Quinault Fall Fingerling | WACO | - - | - - | - - | yes | - - |  |
| Queets Fall Fingerling | WACO | - - | - - | - - | yes | - - | yes |
| Humptulips Fingerling | WACO | - - | - - | - - | yes | - - |  |
| Quillayute Summers | WACO | - - | - - | - - | yes | - - | - - |
| Cowlitz Tule | CR Hatchery Tule Fall | yes | - - | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Spring Creek Tule | CR Hatchery Tule Fall | yes | - - | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Bonneville Tule | CR Hatchery Tule Fall | yes | - - | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Stayton Pond Tule | CR Hatchery Tule Fall | yes | - - | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Upriver Bright | WACO | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Hanford Wild | WACO | yes | - - | yes | yes | yes | - |
| Lewis River Wild | WACO | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Lyons Ferry | WACO | - - | - - | yes | yes | yes | - - |
| Willamette Spring |  | yes | - - | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Salmon River | WACO | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |

1/ Stock groupings are used for passthrough index, regional survival indices, and in Chapter 4
21 Only hatchery rack recoveries are included in escapement.
$3 / \quad$ Harrison stock only.
4/ WACO - Washington Coastal Spring/Summer/Fall, non-Tule Columbia River Fall, North Oregon Coast.

Table 3-3. Brood years included by stock for Exploitation Rate Assessment ( $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{valid}$; $\mathrm{o}=$ tagged but no recoveries).

| Stock Name | Youngest Age | Oldest Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 82 | 83 | 84 | 85 | 86 |  |  | 89 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska Spring | 3 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | x | X | x | x | X | $x$ | x | x | x | x | x | - |
| Snootli Creek | 2 | 6 | - | - | - | - | X | X | x | X | - | - | X | X | x | X | X | x | x | X | x |
| Kitimat River | 2 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | K | K | x | x | x | X | x | x | x | x | x | X | x |
| Robertson Creek | 2 | 5 | - | x | x | x | x | X | X | x | X | X | x | x | x | X | K | x | x | x | x |
| Quinsam | 2 | 6 | - | - | - | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Big Qual icum | 2 | 5 | K | K | x | X | K | x | X | x | x | x | x | x | X | x | X | x | X | x | x |
| Chehal is | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $x$ | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| Chilliwack | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | x | X | X | X | x | x | x | X | X |
| South Puget Sound Fall Yearling | g 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | x | x | x | x | - | - | - | - | X | x | $x$ | x |
| Squaxin Pens Fall Yearling | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | x | x | x | x |
| Univ of Washington Accelerated | - 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - | x | x | x | K | x | X | x | x | K | x | - | - | - | - | - |
| Samish Fall Fingerling | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - | x | - | - | - | x | - | - | - | - | - | x | x | x | $x$ | X |
| Lummi Ponds Fall Fingerling | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - | x | X | X | x | K | X | $x$ | - | - | - | x | x | x | x | $x$ |
| Stillaguamish Fall Fingerling | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | x | x | K | x | - | - | x | X | x | x |
| Tulalip Fall Fingerling | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | X | X | x | x |
| George Adams Fall Fingerling | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - | x | - | - | K | K | x | x | - | - | - | X | x | x | x | x |
| SPS Fall Fingerling | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - | X | - | - | X | X | X | x | x | X | X | X | x | X | X | x |
| Kalama Fall Fingerling | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | x | x |
| Elwha Fall Fingerling | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | K | X | X | X | X | - | x | x |
| Hoko Fall Fingerling | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | X | X | X | - | 0 |
| Skagit Spring Yearling | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | X | X | x | X | x | X | X | - | - |
| Nooksack Spring Yearling | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | X | K | - | X | - | K | X | x | $x$ |
| Skookum Spring Fingerling | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | x | - | X | X | X | X | x | X | $x$ | - |
| Quilcene Spring Yearling | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | x | X | X | - | x | X | X | x | $x$ |
| White River Spring Yearling | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | x | x | x | K | x | K | X | X | X | x | x |
| Sooes Fall Fingerling | 2 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | X | X | X | - | x |
| Quinault Fall Fingerling | 2 | 6 | - | - | - | - | x | X | X | X | K | X | - | x | X | X | K | x | X | x | x |
| Queets Fall Fingerling | 2 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | X | X | X | X | X | K | X | - | x | X | X | x | 0 |
| Quillayute Summers | 2 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | x | x | X | x | x |
| Humptulips Fall Fingerling | 2 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | X | - | X | X | K | X | X | x |
| Cowlitz Tule | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | X | x | X | X | x | K | x | X | X | x | x | x | x |
| Spring Creek Tule | 2 | 5 | - | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | K | X | X | X | x | X | x | X |
| Bonneville Tule | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | X | X | X | X | X | X | K | X | X | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stayton Pond Tule | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | * | - | - | - | K | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | x | X |
| Upriver Bright | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - | x | x | X | X | K | x | X | K | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Hanford Wild | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | K | X | X | X |
| Lewis River Wild | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | X | X | X | - | - | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Lyons Ferry | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | X | X | x | X | x | x |
| Willamette Spring | 3 | 6 | - | - | - | - | x | x | X | X | X | X | x | K | X | X | K | X | X | x | - |
| Salmon River | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | X | X | K | X | X | K | X | X | K | x | X | x | X |

Fishery Indices: It was anticipated when the PST was negotiated that catch ceilings and increases in stock abundance would result in reduced harvest rates in fisheries managed under PST established catch ceilings. The fishery index provides a means to assess changes in fishery harvest rates. The fishery index combines stock and age specific exploitation rates in a fishery to express an index of fishery harvest rate changes relative to a 1979-1982 base period. A fishery index less than 1.00 represents a decrease in harvest rate from the base period while a fishery index greater than 1.00 indicates an increase. The relative magnitude of the change is the difference of the index from 1.00.

Fishery indices are presented for both reported catch and total (reported catch plus incidental loss) mortalities, both expressed in terms of adult equivalents (AEQ). Adult equivalence is defined as the probability that, in the absence of fishing, a fish of a given age would leave the ocean to spawn. The total mortality index provides a consistent means of representing changes in reported catch and incidental mortalities, including those associated with regulatory measures such as minimum size limits and non-retention periods.

Fishery indices were calculated separately for the GS sport and troll fisheries, with the PSC catch ceiling apportioned to the two fisheries according to Canadian domestic allocation decisions. The fishery indices reported for the SEAK and NCBC fisheries include only troll recoveries, although the ceilings include all gear types. This approach was used since the majority of the catch (and the most reliable CWT sampling) occurs in the troll fisheries. In the SEAK fishery, a greater proportion of the catch was harvested by the sport fishery in 1991, and the index may underestimate the harvest impact of all gear types. The CTC is evaluating whether additional gear types should be included in the indices for the SEAK and NCBC fisheries.

Passthrough Indices: The passthrough provision of the PST requires that "the bulk of depressed stocks preserved by the conservation program ... principally accrue to escapement." The CTC has not been provided a definition of passthrough which can be used to analytically assess if this provision of the PST has been satisfied. As an interim measure, this report includes the passthrough index previously suggested by the CTC (CTC 1991). The index compares the expected adult equivalent catches (assuming base period exploitation rates and current abundance) with the observed adult equivalent catches on a calendar year basis overall nonceiling fisheries of a Party. Index values greater than 1.0 for U.S. nonceiling fisheries indicate that the passthrough provision has not been satisfied under the definition proposed by the CTC. Consistent with Canadian commitments, passthrough in Canadian net fisheries was evaluated with respect to a $25 \%$ reduction in harvest rates from the base period.

Brood Exploitation Rates: Brood year exploitation rates provide the best measure of the cumulative impact of fisheries upon all age classes of a stock. Implementation of the PST chinook rebuilding program was expected to reduce brood exploitation rates by 16 percentage points for the GS stock and 9 percentage points for the WCVI stock by 1998 (PSC 1991). The extent of the reduction necessary to achieve the exploitation rate sustainable at the escapement goal will depend upon the productivity of the stock, current escapement relative to the goal, and the target rebuilding date.

In this report, brood exploitation rates are presented for ocean fisheries (generally marine sport, troll, and recoveries of age 2 and 3 chinook in nonterminal net fisheries) and in total for all fisheries (marine and freshwater sport, marine troll, marine and freshwater net). The rates are expressed in adult equivalents and partitioned into reported catch and incidental mortality components. Rates are
expressed as a proportion of the total fishing mortality plus escapement. The values presented in the tables and figures are actual proportions, not indices.

Stock Indices: Stock indices provide information on the annual impact of fisheries for a specific stock relative to the 1979-1982 base period. The index is computed for the ceiling fisheries by dividing the total exploitation rate in all fisheries in a given year by the average total exploitation rate during the base period. An index greater than 1.0 indicates that impacts have increased relative to the base period. The stock indices computed in the Exploitation Rate Assessment are reported in Chapter 4.

Survival Indices: A survival index was computed for ocean ages 2 and 3 of each stock using CWT release and recovery data. The survival index was calculated as the sum of CWT catch recoveries plus escapement of a given age divided by the number of tagged fish released for the brood. For stocks with no escapement data, the survival index was computed using only catch recoveries. This will affect the validity of the index if changes in harvest rates are large compared to changes in survival rates.

Separate indices for the two ages were used instead of a single estimate based on total survival in order to include the 1989 brood year in the analysis. On average, the ocean age 3 estimate provides a better index for total survival; however, past experience has shown that both indices fluctuate in a similar manner for most stocks although fluctuations are more pronounced for age 3 returns.

The stock specific indices were combined to provide a projection of survival trends for regional stock groups using the methods previously described (CTC 1990). Stocks included in each stock group are indicated in Table 3-2. The index provides an indication of survival trends for broods contributing to fisheries in 1992-1993.

The CTC has investigated the potential for bias in the procedures used to estimate the survival indices. Since the current index does not account for changes in exploitation rates, and all age 2 and 3 chinook do not mature, it appeared likely that a negative bias might exist in the index if exploitation rates declined. Several alternative indices were constructed and compared with the current survival index using a simulation model. Three of the indices tested required an estimate of the maturation rates for each brood and age. Since maturity rates can only be calculated for broods for which all ages have returned (a complete brood return), use of these indices for incomplete broods required the use of average maturation rates.

Results from the simulation showed that variation in maturity rates of the magnitude seen in the exploitation rate indicator stocks degrades the performance of the indices which require the use of average maturation rates. The index currently used is the best available estimator of survival rate. The CTC will continue to investigate other alternatives.

Stock Catch Distribution: The distributions of reported catch and of total mortalities for each indicator stock are presented for nine fishery categories: one for each set of fisheries operating under a PSC ceiling and one for each gear type of Canadian and U.S. fisheries that do not operate under PSC ceilings. Distributions are presented as percentages of both the reported catch and the total fishing mortality (expressed in AEQ). Distributions were computed only for calendar years in which CWT recovery data was present for at least three brood years.

In addition, for each ceiling fishery, Appendix $H$ reports the percentage of the catch in the fishery which each stock comprises and the proportion of the total mortality of the stock which occurs in that fishery. These estimates were obtained from the November 1992 calibration of the CTC chinook model.

### 3.1.2 CWT Data Used

Sources of CWT recovery data and expansion procedures employed in the Exploitation Rate Assessment are summarized below.

In a few cases, small samples from commercial fisheries have resulted in very large expansion factors. To avoid very large expansion factors associate with small samples, expansion factors were constrained to the range of 1 to 50 .

Canadian Commercial Fisheries: Estimated recoveries for commercial fisheries in Canada were obtained from the Mark-Recovery Database maintained by the CDFO at the Pacific Biological Station.

Canadian Sport Fisheries: Observed recoveries for sport fisheries in Canada were obtained from the Mark-Recovery Database maintained by the CDFO at the Pacific Biological Station. As in the 1989 and 1990 analyses, expansion factors were computed using the following procedures. Starting in 1980, recoveries made in GS during the summer months (May-September) were expanded as documented in Kuhn et al. (1988). Recoveries made in other months were expanded using the average expansion factor for the summer period in the same recovery year. Recoveries in areas outside of GS used the corresponding expansion factor for the GS, unless an expansion factor based on creel survey data was available. Recoveries made prior to 1980 continued to be expanded by the default value of four.

GS sport recoveries were expanded using these procedures because of potential tag expansion biases associated with inadequate sampling and infrequent overflights of the sport fishery during winter months. The application of GS expansion factors to sport recoveries in other areas was necessary because reliable catch and mark incidence estimates are normally unavailable for these areas.

Estimated recoveries from the GS sport fishery were reestimated from 1980 through 1991 due to a new stratification into three sub-areas: north GS (Statistical areas 13-16); southern GS (Statistical areas 17-19A (Saanich Inlet), 28 \& 29); and Statistical areas 19B (San Juan through Victoria) \& 20, the Juan de Fuca Strait. These sub-areas were developed due to differences in stock compositions, characteristics of the fisheries, and recent regulatory measures which differed in 19B \& 20 from the other areas. Tags were estimated within sub-areas and then summed for total recoveries in the area previously defined as the GS sport fishery. This revision resulted in minor changes to the total number of estimated tags.

Canadian Escapement: Escapement data for Canadian stocks were determined directly from hatchery records, from the Salmon Stock Assessment database at the Pacific Biological Station, and from documents prepared through the Canadian key stream program. Details regarding the source of escapement data for each of the three Canadian hatcheries used in the fishery index analysis are as follows.

Robertson Creek. A proportion of the tagged fish returning to the Robertson Creek Hatchery spawn in the Stamp River; however, fish in the river have been sampled only since 1984. These recoveries have not been included in the exploitation rate analysis because comparable sampling was not conducted in the base period. Because exploitation rate analysis for this stock assumes that a consistent portion of the returns enter the hatchery, the exploitation rate will be overestimated. Further, native catch in the Somass River has increased recently, but this fishery is not sampled for coded-wire tags or included in the exploitation rate analysis. This non-reported catch will result in an overestimation of ocean exploitation rates and an under-estimation of the total exploitation.

Big Oualicum. Since 1971, escapement for the Big Qualicum River has been enumerated and checked for CWTs at a counting fence with two exceptions. Prior to 1988, the early part of the run, which was allowed to spawn naturally, was not sampled for CWTs. This was accounted for by expanding the sampled fraction of the run to represent the total run (expansions were stratified by adult and jacks). In addition, a few hundred fish occasionally spawn below the fence (which is less than 1 kilometer above tidewater). These are unsampled and the total number is only visually estimated. No adjustment was made to account for these fish.

Quinsam Hatchery. The Quinsam Hatchery obtains brood stock primarily by seining spawning adults from both the Campbell River (the main river) and the Quinsam River (a relatively small tributary). Brood stock captures are examined for marks and are added to the estimates of CWT escapement to the rivers. These are also stratified by sex for the purposes of sample expansions and for adjustments for lost pins and no data recoveries. Chinook entering the hatchery have not been an important factor until 1989. In addition, hatchery staff have sampled the carcasses in the river for CWT from 1978 to 1983. Since 1984, escapement has been estimated by a mark recapture program (Andrew et al. 1988; Bocking et al. 1990; Bocking 1991; Bocking in prep.; Shardlow et al. 1986). Estimates of the CWT escapement to each river were made by expanding the CWTs recovered during the dead pitch by the fraction of the estimated total escapement which was sampled. Both the escapement and the dead pitch were stratified by sex, combining adult and jack males into a single stratum. CWTs recovered during carcass recovery prior to 1984 were expanded by using the average fraction sampled from the period 1984 to 1990, stratified by river with both sexes combined.

Alaskan Fisheries: Recoveries from Alaskan commercial fisheries were obtained from the PSMFC with the exception of recoveries in the fall of 1978. The 1978 commercial data and all estimated sport recoveries were obtained from ADF\&G. The lack of reporting of sport recoveries to PSMFC by the Alaska Division of Sport Fisheries creates considerable unnecessary work for the CTC.

Data anomalies were corrected using procedures discussed in Appendix II of the 1987 CTC Annual Report (CTC 1988). Several of the more important adjustments are summarized below.

1. CWT recoveries from commercial fisheries were expanded to account for unsampled catches by multiplying by the ratio of the total catch to the sampled catch. For troll gear, the total accounting year ( 1 Oct. -30 Sept.) catch for SEAK was adjusted as a single stratum. For net and trap gear, adjustments were computed for a district or group of districts by calendar year.
2. CWT recovery data for the SEAK sport fishery during the 1979-1982 base period are of poor quality due to very limited sampling. The sport fishery sampling program expanded substantially from 1983 to 1986, resulting in more reliable estimates in recent years. To
estimate CWT recoveries for this fishery in years prior to 1987, sport recoveries were estimated from troll recoveries and the relative size of the sport and troll catch (CTC 1990).

Alaskan Escapement: Methods used to compute the escapement for Alaskan tag groups are summarized below in instances in which modifications from the PSMFC database occurred. The escapement to Southern Southeast Regional Aquaculture Association (SSRAA) facilities includes recoveries from cost recovery fisheries since the catch in these terminal area fisheries is not included in the Alaska ceiling.

Deer Mountain. Total returns of CWT were known for all years; however, returns in 1980, 1982, and 1983 were broken down only by brood year (1978, 1979, and 1980) and not by tag code. The recoveries by tag code were estimated as follows:

1) For each return year-brood year combination, an initial estimate of the recoveries by tag code was obtained by multiplying the total recoveries of the brood by the proportion of the tagged brood release that belonged to each tag code.
2) The estimated recoveries for each tag code were then expanded by the ratio of the tagged release to the total production associated with that release and summed over the tag codes.
3) The estimate of the total recoveries for the entire brood was made by dividing the total tagged recoveries by the proportion of the brood which was tagged.
4) The sum of the tag code recoveries obtained in (2) above was modified to equal the estimate obtained in (3) by adjusting the estimates of the tagged recoveries by code until the two sums matched.

This method assumes that all tag codes in a brood year had equal survival from release.
SSRAA. The sampling for marks in SSRAA hatcheries was performed using one of two methods:

1) Random sampling of fish for marks was conducted during each distinct time period (the length of the periods varied) throughout the return. The target number of CWTs was 200, but the actual numbers varied. Unfortunately, the number of fish examined for marks was not always recorded.
2) Marked fish were deliberately selected from the return during each time period. The number of fish examined to obtain this select sample was not recorded. These marked fish were then randomly sampled for approximately 200 CWTs.

Neither of these methods provides a usable estimate of mark incidence. Hence the recoveries by tag code for these hatcheries were estimated as follows:

1) The tagged recoveries in each sample were expanded by the marked to total release ratio and summed across tag codes.
2) The total return (tagged and untagged) during each time period was then multiplied by the proportion of the expanded sum which belonged to each tag code. These estimates were then summed for all the return periods to obtain a total estimated return for each tag code.
3) As a result of this estimation procedure, the return estimates for each tag code include both the marked and unmarked portions of the release. To estimate the number of returning tags, this total estimate was divided by the release ratio.

This method assumes that the survival of marked and unmarked fish was equal. The SSRAA should determine the potential for providing data which permit direct estimation of the number of recoveries.

Southern U.S. Fisheries: Recoveries by Washington, Oregon, and California fisheries were obtained from the database maintained by the PSMFC with the following exceptions: 1991 terminal sport recovery data for the Willamette Spring and Stayton Pond Tule stocks were obtained from the ODFW, 1990 and 1991 Puget Sound sport catch/sample expansion factors were obtained from the WDF, and 1990 and 1991 terminal sport data for the Upriver Bright, Lewis River Wild, Hanford Wild, Cowlitz Tule, and Lyons Ferry stocks came from the WDF.

Data were obtained directly from WDF or the ODFW only when that data had not yet been provided to the PSMFC. It should remain a high priority of all agencies to provide this information in a timely manner to PSMFC since the work of the CTC is slowed considerably when data must be sought and integrated from a number of individual agencies.

Southern U.S. Escapement: Escapement recovery data for southern U.S. stocks were obtained from the PSMFC database with the following exceptions:

1) Recoveries for WDF and tribal facilities in Puget Sound and on the Washington Coast for 1990 and 1991 were obtained from WDF.
2) Recoveries at the University of Washington for return years prior to 1985 were obtained from the WDF.
3) Recoveries to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) facilities (Quilcene National Fish Hatchery, Makah National Fish Hatchery, and Quinault National Fish Hatchery) in 1991 were obtained from the USFWS.
4) 1991 escapement data for Spring Creek tules came from the USFWS, 1991 escapement recovery data for the Willamette springs and Stayton Pond tules came from ODFW, and 1990 and 1991 escapement recoveries for Upriver Brights, Lewis River Wild, Hanford Wild, Cowlitz Fall Tule, and Lyons Ferry stocks were obtained from the WDF.
5) Methods for calculating dam conversion rates and interdam loss (IDL, one minus the dam conversion rate) were changed since the 1990 annual report. Currently, the conversion from Bonneville Dam to McNary Dam for Columbia Upriver Brights and Hanford Wild (URBs) is calculated for the exploitation rate analysis as
$\frac{\text { McNary Count }}{\text { (Bonneville URBs) - (Zone } 6 \text { Comm Catch }) \text { (Deschutes Turnoff) }}$

Bonneville upriver bright counts are calculated by the WDF by first calculating the stock composition (URBs vs. mid-Columbia brights or MCBs) of all brights above Bonneville Dam, and then applying the proportion of URBs in the upriver run to the Bonneville Dam counts of brights based on visual observation of skin color. Zone 6 commercial catches are taken from the Columbia River Status Report (WDF and ODFW); ceremonial, subsistence, and sport catches above Bonneville Dam are not accounted for and result in a slight overestimate of IDL. The number of fish returning to the Deschutes River is estimated annually by ODFW. Fish entering other tributaries are not accounted for and will again result in a slight overestimate of IDL.

For Lyons Ferry Hatchery fish, conversion is calculated by multiplying the conversion rate of URBs by an additional conversion rate for losses between McNary Dam (the last dam before the Snake River) and Ice Harbor Dam (the first dam on the Snake River and where Lyons Ferry escapement is measured for the exploitation analysis). There is not a direct estimate because of straying and fallback over Ice Harbor Dam, so the average of the Bonneville Dam to McNary Dam per project conversion and the Lower Monumental Dam to Lower Granite Dam per dam conversion was used. The per project conversion rates were calculated as the cube root of the total conversion between counting sites; each total conversion incorporates three interdam pools. Escapements of tagged fish above Ice Harbor were adjusted for IDL according to methods being documented by Schaller and Berkson (pers. comm.).

### 3.1.3 Estimates of Incidental Catch Mortality

Parameters used to estimate incidental catch mortality have been provided by regional management agencies and are listed in Appendix C.

### 3.2 ESTIMATION OF EXPLOITATION RATES

### 3.2.1 Theory and Procedures

For fisheries operating under PSC ceiling management, successful completion of the rebuilding program depends upon a substantial initial reduction in fishery harvest rates and stock exploitation rates combined with progressive reductions over time. Components of the Exploitation Rate Assessment were developed to evaluate the effectiveness of management measures and trends in stock survival. Theory and procedures employed in the Exploitation Rate Assessment are consistent with those used in previous years (CTC 1988; CTC 1989; CTC 1990) except as noted below:

1) A change was made in the criteria used to determine which age classes were included in the stock index for ceiling fisheries. For the 1989 analysis, a fishery aggregate was included if at least one of the following criteria was satisfied: a) the exploitation rate averaged at least $3 \%$ during the base period; b) the exploitation rate averaged at least $3 \%$ from 1979-1989; or c) the exploitation rate in any single year was least at $10 \%$. For the 1991 analysis, the same age classes were included in the stock index as used in the fishery indices.
2) Review of the cohort analysis indicated that the estimated incidental mortalities in Canadian and Alaskan net fisheries were greater than observed in field sampling programs.

The larger than expected incidence of shakers results from the computational procedure used to estimate shakers. Data used to estimate size at age was derived largely from CWT recoveries by seine nets, the least size selective gear. Using a size limit effectively truncates some of the recoveries as being non-vulnerable; however, all CWT recoveries are included in the cohort analysis regardless of the size of the fish. This can result in a substantial over estimation of the number of shakers in net gears since they do not usually operate under a size limit. For instance, use of size limit for age 2 fish may imply that $95 \%$ of the population was not vulnerable to a gear. Consequently, any CWT recovery would be assumed to come from only $5 \%$ of the population while $95 \%$ of the population would be subject to incidental mortalities. An encounter rate estimate of 20 times the actual value could result.

To correct this problem, encounter rates were adjusted to be consistent with field observations from southern B.C. seine fisheries between 1985-1990 (Nagtegaal et al. 1988, 1990; Riddell pers. comm.). These programs indicate that small chinook over the entire size range of age 2 fish are recovered in these fisheries and size limits are inappropriate. The expansion of age 2 fish was corrected by increasing the proportion vulnerable until the encounter rates were consistent with the field observations (reduced by approximately $90 \%$ ). The revised proportion was applied to Canadian and Alaskan seine and mixed net gear fisheries (seine and gillnet). The inclusion of the mixed gear was required since CWT sampling frequently can not separate which gear a recovery came from. However, the inclusion of gillnets will have minimal influence on the incidental mortalities since very few age 2 chinook are caught with this gear.
3) A passthrough index was computed using methods previously recommended by the CTC (CTC 1991). Since most of the depressed natural stocks subject to the passthrough provision are not tagged, the index was computed using representative stocks (primarily of hatchery origin) which are believed to have a similar catch distribution. The exploitation rate indicator stocks used to represent depressed natural stocks in each region are given in Table 3-2. Passthrough fisheries included in the analysis are listed below.

> U.S. - Washington/Oregon/California troll, Puget Sound northern net, Puget Sound other net (except for the Samish Fall Fingerling stock), Washington Coastal net, Washington/Oregon/California ocean sport, Puget Sound northern sport, Puget Sound southern sport.
> Canadian - WCVI net, Juan de Fuca Net, Johnstone net, Fraser net. Consistent with Canadian commitments, passthrough in these fisheries was evaluated with respect to a $25 \%$ reduction in harvest rates from the base period.

Some fisheries subject to the passthrough provision are not included in the index:
a) The WCVI sport fishery was not included because catch estimates and CWT recoveries are not available for all components of this fishery.
b) Passthrough indices excluded terminal fisheries when exploitation rate indicator stocks were subject to different fishery patterns than the associated natural stocks. For example, exploitation rate indicator stocks may be of hatchery origin and
subjected to fisheries designed to harvest surplus hatchery production. In other instances, depressed natural stocks may be subjected to net or sport fisheries that do not impact the associated exploitation rate indicator stock. Information on terminal fishery harvest rates on natural stocks is presented in Chapter 4.

In some instances, a low exploitation rate (or limited number of fish tagged) resulted in few CWT recoveries in the passthrough fisheries. To reduce the variability of the estimates, only stock-fishery combinations were included which satisfied the minimum average recovery criteria used to select stocks for inclusion in the fishery index.

The natural stocks subject to the passthrough provision were identified from the list of escapement indicator stocks provided in Chapter 2. A stock was included in the analysis if the escapement goal was not achieved and the stock was harvested in passthrough fisheries.
4) In the 1990 annual report, the number of fish encountered during the 1990 SEAK CNR fishery was estimated by multiplying the number of encounters during the retention period by the ratio of the number of days of retention to nonretention and a selectivity parameter. The selectivity parameter was included to account for changes in fishing methods which occurred during the CNR fishing period. An assumption of this procedure is that the number of days fished is proportional to the fishing effort expended. Effort data provided by ADF\&G in 1992 indicate that this assumption is not valid. Effort during the CNR period was generally less than would be predicted by simply multiplying effort during the retention period by the ratio of CNR days to retention days.

In this report, the number of legal encounters in the CNR fishing periods in 1990 and 1991 was estimated from a regression equation which relied upon field sampling data collected by ADF\&G from 1985-1990 (Appendix C). The predictor variable in the regression was the product of the encounter rate during the retention period and the number of gear days during the CNR fishing period.

### 3.2.2 Assumptions of the Analyses

Assumptions for the cohort analysis and other procedures used in the Exploitation Rate Assessment are summarized below. Detailed discussions of assumptions and parameter values have been reported previously (CTC 1988).

Cohort Analysis: Cohort analysis is the computational procedure used to reconstruct a cohort from CWT recoveries. All subsequent analyses rely upon parameters estimated from the cohort analysis. The primary assumptions of the cohort analysis are listed below.

1. Fishery and escapement CWT recovery data are obtained in a consistent manner from year to year or can be adjusted to make them comparable. Many of the analyses rely upon indices which are computed as the ratio of a statistic in a particular year to the value associated with a base period. Use of ratios may reduce or eliminate the effect of data biases which are consistent from year to year.
2. For age 2 and older fish, natural mortality is constant for each age class in all years.
3. All stocks within a fishery have the same size distribution for each age and the size distribution at age is constant among years.
4. The distribution of sub-legal sized fish is the same as legal-sized fish.
5. Incidental mortality rates per encounter are constant and are equal to $30 \%$ for troll and sport fisheries and $90 \%$ for net fisheries.
6. In the absence of an independent estimate of incidental mortality loss during non-retention periods, the procedure for estimating the mortality of CWT fish of legal size assumes that the stock distribution remains unchanged from the period of legal catch retention. Gear and/or area restrictions during the CNR fishery are believed to reduce the number of encounters of legal sized fish. To account for this, the number of legal encounters during the nonretention fishery was adjusted by a selectivity factor. A factor of 0.34 was used for the WCVI and GS troll fisheries. This value is the average selectivity factor calculated from 3 years of observer data in the Alaska troll fishery (Mel Seibel, pers. comm.). A factor of 0.20 is used in the NCBC troll fishery. This factor corresponds to the proportion of fishing areas which remain open during nonretention periods.

Fishery Indices: The temporal and spatial distributions of stocks in and between fisheries are assumed to be stable from year to year.

Survival Rate Indices: Fishery exploitation rates, incidental mortality rates, and stock maturation rates are constant from year to year. Variations in fishery exploitation rates which are small compared to changes in survival should not adversely effect the survival index. Considerable variation in exploitation rates may occur when a large proportion of the age 2 or 3 fishing mortality occurs in fisheries directed at other species.

### 3.2.3 Reported Catch Versus Total Mortalities

Fishery indices are presented for both reported catch and total mortality. Management strategies have changed considerably for fisheries constrained by PSC catch ceilings. Regulatory changes which have been implemented include size limit changes and extended periods of CNR. These changes are not reflected in CWT recovery data, yet are crucially important for assessment of total fishery impacts. Procedures to estimate these incidental mortality losses and incorporate them into the Exploitation Rate Assessment have been previously described (CTC 1988).

### 3.3 FISHERY INDICES

### 3.3.1 Overview

Detailed exploitation rates and fishery index data and graphs are provided in Appendix D. The appendix includes stock specific indices for total mortality for each fishery. Figures presented in Appendix D depict fishery indices based on total fishing mortality over time. The heavy black line
indicates the estimated fishery index; the light vertical bars are used to display the central range ${ }^{2}$ of fishery indices observed among individual stocks. Large variability is often evident when comparing indices of several stocks. This variation may be due to sampling, departures from assumptions, and differential harvest rates.

A summary of the fishery indices for total fishing mortality is presented in Table 3-4. The table provides a comparison of estimated fishery indices for each year since 1985 as well as the 1985 target reduction. The 1985 target reductions indicated in the last column were computed by subtracting the ratio of the 1985 catch ceiling to 1979-1982 average catch from one. The 1985 target reduction represents the expected change in the fishery index which would result from imposition of the ceiling if stock abundance were equal to the 1979-1982 average. Further reductions in harvest rates for PSC ceilinged fisheries were expected as the rebuilding program progressed due to decreases in fishing mortality and increases in production resulting from higher spawning escapements. The 1985 target reduction is used as a minimum expectation and is compared with present reductions because a method has not been developed to compute the time trend of expected reductions in harvest rates. Separate indices are presented for the NBC and CBC troll fisheries in order to evaluate the effects of effort shifts between the two regions. Separate fishery indices were computed for age 3 and age 4 fish in the WCVI troll fishery to evaluate the impact of the size limit change in 1987.

Table 3-4. Percent change from the 1979-1982 base in the fishery index for total adult equivalent mortality and 1985 target reductions.

|  |  |  | $\mathrm{CH}$ | VGE INTO | OTAL FIS | HERYHAR | VESTHAI | ESFROM | BASEPERIOD |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EISHERY | AGE | $1986$ | 1980 | $198 \%$ | $1988$ | $1989$ | $1990$ | $7891$ | 85.91 AVERAGE | 8, |
| SEAK Troll | 3,4,5 | 16\% | 5\% | 1\% | -22\% | -30\% | -12\% | -4\% | - 7\% | -22\% |
| NCBC Troll | 3,4,5 | -8\% | -20\% | -21\% | -38\% | -31\% | -30\% | -27\% | -25\% | -16\% |
| NBC Troll | 3,4,5 | 44\% | -17\% | -3\% | -16\% | 0\% | -8\% | -11\% | -1\% | a/ |
| CBC Troll | 3,4,5 | -75\% | -33\% | -51\% | -83\% | -90\% | -63\% | -56\% | -65\% | a/ |
| WCVI Troll | 3,4 | -11\% | -4\% | -24\% | 2\% | -57\% | -19\% | -43\% | . $22 \%$ | -24\% |
| " | 3 | -14\% | -9\% | -22\% | -12\% | -81\% | -8\% | -51\% | -25\% | -24\% |
| " | 4 | -9\% | -1\% | -26\% | 11\% | -55\% | -24\% | -39\% | -20\% | -24\% |
| Strait of Georgia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sport \& Troll | 3,4,5 | -53\% | -27\% | -34\% | -41\% | -18\% | -40\% | -23\% | -34\% | -47\% |
| Troll | 3.4 | -85\% | -49\% | -74\% | -92\% | -87\% | -57\% | -67\% | -73\% | -79\% b/ |
| Sport | 3,4,5 | -37\% | -10\% | -17\% | -28\% | 14\% | -30\% | -2\% | -16\% | -20\% b/ |

a/ Target reductions were not specified independently for NBC and CBC troll fisheries.
b/ Using Canadian domestic catch allocation decisions.

[^2]
### 3.3.2 Southeast Alaska

Fishery indices for 1988 and 1989 indicate that harvest rates had dropped by approximately $25 \%$ from the base period level. The fishery index increased in subsequent years; the estimated reduction was $12 \%$ in 1990 and $4 \%$ in 1991. The 1985-1991 average fishery index showed a reduction of $7 \%$ from the base period level, 15 percentage points less than the 1985 target reduction under the PSC regimes.

### 3.3.3 North/Central B.C.

Consistent with expectations, the NCBC fishery indices declined from 1985 through 1988. The 1988 index declined by $38 \%$, and the estimated reduction in the harvest rate was approximately $30 \%$ in each of the years from 1989 through 1991. Since implementation of the PST, harvest rates have been reduced by an average of $25 \%$ from the base period level.

The reduction has been disproportionate between the NBC and CBC troll fisheries, with reductions in the CBC fishery ranging from $33 \%$ to $90 \%$, and averaging $65 \%$ from 1985 through 1991. In contrast, harvest rates in the NBC troll fishery decreased by an average of $1 \%$ from 1985 through 1991.

### 3.3.4 West Coast Vancouver Island Troll

The fishery index for age 3 and 4 fish in the WCVI troll fishery from 1985 through 1988 showed an average reduction of approximately $9 \%$ from the base period. Reductions in 1989, 1990, and 1991 were more substantial: $57 \%$ in $1989,19 \%$ in 1990 , and $43 \%$ in 1991. Since 1985 , the harvest rate for the WCVI troll fishery has been reduced on average by $22 \%$. The target reduction for 1985 was $24 \%$.

The change in the minimum size limit for the WCVI troll fishery from 62 cm to 67 cm in 1987 appears to have had a consistent differential impact upon age 3 and age 4 chinook. In three of the five years since initiation of the new larger size limit, age 3 chinook have had a greater reduction in the index than for age 4 fish. Also, in 1987, one of the years for which the age 3 index did not show a greater reduction, the increased size limit did not come into effect until well into the fishing season.

### 3.3.5 Strait of Georgia

Sport and Troll Combined: The 1985 target reduction for the GS sport and troll fishery has not been achieved since 1985. The estimated reduction of $23 \%$ for 1991 is approximately one half of the 1985 target reduction of $47 \%$. The average reduction since 1985 was $34 \%$.

Troll: The harvest rate in the GS troll fishery in 1991 declined by $67 \%$ from the base period. This is less than the 1985 target reduction of $79 \%$ (based upon Canadian domestic catch allocation decisions). The average reduction since 1985 was $73 \%$.

Sport: The estimated reduction in the harvest rate for the GS sport fishery in 1991 was only $2 \%$. This is less than the 1985-1991 average reduction of $16 \%$, and less than the 1985 target reduction of $20 \%$ (based upon Canadian domestic catch allocation decisions).

### 3.3.6 Comparison Of Total Mortality and Reported Catch Indices

The fishery index was computed for reported catch and total mortality. The total mortality index includes the mortality from CNR fisheries and from discarding fish that are smaller than the legal size limit. Given a stable age structure, the reported catch index and the total mortality index should give similar results in the absence of major regulatory changes. Results from the comparison of the two indices are consistent with this expectation. In fisheries in which management actions have not increased incidental mortality, the indices based on the 2 methods are similar (Table 3-5).

Table 3-5. Comparison of fishery indices based on reported catch and total mortality.

| YEAB. | SEAK TBOLL AGES 3.5 |  | NCBC TROLL <br> AGES 35 |  | WCVITROL. AGES 3.4 |  | GS SPORTMROLL AGES 35 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | REPORTED | $T O T \mathrm{AL}$ | REPORTED | TOTAE | REPORTED | TOTAL | REPORTED | TODA |
| 1979 | 1.02 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.76 | 0.78 |
| 1980 | 1.03 | 1.00 | 1.07 | 1.08 | 1.03 | 1.02 | 1.19 | 1.19 |
| 1981 | 1.09 | 1.07 | 1.19 | 1.18 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 1.42 | 1.41 |
| 1982 | 0.89 | 0.95 | 0.78 | 0.77 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 0.77 | 0.77 |
| 1983 | 1.35 | 1.39 | 0.90 | 0.89 | 1.17 | 1.17 | 0.89 | 0.89 |
| 1984 | 0.95 | 1.02 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 1.51 | 1.49 | 1.02 | 1.02 |
| 1985 | 1.01 | 1.16 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.88 | 0.89 | 0.47 | 0.47 |
| 1986 | 0.99 | 1.05 | 0.79 | 0.80 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 0.70 | 0.73 |
| 1987 | 0.84 | 1.01 | 0.75 | 0.79 | 0.67 | 0.76 | 0.66 | 0.66 |
| 1988 | 0.75 | 0.78 | 0.59 | 0.62 | 0.93 | 1.02 | 0.59 | 0.59 |
| 1989 | 0.60 | 0.70 | 0.68 | 0.69 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.74 | 0.82 |
| 1990 | 0.80 | 0.88 | 0.67 | 0.70 | 0.75 | 0.81 | 0.53 | 0.60 |
| 1991 | 0.77 | 0.96 | 0.70 | 0.73 | 0.54 | 0.57 | 0.67 | 0.77 |

The effect of CNR regulations on total mortalities are apparent for the SEAK troll fishery, and the effects of CNR and size limit changes are apparent for the NCBC troll fishery, the WCVI troll fishery, and the GS sport and troll fisheries. The largest difference between the catch and total mortality indices occurred in the SEAK fishery. In 1991, the prolonged chinook nonretention fishery ( 64.5 days) and the high encounter rates resulted in a 19 percentage point difference between the indices. While CNR fisheries in the NCBC fishery have generally been of shorter duration than in the SEAK fishery, CNR fisheries have resulted in an average increase in the fishery index of 3 percentage points since 1987. The increased length of nonretention in the GS troll fishery in 1991, and the change in the size limit in the GS sport fishery, resulted in a 10 percentage point difference between the fishery index for the reported catch and total mortality index for the GS sport and troll fishery in 1991.

### 3.4 PASSTHROUGH INDICES

Estimates of the passthrough index for U.S. fisheries and Canadian fisheries are presented in Table 3-6. For U.S. nonceiling fisheries, values of the passthrough index which are less than or equal to 1.0 indicate that the CTC definition of passthrough was satisfied for the fisheries included in the index. All U.S. passthrough fisheries are included in the index with the exception of terminal net and sport fisheries (see section 3.2.1).

Table 3-6. Passthrough indices for depressed natural stocks in US and Canadian fisheries (na: stock-fishery combination does not meet selection criteria).

| EXPLOITATION INDICATOR STOCK GROUP | DEPRESSED NATURAL STOCN | cOUNTRY | PASSTHROUGHINDEX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $1986$ | , 1986\% | 就 1987 | \&1988 | \% 1988 | 1990\% | 109\% | MEAN |
| Upper GS <br> Summer/Fall | Upper GS | U.S. | na | na | na ${ }^{1 /}$ | na | na ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | na | na | na |
|  |  | Canada | 0.8 | 0.5 | $0.7{ }^{1 /}$ | 0.3 | $0.9{ }^{1 /}$ | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| Lower GS Fall | Lower GS | U.S. | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | na |
|  |  | Canada | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| North PS <br> Summer/Fall | Skagit Summer/Fall ${ }^{2 /}$ <br> Stillaguamish <br> Snohomish | U.S. | 2,31 | 2,31 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | $1.4{ }^{2 /}$ | 1.0 | 0.9 |
|  |  | Canada | 2,3/ | 2,31 | na | na | na | $n a{ }^{2 \prime}$ | na | na |
| WACO | Grays Harbor Fall ${ }^{4 /}$ <br> Columbia River Summer | U.S. | 0.4 | 0.5 | $0.6{ }^{4 /}$ | $0.9{ }^{4 /}$ | $0.7{ }^{41}$ | $1.3{ }^{4 /}$ | 0.4 | 0.7 |
|  |  | Canada | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | na |

1/ Escapement greater than goal in 1987 and 1989; passthrough provision not applicable.
$21 \quad$ Escapement greater than goal in 1985, 1986, and 1990; passthrough provision not applicable. $3 /$ No CWT groups.
41 Escapement greater than goal in 1987-1990; passthrough provision not applicable.
The passthrough index for depressed U.S. stocks harvested in U.S. fisheries was less than or equal to 1.0 with the exception of the North Puget Sound Summer/Fall and WACO stock groups in 1990. The average value of the passthrough index was 0.9 for the North Puget Sound Summer/Fall stock group and 0.7 for the WACO stock group. Recoveries were insufficient to compute the passthrough index for the GS stocks in U.S. fisheries.

Consistent with Canadian commitments, passthrough evaluation of Canadian net fisheries incorporated a $25 \%$ reduction from the base period. The WCVI sport fishery is not included in the CTC passthrough index for Canadian fisheries since estimated recoveries are not available. Mean values of the index for Canadian stocks were less than 0.75 , although year specific indices exceeded the target value in two of the 12 stock-year combinations. Recoveries were insufficient to compute the passthrough index for U.S. stocks in Canadian fisheries.

### 3.5 BROOD EXPLOITATION RATES

Brood year exploitation rates for the indicator stocks are presented in Table 3-7 (ocean exploitation) and Table 3-8 (total exploitation). The tables provide estimates of the average brood exploitation rates during the base period, brood exploitation rates for brood years 1982-1987, and the average brood exploitation rate for brood years 1982-1987 (the 1983 brood is excluded for Robertson Creek as very poor survival likely resulted in a biased estimate of incidental mortality). The base period is defined as the 1976-1979 brood years for fall stocks (for Quinsam the base period is 1976-1980 due to the presence of an extra age class) and 1975-1978 for spring or yearling type stocks. Changes from base period levels are expressed both in terms of percentage point reductions and percent reductions (e.g., if the brood year exploitation rates during the base period and 1987 were estimated at $50 \%$ and $45 \%$ respectively, the percentage point change would be -5 and the percent change would be $-10 \%$ ). Although 25 indicator stocks are included
in the tables, comparisons with the base period can be made for only 16 of the stocks. Seven of the stocks had no base period information, and two of the stocks have no CWT data after the 1984 brood year. Total brood exploitation rates are not reported for the Salmon River stock as freshwater sport recovery data are combined with escapement. Graphs of ocean exploitation rates on a brood years basis are presented in Appendix E.

The 1982-1987 average brood year ocean exploitation rates for total mortality have declined from base period levels for 13 of the 16 stocks for which adequate data are available (Table 3-7). The median decline in total ocean exploitation rates for all stocks was 7 percentage points. Reductions ranged from 1 (Salmon River) to 21 (Spring Creek Tule) percentage points. The average 1982-1987 brood year ocean exploitation rates increased from base period levels for the Alaska Spring ( +1 ), George Adams ( +1 ), and White River Spring ( +6 ) stocks.

Average ocean incidental fishing mortalities increased for 10 of the indicator stocks. Two of these stocks showed increases in incidental mortality of over $150 \%$ compared to the base period. The Big Qualicum stock increased 13 percentage points ( $163 \%$ ) and the White River Spring stock increased 3 percentage points ( $123 \%$ ). Average incidental mortalities decreased for two stocks. The largest decrease was 5 percentage points (South Puget Sound Fall Yearling).

The 1982-1987 average brood year total (ocean and terminal) exploitation rates for total mortality have declined for 11 of the 15 indicator stocks that have adequate data (Table 3-8). The median reduction for all stocks was 3 percentage points. For stocks with a reduction, the median decline was 6 percentage points, with values ranging from -1 (Lewis River Wild) to -21 (White River Spring Yearling). Average total exploitation rates increased for four indicator stocks. For these stocks, the median increase was 12.5 percentage points, ranging from +1 (George Adams Fall Fingerling) to +22 (Upriver Bright).

Table 3-7. Brood year ocean exploitation rates for the exploitation rate indicator stocks. Incomplete brood years are designated by an asterisk. See text for definition of brood years in the base period for individual stocks. The 1982-1987 average for Robertson Creek does not include the 1983 brood.

| Stock | Base Period | 1982 | 1983 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Brood } \\ & 1984 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | 1986 | 1987 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg } \\ 82-87 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Change Percen Points | $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska Spring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | 40\% | 35\% | 29\% | 28\% | 26\% | 47\%* | N/A | 33\% | -7 | -18\% |
| Incidental Mortalities | 12\% | 20\% | 18\% | 21\% | 20\% | 23\% | N/A | 20\% | 8 | 66\% |
| Total Mortalities | 52\% | 55\% | 46\% | 49\% | 46\% | 70\% | N/A | 53\% | 1 | 2\% |
| Robertson Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | 52\% | 45\% | 26\% | 35\% | 39\% | 43\% | 42\%* | 41\% | -11 | -21\% |
| Incidental Mortalities | 13\% | 32\% | 59\% | 11\% | 11\% | 14\% | 19\% | 17\% | 4 | 31\% |
| Total Mortalities | 65\% | 77\% | 86\%. | 46\% | 50\% | 56\% | 60\% | 58\% | -7 | -11\% |
| Quinsam |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | 61\% | 44\% | 39\% | 34\% | 32\% | 36\% | N/A | 37\% | -24 | -39\% |
| Incidental Mortalities | 11\% | 13\% | 29\% | 22\% | 21\% | 21\% | N/A | 21\% | 10 | 95\% |
| Total Mortalities | 72\% | 57\% | 69\% | 56\% | 54\% | 57\% | N/A | 59\% | -14 | -19\% |
| Big Qualicum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | 69\% | 54\% | 62\% | 40\% | 50\% | 47\% | 40\%* | 49\% | -20 | -29\% |
| Incidental Mortalities | 8\% | 15\% | 15\% | 24\% | 19\% | 20\% | 35\% | 21\% | 13 | 163\% |
| Total Mortalities | 77\% | 69\% | 77\% | 64\% | 69\% | 68\% | 76\% | 70\% | -6 | -8\% |
| South Puget Sound Fall Yearling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | 66\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 50\% | 56\%* | 53\% | -13 | -20\% |
| Incidental Mortalities | 19\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 13\% | 13\% | 13\% | -5 | -29\% |
| Total Mortalities | 84\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 63\% | 69\% | 66\% | -19 | -22\% |
| University of Washington Accelerated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | 46\% | 41\% | 36\% | 35\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | 38\% | N/A | N/A |
| Incidental Mortalities Total Mortalities | 21\% $67 \%$ | 15\% $57 \%$ | $12 \%$ $49 \%$ | $12 \%$ $47 \%$ | N/A | N/A | N/A | 13\% 51\% | N/A | N/A |
| Squaxin Pens |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 51\% | 49\%* | 50\% | N/A | $N / A$ |
| Incidental Mortalities | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 12\% | 14\% | 13\% | N/A | N/A |
| Total Mortalities | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 63\% | 64\% | 63\% | N/A | N/A |
| Samish Fall Fingerling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Incidental Mortalities | 51\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | 42\% | 12\% | 11\% | 11\% | -7 | - $71 \%$ |
| Total Mortalities | 57\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | 51\% | 57\% | 55\% | 54\% | -3 | -5\% |
| George Adams Fall Fingerling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | 46\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | 42\% | 56\% | 44\%* | 48\% | 1 | 2\% |
| Incidental Mortalities | 11\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | 10\% | 13\% | 12\% | 11\% | 0 | 2\% |
| Total Mortalities | 58\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | 52\% | 69\% | 56\% | 59\% | 1 | 2\% |
| South Puget Sound Fall Fingerling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Incidental Mortalities | 10\% | 11\% | 10\% | 14\% | 11\% | 11\% | 14\% | 12\% | 2 | 15\% |
| Total Mortalities | 69\% | 62\% | 50\% | 61\% | 42\% | 60\% | 59\% | 56\% | -13 | -19\% |
| Skagit Spring Yearling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | N/A | 68\% | 57\% | 39\% | 37\% | 48\% | 45\%* | 49\% | N/A | N/A |
| Incidental Mortalities | N/A | 10\% | 9\% | 11\% | 6\% | 9\% | 14\% | 10\% | N/A | N/A |
| Total Mortalities | N/A | 78\% | 67\% | 50\% | 43\% | 57\% | 59\% | 59\% | N/A | N/A |
| Nooksack Spring Yearling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Incidental Mortalities | N/A | 8\% | N/A | 8\% | N/A | 7\% | 11\% | 8\% | N/A | N/A |
| Total Mortalities | N/A | 75\% | N/A | 55\% | N/A | 41\% | 49\% | 55\% | N/A | N/A |

Chapter 3. Exploitation Rate Assessment

Table 3-7. Continued

| Stock | Base Period | 1982 | 1983 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Brood } \\ & 1984 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & 1985 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1986 | 1987 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg } \\ 82-87 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Change Percent Points | $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quilcene Spring Yearling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | N/A | 14\% | 54\% | N/A | 45\% | 64\% | 62\%* | 48\% | N/A | N/A |
| Incidental Mortalities | N/A | 3\% | 13\% | N/A | 9\% | 14\% | 15\% | 11\% | N/A | N/A |
| Total Mortalities | N/A | 17\% | 67\% | N/A | 54\% | 78\% | 77\% | 59\% | N/A | N/A |
| White River Spring Yearling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | 41\% | 46\% | 54\% | 48\% | 43\% | 43\% | 35\%* | 45\% | 4 | 110\% |
| Incidental Mortalities | 9\% | 10\% | 9\% | 14\% | 11\% | 12\% | 13\% | 12\% | 3 | 133\% |
| Total Mortalities | 50\% | 55\% | 64\% | 62\% | 54\% | 55\% | 48\% | 56\% | 6 | 112\% |
| Sooes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 41\% | 25\% | N/A | 33\% | N/A | N/A |
| Incidental Mortalities | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 10\% | 8\% | N/A | 9\% | N/A | N/A |
| Total Mortalities | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 51\% | 33\% | N/A | 42\% | N/A | N/A |
| Cowlitz Fall Tule |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | 53\% | 39\% | 32\% | 31\% | 36\% | 32\% | 32\%* | 33\% | -20 | -37\% |
| Incidental Mortalities | 9\% | 6\% | 5\% | 9\% | 12\% | 13\% | 14\% | 10\% | 0 | 5\% |
| Total Mortalities | 63\% | 45\% | 37\% | 39\% | 47\% | 45\% | 46\% | 43\% | -19 | -31\% |
| Spring Creek Tule |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | 54\% | 31\% | 26\% | 37\% | 46\% | 35\% | 39\%* | 36\% | -18 | -34\% |
| Incidental Mortalities | 13\% | 11\% | 10\% | 9\% | 8\% | 9\% | 10\% | 10\% | -3 | -25\% |
| Total Mortalities | 67\% | 41\% | 36\% | 46\% | 54\% | 44\% | 50\% | 45\% | -21 | -32\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | 57\% | 46\% | 29\% | 36\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | 37\% | N/A | N/A |
| Incidental Mortalities | 11\% | 12\% | 13\% | 20\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | 15\% | N/A | N/A |
| Total Mortalities | 69\% | 58\% | 43\% | 56\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | 52\% | N/A | N/A |
| Stayton Pond Tule |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | 53\% | 42\% | 44\% | 43\% | 43\% | 49\% | 45\%* | 44\% | -9 | -17\% |
| Incidental Mortalities | 12\% | 11\% | 9\% | 16\% | 22\% | 16\% | 10\% | 14\% | 2 | 19\% |
| Total Mortalities | 65\% | 54\% | 54\% | 58\% | 65\% | 65\% | 55\% | 58\% | -7 | -11\% |
| Columbia River Upriver Bright |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | 34\% | 27\% | 33\% | 28\% | 22\% | 24\% | 10\%* | 24\% | -9 | -28\% |
| Incidental Mortalities | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 10\% | 15\% | 15\% | 17\% | 12\% | 5 | 63\% |
| Total Mortalities | 41\% | 35\% | 41\% | 38\% | 38\% | 40\% | 28\% | 37\% | -5 | -11\% |
| Lyons Ferry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | N/A | N/A | N/A | 27\% | 28\% | 36\% | 10\%* | 25\% | N/A | N/A |
| Incidental Mortalities | N/A | N/A | N/A | 8\% | 7\% | 9\% | 14\% | 9\% | N/A | N/A |
| Total Mortalities | N/A | N/A | N/A | 35\% | 35\% | 45\% | 24\% | 35\% | N/A | N/A |
| Hanford Wild Brights |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 27\% | 15\%* | 21\% | N/A | N/A |
| Incidental Mortalities | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 8\% | 10\% | 9\% | N/A | N/A |
| Total Mortalities | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 35\% | 24\% | 30\% | N/A | N/A |
| Lewis River Wild |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | 29\% | 22\% | 27\% | 19\% | 21\% | 20\% | 18\%* | 21\% | -8 | -27\% |
| Incidental Mortalities | 6\% | 4\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 8\% | 5\% | 0 | -7\% |
| Total Mortalities | 35\% | 26\% | 32\% | 24\% | 25\% | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% | -8 | -24\% |
| Willamette Spring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | 28\% | 14\% | 26\% | 14\% | 8\% | 16\%* | N/A | 16\% | -12 | -43\% |
| Incidental Mortalities | 8\% | 10\% | 10\% | 9\% | 5\% | 6\% | N/A | 8\% | 0 | -3\% |
| Total Mortalities | 36\% | 24\% | 36\% | 23\% | 14\% | 22\% | N/A | 24\% | -12 | -34\% |
| Salmon River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | 36\% | 36\% | 22\% | 31\% | 34\% | 40\% | 25\%* | 31\% | -5 | -13\% |
| Incidental Mortalities | 7\% | 12\% | 6\% | 10\% | 12\% | 12\% | 15\% | 11\% | 4 | 54\% |
| Total Mortalities | 43\% | 48\% | 27\% | 41\% | 46\% | 52\% | 40\% | 42\% | -1 | -2\% |

Table 3-8. Brood year total exploitation rates for the exploitation rate indicator stocks. Incomplete brood years are designated by an asterisk. See text for definition of brood years in the base period for individual stocks. The 1982-1987 average for Robertson Creek does not include the 1983 brood.

| Stock | Base <br> Period | 1982 | 1983 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Brood } \\ & 1984 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & 1985 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1986 | 1987 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg } \\ 82-87 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Change Percent Points | from Base tage \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska Spring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | 41\% | 37\% | 32\% | 31\% | 29\% | 50\%* | $N / A$ | 36\% | -5 | -13\% |
| Incidental Mortalities | 12\% | 20\% | 18\% | 21\% | 21\% | 24\% | N/A | 21\% | 9 | 70\% |
| Total Mortalities | 53\% | 58\% | 50\% | 52\% | 49\% | 74\% | N/A | 57\% | 3 | 6\% |
| Robertson Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | 73\% | 54\% | 30\% | 60\% | 75\% | 75\% | 64\%* | 66\% | -7 | -10\% |
| Incidental Mortalities | 14\% | 33\% | 59\% | 12\% | 12\% | 14\% | 20\% | 18\% | 4 | 29\% |
| Total Mortalities | 87\% | 87\% | 89\% | 73\% | 87\% | 89\% | 84\% | 84\% | 3 | -3\% |
| Quinsam |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | 75\% | 60\% | 45\% | 45\% | 44\% | 46\% | N/A | 48\% | -27 | -36\% |
| Incidental Mortalities | 11\% | 16\% | 30\% | 24\% | 24\% | 23\% | N/A | 23\% | 12 | 111\% |
| Total Mortalities | 86\% | 75\% | 75\% | 68\% | 68\% | 69\% | N/A | 71\% | -15 | -17\% |
| Big Qualicum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | 73\% | 59\% | 66\% | 45\% | 53\% | 50\% | 42\%* | 52\% | -20 | -28\% |
| Incidental Mortalities | 8\% | 15\% | 16\% | 24\% | 19\% | 21\% | 35\% | 22\% | 14 | 168\% |
| Total Mortalities | 81\% | 74\% | 81\% | 69\% | 72\% | 71\% | 77\% | 74\% | -7 | -8\% |
| South Puget Sound Fall Yearling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | 74\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 76\% | 74\%* | 75\% | 1 | 1\% |
| Incidental Mortalities | 19\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 16\% | 15\% | 16\% | -4 | -20\% |
| Total Mortalities | 94\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 93\% | 88\% | 91\% | -3 | -3\% |
| University of Washington Accelerated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | 54\% | 57\% | 70\% | 71\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | 66\% | N/A | N/A |
| Incidental Mortalities | 23\% | 20\% | 15\% | 16\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | 17\% | N/A | N/A |
| Total Mortalities | 77\% | 77\% | 85\% | 87\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | 83\% | N/A | N/A |
| Squaxin Pens |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 80\% | 78\%* | 79\% | N/A | N/A |
| Incidental Mortalities | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 17\% | 19\% | 18\% | N/A | N/A |
| Total Mortalities | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 97\% | 97\% | 97\% | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | 81\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | 86\% | 71\% | 66\%* | 74\% | -7 | -8\% |
| Incidental Mortalities | 8\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | 11\% | 14\% | 12\% | 12\% | 4 | 55\% |
| Total Mortalities | 89\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | 97\% | 85\% | 78\% | 86\% | -2 | -3\% |
| George Adams Fall Fingerling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Incidental Mortalities |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | 77\% | 15\% | 16\% | 70\% | 2 | 2\% |
| Incidental Mortalities | 15\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | 12\% | 15\% | 16\% | 14\% | -1 | -7\% |
| Total Mortalities | 89\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | 89\% | 94\% | 86\% | 90\% | 1 | 1\% |
| South Puget Sound Fall Fingerling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Incidental Mortalities | 12\% | 12\% | 13\% | 14\% | 11\% | 12\% | 17\% | 13\% | 2 | 15\% |
| Total Mortalities | 88\% | 71\% | 65\% | 73\% | 61\% | 88\% | 90\% | 75\% | -13 | -15\% |
| Skagit Spring Yearling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | N/A | 74\% | 81\% | 66\% | 61\% | 64\% | 57\%* | 67\% | N/A | N/A |
| Incidental Mortalities | N/A | 10\% | 10\% | 12\% | 7\% | 10\% | 15\% | 11\% | N/A | N/A |
| Total Mortalities | N/A | 84\% | 92\% | 78\% | 68\% | 74\% | 72\% | 78\% | N/A | N/A |
| Nooksack Spring Yearling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | N/A | 67\% | N/A | 57\% | N/A | 81\% | 45\%* | 62\% | N/A | N/A |
| Incidental Mortalities | N/A | 8\% | N/A | 10\% | N/A | 8\% | 11\% | 9\% | N/A | N/A |
| Total Mortalities | N/A | 75\% | N/A | 67\% | N/A | 89\% | 56\% | 72\% | N/A | N/A |

Table 3-8. Continued

| Stock | Base Period | 1982 | 1983 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Brood } \\ & 1984 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | 1986 | 1987 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg } \\ 82-87 \end{gathered}$ | Change Percent Points |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quilcene Spring Yearling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | N/A | 85\% | 61\% | N/A | 67\% | 69\% | 62\%* | 69\% | N/A | N/A |
| Incidental Mortalities | N/A | 8\% | 13\% | N/A | 10\% | 14\% | 15\% | 12\% | N/A | N/A |
| Total Mortalities | N/A | 93\% | 74\% | N/A | 78\% | 83\% | 77\% | 81\% | N/A | N/A |
| White River Spring Yearling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | 80\% | 64\% | 67\% | 55\% | 53\% | 60\% | 45\%* | 57\% | -23 | -29\% |
| Incidental Mortalities | 11\% | 11\% | 11\% | 15\% | 12\% | 14\% | 16\% | 13\% | 2 | 18\% |
| Total Mortalities | 91\% | 75\% | 78\% | 70\% | 65\% | 74\% | 60\% | 70\% | -21 | -23\% |
| Sooes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 45\% | 30\% | N/A | 37\% | N/A | N/A |
| Incidental Mortalities | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 10\% | 8\% | N/A | 9\% | N/A | N/A |
| Total Mortalities | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 55\% | 37\% | N/A | 46\% | N/A. | N/A |
| Cowlitz Fall Tule |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | 64\% | 63\% | 67\% | 60\% | 62\% | 40\% | 38\%* | 55\% | -9 | -14\% |
| Incidental Mortalities | 10\% | 8\% | 8\% | 12\% | 16\% | 15\% | 15\% | 12\% | 2 | 24\% |
| Total Mortalities | 74\% | 71\% | 75\% | 72\% | 78\% | 56\% | 53\% | 67\% | -6 | -9\% |
| Spring Creek Tule |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | 73\% | 53\% | 66\% | 61\% | 79\% | 64\% | 61\%* | 64\% | -9 | -12\% |
| Incidental Mortalities | 15\% | 14\% | 14\% | 13\% | 11\% | 14\% | 14\% | 13\% | -2 | -12\% |
| Total Mortalities | 88\% | 67\% | 80\% | 74\% | 90\% | 78\% | 74\% | 77\% | -11 | -12\% |
| Bonneville Tule |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | 67\% | 53\% | 39\% | 55\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | 49\% | N/A | N/A |
| Incidental Mortalities | 12\% | 13\% | 17\% | 24\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | 18\% | N/A | N/A |
| Total Mortalities | 79\% | 67\% | 55\% | 79\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | 67\% | N/A | N/A |
| Stayton Pond Tule |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | 60\% | 45\% | 52\% | 62\% | 51\% | 51\% | 45\%* | 51\% | -9 | -15\% |
| Incidental Mortalities | 12\% | 12\% | 10\% | 18\% | 24\% | 16\% | 11\% | 15\% | 3 | 24\% |
| Total Mortalities | 72\% | 57\% | 63\% | 80\% | 75\% | 67\% | 56\% | 66\% | -6 | -8\% |
| Columbia River Upriver Bright |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | 40\% | 60\% | 62\% | 68\% | 60\% | 51\% | 25\%* | 55\% | 14 | 35\% |
| Incidental Mortalities | 8\% | 10\% | 12\% | 14\% | 17\% | 20\% | 21\% | 16\% | 8 | 96\% |
| Total Mortalities | 48\% | 71\% | 73\% | 83\% | 77\% | 71\% | 46\% | 70\% | 22 | 45\% |
| Lyons Ferry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | N/A | N/A | N/A | 48\% | 52\% | 55\% | 33\%* | 47\% | N/A | N/A |
| Incidental Mortalities | N/A | N/A | N/A | 12\% | 10\% | 10\% | 17\% | 12\% | N/A | N/A |
| Total Mortalities | N/A | N/A | N/A | 60\% | 62\% | 65\% | 50\% | 59\% | N/A | N/A |
| Hanford Wild Brights |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 59\% | 42\%* | 50\% | N/A | N/A |
| Incidental Mortalities | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 10\% | 12\% | 11\% | N/A | N/A |
| Total Mortalities | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 69\% | 54\% | 61\% | N/A | N/A |
| Lewis River Wild |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | 45\% | 52\% | 60\% | 42\% | 40\% | 36\% | 32\%* | 44\% | -2 | -4\% |
| Incidental Mortalities | 7\% | 6\% | 9\% | 7\% | 10\% | 9\% | 9\% | 8\% | 1 | 17\% |
| Total Mortalities | 53\% | 59\% | 68\% | 49\% | 50\% | 44\% | 42\% | 52\% | -1 | -1\% |
| Willamette Spring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | 58\% | 55\% | 69\% | 56\% | 57\% | 55\%* | N/A | 58\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Incidental Mortalities | 15\% | 13\% | 18\% | 15\% | 10\% | 13\% | N/A | 14\% | -1 | -10\% |
| Total Mortalities | 74\% | 68\% | 87\% | 71\% | 67\% | 68\% | N/A | 72\% | -2 | -2\% |
| Salmon River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported Catch | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Incidental Mortalities | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Total Mortalities | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Average total incidental mortalities increased compared to the base period for 11 stocks and decreased for four stocks. The largest increase over the base period was 14 percentage points (Big Qualicum). Of the four stocks with decreased incidental mortalities, the South Puget Sound Fall Yearling stock showed the largest decrease ( 4 percentage points).

### 3.6 SURVIVAL RATE INDICES

Projected survival indices of major stock groups are provided in Table 3-9 (survival indices for individual stocks are graphed in Appendix F). For each stock group, the table includes projections of survival indices for the 1987-88 broods (1990 analysis) and 1988-89 broods (1991 analysis). Fisheries with PSC ceilings which account for at least $10 \%$ of a stock group's total fishing mortality are also noted. All stock groups are projected to have survivals below the long term average, with the largest reductions for North PS Springs ( $-95 \%$ ), Löwer GS Falls ( $-90 \%$ ), Sóuth PS Summer/Falls ( $-85 \%$ ) and Upper GS Summer/Falls ( $-82 \%$ ). Three of these stock groups contribute to GS fisheries; however, all ceiling fisheries will harvest stocks with survivals below the long term average.

Table 3-9. Short-term survival index projections of stock groups to fisheries operating under PSC ceilings.

| Stock Group | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kinaly } \\ & \text { Ahalysis } \end{aligned}$ | $1991$ <br> Analysis | Fisherier |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | SEAK | NCBC. | Wevt | GS |
| SEAK Spring | -59\% | -75\% | X |  |  |  |
| NCBC Spring/Summer | -86\% | -76\% | X | X |  |  |
| WCVI Fall | +6\% | -15\% | X | X |  |  |
| Upper GS Summer/Fall | -68\% | -82\% | X | X |  |  |
| Lower GS Fall | -90\% | -90\% | X | X |  | X |
| Lower FR (Harrison) Fall | -33\% | -3\% |  |  | X | X |
| North PS Spring | -31\% | -95\% |  |  |  | X |
| North PS Summer/Fall | -38\% | -45\% ${ }^{1 /}$ |  |  | X | X |
| South PS Summer/Fall | -76\% | -85\% |  |  | X | X |
| WACO | -54\% | -43\% | X | X | X |  |
| CR Hatchery Tule Fall | -64\% | -53\% |  |  | X |  |

1/ A greater reduction ( $-94 \%$ ) is estimated if only stocks with escapement data are utilized.
Since these projections are for survival indices of major hatchery stocks, their applicability to associated wild stocks is uncertain. However, at the very least, reduced abundance of hatchery stocks contributing
to fisheries operating under PSC ceilings suggests that exploitation rates on commingled natural stocks would be expected to increase in the short-term.

### 3.7 STOCK CATCH DISTRIBUTION

The annual distribution of reported catch and total fishing mortality of the exploitation rate indicator stocks may be found in Appendix G.

### 3.8 DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

Analyses in this Chapter are specific to the 40 exploitation indicator stocks. Extrapolation of results to similar stocks and/or generalizations about fishery impacts will only be appropriate to the extent that these indicator stocks are representative of the stocks harvested in the fisheries or the natural stocks which they represent.

### 3.8.1 Fishery Indices

A basic premise of the rebuilding program is that fixed ceilings will act in concert with increases in the abundance of chinook to continually reduce harvest rates. In addition, the CTC recommended when the rebuilding program was developed that restrictions in the length of the season, or other restrictions designed to reduce harvest rates, should be implemented in years in which abundance precluded harvesting the full ceiling without an increase in the harvest rate (PSC 1991). Since 1985, the SEAK and NCBC all gear fisheries and Georgia Strait (GS) troll fishery have been managed primarily through the use of ceilings, while the WCVI troll and GS sport fisheries have implemented restrictions related to effort or bag limits to control harvest rates.

For all ceiling fisheries, the initial objective was to achieve the 1985 target reduction in harvest rates. Further reductions in harvest rates were expected to occur in subsequent years as abundance increased. The fishery indices indicate that only the NCBC fishery has consistently achieved these objectives. WCVI has shown mixed results with respect to fishery index changes. Since 1985 there have been 3 years with fishery index changes greater than or equal to the 1985 target reduction, 1 year near the target, and 3 years with fishery indices less or much less than the 1985 target reduction. Management measures in the SEAK and GS fisheries have been insufficient to consistently achieve the target harvest rate reductions.

While the 1985 target harvest rate reduction in the SEAK fishery has been achieved for the reported catch, the total harvest rate reduction has not been met due to the high chinook availability and/or abundance and management regime for the SEAK fisheries, including prolonged CNR periods for the troll fishery. In 1991, the length of the general troll summer season was the shortest ( 7.5 days) since the inception of the PST primarily due to a high abundance and large catch per fleet day. The 1991 CNR period was 64.5 days ( 1988 to 1990 average was 51.1).

Since 1989, catch in the WCVI fishery has been controlled primarily through restrictions in fishing areas and by limiting the total effort. The 1990 Letter of Transmittal stated that "it is Canada's intention in 1990 to manage this fishery in a manner so as not to exceed the 1985-87 average troll fishery harvest rate". To this end fishing effort, both in terms of days open and total boat days, was restricted to the average 1985-1987 level in each year. Revised estimates of harvest rates included in this report indicate that the commitment to harvest rate reductions was achieved. The 1985-1991 average reduction in the harvest rate of $22 \%$ is near the 1985 target reduction of $24 \%$.

Harvest rates in the combined GS sport and troll fishery remain above even the 1985 target level (198591 average reduction being $72 \%$ of the 1985 target reduction) primarily due to the sport fishery. Management actions which have been taken in the sport fishery are summarized in Chapter 1. Despite these actions, the harvest rate in the sport fishery was estimated to be only $2 \%$ less than the base period level. This indicates that management actions taken in this fishery have been insufficient to achieve the 1985 target reduction.

### 3.8.2 Passthrough Indices

The passthrough provision of the Chinook Annex of the PST requires that fisheries in Alaska, British Columbia, Washington, and Oregon be managed "so that the bulk of depressed stocks preserved by the conservation program set out herein principally accrue to the spawning escapement." The provision was included to assure that reductions in the harvest of depressed natural stocks resulting from the imposition of catch ceilings in some fisheries would not be offset by increased harvest rates in nonceiling fisheries.

Passthrough indices included in this chapter were computed using methods suggested by the CTC in 1991. Although these methods are consistent with assumptions used by the CTC in previous analyses of fishery management regimes, it should be noted that the PSC has not formally provided the CTC with a definition of passthrough which can be used to analytically assess if the passthrough provision of the PST has been satisfied. In addition, the indices reported in this chapter do not include the WCVI sport fishery and some terminal sport and net fisheries. These fisheries were excluded in instances in which the exploitation rate indicator stock was of hatchery origin and subject to terminal fisheries designed to harvest surplus hatchery production. Additional information on harvest rates in terminal fisheries may be found in Chapter 4.

The analysis indicated that the passthrough commitment has generally been achieved for depressed natural stocks. Exceptions occurred in 1990 for U.S. fisheries (Stillaguamish, Snohomish, and Columbia River Summer stocks), and 1986 and 1989 for Canadian fisheries (Lower GS and Upper GS stocks, respectively).

### 3.8.3 Brood Exploitation Rates

Implementation of the PST ceilings was expected to reduce brood exploitation rates by 16 percentage points for the Georgia Strait stock and 9 percentage points for the WCVI stock. For reported catch, these targets have now been achieved. Unfortunately, reductions in exploitation associated with reported catch have been offset to a large extent by increases related to incidental mortality. For example, while the average Robertson Creek (exploitation indicator stock for the WCVI) brood exploitation rate for reported catch in ocean fisheries has declined by 11 percentage points, the brood exploitation rate for total mortality in ocean fisheries has declined by 7 percentage points. Similarly, the average Big Qualicum (exploitation indicator stock for Lower GS) brood exploitation rate for reported catch in all fisheries has declined by 20 percentage points, but the brood exploitation rate for total mortality in all fisheries has declined by only 7 percentage points.

The technical analyses upon which the current ceiling levels are based assumed that exploitation rates associated with incidental fishing mortality would decline at the same rate as for reported catch. It is apparent that this assumption was not justified given subsequent management regimes. Compared to the base period, 1982-1887 average ocean incidental mortality increased for 10 stocks, decreased for 2 stocks, and showed no change for 4 stocks. The median increase in incidental mortality for all stocks was 3 percentage points (range -5 to +13 points). Incidental mortality on Alaskan and Canadian stocks increased an average of 9 percentage points over the base period.

### 3.8.4 Survival Indices

The Committee emphasizes that to maintain reductions or further reduce brood year exploitation rates under a fixed catch ceiling policy, the abundance of chinook in the fishing areas must equal or exceed
recent abundances. Future abundances will be determined by the escapement of natural stocks, hatchery production, and survival rates. The Exploitation Rate Assessment provides survival indices for indicator stocks and broods which will contribute to fisheries in 1992 and 1993. Although most of the indicator stocks are of hatchery origin, natural stocks will display a similar trend if factors regulating survival are similar to those affecting hatchery stocks; further, reduced contributions of hatchery fish to fisheries operating under PSC ceilings will increase harvest rates on all commingled stocks.

The results of the Exploitation Rate Assessment indicate that survival rates for most stocks will be well below the long term average for broods contributing to fisheries in 1992 and 1993. The abundance of fish in a particular fishery will depend upon the mixture of stocks present. For the SEAK and NCBC fisheries, reduced survivals ranging from $-15 \%$ to $-90 \%$ below average are projected for the major stock groups contributing to this fishery. For the WCVI and GS fisheries, survival for the major stock groups contributing to these fisheries are projected to range from $-3 \%$ to approximately $-90 \%$ below average. The magnitude of these reductions are of significant concern to the CTC.

## CHAPTER 4. INTEGRATION OF CTC ANALYSES

### 4.1 INTRODUCTION

This chapter integrates information from 3 sources to evaluate the status and effectiveness of the PSC chinook rebuilding program: (1) predictions from the PSC chinook model; (2) results from the Rebuilding Assessment based upon patterns in spawning escapements (Chapter 2); and (3) results from the Exploitation Rate Assessment (Chapter 3).

The PSC chinook model is used to provides estimates of the average abundance available to ceiling fisheries in 1985-1989, 1990-1991, and the projected abundance in 1992-1993 relative to a long-term average. The abundance projections may be used to infer expected exploitation rates in each fishery and ultimately, upon the rate of rebuilding.

Status of rebuilding and factors which may be affecting progress toward rebuilding are summarized for 13 stock groups delineated by geographic proximity or similar catch distributions. Grouping stocks is advantageous in that: (1) the consistency of the response of stocks within the group may be evaluated; (2) data gaps within a particular stock may be filled from other stocks within the group; (3) multiple observations per stock group reduce the variability of the estimates; and (4) results are easier to present and summarize. Variation in the rebuilding response of stocks within a group is likely due to factors other than fishing mortality in the ceiling fisheries.

Data are summarized for the stock groups listed below:

SE Alaska Spring<br>Transboundary and Situk Spring<br>North/Central BC Spring/Summer<br>WCVI Fall<br>Upper Strait of Georgia Summer/Fall<br>Lower Strait of Georgia Fall<br>Upper Fraser River Spring/Summer<br>Lower Fraser (Harrison) Fall<br>North Puget Sound Spring<br>North Puget Sound Summer/Fall<br>South Puget Sound Summer/Fall<br>Columbia Upriver Spring<br>Washington Coastal Spring/Summer/Fall, Columbia River Summer/Fall, and Oregon Coastal Fall North Migrating

### 4.2 METHODS

Analytical methods used in the integrated analysis were described in detail in the 1989 Annual Report (CTC 1990). The following sections provide a brief description of the information presented in this chapter and note changes which have occurred since the 1989 assessment.

### 4.2.1 Model Estimates of Abundance

The May 1992 calibration of the PSC chinook model was used to project the abundance of chinook salmon available to fisheries in 1992-1993. Abundance was estimated using the methods described in "Notes on Index Development", provided by the AWG to the Chinook Work Group in November, 1989. An index of abundance was computed by expressing each annual abundance relative to the long-term (1979-1991) average.

### 4.2.2 Explanation of Summary Table

Information contained in the summary tables is divided into three major parts: Part A - Analysis of Escapement, Terminal Harvest Rates, and Brood Exploitation Rates; Part B - Total Mortality Distribution and Fishery Impacts; and Part C - Survival and Escapement Indices. Note that in the summary tables, the notation NA indicates that the data are not available while NR indicates that the data are not representative for the escapement indicator stocks.

## Part A - Analysis of Escapement, Terminal Harvest Rates, and Brood Exploitation Rates.

Escapement Analysis. The Escapement Analysis section of the table includes a list of the escapement indicator stocks included in each stock group and their stock status as assessed in Chapter 2. The stocks are ordered by rebuilding status separately for stocks with and without fixed numeric spawning escapement goals.

The stock status is followed by an index of the harvest rate in the terminal area relative to the 1979-1982 base period used in the Exploitation Rate Assessment. The annual terminal harvest rate estimates are converted to an index by dividing the observed harvest rate for each year by the average harvest rate during 1979-1982. These annual indices are then averaged for years with valid data during the $1985-1991$ period. The terminal harvests reported in Part A of the summary tables have been excluded in the passthrough indices computed in Part B of the summary tables.

PSC Chinook Model. Information from stocks included in the PSC chinook model is presented in this section of the summary tables. The first column lists stocks included in the PSC chinook model which are associated with the stock group.

The second column reports the predicted year in which the stock will rebuild or the percentage of the escapement goal achieved in 1998. The year rebuilt is defined as the earliest year in which the spawning escapement goal is achieved and met in each subsequent year through 1998.

The rebuilding predictions are dependent upon several assumptions used in the model run, including: (1) ceilings are fixed at the levels negotiated and are not exceeded from 1993-1998; (2) size limits are not changed after 1992; (3) season structure is not substantively changed from the base period; (4) chinook non-retention will occur after ceilings are reached; and (5) stock productivity and marine survival are equal to the average of all available estimates for each model stock beginning with the 1979 brood.

The next column reports the adult equivalent exploitation rate (MSY ER) that is sustainable when spawning escapement is maintained at the established escapement goal for a stock. The estimates
of the MSY ER are dependent upon the stock specific productivity estimate used in the chinook model. These estimates were derived using the following procedure:

1. Estimate the stock specific intrinsic rate of increase (Ricker A value) for a Ricker type stock/recruitment function. A procedure was developed for estimating the relative stock productivity using available information on harvest rates and trends in abundance (CTC-AWG Model Documentation 1989). This approach uses the following key assumptions:
a. harvest rates (as estimated from CWT recovery data on the stock group of interest) were constant during the base period and the four years prior to the base period;
b. escapement is estimated in a consistent manner and without bias; and
c. the escapement goals supplied by the agencies are optimum goals and are expressed in units consistent with spawning escapement estimates.
2. During the calibration phase of the model, the productivity function is adjusted (by brood year) by fitting observed stock abundance data. This provides a time series of correction factors for the initial productivity estimate and incorporates variations in year to year survival.

Exploitation Rate Assessment. This section of the summary tables lists the associated exploitation rate indicator stocks and the estimated brood exploitation rates. The stocks reported in the list may be used to compute the brood exploitation rates in Part A or the distribution of total mortality, stock indices, passthrough indices, and survival indices in Part B and Part C of the summary tables. Stocks used in each component may be ascertained from Table 3-2.

The average brood exploitation rates for the stock group are partitioned into ocean and total mortality. The exploitation rate is reported for brood years contributing to the base period and the rebuilding period. Comparing the exploitation rates for each period gives an indication of the change under the PSC management regimes. The amount by which the total value exceeds the estimate of MSY ER rate for the associated model stocks provides an indication of the degree to which total exploitation must be reduced to achieve rebuilding.

## Part B - Total Mortality Distribution and Fishery Impacts.

This section of the summary tables presents additional results from the Exploitation Rate Assessment including the distribution of total fishing mortality, the stock index, the CTC passthrough index, and the fishery index.

Distribution of Total Fishing Mortality. The first row reports the 1985-1991 average distribution of total fishing mortality (in adult equivalents) for the exploitation rate indicator stocks. The left half of the row shows the total fishing mortality distribution among fisheries operating under PSC ceilings and non-ceiling fisheries, while the right half shows the distribution of total fishing mortality among the ceiling fisheries.

For the SEAK and NCBC fisheries, all gear types are included in the distribution calculations while the fishery index is reported for the troll only. Therefore, caution should be used when comparing the fishery index with the catch distribution information. A list of fisheries included in the total fishing mortality distribution and the fishery index is provided below.

| Celling Fishery | Fisheries Included In Distibution of Total Mortality | Fisteries Included in Fishery. Index. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Southeast Alaska | Troll, Net, Sport | Troll |
| North/Central British Columbia | Troll, Net, Sport | Troll |
| West Coast Vancouver Island | Troll | Troll |
| Strait of Georgia | Troll, Sport | Troll, Sport |

The total fishing mortality distribution data presented in the summary tables differ from those referenced in Section 3.7. Terminal catches are not included in instances when the exploitation rate indicator stock (generally a hatchery stock) was subject to terminal fisheries from which the associated natural stock was exempt. Fisheries excluded from total fishing mortality distribution and stock index data are identified below:

| Stock(s) | Excluded Fishery (es) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Robertson Creek | Mortality distribution does not include WCVI net and WCVI sport fisheries. |
| Samish, Lummi Ponds, Stillaguamish, Tulalip, South Puget Sound Fingerling, Kalama Creek | Mortality distribution does not include Puget Sound terminal net fisheries. |
| Quinault, Queets, Humptulips, Sooes, Quillayute | Mortality distribution does not include Washington coastal net fisheries. |
| Columbia River Upriver Bright, Lewis River, Wells Hatchery, Lyons Ferry, Hanford Bright | Mortality distribution does not include Columbia River net and sport fisheries. |

Stock And Passthrough Indices. The remaining rows of this section compare observed and 1985 target reductions for the stock index and the CTC passthrough index. The 1985-1991 average observed stock index represents the average of the indices for the exploitation rate indicator stocks and includes all gear types for each of the ceiling fisheries.

The 1985 target reduction for the ceiling component of the stock index is similar in concept to the 1985 target reduction used to evaluate the fishery index for the ceiling fisheries. In the case of the stock index, however, the target reductions for each ceiling fishery are weighted by the distribution of total fishing mortality to obtain a composite target reduction for the stock. The 1985 target reductions are then averaged across the PSC model stocks associated with each stock group.

Passthrough indices are obtained from Section 3.4
Fishery Index. The 1985-1991 average observed fishery index is compared to the 1985 target fishery index for a subset of gear types within the PSC ceiling fisheries. Values in this portion of the summary tables are extracted from Table 3-4.

## Part C - Survival and Escapement Relative to Long-Term Averages.

This part of the summary table presents data comparing indices of survival and escapement for three time periods: the base period, the rebuilding period, and the projected period.

Brood years included in each time period for different stock groups are listed below:

| Time Period | SEAK | Quinsam | All Other Stacks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base | 1978 | 1976-1980 | 1976-1979 |
| Rebuilding | 1981-1986 | 1982-1986 | 1982-1987 |
| Projected | 1987-1988 | 1987-1989 | 1988-1989 |

These brood years were selected to represent cohorts that are primary contributors to catch and escapement during the years associated with each period.

Survival. Survival indices are based upon CWT recovery data for exploitation rate indicator stocks and computed using the methods discussed in Section 3.1.1. The indices are presented to provide an indication of changes in survival of associated exploitation rate indicator stocks relative to a long-term average. The projected index is the average of indices computed for the brood years that are expected to complete their life cycles in the years 1991-1992.

Escapement. Escapement indices for escapement indicator stocks are provided for the purposes of summarizing changes in relative spawning escapement levels and potential changes in natural stock production resulting from those spawning escapements. Indices are presented for two time periods: (1) prior to the rebuilding period (1979-1982); and (2) the rebuilding period (1985-1991).

For each year, an index is computed as the ratio between the observed escapement and the longterm (1979-1991, for years with usable escapement data) average.

### 4.3 STOCK ABUNDANCE

### 4.3.1 Model Projections of Stock Abundance by Fishery

The model projections for average stock abundance are shown in Table 4-1 for the SEAK troll fishery, the NCBC troll fishery, the WCVI troll fishery, and the GS sport and troll fishery.

Table 4-1. Abundance index for 1985-1989, 1990-1991, and the projected index for 19921993 by fishery.

| Time Period | SEAK | NCBC | weve | GS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1985-1989 | 1.22 | 1.04 | 0.99 | 0.71 |
| 1990-1991 | 1.22 | 0.98 | 0.69 | 0.59 |
| 1992-1993 | 1.20 | 0.99 | 0.78 | 0.59 |

The abundance of chinook available to 3 of the 4 fisheries (SEAK troll and NCBC troll, and GS sport and troll) in 1992-1993 is projected to remain stable (within 2\%) relative to the average in 1990-1991, while the abundance in the WCVI troll fishery is projected to increase by $13 \%$. The SEAK troll fishery is the only fishery for which the abundance is projected to above the long-term average. The abundance of chinook remains the most depressed in the GS sport and troll fishery, where abundance is projected to be $41 \%$ below the long-term average.

### 4.4 RESULTS BY STOCK GROUP

### 4.4.1 Southeast Alaska Spring (SEAK)

Synopsis. Stocks in this group have shown a mixed response to the rebuilding program. Two stocks are above goal while four are classified as Probably Not Rebuilding. These stocks are harvested almost entirely in SEAK fisheries, although some harvest does occur in the NCBC fisheries. Survival has been above the long-term average during the rebuilding period, but it is now projected to fall substantially below the long-term average. The brood year ocean exploitation rate on this stock group has declined slightly from the base period while the brood year total exploitation rate has remained static. The stock index (Age 4) has decreased (10\%) from the base period, but has not reached the 1985 target reduction of $22 \%$.

## A. Analysis of Escapement, Terminal Harvest Rates, and Brood Exploitation Rates

| Escapement | ysis | PSC Chinook Model |  |  |  | Exploitation Rate Analysis |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator <br> Stocks | Status | 1985-91 Terminal HR Index | Indicator Stocks | $\begin{aligned} & \text { r Rebuilt } \\ & \% \text { or } 1998 \\ & \% \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { MSY } \\ \text { ER } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Indicator Stocks | Brood Exp <br> Ocean <br> Base $81-86$ | oitation Total Base 81-86 |
| Andrew Creek | Above Goal | NA | Alaska South SE | 1996 | 0.48 | Alaska Spring | 0.520 .49 | $0.53 \quad 0.53$ |
| Keta | Above Goal | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| King Salmon | Prob Not Reb | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chickamin | Prob Not Reb | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unuk | Prob Not Reb | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blossom | Prob Not Reb | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## B. Total Mortality Distribution and Fishery Impacts from Exploitation Rate Analysis

|  | Major Fishery Categories |  |  |  | Ceiling Fisheries |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All <br> Ceiling | CanadaNon-Ceiling |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { US } \\ \text { Non-Ceiling } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SEAK | NCBC | WCVI | GS |
| 1985-91 Average AEQ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Mortality Distribution | 100.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 96.9\% | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| (\% Change from Base) | Stock I | ndex | Passt | hrough Index |  | Fishery | Index |  |
| 1985-91 Observed Index (Age 4) | -10\% |  | NA | NA | -7\% | -25\% | -22\% | -34\% |
| 1985 Target Index | -22\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | -22\% | -16\% | -24\% | -47\% |

C. Survival and Escapement Indices (\% Difference from Long-Term Average)

|  | Survival | Escapement |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Base Period: | $-76 \%$ | $-38 \%$ |
| Rebuilding Period: | $3 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| Projected Period: | $-75 \%$ | NA |
|  |  |  |

Comments. Five of the six stocks (excluding the Keta River) are known to rear in inside SEAK waters. The three Behm Canal (Chickamin, Unuk, Blossom) stocks initially showed a positive response to terminal area closures but escapements began to decrease in 1986 and 1987. Current escapements to the Behm Canal systems are near the base period levels. The reason for the low
escapements to the Behm Canal systems is not completely understood and ADF\&G is currently investigating these systems to determine what factors have hampered efforts to rebuild the stocks.

### 4.4.2 Transboundary and Situk Spring (TBR+Situk)

Synopsis. Three of the four stocks in this group have shown positive responses to the rebuilding program. These stocks are harvested in the SEAK fisheries, and Canadian inriver fisheries. There are no exploitation rate indicator stocks for this group. Consequently, it is not possible to draw conclusions about harvest impacts on these stocks.

| Escapement Analysis |  |  | PSC Chinook Model |  |  | Exploitation Rate Analysis |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator Stocks | Status | 1985-91 Terminal HR Index | Indicator Stocks | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yr Rebuilt } \\ & \% \text { or } 1998 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MSY } \\ \text { ER } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Indicator Stocks | Brood Exploitation <br> Ocean <br> Base $85-91$ <br> Total <br> Base $85-91$ |
| Situk Stikine Taku Alsek | Above Goal Rebuilding Prob Rebuild Not Rebuild | $\begin{aligned} & 0.74 \\ & \text { NA } \\ & \text { NA } \\ & \text { NA } \end{aligned}$ | None |  |  | None |  |

B. Total Hortality Distribution and Fishery Impacts from Exploitation Rate Analysis

|  | Major Fishery Categories |  |  | Ceiling Fisheries |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Ceiling } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Non-Ceiling } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { US } \\ \text { Non-Ceiling } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SEAK | NCBC | WCVI | GS |
| 1985-91 Average AEQ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Mortality Distribution | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| (\% Change from Base) | Stock In | dex Passth | rough Index |  | Fishery | Index |  |
| 1985-91 Observed Index | NA | NA | NA | -7\% | -25\% | -22\% | -34\% |
| 1985 Target Index | NA | 0\% | 0\% | -22\% | -16\% | -24\% | -47\% |

C. Survival and Escapement Indices (\% Difference from Long-Term Average)

|  | Survival | Escapement |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Base Period: | NA | $1 \%$ |
| Rebuilding Period: | NA | $8 \%$ |
| Projected Period: | NA | NA |

Comments. Indirect evidence suggests that ocean harvest rate on these stocks is probably low. Earlier tagging by ADF\&G on Taku River wild chinook indicated that the tagged stock rears in waters outside of SEAK. Harvest of the Situk and Alsek stocks occur primarily in-river (U.S. fisheries in the Situk and Canadian fisheries in the Alsek). Delayed openings of the troll fishery since the early 1980s have likely reduced ocean harvest on these two stocks. Ocean harvests of Taku and Stikine stocks are also thought to be significantly reduced although some harvest does occur in the limited June troll openings and in sport fisheries. Canadian in-river fisheries harvest chinook in both the Taku and Stikine rivers.

### 4.4.3 North/Central B.C. Spring/Summer (NCBC)

Synopsis. Rebuilding response in this groups has been variable. Overall, the earlier run timing components are showing a positive response; however, concern remains for the four spring/summer stocks in CBC. The stock group is not represented by exploitation indicator stocks so direct determination of fishing impacts cannot be made. Survival indices indicate continued poor survival in this group. Inriver sport catch accounts for the Canadian non-ceiling fishery mortalities, and have been increasing.
A. Analysis of Escapement, Terminal Harvest Rates, and Brood Exploitation Rates Rate Analyses

B. Total Mortality Distribution and Fishery Impacts from Exploitation Rate Analysis

C. Survival and Escapement Indices (\% Difference from Long-Term Average)

|  | Survival | Escapement |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Base Period: | $119 \%$ | $-10 \%$ |
| Rebuilding Period: | $-55 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Projected Period: | $-76 \%$ | NA |

Comments. Of the seven escapement indicator stocks in this group, three are classified in the top three rebuilding categories, one is Indeterminate, and three are Probably Not Rebuilding or Not Rebuilding. Terminal harvest indices are presently available for only two stocks. Terminal harvest has increased in the Nass River but decreased in the Skeena. Terminal area exclusion catches have been included in the terminal run and harvest rate estimates.

Exploitation rates on the indicator stocks can not be estimated due to a lack of escapement recoveries. It is not possible, therefore, to draw conclusions regarding causes for the variable rebuilding. The distribution of stock mortality indicates that most mortality occurs in the SEAK and NCBC fisheries. These stocks may have benefitted from delays in opening of summer troll fisheries and possibly from effort shifts in both the SEAK and NCBC fisheries to more outside waters, since tag recoveries from some Central Coast hatcheries have been largely concentrated in the inside waters of SEAK (TCCHINOOK (92)-1).

This stock group covers a large geographic area and wide variety of chinook stocks. The information basis for assessing this group is relatively weak compared to the other groups, but should improve as more effort is focused on stock assessment under co-management agreements between Native groups and CDFO. Exploitation rate indicator stocks are needed to improve the assessment of this stock group.

### 4.4.4 West Coast Vancouver Island Fall (WCVI)

Synopsis. This stock group is classified as Probably Not Rebuilding, but is predicted to be within 13 percent of the goal by 1998 if stock productivity remains near the long-term average. However, continued survivals below the long-term average for the 1988-1989 broods may result in slowing the rebuilding of this stock (see Comments).
A. Analysis of Escapement, Terminal Harvest Rates, and Brood Exploitation Rates

| Escape | ysis | PSC Chinook Model |  |  | Exploitation Rate Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator |  | 1985-91 <br> Terminal HR Index | Indicator Stocks | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yr Rebuilt } \\ \text { or } \\ \% \text { in } 1998 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $$ | Indicator Stocks | Brood Exploitation Ocean Total |  |  |  |
| Stocks | Status |  |  |  |  |  | Base | 2-87 | Bas | 2-87 |
| WCVI | Prob Not | b NA | WCVI wild | 87\% | 0.65 | Robertson Cr | 0.65 | 0.63 | NR | NR |

Footnote: Terminal HR and Total Brood Exploitation are designated Not Representative (NR) because of a large terminal harvest on the Exploitation Rate Indicator stock but not on the WCVI Natural populations represented by this indicator stock.
B. Total Mortality Distribution and Fishery Impacts from Exploitation Rate Analysis

|  | Major Fishery Categories |  |  |  | Ceiling Fisheries |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All <br> Ceiling | CanadaNon-Ceiling |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { US } \\ \text { Non-Ceiling } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SEAK | NCBC | WCVI | GS |
| 1985-91 Average AEQ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Mortality Distribution | 96.3\% |  | 2.6\% |  | 1.1\% | 53.8\% | 32.5\% | 8.7\% | 1.3\% |
| (\% Change from Base) | Stock | ndex | Pass | hrough Index |  | Fishery | Index |  |
| 1985-91 Observed Index | -4\% |  | NA | NA | -7\% | -25\% | -22\% | -34\% |
| 1985 Target Index | -20\% |  | 0\% | NA | -22\% | -16\% | -24\% | -47\% |

C. Survival and Escapement Indices (\% Difference from Long-Term Average)

|  |  | Survival |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Base Period: | $41 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Rebuilding Period: | $-52 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Projected Period: | $-15 \%$ | NA |

Comments. Uncertainty exists in the rebuilding assessment for this stock group since the effect of small-scale enhancement on many of the streams used in calculating the escapement index is unknown. No terminal harvest rate data are available but small terminal harvests occur in sport and native fisheries. The brood year ocean exploitation rate for this stock group is slightly less than the MSY ER level. However, expanding sport fisheries in coastal inlets may be increasing the exploitation of some stocks to above MSY ER levels. When calculating the ocean exploitation rate for this stock group, the 1983 brood was eliminated due to very poor survival and possible bias in the estimation of incidental mortalities in this brood year.

The two fisheries that heavily impact the stock group (SEAK and NCBC) show reductions in the fishery index from the base period. Exploitation rate on age 3 and 4 fish of the indicator stock has decreased in ocean fisheries. However, recent increases in age 5 fishery indices likely explains why
the stock index shows only a small decrease over all ages. As noted for the NCBC stock group, there has been a general shift in effort for the SEAK and NCBC troll fisheries to more outside waters. Tag recoveries for this stock group tend to be concentrated in outside waters and this may partially explain why the reductions in exploitation rates on this stock are minimal, in spite of substantial overall reductions in harvest rates in these fisheries.

Improved survival of the Robertson Creek stock, noted in previous CTC reports, is not expected to continue. The short term survival projection has decreased $21 \%$ points to $-15 \%$. Further, Canadian members reported that the survival of the 1991 brood is expected to be extremely poor following the 1992 El Nino event. In 1992, CDFO researchers have observed extensive predation by mackerel in Barkley Sound and an almost complete absence of juvenile chinook.

### 4.4.5 Upper Strait of Georgia Summer/Fall (UGS)

Synopsis. Exploitation rates on the indicator stock have been substantially reduced but the rebuilding assessment based on the escapement indicator stocks is Indeterminate. The passthrough index indicates that the 1985 target has been exceeded. Survival is projected to decline substantially in broods contributing to $1992 \& 1993$ returns. This reduction in survival could slow the rate of rebuilding. There is concern that the Quinsam exploitation indicator stock does not adequately represent this stock group.

B. Total Mortality Distribution and Fishery Impacts from Exploitation Rate Analysis

|  | Major Fishery Categories |  |  |  | Ceiling Fisheries |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Ceiling | CanadaNon-Ceiling |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { US } \\ \text { Non-Ceiling } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SEAK | NCBC | WCVI | GS |
| 1985-91 Average AEQ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Mortality Distribution | 92.7\% |  | 7.2\% |  | 0.1\% | 54.0\% | 32.3\% | 0.6\% | 5.9\% |
| (\% Change from Base) | Stock I | Index | Pass | hrough Index |  | Fishery | Index |  |
| 1985-91 Observed Index | -19\% |  | -52\% | NA | -7\% | -25\% | -22\% | -34\% |
| 1985 Target Index | -21\% |  | -25\% | NA | -22\% | -16\% | -24\% | -47\% |

C. Survival and Escapement Indices (\% Difference from Long-Yerm Average)

|  | Survival | Escapement |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Base Period: | $30 \%$ | $-36 \%$ |
| Rebuilding Period: | $-13 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Projected Period: | $-82 \%$ | NA |

Comments. No terminal harvest rate data are available, but terminal harvests are believed to be small on these natural stocks. There have been substantial decreases in both ocean and total brood year exploitation rates on the associated exploitation indicator stock. In addition, the stock index for ceiling fisheries is near the 1985 target level. However, a large reduction in survival is projected and is cause for concern.

There is some question whether the Quinsam stock adequately represents this stock group. The natural stocks include mainland inlet populations and Nimpkish River chinook. These stocks have an earlier adult return timing than the Quinsam Hatchery stock, and some differences in catch distributions have been observed in the few tag groups released from these natural populations. However, suitable alternatives or additional indicators are currently not available.

### 4.4.6 Lower Strait of Georgia Fall (LGS)

Synopsis. Rebuilding of this stock group is limited by poor survival and exploitation rates above the 1985 target levels in GS fisheries managed under PSC ceilings. The passthrough index indicates that the 1985 target has been exceeded. Brood year exploitation rates remain substantially above the MSY ER estimated for the 1975 to 1991 periods. In view of the projected poor survival and present exploitation pressures, it seems unlikely that this stock will rebuild by 1998 without additional management actions.
A. Analysis of Escapement, Terminal Harvest Rates, and Brood Exploitation Rates

| Escapement |  |  | PSC Chinook Model |  |  | Exploitation Rate Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator |  | $1985-91$ Terminal | Indicator | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yr Rebuilt } \\ & \text { or } \end{aligned}$ | MSY | Indicator |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { od E } \\ & \text { ean } \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{\text { loita }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ion } \\ & \text { tal } \end{aligned}$ |
| Stocks | Status | HR Index | Stocks | \% in 1998 | ER | Stocks |  | Base | 82-87 | Base | 82-87 |
| Lower Geor St | Prob Not Reb | - 2.11 | Lower Geor St | 92\% | 0.62 | Big Qualicum | $>$ | 0.77 | 0.70 | 0.81 | 0.74 |

B. Total Mortality Distribution and Fishery Impacts from Exploitation Rate Analysis

|  | Major Fishery Categories |  |  |  | Ceiling Fisheries |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { All } \\ \text { Ceiling } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Non-Ceiling } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { US } \\ \text { Non-Ceiling } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SEAK | NCBC | WCVI | GS |
| 1985-91 Average AEQ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Mortality Distribution | 89.7\% |  | 8.4\% | 1.9\% | 20.5\% | 16.5\% | 3.6\% | 49.2\% |
| (\% Change from Base) | Stock | Index | Passth | hrough Index |  | ishery | Index |  |
| 1985-91 Observed Index | -11\% |  | -57\% | NA | -7\% | -25\% | -22\% | -34\% |
| 1985 Target Index | -41\% |  | -25\% | 0\% | -22\% | -16\% | -24\% | -47\% |

## C. Survival and Escapement Indices (\% Difference from Long-Term Average)

|  | Survival | Escapement |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Base Period: | $48 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| Rebuilding Period: | $-81 \%$ | $-23 \%$ |
| Projected Period: | $-90 \%$ | NA |

Comments. Exploitation on this stock has been reduced but remains above the exploitation rate estimated to be needed for rebuilding. The stock group is harvested primarily in the GS sport and troll fishery (Chapter 3 indicates that the vast majority of this harvest occurs in the sport fishery). The fishery index for the GS ceiling fisheries indicates that harvest rate has been reduced but remains above the 1985 target index of $-47 \%$. The stock index for ceiling fisheries has declined, but remains substantially greater than the 1985 target level. The passthrough index for Canada non-ceiling fisheries (mainly Johnstone Strait net for this stock) has declined beyond the $25 \%$ reduction target and may have achieved the additional reduction (another $20 \%$ from 1987 levels) imposed by the lower GS rebuilding program. Terminal harvest has increased in the 1985-91 period. Terminal harvest is a small portion of the total harvest on this stock group and includes recent increases in brood stock removed from natural spawning populations for enhancement; but these removals now average $14.2 \%$
and $14.4 \%$, Native catch and brood stock respectively, of the terminal run. The increase in terminal harvest is largely due to increased brood stock removals since the HR Index for native fisheries would only be 1.34 .

Survival rates remain $80-90 \%$ less than the long-term average, and less than the level assumed during design of the rebuilding program. Survival is projected to remain poor for broods contributing to escapement in 1992-1993. In view of the projected poor survival and present exploitation pressures, it seems unlikely that this stock will rebuild by 1998 without additional management actions.

### 4.4.7 Upper Fraser Spring/Summer (UFR)

Synopsis. Two of the stocks within this group are classified as Above Goal and one as Indeterminate. Escapements of all three stocks have increased substantially relative to the base period. The good progress toward rebuilding in this stock group has likely been achieved due to reductions in ocean exploitation and terminal harvest rates, and changes in fishing seasons that have benefitted spring and summer stocks. However, this stock group is not represented by an exploitation indicator stock so direct measures of changes in exploitation cannot be made.

| Escapement Analysis |  |  | PSC Chinook Model |  |  | Exploitation Rate Analysis |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator Stocks | Status | 1985-91 Terminal HR Index | Indicator Stocks | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yr Rebuilt } \\ & \text { or } \\ & \% \text { in } 1998 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MSY } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Indicator Stocks | Brood Exploitation <br> Ocean <br> Base $85-87$$\frac{\text { Total }}{\text { Base } 85-87}$ |
| Upper Fraser Middle Fraser Thompson | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Above Goe } \\ & \text { Above Go } \\ & \text { Indetermina } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} l> \\ l> & 0.49 \\ e> & >0.59 * \end{array}$ | Fraser early | 1985** | 0.62 | None |  |

* Terminal HR Index calculated including Fraser River native fisheries.
** Stock group has achieved aggregate escapement goal in four of the last six years.
B. Total Hortality Distribution and Fishery Impacts from Exploitation Rate Analysis

|  | Major Fishery Categories |  |  | SEAK | Ceiling$\qquad$ | Fisheries WCVI | GS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Ceiling Non- } \end{gathered}$ | nada <br> iling | $\begin{gathered} \text { US } \\ \text { Non-Ceiling } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1985-91 Average AEQ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Mortality Distribution | 64.8\% | 22.3\% | 12.9\% | 32.9\% | 23.8\% | 3.9\% | 4.2\% |
| (\% Change from Base) | Stock Index | Pass | rough Index |  | Fishery | Index |  |
| 1985-91 Observed Index | NA | NA | NA | -7\% | -25\% | -22\% | -34\% |
| 1985 Target Index | -22\% | -25\% | 0\% | -22\% | -16\% | -24\% | -47\% |

## C. Survival and Escapement Indices (\% Difference from Long-Term Average)

|  | Survival | Escapement |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Base Period: | NA | $-42 \%$ |
| Rebuilding Period: | NA | $30 \%$ |
| Projected Period: | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |

Comments. Terminal harvest rates cannot be estimated for these stocks individually; however, a composite terminal harvest index for all stocks shows a terminal harvest rate decline of about $50 \%$ from the base period. This has resulted from management actions which reduced catches by both the native food fishery and the terminal gillnet fishery. Estimates of the 1985-1991 distribution from the chinook model, which relies upon wild chinook tagging programs conducted in the upper Fraser and Thompson rivers in the late 1970s for base period data, indicate that most fishing mortality on this stock group occurs in the SEAK and NCBC ceiling fisheries and in the Canada non-ceiling fisheries. The effects of these fisheries on this group can not be directly estimated, however, because a representative exploitation rate indicator stock does not currently exist.

### 4.4.8 Lower Fraser (Harrison) Fall (LFR)

Synopsis. The Harrison River stock is classified as Probably Not Rebuilding. Consistent with this assessment, the chinook model predicts that the stock will achieve only $70 \%$ of the escapement goal in 1998. This stock is primarily harvested in the GS and WCVI fisheries, fisheries that have not achieved the 1985 target reductions. Survival during the rebuilding period has been poor, and is projected to improve, but remain below the long-term average. In view of the poor survival and the lack of sufficient reductions in exploitation rates, this stock, like the Lower GS stock group, is unlikely to rebuild under current management regimes. Exploitation rates on this stock can not be estimated due to the lack of escapement recoveries in the indicator stocks; consequently direct measures of changes in exploitation cannot be made.
A. Analysis of Escapenent, Terminal Harvest Rates; and Brood Exploitation Rates


* Terminal HR Index calculated including Fraser River native fisheries.
B. Total Mortality Distribution and Fishery Impacts from Exploitation Rate Analysis

|  | Major Fishery Categories |  |  |  | Ceiling Fisheries |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Ceiling } \end{gathered}$ | CanadaNon-Ceiling |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { US } \\ \text { Non-Ceiling } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SEAK | NCBC | WCVI | GS |
| 1985-91 Average AEQ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Mortality Distribution | 70.6\% |  | 7.9\% |  | 21.5\% | 1.2\% | 3.4\% | 25.3\% | 40.7\% |
| (\% Change from Base) | Stock | Index | Passt | hrough Index |  | Fishery | Index |  |
| 1985-91 Observed Index | NA |  | NA | NA | -7\% | -25\% | -22\% | -34\% |
| 1985 Target Index | -38\% |  | -25\% | 0\% | -22\% | -16\% | -24\% | -47\% |

C. Survival and Escapement Index (\% Difference from Long-Term Average)

|  | Survival | Escapement |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Base Period: | NA | NA |
| Rebuilding Period: | $-53 \%$ | $-1 \%$ |
| Projected Period: | $-3 \%$ | NA |

Comments. The terminal index for this stock, calculated relative to the 1984 terminal harvest rate, has shown a decline due to efforts to reduce terminal harvest.

Data for the associated exploitation indicator stocks are only sufficient to provide distribution estimates of total fishing related mortality. These estimates show that most of the mortality occurs in the GS and WCVI ceiling fisheries and U.S. non-ceilinged fisheries. The GS fishery index remains above the 1985 target. It is not known if exploitation on this stock has changed in the passthrough fisheries since escapement data is lacking for the exploitation rate indicator stocks. It is likely that
decreases in exploitation rates for Canadian non-ceiling fisheries would be similar to those reported for the lower GS stock group (which has exceeded the $-25 \%$ target).

Some inferences about the changes in exploitation rates on this stock can be made from the data provided for the LGS stock group because the distribution data indicate that a large proportion of the harvest on the Harrison stock also occurs in two GS fisheries. The LGS stock group shows decreases in both the total exploitation estimates and in the stock index, but the decreases are less than those required for stock rebuilding. The situation is also likely true for the Lower Fraser stock group particularly because, outside of GS, exploitation on the Harrison stock occurs mainly in the WCVI troll fishery, while that on the LGS stock group occurs mainly in the NBC and CBC fisheries. The WCVI troll fishery index has met or exceeded the target reduction in three of the seven years of the rebuilding program, while the fishery index for NCBC troll fisheries has declined substantially. Further, troll fisheries in NCBC have moved north and outside, suggesting that impacts would be reduced in the inside waters where LGS are more prevalent.

Survival of this stock during the rebuilding period was very low; future survival is projected to improve, although it is still expected to remain below the long-term average. Further, of the stocks harvested in the GS and WCVI fisheries, the Harrison stock is the only stock where survivals are expected to improve over recent levels. Reduced overall abundance in fisheries managed under catch ceilings may result in an increased harvest rate on the contributing stocks. Increased harvest rates would further limit rebuilding progress of this stock.

### 4.4.9 North Puget Sound Spring (NPS-Sp)

Synopsis. The Skagit stock is classified as Probably Not Rebuilding. This stock is harvested primarily by the GS fishery, where exploitation rates remain $38 \%$ above 1985 target levels, and by U.S. non-ceiling fisheries. Although an estimate of the MSY exploitation rate is not available, it is likely that it is lower than the average observed brood exploitation rate of $75 \%$. Given that survival rates are projected to decline substantially, stock status is not likely to improve unless additional management actions are taken.
A. Analysis of Escapement, Terminal Harvest Rates, and Brood Exploitation Rates

B. Total Mortality Distribution and Fishery Impacts from Exploitation Rate Analysis

|  | Major Fishery Categories |  |  |  | Ceiling Fisheries |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Ceiling } \end{gathered}$ | CanadaNon-Ceiling |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { US } \\ \text { Non-Ceiling } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SEAK | NCBC | WCVI | GS |
| 1985-91 Average AEQ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Mortality Distribution | 51.1\% |  | 11.3\% | 37.2\% | 0.2\% | 3.8\% | 4.2\% | 42.9\% |
| (\% Change from Base) | Stock | Index | Passth | rough Index |  | shery | ndex |  |
| 1985-91 Observed Index | NA |  | NA | NA | -7\% | -25\% | -22\% | -34\% |
| 1985 Target Index | -44\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | -22\% | -16\% | -24\% | -47\% |

C. Survival and Escapenent Indices (\% Difference from Long-Term Average)

|  | Survival | Escapement |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Base Period: | NA | $-24 \%$ |
| Rebuilding Period: | $-3 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| Projected Period: | $-77 \%$ | NA |

Comments. In the 1989 annual report, the Nooksack Spring stock was used as the associated model stock for this group. Terminal run data used to model the Nooksack stock are of poor quality and rebuilding predictions from the model are not considered accurate. For this reason, model estimates of the MSY exploitation rate and the predicted date of rebuilding are not reported in this section. The CTC anticipates replacing the Nooksack model stock with a Skagit spring stock during the next year.

Given the large proportion of the mortality of this stock which occurs in U.S. non-ceiling fisheries, it would be desirable to compute the CTC index of passthrough. Unfortunately, the index cannot currently be computed because of the lack of base period data.

The Skagit spring stock, along with the Stillaguamish and Snohomish summer/fall stocks, has been classified as "overfished" under the PFMC definition of overfishing, indicating that the stocks failed to achieve the escapement objectives for three consecutive years. A review group concluded that the "chronically depressed status ... is likely due to a combination of exploitation rates which are too great and reduced productivity due to degradation of habitat" (PSSSRG 1992).

### 4.4.10 North Puget Sound Summer/Fall (NPS-S/E)

Synopsis. The current management regime may not be sufficient to rebuild all stocks within this group by 1998. The stock index indicates that the 1985 target reductions for ceiling fisheries have been met and the passthrough index indicates that reductions greater than those required by the CTC definition of passthrough have occurred (terminal harvest rates reduced by $30-40 \%$ and the index for preterminal fisheries by 9\%). Despite this, brood exploitation rates in ocean fisheries alone remain near the MSY ER level and the stocks are classified as Indeterminate or Not Rebuilding. Since survival rates are projected to decline, additional harvest restrictions or management measures will likely be necessary to assure rebuilding by 1998.

| Escapement Analysis |  |  | PSC Chinook Model |  |  | Exploitation Rate Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator |  | 1985-91 <br> Terminal HR Index |  Yr Rebuilt <br> Indicator or <br> Stocks \% in 1998 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { MSY } \\ \text { ER } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Indicator Stocks |  | Brood Exploitation Ocean Total |  |  |  |
| Stocks | Status |  |  |  |  |  | Base | 82-87 | Base |  |
| Skagit Sum/Fall | Indeterminate | 0.60 | Skagit | 1996 |  | 0.53 | Samish | > |  |  |  |  |
| Stillaguamish | Indeterminate | 0.64 | Stillaguamish | 79\% | 0.50 | Lummi Ponds | > | 0.57 | 0.54 | NR | NR |
| Snohomish | Not Rebuild | 0.77 | Snohomish | 99\% | 0.63 | Stillaguamish |  |  |  |  |  |

B. Total Hortality Distribution and Fishery Impacts from Exploitation Rate Analysis

|  | Major Fishery Categories |  |  |  |  | Ceiling Fisheries |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Ceiling } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Canada Non-Ceiling |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { US } \\ \text { Non-Ceiling } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SEAK | NCBC | WCVI | GS |
| 1985-91 Average AEQ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Mortality Distribution | 52.6\% |  | 6.5\% |  |  |  | 40.7\% | 2.2\% | 2.7\% | 24.5\% | 23.2\% |
| (\% Change from Base) | Stock 1 | Index | Passt | hrough | Index |  | Fishery | Index |  |
| 1985-91 Observed Index | -35\% |  | NA |  | -9\% | -7\% | -25\% | -22\% | -34\% |
| 1985 Target Index | -29\% |  | 0\% |  | 0\% | -22\% | -16\% | -24\% | -47\% |

C. Survival and Escapement Indices (\% Difference from Long-Term Average)

|  | Survival | Escapement |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Base Period: | $131 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Rebuilding Period: | $-21 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Projected Period: | $-45 \%$ | NA |

Comments. In this group, the Stillaguamish is the only stock for which the average escapement has increased relative to the base period. The increased escapement of the Stillaguamish may result from an enhancement (natural stock supplementation) program conducted in this system. Preliminary analysis indicates that a significant portion of the escapement in 1991 originated from supplementation.

The PS summer/fall stocks are unusual in that a large proportion of the mortality occurs in the U.S. nonceiling fisheries. Because of this, reductions in the exploitation rates in ceiling fisheries benefit escapement less than for many other stocks.

The PSC chinook model predicts that 2 of the 3 stocks will be within $1 \%$ of the escapement goal by 1998. These model predictions are likely optimistic though since brood exploitation rates in ocean fisheries remain near the MSY ER level. This suggests that total exploitation rates would exceed the MSY ER level since terminal harvest occurs.

### 4.4.11 South Puget Sound Summer/Fall (SPS)

Synopsis. Escapement of the Green River stock, which is classified as Above Goal, has increased substantially since the commencement of the PSC management regime. This increase may be attributed to reductions in exploitation rates in ceiling fisheries and enhancement (supplementation) of the natural run. Brood exploitation rates for ocean fisheries have been reduced by an average of 13 percentage points since the base period. However, survival indices indicate that return per spawner is projected to decline substantially in 1992 and 1993.
A. Analysis of Escapement, Terminal Harvest Rates, and Brood Harvest Rates

| Escapem |  | PSC Chinook Model |  |  |  |  | Exploitation Rate Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator | Status | 1985-89 Terminal HR Index |  Yr Rebuilt <br> Indicator or <br> Stocks $\%$ in 1998 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { MSY } \\ \text { ER } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Indicator Stocks |  | Brood Exploitation Ocean Total |  |  |  |
| Stocks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Base | 82-87 | Base | e 82-87 |
| Green | Above Goal | 1.04 |  | Sound | Finglng | NR |  | NR | Kalama Creek SPS Fingerling | $\begin{aligned} & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | $0.69$ | 0.56 | NR | R NR |

B. Total Mortality Distribution and Fishery Impacts from Exploitation Rate Analysis

|  | Major Fishery Categories |  |  | Ceiling Fisheries |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Ceiling } \end{gathered}$ | CanadaNon-Ceiling | $\begin{gathered} \text { US } \\ \text { Non-Ceiling } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SEAK | NCBC | WCVI | GS |
| 1985-91 Average AEQ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Mortality Distribution | 35.0\% | 3.7\% | 61.3\% | 0.3\% | 2.3\% | 21.6\% | 10.8\% |
| (\% Change from Base) | Stock Index | Passt | Index |  | shery | Index |  |
| 1985-91 Observed Index | -35\% | NA | NA | -7\% | -25\% | -22\% | -34\% |
| 1985 Target Index | -30\% | 0\% | 0\% | -22\% | -16\% | -24\% | -47\% |

C. Survival and Escapement Indices (\% Difference from Long-Term Average)

|  | Survival | Escapement |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Base Period: | $28 \%$ | $-13 \%$ |
| Rebuilding Period: | $-27 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Projected Period: | $-85 \%$ | NA |
|  |  |  |

Comments. Like the North PS summer/fall stock group, these stocks are unusual in that a large proportion of the mortality occurs in the U.S. nonceiling fisheries. Because of this, reductions in the exploitation rates in ceiling fisheries benefit escapement less than for many other stocks.

### 4.4.12 Columbia Upriver Spring (CUS)

Synopsis. This stock group is classified as Probably Not Rebuilding. No usable exploitation rate or model information is available for this stock due to very few tag recoveries in ocean fisheries. This may suggest low ocean exploitation rates. Snake River components of this stock have been tagged at levels of 300,000 for several years. Improved survival to fishery recruitment is needed to enable tagging programs to provide usable information for CTC assessment. Although the terminal harvest rate has increased over base period levels, it is typically less than $10 \%$. Given the poor escapements and already low exploitation rates, it is likely that other actions to increase survival and productivity in addition to harvest management will be necessary to rebuild this stock.
A. Analysis of Escapement, Terminal Harvest Rates, and Brood Exploitation Rates

Escapement Analysis PSC Chinook Model Exploitation Rate Analysis

| Indicator Stocks | Status | 1985-91 <br> Terminal <br> HR Index | Indicator Stocks | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yr Rebuilt } \\ \text { or } \\ \% \text { in } 1998 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { MSY } \\ \text { ER } \end{array}$ | Indicator Stocks |  | oitation Total Base 82-87 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Col UpR spr | Prob Not Reb | 1.66 | None |  |  | None |  |  |

B. Total Mortality Distribution and Fishery Impacts from Exploitation Rate Analysis

|  | Major Fishery Categories |  |  |  | Ceiling Fisheries |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Ceiling } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Non-Ceiling } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { US } \\ \text { Non-Ceiling } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SEAK | NCBC | WCVI | GS |
| 1985-91 Average AEQ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Mortality Distribution | NA |  | NA |  | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| (\% Change from Base) | Stock | Index | Pass | trough Index |  | Fishery | Index |  |
| 1985-91 Observed Index | NA |  | NA | NA | -7\% | -25\% | -22\% | -34\% |
| 1985 Target Index | NA |  | 0\% | 0\% | -22\% | -16\% | -24\% | -47\% |

C. Survival and Escapement Indices (\% Difference from Long-Term Average)

|  | Survival | Escapement |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Base Period: | NA | $2 \%$ |
| Rebuilding Period: | NA | $5 \%$ |
| Projected Period: | NA | NA |

Comments. Although the escapement index shows a slight increase, four years of declining escapements resulted in the 1991 terminal run of 17,300 wild Columbia upriver spring chinook being less than the escapement during the base period. The Snake River component of this stock group has been listed as threatened under the United States Endangered Species Act (in combination with Snake River summer chinook, which have a similar life history).

### 4.4.13 Washington Coastal Spring/Summer/Fall, Columbia River Summer/Fall, and Oregon Coastal Fall North Migrating

Synopsis. With the exception of the Columbia Upriver Summer stock, all escapement indicator stocks within this group are currently classified as Above Goal, Probably Rebuilding, or Increasing. These stocks benefitted from survival rates greater than the long-term average during the early years of the rebuilding program and reductions in exploitation rates in the SEAK and NCBC fisheries. Although only $67 \%$ of the 1985 target reduction for the stock index has been achieved, brood exploitation rates for total mortality in ocean fisheries have been reduced by 5 percentage points ( $12 \%$ ) relative to the base period. Survival rates have declined in recent years, and terminal runs for most stocks have declined for 2 to 4 consecutive years.

The escapement status of the Columbia Upriver Summer stock is inconsistent with the remainder of the stock group. This is likely due to extremely poor juvenile survival which reduces the MSY ER to a level more than 50\% below other stocks in this group. The passthrough index for this stock indicates a $32 \%$ reduction in preterminal fisheries relative to the CTC definition, and harvest rates in terminal fisheries have declined by an additional $32 \%$. The chinook model predicts that the stock will achieve only $39 \%$ of its escapement goal by 1998 with the current management regime. Additional actions to increase survival and productivity will be required to rebuild the Columbia Upriver Summer stock. The Snake River components of the Columbia Upriver Summer and Columbia Upriver Bright stocks have been listed as threatened under the U.S. Endangered Species Act.


## B. Total Mortality Distribution and Fishery Impacts from Exploitation Rate Analysis

|  | Major Fishery Categories |  |  |  | SEAK | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ceiling } \\ \text { NCBC } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | FisheriesHCVI | GS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Ceiling } \end{gathered}$ | Non | nada <br> iling | $\begin{gathered} \text { US } \\ \text { Non-Ceiling } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1985-91 Average AEQ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Mortality Distribution | 88.7\% |  | 2.4\% | 8.9\% | 40.4\% | 28.3\% | 19.4\% | 0.6\% |
| (\% Change from Base) | Stock | Index | Passt | hrough Index |  | Fishery | Index |  |
| 1985-91 Observed Index | -14\% |  | NA | -32\% | -7\% | -25\% | -22\% | -34\% |
| 1985 Target Index | -21\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | -22\% | -16\% | -24\% | -47\% |

C. Survival and Escapement Indices (\% Difference from Long-Term Average)

|  | Survival | Escapement |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Base Period: | $23 \%$ | $-25 \%$ |
| Rebuilding Period: | $-2 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Projected Period: | $-43 \%$ | NA |
|  |  |  |

Comments. The 1991 terminal run of the Columbia Upriver Summer stock (Snake River and other summer chinook combined) of 18,900 was the second lowest since 1970. Although its life history is more similar to that of Columbia upriver springs, Snake River summer chinook escapement is included in the escapement goal for Columbia River summers due to their concurrent run timing. Snake River summer chinook have been listed as threatened under the U.S. Endangered Species Act (in combination with Snake River springs).

No usable exploitation rate indicator stock is currently available to represent the Columbia Upriver Summer stock. Wells Hatchery summer brood stock has been contaminated with fall chinook and very few recoveries are obtained from the McCall Hatchery tagging program even though approximately 300,000 are now tagged on annual basis. Improved survival to fishery recruitment or an increase in the number of fish tagged is needed to enable Snake River tagging programs to provide usable information for CTC assessments.

The Snake River fall stock has also been listed as threatened. The Lyons Ferry Hatchery (fingerling) exploitation indicator stock is assumed to be representative of Snake River falls. The Snake River Fall stock is currently being added to the PSC chinook model. Snake River fall chinook are subject to extremely high non-fishing mortality during all phases of freshwater residency. Upriver migration mortality has resulted in an average passage loss of $68 \%$ of the returning spawners during the last 5 years.

The MSY ER for Columbia Upriver Brights is above the range observed for most other stocks. This may be due to a positive bias introduced by combining mid-Columbia bright production and Priest Rapids Hatchery production with Hanford Reach natural production. The problem has recently been exacerbated because the proportion in the catch of mid-Columbia brights has increased relative to upriver brights. Efforts are being made to separate these three components in the chinook model.

### 4.5 STOCK COMPOSITION, DISTRIBUTION OF MORTALITY, AND STOCK STATUS

Ceilings were established by the PSC in order to rebuild natural stocks. Not all natural stocks occur in each ceiling fishery and the rebuilding response of stocks has been variable. To facilitate review of natural stock distribution and status, Appendix H summarizes chinook model estimates of the stock composition of total mortality in ceiling fisheries (1985-1991 average), proportion of total stock mortality occurring in the fishery (1985-1991 average), and the status of the associated escapement indicator stock. Note that the estimates of stock composition are expressed as a percentage of the mortality of stocks included in the model. Stocks not included in the model may also contribute to the fishery

### 4.6 DISCUSSION

The technical basis for development of the PST chinook rebuilding program in 1984 relied upon a chinook model that included four stock types: Columbia Upriver Bright, Columbia River Tule, WCVI fall, and GS fall. The Columbia Upriver Bright stock was used as an indicator for far-north migrating fall-type stocks originating in Washington and Oregon, the Columbia River Tule (Spring Creek Hatchery) stock was an indicator for early-maturing chinook stocks harvested off the coast of the WCVI and Washington, the WCVI was represented by Robertson Creek Hatchery to indicate impacts on far-north, fall-type stocks originating in Canada, and the GS stock was represented by the Big Qualicum stock as an indicator for fall-type stocks that contribute primarily to GS fisheries.

The model was used to evaluate a number of potential management actions, with the objective of identifying a regime that would rebuild depressed natural stocks by 1998 and was acceptable to the Parties. The task of rebuilding WCVI and GS stocks was most critical in the development of the PST's management regime since the Columbia Upriver Bright stock was close to its escapement goal and the Spring Creek stock primarily represented hatchery production. The response of stocks other than WCVI and GS to the PST management regime was expected to vary depending upon stock specific attributes, including distribution and productivity. Realizing the limitations of the data available at the time, and the general objective to "attain by 1998, escapement goals...of naturally spawning chinook stocks, as represented by indicator stocks identified by the Parties", the original chinook chapter recognized that modification of the PST chinook management regime might be required to achieve the rebuilding objective.

As expected, the analysis presented in this chapter indicates that the response of stocks to the PST management regime has been highly variable. Among the stock groups which include more than one escapement indicator stock, there is no instance in which the rebuilding status of all stocks is equivalent, and in some instances, the status ranges from Above Goal to Not Rebuilding.

The CTC provided an integrated assessment of the status of chinook stocks two years ago in the 1989 Annual Report. During the two years since the last assessment, if the rebuilding program were proceeding as expected, we would expect fishery and stock indices to have declined further below the 1985 target levels, further reductions in brood year exploitation rates, chinook abundance in fisheries to have increased, and most of the escapement indicator stocks to be in the upper status categories. When the results of this assessment are compared with the 1989 Annual Report, it is apparent that these expectations have not been fulfilled.

1) In 1989, the 1985 target reductions were achieved in 3 of the 4 ceiling fisheries, and the average reduction was $34 \%$. In 1991, the 1985 target reductions were achieved in 2 of the fisheries, and the average reduction was $24 \%$.
2) In 1989, average brood exploitation rates for stock groups during the rebuilding period had declined by an average of $12 \%$ ( 8 percentage points). In 1991, brood exploitation rates had declined by an average of $10 \%$ ( 7 percentage points).
3) Comparing the rebuilding status of the 35 escapement indicator stocks with goals used in both the 1989 and 1991 assessments, $29 \%$ of the stocks were classified as Probably Not Rebuilding or Not Rebuilding in 1989 and $42 \%$ were in these categories in 1991.
4) The estimated model abundance of chinook available to the ceiling fisheries in 1991 was less than in 1989 with the exception of the GS sport and troll fishery.

| AMIRIBUTE | 1589 | 1991 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Reduction in Ceiling Fishery Harvest Rates | 34\% | 24\% |
| Ocean Brood Exploitation Rates (Average Change From Base) | -12\% | -10\% |
| Percent of Escapement Indicator Stocks in Probably Not Rebuilding or Not Rebuilding Categories | 23\% | 42\% |
| Abundance Indices |  |  |
| SEAK Troll | 1.35 | 1.20 |
| NCBC Troll | 1.04 | 0.98 |
| WCVI Troll | 0.72 | 0.61 |
| GS Sport and Troll | 0.45 | 0.57 |

Bearing in mind the variability observed within the stock groups, several conclusions regarding the rebuilding program may be drawn:

1) Above Average Survival Benefitted Far North Migrating Stocks. Progress toward rebuilding was accelerated in the initial years of the PST by survival rates greater than the long term average for stocks for which a majority of the fishing mortality occurs in the NCBC and SEAK ceiling fisheries. In particular, escapements for many components of the WACO (1983-1984 broods) and SEAK (1980-1982) stock groups showed substantial increases in escapement in the period from 1985 to 1989 which were likely related to good survival. Good survival, and the resultant increases in abundance, acted in conjunction with the ceilings to further increase escapements by reducing harvest rates. These stock groups may also have benefitted from delayed openings in summer seasons and reductions in the exploitation rates in passthrough fisheries. Although the evidence is less conclusive, similar processes may have affected the NCBC and Upper Fraser stock groups. As survival rates declined, model estimates of abundance in the fisheries stabilized or declined, fishery indices increased, and escapement for many of the stocks
also stabilized or declined. The 1989 report noted that "the survival of stocks contributing to the northern fisheries is expected to be poor...Consequently, the harvest rate reductions expected under the rebuilding program are not likely to be achieved." This statement continues to be applicable in 1992 and 1993, as survivals are projected to be substantially below the long-term average.
2) Rebuilding Progress is Poor For Stocks Harvested in GS. Escapement indicator stocks in stock groups in which more than $40 \%$ of the fishing mortality occurs in GS are classified as Probably Not Rebuilding (Lower GS, Lower Fraser Fall, and Skagit Spring). This is consistent with results from the chinook model, which predicts that the Lower GS stock and the Lower Fraser stock will not rebuild by 1998. The limited response of these stocks is likely due to poor recent survivals and the failure to meet target harvest rate reductions in some ceiling fisheries (the stock index for the Lower GS stock indicates that only $25 \%$ of the 1985 target reduction has been achieved). However, brood year total exploitation on the Big Qualicum exploitation indicator stock in Lower GS has been successfully reduced since the base period. Survivals of recent Lower GS broods are expected to remain poor but survival of the Lower Fraser stock is expected to improve relative to recent years. The 1989 CTC report stated that because "an additional [abundance] reduction of $9 \%$ is projected for 1990-1991... the 1985 target reductions are not likely to be achieved in 1990 and 1991 unless additional management actions are implemented." Although some additional management actions have been taken in GS (See Discussion, Chapter 3), these actions appear to have been insufficient.
3) Mixed Progress For Stocks Primarily Harvested in U.S. Passthrough Fisheries. The two stock groups with more than $40 \%$ of the fishing mortality in U.S. non-ceiling fisheries have displayed a mixed response to the PSC management regime. The North PS Summer/Fall stock group has responded poorly; all three of the stocks are in the Indeterminate or Not Rebuilding categories and the chinook model predicts that two of the three stocks in the group will not rebuild by 1998. Exploitation rates on these stocks remain high, despite harvest rate reductions in ceiling fisheries and satisfactory achievement of the CTC definition of passthrough. Brood exploitation rates in ocean fisheries alone remain near the MSY ER. In contrast, the South Puget Sound Summer/Fall stock group has shown a marked increase in escapement, perhaps in response to enhancement.

### 4.7 CONCLUSIONS

In view of poor recent survivals and failures to at least achieve 1985 target harvest rate reductions in some ceiling fisheries, the CTC concludes that stock groups with all escapement indicator stocks presently categorized in the lower two rebuilding categories (WCVI, Lower GS, Lower Fraser Fall, North PS Spring, and Columbia Upriver Spring) will not rebuild by 1998. Rebuilding will require sustained increases in productivity (e.g., through habitat improvements or other enhancement activities) or a sustained decrease in fishing mortality of those stocks. Further, projections for continued poor survivals indicate that the required reductions in exploitation will be greater than originally estimated when average survivals were assumed.

Total brood exploitation rates have been reduced for exploitation indicator stocks in most stock groups (no change in SEAK) and are nearing the estimated MSY ER of associated model stocks (with the
exception of the Lower GS and Columbia Upriver Summer stocks). The lack of a positive response in escapements coupled with reduced brood exploitations indicate that poor survivals are limiting our ability to achieve the escapement goals. Exploitation rates are being reduced but have generally not been adequate for the degree of reduction in survivals. This seems particularly true for the Lower GS and Columbia Upriver Summer stocks. Managers of the summer stock noted problems with freshwater survival and the Lower GS stock has the poorest survival index of the 13 stock groups.

Harvest management of ocean fisheries is not benefitting all stocks equally. Rebuilding some specific stocks should be expected to require more detailed stock-specific investigations (e.g., examination of the biological basis of the escapement goal) and actions (e.g., habitat improvements, supplementation, etc.). Management of ocean fisheries using catch ceilings must be responsive to changes in abundance and stock productivities in order to achieve target harvest rate reductions but detailed stock-specific actions will likely also be required to rebuild all the indicator stocks.
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## APPENDIX A

## Tables of Escapements and Terminal Runs

PageSoutheast Alaska ..... A-1
Transboundary Rivers ..... A-1
Northern B.C. ..... A-2
Southern B.C. ..... A-2
Fraser River ..... A-2
Puget Sound ..... A-3
Washington Coast ..... A-3
Columbia River ..... A-4
Oregon ..... A-4

Escapements and terminal runs of PSC Chinook Technical Committee natural chinook escapement indicator stocks, 1975-1991.

| Year | Southeast Alaska |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | t.run | King Satmon esc. | Andrew esc. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Blossom } \\ \text { esc. } \end{gathered}$ | Keta esc. |
| 1975 | 1510 | 2099 | 53 | 416 | 234 | 325 |
| 1976 | 1433 | 2676 | 81 | 404 | 109 | 134 |
| 1977 | 1732 | 2833 | 168 | 456 | 179 | 368 |
| 1978 | 814 | 1456 | 71 | 388 | 229 | 627 |
| 1979 | 1400 | 2735 | 89 | 327 | 86 | 682 |
| 1980 | 905 | 2284 | 88 | 281 | 142 | 307 |
| 1981 | 702 | 1752 | 113 | 536 | 254 | 526 |
| 1982 | 434 | 772 | 286 | 672 | 552 | 1206 |
| 1983 | 592 | 1043 | 245 | 366 | 942 | 1315 |
| 1984 | 1726 | 2439 | 250 | 389 | 813 | 976 |
| 1985 | 1521 | 2597 | 171 | 510 | 1134 | 998 |
| 1986 | 2067 | 2393 | 245 | 1131 | 2045 | 1104 |
| 1987 | 1390 | 2698 | 193 | 1261 | 2158 | 1229 |
| 1988 | 885 | 1453 | 206 | 760 | 614 | 920 |
| 1989 | 652 | 1081 | 238 | 848 | 550 | 1848 |
| 1990 | 700 | 1115 | 168 | 1062 | 411 | 970 |
| 1991 | 875 | 1976 | 134 | 640 | 382 | 435 |
| Goal | 600 |  | 250 | 750 | 1280 | 800 |


| Year | Transboundary Rivers |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Alsek (Klukshu) $(6$ esc. | Taku stocks) esc. | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Stikine } \\ \text { (L.Tahltan) } \\ \text { esc. } \end{array}$ | Unuk esc. | Chickamin esc. |
| 1975 |  | 2089 | 1400 | 1469 | 588 |
| 1976 | 1153 | 4726 | 800 | 1469 | 147 |
| 1977 | 2894 | 5671 | 1600 | 1558 | 363 |
| 1978 | 2676 | 3305 | 1264 | 1770 | 290 |
| 1979 | 4274 | 4156 | 2332 | 922 | 224 |
| 1980 | 2487 | 7544 | 4274 | 1626 | 418 |
| 1981 | 1963 | 9786 | 6668 | 1170 | 614 |
| 1982 | 1969 | 4813 | 5660 | 2162 | 1015 |
| 1983 | 2237 | 2062 | 1188 | 1800 | 922 |
| 1984 | 1572 | 3909 | 2588 | 2939 | 1763 |
| 1985 | 1283 | 7208 | 3114 | 1894 | 1530 |
| 1986 | 2607 | 7520 | 2891 | 3402 | 2683 |
| 1987 | 2491 | 5743 | 4783 | 3157 | 1560 |
| 1988 | 1994 | 8626 | 7292 | 2794 | 1258 |
| 1989 | 2289 | 9480 | 4715 | 1838 | 1494 |
| 1990 | 1742 | 12249 | 4392 | 946 | 902 |
| 1991 | 2153 | 10153 | 4506 | 1221 | 779 |
| Goal | 4700 | 13200 | 5300 | 2880 | 1440 |

Escapements and terminal runs of PSC Chinook Technical Committee natural chinook escapement indicator stocks, 1975-1991 (continued).

| Year | Northern B.C. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AREA 1 <br> Yakoun esc. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } 3 \\ & \text { ss } \\ & \text { t.run } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { AR } \\ \text { Sk } \\ \text { esc. } \end{array}$ | A 4 ena t.run | AREA 6 Index | AREA 8 <br> Index | AREA 9 <br> Rivers <br> Inlet | AREA 10 <br> Smith <br> Inlet |
| 1975 | 1500 | 6025 |  | 20319 |  | 2225 | 4425 | 3280 | 960 |
| 1976 | 700 | 5590 |  | 13078 |  | 2765 | 3550 | 1640 | 1000 |
| 1977 | 800 | 9060 | 11460 | 29018 | 39606 | 1820 | 3600 | 2225 | 1050 |
| 1978 | 600 | 10190 | 11975 | 22661 | 35055 | 3912 | 4000 | 2800 | 2100 |
| 1979 | 400 | 8180 | 9788 | 18488 | 28166 | 3455 | 4600 | 2150 | 500 |
| 1980 | 600 | 9072 | 11186 | 23429 | 38626 | 1935 | 2529 | 2325 | 1200 |
| 1981 | 750 | 7950 | 9443 | 24523 | 42018 | 1502 | 3550 | 3175 | 1020 |
| 1982 | 1400 | 6575 | 8426 | 17092 | 35185 | 4150 | 220 | 2250 | 1500 |
| 1983 | 600 | 8055 | 13949 | 23562 | 39510 | 2845 | 650 | 3320 | 1050 |
| 1984 | 300 | 12620 | 14380 | 37598 | 53516 | 1914 | 4700 | 1400 | 770 |
| 1985 | 1500 | 8002 | 11121 | 53599 | 76544 | 1509 | 4550 | 3371 | 230 |
| 1986 | 500 | 17390 | 22775 | 59968 | 87566 | 2615 | 3362 | 7623 | 532 |
| 1987 | 2000 | 11431 | 15849 | 59120 | 76349 | 1566 | 1456 | 5239 | 1050 |
| 1988 | 2000 | 10000 | 14140 | 68705 | 102563 | 3165 | 1650 | 4429 | 1050 |
| 1989 | 2800 | 12525 | 17526 | 57202 | 83439 | 998 | 2535 | 3265 | 225 |
| 1990 | 2000 | 12123 | 15607 | 55976 | 89447 | 281 | 2385 | 4039 | 510 |
| 1991 | 1900 | 4017 | 12162 | 52753 | 79343 | 709 | 2470 | 6635 | 500 |
| Goal | 1580 | 15890 |  | 41770 |  | 5520 | 5450 | 4950 | 2110 |


| Year | Southern B.C. |  |  |  | Fraser River |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | W. Coast Vancouver I. esc. | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Lowe } \\ \text { St } \\ \text { esc. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Geo. } \\ & \text { ait } \\ & \text { t.run } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { er Geo. } \\ \text { Strait } \\ \text { esc. } \end{array}$ | Upper Fraser esc. | Middle <br> Fraser <br> esc. | Thompson esc. | Fraser spr/sum t.run | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Har } \\ \text { esc. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rison } \\ & \text { t. run } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1975 | 1675 | 9525 | 10940 | 11800 | 7028 | 15050 | 37035 | 119081 |  |  |
| 1976 | 1275 | 9240 | 10640 | 15150 | 7612 | 10975 | 14875 | 98691 |  |  |
| 1977 | 3875 | 10655 | 12665 | 3880 | 10135 | 13320 | 30321 | 132553 |  |  |
| 1978 | 6275 | 8035 | 8975 | 6150 | 14015 | 13450 | 28465 | 109119 |  |  |
| 1979 | 3058 | 12400 | 13271 | 3610 | 12495 | 8595 | 25145 | 104568 |  |  |
| 1980 | 6392 | 11530 | 13847 | 1367 | 15796 | 9625 | 19330 | 68973 |  |  |
| 1981 | 5108 | 10420 | 12980 | 1945 | 9021 | 8175 | 23375 | 65677 |  |  |
| 1982 | 7523 | 9520 | 10916 | 3260 | 11603 | 10470 | 20385 | 82820 |  |  |
| 1983 | 3824 | 9080 | 10102 | 3820 | 17185 | 15404 | 20381 | 72999 |  |  |
| 1984 | 5012 | 11150 | 12292 | 4600 | 21938 | 13957 | 29972 | 95878 | 120837 | 131757 |
| 1985 | 4900 | 5010 | 6518 | 4600 | 34527 | 17595 | 39997 | 124380 | 174778 | 179255 |
| 1986 | 4810 | 3038 | 4955 | 1630 | 41207 | 27349 | 45130 | 145652 | 162596 | 176740 |
| 1987 | 3520 | 2630 | 4729 | 5700 | 39420 | 27330 | 36730 | 127582 | 78038 | 81025 |
| 1988 | 5500 | 7040 | 9353 | 3300 | 34400 | 24164 | 47103 | 126894 | 35116 | 39487 |
| 1989 | 8480 | 6830 | 9589 | 6607 | 25310 | 15095 | 37975 | 107136 | 74685 | 75090 |
| 1990 | 5760 | 7635 | 10367 | 2200 | 35552 | 25510 | 41704 | 132831 | 177375 | 180758 |
| 1991 | 5756 | 12895 | 16138 | 3276 | 27317 | 21170 | 36460 | 112524 | 90638 | 93472 |
| Goal | 11665 | 22280 |  | 5100 | 24460 | 21130 | 55710 |  | 241700 |  |

Escapements and terminal runs of PSC Chinook Technical Committee natural chinook escapement indicator stocks, 1975-1991 (continued).

| Year | Puget Sound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Skagit spring esc. t.run |  | Skagit sum/fall |  | Stillaguamish esc. t.run |  | Snohomish |  | Green esc t.run |  |
| 1975 | 804 | 804 | 11555 | 24625 | 1198 | 1635 | 4485 | 6123 | 3394 | 6217 |
| 1976 | 763 | 763 | 14479 | 23306 | 2140 | 4002 | 5315 | 9889 | 3140 | 7679 |
| 1977 | 716 | 716 | 9497 | 17693 | 1475 | 2549 | 5565 | 9618 | 3804 | 5339 |
| 1978 | 1079 | 1079 | 13209 | 20030 | 1232 | 1959 | 7931 | 12591 | 3304 | 4337 |
| 1979 | 1032 | 1032 | 13605 | 21243 | 1042 | 2366 | 5903 | 12706 | 9704 | 10725 |
| 1980 | 1842 | 1842 | 20345 | 28938 | 821 | 2647 | 6460 | 16688 | 7743 | 10537 |
| 1981 | 1306 | 1306 | 8670 | 19675 | 630 | 2783 | 3368 | 8968 | 3606 | 4898 |
| 1982 | 686 | 686 | 10439 | 21022 | 773 | 3058 | 4379 | 8470 | 1840 | 3822 |
| 1983 | 710 | 710 | 9080 | 14671 | 387 | 925 | 4549 | 10386 | 3679 | 13244 |
| 1984 | 765 | 765 | 13239 | 15005 | 374 | 883 | 3762 | 8480 | 3353 | 5339 |
| 1985 | 3265 | 3265 | 16298 | 25075 | 1409 | 2641 | 4873 | 9005 | 2908 | 7417 |
| 1986 | 1995 | 1995 | 18127 | 21585 | 1277 | 2416 | 4534 | 8267 | 4792 | 5770 |
| 1987 | 2108 | 2108 | 9647 | 13037 | 1321 | 1906 | 4689 | 6670 | 10338 | 11666 |
| 1988 | 1988 | 1988 | 11954 | 14647 | 717 | 1176 | 4513 | 7389 | 7994 | 9185 |
| 1989 | 1853 | 2262 | 6776 | 12787 | 811 | 1642 | 3138 | 6142 | 11512 | 14993 |
| 1990 | 1902 | 1937 | 17206 | 19172 | 842 | 1739 | 4209 | 8345 | 7035 | 15195 |
| 1991 | 1411 | 1452 | 6014 | 8408 | 1632 | 3026 | 2783 | 5156 | 10548 | 14944 |
| Goal | 3000 |  | 14900 |  | 2000 |  | 5250 |  | 5800 |  |


| Year | Washington Coast |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quillayute summer |  | Quillayute fall |  | Hoh spr/sum |  | Hoh fall |  | Queets spr/sum |  | Queets fall |  | Grays Harbor spring |  | Grays Harbor fall |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | esc |  | esc. |  |  | t.run |
| 1975 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1976 | 1300 | 1700 | 2500 | 4700 | 600 | 1300 | 2500 | 3100 | 500 | 700 | 1200 | 2500 | 600 | 1000 | 1800 | 8900 |
| 1977 | 3800 | 5300 | 3300 | 7600 | 1000 | 2000 | 2100 | 3800 | 700 | 1200 | 3600 | 5500 | 800 | 1700 | 5200 | 13200 |
| 1978 | 2300 | 2700 | 4700 | 6200 | 1400 | 2500 | 1900 | 2900 | 1100 | 1400 | 2200 | 3100 | 1000 | 1600 | 4600 | 10600 |
| 1979 | 2100 | 3900 | 3900 | 6600 | 1400 | 2300 | 1700 | 2200 | 900 | 1400 | 3900 | 4700 | 400 | 1100 | 9400 | 12100 |
| 1980 | 900 | 1500 | 6700 | 7600 | 800 | 1000 | 2200 | 2800 | 1000 | 1200 | 3200 | 5800 | 200 | 600 | 11700 | 22000 |
| 1981 | 800 | 1700 | 6000 | 7100 | 1500 | 2100 | 3100 | 4000 | 1000 | 1300 | 4300 | 8000 | 600 | 900 | 7600 | 12400 |
| 1982 | 1200 | 2700 | 7100 | 9700 | 1600 | 2300 | 4500 | 5800 | 800 | 1200 | 4100 | 6200 | 600 | 700 | 5600 | 13700 |
| 1983 | 1400 | 1800 | 3100 | 5500 | 1800 | 1800 | 2500 | 3300 | 1000 | 1200 | 2600 | 3800 | 800 | 900 | 5500 | 9100 |
| 1984 | 600 | 1000 | 9100 | 10400 | 1500 | 2400 | 1900 | 2600 | 1000 | 1200 | 3900. | 5300 | 1100 | 1100 | 21000 | 22600 |
| 1985 | 600 | 700 | 6100 | 8400 | 1000 | 1400 | 1800 | 2900 | 700 | 900 | 3900 | 5300 | 1200 | 1200 | 9400 | 15000 |
| 1986 | 600 | 1000 | 10000 | 13500 | 1500 | 2500 | 5000 | 6000 | 900 | 1200 | 7700 | 8900 | 2000 | 2000 | 10500 | 17500 |
| 1987 | 600 | 1600 | 12400 | 20700 | 1700 | 2600 | 4000 | 6100 | 600 | 1600 | 6000 | 9600 | 900 | 1100 | 18800 | 31200 |
| 1988 | 1300 | 2600 | 15200 | 22200 | 2600 | 3900 | 4100 | 6900 | 1800 | 2300 | 7600 | 10400 | 3500 | 3600 | 28200 | 39100 |
| 1989 | 2400 | 3400 | 10000 | 17100 | 4700 | 7000 | 5100 | 8700 | 2500 | 3800 | 8700 | 11300 | 2100 | 2400 | 26400 | 56000 |
| 1990 | 1500 | 1900 | 13700 | 16800 | 3900 | 5800 | 4200 | 6400 | 1800 | 2500 | 10100 | 12300 | 1600 | 1700 | 17500 | 39600 |
| 1991 | 1200 | 1500 | 6300 | 7600 | 1100 | 1800 | 1400 | 2600 | 600 | 800 | 4500 | 5900 | 1300 | 1500 | 11600 | 27100 |
| Goal | 1200 |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | 1400 |  | 14600 |  |

Escapements and terminal runs of PSC Chinook Technical Committee natural chin escapement indicator stocks, 1975-1991 (continued).

| Year | Columbia River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Oregon |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Col. Upriver spring esc. t.run |  | Col. Upriver summer esc $\quad t$ run |  | Col. Upriver bright esc. t.run |  | Lewis River esc. t.run |  | Oregon <br> Coastal <br> Index esc. |
| 1975 |  |  | 33000 | 33000 | 29600 | 112500 | 13859 | 36800 | 60 |
| 1976 |  |  | 26600 | 26700 | 28800 | 115100 | 3371 | 14900 | 50 |
| 1977 | 64900 | 92700 | 33300 | 34300 | 37600 | 95100 | 6930 | 29800 | 73 |
| 1978 | 89600 | 95300 | 37600 | 38700 | 27300 | 85300 | 5363 | 18500 | 77 |
| 1979 | 22300 | 23300 | 26700 | 27800 | 31200 | 89200 | 8023 | 32700 | 90 |
| 1980 | 26700 | 27600 | 25800 | 27000 | 29900 | 76800 | 16394 | 38800 | 95 |
| 1981 | 31500 | 33700 | 21100 | 22400 | 21100 | 66600 | 19297 | 25000 | 81 |
| 1982 | 31700 | 34800 | 18800 | 20100 | 31100 | 79000 | 8370 | 13000 | 99 |
| 1983 | 23600 | 25200 | 17700 | 18000 | 48700 | 86100 | 13540 | 16800 | 49 |
| 1984 | 18600 | 20400 | 22100 | 22400 | 61000 | 131400 | 7132 | 13300 | 100 |
| 1985 | 27200 | 28800 | 22400 | 24200 | 90800 | 196400 | 7491 | 13300 | 133 |
| 1986 | 36500 | 39800 | 25500 | 26200 | 109900 | 281500 | 11983 | 24500 | 135 |
| 1987 | 41400 | 45000 | 30900 | 33000 | 149700 | 420700 | 12935 | 37900 | 131 |
| 1988 | 35100 | 40700 | 29000 | 31300 | 110400 | 339900 | 12059 | 41700 | 221 |
| 1989 | 27000 | 30000 | 28700 | 28800 | 92900 | 261100 | 21199 | 38600 | 151 |
| 1990 | 28800 | 32800 | 25000 | 25000 | 55200 | 153100 | 17506 | 20300 | 125 |
| 1991 |  |  | 18800 | 18900 | 44400 | 102200 | 9066 | 19900 | 169 |
| Goal | 84000 |  | 85000 |  | 40000 |  | 5700 |  | NA |

## APPENDIX B <br> Stock Specific Chinook Escapement Figures

Situk ..... B-1
King Salmon ..... B-1
Andrew Creek ..... B-2
Blossom River ..... B-2
Keta River ..... B-3
Alsek River ..... B-3
Taku River ..... B-4
Stikine River ..... B-4
Unuk River ..... B-5
Chickamin River ..... B-5
Yakoun River ..... B-6
Nass River ..... B-6
Skeena River ..... B-7
Area 6 Index ..... B-7
Area 8 Index ..... B-8
Rivers Inlet ..... B-8
Smith Inlet ..... B-9
WCVI ..... B-9
Upper Strait of Georgia ..... B-10
Lower Strait of Georgia ..... B-10
Upper Fraser River ..... B-11
Middle Fraser River ..... B-11
Thompson River ..... B-12
Harrison River ..... B-12
Skagit Spring ..... B-13
Skagit Summer/Fall ..... B-13
Stillaguamish River ..... B-14
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Quillayute Summer ..... B-15
Grays Harbor Spring ..... B-16
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## Situk Chinook Escapements Above Goal



## King Salmon Chinook Escapements Probably Not Rebuilding



## Andrew Creek Chinook Escapements Above Goal



Blossom River Chinook Escapements Probably Not Rebuilding


Keta River Chinook Escapements Above Goal


## Alsek R. Chinook Escapements Not Rebuilding



Taku Chinook Escapements Probably Rebuilding


## Stikine River Chinook Escapements Rebuilding



## Unuk River Chinook Escapements

 Probably Not Rebuilding

## Chickamin River Chinook Escapements Probably Not Rebuilding



## Yakoun River Chinook Escapements Above Goal



## Nass River Chinook Escapements Indeterminate



## Skeena River Chinook Escapements Above Goal



Area 6 Index Chinook Escapements Not Rebuilding


## Area 8 Index Chinook Escapements Probably Not Rebuilding



Rivers Inlet Chinook Escapements Probably Rebuilding


## Smith Inlet Chinook Escapements Probably Not Rebuilding



## WCVI Chinook Escapements Probably Not Rebuilding



Upper Georgia Str. Chinook Escapements Indeterminate


Lower Georgia Str. Chinook Escapements Probably Not Rebuilding


## Upper Fraser R. Chinook Escapements Above Goal



## Middle Fraser R. Chinook Escapements Above Goal



Thompson R. Chinook Escapements Indeterminate


Harrison R. Chinook Escapements Probably Not Rebuilding


## Skagit Spring Chinook Escapements Probably Not Rebuilding



## Skagit Sum./Fall Chinook Escapements Indeterminate



## Stillaguamish River Chinook Escapements Indeterminate



## Snohomish River Chinook Escapements Not Rebuilding



## Green River Chinook Escapements Above Goal



Quillayute Summer Chinook Escapements Above Goal


## Grays Harbor Spring Chinook Escapement

 Probably Rebuilding

Grays Harbor Fall Chinook Escapements Above Goal


## Columbia R. Spring Chinook Escapements Probably Not Rebuilding



Columbia R. Summer Chinook Escapements Probably Not Rebuilding


## Columbia R. Bright Chinook Escapements Above Goal



Lewis R. Fall Chinook Escapements Above Goal


## Hoh Spr/Sum Chinook Escapements Increasing



## Hoh Fall Chinook Escapements Increasing




## Queets Spr/Sum Chinook Escapements Increasing



## Queets Fall Chinook Escapements Increasing



## Quillayute Fall Chinook Escapements Increasing



## Oregon Coastal Chinook Escapements Increasing



## APPENDIX C

## Estimates and Sources of Chinook Nonretention Mortality
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Sources and estimates of legal and sublegal encounters in the SEAK troll fishery during chinook nonretention fisheries.

| Year | Legal CNR <br> Encounters | Sublegal CNR <br> Encounters | Source |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1981 | 18,225 | 18,578 | $\mathrm{a} /$ |
| 1982 | 89,100 | 90,827 | $\mathrm{a} /$ |
| 1983 | 74,925 | 76,378 | $\mathrm{a} /$ |
| 1984 | 87,075 | 88,763 | $\mathrm{a} /$ |
| 1985 | 118,191 | 131,011 | $\mathrm{~b} /$ |
| 1986 | 78,763 | 104,820 | $\mathrm{c} /$ |
| 1987 | 191,956 | 171,156 | $\mathrm{~d} /$ |
| 1988 | 60,900 | 91,200 | $\mathrm{e} /$ |
| 1989 | 150,600 | 162,900 | $\mathrm{f} /$ |
| 1990 | 121,258 | 143,330 | $\mathrm{~g} /$ |
| 1991 | 184,901 | 218,558 | $\mathrm{~g} /$ |
|  |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ Alaska Dept. Fish and Game and National Marine Fisheries Service. 1987. Associated fishing induced mortalities of chinook salmon in southeast Alaska. Alaska Dept. Fish Game, unpublished report.
${ }^{\text {b/ Davis, A., J. Kelley, and M. Seibel. 1986. Observations on chinook salmon hook and release in the }}$ 1985 southeast Alaska troll fishery. Alaska Dept. Fish Game, unpublished report.
${ }^{\text {c/ Davis, A., J. Kelley, and M. Seibel. 1987. Observations on chinook salmon hook and release in the }}$ 1986 southeast Alaska troll fishery. Alaska Dept. Fish Game, unpublished report.
${ }^{\text {d/ }}$ Seibel, M., A. Davis, J. Kelley, and J.E. Clark. 1988. Observations on chinook salmon hook and release in the 1987 southeast Alaska troll fishery. Alaska Dept. Fish Game, unpublished report.
${ }^{\text {e/ Seibel, M., A. Davis, J. Kelley, and J.E. Clark. 1989. Observations on chinook salmon hook and }}$ release in the 1988 southeast Alaska troll fishery. Alaska Dept. Fish Game, unpublished report.
${ }^{\text {f/ }}$ Data collected from a limited survey of the chinook nonretention fishery in 1989 indicated that encounter rates were similar to those which had occurred in previous years. For this reason, the number of encounters was estimated by multiplying the 1985-1988 average CNR encounters per gear day times the gear days for 1989. (Spreadsheet CNR90.WQ1, J. Carlile ADFG, 2/2/91)
g/ The number of encounters during the CNR fishery in 1990 were estimated from a regression with a predictor variable of the product of the encounter rate during the retneiotn period and the number of gear days during the CNR fishing period.

Sources and estimates of legal and sublegal encounters in the SEAK net fishery during chinook nonretention fisheries.

| Year | Legal CNR <br> Encounters | Sublegal CNR <br> Encounters | Source |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1985 | 12,352 | 60,506 | $\mathrm{a} /$ |
| 1986 | 13,773 | 26,850 | $\mathrm{~b} /$ |
| 1987 | 4,497 | 13,923 | $\mathrm{c} /$ |
| 1988 | 9,429 | 31,184 | $\mathrm{~d} /$ |
| 1989 | 10,096 | 33,392 | $\mathrm{~d} /$ |
| 1990 | 11,760 | 38,640 | $\mathrm{~d} /$ |
| 1991 | 13,860 | 45,450 | $\mathrm{~d} /$ |

${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ Van Alen, B.W. and M. Seibel. 1986. Observations on chinook salmon non-retention in the 1985 Southeast Alaska purse seine fishery. In, 1985 salmon research conducted in Southeast Alaska by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game in conjunction with the National Marine Fisheries Service Auke Bay Laboratory for joint U.S./Canada interception studies. Final Report Contract No./ 85-ABC00142. Juneau, Alaska.
b/ Van Alen, B.W. and M. Seibel. 1987. Observations on chinook salmon non-retention in the 1986 Southeast Alaska purse seine fishery. In, 1986 salmon research conducted in Southeast Alaska by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game in conjunction with the National Marine Fisheries Service Auke Bay Laboratory for joint U.S./Canada interception studies. Final Report. Contract No. NA-87-ABH00025. Juneau, Alaska.
${ }^{\text {c/ Rowse, M.L. and S. Marshall. 1988. Estimates of catch and mortality of chinook salmon in the }}$ 1987 southeast Alaska purse seine fishery. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Regional Information Report 1J88-18.
${ }^{\mathrm{d} /}$ Computed by multiplying 1985-1987 average ratio of legal (or sublegal) encounters by the reported catch.

Number of days (or gear days) of chinook retention, chinook nonretention fishery, and source of information for the NBC troll fishery.

| Year | Chinook <br> Retention | Chinook <br> Nonretention | Source |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1987 | 60 | 9 | $\mathrm{a} /$ |
| 1988 | 43 | 17 | $\mathrm{~b} /$ |
| 1989 | 66 | 9 | $\mathrm{c} /$ |
| 1990 | 18,964 | 6,431 | $\mathrm{~d} /$ |
| 1991 | 26,754 | 3,042 | $\mathrm{~d} /$ |
|  |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ Chinook Technical Committee. 1987. Chinook Technical Committee report to the November, 1987 meeting of the Pacific Salmon Commission. Pacific Salmon Commission, TCCHINOOK (87)-5.
${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ Chinook Technical Committee. 1988. Preliminary review of 1988 fisheries. Pacific Salmon Commission, TCCHINOOK (88)-3.
${ }^{\text {c/ }}$ Chinook Technical Committee. 1990. 1989 annual report. Pacific Salmon Commission, TCCHINOOK (90)-3.
${ }^{d}$ Computed by multiplying the number of days during the chinook retention fishery by the ratio of the number of boat days during the nonretention fishery to the number of boat days during the chinook retention fishery.

Number of days or gear days of chinook retention, chinook nonretention fishery, and source of information for the CBC troll fishery.

| Year | Chinook <br> Retention | Chinook <br> Nonretention | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1987 | 60 | 9 | $\mathrm{a} /$ |
| 1988 | 43 | 17 | $\mathrm{~b} /$ |
| 1989 | 66 | 9 | $\mathrm{c} /$ |
| 1990 | 6,032 | 1,591 | $\mathrm{~d} /$ |
| 1991 | 4,891 | 641 | $\mathrm{~d} /$ |
|  |  |  |  |

a/ Chinook Technical Committee. 1987. Chinook Technical Committee report to the November, 1987 meeting of the Pacific Salmon Commission. Pacific Salmon Commission, TCCHINOOK (87)-5.
b/ Chinook Technical Committee. 1988. Preliminary review of 1988 fisheries. Pacific Salmon Commission, TCCHINOOK (88)-3.
c/ Chinook Technical Committee. 1990. 1989 annual report. Pacific Salmon Commission, TCCHINOOK (90)-3.
${ }^{\mathrm{d} /}$ Computed by multiplying the number of days during the chinook retention fishery by the ratio of the number of boat days during the nonretention fishery to the number of boat days during the chinook retention fishery.

Number of days of chinook retention, chinook nonretention fishery, and source of information for the WCVI troll fishery.

| Year | Chinook <br> Retention | Chinook <br> Nonretention | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1985 | 105 | 5 | $\mathrm{a} /$ |
| 1987 | 47 | 7 | $\mathrm{~b} /$ |
| 1988 | 55 | 15 | $\mathrm{c} /$ |
|  |  |  |  |

a/ Anonymous. 1986. 1985 Canadian agency report on chinook salmon. Canadian Department of Fisheries and Oceans, unpublished report.
b/ Chinook Technical Committee. 1987. Chinook Technical Committee report to the November, 1987 meeting of the Pacific Salmon Commission. Pacific Salmon Commission, TCCHINOOK (87)-5.
c/ Chinook Technical Committee. 1988. Preliminary review of 1988 fisheries. Pacific Salmon Commission, TCCHINOOK (88)-3.

Sources and estimates of CNR parameters for the GS troll fishery.

| Year | Legal CNR | Sublegal CNR | Source |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 1985 | 12,412 | 12,184 | $\mathrm{a} /$ |
| 1986 | 5,151 | 17,834 | $\mathrm{a} /$ |
| 1991 | 4,589 | 1,867 | $\mathrm{~b} /$ |

${ }^{\text {a/ Anonymous. 1986. Data Report on Unaccounted for Sources of Fishing Associated Mortalities of }}$ Chinook Salmon in B.C. Fisheries (1977-1986). Canadian Department of Fisheries and Oceans, unpublished report. 47p. Data reported is number of encounters.
${ }^{\mathrm{b} /}$ Computed by multiplying the number of days during the chinook retention fishery by the ratio of the number of boat days during the nonretention fishery to the number of boat days during the chinook retention fishery.

## APPENDIX D

## Detailed Exploitation Rate and Fishery Index Data and Graphs
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## ALASKA TROLL (ALL AGES) <br> FISHERY INDEX



Fishery: Southeast Alaska Troll (All Ages)

| TOTAL <br> Year | MORTAL <br> AKS Age 4 | TY EXPL QUI Age 3 | OITATIO QUI Age 4 | QU <br> Age 5 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RBT } \\ \text { Age } 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RBT } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RBT } \\ \text { Age } 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SRH } \\ \text { Age } 3 \end{array}$ | SRH Age 4 | SRH Age 5 | URB <br> Age 3 | URB Age 4 | URB Age 5 | WSH <br> Age 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | NA | 0.015 | 0.025 | 0.090 | 0.056 | 0.253 | 0.492 | NA | NA | NA | 0.013 | 0.148 | NA | NA |
| 80 | NA | 0.013 | 0.107 | 0.058 | 0.075 | 0.272 | 0.342 | 0.040 | NA | NA | 0.045 | 0.136 | 0.251 | 0.138 |
| 81 | NA | 0.013 | 0.100 | 0.107 | 0.080 | 0.339 | 0.364 | 0.042 | 0.128 | NA | NA | 0.188 | 0.235 | 0.087 |
| 82 | 0.137 | 0.022 | 0.127 | 0.149 | 0.069 | 0.270 | 0.292 | 0.012 | 0.120 | 0.144 | 0.025 | 0.141 | 0.205 | 0.080 |
| 83 | 0.203 | 0.023 | 0.195 | 0.202 | 0.072 | 0.307 | 0.454 | 0.027 | 0.051 | 0.421 | 0.019 | 0.217 | NA | 0.109 |
| 84 | 0.107 | 0.012 | 0.108 | 0.201 | 0.116 | 0.309 | 0.249 | NA | 0.063 | 0.115 | 0.023 | 0.200 | 0.331 | 0.055 |
| 85 | 0.091 | 0.029 | 0.161 | 0.239 | 0.115 | 0.147 | 0.351 | 0.019 | NA | 0.266 | 0.017 | 0.157 | 0.257 | 0.185 |
| 86 | 0.192 | 0.023 | 0.094 | 0.149 | NA | 0.335 | NA | 0.021 | 0.142 | NA | 0.014 | 0.112 | 0.175 | NA |
| 87 | 0.085 | 0.021 | 0.130 | 0.145 | 0.040 | NA | NA | 0.028 | 0.050 | 0.207 | 0.028 | 0.134 | 0.242 | 0.133 |
| 88 | 0.106 | 0.016 | 0.110 | 0.087 | 0.013 | 0.162 | NA | NA | 0.067 | 0.255 | 0.022 | 0.067 | 0.193 | 0.057 |
| 89 | 0.091 | 0.019 | 0.115 | 0.153 | 0.026 | 0.170 | 0.207 | 0.016 | 0.033 | 0.209 | NA | 0.042 | 0.172 | 0.040 |
| 90 | 0.200 | 0.017 | 0.154 | 0.111 | 0.066 | 0.201 | 0.295 | 0.026 | 0.060 | 0.163 | NA | 0.131 | 0.114 | 0.094 |
| 91 | 0.152 | 0.015 | 0.071 | 0.135 | 0.062 | 0.254 | 0.293 | 0.073 | 0.100 | 0.263 | NA | NA | 0.163 | 0.043 |
| Base | 0.137 | 0.016 | 0.090 | 0.101 | 0.070 | 0.284 | 0.373 | 0.031 | 0.124 | 0.144 | 0.028 | 0.153 | 0.230 | 0.102 |


| TOTAL Year | MORTAL AKS Age 4 |  |  |  | INDEX RBT Age 3 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RBT } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RBT } \\ \text { Age } 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SRH } \\ \text { Age } 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SRH } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SRH } \\ \text { Age } 5 \end{array}$ | URB <br> Age 3 | URB Age 4 | URB <br> Age 5 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WSH } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | Fishery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | NA | 0.964 | 0.275 | 0.891 | 0.797 | 0.894 | 1.321 | NA | NA | NA | 0.477 | 0.967 | NA | NA | 0.981 |
| 80 | NA | 0.815 | 1.192 | 0.579 | 1.072 | 0.960 | 0.919 | 1.280 | NA | NA | 1.615 | 0.888 | 1.090 | 1.360 | 1.001 |
| 81 | NA | 0.837 | 1.117 | 1.056 | 1.145 | 1.195 | 0.976 | 1.343 | 1.034 | NA | NA | 1.227 | 1.019 | 0.851 | 1.069 |
| 82 | 1.000 | 1.385 | 1.417 | 1.473 | 0.985 | 0.952 | 0.784 | 0.377 | 0.966 | 1.000 | 0.908 | 0.918 | 0.891 | 0.789 | 0.952 |
| 83 | 1.481 | 1.473 | 2.168 | 2.001 | 1.033 | 1.081 | 1.217 | 0.875 | 0.413 | 2.929 | 0.696 | 1.416 | NA | 1.074 | 1.393 |
| 84 | 0.778 | 0.753 | 1.202 | 1.989 | 1.657 | 1.091 | 0.669 | NA | 0.505 | 0.798 | 0.846 | 1.300 | 1.437 | 0.545 | 1.020 |
| 85 | 0.661 | 1.853 | 1.791 | 2.364 | 1.648 | 0.517 | 0.942 | 0.617 | NA | 1.851 | 0.603 | 1.021 | 1.114 | 1.817 | 1.156 |
| 86 | 1.401 | 1.475 | 1.052 | 1.479 | NA | 1.180 | NA | 0.681 | 1.143 | NA | 0.499 | 0.729 | 0.758 | NA | 1.053 |
| 87 | 0.622 | 1.331 | 1.442 | 1.432 | 0.575 | NA | NA | 0.905 | 0.400 | 1.441 | 1.017 | 0.874 | 1.052 | 1.308 | 1.014 |
| 88 | 0.777 | 1.040 | 1.219 | 0.866 | 0.182 | 0.572 | NA | NA | 0.540 | 1.770 | 0.811 | 0.439 | 0.836 | 0.563 | 0.782 |
| 89 | 0.663 | 1.182 | 1.274 | 1.519 | 0.365 | 0.600 | 0.554 | 0.509 | 0.264 | 1.451 | NA | 0.276 | 0.747 | 0.391 | 0.696 |
| 90 | 1.461 | 1.054 | 1.710 | 1.096 | 0.946 | 0.709 | 0.792 | 0.827 | 0.479 | 1.130 | NA | 0.853 | 0.496 | 0.928 | 0.879 |
| 91 | 1.112 | 0.958 | 0.789 | 1.333 | 0.894 | 0.897 | 0.786 | 2.317 | 0.809 | 1.829 | NA | NA | 0.709 | 0.422 | 0.955 |

Stock Identifiers

| AKS $=$ ALASKA SPRING |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| SRH $=$ SALMON RIVER |  |
| QUI $=$ QUINSAM | URB $=$ COLUMBIA RIVER UPRIVER BRIGHT |
| RBT $=$ ROBERTSON CREEK |  |
| WSH $=$ WILLAMETTE SPRING |  |

ALASKA TROLL (AGE 3)
FISHERY INDEX


## Fishery: Southeast Alaska Troll (Age 3)

| TOTAL <br> Year | MORTAL <br> AKS <br> Age 4 |  | oItati RBT Age 3 |  | URB <br> Age 3 | WSH <br> Age 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | NA | 0.015 | 0.056 | NA | 0.013 | NA |
| 80 | NA | 0.013 | 0.075 | 0.040 | 0.045 | 0.138 |
| 81 | NA | 0.013 | 0.080 | 0.042 | NA | 0.087 |
| 82 | 0.137 | 0.022 | 0.069 | 0.012 | 0.025 | 0.080 |
| 83 | 0.203 | 0.023 | 0.072 | 0.027 | 0.019 | 0.109 |
| 84 | 0.107 | 0.012 | 0.116 | NA | 0.023 | 0.055 |
| 85 | 0.091 | 0.029 | 0.115 | 0.019 | 0.017 | 0.185 |
| 86 | 0.192 | 0.023 | NA | 0.021 | 0.014 | NA |
| 87 | 0.085 | 0.021 | 0.040 | 0.028 | 0.028 | 0.133 |
| 88 | 0.106 | 0.016 | 0.013 | NA | 0.022 | 0.057 |
| 89 | 0.091 | 0.019 | 0.026 | 0.016 | NA | 0.040 |
| 90 | 0.200 | 0.017 | 0.066 | 0.026 | NA | 0.094 |
| 91 | 0.152 | 0.015 | 0.062 | 0.073 | NA | 0.043 |
| Base | 0.137 | 0.016 | 0.070 | 0.031 | 0.028 | 0.102 |


| TOTAL Year | MORTAL <br> AKS Age 4 |  | RBT <br> Age 3 | RATE SRH Age 3 | INDEX <br> URB <br> Age 3 | WSH <br> Age 4 | Fishery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | NA | 0.964 | 0.797 | NA | 0.477 | NA | 0.742 |
| 80 | NA | 0.815 | 1.072 | 1.280 | 1.615 | 1.360 | 1.262 |
| 81 | NA | 0.837 | 1.145 | 1.343 | NA | 0.851 | 1.015 |
| 82 | 1.000 | 1.385 | 0.985 | 0.377 | 0.908 | 0.789 | 0.899 |
| 83 | 1.481 | 1.473 | 1.033 | 0.875 | 0.696 | 1.074 | 1.185 |
| 84 | 0.778 | 0.753 | 1.657 | NA | 0.846 | 0.545 | 0.889 |
| 85 | 0.661 | 1.853 | 1.648 | 0.617 | 0.603 | 1.817 | 1.189 |
| 86 | 1.401 | 1.475 | NA | 0.681 | 0.499 | NA | 1.182 |
| 87 | 0.622 | 1.331 | 0.575 | 0.905 | 1.017 | 1.308 | 0.876 |
| 88 | 0.777 | 1.040 | 0.182 | NA | 0.811 | 0.563 | 0.611 |
| 89 | 0.663 | 1.182 | 0.365 | 0.509 | NA | 0.391 | 0.536 |
| 90 | 1.461 | 1.054 | 0.946 | 0.827 | NA | 0.928 | 1.133 |
| 91 | 1.112 | 0.958 | 0.894 | 2.317 | NA | 0.422 | 0.972 |

Stock Identifiers

## AKS = ALASKA SPRING <br> QUI $=$ QUINSAM

RBT $=$ ROBERTSON CREEK

SRH = SALMON RIVER
URB $=$ COLUMBIA RIVER UPRIVER BRIGHT USB $=$ COLUMBIA RIVER UPRI

## ALASKA TROLL (AGE 4)

 FISHERY INDEX

Fishery: Southeast Alaska Troll (Age 4)

| TOTAL Year | MORTAL <br> QUI Age 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { TY EXPL } \\ \text { RBT } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{gathered}$ | oitatio SRH Age 4 | RATES <br> URB Age 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 0.025 | 0.253 | NA | 0.148 |
| 80 | 0.107 | 0.272 | NA | 0.136 |
| 81 | 0.100 | 0.339 | 0.128 | 0.188 |
| 82 | 0.127 | 0.270 | 0.120 | 0.141 |
| 83 | 0.195 | 0.307 | 0.051 | 0.217 |
| 84 | 0.108 | 0.309 | 0.063 | 0.200 |
| 85 | 0.161 | 0.147 | NA | 0.157 |
| 86 | 0.094 | 0.335 | 0.142 | 0.112 |
| 87 | 0.130 | NA | 0.050 | 0.134 |
| 88 | 0.110 | 0.162 | 0.067 | 0.067 |
| 89 | 0.115 | 0.170 | 0.033 | 0.042 |
| 90 | 0.154 | 0.201 | 0.060 | 0.131 |
| 91 | 0.071 | 0.254 | 0.100 | NA |
| Base | -0.090 | 0.284 | 0.124 | 0.153 |


| TOTAL <br> Year | MORTAL QUI Age 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { TY EXPL } \\ \text { RBT } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{gathered}$ |  | RATE <br> URB <br> Age 4 | INDEX Fishery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 0.275 | 0.894 | NA | 0.967 | 0.809 |
| 80 | 1.192 | 0.960 | NA | 0.888 | 0.979 |
| 81 | 1.117 | 1.195 | 1.034 | 1.227 | 1.161 |
| 82 | 1.417 | 0.952 | 0.966 | 0.918 | 1.011 |
| 83 | 2.168 | 1.081 | 0.413 | 1.416 | 1.183 |
| 84 | 1.202 | 1.091 | 0.505 | 1.300 | 1.044 |
| 85 | 1.791 | 0.517 | NA | 1.021 | 0.881 |
| 86 | 1.052 | 1.180 | 1.143 | 0.729 | 1.049 |
| 87 | 1.442 | NA | 0.400 | 0.874 | 0.853 |
| 88 | 1.219 | 0.572 | 0.540 | 0.439 | 0.624 |
| 89 | 1.274 | 0.600 | 0.264 | 0.276 | 0.553 |
| 90 | 1.710 | 0.709 | 0.479 | 0.853 | 0.837 |
| 91 | 0.789 | 0.897 | 0.809 | NA | 0.855 |

## Stock Identifiers

SRH = SALMON RIVER

| AKS $=$ ALASKA SPRING | SRH $=$ SALMON RIVER |
| :--- | :--- |
| QUI $=$ QUINSAM | URB $=$ COLUMBIA UPRIVER BRIGHT |

[^3] URB $=$ COLUMBIA UPRIVER BRIGHT

## ALASKA TROLL (AGE 5)

 FISHERY INDEX

Fishery: Southeast Alaska Troll (Age 5)

| TOTAL <br> Year | MORTALI QUI Age 5 |  | oitation SRH Age 5 | RATES <br> URB Age 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 0.090 | 0.492 | NA | NA |
| 80 | 0.058 | 0.342 | NA | 0.251 |
| 81 | 0.107 | 0.364 | NA | 0.235 |
| 82 | 0.149 | 0.292 | 0.144 | 0.205 |
| 83 | 0.202 | 0.454 | 0.421 | NA |
| 84 | 0.201 | 0.249 | 0.115 | 0.331 |
| 85 | 0.239 | 0.351 | 0.266 | 0.257 |
| 86 | 0.149 | NA | NA | 0.175 |
| 87 | 0.145 | NA | 0.207 | 0.242 |
| 88 | 0.087 | NA | 0.255 | 0.193 |
| 89 | 0.153 | 0.207 | 0.209 | 0.172 |
| 90 | 0.111 | 0.295 | 0.163 | 0.114 |
| 91 | 0.135 | 0.293 | 0.263 | 0.163 |
| Base | -0.101 | 0.373 | 0.144 | 0.230 |



## NORTH/CENTRAL B.C. TROLL (ALL AGES) FISHERY INDEX



Fishery: North/Central B.C. Troll (All Ages)

| TOTAL <br> Year | MORTALI <br> AKS <br> Age 4 | TY EXPL BQR Age 3 | BQR Age 4 |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { QUI } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | QUI Age 5 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RBT } \\ \text { Age } 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RBT } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RBT } \\ \text { Age } 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SRH } \\ \text { Age } 3 \end{array}$ | SRH Age 4 | SRH Age 5 | URB <br> Age 3 | URB Age 4 | URB <br> Age 5 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WSH } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | NA | 0.083 | 0.099 | 0.047 | 0.170 | 0.114 | 0.093 | 0.166 | 0.109 | NA | NA | NA | 0.011 | 0.089 | NA | NA |
| 80 | NA | 0.093 | 0.079 | 0.046 | 0.162 | 0.216 | 0.087 | 0.131 | 0.158 | 0.078 | NA | NA | 0.028 | 0.070 | 0.071 | 0.138 |
| 81 | NA | 0.094 | 0.089 | 0.076 | 0.165 | 0.185 | 0.062 | 0.141 | 0.265 | 0.115 | 0.160 | NA | NA | 0.080 | 0.085 | 0.110 |
| 82 | 0.005 | 0.066 | 0.098 | 0.033 | 0.078 | 0.116 | 0.070 | 0.164 | 0.124 | 0.027 | 0.120 | 0.086 | 0.028 | 0.045 | NA | 0.031 |
| 83 | 0.014 | NA | 0.098 | 0.061 | 0.144 | 0.214 | 0.081 | 0.122 | 0.080 | 0.036 | 0.099 | 0.099 | 0.034 | 0.074 | NA | 0.030 |
| 84 | 0.006 | 0.062 | NA | 0.011 | 0.063 | 0.073 | 0.040 | 0.152 | 0.263 | NA | 0.093 | 0.309 | 0.025 | 0.104 | NA | 0.023 |
| 85 | 0.004 | 0.034 | NA | 0.015 | 0.043 | 0.036 | 0.080 | 0.247 | 0.208 | 0.043 | NA | 0.245 | 0.020 | 0.082 | 0.075 | 0.023 |
| 86 | 0.009 | 0.059 | 0.194 | 0.048 | 0.079 | 0.082 | NA | 0.133 | NA | 0.020 | 0.065 | NA | 0.020 | 0.061 | 0.083 | NA |
| 87 | 0.003 | 0.011 | 0.062 | 0.026 | 0.074 | 0.121 | 0.052 | NA | NA | 0.031 | 0.069 | 0.204 | 0.038 | 0.102 | 0.111 | 0.023 |
| 88 | 0.010 | NA | NA | 0.016 | 0.048 | 0.021 | 0.035 | 0.089 | NA | NA | 0.056 | 0.190 | 0.018 | 0.056 | 0.094 | 0.035 |
| 89 | 0.004 | 0.027 | NA | 0.023 | 0.034 | 0.035 | 0.035 | 0.107 | 0.169 | 0.019 | 0.040 | 0.193 | NA | 0.053 | 0.196 | 0.018 |
| 90 | 0.016 | 0.027 | 0.084 | 0.020 | 0.091 | 0.047 | 0.035 | 0.113 | 0.104 | 0.023 | 0.038 | 0.241 | NA | 0.063 | 0.112 | 0.019 |
| 91 | 0.002 | 0.014 | NA | 0.019 | 0.081 | 0.085 | 0.047 | 0.130 | 0.210 | 0.027 | 0.060 | 0.211 | NA | NA | NA | 0.009 |
| Base | 0.005 | 0.084 | 0.091 | 0.050 | 0.144 | 0.158 | 0.078 | 0.151 | 0.164 | 0.073 | 0.140 | 0.086 | 0.022 | 0.071 | 0.078 | 0.093 |

D-10

| total <br> Year | MORTAL <br> AKS Age 4 |  |  | N RATE <br> QUI <br> Age 3 | INDEX QUI Age 4 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { QUI } \\ \text { Age } 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RBT } \\ \text { Age } 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RBT } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RBT } \\ \text { Age } 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SRH } \\ \text { Age } 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SRH } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SRH } \\ \text { Age } 5 \end{array}$ | URB Age 3 | URB Age 4 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { URB } \\ \text { Age } 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WSH } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | Fishery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | NA | 0.990 | 1.088 | 0.931 | 1.181 | 0.723 | 1.190 | 1.102 | 0.667 | NA | NA | NA | 0.501 | 1.253 | NA | NA | 0.969 |
| 80 | NA | 1.103 | 0.866 | 0.914 | 1.127 | 1.372 | 1.116 | 0.867 | 0.961 | 1.061 | NA | NA | 1.247 | 0.983 | 0.912 | 1.483 | 1.078 |
| 81 | NA | 1.123 | 0.974 | 1.507 | 1.148 | 1.172 | 0.793 | 0.939 | 1.615 | 1.570 | 1.143 | NA | NA | 1.130 | 1.088 | 1.181 | 1.184 |
| 82 | 1.000 | 0.785 | 1.072 | 0.647 | 0.544 | 0.733 | 0.901 | 1.092 | 0.757 | 0.369 | 0.857 | 1.000 | 1.252 | 0.635 | NA | 0.336 | 0.774 |
| 83 | 2.855 | NA | 1.074 | 1.213 | 1.003 | 1.358 | 1.037 | 0.812 | 0.487 | 0.495 | 0.709 | 1.149 | 1.528 | 1.035 | NA | 0.324 | 0.895 |
| 84 | 1.291 | 0.732 | NA | 0.210 | 0.441 | 0.461 | 0.520 | 1.010 | 1.601 | NA | 0.664 | 3.576 | 1.131 | 1.466 | NA | 0.247 | 0.982 |
| 85 | 0.826 | 0.410 | NA | 0.303 | 0.302 | 0.227 | 1.030 | 1.642 | 1.270 | 0.583 | NA | 2.833 | 0.901 | 1.156 | 0.964 | 0.252 | 0.920 |
| 86 | 1.889 | 0.699 | 2.131 | 0.946 | 0.549 | 0.519 | NA | 0.884 | NA | 0.273 | 0.466 | NA | 0.887 | 0.854 | 1.063 | NA | 0.798 |
| 87 | 0.518 | 0.133 | 0.686 | 0.516 | 0.513 | 0.767 | 0.668 | NA | NA | 0.427 | 0.493 | 2.359 | 1.708 | 1.430 | 1.425 | 0.251 | 0.789 |
| 88 | 2.076 | NA | NA | 0.324 | 0.332 | 0.133 | 0.450 | 0.588 | NA | NA | 0.400 | 2.199 | 0.798 | 0.793 | 1.205 | 0.379 | 0.621 |
| 89 | 0.805 | 0.318 | NA | 0.456 | 0.236 | 0.221 | 0.452 | 0.711 | 1.032 | 0.258 | 0.287 | 2.240 | NA | 0.746 | 2.525 | 0.189 | 0.693 |
| 90 | 3.382 | 0.327 | 0.919 | 0.404 | 0.634 | 0.301 | 0.445 | 0.748 | 0.634 | 0.314 | 0.272 | 2.791 | NA | 0.887 | 1.441 | 0.201 | 0.705 |
| 91 | 0.438 | 0.170 | NA | 0.381 | 0.561 | 0.536 | 0.604 | 0.864 | 1.282 | 0.372 | 0.426 | 2.448 | NA | NA | NA | 0.101 | 0.731 |

Stock Identifiers
AKS $=$ ALASKA SPRING
$B Q R=B I G Q U A L I C U M$

BQR $=$ BIG QUALICU
RBT $=$ ROBERTSON CREEK
SRH $=$ SALMON RIVER
URB $=$ COLUMBIA RIVER UPRIVER BRIGHT WSH = WILLAMETTE SPRING

NORTH/CENTRAL B.C. TROLL (AGE 3) FISHERY INDEX


Fishery: North/Central B.C. Troll (Age 3)

| TOTAL <br> Year | MORTAL <br> AKS Age 4 |  | QUI Age 3 | RBT <br> Age 3 | SRH <br> Age 3 | URB <br> Age 3 | WSH <br> Age 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | NA | 0.083 | 0.047 | 0.093 | NA | 0.011 | NA |
| 80 | NA | 0.093 | 0.046 | 0.087 | 0.078 | 0.028 | 0.138 |
| 81 | NA | 0.094 | 0.076 | 0.062 | 0.115 | NA | 0.110 |
| 82 | 0.005 | 0.066 | 0.033 | 0.070 | 0.027 | 0.028 | 0.031 |
| 83 | 0.014 | NA | 0.061 | 0.081 | 0.036 | 0.034 | 0.030 |
| 84 | 0.006 | 0.062 | 0.011 | 0.040 | NA | 0.025 | 0.023 |
| 85 | 0.004 | 0.034 | 0.015 | 0.080 | 0.043 | 0.020 | 0.023 |
| 86 | 0.009 | 0.059 | 0.048 | NA | 0.020 | 0.020 | NA |
| 87 | 0.003 | 0.011 | 0.026 | 0.052 | 0.031 | 0.038 | 0.023 |
| 88 | 0.010 | NA | 0.016 | 0.035 | NA | 0.018 | 0.035 |
| 89 | 0.004 | 0.027 | 0.023 | 0.035 | 0.019 | NA | 0.018 |
| 90 | 0.016 | 0.027 | 0.020 | 0.035 | 0.023 | NA | 0.019 |
| 91 | 0.002 | 0.014 | 0.019 | 0.047 | 0.027 | NA | 0.009 |
| Base | 0.005 | 0.084 | 0.050 | 0.078 | 0.073 | 0.022 | 0.093 |


| TOTAL <br> Year | MORTAL <br> AKS <br> Age 4 |  |  |  | INDEX SRH Age 3 | URB <br> Age 3 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WSH } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | Fishery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | NA | 0.990 | 0.931 | 1.190 | NA | 0.501 | NA | 0.998 |
| 80 | NA | 1.103 | 0.914 | 1.116 | 1.061 | 1.247 | 1.483 | 1.170 |
| 81 | NA | 1.123 | 1.507 | 0.793 | 1.570 | NA | 1.181 | 1.207 |
| 82 | 1.000 | 0.785 | 0.647 | 0.901 | 0.369 | 1.252 | 0.336 | 0.640 |
| 83 | 2.855 | NA | 1.213 | 1.037 | 0.495 | 1.528 | 0.324 | 0.796 |
| 84 | 1.291 | 0.732 | 0.210 | 0.520 | NA | 1.131 | 0.247 | 0.502 |
| 85 | 0.826 | 0.410 | 0.303 | 1.030 | 0.583 | 0.901 | 0.252 | 0.543 |
| 86 | 1.889 | 0.699 | 0.946 | NA | 0.273 | 0.887 | NA | 0.662 |
| 87 | 0.518 | 0.133 | 0.516 | 0.668 | 0.427 | 1.708 | 0.251 | 0.454 |
| 88 | 2.076 | NA | 0.324 | 0.450 | NA | 0.798 | 0.379 | 0.460 |
| 89 | 0.805 | 0.318 | 0.456 | 0.452 | 0.258 | NA | 0.189 | 0.327 |
| 90 | 3.382 | 0.327 | 0.404 | 0.445 | 0.314 | NA | 0.201 | 0.367 |
| 91 | 0.438 | 0.170 | 0.381 | 0.604 | 0.372 | NA | 0.101 | 0.311 |

Stock Identifiers
AKS = ALASKA SPRING $B Q R=$ BIG QUALICUM QUI = QUINSAM

RBT = ROBERTSON CREEK
SRH = SALMON RIVER
URB = COLUMBIA UPRIVER BRIGHT
WSH = WILLAMETTE SPRING

NORTH/CENTRAL B.C. TROLL (AGE 4) FISHERY INDEX


Fishery: North/Central B.C. Troll (Age 4)

| TOTAL <br> MORTALITY EXPLOITATION RATES <br> BQR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | QUI <br> RBT | SRH | URB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Age 4 | Age 4 | Age 4 | Age 4 | Age 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 79 | 0.099 | 0.170 | 0.166 | NA | 0.089 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 80 | 0.079 | 0.162 | 0.131 | NA | 0.070 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 81 | 0.089 | 0.165 | 0.141 | 0.160 | 0.080 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 82 | 0.098 | 0.078 | 0.164 | 0.120 | 0.045 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 83 | 0.098 | 0.144 | 0.122 | 0.099 | 0.074 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 84 | NA | 0.063 | 0.152 | 0.093 | 0.104 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 85 | NA | 0.043 | 0.247 | NA | 0.082 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 86 | 0.194 | 0.079 | 0.133 | 0.065 | 0.061 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 87 | 0.062 | 0.074 | NA | 0.069 | 0.102 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 88 | NA | 0.048 | 0.089 | 0.056 | 0.056 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 89 | NA | 0.034 | 0.107 | 0.040 | 0.053 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 90 | 0.084 | 0.091 | 0.113 | 0.038 | 0.063 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 91 | NA | 0.081 | 0.130 | 0.060 | NA |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base | 0.091 | 0.144 | 0.151 | 0.140 | 0.071 |  |  |  |  |  |


| TOTAL Year | MORTALI <br> BQR Age 4 |  |  | N RATE SRH Age 4 | INDEX URB Age 4 | Fishery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 1.088 | 1.181 | 1.102 | NA | 1.253 | 1.148 |
| 80 | 0.866 | 1.127 | 0.867 | NA | 0.983 | 0.967 |
| 81 | 0.974 | 1.148 | 0.939 | 1.143 | 1.130 | 1.066 |
| 82 | 1.072 | 0.544 | 1.092 | 0.857 | 0.635 | 0.847 |
| 83 | 1.074 | 1.003 | 0.812 | 0.709 | 1.035 | 0.901 |
| 84 | NA | 0.441 | 1.010 | 0.664 | 1.466 | 0.816 |
| 85 | NA | 0.302 | 1.642 | NA | 1.156 | 1.020 |
| 86 | 2.131 | 0.549 | 0.884 | 0.466 | 0.854 | 0.892 |
| 87 | 0.686 | 0.513 | NA | 0.493 | 1.430 | 0.688 |
| 88 | NA | 0.332 | 0.588 | 0.400 | 0.793 | 0.492 |
| 89 | NA | 0.236 | 0.711 | 0.287 | 0.746 | 0.463 |
| 90 | 0.919 | 0.634 | 0.748 | 0.272 | 0.887 | 0.651 |
| 91 | NA | 0.561 | 0.864 | 0.426 | NA | 0.622 |

Stock Identifiers
BQR = BIG QUALICUM
QUI $=$ QUINSAM
SRH = SALMON RIVER RBT $=$ ROBERTSON CREEK

## NORTH/CENTRAL B.C. TROLL (AGE 5) FISHERY INDEX



Fishery: North/Central B.C. Troll (Age 5)

| TOTAL Year | MORTALI <br> QUI <br> Age 5 |  |  | RATES URB Age 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 0.114 | 0.109 | NA | NA |
| 80 | 0.216 | 0.158 | NA | 0.071 |
| 81 | 0.185 | 0.265 | NA | 0.085 |
| 82 | 0.116 | 0.124 | 0.086 | NA |
| 83 | 0.214 | 0.080 | 0.099 | NA |
| 84 | 0.073 | 0.263 | 0.309 | NA |
| 85 | 0.036 | 0.208 | 0.245 | 0.075 |
| 86 | 0.082 | NA | NA | 0.083 |
| 87 | 0.121 | NA | 0.204 | 0.111 |
| 88 | 0.021 | NA | 0.190 | 0.094 |
| 89 | 0.035 | 0.169 | 0.193 | 0.196 |
| 90 | 0.047 | 0.104 | 0.241 | 0.112 |
| 91 | 0.085 | 0.210 | 0.211 | NA |
| Base | 0.158 | 0.164 | 0.086 | 0.078 |


| TOTAL <br> Year | MORTALI <br> QUI <br> Age 5 |  | SRH Age 5 | v Rate <br> URB <br> Age 5 | INDEX <br> Fishery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 0.723 | 0.667 | NA | NA | 0.694 |
| 80 | 1.372 | 0.961 | NA | 0.912 | 1.114 |
| 81 | 1.172 | 1.615 | NA | 1.088 | 1.338 |
| 82 | 0.733 | 0.757 | 1.000 | NA | 0.799 |
| 83 | 1.358 | 0.487 | 1.149 | NA | 0.964 |
| 84 | 0.461 | 1.601 | 3.576 | NA | 1.578 |
| 85 | 0.227 | 1.270 | 2.833 | 0.964 | 1.160 |
| 86 | 0.519 | NA | NA | 1.063 | 0.699 |
| 87 | 0.767 | NA | 2.359 | 1.425 | 1.353 |
| 88 | 0.133 | NA | 2.199 | 1.205 | 0.946 |
| 89 | 0.221 | 1.032 | 2.240 | 2.525 | 1.222 |
| 90 | 0.301 | 0.634 | 2.791 | 1.441 | 1.038 |
| 91 | 0.536 | 1.282 | 2.448 | NA | 1.241 |

Stock Identifiers

| QUI $=$ QUINSAM | SRH $=$ SALMON RIVER |
| :--- | :--- |
| RBT $=$ ROBERTSON CREEK | URB $=$ COL UPRIVER BRIGHT |

## NORTH B.C. TROLL (ALL AGES) FISHERY INDEX



Fishery: North B.C. Troll (All Ages)

| TOTAL <br> Year | MORTALI <br> AKS <br> Age 4 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RBT } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RBT } \\ \text { Age } 5 \end{array}$ | SRH Age 3 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SRH } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | SRH <br> Age 5 | URB <br> Age 3 | URB <br> Age 4 | URB Age 5 | WSH Age 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | NA | 0.021 | NA | 0.048 | 0.081 | 0.070 | NA | NA | NA | 0.009 | 0.055 | NA | NA |
| 80 | NA | 0.027 | 0.057 | 0.048 | 0.053 | 0.089 | 0.071 | NA | NA | 0.021 | 0.053 | 0.059 | 0.135 |
| 81 | NA | 0.068 | 0.076 | 0.033 | 0.089 | 0.191 | 0.115 | 0.153 | NA | NA | 0.067 | 0.073 | 0.106 |
| 82 | 0.005 | 0.028 | 0.029 | 0.044 | 0.109 | NA | 0.018 | 0.120 | 0.086 | 0.025 | 0.045 | NA | 0.031 |
| 83 | 0.014 | 0.040 | 0.083 | 0.048 | 0.064 | 0.059 | 0.036 | 0.093 | 0.097 | 0.029 | 0.062 | NA | 0.030 |
| 84 | 0.006 | 0.008 | 0.026 | 0.031 | 0.124 | 0.230 | NA | 0.084 | 0.270 | 0.017 | 0.090 | NA | 0.021 |
| 85 | 0.004 | 0.008 | 0.027 | 0.071 | 0.247 | 0.208 | 0.036 | NA | 0.245 | 0.019 | 0.079 | 0.075 | 0.021 |
| 86 | 0.009 | 0.029 | 0.038 | NA | 0.133 | NA | 0.011 | 0.065 | NA | 0.017 | 0.058 | 0.073 | NA |
| 87 | 0.003 | 0.015 | 0.033 | 0.036 | NA | NA | 0.029 | 0.069 | 0.204 | 0.029 | 0.092 | 0.107 | 0.021 |
| 88 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.036 | 0.025 | 0.079 | NA | NA | 0.056 | 0.157 | 0.016 | 0.052 | 0.090 | 0.033 |
| 89 | 0.004 | 0.016 | 0.023 | 0.030 | 0.102 | 0.154 | 0.019 | 0.040 | 0.193 | NA | 0.050 | 0.196 | 0.018 |
| 90 | 0.016 | 0.013 | 0.050 | 0.027 | 0.093 | 0.093 | 0.022 | 0.038 | 0.241 | NA | 0.058 | 0.105 | 0.016 |
| 91 | 0.002 | 0.009 | 0.024 | 0.035 | 0.100 | 0.170 | 0.027 | 0.059 | 0.207 | NA | NA | NA | 0.009 |
| Base | 0.005 | 0.036 | 0.054 | 0.043 | 0.083 | 0.117 | 0.068 | 0.136 | 0.086 | 0.019 | 0.055 | 0.066 | 0.091 |


| total <br> Year | MORTALI <br> AKS Age 4 |  |  | VATE RBT Age 3 | INDEX RBT Age 4 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RBT } \\ \text { Age } 5 \end{array}$ | SRH <br> Age 3 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SRH } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | SRH <br> Age 5 | URB <br> Age 3 | URB Age 4 | URB <br> Age 5 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WSH } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | Fishery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | NA | 0.576 | NA | 1.111 | 0.981 | 0.599 | NA | NA | NA | 0.512 | 1.009 | NA | NA | 0.809 |
| 80 | NA | 0.749 | 1.053 | 1.102 | 0.635 | 0.763 | 1.042 | NA | NA | 1.135 | 0.960 | 0.896 | 1.484 | 0.969 |
| 81 | NA | 1.893 | 1.411 | 0.761 | 1.073 | 1.638 | 1.688 | 1.120 | NA | NA | 1.212 | 1.104 | 1.172 | 1.296 |
| 82 | 1.000 | 0.782 | 0.536 | 1.026 | 1.311 | NA | 0.269 | 0.880 | 1.000 | 1.353 | 0.820 | NA | 0.344 | 0.800 |
| 83 | 2.855 | 1.120 | 1.535 | 1.104 | 0.768 | 0.510 | 0.532 | 0.682 | 1.149 | 1.542 | 1.129 | NA | 0.328 | 0.828 |
| 84 | 1.291 | 0.217 | 0.480 | 0.710 | 1.497 | 1.968 | NA | 0.616 | 3.133 | 0.913 | 1.634 | NA | 0.230 | 1.251 |
| 85 | 0.826 | 0.223 | 0.505 | 1.654 | 2.988 | 1.785 | 0.532 | NA | 2.833 | 1.019 | 1.444 | 1.136 | 0.233 | 1.442 |
| 86 | 1.889 | 0.816 | 0.709 | NA | 1.608 | NA | 0.168 | 0.479 | NA | 0.926 | 1.048 | 1.107 | NA | 0.833 |
| 87 | 0.518 | 0.421 | 0.607 | 0.844 | NA | NA | 0.428 | 0.507 | 2.359 | 1.584 | 1.668 | 1.619 | 0.235 | 0.968 |
| 88 | 2.076 | 0.284 | 0.660 | 0.589 | 0.955 | NA | NA | 0.411 | 1.823 | 0.842 | 0.943 | 1.364 | 0.362 | 0.837 |
| 89 | 0.805 | 0.449 | 0.418 | 0.698 | 1.233 | 1.318 | 0.277 | 0.294 | 2.240 | NA | 0.906 | 2.974 | 0.193 | 1.006 |
| 90 | 3.340 | 0.355 | 0.920 | 0.634 | 1.128 | 0.794 | 0.322 | 0.280 | 2.791 | NA | 1.054 | 1.591 | 0.181 | 0.920 |
| 91 | 0.438 | 0.262 | 0.438 | 0.817 | 1.203 | 1.452 | 0.400 | 0.432 | 2.394 | NA | NA | NA | 0.103 | 0.893 |

Stock Identifiers

```
AKS = ALASKA SPRING
QUI = QUINSAM
```

RBT $=$ ROBERTSON CREEK

URB = COLUMBIA UPRIVER BRIGHT
WSH = WILLAMETTE SPRING

## CENTRAL B.C. TROLL (ALL AGES) FISHERY INDEX



Fishery: Central B.C. Troll (All Ages)

| TOTAL <br> Year | MORTAL <br> BQR <br> Age 3 | TY EXPL QUI Age 4 | RBT <br> Age 3 | RATES RBT Age 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 0.072 | NA | 0.045 | 0.085 |
| 80 | 0.047 | 0.105 | 0.039 | 0.078 |
| 81 | 0.083 | 0.089 | 0.029 | 0.053 |
| 82 | 0.035 | 0.049 | 0.026 | 0.056 |
| 83 | NA | 0.061 | 0.033 | 0.059 |
| 84 | 0.037 | 0.038 | NA | 0.028 |
| 85 | 0.019 | 0.016 | NA | NA |
| 86 | 0.053 | 0.041 | NA | NA |
| 87 | NA | 0.041 | 0.016 | NA |
| 88 | NA | 0.012 | 0.010 | 0.009 |
| 89 | 0.003 | 0.011 | 0.005 | 0.005 |
| 90 | NA | 0.041 | 0.007 | 0.019 |
| 91 | 0.008 | 0.057 | 0.012 | 0.031 |
| Base | 0.059 | 0.081 | 0.035 | 0.068 |


| TOTAL <br> MORTALITY EXPLOITATION <br> BQR |  |  |  |  |  |  | RUI <br> RBT | RATE <br> RBT | INDEX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Fishery |  |  |  |  |
| 79 | 1.218 | NA | 1.289 | 1.249 | 1.246 |  |  |  |  |
| 80 | 0.792 | 1.297 | 1.133 | 1.151 | 1.110 |  |  |  |  |
| 81 | 1.395 | 1.096 | 0.833 | 0.776 | 1.042 |  |  |  |  |
| 82 | 0.595 | 0.607 | 0.744 | 0.824 | 0.684 |  |  |  |  |
| 83 | NA | 0.757 | 0.954 | 0.866 | 0.834 |  |  |  |  |
| 84 | 0.630 | 0.463 | NA | 0.416 | 0.495 |  |  |  |  |
| 85 | 0.314 | 0.199 | NA | NA | 0.247 |  |  |  |  |
| 86 | 0.894 | 0.502 | NA | NA | 0.667 |  |  |  |  |
| 87 | NA | 0.505 | 0.449 | NA | 0.488 |  |  |  |  |
| 88 | NA | 0.150 | 0.276 | 0.140 | 0.170 |  |  |  |  |
| 89 | 0.057 | 0.139 | 0.145 | 0.074 | 0.102 |  |  |  |  |
| 90 | NA | 0.511 | 0.209 | 0.285 | 0.370 |  |  |  |  |
| 91 | 0.136 | 0.703 | 0.337 | 0.450 | 0.442 |  |  |  |  |

Stock Identifiers
BQR = BIG QUALICUM
QUI = QUINSAM
RBT $=$ ROBERTSON CREEK

## WEST COAST VANCOUVER ISLAND TROLL (ALL AGES) FISHERY INDEX



Fishery: West Coast Vancouver Island Troll (All Ages)

| TOTAL Year | MORTALI <br> BON <br> Age 3 |  | CWF <br> Age 4 | GAD Age 3 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GAD } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | LRW Age 4 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RBT } \\ \text { Age } 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RBT } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | SAM <br> Age 3 | SAM Age 4 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPR } \\ \text { Age } 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPR } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPS } \\ \text { Age } 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPS } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { STP } \\ \text { Age } 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { STP } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { URB } \\ \text { Age } 3 \end{array}$ | URB <br> Age 4 | UWA Age 3 | UWA Age 4 | WSH <br> Age 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 0.222 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.035 | 0.074 | NA | 0.312 | 0.197 | 0.149 | NA | 0.256 | NA | NA | 0.045 | 0.091 | 0.070 | 0.167 | NA |
| 80 | 0.110 | 0.152 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.043 | 0.100 | NA | NA | 0.251 | 0.284 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.042 | 0.052 | 0.152 | 0.131 | 0.063 |
| 81 | 0.177 | 0.159 | 0.130 | 0.046 | NA | 0.060 | 0.020 | 0.026 | NA | NA | 0.188 | 0.170 | 0.050 | NA | 0.216 | NA | NA | 0.052 | 0.092 | 0.174 | 0.013 |
| 82 | 0.279 | 0.352 | 0.201 | 0.078 | 0.214 | 0.086 | 0.024 | 0.035 | 0.060 | NA | 0.191 | 0.247 | 0.106 | 0.242 | 0.204 | 0.194 | 0.033 | 0.028 | 0.141 | 0.220 | 0.039 |
| 83 | 0.340 | 0.328 | 0.230 | NA | 0.271 | 0.070 | 0.012 | 0.035 | NA | 0.198 | 0.287 | 0.208 | 0.124 | 0.261 | 0.284 | 0.341 | 0.010 | 0.021 | 0.088 | 0.209 | 0.006 |
| 84 | 0.279 | 0.584 | 0.216 | 0.118 | NA | NA | 0.052 | 0.053 | NA | NA | 0.249 | 0.317 | 0.111 | 0.230 | 0.367 | 0.390 | 0.023 | 0.061 | 0.201 | 0.160 | 0.024 |
| 85 | 0.263 | NA | 0.150 | NA | 0.177 | NA | 0.028 | NA | NA | NA | 0.112 | 0.243 | 0.058 | 0.162 | 0.187 | 0.154 | 0.022 | 0.049 | 0.103 | 0.223 | 0.015 |
| 86 | NA | NA | 0.210 | NA | NA | 0.032 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.213 | 0.159 | 0.065 | 0.265 | 0.174 | 0.152 | 0.040 | 0.057 | 0.100 | 0.241 | NA |
| 87 | 0.217 | NA | 0.138 | NA | NA | 0.105 | 0.014 | NA | NA | NA | 0.070 | NA | 0.071 | 0.148 | 0.230 | NA | 0.034 | 0.049 | 0.056 | 0.095 | 0.020 |
| 88 | NA | 0.266 | 0.154 | 0.034 | NA | 0.084 | 0.021 | 0.044 | 0.059 | NA | 0.200 | NA | 0.029 | 0.183 | 0.261 | 0.315 | 0.016 | 0.099 | NA | 0.175 | 0.019 |
| 89 | NA | NA | 0.092 | 0.024 | 0.108 | 0.044 | 0.008 | 0.021 | 0.021 | 0.085 | 0.116 | 0.094 | 0.035 | 0.092 | 0.052 | 0.110 | NA | 0.046 | NA | NA | 0.015 |
| 90 | NA | NA | 0.140 | 0.087 | 0.194 | 0.092 | 0.028 | 0.042 | 0.046 | 0.180 | 0.172 | 0.151 | 0.080 | 0.228 | 0.192 | 0.064 | NA | 0.081 | NA | NA | 0.024 |
| 91 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.202 | 0.057 | 0.025 | 0.041 | 0.029 | 0.139 | 0.096 | 0.128 | 0.047 | 0.123 | 0.108 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.004 |
| Base | 0.197 | 0.221 | 0.166 | 0.062 | 0.214 | 0.073 | 0.031 | 0.059 | 0.060 | 0.312 | 0.207 | 0.213 | 0.078 | 0.249 | 0.210 | 0.194 | 0.040 | 0.056 | 0.114 | 0.173 | 0.038 |


| TOTAL Year | MORTALI <br> BON <br> Age 3 |  |  | N RATE GAD Age 3 | INDEX <br> GAD Age 4 | LRW Age 4 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RBT } \\ \text { Age } 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RBT } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SAM } \\ \text { Age } 3 \end{array}$ | SAM <br> Age 4 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPR } \\ \text { Age } 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPR } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPS } \\ \text { Age } 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPS } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { STP } \\ \text { Age } 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { STP } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | URB <br> Age 3 | URB Age 4 | UWA Age 3 | UWA Age 4 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WSH } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | Fishery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 1.127 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 1.156 | 1.255 | NA | 1.000 | 0.951 | 0.701 | NA | 1.028 | NA | NA | 1.120 | 1.634 | 0.614 | 0.964 | NA | 0.981 |
| 80 | 0.558 | 0.687 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 1.399 | 1.709 | NA | NA | 1.216 | 1.336 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 1.060 | 0.928 | 1.333 | 0.757 | 1.639 | 1.024 |
| 81 | 0.899 | 0.718 | 0.787 | 0.738 | NA | 0.821 | 0.659 | 0.448 | NA | NA | 0.907 | 0.800 | 0.643 | NA | 1.030 | NA | NA | 0.934 | 0.810 | 1.008 | 0.331 | 0.830 |
| 82 | 1.416 | 1.595 | 1.213 | 1.262 | 1.000 | 1.179 | 0.787 | 0.588 | 1.000 | NA | 0.925 | 1.163 | 1.357 | 0.972 | 0.970 | 1.000 | 0.821 | 0.503 | 1.242 | 1.271 | 1.030 | 1.121 |
| 83 | 1.724 | 1.485 | 1.390 | NA | 1.266 | 0.958 | 0.400 | 0.600 | NA | 0.636 | 1.390 | 0.980 | 1.589 | 1.048 | 1.352 | 1.752 | 0.247 | 0.379 | 0.771 | 1.209 | 0.162 | 1.169 |
| 84 | 1.416 | 2.644 | 1.302 | 1.897 | NA | NA | 1.690 | 0.897 | NA | NA | 1.203 | 1.493 | 1.429 | 0.925 | 1.749 | 2.008 | 0.584 | 1.094 | 1.770 | 0.925 | 0.616 | 1.489 |
| 85 | 1.335 | NA | 0.904 | NA | 0.827 | NA | 0.907 | NA | NA | NA | 0.542 | 1.141 | 0.748 | 0.649 | 0.889 | 0.793 | 0.548 | 0.873 | 0.903 | 1.289 | 0.400 | 0.893 |
| 86 | NA | NA | 1.268 | NA | NA | 0.442 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 1.032 | 0.747 | 0.838 | 1.065 | 0.829 | 0.783 | 1.010 | 1.011 | 0.878 | 1.392 | NA | 0.964 |
| 87 | 1.100 | NA | 0.831 | NA | NA | 1.441 | 0.474 | NA | NA | NA | 0.339 | NA | 0.918 | 0.593 | 1.096 | NA | 0.843 | 0.870 | 0.489 | 0.548 | 0.534 | 0.765 |
| 88 | NA | 1.203 | 0.930 | 0.548 | NA | 1.154 | 0.690 | 0.754 | 0.985 | NA | 0.970 | NA | 0.369 | 0.735 | 1.245 | 1.622 | 0.407 | 1.767 | NA | 1.010 | 0.497 | 1.023 |
| 89 | NA | NA | 0.554 | 0.387 | 0.503 | 0.607 | 0.257 | 0.352 | 0.350 | 0.273 | 0.560 | 0.442 | 0.447 | 0.368 | 0.247 | 0.565 | NA | 0.814 | NA | NA | 0.384 | 0.432 |
| 90 | NA | NA | 0.844 | 1.404 | 0.908 | 1.263 | 0.921 | 0.712 | 0.759 | 0.575 | 0.830 | 0.710 | 1.031 | 0.917 | 0.914 | 0.330 | NA | 1.440 | NA | NA | 0.620 | 0.811 |
| 91 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.943 | 0.778 | 0.808 | 0.691 | 0.481 | 0.447 | 0.462 | 0.601 | 0.608 | 0.495 | 0.516 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.097 | 0.573 |

Stock Identifiers

BON $=$ BONNEVILLE TULE
CWF = COWLITZ FALL TULE
GAD $=\mathrm{G}$ ADAMS FALL FING

LRW = LEWIS RIVER WILD
RBT = ROBERTSON CREEK
SAM $=$ SAMISH FALL FING

SPR = SPRING CREEK TULE
SPS = SO SOUND FALL FING STP = STAYTON POND TULE

URB = COLUMBIA UPRIVER BRIGHT
UWA $=\mathrm{U}$ OF W FALL ACCEL
WSH = WILLAMETTE SPRING

## WEST COAST VANCOUVER ISLAND TROLL (AGE 3) <br> FISHERY INDEX



## Fishery: West Coast Vancouver Island Troll (Age 3)

| TOTAL Year | MORTALI <br> BON <br> Age 3 | TY EXPL <br> GAD Age 3 | RBT Age 3 | SAM Age 3 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPR } \\ \text { Age } 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPS } \\ \text { Age } 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { STP } \\ \text { Age } 3 \end{array}$ | URB <br> Age 3 | UWA Age 3 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WSH } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 0.222 | NA | 0.035 | NA | 0.197 | NA | NA | 0.045 | 0.070 | NA |
| 80 | 0.110 | NA | 0.043 | NA | 0.251 | NA | NA | 0.042 | 0.152 | 0.063 |
| 81 | 0.177 | 0.046 | 0.020 | NA | 0.188 | 0.050 | 0.216 | NA | 0.092 | 0.013 |
| 82 | 0.279 | 0.078 | 0.024 | 0.060 | 0.191 | 0.106 | 0.204 | 0.033 | 0.141 | 0.039 |
| 83 | 0.340 | NA | 0.012 | NA | 0.287 | 0.124 | 0.284 | 0.010 | 0.088 | 0.006 |
| 84 | 0.279 | 0.118 | 0.052 | NA | 0.249 | 0.111 | 0.367 | 0.023 | 0.201 | 0.024 |
| 85 | 0.263 | NA | 0.028 | NA | 0.112 | 0.058 | 0.187 | 0.022 | 0.103 | 0.015 |
| 86 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.213 | 0.065 | 0.174 | 0.040 | 0.100 | NA |
| 87 | 0.217 | NA | 0.014 | NA | 0.070 | 0.071 | 0.230 | 0.034 | 0.056 | 0.020 |
| 88 | NA | 0.034 | 0.021 | 0.059 | 0.200 | 0.029 | 0.261 | 0.016 | NA | 0.019 |
| 89 | NA | 0.024 | 0.008 | 0.021 | 0.116 | 0.035 | 0.052 | NA | NA | 0.015 |
| 90 | NA | 0.087 | 0.028 | 0.046 | 0.172 | 0.080 | 0.192 | NA | NA | 0.024 |
| 91 | NA | NA | 0.025 | 0.029 | 0.096 | 0.047 | 0.108 | NA | NA | 0.004 |
| Base | 0.197 | 0.062 | 0.031 | 0.060 | 0.207 | 0.078 | 0.210 | 0.040 | 0.114 | 0.038 |


| TOTAL <br> Year | MORTALI BON Age 3 |  | RBT Age 3 |  | INDEX SPR Age 3 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPS } \\ \text { Age } 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { STP } \\ \text { Age } 3 \end{array}$ | URB Age 3 | UWA Age 3 | WSH Age 4 | Fishery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 1.127 | NA | 1.156 | NA | 0.951 | NA | NA | 1.120 | 0.614 | NA | 0.967 |
| 80 | 0.558 | NA | 1.399 | NA | 1.216 | NA | NA | 1.060 | 1.333 | 1.639 | 1.055 |
| 81 | 0.899 | 0.738 | 0.659 | NA | 0.907 | 0.643 | 1.030 | NA | 0.810 | 0.331 | 0.856 |
| 82 | 1.416 | 1.262 | 0.787 | 1.000 | 0.925 | 1.357 | 0.970 | 0.821 | 1.242 | 1.030 | 1.115 |
| 83 | 1.724 | NA | 0.400 | NA | 1.390 | 1.589 | 1.352 | 0.247 | 0.771 | 0.162 | 1.259 |
| 84 | 1.416 | 1.897 | 1.690 | NA | 1.203 | 1.429 | 1.749 | 0.584 | 1.770 | 0.616 | 1.458 |
| 85 | 1.335 | NA | 0.907 | NA | 0.542 | 0.748 | 0.889 | 0.548 | 0.903 | 0.400 | 0.862 |
| 86 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 1.032 | 0.838 | 0.829 | 1.010 | 0.878 | NA | 0.915 |
| 87 | 1.100 | NA | 0.474 | NA | 0.339 | 0.918 | 1.096 | 0.843 | 0.489 | 0.534 | 0.780 |
| 88 | NA | 0.548 | 0.690 | 0.985 | 0.970 | 0.369 | 1.245 | 0.407 | NA | 0.497 | 0.882 |
| 89 | NA | 0.387 | 0.257 | 0.350 | 0.560 | 0.447 | 0.247 | NA | NA | 0.384 | 0.394 |
| 90 | NA | 1.404 | 0.921 | 0.759 | 0.830 | 1.031 | 0.914 | NA | NA | 0.620 | 0.917 |
| 91 | NA | NA | 0.808 | 0.481 | 0.462 | 0.608 | 0.516 | NA | NA | 0.097 | 0.495 |

Stock Identifiers

BON $=$ BONNEVILLE TULE
GAD $=$ G ADAMS FALL FING
RBT $=$ ROBERTSON CREEK

SAM $=$ SAMISH FALL FING SPR $=$ SPRING CREEK TULE SPS $=$ SO SOUND FALL FING

STP = STAYTON POND TULE
URB $=$ COLUMBIA UPRIVER BRIGHT
$U W A=U$ OF W FALL ACCEL WSH = WILLAMETTE SPRING

## WEST COAST VANCOUVER ISLAND TROLL (AGE 4) FISHERY INDEX



Fishery: West Coast Vancouver Island Troll (Age 4)

| TOTAL <br> Year | MORTAL <br> BON <br> Age 4 | TY EXPL CWF Age 4 | OITATIO <br> GAD <br> Age 4 | N RATES <br> LRW <br> Age 4 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RBT } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SAM } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPR } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPS } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { STP } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | URB Age 4 | UWA Age 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.074 | 0.312 | 0.149 | 0.256 | NA | 0.091 | 0.167 |
| 80 | 0.152 | NA | NA | NA | 0.100 | NA | 0.284 | NA | NA | 0.052 | 0.131 |
| 81 | 0.159 | 0.130 | NA | 0.060 | 0.026 | NA | 0.170 | NA | NA | 0.052 | 0.174 |
| 82 | 0.352 | 0.201 | 0.214 | 0.086 | 0.035 | NA | 0.247 | 0.242 | 0.194 | 0.028 | 0.220 |
| 83 | 0.328 | 0.230 | 0.271 | 0.070 | 0.035 | 0.198 | 0.208 | 0.261 | 0.341 | 0.021 | 0.209 |
| 84 | 0.584 | 0.216 | NA | NA | 0.053 | NA | 0.317 | 0.230 | 0.390 | 0.061 | 0.160 |
| 85 | NA | 0.150 | 0.177 | NA | NA | NA | 0.243 | 0.162 | 0.154 | 0.049 | 0.223 |
| 86 | NA | 0.210 | NA | 0.032 | NA | NA | 0.159 | 0.265 | 0.152 | 0.057 | 0.241 |
| 87 | NA | 0.138 | NA | 0.105 | NA | NA | NA | 0.148 | NA | 0.049 | 0.095 |
| 88 | 0.266 | 0.154 | NA | 0.084 | 0.044 | NA | NA | 0.183 | 0.315 | 0.099 | 0.175 |
| 89 | NA | 0.092 | 0.108 | 0.044 | 0.021 | 0.085 | 0.094 | 0.092 | 0.110 | 0.046 | NA |
| 90 | NA | 0.140 | 0.194 | 0.092 | 0.042 | 0.180 | 0.151 | 0.228 | 0.064 | 0.081 | NA |
| 91 | NA | NA | 0.202 | 0.057 | 0.041 | 0.139 | 0.128 | 0.123 | NA | NA | NA |
| Base | 0.221 | 0.166 | 0.214 | 0.073 | 0.059 | 0.312 | 0.213 | 0.249 | 0.194 | 0.056 | 0.173 |


| TOTAL Year | MORTAL BON Age 4 |  | GAD Age 4 | vate <br> LRW Age 4 | INDEX RBT Age 4 | SAM Age 4 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPR } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPS } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { STP } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | URB <br> Age 4 | UWA Age 4 | Fishery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 1.255 | 1.000 | 0.701 | 1.028 | NA | 1.634 | 0.964 | 0.988 |
| 80 | 0.687 | NA | NA | NA | 1.709 | NA | 1.336 | NA | NA | 0.928 | 0.757 | 0.997 |
| 81 | 0.718 | 0.787 | NA | 0.821 | 0.448 | NA | 0.800 | NA | NA | 0.934 | 1.008 | 0.804 |
| 82 | 1.595 | 1.213 | 1.000 | 1.179 | 0.588 | NA | 1.163 | 0.972 | 1.000 | 0.503 | 1.271 | 1.125 |
| 83 | 1.485 | 1.390 | 1.266 | 0.958 | 0.600 | 0.636 | 0.980 | 1.048 | 1.752 | 0.379 | 1.209 | 1.126 |
| 84 | 2.644 | 1.302 | NA | NA | 0.897 | NA | 1.493 | 0.925 | 2.008 | 1.094 | 0.925 | 1.512 |
| 85 | NA | 0.904 | 0.827 | NA | NA | NA | 1.141 | 0.649 | 0.793 | 0.873 | 1.289 | 0.915 |
| 86 | NA | 1.268 | NA | 0.442 | NA | NA | 0.747 | 1.065 | 0.783 | 1.011 | 1.392 | 0.993 |
| 87 | NA | 0.831 | NA | 1.441 | NA | NA | NA | 0.593 | NA | 0.870 | 0.548 | 0.745 |
| 88 | 1.203 | 0.930 | NA | 1.154 | 0.754 | NA | NA | 0.735 | 1.622 | 1.767 | 1.010 | 1.109 |
| 89 | NA | 0.554 | 0.503 | 0.607 | 0.352 | 0.273 | 0.442 | 0.368 | 0.565 | 0.814 | NA | 0.450 |
| 90 | NA | 0.844 | 0.908 | 1.263 | 0.712 | 0.575 | 0.710 | 0.917 | 0.330 | 1.440 | NA | 0.763 |
| 91 | NA | NA | 0.943 | 0.778 | 0.691 | 0.447 | 0.601 | 0.495 | NA | NA | NA | 0.616 |

Stock Identifiers

BON = BONNEVILLE TULE
CWF $=$ COWLITZ FALL TULE
GAD $=\mathrm{G}$ ADAMS FALL FING

LRW = LEWIS RIVER WILD
RBT = ROBERTSON CREEK SAM $=$ SAMISH FALL FING

SPR = SPRING CREEK TULE SPS $=$ SO SOUND FALL FING STP $=$ STAYTON POND TULE

URB $=$ COL UPRIVER BRIGH UWA $=\mathrm{U}$ OF W FALL ACCEL

## STRAIT OF GEORGIA TROLL \& SPORT (ALL AGES) FISHERY INDEX



Fishery: Strait of Georgia Troll and Sport (All Ages)

| TOTAL Year | MORTAL BQR Age 3 |  | QUI Age 5 | SAM Age 3 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SAM } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPS } \\ \text { Age } 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPS } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | UWA Age 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 0.228 | 0.179 | 0.060 | NA | 0.094 | NA | 0.060 | 0.041 |
| 80 | 0.296 | 0.316 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.042 |
| 81 | 0.295 | 0.433 | 0.254 | NA | NA | 0.091 | NA | 0.032 |
| 82 | 0.155 | 0.160 | 0.143 | 0.107 | NA | 0.067 | 0.085 | 0.022 |
| 83 | 0.319 | 0.256 | 0.086 | NA | 0.103 | 0.030 | 0.015 | 0.034 |
| 84 | 0.389 | NA | 0.038 | NA | NA | 0.058 | 0.054 | 0.054 |
| 85 | 0.164 | 0.074 | 0.045 | NA | NA | NA | 0.053 | 0.031 |
| 86 | 0.240 | 0.200 | 0.047 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.024 |
| 87 | 0.151 | 0.250 | 0.015 | NA | NA | 0.065 | NA | 0.035 |
| 88 | 0.201 | 0.189 | 0.049 | 0.054 | NA | 0.015 | NA | NA |
| 89 | 0.193 | 0.302 | 0.060 | 0.071 | 0.158 | 0.019 | 0.037 | NA |
| 90 | 0.188 | 0.183 | 0.016 | 0.050 | 0.122 | 0.018 | 0.038 | NA |
| 91 | 0.218 | 0.316 | 0.008 | 0.137 | 0.062 | 0.015 | 0.027 | NA |
| Base | 0.244 | 0.272 | 0.152 | 0.107 | 0.094 | 0.079 | 0.073 | 0.035 |


| TOTAL <br> Year | MORTALI <br> BQR <br> Age 3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { TY EXPL } \\ \text { BQR } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | INDEX SAM Age 4 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPS } \\ \text { Age } 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPS } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | UWA Age 3 | Fishery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 0.936 | 0.660 | 0.394 | NA | 1.000 | NA | 0.828 | 1.181 | 0.762 |
| 80 | 1.215 | 1.161 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 1.231 | 1.189 |
| 81 | 1.211 | 1.591 | 1.666 | NA | NA | 1.155 | NA | 0.939 | 1.414 |
| 82 | 0.638 | 0.588 | 0.940 | 1.000 | NA | 0.845 | 1.172 | 0.650 | 0.770 |
| 83 | 1.308 | 0.940 | 0.563 | NA | 1.095 | 0.385 | 0.204 | 0.989 | 0.889 |
| 84 | 1.595 | NA | 0.253 | NA | NA | 0.737 | 0.743 | 1.558 | 1.019 |
| 85 | 0.675 | 0.271 | 0.298 | NA | NA | NA | 0.729 | 0.910 | 0.474 |
| 86 | 0.984 | 0.736 | 0.310 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.690 | 0.727 |
| 87 | 0.619 | 0.918 | 0.097 | NA | NA | 0.820 | NA | 1.003 | 0.658 |
| 88 | 0.826 | 0.696 | 0.321 | 0.501 | NA | 0.195 | NA | NA | 0.595 |
| 89 | 0.791 | 1.109 | 0.397 | 0.663 | 1.682 | 0.239 | 0.514 | NA | 0.823 |
| 90 | 0.772 | 0.672 | 0.104 | 0.468 | 1.291 | 0.231 | 0.519 | NA | 0.602 |
| 91 | 0.894 | 1.161 | 0.051 | 1.284 | 0.660 | 0.185 | 0.373 | NA | 0.767 |

Stock Identifiers
BQR $=$ BIG QUALICUM $\quad$ SPS $=$ SO SOUND FALL FING
SAM $=$ SAMISH FALL FING

## STRAIT OF GEORGIA TROLL \& SPORT <br> (AGE 3) <br> FISHERY INDEX



Fishery: Strait of Georgia Troll and Sport (Age 3)

| total <br> Year | MORTALI <br> BQR <br> Age 3 | TY EXPL <br> SAM <br> Age 3 | ITTATION SPS Age 3 | RATES UWA Age 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 0.228 | NA | NA | 0.041 |
| 80 | 0.296 | NA | NA | 0.042 |
| 81 | 0.295 | NA | 0.091 | 0.032 |
| 82 | 0.155 | 0.107 | 0.067 | 0.022 |
| 83 | 0.319 | NA | 0.030 | 0.034 |
| 84 | 0.389 | NA | 0.058 | 0.054 |
| 85 | 0.164 | NA | NA | 0.031 |
| 86 | 0.240 | NA | NA | 0.024 |
| 87 | 0.151 | NA | 0.065 | 0.035 |
| 88 | 0.201 | 0.054 | 0.015 | NA |
| 89 | 0.193 | 0.071 | 0.019 | NA |
| 90 | 0.188 | 0.050 | 0.018 | NA |
| 91 | 0.218 | 0.137 | 0.015 | NA |
| Base | 0.244 | 0.107 | 0.079 | 0.035 |


| TOTAL <br> Year | MORTALI <br> BQR <br> Age 3 |  | OITATION SPS Age 3 | N RATE <br> UWA <br> Age 3 | INDEX <br> Fishery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 0.936 | NA | NA | 1.181 | 0.967 |
| 80 | 1.215 | NA | NA | 1.231 | 1.217 |
| 81 | 1.211 | NA | 1.155 | 0.939 | 1.172 |
| 82 | 0.638 | 1.000 | 0.845 | 0.650 | 0.757 |
| 83 | 1.308 | NA | 0.385 | 0.989 | 1.073 |
| 84 | 1.595 | NA | 0.737 | 1.558 | 1.402 |
| 85 | 0.675 | NA | NA | 0.910 | 0.704 |
| 86 | 0.984 | NA | NA | 0.690 | 0.947 |
| 87 | 0.619 | NA | 0.820 | 1.003 | 0.700 |
| 88 | 0.826 | 0.501 | 0.195 | NA | 0.629 |
| 89 | 0.791 | 0.663 | 0.239 | NA | 0.658 |
| 90 | 0.772 | 0.468 | 0.231 | NA | 0.597 |
| 91 | 0.894 | 1.284 | 0.185 | NA | 0.861 |

stock Identifiers

[^4]
## STRAIT OF GEORGIA TROLL \& SPORT (AGE 4) <br> FISHERY INDEX



Fishery: Strait of Georgia Troll and Sport (Age 4)

| TOTAL Year | MORTALI <br> BQR <br> Age 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { TY EXPL } \\ \text { SAM } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{gathered}$ | RATES SPS Age 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 0.179 | 0.094 | 0.060 |  |
| 80 | 0.316 | NA | NA |  |
| 81 | 0.433 | NA | NA |  |
| 82 | 0.160 | NA | 0.085 |  |
| 83 | 0.256 | 0.103 | 0.015 |  |
| 84 | NA | NA | 0.054 |  |
| 85 | 0.074 | NA | 0.053 |  |
| 86 | 0.200 | NA | NA |  |
| 87 | 0.250 | NA | NA |  |
| 88 | 0.189 | NA | NA |  |
| 89 | 0.302 | 0.158 | 0.037 |  |
| 90 | 0.183 | 0.122 | 0.038 |  |
| 91 | 0.316 | 0.062 | 0.027 |  |
| Base | 0.272 | 0.094 | 0.073 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TY EXPL } \\ & \text { SAM } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Year | Age 4 | Age 4 | Age 4 | Fishery |
| 79 | 0.660 | 1.000 | 0.828 | 0.761 |
| 80 | 1.161 | NA | NA | 1.161 |
| 81 | 1.591 | NA | NA | 1.591 |
| 82 | 0.588 | NA | 1.172 | 0.711 |
| 83 | 0.940 | 1.095 | 0.204 | 0.851 |
| 84 | NA | NA | 0.743 | 0.743 |
| 85 | 0.271 | NA | 0.729 | 0.367 |
| 86 | 0.736 | NA | NA | 0.736 |
| 87 | 0.918 | NA | NA | 0.918 |
| 88 | 0.696 | NA | NA | 0.696 |
| 89 | 1.109 | 1.682 | 0.514 | 1.133 |
| 90 | 0.672 | 1.291 | 0.519 | 0.779 |
| 91 | 1.161 | 0.660 | 0.373 | 0.923 |

Stock Identifiers
$B Q R=B I G$ QUALICUM
SAM = SAMISH FALL FING
SPS $=$ SO SOUND FALL FING

## STRAIT OF GEORGIA TROLL (ALL AGES) <br> FISHERY INDEX



Fishery: Strait of Georgia Troll (All Ages)

| TOTAL <br> MORTALITY EXPL <br> BQR <br> Age 3 |  |  |  |  | RATES <br> SAg 3 | SAM <br> Age 4 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 0.143 | NA | 0.059 |  |  |  |
| 80 | 0.149 | NA | NA |  |  |  |
| 81 | 0.113 | NA | NA |  |  |  |
| 82 | 0.076 | 0.017 | NA |  |  |  |
| 83 | 0.159 | NA | 0.010 |  |  |  |
| 84 | 0.125 | NA | NA |  |  |  |
| 85 | 0.019 | NA | NA |  |  |  |
| 86 | 0.061 | NA | NA |  |  |  |
| 87 | 0.031 | NA | NA |  |  |  |
| 88 | 0.010 | NA | NA |  |  |  |
| 89 | 0.013 | 0.005 | NA |  |  |  |
| 90 | 0.055 | NA | 0.023 |  |  |  |
| 91 | 0.040 | NA | NA |  |  |  |
| Base | 0.120 | 0.017 | 0.059 |  |  |  |


| TOTAL <br> Year | MORTAL BQR Age 3 |  | RATE SAM Age 4 | NDEX <br> Fishery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 1.190 | NA | 1.000 | 1.128 |
| 80 | 1.238 | NA | NA | 1.238 |
| 81 | 0.937 | NA | NA | 0.937 |
| 82 | 0.634 | 1.000 | NA | 0.680 |
| 83 | 1.327 | NA | 0.169 | 0.945 |
| 84 | 1.038 | NA | NA | 1.038 |
| 85 | 0.154 | NA | NA | 0.154 |
| 86 | 0.507 | NA | NA | 0.507 |
| 87 | 0.256 | NA | NA | 0.256 |
| 88 | 0.082 | NA | NA | 0.082 |
| 89 | 0.111 | 0.289 | NA | 0.134 |
| 90 | 0.457 | NA | 0.389 | 0.435 |
| 91 | 0.335 | NA | NA | 0.335 |

Stock Identifiers
BQR $=$ BIG QUALICLM
SAM = SAMISH FALL FING

## STRAIT OF GEORGIA SPORT (ALL AGES) <br> FISHERY INDEX



Fishery: Strait of Georgia Sport (All Ages)

| TOTAL Year | MORTALIT <br> BQR <br> Age 3 |  |  | N RATES SAM Age 3 | SAM Age 4 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPS } \\ \text { Age } 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPS } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | UWA Age 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 0.085 | 0.119 | 0.060 | NA | 0.035 | NA | 0.051 | 0.026 |
| 80 | 0.147 | 0.244 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.040 |
| 81 | 0.183 | 0.356 | 0.228 | NA | NA | 0.085 | NA | 0.028 |
| 82 | 0.079 | 0.087 | 0.143 | 0.090 | NA | 0.062 | 0.051 | 0.022 |
| 83 | 0.159 | 0.214 | 0.086 | NA | 0.093 | 0.029 | 0.014 | 0.024 |
| 84 | 0.264 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.049 | 0.054 | 0.048 |
| 85 | 0.146 | 0.074 | 0.045 | NA | NA | NA | 0.049 | 0.031 |
| 86 | 0.179 | 0.197 | 0.047 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.024 |
| 87 | 0.120 | 0.246 | 0.015 | NA | NA | 0.065 | NA | 0.025 |
| 88 | 0.191 | 0.149 | 0.049 | 0.052 | NA | 0.015 | NA | NA |
| 89 | 0.179 | 0.302 | 0.060 | 0.066 | 0.158 | 0.018 | 0.033 | NA |
| 90 | 0.133 | 0.183 | 0.016 | 0.025 | 0.099 | 0.014 | 0.035 | NA |
| 91 | 0.178 | 0.316 | 0.008 | 0.116 | 0.052 | 0.011 | 0.027 | NA |
| Base | 0.124 | 0.201 | 0.144 | 0.090 | 0.035 | 0.073 | 0.051 | 0.029 |


| TOTAL Year | MORTAL BQR Age 3 |  |  | RATE SAM Age 3 | INDEX SAM Age 4 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPS } \\ \text { Age } 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPS } \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ | UWA Age 3 | Fishery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 0.689 | 0.590 | 0.417 | NA | 1.000 | NA | 1.001 | 0.904 | 0.645 |
| 80 | 1.193 | 1.210 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 1.366 | 1.216 |
| 81 | 1.477 | 1.770 | 1.586 | NA | NA | 1.156 | NA | 0.977 | 1.541 |
| 82 | 0.641 | 0.431 | 0.996 | 1.000 | NA | 0.844 | 0.999 | 0.753 | 0.750 |
| 83 | 1.291 | 1.064 | 0.596 | NA | 2.652 | 0.393 | 0.277 | 0.818 | 0.942 |
| 84 | 2.138 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.669 | 1.052 | 1.659 | 1.498 |
| 85 | 1.181 | 0.366 | 0.315 | NA | NA | NA | 0.954 | 1.082 | 0.629 |
| 86 | 1.448 | 0.978 | 0.328 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.820 | 0.898 |
| 87 | 0.972 | 1.223 | 0.103 | NA | NA | 0.885 | NA | 0.878 | 0.826 |
| 88 | 1.549 | 0.738 | 0.340 | 0.585 | NA | 0.199 | NA | NA | 0.722 |
| 89 | 1.452 | 1.498 | 0.421 | 0.735 | 4.511 | 0.239 | 0.646 | NA | 1.137 |
| 90 | 1.078 | 0.907 | 0.110 | 0.274 | 2.809 | 0.187 | 0.673 | NA | 0.701 |
| 91 | 1.439 | 1.568 | 0.054 | 1.290 | 1.484 | 0.152 | 0.528 | NA | 0.985 |

Stock Identifiers
BQR = BIG QUALICUM
SAM $=$ SAMISH FALL FING
SPS $=$ SO SOUND FALL FING UWA $=\mathrm{U}$ OF W FALL ACCEL

## APPENDIX E

## Brood Year Ocean Exploitation Rate Figures

Page
Alaska Spring ..... E-1
Robertson Creek ..... E-2
Quinsam ..... E-2
Big Qualicum ..... E-3
South Puget Sound Fall Yearling ..... E-4
Squaxin Pens Fall Yearling ..... E-4
University of Washington Accelerated ..... E-5
Samish Fall Fingerling ..... E-5
George Adams Fall Fingerling ..... E-6
South Puget Sound Fall Fingerling ..... E-6
Skagit Spring Yearling ..... E-7
Nooksack Spring Yearling ..... E-7
Quilcene Spring Yearling ..... E-8
White River Spring Yearling ..... E-8
Sooes Fall Fingerling ..... E-9
Cowlitz Tule ..... E-10
Spring Creek Tule ..... E-10
Bonneville Tule ..... E-11
Stayton Pond Tule ..... E-11
Columbia River Upriver Bright ..... E-12
Hanford Wild ..... E-12
Lewis River Wild ..... E-13
Lyons Ferry ..... E-13
Willamette Spring ..... E-14
Salmon River ..... E-14

## ALASKA SPRING <br> BROOD YEAR OCEAN EXPLOITATION RATE




## QUINSAM <br> BROOD YEAR OCEAN EXPLOITATION RATE




## SOUTH PUGET SOUND FALL YEARLING BROOD YEAR OCEAN EXPLOITATION RATE



Reported Catch 唖Incidental Mortality
*1987 Brood Year is incomplete

## SQUAXIN PENS FALL YEARLING BROOD YEAR OCEAN EXPLOITATION RATE



# UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON ACCELERATED BROOD YEAR OCEAN EXPLOITATION RATE 



SAMISH FALL FINGERLING BROOD YEARD OCEAN EXPLOITATION RATE



Reported Catch 圕Incidental Mortality
*1987 Brood Year is incomplete

## SOUTH PUGET SOUND FALL FINGERLING BROOD YEAR OCEAN EXPLOITATION RATE



## SKAGIT SPRING YEARLING BROOD YEAR OCEAN EXPLOITATION RATE



NOOKSACK SPRING YEARLING BROOD YEAR OCEAN EXPLOITATION RATE


*1987 Brood Year is incomplete
E-7

## QUILCENE SPRING YEARLING BROOD YEAR OCEAN EXPLOITATION RATE



## WHITE RIVER SPRING YEARLING BROOD YEAR OCEAN EXPLOITATION RATE



Reported Catch 畺Incidental Mortality
*1987 Brood Year is incomplete
E-8



Reported Catch 苗Incidental Mortality
*1987 Brood Year is incomplete

## SPRING CREEK TULE BROOD YEAR OCEAN EXPLOITATION RATE




Reported Catch Incidental Mortality

## STAYTON POND TULE BROOD YEAR OCEAN EXPLOITATION RATE



## COLUMBIA RIVER UPRIVER BRIGHT BROOD YEAR OCEAN EXPLOITATION RATE



## HANFORD WILD <br> BROOD YEAR OCEAN EXPLOITATION RATE



Reported Catch Incidental Mortality
*1987 Brood Year is incomplete


## LYONS FERRY <br> BROOD YEAR OCEAN EXPLOITATION RATE



Reported Catch Incidental Mortality
*1987 Brood Year is incomplete

## WILLAMETTE SPRING <br> BROOD YEAR OCEAN EXPLOITATION RATE



Reported Catch 圖Incidental Mortality
*1986 Brood Year is incomplete

## SALMON RIVER <br> BROOD YEAR OCEAN EXPLOITATION RATE



Reported Catch 圖Incidental Mortality
*1987 Brood Year is incomplete

## APPENDIX F

## Survival Rate Figures

Page
Alaska Spring ..... F-1
Kitimat ..... F-1
Snootli Creek ..... F-2
Robertson Creek ..... F-2
Quinsam ..... F-3
Big Qualicum ..... F-3
Chehalis (Harrison Stock) ..... F-4
Chilliwack (Harrison Stock) ..... F-4
Squaxin Pens Fall Yearling ..... F-5
South Puget Sound Fall Yearling ..... F-5
University of Washington Accelerated ..... F-6
George Adams Fall Fingerling ..... F-6
Samish Fall Fingerling ..... F-7
Lummi Ponds Fall Fingerling ..... F-7
Stillaguamish Fall Fingerling ..... F-8
Tulalip Fall Fingerling ..... F-8
South Puget Sound Fall Fingerling ..... F-9
Kalama Creek Fall Fingerling ..... F-9
Elwha Fall Fingerling ..... F-10
Hoko Fall Fingerling ..... F-10
Nooksack Spring Yearling ..... F-11
Skookum Spring Fingerling ..... F-11
Skagit Spring Yearling ..... F-12
White River Spring Yearling ..... F-12
Quilcene Spring Yearling ..... F-13
Quillayute Summers ..... F-13
Sooes Fall Fingerling ..... F-14
Queets Fall Fingerling ..... F-14
Quinault Fall Fingerling ..... F-15
Humptulips Fall Fingerling ..... F-15
Cowlitz Tule ..... F-16
Spring Creek Tule ..... F-16
Bonneville Tule ..... F-17
Stayton Pond Tule ..... F-17
Hanford Wild Brights ..... F-18
Columbia River Upriver Bright ..... F-18
Lewis River Wild ..... F-19
Lyons Ferry ..... F-19
Willamette Spring ..... F-20
Salmon River ..... F-20

## ALASKA SPRING

 INDEX OF SURVIVAL

-     - -- 3 Year Old Index 4 Year Old Index


## KITIMAT

INDEX OF SURVIVAL


$$
\mathrm{F}-1
$$

SNOOTLI CREEK INDEX OF SURVIVAL


ROBERTSON CREEK INDEX OF SURVIVAL.


## QUINSAM

INDEX OF SURVIVAL


BIG QUALICUM INDEX OF SURVIVAL


- --- 2 Year Old Index $->3$ Year Old Index

$$
\mathrm{F}-3
$$

## CHEHALIS (HARRISON STOCK) index of survival



CHILLIWACK INDEX OF SURVIVAL


F-4

## SQUAXIN PENS FALL YEARLING INDEX OF SURVIVAL


--日- 2 Year Old Index $-x-3$ Year Old Index

## SOUTH PUGET SOUND FALL YEARLING INDEX OF SURVIVAL



## UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON ACCELERATED INDEX OF SURVIVAL



- -- 2 Year Old Index $\rightarrow 3$ Year Old Index


## GEORGE ADAMS FALL FINGERLING INDEX OF SURVIVAL



## SAMISH FALL FINGERLING INDEX OF SURVIVAL


--日-- 2 Year Old Index $\rightarrow 3$ Year Old Index

LUMMI PONDS FALL FINGERLING INDEX OF SURVIVAL


## STILLAGUAMISH FALL FINGERLING INDEX OF SURVIVAL



TULALIP FALL FINGERLING INDEX OF SURVIVAL

F-8

## SOUTH PUGET SOUND FALL FINGERLING INDEX OF SURVIVAL


--日- 2 Year Old Index $\rightarrow-3$ Year Old Index

KALAMA CREEK FALL FINGERLING index of survival


## ELWHA FALL FINGERLING INDEX OF SURVIVAL



HOKO FALL FINGERLING INDEX OF SURVIVAL


F-10

NOOKSACK SPRING YEARLING INDEX OF SURVIVAL


## SKOOKUM SPRING FINGERLING <br> INDEX OF SURVIVAL



## SKAGIT SPRING YEARLING INDEX OF SURVIVAL



- -- 2 Year Old Index $\quad x-3$ Year Old Index

WHITE RIVER SPRING YEARLING INDEX OF SURVIVAL


## QUILCENE SPRING YEARLING INDEX OF SURVIVAL



QUILLAYUTE SUMMERS INDEX OF SURVIVAL

--日- 2 Year Old Index $\rightarrow-3$ Year Old Index

## SOOES FALL FINGERLING INDEX OF SURVIVAL



QUEETS FALL FINGERLING INDEX OF SURVIVAL


F-14

## QUINAULT FALL FINGERLING INDEX OF SURVIVAL



HUMPTULIPS FALL FINGERLING INDEX OF SURVIVAL

--- 2 Year Old Index - - 3 Year Old Index

COWLITZ TULE
INDEX OF SURVIVAL

--a-- 2 Year Old Index
3 Year Old Index

## SPRING CREEK TULE INDEX OF SURVIVAL


---- 2 Year Old Index $\rightarrow-3$ Year Old Index

## BONNEVILLE TULE INDEX OF SURVIVAL



-     - 2 Year Old Index $-x$ - 3 Year OId Index


## STAYTON POND TULE INDEX OF SURVIVAL



## HANFORD WILD BRIGHTS INDEX OF SURVIVAL



COLUMBIA RIVER UPRIVER BRIGHT INDEX OF SURVIVAL



LYONS FERRY
INDEX OF SURVIVAL

--- 2 Year Old Index $\quad-3$ Year Old Index

## WILLAMETTE SPRING INDEX OF SURVIVAL



## SALMON RIVER

 INDEX OF SURVIVAL

## APPENDIX G

## Annual Distribution of Reported Catch and Total Fishing Mortality <br> by Stock

Page
Alaska Spring ..... G-1
Snootli Creek ..... G-2
Kitimat River ..... G-3
Robertson Creek ..... G-4
Quinsam ..... G-5
Big Qualicum ..... G-6
Chehalis (Harrison Stock) Fingerling ..... G-7
Chilliwack (Harrison Stock) Fingerling ..... G-8
South Puget Sound Fall Yearling ..... G-9
Squaxin Pens Fall Yearling ..... G-10
University of Washington Accelerated ..... G-11
Samish Fall Fingerling ..... G-12
Lummi Ponds Fall Fingerling ..... G-13
Stillaguamish Fall Fingerling ..... G-14
Tulalip Fall Fingerling ..... G-15
George Adams Fall Fingerling ..... G-16
South Puget Sound Fall Fingerling ..... G-17
Kalama Creek Fall Fingerling ..... G-18
Elwha Fall Fingerling ..... G-19
Hoko Fall Fingerling ..... G-20
Skagit Spring Yearling ..... G-21
Nooksack Spring Yearling ..... G-22
Skookum Spring Fingerling ..... G-23
Quilcene Spring Yearling ..... G-24
White River Spring Yearling ..... G-25
Sooes Fall Fingerling ..... G-26
Quinault Fall Fingerling ..... G-27
Queets Fall Fingerling ..... G-28
Humptulips Fall Fingerling ..... G-29
Quillayute Summers ..... G-30
Cowlitz Tule ..... G-31
Spring Creek Tule ..... G-32
Bonneville Tule ..... G-33
Stayton Pond Tule ..... G-34
Columbia River Upriver Bright ..... G-35
Hanford Wild ..... G-36
Lewis River Wild ..... G-37
Lyons Ferry ..... G-38
Willamette Spring ..... G-39
Salmon River ..... G-40

## Stock: Alaska Spring

Reported Catch Only

| Catch Year | $\begin{array}{r} ---F i s h \\ \text { All } \\ \text { Alaska } \end{array}$ | heries with All Nth/Cent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ceilings } \\ & \text { WCVI } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Geo St } \end{aligned}$ | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{S}_{\text {。 }}$ Net | Other U. $\mathbf{S}_{\text {。 }}$ Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 83 | 93.5\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 84 | 94.1\% | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 85 | 95.2\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 86 | 95.8\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 87 | 97.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 88 | 95.9\% | 4.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 89 | 95.5\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 90 | 95.1\% | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 91 | 97.7\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| (83-91) | 95.5\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| (85-91) | 96.0\% | 4.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |

Total Mortalities

| Catch Year | $\begin{gathered} \cdots-F i s h \\ \text { All } \\ \text { Alaska } \end{gathered}$ | heries with All Nth/Cent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ceilings } \\ & \text { WCVI } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Geo St } \end{aligned}$ | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 83 | 94.5\% | 5.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 84 | 95.7\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 85 | 96.6\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 86 | 97.1\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 87 | 98.2\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 88 | 96.7\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 89 | 96.5\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 90 | 95.7\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 91 | 98.3\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| (83-91) | 96.6\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| (85-91) | 97.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |

## Stock: Snootli Crk

Reported Catch Only

| Catch Year | $\begin{gathered} --- \text { Fishe } \\ \text { All } \\ \text { Alaska } \end{gathered}$ | heries with All Nth/Cent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ceillings. } \\ & \text { WCVI } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | Total Geo St | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Spors | Other U.S. Trol! | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 55.6\% | 15.9\% | 0.0\% | 17.8\% | 10.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 80 | 26.0\% | 70.7\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 81 | 32.8\% | 53.7\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 9.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 82 | 32.7\% | 63.1\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 83 | 47.2\% | 52.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 84 | 27.1\% | 72.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 85 | 36.6\% | 61.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 86 | 13.2\% | 86.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 87 | 20.8\% | 79.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 88 | 27.1\% | 72.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 89 | 18.3\% | 80.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 90 | 32.8\% | 67.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 91 | 17.5\% | 82.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| (79-91) | 29.8\% | 66.1\% | 0.5\% | 1.9\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| (85-91) | 23.8\% | 75.8\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |

Total Mortalities

| Catch Year | $\begin{gathered} --F i s t \\ \text { All } \\ \text { Alaska } \end{gathered}$ | heries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings WCVI Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { Geo St } \end{array}$ | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Spore | other U.S. Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 54.6\% | 20.9\% | 0.0\% | 15.2\% | 9.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 80 | 32.1\% | 65.0\% | 0.6\% | 2.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 81 | 39.4\% | 49.1\% | 0.2\% | 3.1\% | 8.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 82 | 36.7\% | 58.8\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 83 | 48.3\% | 51.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 84 | 34.4\% | 65.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 85 | 50.0\% | 48.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 86 | 24.9\% | 74.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 87 | 35.1\% | 64.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 88 | 33.4\% | 66.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 89 | 25.7\% | 72.5\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 90 | 40.2\% | 59.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 91 | 23.5\% | 76.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| (79-91) | 36.8\% | 59.6\% | 0.6\% | 1.6\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| (85-91) | 33.3\% | 66.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |

## Stock: Kitimat River

Reported Catch Only

| Catch Year | $\begin{gathered} ---F i s h \\ \text { All } \\ \text { Alaska } \end{gathered}$ | eries with All Nth/Cent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ceilings } \\ & \text { WCVI } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | Total Geo St | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other $\mathbf{U n}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathbf{S}_{\text {。 }}$ Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81 | 31.5\% | 64.8\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 82 | 45.8\% | 54.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 83 | 42.4\% | 57.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 84 | 59.2\% | 40.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 85 | 77.1\% | 22.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 86 | 17.4\% | 82.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 87 | 38.9\% | 61.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 88 | 64.9\% | 35.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 89 | 26.6\% | 73.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 90 | 46.8\% | 53.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 91 | 41.3\% | 58.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| (81-91) | 44.7\% | 54.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| (85-91) | 44.7\% | 55.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |

Total Mortalities

| Catch Year | ---Fish All <br> Alaska | heries with All Nth/Cent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ceilings. } \\ & \text { WCVI } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | Total Geo St | other Canada Net | other Canada Sport | other U.S. Troll | Other U. $\mathrm{S}_{\text {。 }}$ Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81 | 36.3\% | 60.4\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 82 | 47.9\% | 52.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 83 | 47.7\% | 52.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 84 | 65.5\% | 34.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 85 | 88.0\% | 12.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 86 | 42.2\% | 57.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 87 | 57.9\% | 42.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 88 | 70.5\% | 29.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 89 | 38.3\% | 61.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 90 | 54.8\% | 45.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 91 | 57.3\% | 42.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| (81-91) | 55.1\% | 44.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| (85-91) | 58.4\% | 41.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |

## Stock: Robertson Creek

Reported Catch Only

| Catch | Fish All | heries with Al! | ceilings WCVI | Total | Other Canada | Other Canada | Other U.S. | Other U.S. | Other U.S. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Alaska | Nth/Cent | Troll | Geo St | Nert | Sport | Troll | Net | Sport |
| 79 | 25.6\% | 45.5\% | 13.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.8\% | 9.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% |
| 80 | 40.3\% | 24.8\% | 12.3\% | 0.0\% | 15.2\% | 6.9\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% |
| 81 | 38.1\% | 29.4\% | 6.1\% | 0.6\% | 16.6\% | 8.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% |
| 82 | 34.5\% | 30.5\% | 6.7\% | 1.2\% | 17.9\% | 8.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.2\% |
| 83 | 43.7\% | 24.8\% | 5.8\% | 0.5\% | 19.6\% | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% |
| 84 | 39.7\% | 23.7\% | 9.0\% | 1.2\% | 20.3\% | 5.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% |
| 85 | 37.4\% | 40.5\% | 3.4\% | 0.7\% | 5.9\% | 7.3\% | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 0.0\% |
| 86 | 40.8\% | 28.5\% | 9.9\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 18.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% |
| 87 | 28.7\% | 34.4\% | 7.0\% | 1.9\% | 3. $1 \%$ | 24.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% |
| 88 | 29.7\% | 24.0\% | 9.3\% | 1.5\% | 17.4\% | 16.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% |
| 89 | 20.4\% | 19.1\% | 2.9\% | 1.1\% | 34.6\% | 21.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% |
| 90 | 37.0\% | 22.4\% | 9.8\% | 1.1\% | 19.2\% | 10.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% |
| 91 | 31.1\% | 23.1\% | 7.3\% | 0.9\% | 24.4\% | 13.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% |
| (79-91) | 34.4\% | 28.5\% | 7.9\% | 1.0\% | 15.3\% | 12.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.1\% |
| (85-91) | 32.2\% | 27.4\% | 7.1\% | 1.0\% | 15.3\% | 15.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.2\% |

Total Mortalities

| Catch Year | $\begin{gathered} ---F i s h \\ \text { All } \\ \text { Alaska } \end{gathered}$ | heries with All Nth/Cent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ceilings } \\ & \text { WCVI } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | Total Geo St | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 32.2\% | 42.2\% | 12.9\% | 2.0\% | 2.3\% | 8.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% |
| 80 | 42.5\% | 24.9\% | 12.4\% | 0.0\% | 13.3\% | 6.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% |
| 81 | 42.7\% | 28.6\% | 6.0\% | 0.5\% | 14.1\% | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% |
| 82 | 40.3\% | 29.0\% | 6.5\% | 1.1\% | 15.2\% | 7.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 0.2\% |
| 83 | 48.9\% | 23.3\% | 5.5\% | 0.4\% | 16.9\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% |
| 84 | 44.5\% | 22.5\% | 8.7\% | 1.1\% | 17.8\% | 5.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% |
| 85 | 50.6\% | 32.3\% | 2.8\% | 0.5\% | 4.5\% | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 86 | 48.9\% | 25.7\% | 8.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 14.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% |
| 87 | 38.1\% | 33.1\% | 7.0\% | 1.5\% | 2.3\% | 17.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% |
| 88 | 37.3\% | 24.0\% | 9.6\% | 1.4\% | 13.4\% | 13.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.4\% |
| 89 | 31.3\% | 19.3\% | 2.9\% | 1.4\% | 27.5\% | 17.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.1\% |
| 90 | 45.3\% | 21.5\% | 9.1\% | 1.2\% | 14.7\% | 8.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% |
| 91 | 41.4\% | 21.8\% | 6.9\% | 0.8\% | 18.8\% | 10.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% |
| (79-91) | 41.9\% | 26.8\% | 7.6\% | 0.9\% | 12.5\% | 9.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% |
| (85-91) | 41.8\% | 25.4\% | 6.7\% | 1.0\% | 11.8\% | 12.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.2\% |

## Stock: Quinsam

Reported Catch Only

| Catch Year | $\begin{gathered} --F i s h \\ \text { All } \\ \text { Alaska } \end{gathered}$ | eries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings HCVI Troll | Total Geo St | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | other U.S. Troll | other $U_{0} S_{\text {。 }}$ Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 19.4\% | 62.6\% | 0.0\% | 11.4\% | 6.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 80 | 29.7\% | 50.8\% | 0.0\% | 10.4\% | 9.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 81 | 20.6\% | 57.3\% | 0.5\% | 14.8\% | 6.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 82 | 42.4\% | 42.2\% | 0.4\% | 7.4\% | 7.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 83 | 36.4\% | 46.5\% | 0.8\% | 7.6\% | 8.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 84 | 41.0\% | 38.2\% | 1.2\% | 10.8\% | 8.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 85 | 48.5\% | 33.5\% | 0.2\% | 6.8\% | 10.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 86 | 28.1\% | 56.6\% | 0.0\% | 7.9\% | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 87 | 28.2\% | 55.0\% | 0.6\% | 5.9\% | 10.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 88 | 52.4\% | 31.1\% | 1.4\% | 6.7\% | 7.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% |
| 89 | 40.0\% | 25.0\% | 0.5\% | 12.5\% | 21.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% |
| 90 | 43.5\% | 42.8\% | 1.7\% | 5.2\% | 6.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 91 | 31.9\% | 54.7\% | 0.6\% | 6.1\% | 5.8\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| (79-91) | 35.5\% | 45.9\% | 0.6\% | 8.7\% | 9.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| (85-91) | 38.9\% | 42.7\% | 0.7\% | 7.3\% | 10.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% |

Total Mortalities

| Catch Year | $\begin{gathered} -- \text { Fish } \\ \text { All } \\ \text { Alaska } \end{gathered}$ | heries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings WCVI Troll | Total Geo St | other Canada Net | other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 24.0\% | 60.0\% | 0.1\% | 9.5\% | 6.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 80 | 31.3\% | 51.3\% | 0.0\% | 9.0\% | 8.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 81 | 22.7\% | 57.2\% | 0.5\% | 13.1\% | 6.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 82 | 45.4\% | 40.1\% | 0.4\% | 7.1\% | 6.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 83 | 38.9\% | 44.9\% | 0.7\% | 7.5\% | 7.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 84 | 43.7\% | 36.8\% | 1.2\% | 10.2\% | 8.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 85 | 63.6\% | 24.0\% | 0.1\% | 4.9\% | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 86 | 48.1\% | 40.3\% | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 87 | 50.0\% | 39.2\% | 0.5\% | 3.7\% | 6.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 88 | 60.6\% | 26.2\% | 1.2\% | 5.5\% | 5.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% |
| 89 | 53.5\% | 19.4\% | 0.4\% | 11.1\% | 15.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% |
| 90 | 53.6\% | 35.2\% | 1.4\% | 4.8\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 91 | 48.1\% | 41.6\% | 0.5\% | 5.0\% | 4.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| (79-91) | 44.9\% | 39.7\% | 0.6\% | 7.5\% | 7.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| (85-91) | 54.0\% | 32.3\% | 0.6\% | 5.9\% | 7.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | $0.1 \%$ |

## Stock: Big Qualicum

Reported Catch Only

| Catch Year | $\begin{gathered} \cdots \text { Fish } \\ \text { All } \\ \text { Alaska } \end{gathered}$ | heries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings WCVI Troll | Total Geo St | 0ther Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 6.1\% | 20.8\% | 2.7\% | 58.4\% | 11.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% |
| 80 | 3.1\% | 21.4\% | 4.0\% | 60.8\% | 10.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% |
| 81 | 4.5\% | 20.2\% | 2.0\% | 61.3\% | 10.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% |
| 82 | 9.2\% | 23.4\% | 4.1\% | 44.8\% | 16.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.7\% |
| 83 | 4.8\% | 16.2\% | 0.1\% | 62.0\% | 16.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 84 | 0.1\% | 15.8\% | 1.4\% | 77.0\% | 5.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 85 | 7.5\% | 21.1\% | 1.7\% | 50.3\% | 14.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% |
| 86 | 6.4\% | 32.8\% | 1.4\% | 49.6\% | 9.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 87 | 26.1\% | 14.6\% | 5.3\% | 46.4\% | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% |
| 88 | 8.0\% | 20.9\% | 5.0\% | 56.0\% | 7.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% |
| 89 | 14.3\% | 12.1\% | 5.8\% | 54.6\% | 11.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% |
| 90 | 24.6\% | 19.0\% | 6.4\% | 32.6\% | 12.9\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% |
| 91 | 8.8\% | 12.2\% | 3.5\% | 64.8\% | 10.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| (79-91) | 9.5\% | 19.3\% | 3.3\% | $55.3 \%$ | 11.1\% | 0. $1 \%$ | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.4\% |
| (85-91) | 13.7\% | 19.0\% | 4.2\% | 50.6\% | 10.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | 1.0\% | 0.5\% |

Total Mortalities

| Catch Year | $\begin{gathered} ---F i s h \\ \text { All } \\ \text { Alaska } \end{gathered}$ | heries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings WCVI Troll | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Geo St } \end{aligned}$ | Other Canada Net | Other <br> Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 8.5\% | 22.8\% | 3.1\% | 53.9\% | 11.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% |
| 80 | 3.8\% | 22.7\% | 4.7\% | 58.0\% | 10.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% |
| 81 | 5.2\% | 21.6\% | 2.2\% | 58.8\% | 11.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% |
| 82 | 11.0\% | 23.3\% | 4.2\% | 44.1\% | 15.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.7\% |
| 83 | 6.1\% | 15.8\% | 0.1\% | 63.6\% | 14.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% |
| 84 | 0.1\% | 15.4\% | 1.4\% | 78.0\% | 5.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 85 | 19.4\% | 20.0\% | 1.6\% | 49.4\% | 12.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 0.0\% |
| 86 | 10.9\% | 31.4\% | 1.4\% | 47.4\% | 8.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 87 | 35.1\% | 13.2\% | 5.1\% | 40.1\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% |
| 88 | 13.3\% | 17.9\% | 4.9\% | 55.3\% | 6.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% |
| 89 | 22.5\% | 9.5\% | 4.8\% | 54.5\% | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% |
| 90 | 36.7\% | 14.3\% | 4.6\% | 32.7\% | 8.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% |
| 91 | 13.1\% | 9.2\% | 2.8\% | 68.2\% | 6.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| (79-91) | 13.7\% | 18.2\% | 3.1\% | 54.2\% | 9.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.8\% | 0.3\% |
| (85-91) | 20.5\% | 16.5\% | 3.6\% | 49.7\% | 7.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 1.1\% | 0.4\% |

Stock: Chehalis (Harrison Stock)

Reported Catch Only

| Catch Year | - - Fish All Alaska | heries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings WCVI Troll | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Geo St } \end{aligned}$ | other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 85 | 0.1\% | 5.3\% | 29.9\% | 46.9\% | 5.6\% | 0.6\% | 1.0\% | 4.3\% | 6.3\% |
| 86 | 2.4\% | 8.4\% | 16.8\% | 53.7\% | 12.7\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 4.3\% |
| 87 | 0.6\% | 0.9\% | 16.5\% | 67.4\% | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 7.0\% | 1.8\% |
| 88 | 2.4\% | 6.2\% | 5.6\% | 47.5\% | 9.4\% | 1.8\% | 6.7\% | 16.0\% | 4.4\% |
| 89 | 0.1\% | 1.8\% | 26.5\% | 33.9\% | 12.9\% | 0.5\% | 9.1\% | 8.4\% | 6.9\% |
| 90 | 0.6\% | 5.4\% | 27.1\% | 24.5\% | 4.1\% | 0.7\% | 14.3\% | 6.4\% | 16.9\% |
| 91 | 0.2\% | 3.0\% | 36.0\% | 22.2\% | 11.6\% | 0.0\% | 11.6\% | 5.9\% | 9.6\% |
| (85-91) | 0.9\% | 4.4\% | 22.6\% | 42.3\% | 8.5\% | 0.6\% | 6.4\% | 7.0\% | 7. $2 \%$ |
| (85-91) | 0.9\% | 4.4\% | 22.6\% | 42.3\% | 8.5\% | 0.6\% | 6.4\% | 7.0\% | 7.2\% |

Total Mortalities

| Catch Year | $\begin{gathered} -- \text { Fish } \\ \text { All } \\ \text { Ataska } \end{gathered}$ | heries with All Nth/Cent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ceillings } \\ & \text { WCVI } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | Total Geo St | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other $\mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{S}_{\text {。 }}$ Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 85 | 0.5\% | 5.4\% | 28.9\% | 48.5\% | 5.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.9\% | 4.3\% | 5.6\% |
| 86 | 3.0\% | 8.0\% | 16.9\% | 54.7\% | 11.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 4.2\% |
| 87 | 0.8\% | 0.9\% | 16.6\% | 66.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 8.3\% | 1.7\% |
| 88 | 5.2\% | 6.1\% | 5.0\% | 43.0\% | 6.5\% | 1.6\% | 5.5\% | 18.3\% | 8.8\% |
| 89 | 0.1\% | 1.6\% | 26.5\% | 40.7\% | 9.4\% | 0.4\% | 8.2\% | 7.5\% | 5.6\% |
| 90 | 0.7\% | 4.8\% | 25.2\% | 27.9\% | 3.6\% | 0.6\% | 12.7\% | 9.2\% | 15.3\% |
| 91 | 0.7\% | 2.5\% | 37.9\% | 26.0\% | 9.2\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 5.1\% | 7.9\% |
| (85-91) | 1.6\% | 4.2\% | 22.4\% | 43.8\% | 7.0\% | 0.5\% | 5.8\% | 7.7\% | 7.0\% |
| (85-91) | 1.6\% | 4.2\% | 22.4\% | 43.8\% | 7.0\% | 0.5\% | 5.8\% | 7.7\% | 7.0\% |

## Stock: Chilliwack (Harrison Stock)

Reported Catch Only

| Catch | $\begin{gathered} --F i s h \\ \text { All } \end{gathered}$ | heries with All | ceilings WCVI | Total | Other Canada | Other <br> Canada | Other U.S. | Other U.S. | Other U.S. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Alaska | Nth/Cent | Troll | Geo St | Net | Sport | Troll | Net | Sport |
| 85 | 0.4\% | 4.4\% | 35.1\% | 34.9\% | 8.6\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 4.4\% | 8.1\% |
| 86 | 0.0\% | 5.3\% | 25.3\% | 36.7\% | 13.8\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 7.3\% | 7.9\% |
| 87 | 0.1\% | 2.5\% | 24.5\% | 55.0\% | 2.8\% | 0.5\% | 5.6\% | 5.3\% | 3.6\% |
| 88 | 1.2\% | 0.3\% | 39.2\% | 37.7\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 8.4\% | 5.5\% | 3.0\% |
| 89 | 0.6\% | 1.1\% | 35.7\% | 33.6\% | 7.8\% | 0.0\% | 11.0\% | 7.4\% | 2.8\% |
| 90 | 2.0\% | 3.5\% | 15.1\% | 27.9\% | 7.9\% | 0.5\% | 9.0\% | 24.0\% | 10.3\% |
| 91 | 0.7\% | 2.6\% | 27.5\% | 34.3\% | 5.5\% | 9.0\% | 11.2\% | 8.1\% | 9.1\% |
| (85-91) | 0.7\% | 2.8\% | 28.9\% | 37.1\% | 7.3\% | 0.3\% | 7.6\% | 8.8\% | 6.4\% |
| (85-91) | 0.7\% | 2.8\% | 28.9\% | 37.1\% | 7.3\% | 0.3\% | 7.6\% | 8.8\% | 6.4\% |

Total Mortalities

| Catch Year | ----Fish All <br> Alaska | heries with All Nth/Cent | ```ceilings WCVI Troll``` | Total Geo St | Other Canada Ne: | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 85 | 0.5\% | 4.2\% | 33.2\% | 36.1\% | 7.3\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 6.4\% | 8.4\% |
| 86 | 0.0\% | 5.2\% | 24.6\% | 37.7\% | 12.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 8.2\% | 8.8\% |
| 87 | 0.1\% | 2.7\% | 27.9\% | 52.4\% | 2.5\% | 0.4\% | 5.8\% | 5.0\% | 3.2\% |
| 88 | 1.3\% | 0.4\% | 37.0\% | 36.5\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 7.5\% | 5.7\% |
| 89 | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 34.4\% | 42.3\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.5\% | 5.4\% | 2.7\% |
| 90 | 2.4\% | 2.4\% | 14.0\% | 35.2\% | 5.0\% | 0.3\% | 8.3\% | 23.7\% | 8.8\% |
| 91 | 1.3\% | 2.3\% | 26.9\% | 38.7\% | 4.3\% | 0.8\% | 10.3\% | 7.8\% | 7.6\% |
| (85-91) | 0.9\% | 2.5\% | 28.3\% | 39.8\% | 5.8\% | 0.2\% | 7.0\% | 9.1\% | 6.3\% |
| (85-91) | 0.9\% | 2.5\% | 28.3\% | 39.8\% | 5.8\% | 0.2\% | 7.0\% | 9.1\% | 6.3\% |

## Stock: South Puget Sound Fall Yearling

Reported Catch Only

| Catch | ---Fish | heries with All | ceilings WCVI | Total | Other Canada | Other Canada | Other U.S a | Other U.S. | Other U.S. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Alaska | Nth/Cent | Troll | Geo St | Net | Sport | Troll | Net | Sport |
| 82 | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 3.0\% | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 19.7\% | 70.0\% |
| 83 | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 5.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 81.8\% |
| 84 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.6\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 37.7\% | 52.7\% |
| 90 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 36.2\% | 62.4\% |
| 91 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.3\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 14.0\% | 75.7\% |
| (82-91) | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 4.5\% | 1.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 23.7\% | 68.5\% |
| (85-91) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 25.1\% | 69.1\% |

Total Mortalities


## Stock: Squaxin Pens Fall Yearling

Reported Catch Only

| Catch Year | $\begin{array}{r} --F i s l \\ \text { All } \\ \text { Alaska } \end{array}$ | heries with All Nth/Cent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ceilings } \\ & \text { WCVI } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | ```Total Geo St``` | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 90 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 3.4\% | 0.8\% | 7. $2 \%$ | 0.2\% | 4.7\% | 34.7\% | 54.9\% |
| 91 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 1.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 6.4\% | 30.3\% | 57.6\% |
| (90-91) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 1.1\% | 0.8\% | 0.9\% | 5.5\% | 32.5\% | 56.3\% |
| (90-91) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 1.1\% | 0.8\% | 0.1\% | 5.5\% | 32.5\% | 56.3\% |

Total Mortalities

| Catch Year | $\begin{array}{r} -- \text { Fish }^{\text {All }} \\ \text { Alaska } \end{array}$ | heries with All Nth/Cent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ceilings } \\ & \text { WCVI } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | Total Geo St | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 90 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 3.6\% | 1.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.2\% | 4.5\% | 35.3\% | 54.3\% |
| 91 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 1.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 6.3\% | 29.5\% | 58.3\% |
| (90-91) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 1.3\% | 0.8\% | 0.1\% | 5.4\% | 32.4\% | 56.3\% |
| (90-91) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 1.3\% | 0.8\% | 0.1\% | 5.4\% | 32.4\% | 56.3\% |

Stock: University of Washington Accelerated

Reported Catch Only

| Catch Year | $\begin{gathered} -- \text { Fish } \\ \text { All } \\ \text { Alaska } \end{gathered}$ | heries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings WCVI Troll | Total Geo St | other Canada Ne s | other Canada Sport | $\begin{aligned} & \text { other } \\ & \text { U.S. } \end{aligned}$ | Other U.S. Net | other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 18.4\% | 8.0\% | 5.2\% | 0.9\% | 2.3\% | 7.2\% | 58.4\% |
| 80 | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 11.2\% | 6.8\% | 2.3\% | 0.3\% | 2.1\% | 18.5\% | 58.2\% |
| 81 | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 10.8\% | 5.5\% | 4.3\% | 0.1\% | 2.4\% | 12.4\% | 63.9\% |
| 82 | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 23.3\% | 5.8\% | 1.2\% | 0.2\% | 3.2\% | 20.7\% | 45.0\% |
| 83 | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 13.3\% | 6.5\% | 2.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | 33.9\% | 41.9\% |
| 84 | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 25.2\% | 7.1\% | 1.4\% | 0.4\% | 2.4\% | 30.7\% | 32.1\% |
| 85 | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 21.4\% | 7.0\% | 7.0\% | 1.8\% | 3.0\% | 21.1\% | 38.4\% |
| 86 | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 22.3\% | 5.3\% | 9.2\% | . 1.4\% | 1.8\% | 31.8\% | 27.4\% |
| 87 | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 12.7\% | 7.4\% | 0.4\% | 1.9\% | 4.7\% | 56.7\% | 15.7\% |
| (79-87) | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 17.6\% | 6.6\% | 3.7\% | 0.7\% | 2.5\% | 25.9\% | 42.3\% |
| (85-91) | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 18.8\% | 6.6\% | 5.5\% | 1.7\% | 3.2\% | 36.5\% | 27.2\% |

Total Mortalities

| Catch Year | $\begin{gathered} -- \text { - Fish } \\ \text { All } \\ \text { Alaska } \end{gathered}$ | heries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings WCVI Troll | Total Geo St | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 17.5\% | 6.8\% | 4.7\% | 0.1\% | 2.4\% | 7.4\% | 60.8\% |
| 80 | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 10.8\% | 4.5\% | 1.7\% | 0.2\% | 2.1\% | 15.9\% | 64.3\% |
| 81 | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 9.1\% | 3.8\% | 3.3\% | 0.1\% | 2.1\% | 10.2\% | 71.0\% |
| 82 | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 23.7\% | 5.4\% | 1.1\% | 0.2\% | 3.5\% | 20.6\% | 44.9\% |
| 83 | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 11.2\% | 5.8\% | 1.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 33.0\% | 46.4\% |
| 84 | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 23.2\% | 6.6\% | 1.2\% | 0.4\% | 2.2\% | 30.1\% | 35.7\% |
| 85 | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 19.7\% | 6.8\% | 6.0\% | 1.5\% | 2.8\% | 20.3\% | 42.3\% |
| 86 | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 21.5\% | 5.2\% | 7.8\% | 1.4\% | 2.0\% | 30.5\% | 31.0\% |
| 87 | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 14.8\% | 7.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.7\% | 5.2\% | 55.1\% | 14.7\% |
| (79-87) | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | 16.8\% | 5.8\% | 3.1\% | 0.6\% | 2.5\% | 24.8\% | 45.7\% |
| (85-91) | 0.2\% | 0.6\% | 18.7\% | 6.3\% | 4.7\% | 1.6\% | 3.3\% | 35.3\% | 29.4\% |

## Stock: Samish Fall Fingerling

| Reported Catch Only |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | ----Fisheries with All <br> All <br> Alaska Nth/Cent |  | ceilingsWCVITroll | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Geo St } \end{aligned}$ | orher Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 89 | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 5.2\% | 24.9\% | 4.8\% | 0.8\% | 9.7\% | 40.7\% | 12.8\% |
| 90 | 0.2\% | 0.7\% | 21.8\% | 15.6\% | 1.3\% | 0.7\% | 20.4\% | 33.3\% | 6. $1 \%$ |
| 91 | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 19.9\% | 18.7\% | 2.3\% | 3.5\% | 8.7\% | 32.6\% | 13.7\% |
| (89-91) | 0.1\% | 1.0\% | 15.6\% | 19.7\% | 2.7\% | 1.6\% | 12.9\% | 35.5\% | 10.9\% |
| (89-91) | 0.1\% | 1.0\% | 15.6\% | 19.7\% | 2.7\% | 1.6\% | 12.9\% | 35.5\% | 10.9\% |

Total Mortalities

| Catch Year | $---F i s h$ All Alaska | heries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings WCVI Trol | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { Geo St } \end{array}$ | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | Other U.S. Net | other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 89 | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 8.6\% | 26.8\% | 3.9\% | 0.7\% | 11.2\% | 35.9\% | 11.5\% |
| 90 | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 22.7\% | 15.9\% | 1.2\% | 0.6\% | 20.7\% | 31.9\% | 6.0\% |
| 91 | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 20.7\% | 20.5\% | 2.2\% | 3.3\% | 8.9\% | 30.2\% | 13.4\% |
| (89-91) | 0.1\% | 0.9\% | 17.3\% | 21.1\% | 2.4\% | 1.6\% | 13.6\% | 32.7\% | 10.3\% |
| (89-91) | 0.1\% | 0.9\% | 17.3\% | 21.1\% | 2.4\% | 1.6\% | 13.6\% | 32.7\% | 10.3\% |

## Stock: Lummi Ponds Fall Fingerling

Reported Catch Only


Total Mortalities

| Catch Year | $\begin{gathered} ---F i s h \\ \text { All } \\ \text { Alaska } \end{gathered}$ | heries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings WCVI Troll | Total Geo St | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Spor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 0.3\% | 11.1\% | 28.3\% | 15.1\% | 4.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 28.9\% | 11.3\% |
| 80 | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 27.1\% | 25.7\% | 2.3\% | 0.5\% | 2.5\% | 34.6\% | 3.8\% |
| 81 | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 16.4\% | 29.6\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 44.8\% | 2.6\% |
| 82 | 0.2\% | 3.4\% | 14.6\% | 19.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 51.9\% | 9.4\% |
| 83 | 0.5\% | 1.6\% | 17.7\% | 28.9\% | 6.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 21.4\% | 22.0\% |
| 84 | 0.2\% | 7.1\% | 16.0\% | 36.4\% | 2.9\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 31.2\% | 5.2\% |
| 89 | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 6.4\% | 28.6\% | 5.7\% | 0.7\% | 10.5\% | 40.5\% | 7.0\% |
| 90 | 0.3\% | 1.2\% | 19.0\% | 17.4\% | 1.6\% | 1.9\% | 12.4\% | 41.9\% | 5.1\% |
| 91 | 0.4\% | 1.0\% | 12.7\% | 15.1\% | 0.7\% | 1.2\% | 7.7\% | 53.6\% | 7.7\% |
| (79-91) | 0.2\% | 3.6\% | 17.6\% | 24.0\% | 3.1\% | 0.5\% | 4.1\% | 38.8\% | 8.2 |
| (85-91) | 0.2\% | 0.9\% | 12.7\% | 20.4\% | 2.7\% | 1.0\% | 10.2\% | 45.3\% | 6.6 |

## Stock: Stillaguamish Fall Fingerling

Reported Catch Only

| Catch Year | ---Fish All Alaska | ies with All <br> th/Cent | ceilings WCVI Troll | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Geo St } \end{aligned}$ | Other Canada Net | other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 84 | 0.0\% | 34.7\% | 8.3\% | 17.4\% | 20.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 14.9\% |
| 85 | 18.6\% | 6.8\% | 27.1\% | 8.5\% | 9.3\% | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 11.0\% | 14.4\% |
| 86 | 5.4\% | 4.3\% | 31.2\% | 22.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.1\% | 19.4\% |
| 90 | 0.9\% | 18.1\% | 26.2\% | 11.2\% | 5.6\% | 4.4\% | 7.5\% | 9.7\% | 16.5\% |
| 91 | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 15.5\% | 12.6\% | 2.6\% | 5.2\% | 10.3\% | 25.5\% | 27.7\% |
| (84-91) | 5.1\% | 12.8\% | 21.6\% | 14.4\% | 7.6\% | 3.1\% | 3.6\% | 13.3\% | 18.6\% |
| (85-91) | 6.4\% | 7.4\% | 25.0\% | 13.7\% | 4.4\% | 3.9\% | 4.4\% | 15.6\% | 19.5\% |

Total Mortalities

| Catch Year | ---Fish <br> All <br> Alaska | eries with All <br> Nth/Cent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ceilings } \\ & \text { WCVI } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | Total Geo St | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 84 | 2.6\% | 29.4\% | 9.8\% | 16.3\% | 17.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 19.0\% |
| 85 | 19.7\% | 6.1\% | 25.9\% | 7.5\% | 8.2\% | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 9.5\% | 16.3\% |
| 86 | 7.1\% | 4.1\% | 31.6\% | 21.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.3\% | 19.4\% |
| 90 | 1.0\% | 16.6\% | 25.1\% | 15.1\% | 4.8\% | 4.0\% | 8.0\% | 9.8\% | 15.3\% |
| 91 | 0.7\% | 0.2\% | 13.5\% | 18.7\% | 1.8\% | 4.3\% | 8.8\% | 23.6\% | 28.5\% |
| (84-91) | 6.2\% | 11.3\% | 21.2\% | 15.8\% | 6.5\% | 2.9\% | 3.4\% | 12.4\% | 19.7\% |
| (85-91) | 7.1\% | 6.8\% | 24.0\% | 15.7\% | 3.7\% | 3.4\% | 4.2\% | 14.6\% | 19.9\% |

## Stock: Tulalip Fall Fingerling

Reported Catch Only

| Catch Year | $\begin{gathered} --- \text { Fish } \\ \text { All } \\ \text { Alaska } \end{gathered}$ | heries with All Nth/Cent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ceillings } \\ & \text { WCVI } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | Total Geo St | Other Canada Het | Other <br> Canada <br> Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other $U_{0} S_{\text {a }}$ Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 90 | 0.2\% | 0.7\% | 13.6\% | 3.5\% | 1.3\% | 7.6\% | 17.7\% | 51.3\% | 10.1\% |
| 91 | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 11.7\% | 5.8\% | 1.6\% | 2.8\% | 5.6\% | 55.2\% | 16.7\% |
| (90-91) | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 12.6\% | 4.6\% | 1.5\% | 2.2\% | 11.7\% | 53.2\% | 13.4\% |
| (90-91) | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 12.6\% | 4.6\% | 1.5\% | 2.2\% | 11.7\% | 53. $2 \%$ | 13.4\% |

Total Mortalities

| Catch Year | ---Fisheries with ceilings------ |  |  |  | other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S: Troll | other U.S. Net | $\begin{aligned} & \text { other } \\ & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Sport } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All | All | WCVI | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Alaska | Nth/Cent | Troll | Geo St |  |  |  |  |  |
| 90 | 0.3\% | 0.8\% | 13.7\% | 4.7\% | 1.3\% | 1.6\% | 17.1\% | 49.5\% | 11.0\% |
| 91 | 0.7\% | 0.2\% | 12.6\% | 7.1\% | 1.5\% | 2.6\% | 6.2\% | 53.0\% | 16. $2 \%$ |
| (90-91) | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 13.1\% | 5.9\% | 1.4\% | 2. $1 \%$ | 11.6\% | 51.3\% | 13.6\% |
| (90-91) | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 13.1\% | 5.9\% | 1.4\% | 2.1\% | 11.6\% | 51.3\% | $13.6 \%$ |

## Stock：George Adams Fall Fingerling

| Reported Catch Only <br> ＝ミニニニニニ＝＝ニニ＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | －－－Fish <br> Alaska | heries with All <br> Nth／Cent | ceilings WCVI Troll | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Geo St } \end{aligned}$ | Other Canada Ne | Other Canada Sport | Other U．S． Troll | Other U．S． Net | Other U．S． Sport |
| 82 | 0．0\％ | 1．2\％ | 27．7\％ | 6．1\％ | 0．4\％ | 0．0\％ | 4．4\％ | 48．3\％ | 11．9\％ |
| 83 | 0．0\％ | 3．5\％ | 17．8\％ | 5．5\％ | 5．0\％ | 0．5\％ | 0．2\％ | 36．1\％ | 31．4\％ |
| 84 | 0．1\％ | 5．8\％ | 21．2\％ | 7．4\％ | 1．5\％ | 0．0\％ | 2．7\％ | 37．0\％ | 24．2\％ |
| 89 | 0．1\％ | 0．3\％ | 9．7\％ | 4．4\％ | 5．5\％ | 1．0\％ | 14．8\％ | 44．3\％ | 19．8\％ |
| 90 | 0．2\％ | 1．6\％ | 21．3\％ | 5．7\％ | 0．9\％ | 1．5\％ | 19．9\％ | 29．6\％ | 20．1\％ |
| 91 | 0．4\％ | 0．0\％ | 22．1\％ | 2．9\％ | 0．5\％ | 3．5\％ | 9．8\％ | 39．4\％ | 21．5\％ |
| （82－91） | 0．1\％ | 2．1\％ | 20．0\％ | 5．4\％ | 2．3\％ | 1．1\％ | 8．5\％ | 39．1\％ | 21．5\％ |
| （85－91） | 0．2\％ | 0．6\％ | 17．7\％ | 4．4\％ | 2．3\％ | 2．0\％ | 14．6\％ | 37．7\％ | 20．5\％ |

Total Mortalities

| Catch Year |  | heries with All Nth／Cent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ceilings } \\ & \text { HCVI } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Geo St } \end{aligned}$ | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | Other U．S． Net： | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { U. } \mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{a}} \\ & \text { Spore } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 82 | 0．0\％ | 1．3\％ | 26．7\％ | 6．4\％ | 0．5\％ | 0．0\％ | 4．1\％ | 46．4\％ | 14．7\％ |
| 83 | 0．0\％ | 2．4\％ | 13．2\％ | 4．8\％ | 3．2\％ | 0．5\％ | 0．2\％ | 30．0\％ | 45．8\％ |
| 84 | 0．2\％ | 5．8\％ | 21．8\％ | 7．1\％ | 8．5\％ | 0．0\％ | 2．8\％ | 37．4\％ | 23．5\％ |
| 89 | 0．4\％ | 0．4\％ | 11．7\％ | 5．7\％ | 4．8\％ | 1．1\％ | 14．8\％ | 40．5\％ | 20．6\％ |
| 90 | 0．3\％ | 1．7\％ | 24．0\％ | 6．3\％ | 0．8\％ | 1．3\％ | 20．0\％ | 27．6\％ | 18．1\％ |
| 91 | 0．5\％ | 0．0\％ | 22．5\％ | 2．9\％ | 0．5\％ | 3．4\％ | 9．8\％ | 39．2\％ | 21．2\％ |
| （82－91） | 0．2\％ | 1．9\％ | 20．0\％ | 5．5\％ | 1．9\％ | 1．0\％ | 8．6\％ | 36．8\％ | 24．0\％ |
| （85－91） | 0．4\％ | 0．7\％ | 19．4\％ | 5．0\％ | 2．0\％ | 1．9\％ | 14．8\％ | 35．8\％ | 20．0\％ |

## Stock: South Puget Sound Fall Fingerling

Reported Catch Only

| Catch Year | $\begin{array}{r} ---F i s h \\ \text { All } \\ \text { Alaska } \end{array}$ | eries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings <br> WCVI <br> Troll | Total Geo St | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 82 | 0.4\% | 1.1\% | 24.0\% | 16.2\% | 2.0\% | 0.3\% | 2.8\% | 24.1\% | 29.1\% |
| 83 | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 23.2\% | 6.2\% | 3.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.9\% | 28.7\% | 33.9\% |
| 84 | 0.3\% | 3.0\% | 24.9\% | 11.1\% | 1.2\% | 0.3\% | 1.9\% | 31.3\% | 25.9\% |
| 85 | 1.1\% | 1.0\% | 22.7\% | 7.4\% | 1.5\% | 0.7\% | 2.4\% | 37.3\% | 25.9\% |
| 86 | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 24.5\% | 11.6\% | 2. $9 \%$ | 0.0\% | 6.5\% | 17.0\% | 36.7\% |
| 87 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 23.4\% | 21.3\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 12.2\% | 21.0\% | 17.8\% |
| 88 | 0.4\% | 3.7\% | 11.6\% | 13.2\% | 5.0\% | 1.1\% | 9.7\% | 35.8\% | 19.6\% |
| 89 | 0.1\% | 1.3\% | 11.5\% | 5.8\% | 5.7\% | 2.8\% | 15.4\% | 34.1\% | 23.3\% |
| 90 | 0.2\% | 1.7\% | 26.0\% | 4.6\% | 1.4\% | 1.6\% | 19.7\% | 31.4\% | 13.3\% |
| 91 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 15.9\% | 3.2\% | 1.2\% | 1.3\% | 13.1\% | 42.1\% | 22.8\% |
| (82-91) | 0.3\% | 1.7\% | 20.8\% | 10.1\% | 2.8\% | 0.8\% | 8.5\% | 30.3\% | 24.8\% |
| (85-91) | 0.3\% | 1.3\% | 19.4\% | 9.6\% | 3.0\% | 1. $1 \%$ | 11.3\% | 31.3\% | 22.8\% |

Total Mortalities

| Catch Year | $\begin{gathered} --F i s h \\ \text { All } \\ \text { Alaska } \end{gathered}$ | heries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings <br> WCVI <br> Troll | Total Geo St | Other Canada Net | other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 82 | 0.4\% | 1.3\% | 23.3\% | 14.6\% | 1.7\% | 0.2\% | 2.5\% | 22.7\% | 33.2\% |
| 83 | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 22.6\% | 5.9\% | 2.8\% | 0.4\% | 1.0\% | 26.8\% | 37.5\% |
| 84 | 0.4\% | 3.1\% | 25.7\% | 10.6\% | 1.1\% | 0.3\% | 2.1\% | 31.3\% | 25.4\% |
| 85 | 1.3\% | 1.0\% | 22.7\% | 7.3\% | 1.6\% | 0.7\% | 2.4\% | 37.1\% | 26.0\% |
| 86 | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 23.7\% | 11.4\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.2\% | 15.0\% | 40.2\% |
| 87 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 29.2\% | 19.8\% | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 13.0\% | 16.7\% | 17.8\% |
| 88 | 0.6\% | 3.8\% | 16.3\% | 16.5\% | 3.4\% | 0.8\% | 9.7\% | 25.4\% | 23.6\% |
| 89 | 0.1\% | 1.6\% | 13.6\% | 7.1\% | 5.1\% | 2.5\% | 16.7\% | 31.6\% | 21.7\% |
| 90 | 0.3\% | 1.8\% | 27.1\% | 5.1\% | 1.4\% | 1.5\% | 19.8\% | 29.5\% | 13.5\% |
| 91 | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 17.8\% | 3.8\% | 1.1\% | 1.2\% | 13.8\% | 39.7\% | 22.0\% |
| (82-91) | 0.4\% | 1.7\% | 22.2\% | 10.2\% | 2.4\% | 0.8\% | 8.7\% | 27.6\% | 26.1\% |
| (85-91) | 0.4\% | 1.4\% | 21.5\% | 10.1\% | 2.6\% | 1.0\% | 11.6\% | 27.9\% | 23.5\% |

## Stock: Kalama Creek Fall Fingerling

Reported Catch Only

| Catch Year | $\begin{array}{r} -- \text { Fist } \\ \text { All } \\ \text { Alaska } \end{array}$ | heries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings WCVI Troll | Total Geo St | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 83 | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 20.6\% | 9.2\% | 5.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 15.0\% | 47.4\% |
| 84 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 26.9\% | 3.5\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 40.2\% | 24.8\% |
| 85 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 34.2\% | 0.0\% | 4.6\% | 0.3\% | 2.2\% | 34.1\% | 24.6\% |
| 86 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.8\% | 19.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 52.2\% | 8.9\% |
| 87 | 0.0\% | 4.8\% | 18.1\% | 11.7\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 42.4\% | 18.2\% |
| 88 | 0.0\% | 11.2\% | 8.8\% | 24.2\% | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 12.9\% | 21.6\% | 16.4\% |
| 89 | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 5.3\% | 2.8\% | 3.4\% | $3.1 \%$ | 13.2\% | 51.4\% | 19.8\% |
| 90 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 22.5\% | 3.5\% | 0.2\% | 1.7\% | 23.0\% | 36.8\% | 12.3\% |
| 91 | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 10.9\% | 4.9\% | 2.7\% | 1.9\% | 14.6\% | 30.7\% | 31.4\% |
| (83-91) | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 18.5\% | 8.8\% | 2.8\% | 0.8\% | 8.2\% | 36.0\% | 22.6\% |
| (85-91) | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 16.9\% | 9.5\% | 2.5\% | 1.0\% | 10.0\% | 38.5\% | 18,8\% |

Total Mortalities

| Catch Year | $\begin{gathered} ---F i s h \\ \text { All } \\ \text { Alaska } \end{gathered}$ | heries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings WCVI Troll | Total Geo St | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other UnS. Troll | other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 83 | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 16.5\% | 7.4\% | 4.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 14.3\% | 55.8\% |
| 84 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 26.7\% | 3.2\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 39.5\% | 26.0\% |
| 85 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 33.6\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 0.2\% | 2.2\% | 30.3\% | 29.5\% |
| 86 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.1\% | 19.7\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 49.2\% | 9.7\% |
| 87 | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 20.2\% | 11.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 38.7\% | 19.7\% |
| 88 | 0.0\% | 11.8\% | 7.8\% | 22.7\% | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 10.6\% | 21.1\% | 22.4\% |
| 89 | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 6.3\% | 3.4\% | 3.1\% | 2.9\% | 15.0\% | 49.6\% | 18.6\% |
| 90 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 23.5\% | 3.5\% | 0.2\% | 1.6\% | 23.3\% | 35.7\% | 12.0\% |
| 99 | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 11.2\% | 5.6\% | 2.5\% | 1.9\% | 14.7\% | 28.9\% | 32.3\% |
| (83-91) | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 18.4\% | 8.6\% | 2.3\% | 0.7\% | 8. $2 \%$ | 34.1\% | 25.1\% |
| (85-91) | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 17.5\% | 9.5\% | 2.2\% | 1.0\% | 10.0\% | 36.2\% | 20.6\% |

## Stock: Elwha Fall Fingerling

## Reported Catch Only

| Catch <br> Year | $\begin{array}{r} ---F i s h \\ \text { All } \\ \text { Alaska } \end{array}$ | heries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings WCVI Troll | Total Geo St | other Canada Net | other Canada Sport | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 86 | 15.0\% | 17.9\% | 26.2\% | 5.8\% | 3.3\% | 1.7\% | 2.8\% | 11.6\% | 15.5\% |
| 87 | 8.1\% | 19.1\% | 19.1\% | 17.6\% | 0.6\% | 4.1\% | 4.0\% | 7.8\% | 19.5\% |
| 88 | 16.0\% | 8.9\% | 24.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 3.4\% | 9.9\% | 21.2\% | 14.8\% |
| 89 | 13.7\% | 20.3\% | 12.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.6\% | 24.2\% | 17.6\% |
| 90 | 0.0\% | 24.1\% | 29.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 25.9\% | 20.7\% |
| 91 | 0.0\% | 5.9\% | 14.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 79.4\% | 0.0\% |
| (86-91) | 8.8\% | 16.0\% | 21.1\% | 3.9\% | 0.8\% | 1.6\% | 4.7\% | 28.3\% | 14.7\% |
| (86-91) | 8.8\% | 16.0\% | 21.1\% | 3.9\% | 0.8\% | 8.6\% | 4.7\% | 28.3\% | 14.7\% |

Total Mortalities


## Stock: Hoko Fall Fingerling

Reported Catch Only

| Catch Year | ---Fish <br> All <br> Alaska | heries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings HCV? Troll | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Geo } 5 t \end{aligned}$ | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 89 | 7.0\% | 19.5\% | 15.0\% | 2.5\% | 22.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 31.5\% |
| 90 | 29.5\% | 16.4\% | 24.9\% | 1.8\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 1.3\% | 21.0\% |
| (89-90) | 18.3\% | 18.0\% | 20.0\% | 2.1\% | 12.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 1.2\% | 26.3\% |
| (89-91) | 18.3\% | 18.0\% | 20.0\% | 2.1\% | 12.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 1.2\% | 26.3\% |

Total Mortalities

| Catch Year |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ies wi } \\ & \text { All } \\ & \text { /Cent } \end{aligned}$ | ceiling WCVI Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { Geo St } \end{array}$ | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 89 | 19.7\% | 18.7\% | 17.1\% | 2.3\% | 14.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 25.2\% |
| 90 | 37.3\% | 15.4\% | 22.9\% | 1.4\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 1.1\% | 17.3\% |
| (89-90) | 28.5\% | 17.0\% | 20.0\% | 1.8\% | 8.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 1.0\% | 21.2\% |
| (89-91) | 28.5\% | 17.0\% | 20.0\% | 1.8\% | 8.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 1.0\% | 21.2\% |

## Stock: Skagit Spring Yearling

Reported Catch Only

| Catch Year | $\begin{array}{r} -- \text { Fish } \\ \text { All } \\ \text { Alaska } \end{array}$ | heries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings WCVI Troll | Total Geo St | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other U. $\mathbf{S}_{\text {。 }}$ Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 85 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.3\% | 32.7\% | 29.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.9\% | 20.9\% |
| 86 | 2.3\% | 13.5\% | 7.6\% | 52.6\% | 3.5\% | 7.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 9.9\% |
| 87 | 0.0\% | 14.6\% | 4.9\% | 14.6\% | 7.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 29.3\% | 25.6\% |
| 88 | 0.0\% | 8.0\% | 2.3\% | 19.3\% | 10.3\% | 3.1\% | 2.3\% | 36.3\% | 18.0\% |
| 89 | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 5.2\% | 24.0\% | 4.8\% | 1.3\% | 6.5\% | 44.6\% | 12.1\% |
| 90 | 0.0\% | 5.1\% | 7.0\% | 22.4\% | 5.5\% | 3.6\% | 5.7\% | 18.4\% | 32.3\% |
| (85-90) | 0.4\% | 7.1\% | 5.7\% | 27.6\% | 10.1\% | 2.5\% | 2.8\% | 23.9\% | 19.8\% |
| (85-91) | 0.4\% | 7.1\% | 5.7\% | 27.6\% | 10.1\% | 2.5\% | 2.8\% | 23.9\% | 19.8\% |

Total Mortalities

| Catch Year | ----Fish <br> Al l <br> Alaska | heries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings WCVI Troll | Total Geo St | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other U. S ${ }_{\mathrm{n}}$ Net | Other $\mathrm{U}_{n} \mathrm{~S}_{\text {。 }}$ Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 85 | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 7.6\% | 32.8\% | 26.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.1\% | 21.0\% |
| 86 | 3.7\% | 12.7\% | 7.4\% | 51.3\% | 3.2\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 11.1\% |
| 87 | 0.0\% | 11.0\% | 3.4\% | 15.8\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 19.2\% | 44.5\% |
| 88 | 0.0\% | 7.9\% | 3.3\% | 19.3\% | 9.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 35.4\% | 18.4\% |
| 89 | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 5.6\% | 29.9\% | 4.5\% | 1.3\% | 6.7\% | 37.9\% | 12.9\% |
| 90 | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 7.2\% | 23.5\% | 5.4\% | 3.4\% | 6.0\% | 18.1\% | 31.0\% |
| (85-90) | 0.6\% | 6.5\% | 5.7\% | 28.8\% | 9.1\% | 2.3\% | 2.8\% | 20.7\% | 23.2\% |
| (85-91) | 0.6\% | 6.5\% | 5.7\% | 28.8\% | 9.1\% | 2.3\% | 2.8\% | 20.7\% | 23.2\% |

## Stock: Nooksack Spring Yearling

Reported Catch Only

| Catch Year | ---Fish All Alaska | eries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings WCVI Troll | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Geo St } \end{aligned}$ | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 89 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 27.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 51.1\% | 21.3\% |
| 90 | 0.0\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 25.8\% | 16.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.5\% | 45.2\% |
| 91 | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 3.6\% | 50.5\% | 10.7\% | 7.9\% | 0.3\% | 15.7\% | 10.2\% |
| (89-91) | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 1.2\% | 34.7\% | 8.9\% | 2.6\% | 0.9\% | 24.4\% | 25.5\% |
| (89-91) | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 1.2\% | 34.7\% | 8.9\% | 2.6\% | 0.1\% | 24.4\% | 25.5\% |

Total Mortalities

| Catch Year | All - Fish Alaska | heries with All Nth/Cent | ceiling WCVI Troll | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Geo St } \end{aligned}$ | other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 89 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 34.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 41.4\% | 20.7\% |
| 90 | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 2.0\% | 62.2\% | 8.2\% | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 18.4\% |
| 91 | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 3.4\% | 59.7\% | 8.1\% | 6.1\% | 0.4\% | 13.1\% | 8.8\% |
| (89-91) | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 1.8\% | 52.2\% | 5.4\% | 3.0\% | 0.1\% | 19.2\% | 15.9\% |
| (89-91) | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 1.8\% | 52.2\% | 5.4\% | 3.0\% | 0. \%\% | 19.2\% | 15.9\% |

## Stock: Skookum Spring Fingerling

Reported Catch Only

| Catch Year | $\begin{gathered} - \text { - Fish } \\ \text { All } \\ \text { Alaska } \end{gathered}$ | heries with All Nth/Cent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ceilings } \\ & \text { WCVI } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Geo } \mathrm{St} \end{aligned}$ | other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other <br> U.S. <br> Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other <br> U.S. <br> Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 85 | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 21.4\% | 44.4\% | 19.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 7.9\% |
| 86 | 0.0\% | 11.1\% | 0.0\% | 80.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% |
| 87 | 0.0\% | 5.8\% | 0.0\% | 65.4\% | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 19.2\% | 3.8\% |
| 88 | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 1.4\% | 83.6\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 1.4\% | 2.9\% |
| 89 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.9\% | 6.7\% | 13.3\% | 11.1\% | 8.9\% | 17.8\% | 33.3\% |
| 90 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 21.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 65.2\% | 0.0\% | 13.0\% |
| 91 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 50.0\% | 12.5\% | 37.5\% | 0.0\% |
| (85-91) | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 4.5\% | 43.2\% | 5.6\% | 8.7\% | 12.9\% | 11.1\% | 9.9\% |
| (85-91) | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 4.5\% | 43.2\% | 5.6\% | 8.7\% | 12.9\% | 11.1\% | 9.9\% |

Total Mortalities

| Catch Year | $\begin{array}{r} - \text { Fist } \\ \text { All } \\ \text { Alaska } \end{array}$ | heries with All Nth/Cent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ceilings } \\ & \text { WCVI } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | Total <br> Geo St | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 85 | 0.0\% | 5.2\% | 20.9\% | 44.0\% | 17.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 8.2\% |
| 86 | 0.0\% | 9.5\% | 0.0\% | 83.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.1\% |
| 87 | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 0.0\% | 71.6\% | 4. $1 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.9\% | 6.8\% |
| 88 | 0.0\% | 6.3\% | 1.3\% | 82.3\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 1.3\% | 2.5\% |
| 89 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.5\% | 8.5\% | 12.8\% | 10.6\% | 8.5\% | 19.1\% | 31.9\% |
| 90 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 66.7\% | 0.0\% | 12.5\% |
| 91 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 50.0\% | 12.5\% | 37.5\% | 0.0\% |
| (85-91) | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 4.4\% | 44.4\% | 5.3\% | 8.7\% | 13.1\% | 10.6\% | 9.9\% |
| (85-91) | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 4.4\% | 44.4\% | 5.3\% | 8.7\% | 13.1\% | 10.6\% | 9.9\% |

## Stock: Quilcene Spring Yearling

| Catch Year | -.--Fish <br> Alaska | heries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings WCVI Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { Geo St } \end{array}$ | Other Canada Net | Other <br> Canada Sport | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { U.S } \\ & \text { Trol } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Sport } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 85 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 28.7\% | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 20.0\% | 42.6\% |
| 86 | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 92.5\% |
| 87 | 0.0\% | 9.9\% | 4.3\% | 8.5\% | 33.3\% | 0.0\% | 8.5\% | 0.0\% | 36.2\% |
| 88 | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 51.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.8\% | 18.3\% |
| 89 | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.0\% | 14.0\% | 0.0\% | 25.0\% | 12.0\% | 36.0\% |
| 90 | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 28.5\% | 9.3\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 26.6\% | 0.9\% | 27.1\% |
| 91 | 1.6\% | 9.8\% | 14.6\% | 8.1\% | 3.3\% | 6.5\% | 17.1\% | 0.0\% | 38.2\% |
| (85-91) | 0.2\% | 5.1\% | 18.2\% | 5.2\% | 8.7\% | 1.4\% | 11.0\% | 8.1\% | 41.6\% |
| (85-91) | 0.2\% | 5.1\% | 18.2\% | 5.2\% | 8.7\% | 1.4\% | 11.0\% | 8.1\% | 41.6\% |

Total Mortalities

| Catch Year | $\begin{gathered} - \text { Fish } \\ \text { All } \\ \text { Alaska } \end{gathered}$ | eries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings WCVI Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { Geo St } \end{array}$ | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Spors | Other <br> U.S. <br> Troll | Other $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{S}$. Net | Other U.S. Spor 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 85 | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 25.5\% | 0.5\% | 4.9\% | 2.7\% | 0.2\% | 17.9\% | 47.9\% |
| 86 | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 1.3\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 2.6\% | 91.1\% |
| 87 | 0.0\% | 9.9\% | 4.6\% | 7.9\% | 31.1\% | 0.0\% | 7.9\% | 0.0\% | 39.1\% |
| 88 | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 45.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 16.0\% | 22.2\% |
| 89 | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 5.8\% | 10.8\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 23.7\% | 9.4\% | 36.0\% |
| 90 | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 30.3\% | 10.1\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 26.9\% | 0.8\% | 24.8\% |
| 91 | 2.2\% | 8.9\% | 14.1\% | 8.1\% | 3.0\% | 5.9\% | 16.3\% | 0.0\% | 40.0\% |
| (85-91) | 0.3\% | 5.0\% | 18.2\% | 6.1\% | 7.8\% | 1.3\% | 11.6\% | 6.7\% | 43.0\% |
| (85-91) | 0.3\% | 5.0\% | 18.2\% | 6.1\% | 7.8\% | 1.3\% | 11.6\% | 6.7\% | 43.0\% |

## Stock: White River Spring Yearling

Reported Catch Only

| Catch Year | $\begin{gathered} - \text { Fish } \\ \text { All } \\ \text { Alaska } \end{gathered}$ | eries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings WCVI Troll | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Geo St } \end{aligned}$ | Other Canada Net | other Canada Sport | Other <br> U.S. <br> Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 82 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 67.7\% | 28.0\% |
| 83 | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.3\% | 75.5\% |
| 84 | 0.0\% | 11.1\% | 8.6\% | 9.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 17.3\% | 48.1\% |
| 85 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 31.8\% | 62.6\% |
| 86 | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.7\% | 2.9\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 21.7\% | 71.8\% |
| 87 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 5.8\% | 19.8\% | 70.8\% |
| 88 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 4.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 2.1\% | 20.9\% | 71.8\% |
| 89 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% | 1\%6\% | $0.0 \%$ | 8.9\% | 20.4\% | 65.1\% |
| 90 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 1.3\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 21.2\% | 68.3\% |
| 91 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 5.1\% | 16.1\% | 74.9\% |
| (82-91) | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 2.1\% | 2.8\% | 0.9\% | 0.4\% | 3.3\% | 25.3\% | 63.6\% |
| (85-91) | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.0\% | 2.1\% | 1.3\% | 0.6\% | 4.0\% | 21.7\% | 69.2\% |

Total Mortalities

| Catch Year | ----Fisheries with All <br> Alaska Nth/Cent |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WCVI } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Geo St } \end{aligned}$ | Other Canada Net | other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other U.S. Net | other U.S. Spor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 82 | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.6\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 53.1\% | 41.4\% |
| 83 | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 5.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.1\% | 75.5\% |
| 84 | 0.0\% | 7.0\% | 5.7\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 11.4\% | 67.7\% |
| 85 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 7.9\% | 0.0\% | 26.8\% | 68.9\% |
| 86 | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 2.7\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 21.5\% | 72.1\% |
| 87 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 11.5\% | 82.2\% |
| 88 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 3.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 2.4\% | 21.0\% | 71.4\% |
| 89 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 2.3\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 9.4\% | 18.4\% | 66.4\% |
| 90 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 1.5\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 6.4\% | 20.9\% | 68.3\% |
| 91 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 4.6\% | 12.6\% | 77.7\% |
| (82-91) | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 2.1\% | 2.4\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 3.0\% | 21.3\% | 69.2\% |
| (85-91) | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.1\% | 2.1\% | 1.2\% | 0.6\% | 3.9\% | 18.8\% | 72.4\% |

## Stock: Sooes Fall Fingerling

Reported Catch Only

| Catch Year | $\begin{gathered} - \text { Fish } \\ \text { All } \end{gathered}$ | ies with <br> All <br> h/Cent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ceilings- } \\ & \text { WCVI } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Geo St } \end{aligned}$ | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & U_{0} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{o}} \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 89 | 38.5\% | 20.5\% | 7.7\% | 0.0\% | 12.8\% | 23.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 90 | 27.4\% | 34.4\% | 21.7\% | 8.3\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% |
| 91 | 33.8\% | 34.3\% | 12.3\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% |
| (89-91) | 33.2\% | 29.7\% | 13.9\% | 2.8\% | 8.7\% | 7.7\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% |
| (89-91) | 33.2\% | 29.7\% | 13.9\% | 2.8\% | 8.7\% | 7.7\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% |

Total Mortalities

| Catch | $--F i s h$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ies with } \\ \text { All } \end{gathered}$ | ceilings WCVI | Total | Ocher Canada | other Canada | Other U.S. | Other U.S. | Other U.S. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Alaska | h/Cent | Troll | Geo St | Net | Sport | rroll | Net | Sport |
| 89 | 41.5\% | 23.2\% | 11.0\% | 2.4\% | 7.3\% | 11.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% |
| 90 | 33.7\% | 32.6\% | 20.2\% | 7.3\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% |
| 91 | 41.9\% | 30.1\% | 11.4\% | 0.0\% | 9.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.2\% |
| (89-91) | 39.0\% | 28.6\% | 14.2\% | 3.2\% | 6.2\% | 3.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% |
| (89-91) | 39.0\% | 28.6\% | 14.2\% | 3.2\% | 6.2\% | 3.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% |

## Stock: Quinault Fall Fingerling

Reported Catch Only

| Catch Year |  | heries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings WCVI Troll | Total Geo St | Other Canada Neí | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other U. $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}$ Net | Other $U_{n} S_{n}$ Sporis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 11.9\% | 17.7\% | 9.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 55.0\% | 4.6\% |
| 80 | 12.9\% | 16.1\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 61.1\% | 1.8\% |
| 81 | 7.0\% | 22.9\% | 13.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 38.7\% | 0.0\% |
| 82 | 8.6\% | 8.5\% | 9.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 73.2\% | 0.5\% |
| 83 | 21.0\% | 22.2\% | 6.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 49.4\% | 0.0\% |
| 84 | 15.8\% | 12.0\% | 14.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 55.5\% | 1.5\% |
| 85 | 14.3\% | 28.6\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 10.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 44.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 86 | 6.0\% | 14.7\% | 14.8\% | 1.6\% | 1.3\% | 2.0\% | 0.9\% | 54.6\% | 4.0\% |
| 87 | 10.6\% | 12.2\% | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 68.2\% | 0.9\% |
| 88 | 12.0\% | 16.8\% | 13.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 55.3\% | 1.2\% |
| 89 | 8.8\% | 10.9\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 74.2\% | 0.7\% |
| 90 | 17.7\% | 10.1\% | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 62.5\% | 2.4\% |
| 91 | 51.7\% | 34.1\% | 10.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 0.0\% |
| (79-91) | 15.3\% | 17.4\% | 9.2\% | 0.2\% | 2.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 53.5\% | 1.4\% |
| (85-91) | 17.3\% | 18.2\% | 8.7\% | 0.2\% | 1.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 51.8\% | 1.3\% |

Total Mortalities

| Catch | --Fish | heries with | ceilings WCVI | Total | Other Canada | Other Canada | Other <br> U.S. | Other U.S. | Other U.S. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Alaska | Nth/Cent | Troll | Geo St | Net | Sport | Troll | Net | Sport |
| 79 | 12.5\% | 18.4\% | 9.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 53.7\% | 4.3\% |
| 80 | 13.6\% | 16.4\% | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 59.7\% | 1.6\% |
| 81 | 11.5\% | 27.4\% | 13.6\% | 0.0\% | 14.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 32.5\% | 0.0\% |
| 82 | 12.1\% | 9.0\% | 8.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 69.4\% | 0.8\% |
| 83 | 22.5\% | 21.7\% | 7.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 48.3\% | 0.0\% |
| 84 | 17.6\% | 12.1\% | 14.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 53.9\% | 1.5\% |
| 85 | 28.7\% | 26.0\% | 13.1\% | 0.7\% | 5.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.5\% | 20.3\% | 4.5\% |
| 86 | 13.6\% | 17.8\% | 16.1\% | 1.2\% | 1.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.8\% | 44.5\% | 3.3\% |
| 87 | 15.6\% | 13.6\% | 8.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 60.8\% | 0.9\% |
| 88 | 14.8\% | 18.3\% | 15.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 49.9\% | 1.1\% |
| 89 | 12.6\% | 11.1\% | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 70.1\% | 0.7\% |
| 90 | 23.9\% | 9.9\% | 6.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 56.9\% | 2.2\% |
| 91 | 58.5\% | 29.9\% | 8.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% |
| (79-91) | 19.8\% | 17.8\% | 10.2\% | 0.2\% | 1.8\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 47.9\% | 1.6\% |
| (85-91) | 24.0\% | 18.1\% | 10.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 43.6\% | 1.8\% |

## Stock: Queets Fall Fingerling

Reported Catch Only

| Catch Year |  | eries with All <br> Nth/Cent | ceilings WCVI Troll | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Geo St } \end{aligned}$ | Other Canada Het | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Spori |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81 | 13.8\% | 19.3\% | 16.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 6.1\% | 43.1\% | 1.1\% |
| 82 | 12.4\% | 40.4\% | 15.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 31.4\% | 0.0\% |
| 83 | 49.8\% | 7.0\% | 5.2\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 32.8\% | 0.0\% |
| 84 | 9.7\% | 39.3\% | 11.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.9\% | 33.3\% | 0.0\% |
| 85 | 21.6\% | 50.6\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.1\% | 2.1\% |
| 86 | 48.6\% | 24.6\% | 8.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.6\% | 0.0\% |
| 87 | 40.2\% | 22.5\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 34.4\% | 0.8\% |
| 88 | 34.1\% | 17.6\% | 8.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 32.5\% | 6.3\% |
| 89 | 25.3\% | 17.8\% | 10.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 44.8\% | 2.1\% |
| 90 | 31.9\% | 17.8\% | 16.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 34.3\% | 0.0\% |
| 91 | 57.1\% | 29.2\% | 12.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% |
| (81-91) | 31.3\% | 26.0\% | 10.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 1.3\% | 29.4\% | 1.2\% |
| (85-91) | 37.0\% | 25.7\% | 8.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 26.1\% | 1.7\% |

Total Mortalities

| Catch Year | $\begin{gathered} -- \text { Fish } \\ \text { All } \\ \text { Alaska } \end{gathered}$ | eries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings WCVI Troll | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Totral } \\ & \text { Geo } \mathrm{St} \end{aligned}$ | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sporit | Other <br> U.S. <br> Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81 | 25.4\% | 26.6\% | 13.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 5.4\% | 28.1\% | 0.7\% |
| 82 | 17.8\% | 38.0\% | 14.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 28.9\% | 0.0\% |
| 83 | 55.4\% | 6.5\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 28.5\% | 0.0\% |
| 84 | 11.2\% | 39.1\% | 11.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.3\% | 31.9\% | 0.0\% |
| 85 | 24.9\% | 49.2\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0,0\% | 0.0\% | 18.3\% | 2.2\% |
| 86 | 54.6\% | 22.0\% | 7.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.3\% | 0.0\% |
| 87 | 45.9\% | 20.7\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 29.8\% | 0.9\% |
| 88 | 37.6\% | 18.4\% | 9.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 28.1\% | 5.5\% |
| 89 | 32.9\% | 18.0\% | 10.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 36.8\% | 1.9\% |
| 90 | 35.8\% | 18.0\% | 15.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 31.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 91 | 64.2\% | 24.5\% | 10.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.7\% |
| (81-91) | 36.9\% | 25.5\% | 9.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 1.3\% | 25.1\% | 1.1\% |
| $\stackrel{(85-91)}{\text { ( }}$ | 42.3\% | 24.4\% | 8.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 22.6\% | 1.6\% |

## Stock: Humtulips Fall Fingerling

Reported Catch Only

| Catch Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { Alish } \\ \text { Alaska } \end{gathered}$ | heries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings WCVI Troll | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Geo st } \end{aligned}$ | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | Other U.S. Net | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & U_{\text {I }} \text { S } \\ & \text { Soor r } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 87 | 10.8\% | 3.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 79.8\% | 4.4\% |
| 88 | 12.1\% | 14.1\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 68.5\% | 2.7\% |
| 89 | 9.9\% | 15.3\% | 1.9\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 68.7\% | 2.9\% |
| 90 | 15.4\% | 6.0\% | 10.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 67.8\% | 0.3\% |
| 91 | 28.6\% | 18.2\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 43.4\% | 2.2\% |
| (87-91) | 15.3\% | 11.5\% | 4.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.9\% | 0.1\% | 65.6\% | 2.5\% |
| (87-91) | 15.3\% | 11.5\% | 4.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 65.6\% | 2.5\% |

Total Mortalities

| Catch Year | ...-Fish All <br> Alaska | eries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings WCVI Troll | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Geo St } \end{aligned}$ | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 87 | 18.9\% | 9.3\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 64.5\% | 3.5\% |
| 88 | 21.5\% | 16.4\% | 5.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 53.3\% | 2.3\% |
| 89 | 16.8\% | 15.8\% | 3.3\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 60.3\% | 2.5\% |
| 90 | 21.3\% | 6.7\% | 11.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 60.1\% | 0.3\% |
| 91 | 40.3\% | 19.9\% | 6.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0,5\% | 0.0\% | 30.0\% | 2.5\% |
| (87-91) | 23.8\% | 13.6\% | 5.7\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 53.6\% | 2.2\% |
| $(87-91)$ | $23.8 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | $0.1 \%$ | 53.6\% | 2.2\% |

## Stock: Quillayute Summers

Reported Catch Only

| Catch Year | $\begin{gathered} -- \text { Fish } \\ \text { All } \\ \text { Alaska } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ries with } \\ & \text { All } \\ & \text { th/Cent } \end{aligned}$ | ceilings WCVI Troil | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Geo St } \end{aligned}$ | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { U.s. } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Spor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 89 | 38.2\% | 35.3\% | 23.5\% | 0.0\% | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 90 | 33.3\% | 52.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.3\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% |
| 91 | 42.2\% | 27.5\% | 13.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| (89-91) | 37.9\% | 38.3\% | 12.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% |
| (89-91) | 37.9\% | 38.3\% | 12.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% |

Total Mortalities

| Catch Year | ---Fis <br> Alaska |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Geo St } \end{gathered}$ | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other U.S. Net | other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 89 | 44.9\% | 28.6\% | 18.4\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 90 | 44.4\% | 43.5\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% |
| 91 | 50.7\% | 22.8\% | 11.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| (89-91) | 46.7\% | 31.6\% | 10.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 8.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% |
| (89-91) | 46.7\% | 31.6\% | 10.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 8.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% |

## Stock: Cowlitz Tule

Reported Catch Only

| Catch Year | ----Fish <br> All <br> Alaska | eries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings HCVI Troll | Total Geo St | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81 | 3.3\% | 6.3\% | 24.9\% | 0.0\% | 7.2\% | 0.0\% | 12.6\% | 17.4\% | 28.3\% |
| 82 | 5.6\% | 5.7\% | 22.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 1.6\% | 29.6\% | 15.0\% | 19.1\% |
| 83 | 6.5\% | 16.9\% | 28.1\% | 0.8\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 11.1\% | 7.5\% | 28.2\% |
| 84 | 7.3\% | 15.8\% | 37.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 6.8\% | 23.6\% | 6.3\% |
| 85 | 7.9\% | 16.3\% | 21.6\% | 0.8\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 8.8\% | 12.9\% | 29.4\% |
| 86 | 0.7\% | 2.1\% | 17.4\% | 0.5\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 17.5\% | 42.5\% | 17.8\% |
| 87 | 5.9\% | 6.3\% | 13.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.7\% | 14.0\% | 33.8\% | 25.5\% |
| 88 | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 22.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 4 0.0\% | 20.9\% | 33.3\% | 16.6\% |
| 89 | 7.8\% | 9.1\% | 11.9\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 32.7\% | 14.6\% | 21.4\% |
| 90 | 8.5\% | 14.8\% | 28.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 20.8\% | 0.0\% | 25.3\% |
| 91 | 18.9\% | 10.2\% | 13.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.8\% | 21.9\% | 21.4\% | 8.7\% |
| (81-91) | 6.9\% | 9.7\% | 21.8\% | 0.2\% | 2.0\% | 0.7\% | 17.9\% | 20.2\% | 20.6\% |
| (85-91) | 7.5\% | 8.8\% | 18.2\% | 0.2\% | 1.5\% | 0.9\% | 19.5\% | 22.7\% | 20.7\% |

Total Mortalities

| Catch Year | ---Fish <br> All <br> Alaska | eries with All <br> Nth/Cent | ceilings WCVI Troll | Total Geo St | Other Canada Net | other Canada Sport | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Trol } \end{aligned}$ | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Spore |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81 | 5.6\% | 5.6\% | 25.9\% | 0.0\% | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.0\% | 15.6\% | 24.3\% |
| 82 | 7.3\% | 5.5\% | 22.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.6\% | 29.9\% | 14.6\% | 17.6\% |
| 83 | 8.2\% | 17.1\% | 28.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 11.7\% | 7.1\% | 26.2\% |
| 84 | 8.7\% | 15.9\% | 36.8\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.0\% | 22.7\% | 6.0\% |
| 85 | 10.9\% | 15.1\% | 21.5\% | 0.9\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 9.1\% | 13.0\% | 27.3\% |
| 86 | 1.2\% | 2.1\% | 17.7\% | 0.5\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 18.4\% | 40.3\% | 18.6\% |
| 87 | 8.2\% | 6.9\% | 14.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 14.1\% | 30.9\% | 24.5\% |
| 88 | 4.0\% | 3.2\% | 24.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 21.1\% | 31.2\% | 15.5\% |
| 89 | 10.2\% | 9.4\% | 12.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 32.7\% | 13.3\% | 19.8\% |
| 90 | 10.1\% | 14.9\% | 29.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 20.3\% | 0.0\% | 23.7\% |
| 91 | 26.1\% | 10.6\% | 13.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.6\% | 20.7\% | 17.7\% | 7.1\% |
| (81-91) | 9.1\% | 9.7\% | 22.3\% | 0.2\% | 1.8\% | 0.6\% | 18.4\% | 18.8\% | 19.2\% |
| (85-91) | 10.1\% | 8.9\% | 18.9\% | 0.2\% | 1.3\% | 0.7\% | 19.5\% | 20.9\% | 19.5\% |

## Stock: Spring Creek Tule

Reported Catch Only

| Catch Year | $\begin{array}{r} --F i s h \\ \text { All } \\ \text { Alaska } \end{array}$ | heries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings WCVI Troll | Total Geo St | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 26.2\% | 3.0\% | 4.4\% | 0.1\% | 16.8\% | 29.8\% | 19.1\% |
| 80 | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 29.4\% | 3.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.3\% | 28.2\% | 24.2\% | 12.9\% |
| 81 | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 23.6\% | 1.9\% | 3.2\% | 0.7\% | 25.8\% | 24.5\% | 20.0\% |
| 82 | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 23.6\% | 1.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 25.1\% | 39.0\% | 10.4\% |
| 83 | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 4. 4.5 | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 12.0\% | 29.1\% | 14.2\% |
| 84 | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 40.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.5\% | 8.8\% | 36.8\% | 8.8\% |
| 85 | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 24.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.9\% | 21.4\% | 45.8\% | 6.6\% |
| 86 | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 26.6\% | 1.9\% | 1.7\% | 3.9\% | 4.2\% | 50.2\% | 8.7\% |
| 87 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 22.1\% | 43.6\% | 23.9\% |
| 88 | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 28.5\% | 1.1\% | 1.2\% | 0.6\% | 19.5\% | 36.9\% | 11.2\% |
| 89 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 17.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 1.0\% | 30.9\% | 41.8\% | 8.2\% |
| 90 | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 21.4\% | 0.6\% | 1.1\% | 2.2\% | 21.0\% | 33.9\% | 19.1\% |
| 91 | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 16.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% | 21.8\% | 44.2\% | 15.7\% |
| (79-91) | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 25.4\% | 1.2\% | 1.2\% | 0.9\% | 19.8\% | 36.9\% | 13.8\% |
| (85-91) | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 20.7\% | 0.6\% | 0.8\% | 1.4\% | 20.1\% | 42.3\% | 13.3\% |

Total Moritalities


Stock: Bonneville Tule

Reported Catch Only


Total Mortalities

| Catch Year | ---Fish <br> All <br> Alaska | heries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings WCVI Troll | Total Geo St | Other Canada Net | Other <br> Canada <br> Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80 | 0.1\% | 3.1\% | 26. $2 \%$ | 1.6\% | 4.2\% | 2.9\% | 18.9\% | 8.1\% | 35.0\% |
| 81 | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 36.5\% | 4.8\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 37.3\% | 3.0\% | 13.3\% |
| 82 | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 46.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.8\% | 30.1\% | 8.0\% |
| 83 | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 56.0\% | 4.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 16.6\% | 7.4\% | 9.3\% |
| 84 | 0.0\% | 8.7\% | 54.9\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 24.4\% | 4.4\% |
| 85 | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 55.2\% | 0.0\% | 6.9\% | 1.8\% | 22.3\% | 7.1\% | 5.2\% |
| 86 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 3.2\% | 5.5\% | 2.9\% | 1.9\% | 26.3\% | 56.0\% |
| 87 | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 37.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | 1.1\% | 20.7\% | 26.3\% | 11.3\% |
| (80-87) | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 39.6\% | 1.8\% | 3.0\% | 1.2\% | 16.9\% | 16.6\% | 17.8\% |
| (85-91) | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 32.1\% | 1.2\% | 4.2\% | 1.9\% | 15.0\% | 19.9\% | 24.2\% |

Stock: Stayton Pond Tule

Reported Catch Only

| Catch Year | $\begin{gathered} -- \text { Fish } \\ \text { All } \\ \text { Alaska } \end{gathered}$ | heries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings WCVI Troll | Total <br> Geo St | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 82 | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 32.7\% | 1.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 29.0\% | 19.2\% | 13.9\% |
| 83 | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 50.1\% | 2.2\% | 0.9\% | 0.9\% | 18.4\% | 10.1\% | 13.6\% |
| 84 | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 70.1\% | 2.9\% | 2.2\% | 0.4\% | 6.5\% | 10.4\% | 4.8\% |
| 85 | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 45.3\% | 2.8\% | 1.8\% | 0.7\% | 30.6\% | 5.2\% | 11.7\% |
| 86 | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 27.3\% | 4.3\% | 10.1\% | 5.3\% | 22.3\% | 12.6\% | 16.0\% |
| 87 | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 35.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.3\% | 2.3\% | 21.1\% | 24.6\% | 13.4\% |
| 88 | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 41.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 19.6\% | . $30.6 \%$ | 4.9\% |
| 89 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 26.7\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 0.0\% | 49.2\% | 9.8\% | 10.3\% |
| 90 | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 29.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 51.7\% | 0.6\% | 15.6\% |
| 91 | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 27.8\% | 1.9\% | 6.2\% | 3.7\% | 13.9\% | 6.5\% | 39.5\% |
| (82-91) | 0.1\% | 1.7\% | 38.7\% | 1.6\% | 2.8\% | 1.5\% | 26.2\% | 13.0\% | 14.4\% |
| (85-91) | 0.1\% | 1.0\% | 33.4\% | 1.4\% | 3.5\% | 2.0\% | 29.8\% | 12.9\% | 15.9\% |

Total Mortalities

| Catch Year | $\begin{gathered} ---F i s h \\ \text { All } \\ \text { Alaska } \end{gathered}$ | heries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings WCVI Troll | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Geo St } \end{aligned}$ | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 82 | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 33.1\% | 1.7\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 29.4\% | 18.5\% | 13.7\% |
| 83 | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 49.6\% | 2.3\% | 0.8\% | 0.9\% | 18.9\% | 9.5\% | 14.1\% |
| 84 | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 70.2\% | 2.8\% | 2.0\% | 0.3\% | 6.8\% | 9.7\% | 5.4\% |
| 85 | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 45.2\% | 2.6\% | 1.6\% | 0.6\% | 32.1\% | 5.1\% | 10.9\% |
| 86 | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 21.8\% | 5.6\% | 7.7\% | 4.8\% | 18.2\% | 11.2\% | 28.6\% |
| 87 | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 41.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 1.8\% | 20.8\% | 20.3\% | 13.0\% |
| 88 | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 45.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 19.3\% | 28.1\% | 4.5\% |
| 89 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 28.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 49.8\% | 8.6\% | 10.0\% |
| 90 | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 30.9\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 51.4\% | 0.5\% | 14.9\% |
| 91 | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 22.5\% | 14.9\% | 4.2\% | 3.3\% | 11.2\% | 5.5\% | 37.9\% |
| (82-91) | 0.1\% | 1.7\% | 38.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.2\% | 1.4\% | 25.8\% | 11.7\% | 15.3\% |
| (85-91) | 0.1\% | 1.1\% | 33.6\% | 3.4\% | 2.8\% | 1.7\% | 29.0\% | 11.3\% | 17.1\% |

## Stock: Upriver Bright

Reported Catch Only

| Catch Year | --Fi ish All Alaska | heries with All Nth/Cent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ceilings } \\ & \text { WCVI } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | Total Geo St | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 26.1\% | 20.2\% | 17.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 30.9\% | 2.3\% |
| 80 | 46.5\% | 20.4\% | 13.4\% | 1.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 12.6\% | 2.8\% |
| 81 | 43.8\% | 23.8\% | 11.2\% | 0.8\% | 2.7\% | 0.8\% | 1.9\% | 10.6\% | 4.4\% |
| 82 | 27.6\% | 28.0\% | 21.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 13.2\% | 3.9\% |
| 83 | 36.8\% | 35.6\% | 7.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 18.1\% | 0.0\% |
| 84 | 33.5\% | 22.1\% | 13.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 26.8\% | 2.4\% |
| 85 | 24.7\% | 14.7\% | 10.5\% | 0.1\% | 2.2\% | 0.1\% | 1.0\% | 42.3\% | 4.5\% |
| 86 | 18.6\% | 13.7\% | 12.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | - 0.2\% | 11.9\% | 4 48.0\% | . $4.3 \%$ |
| 87 | 18.6\% | 18.0\% | 9.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 1.9\% | 46.4\% | 5.0\% |
| 88 | 14.1\% | 10.3\% | 12.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 57.0\% | 3.2\% |
| 89 | 11.9\% | 16.8\% | 9.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 56.0\% | 2.8\% |
| 90 | 19.9\% | 14.7\% | 11.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 47.1\% | 3.0\% |
| 91 | 15.5\% | 11.2\% | 21.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 38.6\% | 11.9\% |
| (79-91) | 26.0\% | 19.2\% | 13.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.9\% | 0.2\% | 1.9\% | 34.4\% | 3.9\% |
| (85-91) | 17.6\% | 14.2\% | 12.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 2.1\% | 47.9\% | 4.9\% |

Total Mortalities

| Catch Year | ---Fish <br> All <br> Alaska | eries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings WCVI Troll | Total Geo St | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 26.7\% | 20.1\% | 17.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 30.2\% | 2.3\% |
| 80 | 47.1\% | 20.3\% | 13.4\% | 1.7\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 12.1\% | 2.8\% |
| 81 | 47.9\% | 22.4\% | 10.8\% | 0.7\% | 2.2\% | 0.7\% | 2.0\% | 9.3\% | 4.2\% |
| 82 | 38.5\% | 24.0\% | 18.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 11.0\% | 2.9\% |
| 83 | 44.7\% | 32.3\% | 7.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 14.4\% | 0.0\% |
| 84 | 38.1\% | 20.7\% | 12.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 24.5\% | 2.3\% |
| 85 | 28.3\% | 13.7\% | 10.0\% | 0.1\% | 2.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.0\% | 40.4\% | 4.5\% |
| 86 | 21.3\% | 13.0\% | 12.8\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 2.0\% | 45.9\% | 4.4\% |
| 87 | 25.1\% | 18.7\% | 10.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 1.9\% | 38.9\% | 4.4\% |
| 88 | 19.2\% | 11.2\% | 14.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 50.2\% | 2.8\% |
| 89 | 18.3\% | 17.7\% | 9.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 49.1\% | 2.5\% |
| 90 | 24.0\% | 15.3\% | 11.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 42.7\% | 2.8\% |
| 91 | 21.2\% | 11.5\% | 20.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 35.0\% | 10.3\% |
| (79-91) | 30.8\% | 18.5\% | 13.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.1\% | 1.9\% | 31.1\% | 3.5\% |
| (85-91) | 22.5\% | 14.4\% | 12.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 2.0\% | 43.2\% | 4.5\% |

Stock: Hanford Wild

Reported Catch Only

| Catch Year | ---Fish All Alaska | eries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings WCVI Troll | Total Geo St | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 90 \\ & 91 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.8 \% \\ & 17.5 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.6 \% \\ 19.3 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.9 \% \\ 6.9 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \% \\ & 1.5 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 \% \\ & 0.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.8 \% \\ & 0.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8 \% \\ & 1.6 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47.0 \% \\ & 44.2 \% \end{aligned}$ | 7.6\% 8.9\% |
| (90-91) | 16.7\% | 14.4\% | 11.4\% | 0.8\% | 0.2\% | 1.4\% | 1.2\% | 45.6\% | 8.3\% |
| (90-91) | 16.7\% | 14.4\% | 11.4\% | 0.8\% | 0.2\% | 1.4\% | 1.2\% | 45.6\% | 8.3\% |

Total Mortalities

| Catch Year |  | heries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings WCVI Troll | Total Geo St | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 90 | 19.1\% | 10.1\% | 15.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 2.6\% | 0.9\% | 44.3\% | 7.3\% |
| 91 | 22.9\% | 19.0\% | 6.9\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 40.0\% | 8.1\% |
| (90-91) | 21.0\% | 14.5\% | 11.1\% | 0.8\% | 0.2\% | 1.3\% | 1.2\% | 42.2\% | 7.7\% |
| (90-91) | 21.0\% | 14.5\% | 11.1\% | 0.8\% | 0.2\% | 1.3\% | 1.2\% | 42.2\% | 7.7\% |

## Stock: Lewis River Wild

Reported Catch Only

| Catch Year | ---Fish <br> All <br> Alaska | heries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings WCVI Troll | Total Geo St | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81 | 16.4\% | 16.6\% | 14.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 7.9\% | 37.8\% |
| 82 | 13.4\% | 9.3\% | 18.8\% | 0.8\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 8.1\% | 10.9\% | 37.4\% |
| 86 | 9.2\% | 8.0\% | 11.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.8\% | 4.8\% | 42.5\% | 19.7\% |
| 87 | 6.7\% | 10.6\% | 14.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 4.7\% | 44.8\% | 18.1\% |
| 88 | 6.8\% | 5.6\% | 14.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 7.6\% | 38.0\% | 27.1\% |
| 89 | 5.4\% | 16.5\% | 14.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 1.0\% | 12.9\% | 26.7\% | 20.7\% |
| 90 | 15.5\% | 10.5\% | 37.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 13.8\% | 10.1\% | 10.2\% |
| 91 | 14.5\% | 12.7\% | 12.6\% | - $0.0 \%$ | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 5.2\% | 37.2\% | 16.3\% |
| (81-91) | 11.0\% | 11.2\% | 17.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.9\% | 1.0\% | 7.7\% | 27.3\% | 23.4\% |
| (85-91) | 9.7\% | 10.6\% | 17.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.4\% | 8.2\% | 33.2\% | 18.7\% |

Total Mortalities

| Catch Year | ---Fish <br> All <br> Alaska | eries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings WCVI Troll | Total Geo St | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81 | 17.9\% | 15.7\% | 15.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 5.4\% | 8.8\% | 35.4\% |
| 82 | 16.5\% | 9.3\% | 18.4\% | 0.7\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 8.0\% | 10.1\% | 35.8\% |
| 86 | 10.9\% | 8.4\% | 12.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 5.2\% | 40.4\% | 18.4\% |
| 87 | 8.4\% | 10.5\% | 14.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 4.5\% | 44.1\% | 17.1\% |
| 88 | 7.5\% | 6.0\% | 16.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 7.6\% | 37.0\% | 25.5\% |
| 89 | 7.5\% | 17.2\% | 15.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 0.9\% | 12.9\% | 24.8\% | 19.3\% |
| 90 | 18.2\% | 10.3\% | 37.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 13.4\% | 9.2\% | 9.3\% |
| 91 | 18.0\% | 12.5\% | 12.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 34.7\% | 15.9\% |
| (81-91) | 13.1\% | 11.2\% | 17.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 0.9\% | 7.7\% | 26.1\% | 22.1\% |
| (85-91) | 11.8\% | 10.8\% | 18.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 1.2\% | 8.1\% | 31.7\% | 17.6\% |

## Stock: Lyons Ferry

Reported Catch Only

| Catch Year | ---Fish <br> All <br> Alaska | heries with All Nth/Cent | ceiling WCVI Troll | Total Geo St | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 88 | 4.6\% | 6.0\% | 27.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 14.6\% | 42.4\% | 5.2\% |
| 89 | 5.5\% | 10.2\% | 18.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.8\% | 13.6\% | 38.6\% | 11.6\% |
| 90 | 6.9\% | 4.9\% | 20.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.7\% | 44.1\% | 9.3\% |
| 91 | 22.3\% | 5.7\% | 9.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 10.1\% | 39.5\% | 12.3\% |
| (88-91) | 9.8\% | 6.7\% | 18.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | 13.2\% | 41.2\% | 9.6\% |
| (88-91) | 9.8\% | < $6.7 \%$ | 18.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | 13.2\% | 41.2\% | 9.6\% |

Total Mortalities

| Catch Year |  | cries with All Nth/Cent | ceiling WCVI Troll | Total Geo St | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 88 | 5.2\% | 6.4\% | 28.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 14.8\% | 39.7\% | 5.1\% |
| 89 | 7.0\% | 10.8\% | 20.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.7\% | 14.5\% | 34.8\% | 10.4\% |
| 90 | 9.7\% | 5.3\% | 21.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.1\% | 39.9\% | 8.4\% |
| 91 | 25.2\% | 6.2\% | 10.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 9.6\% | 36.8\% | 11.2\% |
| (88-91) | 11.8\% | 7.2\% | 20.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 13.5\% | 37.8\% | 8.7\% |
| (88-91) | 11.8\% | 7.2\% | 20.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 13.5\% | 37.8\% | 8.7\% |

Stock: Willamette Spring

Reported Catch Only

| Catch Year |  | ies with All h/Cent | ceilings WCVI Troll | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Geo St } \end{aligned}$ | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80 | 19.3\% | 39.8\% | 10.9\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 0.1\% | 24.5\% |
| 81 | 18.4\% | 38.9\% | 6.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 6.9\% | 27.6\% |
| 82 | 14.2\% | 11.8\% | 9.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 10.7\% | 52.0\% |
| 83 | 9.6\% | 3.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 28.3\% | 55.2\% |
| 84 | 6.2\% | 4.5\% | 3.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 38.5\% | 45.7\% |
| 85 | 21.5\% | 3.8\% | 2.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 25.6\% | 45.3\% |
| 86 | 3.8\% | 16.5\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 35.1\% | 36.9\% |
| 87 | 9.9\% | 56.3\% | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 3.8\% | 24.4\% |
| 88 | 16.6\% | 15.4\% | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 6.4\% | 51.5\% |
| 89 | 11.3\% | 7.4\% | 4.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 2.7\% | 21.8\% | 51.8\% |
| 90 | 13.8\% | 3.5\% | 3.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 2.2\% | 26.6\% | 49.8\% |
| 91 | 7.3\% | 3.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 2.1\% | 16.5\% | 69.9\% |
| (80-91) | 12.6\% | 17.0\% | 4.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 2.0\% | 18.4\% | 44.6\% |
| (85-91) | 12.0\% | 15.1\% | 3.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 2.1\% | 19.4\% | 47.1\% |

Total Mortalities

| Catch Year | $\begin{gathered} -\cdots-F i s h \\ \text { All } \end{gathered}$ Alaska | ies with <br> All <br> th/Cent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ceilings } \\ & \text { WCVI } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Geo St } \end{aligned}$ | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other <br> U.S. <br> Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other <br> U.S. <br> Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80 | 22.3\% | 38.5\% | 9.9\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.6\% | 24.2\% |
| 81 | 19.9\% | 36.8\% | 6.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 5.9\% | 29.5\% |
| 82 | 16.7\% | 11.7\% | 8.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 9.1\% | 51.8\% |
| 83 | 11.4\% | 3.7\% | 0.8\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 26.4\% | 55.0\% |
| 84 | 7.5\% | 5.2\% | 3.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 35.6\% | 46.0\% |
| 85 | 28.4\% | 3.4\% | 2.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 21.1\% | 43.6\% |
| 86 | 5.3\% | 18.9\% | 7.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 32.5\% | 34.3\% |
| 87 | 46.4\% | 28.3\% | 5.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.8\% | 1.7\% | 13.1\% |
| 88 | 22.1\% | 15.4\% | 5.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 6.4\% | 46.8\% |
| 89 | 15.8\% | 8.1\% | 4.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 2.7\% | 18.3\% | 49.8\% |
| 90 | 18.0\% | 4.0\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 2.3\% | 23.2\% | 48.1\% |
| 91 | 11.1\% | 3.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 2.2\% | 14.8\% | 67.1\% |
| (80-91) | 18.7\% | 14.8\% | 4.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 2.3\% | 16.3\% | 42.4\% |
| (85-91) | 21.0\% | 11.6\% | 4.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 2.5\% | 16.9\% | 43.2\% |

Stock: Salmon River

Reported Catch Only

| Catch Year | ---Fish <br> All <br> Alaska | heries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings HCVI Troll | Total Geo St | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81 | 29.2\% | 58.0\% | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% |
| 82 | 32.0\% | 39.7\% | 22.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% |
| 83 | 34.7\% | 47.8\% | 14.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% |
| 84 | 25.8\% | 62.8\% | 6.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 1.1\% |
| 85 | 45.5\% | 50.2\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 86 | 44.5\% | 45.2\% | 8.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% |
| 87 | 30.7\% | 48.6\% | 9.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% |
| 88 | 39.8\% | 34.3\% | 16.2\% | 0.0\% | - $0.0 \%$ | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 6.1\% |
| 89 | 38.9\% | 45.4\% | 10.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% |
| 90 | 32.8\% | 40.1\% | 15.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% |
| 91 | 44.1\% | 39.5\% | 13.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% |
| (81-91) | 36.2\% | 46.5\% | 11.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 2.7\% | 0.1\% | 2.4\% |
| (85-91) | 39.5\% | 43.3\% | 11.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% |

Total Mortalities

| Catch Year | $\begin{array}{r} -- \text { Fish } \\ \text { All } \\ \text { Alaska } \end{array}$ | heries with All Nth/Cent | ceilings WCVI Troll | Total Geo St | Other Canada Net | Other Canada Sport | Other U.S. Troll | Other U.S. Net | Other U.S. Sport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81 | 31.1\% | 56.1\% | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.4\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% |
| 82 | 37.2\% | 36.8\% | 20.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% |
| 83 | 38.9\% | 44.9\% | 13.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% |
| 84 | 30.0\% | 59.3\% | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 1.0\% |
| 85 | 54.9\% | 39.9\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% |
| 86 | 48.4\% | 39.5\% | 8.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% |
| 87 | 40.1\% | 43.9\% | 7.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% |
| 88 | 41.6\% | 34.0\% | 15.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 5.3\% |
| 89 | 43.8\% | 41.7\% | 9.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% |
| 90 | 38.0\% | 37.8\% | 14.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% |
| 91 | 51.4\% | 34.3\% | 11.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% |
| (81-91) | 41.4\% | 42.6\% | 11.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 2.5\% | 0.1\% | 2.1\% |
| (85-91) | 45.5\% | 38.7\% | 10.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% |


APPENDIX HChinook Model Estimates of Stock Composition of Total Fishing Mortality in Ceiling Fisheries,Percent of Total Stock Mortality Occurring in Fishery,and Status of Associated Escapement Indicator Stock
Stock composition and mortality distribution are average for the years 1985-1991. See Section4.5 for additional description of tables.Page
SE Alaska All Gear ..... H-1
North/Central B.C. All Gear ..... H-2
West Coast Vancouver Island Troll ..... H-3
GS Sport and Troll ..... H-4

FISHERY: SE ALASKA ALL GEAR

| Model Stock | Percent <br> Fishery | Percent | Stock |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

FISHERY: NORTH/CENTRAL B.C ALL GEAR

| Model Stock | Percent Fishery | Percent Stock | $\qquad$ Name | $\frac{\text { tock }}{\text { Status }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Columbia Upriver Bright | 22.34\% | 18.42\% | Columbia Upriver Bright | Above Goal |
| WCVI Hatchery | 12.60\% | 26.32\% | NA |  |
| North/Central BC | 12.42\% | 47.34\% | Yakoun <br> Skeena <br> Rivers Inlet Nass <br> Area 8 Index Smith Inlet Area 6 Index | Above Goal Above Goal Probably Rebui Iding Indeterminate Prob. Not Rebuilding Prob. Not Rebuilding Not Rebuilding |
| Oregon Coastal North Migrating | 12.26\% | 30.68\% | Oregon Coastal | Increasing |
| Fraser Early | 8.41\% | 35.57\% | Upper Fraser Middle Fraser Thompson | Above Goal <br> Above Goal <br> Indeterminate |
| WCVI Wild | 5.18\% | 26.21\% | WCVI | Prob. Not Rebuilding |
| Upper Georgia Strait | 4.29\% | 51.07\% | Upper Georgia Strait | Indeterminate |
| Willamette River Hatchery | 3.98\% | 17.17\% | NA |  |
| Fraser Late | 3.48\% | 3.48\% | Harrison | Prob. Not Rebuilding |
| Washington Coastal Wild | 2.92\% | 19.55\% | Grays Harbor Fall Quillayute Fall Hoh Fall Queets Fall | Above Goal Increasing Increasing Increasing |
| WA Coastal Hatchery | 2.48\% | 19.61\% | NA |  |
| Lower GS Hatchery | 1.61\% | $14.59 \%$ | NA |  |
| Columbia Upriver Summer | 1.48\% | 28.23\% | Columbia Upriver Summer | Prob. Not Rebuilding |
| Lower Bonneville Hatchery | 1.22\% | 1.89\% | NA |  |
| Lower Georgia Strait | 0.99\% | 14.70\% | Lower Georgia Strait | Prob. Not Rebuilding |
| Nooksack Fall | 0.85\% | 2.10\% | NA |  |
| Spring Cowlitz Hatchery | 0.85\% | 3.90\% | NA |  |
| Skagit Summer/Fall | 0.58\% | 18.26\% | Skagit Sum/Fall | Indeterminate |
| Lewis River Wild | 0.46\% | 7.26\% | Lewis River | Above Goal |
| PS Hatchery Fingerling | 0.36\% | 1.24\% | NA |  |
| PS Yearling | 0.30\% | 3.24\% | NA |  |
| Puget Sound Natural | 0.27\% | 1.14\% | Green | Above Goal |
| Fall Cowlitz Hatchery | 0.25\% | 5.16\% | NA |  |
| Snohomish Summer/Fall | 0.25\% | 12.68\% | Snohomish | Not Rebuilding |
| Stillaguamish Summer/Fall | 0.06\% | 14.26\% | Stillaguamish | Indeterminate |
| Snake River Fall | 0.04\% | 9.56\% | Not Represented |  |
| Alaska South SE | 0.04\% | 5.07\% | Andrew Creek Keta <br> King Salmon Chickamin Unuk Blossom | Above Goal Above Goal Prob, Not Rebuilding Prob. Not Rebuilding Prob. Not Rebuilding Prob. Not Rebuilding |
| Spring Creek Hatchery | 0.04\% | 0.44\% | NA |  |
| Nooksack Spring | 0.01\% | 3.08\% | Not Represented |  |

FISHERY: WCVI TROLL

| Model Stock | Percent Fishery | Percent Stock | $\qquad$ | $\frac{\text { tock }}{\text { Stat }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fraser Late | 25.32\% | 24.68\% | Harrison | Prob. Not Rebuilding |
| Lower Bonneville Hatchery | 17.43\% | 37.18\% | NA |  |
| Columbia Upriver Bright | 16.85\% | 15.24\% | Columbia Upriver Bright | Above Goal |
| WCVI Hatchery | 5.61\% | 11.46\% | NA |  |
| Nooksack Fall | 5.43\% | 14.18\% | NA |  |
| PS Hatchery Fingerling | 4.73\% | 17.07\% | NA |  |
| Oregon Coastal North Migrating | 3.69\% | 9.99\% | Oregon Coastal | Increasing |
| Puget Sound Natural | 3.67\% | 16.30\% | Green | Above Goal |
| WCVI Wild | 2.26\% | 11.31\% | WCVI | Prob. Not Rebuilding |
| Spring Creek Hatchery | 2.19\% | 22.27\% | NA |  |
| Spring Cowlitz Hatchery | 1.89\% | 9.47\% | NA |  |
| Willamette River Hatchery | 1.58\% | 7.40\% | NA |  |
| Columbia Upriver Summer | 1.40\% | 29.79\% | Columbia Upriver Summer | Prob. Not Rebuilding |
| Fall Cowlitz Hatchery | 1.32\% | 30.31\% | NA |  |
| Washington Coastal Wild | 1.15\% | 8.27\% | Grays Harbor Fall Quil layute Fall Hoh Fall Queets Fall | Above Goal Increasing Increasing Increasing |
| WA Coastal Hatchery | 1.05\% | 8.54\% | NA |  |
| PS Yearling | 0.95\% | 11.11\% | NA |  |
| Fraser Early | 0.94\% | 4.23\% | Upper Fraser <br> Middle Fraser <br> Thompson | Above Goal Above Goal Indeterminate |
| Lewis River Wild | 0.70\% | 12.43\% | Lewis River | Above Goal |
| Skagit Summer/Fall | 0.67\% | 23.01\% | Skagit | Indeterminate |
| Lower GS Hatchery | 0.31\% | 2.75\% | NA |  |
| Snohomish Summer/Fall | 0.28\% | 15.45\% | Snohomish | Not Rebuilding |
| Lower Georgia Strait | 0.19\% | 2.75\% | Lower Georgia Strait | Prob. Not Rebuilding |
| North/Central BC | 0.13\% | 0.52\% | Yakoun <br> Skeena <br> Rivers Inlet Nass <br> Area 8 Index <br> Smith Inlet <br> Area 6 Index | Above Goal <br> Above Goal <br> Probably Rebuilding <br> Indeterminate <br> Prob. Not Rebuilding Prob. Not Rebuilding Prob. Not Rebuilding Not Rebuilding |
| Snake River Fall | 0.11\% | 28.04\% | Not Represented |  |
| Upper Georgia Strait | 0.06\% | 0.71\% | Upper Georgia Strait | Indeterminate |
| Stillaguamish Summer/Fall | 0.06\% | 15.17\% | Stillaguamish | Indeterminate |
| Nooksack Spring | 0.03\% | 10.19\% | Not Represented |  |
| Alaska South SE | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | Andrew Creek Keta <br> King Salmon Chickamin Unuk <br> Blossom | Above Goal <br> Above Goal <br> Prob. Not Rebuilding <br> Prob. Not Rebuilding <br> Prob. Not Rebuilding Prob. Not Rebuilding |

FISHERY: GS SPORT AND TROLL

| Model Stock | Percent Fishery Fishery | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { Stock } \end{aligned}$ | Name <br> Escapement Indicator | $\frac{\text { Stock }}{\text { Status }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fraser Late | 53.36\% | 43.70\% | Harrison | Prob. Not Rebuilding |
| Nooksack Fall | 10.79\% | 21.93\% | NA |  |
| Lower GS Hatchery | 9.69\% | 70.68\% | NA |  |
| Lower Georgia Strait | 5.96\% | 70.30\% | Lower Georgia Strait | Prob. Not Rebuilding |
| PS Hatchery Fingerling | 3.34\% | 8.76\% | NA |  |
| Columbia Upriver Bright | 2.75\% | 1.50\% | Columbia Upriver Bright | Above Goal |
| PS Yearling | 2.46\% | 20.51\% | NA |  |
| Puget Sound Natural | 2.37\% | 7.61\% | Green | Above Goal |
| Lower Bonneville Hatchery | 2.28\% | 3.16\% | NA |  |
| Upper Georgia Strait | 1.29\% | 12.23\% | Upper Georgia Strait | Indeterminate |
| Fraser Early | 1.05\% | 3.62\% | Upper Fraser <br> Middle Fraser Thompson | Above Goal Above Goal Indeterminate |
| Washington Coastal Wild | 0.84\% | 4.17\% | Grays Harbor Fall Quillayute Fall Hoh Fall Queets Fall | Above Goal Increasing Increasing Increasing |
| WA Coastal Hatchery | 0.84\% | 4.62\% | NA |  |
| Skagit Summer/Fall | 0.76\% | 17.56\% | Skagit Sum/Fall | Indeterminate |
| WCVI Hatchery | 0.72\% | 1.22\% | NA |  |
| Snohomish Summer/Fall | 0.34\% | 13.38\% | Snohomish | Not Rebuilding |
| WCVI Wild | 0.27\% | 1.13\% | WCVI | Prob. Not Rebuild |
| Columbia Upriver Summer | 0.22\% | 3.00\% | Columbia Upriver Summer | Prob. Not Rebuil.ding |
| Nooksack Spring | 0.18\% | 52.31\% | Not Represented |  |
| Spring Creek Hatchery | 0.16\% | 1.50\% | NA |  |
| North/Central BC | 0.10\% | 0.32\% | Yakoun <br> Skeena <br> Rivers Inlet Nass <br> Area 8 Index Smith Inlet Area 6 Index | Above Goal <br> Above Goal <br> Probably Rebui lding <br> Indeterminate <br> Prob. Not Rebuilding <br> Prob. Not Rebuilding <br> Not Rebuilding |
| Stillaguamish Summer/Fall | 0.08\% | 17.27\% | Stillaguamish | Indeterminate |
| Spring Cowlitz Hatchery | 0.06\% | 0.22\% | NA |  |
| Willamette River Hatchery | 0.05\% | 0.17\% | NA |  |
| Lewis River Wild | 0.02\% | 0.27\% | Lewis River | Above Goal |
| Fall Cowlitz Hatchery | 0.01\% | 0.09\% | NA |  |
| Snake River Fall | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | Not Represented |  |
| Oregon Coastal North Migrating | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | Oregon Coastal | Increas ing |
| Alaska South SE | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | Andrew Creek Keta <br> King Salmon Chickamin Unuk Blossom | Above Goal <br> Above Goal <br> Prob. Not Rebuilding <br> Prob. Not Rebuilding <br> Prob. Not Rebuilding <br> Prob. Not Rebuilding |

## APPENDIX I

## Catch By Fishery, 1975-1991

## See Table 1-1 footnotes for explanation of catch areas.
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Southeast Alaska

| Year | Southeast Alaska |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Troll | Net | Sport | Total |
|  | 287342 | 13365 | 17000 | 317707 |
| 1976 | 231239 | 10523 | 17000 | 258762 |
| 1977 | 271735 | 13443 | 17000 | 302178 |
| 1978 | 375919 | 25492 | 17000 | 418411 |
| 1979 | 389151 | 28455 | 17000 | 434606 |
| 1980 | 303885 | 20114 | 20000 | 343999 |
| 1981 | 248791 | 18951 | 21000 | 288742 |
| 1982 | 242315 | 48999 | 26000 | 317314 |
| 1983 | 269790 | 19655 | 22321 | 311766 |
| 1984 | 235629 | 32398 | 22049 | 290076 |
| 1985 | 216086 | 35469 | 24858 | 276413 |
| 1986 | 237557 | 22302 | 22551 | 282410 |
| 1987 | 242025 | 15539 | 24323 | 281887 |
| 1988 | 231281 | 21450 | 26160 | 278891 |
| 1989 | 235731 | 24276 | 31071 | 291078 |
| 1990 | 287931 | 27696 | 51200 | 366827 |
| 1991 | 263756 | 32807 | 60400 | 356963 |

North/Central B.C.

| Year | North/Central B.C. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Troll | Net | Sport | Total |
|  | 327883 | 66080 | NA | NA |
| 1976 | 315596 | 48782 | NA | NA |
| 1977 | 242325 | 76605 | 8795 | 327725 |
| 1978 | 233249 | 63632 | 11457 | 308338 |
| 1979 | 244706 | 91085 | 15302 | 351093 |
| 1980 | 249675 | 54610 | 19669 | 323954 |
| 1981 | 21869 | 60636 | 11425 | 290760 |
| 1982 | 237536 | 77316 | 17274 | 332126 |
| 1983 | 253688 | 29659 | 12353 | 295700 |
| 1984 | 254157 | 35935 | 10525 | 300617 |
| 1985 | 211979 | 52156 | 9867 | 274002 |
| 1986 | 201604 | 46998 | 12619 | 261221 |
| 1987 | 239693 | 29260 | 13827 | 282780 |
| 1988 | 181907 | 44382 | 19307 | 245596 |
| 1989 | 244947 | 40560 | 35333 | 300840 |
| 1990 | 179130 | 41911 | 30862 | 251903 |
| 1991 | 220625 | 50067 | 32496 | 303188 |

West Coast Vancouver Island

|  | West Coast Vancouver Island |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Troll | Net | Sport | Total |  |
| 1975 | 547402 | 19233 | NA | NA |  |
| 1976 | 656161 | 17492 | NA | NA |  |
| 1977 | 566571 | 13745 | 11023 | 591339 |  |
| 1978 | 555259 | 25143 | 8974 | 589376 |  |
| 1979 | 480373 | 35623 | 7964 | 523960 |  |
| 1980 | 488155 | 34732 | 8539 | 531426 |  |
| 1981 | 397518 | 36411 | 11230 | 445159 |  |
| 1982 | 543783 | 41172 | 17100 | 602055 |  |
| 1983 | 385367 | 37535 | 28000 | 450902 |  |
| 1984 | 460057 | 43792 | 44162 | 548011 |  |
| 1985 | 354068 | 11089 | 21587 | 386744 |  |
| 1986 | 342063 | 3276 | 9075 | 354414 |  |
| 1987 | 378931 | 478 | 31790 | 411199 |  |
| 1988 | 408724 | 15438 | 32810 | 456972 |  |
| 1989 | 203695 | 40321 | 48222 | 292238 |  |
| 1990 | 297974 | 29578 | 61268 | 388820 |  |
| 1991 | 202910 | 59733 | 80239 | 342882 |  |

Georgia Strait/Fraser

| Year | Georgia Strait/Fraser |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Troll | Net | Sport | Total |
|  | 177318 | 66119 | 398000 | 641437 |
| 1976 | 197839 | 73018 | 400000 | 670857 |
| 1977 | 248932 | 85222 | 372000 | 706154 |
| 1978 | 215531 | 50247 | 500000 | 765778 |
| 1979 | 257278 | 48375 | 350000 | 65563 |
| 1980 | 273122 | 31143 | 371000 | 675265 |
| 1981 | 238876 | 19985 | 253300 | 512161 |
| 1982 | 178498 | 22968 | 163793 | 365259 |
| 1983 | 105061 | 17520 | 198433 | 321014 |
| 1984 | 88158 | 19851 | 369445 | 477454 |
| 1985 | 55686 | 31001 | 234838 | 321525 |
| 1986 | 43899 | 32358 | 181896 | 258153 |
| 1987 | 38695 | 13016 | 121081 | 172792 |
| 1988 | 19611 | 8373 | 119117 | 147101 |
| 1989 | 28474 | 23833 | 132846 | 185153 |
| 1990 | 34394 | 15298 | 111914 | 161606 |
| 1991 | 32228 | 15071 | 115519 | 162818 |

Johnstone Strait

| Year | Johnstone St. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net | Total |
| 1975 | 30295 | 30295 |
| 1976 | 31855 | 31855 |
| 1977 | 49511 | 49511 |
| 1978 | 55148 | 55148 |
| 1979 | 31391 | 31391 |
| 1980 | 30325 | 30325 |
| 1981 | 28620 | 28620 |
| 1982 | 29454 | 29454 |
| 1983 | 28364 | 28364 |
| 1984 | 18361 | 18361 |
| 1985 | 38073 | 38073 |
| 1986 | 17866 | 17866 |
| 1987 | 13863 | 13863 |
| 1988 | 6292 | 6292 |
| 1989 | 29486 | 29486 |
| 1990 | 18433 | 18433 |
| 1991 | 13333 | 13333 |

## Canada - Strait of Juan de Fuca

| Year | Juan de Fuca Strait |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Troll | Net | Total |
|  | 920 | 9799 | 10719 |
| 1976 | 1613 | 13004 | 14617 |
| 1977 | 1283 | 25344 | 26627 |
| 1978 | 824 | 9725 | 10549 |
| 1979 | 395 | 8665 | 9060 |
| 1980 | 469 | 3438 | 3907 |
| 1981 | 617 | 9982 | 10599 |
| 1982 | 208 | 7072 | 7280 |
| 1983 | 204 | 328 | 532 |
| 1984 | 275 | 6237 | 6512 |
| 1985 | 48 | 17164 | 17212 |
| 1986 | 324 | 17727 | 18051 |
| 1987 | 29 | 6782 | 6811 |
| 1988 | 13 | 4473 | 4486 |
| 1989 | 23 | 21238 | 21261 |
| 1990 | 9 | 7405 | 7414 |
| 1991 | 0 | 7957 | 7957 |

Washington - Strait of Juan de Fuca

| Year | Washington Strait of Juan de Fuca |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Troll | Net | Sport | Total |
|  | 5752 | 8048 | 81681 | 95481 |
| 1976 | 10488 | 6072 | 75308 | 91868 |
| 1977 | 8915 | 14930 | 53238 | 77083 |
| 1978 | 10006 | 11224 | 62299 | 83529 |
| 1979 | 7804 | 10939 | 67094 | 85837 |
| 1980 | 10682 | 11320 | 56415 | 78417 |
| 1981 | 15638 | 18541 | 51352 | 85531 |
| 1982 | 19024 | 22547 | 29842 | 71413 |
| 1983 | 18489 | 16141 | 58060 | 92690 |
| 1984 | 15650 | 12120 | 48003 | 75773 |
| 1985 | 11808 | 12784 | 44267 | 68859 |
| 1986 | 30000 | 17000 | 69000 | 116000 |
| 1987 | 45000 | 11000 | 53000 | 109000 |
| 1988 | 49000 | 10000 | 39000 | 98000 |
| 1989 | 65000 | 10000 | 52000 | 127000 |
| 1990 | 46000 | 5000 | NA | NA |
| 1991 | 35000 | 3000 | NA | NA |

Washington - San Juans

|  | Washington San Juans |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Troll | Net | Sport | Total |
| 1975 | 3 | 90100 | 31988 | 122091 |
| 1976 | 0 | 66832 | 34505 | 101337 |
| 1977 | 62 | 84316 | 14049 | 98427 |
| 1978 | 3 | 87565 | 15083 | 102651 |
| 1979 | 5 | 53750 | 17367 | 71122 |
| 1980 | 0 | 64338 | 12231 | 76569 |
| 1981 | 4 | 50695 | 9727 | 60426 |
| 1982 | 0 | 38763 | 6953 | 45716 |
| 1983 | 2 | 28497 | 15166 | 43665 |
| 1984 | 83 | 33432 | 25759 | 59274 |
| 1985 | 872 | 33579 | 12610 | 47061 |
| 1986 | 0 | 21000 | 15000 | 36000 |
| 1987 | 0 | 29000 | 14000 | 43000 |
| 1988 | 0 | 32000 | 9000 | 41000 |
| 1989 | 1000 | 16000 | 9000 | 26000 |
| 1990 | 1000 | 9000 | NA | NA |
| 1991 | 0 | 14000 | NA | NA |

Washington - Other Puget Sound

| Year | Washington Other Puget Sound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Troll | Net | Sport | Total |
|  | 0 | 131982 | 173086 | 305068 |
| 1976 | 0 | 141281 | 151246 | 292527 |
| 1977 | 0 | 145470 | 9761 | 243231 |
| 1978 | 0 | 150298 | 116979 | 267277 |
| 1979 | 0 | 128073 | 156402 | 284475 |
| 1980 | 0 | 171516 | 142799 | 314315 |
| 1981 | 0 | 145152 | 106048 | 251200 |
| 1982 | 0 | 149274 | 85703 | 234977 |
| 1983 | 0 | 134492 | 123752 | 258244 |
| 1984 | 0 | 180248 | 102740 | 282988 |
| 1985 | 0 | 184907 | 92603 | 277510 |
| 1986 | 0 | 153000 | 88000 | 241000 |
| 1987 | 0 | 127000 | 59000 | 186000 |
| 1988 | 0 | 133000 | 63000 | 196000 |
| 1989 | 0 | 156000 | 70000 | 226000 |
| 1990 | 0 | 179000 | NA | NA |
| 1991 | 0 | 130000 | NA | NA |

Washington - Inside Coastal

| Year | Washington Inside Coastal |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Troll | Net | Sport | Total |  |
|  | 0 | 34859 | 1716 | 36575 |  |
| 1976 | 0 | 51995 | 2219 | 54214 |  |
| 1977 | 0 | 72467 | 2043 | 74510 |  |
| 1978 | 0 | 32662 | 3399 | 36061 |  |
| 1979 | 0 | 36501 | 2199 | 38700 |  |
| 1980 | 0 | 47681 | 1476 | 49157 |  |
| 1981 | 0 | 36880 | 786 | 37666 |  |
| 1982 | 0 | 33271 | 1114 | 34385 |  |
| 1983 | 0 | 16210 | 1452 | 17662 |  |
| 1984 | 0 | 16239 | 1319 | 17558 |  |
| 1985 | 0 | 25162 | 1955 | 27117 |  |
| 1986 | 0 | 29000 | 3000 | 32000 |  |
| 1987 | 0 | 51000 | 3000 | 54000 |  |
| 1988 | 0 | 74000 | 7000 | 81000 |  |
| 1989 | 0 | 85000 | 6000 | 91000 |  |
| 1990 | 0 | 58000 | NA | NA |  |
| 1991 | 0 | 54000 | NA | NA |  |

Columbia River

|  | Columbia River |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net | Sport | Total |
|  | 323000 | 34870 | 357870 |
| 1976 | 288400 | 42527 | 330927 |
| 1977 | 255600 | 58838 | 314438 |
| 1978 | 189100 | 56582 | 245682 |
| 1979 | 171000 | 36505 | 207505 |
| 1980 | 150300 | 32774 | 183074 |
| 1981 | 95100 | 36269 | 131369 |
| 1982 | 155300 | 51560 | 206860 |
| 1983 | 57700 | 45609 | 103309 |
| 1984 | 127900 | 64364 | 192264 |
| 1985 | 151400 | 45515 | 196915 |
| 1986 | 283100 | 71865 | 354965 |
| 1987 | 483500 | 116545 | 600045 |
| 1988 | 489100 | 110398 | 599498 |
| 1989 | 275000 | 96878 | 371878 |
| 1990 | 147300 | 94820 | 242120 |
| 1991 | 106800 | 77986 | 184786 |


| Year | Washington/Oregon North of Falcon |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Troll | Net | Sport | Total |
|  | 268971 | 1212 | 265785 | 535968 |
| 1976 | 371239 | 203 | 215319 | 586761 |
| 1977 | 244491 | 4 | 197563 | 442058 |
| 1978 | 150673 | 4 | 104306 | 254983 |
| 1979 | 133035 | 3 | 84977 | 218015 |
| 1980 | 125709 | 1215 | 59099 | 186023 |
| 1981 | 109519 | 209 | 96151 | 205879 |
| 1982 | 154720 | 267 | 114952 | 269939 |
| 1983 | 63584 | 62 | 51789 | 115435 |
| 1984 | 15392 | 0 | 6980 | 22372 |
| 1985 | 55408 | 493 | 30189 | 86090 |
| 1986 | 52000 | 0 | 23000 | 75000 |
| 1987 | 81000 | 4000 | 44000 | 129000 |
| 1988 | 108000 | 3000 | 19000 | 130000 |
| 1989 | 75000 | 1000 | 21000 | 97000 |
| 1990 | 65000 | 0 | 33000 | 98000 |
| 1991 | 51000 | 0 | 14000 | 65000 |

Oregon - Troll is late season troll off Elk River mouth; sport is estuary and inland.

| Year | Oregon |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Troll | Sport | Total |
|  | 300 | 19000 | 19300 |
| 1976 | 1000 | 21000 | 22000 |
| 1977 | 3000 | 34000 | 37000 |
| 1978 | 1000 | 37000 | 38000 |
| 1979 | 800 | 31000 | 31800 |
| 1980 | 300 | 22000 | 22300 |
| 1981 | 300 | 28000 | 28300 |
| 1982 | 500 | 23000 | 23500 |
| 1983 | 700 | 19000 | 19700 |
| 1984 | 1088 | 27000 | 28088 |
| 1985 | 1700 | 25000 | 26700 |
| 1986 | 1900 | 33000 | 34900 |
| 1987 | 3600 | 46000 | 49600 |
| 1988 | 4800 | 49000 | 53800 |
| 1989 | 4500 | 45000 | 49500 |
| 1990 | 0 | 38000 | 38000 |
| 1991 | 0 | 44500 | 44500 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The proportions for each category (Above Goal, Rebuilding, etc.) were calculated by re-evaluating previous years with correct database status and using the phase system as developed in 1990. For this reason, the results will differ from previous CTC annual reports.

[^1]:    * Indicates stocks added for the 1991 analysis.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ The central range is defined as follows:
    Stock-Age
    Combinations Central Range
    $<10 \quad$ the range of indices
    10 to 19
    20 to 29
    the range remaining after the lowest and highest values are excluded
    the range remaining after the two lowest and two highest values are excluded

[^3]:    QUI = QUINSAM

[^4]:    BQR = BIG QUALICUM
    SAM $=$ SAMISH FALL FIN
    SPS = SO SOUND FALL FING $U W A=U$ OF W FALL ACCEL

