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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report contains the results of the Chinook Technical Committee (CTC) annual exploitation rate assessment and the final pre-season Chinook model calibration for 2004 (CLB 0404). Results include the Abundance Indices (AIs) for the Aggregate Abundance Based Management (AABM) fisheries and Individual Stock Based Management (ISBM) Indices for each party and a summary of pre-season forecast methods by stock.

## AABM Abundance Indices and Associated Catches

The AIs for the three AABM fisheries, i.e., Southeast Alaska All Gear (SEAK), Northern British Columbia Troll and Queen Charlotte Islands Sport (NBC), and West Coast Vancouver Island Troll and Outside Sport (WCVI)), are presented in Table 1. The 1999 Agreement specified that the AABM fisheries were to be managed through the use of the AIs. Pre-season AIs are used to set allowable catch limits for management for the upcoming fishing season. Subsequently, postseason AIs (from the following year's calibration) are used to track overage and underage provisions. Each calibration provides the first post-season AIs for the previous year and the preseason AIs for the current year. The first 2003 post-season AIs and the 2004 pre-season AIs have now been finalized.

Table 1. Abundance Indices for 1999 to 2004 for the SEAK, NBC, and WCVI AABM fisheries.

|  | SEAK |  | NBC |  | WCVI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Pre-season | Post-season | Pre-season | Post-season | Pre-season | Post-season |
| 1999 | 1.15 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 0.97 | 0.60 | 0.50 |
| 2000 | 1.14 | 1.10 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.54 | 0.47 |
| 2001 | 1.14 | 1.29 | 1.02 | 1.22 | 0.66 | 0.68 |
| 2002 | 1.74 | 1.82 | 1.45 | 1.63 | 0.95 | 0.92 |
| 2003 | 1.79 | 2.17 | 1.48 | 1.90 | 0.85 | 1.10 |
| 2004 | 1.88 | - | 1.67 | - | 0.90 | - |

In general, the AIs for 1999 through 2001 are low compared to AIs in the late 1980s and early 1990s but values have increased since 2002. The recent AI values are comparable to the higher values in the time series. The Agreement specifies an allowable catch for each AI for each fishery. The specified Treaty catch by fishery and year and the actual (observed) catches are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Observed catches and post-season allowable catches for 1999 to 2003, and pre-season allowable catches for 1999 to 2004, for AABM fisheries.

| Year | Pacific Salmon Treaty Allowable and Observed Catches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SEAK |  |  | NBC |  |  | WCVI |  |  |
|  | Pre-season <br> Allowable Catch | Postseason Allowable Catch | Observed Catch | Pre-season Allowable Catch |  | Observed Catch | Pre-season <br> Allowable Catch | Post- season Allowable Catch | Observed <br> Catch |
| 1999 | 192,800 | 184,200 | 198,842 | 145,600 | 126,100 | 92,899 | 128,300 | 107,000 | 36,413 |
| 2000 | 189,900 | 178,500 | 186,493 | 130,000 | 123,500 | 31,880 | 115,500 | 86,200 | 101,442 |
| 2001 | 189,900 | 250,300 | 186,919 | 132,600 | 158,900 | 43,500 | 141,200 | 145,500 | 117,670 |
| 2002 | 356,500 | 371,900 | 357,133 | 192,700 | 237,800 | 137,632 | 203,200 | 196,800 | 166,188 |
| 2003 | 366,000 | 439,600 | 380,152 | 197,100 | 277,200 | 191,657 | 181,800 | 268,900 | 175,827 |
| 2004 | 383,500 | - | - | 243,600 | - |  | 192,500 | - | - |

The Agreement specifies that overage/underage provisions apply to both AABM and ISBM fisheries. However, the CTC identified, in a February 12, 2002 letter to the PSC, major technical obstacles and policy concerns for adjusting harvest levels in response to overages and underages. The major problem identified for AABM fisheries is the confounding of forecast and management error in assessing overages and underages. Forecast error is associated with the accuracy of the pre-season Abundance Indices (Table 1) which in turn is used to determine the preseason estimate of allowable catch. Management error is related to the harvest manager's ability to attain the pre-season estimates of allowable catch. Harvest managers have no prior knowledge of the post-season estimate of allowable catch which can be quite different from the pre-season estimate (Table 2).

Until an approach for full implementation has been developed and accepted by the PSC, the Commissioners have instructed the CTC to track overages and underages relative to agreed-upon harvest objectives. Table 3 shows the difference between the post-season allowable catch and the observed catch in AABM fisheries for 1999-2003, and the cumulative differential for those years. All three AABM fisheries have cumulative underages. In SEAK, observed catches have been below final allowable catches for two of the five years; the cumulative differential is $8.1 \%$. In NBC, observed catches have been below the final allowable catches in all five years; the cumulative differential is $-46.1 \%$. In WCVI, observed catches have been below allowable catches for three of the four years; the cumulative differential is $-25.7 \%$.

Table 3. Differences between observed Treaty catch and the post-season Treaty allowances as number of fish and percentages of allowable catch for AABM fisheries in 1999 to 2003.

| Year | SEAK |  | NBC |  | WCVI |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of | Percent <br> Fifference | Number of <br> Fish | Percent <br> Difference | Number of <br> Fish | Percent <br> Difference |
|  | $+14,642$ | $+7.9 \%$ | $-33,201$ | $-26.3 \%$ | $-70,587$ | $-66.0 \%$ |
| 2000 | $+7,993$ | $+4.5 \%$ | $-91,620$ | $-74.2 \%$ | $+15,242$ | $+17.7 \%$ |
| 2001 | $-63,381$ | $-25.3 \%$ | $-115,400$ | $-72.6 \%$ | $-27,830$ | $-19.1 \%$ |
| 2002 | $-14,767$ | $-4.0 \%$ | $-100,168$ | $-42.1 \%$ | $-30,612$ | $-15.6 \%$ |
| 2003 | $-59,448$ | $-13.5 \%$ | $-85,543$ | $-30.9 \%$ | $-93,073$ | $-34.6 \%$ |
| Cum. | $-114,961$ | $-8.1 \%$ | $-425,932$ | $-46.1 \%$ | $-206,860$ | $-25.7 \%$ |

## ISBM Indices

For ISBM fisheries, the Agreement specified that Canada and the United States would reduce base period exploitation rates on specified stocks by $36.5 \%$ and $40 \%$, equivalent to ISBM indices of $63.5 \%$ and $60 \%$ percent, respectively. This requirement is referred to as the 'general obligation' and does not apply to stock groups that achieve their CTC agreed escapement goals. Estimated ISBM fishery indices are shown in Table 4 for Canadian fisheries and Table 5 for United States (U.S.) fisheries. Both tables present Coded-Wire Tag (CWT)-based indices for 2002, and Chinook model-based indices for 2004. The agreement specifies that the ISBM indices be forecasted pre-season and evaluated post-season for each escapement indicator stock listed in Attachments I to V of the Chinook Chapter.

## CWT-based Indices in 2002

All Canadian ISBM indices from the CWT-based estimates for 2002 show that exploitation rates were reduced more than required under the agreement for all stocks or stock groups. Four of the 16 U.S. ISBM indices for the CWT-based estimates for 2002 were reduced more than required under the agreement. Of the 12 U.S. CWT-based ISBM indices that exceeded 0.60 , six (Quillayute, Upriver Brights, Mid-Columbia Summers, Nehalem, Siletz, and Siuslaw) have agreed escapement goals and all six exceeded their goal in 2002.

## Predicted ISBM Indices for 2004

Nine of the 20 ISBM indices for Canada in 2004 based on outputs from calibration 0404 are above the allowable value of 0.635 for Canadian ISBM fisheries. Only one, Fraser Late, has a CTC agreed escapement goal. Sixteen of the 24 U.S. ISBM indices for 2004 based on the calibration model are above the allowable limit for U.S. ISBM fisheries. Ten of the 16 have

CTC agreed escapement goals: Queets, Hoh, Quillayute, Upriver Brights, Lewis, Harrison, MidColumbia Summers, Nehalem, Siletz, and Siuslaw.

Table 4. ISBM Indices for Canadian fisheries, CWT-based for 2002, and the predicted indices for 2004 from the PSC Chinook Model. Order of the stock groups correspond to Annex 4, Chapter 3, Attachment IV of the PST 1999 Revised Annexes.

|  |  | Canadian ISBM Indices |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stock Group | Escapement Indicator Stock | CWT Indices for 2002 | Model Indices for 2004 |
| Lower Strait of Georgia | Cowichan Nanaimo | $\begin{aligned} & 0.247 \\ & 0.247 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.593 \\ & 0.695 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Fraser Late | Harrison River ${ }^{2}$ | 0.105 | 0.719 |
| North Puget Sound Natural Springs | Nooksack Skagit | $\begin{gathered} 0.023 \\ \text { NA } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.273 \\ & 0.273 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Upper Strait of Georgia | Klinaklini, Kakweikan, Wakeman, Kingcome, Nimpkish | 0.063 | 0.971 |
| Fraser Early (spring and summers) | Upper Fraser, Mid Fraser, Thompson | NA | 0.718 |
| West Coast Vancouver Island Falls | WCVI (Artlish, Burman, Kauok, Tahsis, Tashish, Marble) | 0.248 | 0.927 |
| Puget Sound Natural Summer / Falls | Skagit Stillaguamish Snohomish Lake Washington Green River | $\begin{gathered} \text { NA } \\ \text { NA } \\ \text { NA } \\ \text { NA } \\ 0.323 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.438 \\ & 0.567 \\ & 0.445 \\ & 0.446 \\ & 0.466 \end{aligned}$ |
| North / Central B. C. | Yakoun, Nass, Skeena, Area 8 | NA | 0.804 |
| Washington Coastal Fall Naturals ${ }^{3}$ | Hoko, Grays Harbor, Queets, Hoh, Quillayute | NA | 0.435 |
| Columbia River Falls ${ }^{3}$ | Upriver Brights Deschutes Lewis ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { NA } \\ & \text { NA } \\ & \text { NA } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.663 \\ & 0.663 \\ & 0.480 \end{aligned}$ |
| Columbia R Summers ${ }^{3}$ | Mid-Columbia Summers ${ }^{2}$ | NA | 0.333 |
| Far North Migrating OR Coastal Falls ${ }^{3}$ | $\text { Nehalem }^{2}, \text { Siletz }{ }^{2}$ Siuslaw ${ }^{2}$ | NA | 0.672 |

${ }^{1}$ NA means not available because of insufficient data (lack of stock specific tag codes, base period CWT recoveries, etc).
${ }^{2}$ Stock or stock group with agreed escapement goal.
${ }^{3}$ Stock group listed in Annex Table V.

Table 5. ISBM indices for U.S. fisheries, CWT-based for 2002, and the predicted indices for 2004 from the PSC Chinook Model. Order of the stock groups correspond to Annex 4, Chapter 3, Attachment V of the PST 1999 Revised Annexes.

|  |  | U.S. ISBM Indices |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stock Group | Escapement Indicator Stock | CWT Indices for 2002 | Model Indices for 2004 |
| Washington Coastal Fall Naturals | Hoko <br> Grays Harbor <br> Queets <br> Hoh <br> Quillayute | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{NA}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ & 0.54 \\ & 0.84 \\ & 0.95 \\ & 1.42 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.966 \\ & 0.573 \\ & 0.932 \\ & 1.214 \\ & 1.139 \end{aligned}$ |
| Columbia River Falls | Upriver Brights <br> Deschutes Lewis ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.32 \\ & 0.59 \\ & 0.56 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.906 \\ & 0.475 \\ & 1.008 \end{aligned}$ |
| Puget Sound Natural <br> Summer / Falls | Skagit <br> Stillaguamish <br> Snohomish <br> Lake Washington Green R | NA <br> NA <br> NA <br> NA <br> 1.07 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.157 \\ & 0.224 \\ & 0.110 \\ & 0.411 \\ & 0.260 \end{aligned}$ |
| Fraser Late | Harrison River ${ }^{4}$ | 0.41 | 1.058 |
| Columbia R Summers | Mid-Columbia Summers ${ }^{4}$ | 7.25 | 0.715 |
| Far North Migrating OR Coastal Falls | Nehalem ${ }^{4}$ <br> Siletz ${ }^{4}$ <br> Siuslaw ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.17 \\ & 1.31 \\ & 2.56 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.230 \\ & 1.288 \\ & 2.816 \end{aligned}$ |
| North Puget Sound Natural Springs | Nooksack Skagit | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { NA } \\ & 1.12 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.974 \\ & 0.663 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Lower Strait of Georgia ${ }^{3}$ | Cowichan, Nanaimo | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5.78 \\ & 5.78 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.915 \\ & 0.915 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Upper Strait of Georgia ${ }^{3}$ | Klinaklini, Kakweikan, Wakeman, Kingcome, Nimpkish | NA | $\mathrm{NC}^{2}$ |
| Fraser Early (spring and summers) ${ }^{3}$ | Upper Fraser, Mid Fraser, Thompson | NA | 0.839 |
| West Coast Vancouver Island Falls ${ }^{3}$ | WCVI (Artlish, Burman, Kauok, Tahsis, Tashish, Marble) | NA | 0.540 |
| North / Central B. C. ${ }^{3}$ | Yakoun, Nass, Skeena, Area 8 | NA | NC |

[^0]
## Stock Forecasts

In general, the model does a very good job of matching the agency supplied forecasts (average error $=-0.5 \%$, standard deviation $=13 \%$, median error $=-0.9 \%)$. Agency forecasts are, on average, also good predictors of observed returns (average error $=-13.9 \%$, standard deviation $=$ $39 \%$, median error $=-12.8 \%$ ). The model's prediction of observed returns, including stocks for which there is no agency forecast, is also good (average error $=-8.6 \%$, standard deviation $=$ $35 \%$, median error $=-10.0 \%$.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The annexes of the Pacific Salmon Treaty (PST), dated June 30, 1999, changed the way Chinook salmon fisheries were managed by the Pacific Salmon Commission (PSC). Fisheries are no longer designated as "ceiling" or "pass through," but as Aggregate Abundance Based Management (AABM) or Individual Stock Based Management (ISBM) fisheries. AABM fisheries are managed according to the abundance of Chinook salmon in each fishery. ISBM fisheries are managed to control impacts on individual stocks. Pre-season allowable catch in each AABM fishery (Southeast Alaska All Gear (SEAK), Northern British Columbia Troll and Sport (NBC), and West Coast Vancouver Island Troll and Outside Sport (WCVI)) is determined through an Abundance Index (AI) calculated from an agreed pre-season calibration of the Chinook Technical Committee (CTC) Chinook model (see Table 1 of Chapter 3 in the Agreement). This same calibration is also used to compute the post-season AIs for the previous year. Under the Agreement, annual aggregate impacts in Canadian and U.S. ISBM fisheries on specified stocks or stock groups are to be reduced by $36.5 \%$ and $40.0 \%$, respectively, from the average of those in the base period (1979-1982). Such reductions will remain in effect until these fisheries can be managed to achieve escapement at Maximum Sustained Yield (MSY) or some other biologically based escapement goal. Pre-season and post-season indices are also calculated for ISBM fisheries using the Chinook model.

This annual report describes the methods and results of 1) the cohort analysis used to estimate exploitation rates from coded-wire tag (CWT) data and 2) the Chinook model calibration. The results of the 2004 pre-season calibration (CLB 0404) are based on completion of the CWT exploitation rate analysis for indicator stocks through 2002 fisheries, coast-wide data on catch, spawning escapements and age structure through 2003, and forecasts of Chinook returns expected in 2004. This report includes:

- estimates of the abundance indices for the years 1979 through 2003 and a projection for 2004 for the AABM fisheries,
- estimates of the non-ceiling indices, referred to as the ISBM indices in this report, for 1999 to 2003 and projections for the 2004 ISBM fisheries,
- estimates for 1979 through 2003 and a projection for 2004 of stock composition in the AABM and other fisheries,
- the distribution of landed and total fishing mortality in all fisheries for the indicator stocks,
- estimates of harvest rates (fishery indices) in the AABM fisheries,
- forecast methods and results for selected stocks, and
- survival indices for selected stocks.

Appendices A to L summarizes the indicator stocks, ISBM indices, fisheries, forecasts, survival indices, ocean exploitation rates, distribution of total mortality rates and indices, abundance indices, stock composition of AABM fisheries, abundance indices, fishery indices, exploitation rate indices, and tag codes, respectively.

## 2. METHODS

The Exploitation Rate assessment is performed through cohort analysis, a procedure that reconstructs the exploitation history of a given stock and brood year using CWT release and recovery data (CTC 1988). The procedure produces a variety of statistics, including total exploitation rates, age and fishery specific exploitation rates, maturation rates, pre-age 2 recruitment survival indices, and annual distribution of fishery-related mortalities. Estimates of age and fishery-specific exploitation and maturation rates from the cohort analysis are combined with data on catches, escapements, non-retention, and enhancement to complete the annual calibration of the CTC Model. The calibration procedure estimates pre-age 2 recruitment survivals for the stocks included in the model.

Results from the annual pre-season calibration of the Chinook model are used to calculate: (a) AIs for the three AABM fisheries to determine the allowable 2004 catch of Treaty Chinook; (b) the post-season AIs for the previous year; and (c) pre-season and post-season ISBM indices.

Projected AIs for 2004 are used to determine preseason allowable catches for AABM fisheries. The post-season AIs are used to determine postseason allowable catches and to evaluate compliance for AABM fisheries.

For the ISBM fisheries, the Agreement specifies that Canada and the United States will reduce the exploitation rate from the $1979-1982$ base period by $36.5 \%$ and $40.0 \%$, respectively, on stocks that have not achieved their CTC agreed escapement goals. The ISBM Index is used to estimate the annual reduction in exploitation rates relative to the base period. Post-season ISBM indices for 2002 are computed using results of the exploitation rate analysis. Forecasts of the 2004 ISBM indices are computed using the CTC model. The Agreement specifies that the ISBM indices estimated through exploitation rate analysis of CWT recoveries will be used for final post-season assessment.

### 2.1. Exploitation Rate Assessment (Through Calendar Year 2002)

The Exploitation Rate (ER) Assessment relies on CWT release and recovery data from a set of exploitation rate indicator stocks to estimate: (1) brood year exploitation rates, (2) the distribution of catch and total mortality among fisheries, (3) survival rates to ocean age 2 by brood year; (4) trends in fishery harvest rates, and (5) maturation rates and AEQs. Statistics reported in the Exploitation Rate Assessment are based on cohort analysis. Cohort analysis simply reconstructs the production of a CWT group by starting with the escapement, catch, and incidental fishing mortality of the oldest age class and working backwards in time to calculate the total abundance of ocean age 2 Chinook prior to any fishing-related mortality. These reconstructions are based on estimated CWT recoveries by stock, brood year, and age in fisheries and escapements.

The CTC currently monitors 39 exploitation rate indicator stocks with CWTs, but only 36 were used for analyses in this chapter (Table 2.1). An exploitation rate indicator stock is not used in the exploitation rate analysis if the number of CWT recoveries is very limited ${ }^{1}$ or there is no quantitative estimate of tags in the spawning escapement (see footnotes in Table 2.2). Those used

[^1]for exploitation rate analysis and the type of analysis performed for each are shown in Table 2.2. The relationship between the exploitation rate indicator stocks, model stocks, and PST Annex stocks are shown in Appendix A. A list of tag codes used for each exploitation rate indicator stock is provided in Appendix L. Extrapolation of results to similar stocks and/or generalizations about fishery impacts will only be appropriate to the extent that the exploitation rate indicator stocks are representative of the array of stocks harvested in the fisheries or the stock groups they represent.

Table 2.1. The 39 exploitation rate indicator stocks monitored by the CTC and their location, run type, and smolt age. Stocks in bold, italic text were not used in the 2004 exploitation rate analysis.

| Area | Exploitation Rate Indicator Stocks | Location | Run Type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Smolt } \\ & \text { Age } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S.E. Alaska | Alaska Spring | Southeast Alaska | Spring | Age 1 |
| British Columbia | Kitsumkalum | North/Central BC | Summer | Age 1 |
|  | Atnarko ${ }^{1}$ | North/Central BC | Spring/Summer | Age 0 |
|  | Kitimat River ${ }^{1}$ | North/Central BC | Summer | Age 0 |
|  | Robertson Creek | WCVI | Fall | Age 0 |
|  | Quinsam | Georgia Strait | Fall | Age 0 |
|  | Puntledge | Georgia Strait | Summer | Age 0 |
|  | Big Qualicum | Georgia Strait | Fall | Age 0 |
|  | Cowichan | Georgia Strait | Fall | Age 0 |
|  | Chehalis (Harrison Stock) ${ }^{\text {I }}$ | Lower Fraser River | Fall | Age 0 |
|  | Chilliwack (Harrison Stock) | Lower Fraser River | Fall | Age 0 |
| Puget Sound | Nooksack Spring Fingerling | North Puget Sound | Spring | Age 0 |
|  | Nooksack Spring Yearling | North Puget Sound | Spring | Age 1 |
|  | Skagit Spring Fingerling | Central Puget Sound | Spring | Age 0 |
|  | Skagit Spring Yearling | Central Puget Sound | Spring | Age 1 |
|  | Samish Fall Fingerling | North Puget Sound | Summer/Fall | Age 0 |
|  | Skagit Summer Fingerling | Central Puget Sound | Summer | Age 0 |
|  | Stillaguamish Fall Fingerling | Central Puget Sound | Summer/Fall | Age 0 |
|  | Nisqually Fall Fingerling | Central Puget Sound | Summer/Fall | Age 0 |
|  | University of Washington Accelerated | Central Puget Sound | Summer/Fall | Age 0 |
|  | George Adams Fall Fingerling | Hood Canal | Summer/Fall | Age 0 |
|  | South Puget Sound Fall Fingerling | South Puget Sound | Summer/Fall | Age 0 |
|  | South Puget Sound Fall Yearling | South Puget Sound | Summer/Fall | Age 1 |
|  | Squaxin Pens Fall Yearling | South Puget Sound | Summer/Fall | Age 1 |
|  | White River Spring Yearling | South Puget Sound | Spring | Age 1 |
| Washington Coast /Juan de Fuca | Elwha Fall Fingerling | Strait of Juan de Fuca | Summer/Fall | Age 0 |
|  | Hoko Fall Fingerling | Strait of Juan de Fuca | Summer/Fall | Age 0 |
|  | Sooes Fall Fingerling | North Wash. Coast | Fall | Age 0 |
|  | Queets Fall Fingerling | North Wash. Coast | Fall | Age 0 |
| Columbia River | Willamette Spring | Lower Columbia R. | Spring | Age 1 |
|  | Columbia Summers | Columbia R. (WA) | Summer | Age 1 |
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| Area | Exploitation Rate Indicator <br> Stocks | Location | Run Type | Smolt <br> Age |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Cowlitz Tule | Columbia R. (WA) | Fall Tule | Age 0 |
|  | Spring Creek Tule | Columbia R. (WA) | Fall Tule | Age 0 |
|  | Columbia Lower River Hatchery | Columbia River (OR) | Fall Tule | Age 0 |
|  | Columbia Upriver Bright | Upper Columbia R. | Fall Bright | Age 0 |
|  | Hanford Wild | Upper Columbia R. | Fall Bright | Age 0 |
|  | Lyons Ferry | Snake River | Fall Bright | Age 0 |
|  | Lewis River Wild | Lower Columbia R. | Fall Bright | Age 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Oregon Coast | Salmon River | North Oregon Coast | Fall | Age 0 |

1 These stocks are CWT-tagged, but there is no quantitative CWT escapement data, useful for distribution only.
2 Subyearlings have been CWT-tagged since brood year 1986, except for brood years 1993 through 1997.

Table 2.2. The 36 CWT exploitation rate indicator stocks used in this year's exploitation rate analysis and the data derived from them: fishery, ISBM and survival indices, brood exploitation rates (Brood Exp), and stock catch distribution (Dist) with quantitative escapement estimates (Esc) and tagging during the base period years 1979-1982.

| Exploitation Rate | Fishery Index | ISBM | $\begin{gathered} \text { Brood }^{1} \\ \text { Exp } \end{gathered}$ | Survival Index |  |  | BaseTagging |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator Stocks |  | Index |  |  | Dist | Esc |  |
| Alaska Spring | yes | - | Total | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Kitsumkalum | - | - | Total | yes | yes | yes | - |
| Robertson Creek | yes | yes | Ocean ${ }^{1}$ | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Quinsam | yes | yes | Total | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Puntledge | yes | - | Total | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Big Qualicum | yes | yes | Total | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Cowichan | yes | yes | Total | yes | yes | yes | - |
| Chilliwack (Harrison Fall Stock) | - | yes | Total | yes | yes | yes | - |
| Nooksack Spring Fingerling | ? | ? | 4 | - | yes | yes | ? |
| Nooksack Spring Yearling | - | yes | 4 | yes | yes | yes ${ }^{3}$ | - |
| Skagit Spring Fingerling | ? | ? | Ocean | - | yes | yes | ? |
| Skagit Spring Yearling | - | - | Ocean | yes | yes | yes ${ }^{3}$ | - |
| Samish Fall Fingerling | yes | - | Ocean | yes | yes | yes ${ }^{3}$ | yes |
| Skagit Summer Fingerling | ? | ? | Ocean | - | yes | yes | ? |
| Stillaguamish Fall Fingerling | - | yes | 4 | - | yes | - | - |
| Nisqually Fall Fingerling | - | - | 4 | - | yes | - | yes |
| University of Washington Accelerated | yes | 2 | 2 | - | yes | yes ${ }^{3}$ | yes |
| George Adams Fall Fingerling | yes | 2 | 2 | yes | yes | yes ${ }^{3}$ | yes |
| South Puget Sound Fall Fingerling | yes | yes | Ocean | yes | yes | yes ${ }^{3}$ | yes |
| South Puget Sound Fall Yearling | yes | 2 | 2 | yes | yes | yes ${ }^{3}$ | yes |
| Squaxin Pens Fall Yearling | - | 2 | 2 | yes | yes | yes ${ }^{3}$ | - |
| White River Spring Yearling | - | - | 4 | yes | yes | yes ${ }^{3}$ | yes |
| Elwha Fall Fingerling | - | - | 4 | yes | yes | - | - |
| Hoko Fall Fingerling | - | - | Ocean | yes | yes | yes | - |
| Sooes Fall Fingerling | - | - | Ocean | yes | yes | yes | - |
| Queets Fall Fingerling | - | yes | 4 | yes | yes | - | yes |
| Willamette Spring | yes | - | Ocean | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Columbia Summers | yes | yes | Total | yes | yes | yes | - |
| Cowlitz Tule | yes | - | Ocean | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Spring Creek Tule | yes | - | 2 | yes | yes | yes | - |
| Columbia Lower River Hatchery | yes | - | 2 | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Upriver Bright | yes | yes | Total | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Hanford Wild | - | - | Total | yes | yes | yes | - |
| Lyons Ferry | - | - | Total | yes | yes | yes | - |
| Lewis River Wild | yes | yes | Total | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Salmon River | yes | yes | Ocean | yes | yes | yes | yes |

1 For stocks of hatchery origin and subject to terminal fisheries directed at harvesting surplus hatchery production, ocean fisheries do not include terminal net fisheries. Otherwise, total fishery includes terminal net fisheries.
2 Hatchery stock not used to represent naturally spawning stock.
3 Only hatchery rack recoveries are included in escapement.
4 Insufficient escapement data for exploitation rate analysis

### 2.1.1. Assumptions of the Analyses

Assumptions used in the cohort analysis and other procedures used in the Exploitation Rate Assessment are summarized below. Detailed discussions of assumptions and parameter values have been reported previously (CTC 1988). The analysis is necessary to calculate the fishery indices for the AABM fisheries and the non-ceiling index for the ISBM fisheries.

The primary assumptions of the cohort analysis are:

1) CWT recovery data are obtained in a consistent manner from year to year or can be adjusted to make them comparable. Many of the analyses rely upon indices that are computed as the ratio of a statistic in a particular year to the value associated with a base period. Use of ratios may reduce or eliminate the effect of data biases that are consistent from year to year.
2) For ocean age 2 and older fish, natural mortality varies by age but is constant across years. Natural mortality rates (NM) applied by age are: age 2, 40\%; age 3, 30\%; age 4, 20\%; and age 5 and older $10 \%$ (i.e., after fishing mortality and maturation of the age 4 cohort, $10 \%$ of the remaining immature fish die due to natural sources before becoming age 5 fish and the commencement of fishing the next year).
3) All stocks within a fishery have the same size distribution for each age and the size distribution at age is constant among years.
4) The spatial and temporal catch distribution of sublegal-size fish of a given age from a stock is the same as legal-size fish of a given age of that stock.
5) Incidental mortality rates per encounter are constant between years. The rates vary by fish size (legal or sub-legal) and fishery and are those published by the CTC (1997) for troll and sport fisheries.
6) The procedures for estimating the mortality of CWT fish of legal size during periods of Chinook non-retention (CNR) assume that the stock distribution in any year remains unchanged from the period of legal catch retention in the same year. However, gear and/or area restrictions during CNR fisheries are believed to reduce the number of encounters of legal-size fish. To account for this, the number of legal encounters during the CNR fishery was adjusted by a selectivity factor. A factor of 0.34 was used for the WCVI and Strait of Georgia (GS) troll fisheries. This value was the average selectivity factor calculated from 3 years of observer data in the Alaska troll fishery. A factor of 0.20 was used in the North Central British Columbia (NCBC) troll fishery. This factor corresponds to the proportion of fishing areas that remain open during non-retention periods. A selectivity factor was not required for the SEAK troll fishery since an independent estimate of legal and sublegal encounters has been provided annually.
7) Maturation rates for brood years in which all ages have not matured (incomplete broods) are equal to the average of completed brood years. Maturation rates are stock specific.
8) Recoveries of age 4 and older Chinook in ocean net fisheries are assumed to be mature fish (ocean terminal catches).

In addition, when using the fishery indices as a measure of the change in fishery harvest rates between years, the temporal and spatial distribution of stocks in and among fisheries and years is assumed to be stable.

For AABM fisheries, the fishery indices are presented for both reported catch (same as landed catch) and total mortality; only total mortality indices are presented for the ISBM fisheries. The difference between reported catch and total mortality is incidental mortality, which includes the mortality of legal-size fish in CNR fisheries and the mortality of sublegal-size fish in both retention and CNR fisheries. Management strategies have changed considerably for fisheries of interest to the PSC since 1985. Regulatory changes have included size limit changes, extended periods of CNR in troll fisheries, and mandatory release of Chinook caught in some net fisheries. Estimates of incidental mortality are crucial for assessment of total fishery impacts, yet they cannot be determined directly from CWT recovery data. There are four categories of incidental mortality that are estimated in the Chinook model and the CWT cohort analysis. Legal and sublegal fishery specific mortality rates are applied to the following types of Chinook encounters:

1. Shakers: Chinook below the legal size limit that are encountered, brought to the boat, and released during a Chinook retention fishery.
2. Sublegal CNR: Chinook below the legal size limit that are encountered, brought to the boat, and released during a Chinook non-retention fishery. The mortality rate per encounter applied to sublegal CNR is the same applied to shakers.
3. Legal CNR: Chinook above the legal size limit that are encountered, brought to the boat, and released during a Chinook non-retention fishery.
4. Drop-off: Chinook above or below the legal size limit that are encountered but are lost from the gear before they reach the boat during either retention or non-retention fisheries. Drop-off mortality is assumed the same for legal and sublegal fish, but can vary by gear type.

There are several methods used to estimate the number of CNR mortalities in the model and the CWT cohort analysis. The 'season length' method uses the relative length of the Chinook retention and non-retention periods. This is usually expressed in days or boat-days. Agencies can also provide direct estimates of CNR encounters for use with the method. The CWT cohort analysis can also use a method based on catchability coefficients where no associated Chinook retention period exists for the fishery. The 'season length' method used in the exploitation rate assessment was described in CTC (1988). The Chinook model also can use a method, known as the 'RT' method, based on the difference between base period exploitation rates and the current year exploitation rates, and current cohort sizes. In both the season length and RT methods, the stock composition of the legal CNR encounters is assumed to be the same as the stock composition of the legal catch. The stock composition of the shakers and sublegal CNR encounters is estimated using the non-vulnerable portions of the cohorts for stocks that
contribute to the landed catch. The procedures used to estimate incidental mortality in the Chinook model have been described by AWG (1991) and CTC (2004).

For some fisheries or years, CWT recoveries are either lacking or cannot be used in certain analyses of this exploitation rate assessment. In some of these situations the model can be used for ER assessment.

### 2.1.2. Brood Year Exploitation Rates

Brood year exploitation rates provide the best measure of the cumulative impact of fisheries upon all age classes of a stock. The rates are computed as the ratio of adult equivalent (AEQ) total fishing mortality to AEQ total fishing mortality plus escapement. The AEQ factor represents the proportion of fish of a given age that would, in the absence of fishing, subsequently leave the ocean to return to the terminal area on the spawning migration. The numerator may be partitioned into components for AEQ reported catch and AEQ incidental mortality, with each component occurring in either ocean fisheries or freshwater fisheries.

The exploitation rate on an indicator stock may differ from the exploitation rate on the wild stock it represents if the indicator stock is of hatchery origin and subject to terminal fisheries directed at harvesting surplus hatchery production. In the case of the brood exploitation rate, this difference was addressed by computing a rate for ocean fisheries and a total for all fisheries. Ocean fisheries were defined to include marine sport and troll fisheries, and CWT recoveries of ocean age 2 and age 3 fish in all non-terminal net fisheries. By partitioning the fisheries in this way, the most appropriate measure of brood exploitation rates on wild stocks could be selected. The method selected for each exploitation rate indicator stock is given in Table 2.2. If broods are incomplete but have data through age 4, then average maturation rates are applied to predict the completed brood value.

The brood year exploitation rate is calculated as:

$$
B Y E X P_{B Y, F}=\frac{\sum_{a=\text { Minage }}^{\text {Maxage }}\left(\sum_{f \in\{F\}} \text { TotMorts }_{B Y, a, f} * A E Q_{B Y, a, f}\right)}{\sum_{a=\text { Minage }}^{\text {Maxage }}\left(\sum_{f=1}^{\text {Numfishereses }} \operatorname{TotMorts}_{B Y, a, f} * A E Q_{B Y, a, f}+E s c_{B Y, a}\right)}
$$

The Adult Equivalent (AEQ) rate is calculated as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\text { AE } Q_{B Y, a-1, f}=\text { MatRte }_{a-1, B Y}+\left(1-\text { MatRte }_{a-1, B Y}\right) * \text { Surv }_{a} * A E Q_{B Y, a, f}}^{A_{B Y, M a x a g e, f} \equiv 1.0}
\end{aligned}
$$

See Table 2.3 for a description of notation.

### 2.1.3. Brood Year Survival Rates and Indices

The brood year survival of CWT-tagged smolts after release is calculated for most exploitation rate indicator stocks (Table 2.2). This survival rate is frequently referred to as the marine survival of the tag group but also includes any mortality occurring in freshwater following release. Interpretation of this survival rate is stock specific. Two measures of survival indices or patterns are computed: survival to the age 2 cohort based on CWT recoveries, and the "environmental variate" (EV) determined from the calibration of the Chinook model (described in the following section). The CWT-based estimate is our most direct measure of a brood's survival but this measure is not available until the brood is complete (i.e., all ages have returned to spawn). The model EV parameter, however, provides a more current measure of the survival rates expected in brood years contributing to present and future fisheries. For CWT data, the survival rate for a stock and brood year is the estimated age 2 cohort (from the cohort analysis) divided by the number of CWT fish released.

Table 2.3. Parameter definitions for all equations except those used for SPFI in SEAK.

```
    Parameter Description
            a= age class
            A = set of all ages that meet selection criteria
        AE\mp@subsup{Q}{BY,a,f}{}=\mathrm{ adult equivalent factor in brood year BY, age a, and fishery f(for terminal}
        fisheries, AEQ = 1.0 for all ages)
Age2CohSurv }\mp@subsup{\mp@code{BY}}{= cohort survival of CWT fish to age 2 (pre-fishery) for brood year BY}{
            AvgMatRte }=\mathrm{ average maturation rate for age a
        BPER = base period years (1979 through 1982)
            BYEXP }\mp@subsup{P}{BY,F}{}=\mathrm{ brood year exploitation rate in adult equivalent for brood year BY and set of
            fishery }
BPISBMER 
            BY= brood year
            Cohort }\mp@subsup{}{BY,a}{}=\mathrm{ Cohort by brood year BY and age a (where stock is implied from context)
Cohorts,BY,a}=\mathrm{ Cohort by stock s, brood year BY and age a (where stocks are defined explicitly in
                a summation)
            CY= calendar year
CYDist 
                attributable to a fishery or a set of fisheries F
        CY end = end year for average
        CY start = start year for average
            dts,a}=\mathrm{ Distribution parameter for timestep t, stock s, and age a
        Esc}\mp@subsup{Y}{Y,a}{}= escapement past all fisheries for either brood year BY or calendar year CY and ag
        a
            ER s,a,fCY}=\mathrm{ Exploitation rate (based on total mortality) at age a divided by cohort size at age a
                for stock s in fishery f in year CY
    E\mp@subsup{V}{n,\textrm{BY}}{}=\mathrm{ the stock productivity scalar for iteration n and brood year BY}\\mp@code{M}
            f= a single fishery
    f\in{F}= a fishery f}\mathrm{ within the set of fisheries of interest
```

$F=$ ocean, terminal or other sets of fisheries or spawning escapements
$F I_{f, C Y}=$ fishery exploitation rate index for fishery $f$ in year $C Y$
$F P_{\text {as. } C Y, f}=$ ratio of $\mathrm{ER}_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{CY}}$ to BPISBMER
ISBMIdx $_{C Y}=$ ISBM index for calendar year $C Y$
MatRte $_{a-l, B Y}=$ maturity rate at next younger age by brood year
Maxage $=$ maximum age of stock (generally age 6 for stream type stocks, age 5 for ocean
type stocks)
Minage $=$ minimum age of stock (generally age 3 for stream type stocks, age 2 for ocean
type stocks)
Morts $_{C Y, a, f}=$ landed or total fishing mortality in year $C Y$ and age $a$ in fishery $f$
$N M_{a}=$ annual natural mortality prior to fishing on age $a$ cohort
Numfisheries $=$ total number of fisheries
$R T_{C Y}=$ ratio of the catch quota in the current year to the catch that would be predicted
given current abundance, current size limits, and base period exploitation rates
$s=$ a particular stock
$S=$ set of all stocks that meet selection criteria
$S C_{B Y}=$ ratio of the estimated terminal run and model predicted terminal run for brood
year $B Y$
Surv $_{a}=$ survival rate $\left(1-\mathrm{NM}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ by age
TotMorts $_{B Y, a, f}=$ total fishing related mortality for brood year $B Y$ or calendar year $C Y$ or during the
base period BPER and age $a$ in fishery $f$

TotCWTRelease $_{B Y}=$ number of CWT fish released in the indicator group in brood year $B Y$

$$
{\text { Age } 2 \text { CohSurv }_{B Y}=}_{\text {Cohort }_{B Y, 2}}^{\text {TotCWTRelease }_{B Y}}
$$

where Cohort $_{B Y, 2}$ is calculated recursively from the oldest age down to age- 2 using:

$$
\text { Cohort }_{B Y, a}=\frac{\sum_{f=1}^{\text {Nummisheries }_{\text {TotMorts }}^{B Y, a, f}}+\text { Esc }_{B Y, a}+\text { Cohort }_{B Y, a+1}}{1-N M_{a}}
$$

If ocean age- 5 is absent, the age- 4 cohort size is estimated using the following formula:

$$
\text { Cohort }_{B Y, 4}=\frac{\sum_{f \in \text { Preterminal }} \text { TotMorts }_{B Y, 4, f}+\frac{\text { Esc }_{B Y, 4}+\sum_{f \in \text { Terminal }} \text { TotMorts }_{B Y, 4, f}}{\text { AvgMatRte }_{4}}}{1-\mathrm{NM}_{4}}
$$

### 2.1.4. Stock Distribution Patterns

Brood year exploitation rates can indicate the fisheries that exploit a stock and the rates that occur on a specific brood, but do not indicate the exploitation pattern on a stock during one calendar year (across broods). Stock mortality distributions (reported catch or total) in a calendar year are calculated over all ages in the fisheries (if at least three brood years contribute to recoveries).

$$
\text { CYDist }_{C Y, F}=\frac{\sum_{a=\text { Minage }}^{\text {Maxage }} \sum_{f\{F\}} \text { Morts }_{C Y, a, f} * A E Q_{B Y=C Y-a, a, f}}{\sum_{a=\text { Minage }}^{\text {Maxage }}\left(\sum_{f=1}^{\text {Numpisheries }} \text { Morts }_{C Y, a, f} * A E Q_{B Y=C Y-a, a, f}+E s c_{C Y, a}\right)}
$$

It should be noted that catch distributions may not indicate the relative distribution of an indicator stock. For example, closure of a fishery would result in no reported catch but this would not necessarily indicate zero abundance of the stock in that fishing area.

### 2.1.5. Fishery Indices

When the PST was negotiated in 1985, catch ceilings and increases in stock abundance were expected to reduce harvest rates in fisheries. The Fishery Index (FI) provided a means to assess performance against this expectation. Relative to the base period, an index less than 1.0 represents a decrease from base period harvest rates while an index greater than 1.0 represents an increase. While the determination of allowable catch for AABM fisheries in the 1999 Agreement is different from the original PST catch ceilings, these fishery indices continue to provide a useful index of change in harvest rates in these fisheries. Fishery indices are used to measure relative changes in fishery harvest rates because it is not possible to directly estimate the fishery harvest rates.

Fishery indices are computed in AEQ for both reported catch and total mortality (reported catch plus estimated incidental mortality). The total mortality index provides a consistent means of representing changes in reported catch and incidental mortality, including those associated with regulatory measures such as minimum size limits and CNR periods. Equations used to define the index are shown below.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E R_{s, a, f, C Y}=\frac{\text { TotMorts }_{s, a, f, C Y} * A E Q_{s, B Y=C Y-a, a, f}}{\text { Cohort }_{s, B Y=C Y-a, a} *\left(1-N M_{a}\right)} \\
& F I_{f, C Y}=\frac{\sum_{s \in\{S\} a \in\{A\}} \sum_{s, a, f, C Y}}{\left(\frac{\sum_{B P E R=79}^{82} \sum_{s \in\{S\{, a \in\{A\}} \sum_{s, a, f, B P E R} E R}{4}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

For AABM fisheries, indices are presented for troll gear only although the catch limitations also apply to recreational fisheries and net fisheries in SEAK and the recreational fisheries in NBC and WCVI. As in past years, recoveries from the troll fishery were used because the majority of the catch and the most reliable CWT sampling occur in these fisheries. In addition, there are
data limitations in the base period for the sport fisheries. Because the allocation of the catch among gear types has changed in some fisheries (e.g., the proportion of the catch harvested by the sport fishery has increased in the SEAK and NCBC fisheries), the indices may not represent the harvest impact of all gear types.

### 2.1.5.1. Modifications of SEAK Troll Fishery Index

The CTC uses fishery indices to reflect changes in fishery impacts relative to the base period (1979-1982). The form of the fishery index limits inclusion of stocks to those with adequate tagging during the base period, but fishing patterns for some fisheries have changed substantially since then. One example of this is the SEAK troll fishery where the catch during the winter season has increased, the spring fishery has been largely curtailed, and the summer season has become markedly shorter. Because stock complexes are dynamic throughout the year, stock specific impacts of the SEAK fishery have likely changed over time as season structure has been altered. To incorporate changes in stock composition and to include stocks without base period data, the CTC examined alternative derivations of fishery indices (CTC 1996).

The CTC determined that a useful fishery index should reflect both changes in harvest rates and stock distribution. Three general, desirable characteristics were identified:

1) the index should measure changes in fishery harvest rates if the distribution of stocks is unchanged from the base period;
2) the index should have an expected value of 1.0 for random variation around the base period fishery harvest rate, cohort size, and stock distributions; and
3) the index should weight changes in stock distribution by abundance.

After exploring several alternatives, the CTC concluded that the best estimate for a fishery index would consist of the product of a fishery harvest rate index and an index of stock abundance weighted by average distribution (i.e., the proportion of a cohort vulnerable to the fishery). This assessment supported the application of the stratified proportional harvest rate index adjusted for untagged stocks (SPFI), as presented by Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF\&G). Computation of the SPFI is discussed below.

Initially the CWT harvest rate $\left(h_{t, c y}\right)$ must be set to an arbitrary value between 0 and 1 . Then, the distribution parameter $\left(d_{t, s, a}\right)$ is calculated, and the result is substituted into the second equation below to recursively recalculate $h_{t, c y}$ and subsequently $d_{t, s, a}$. The largest stock-age distribution parameter in a stratum is then set to 1 to create a unique solution. See Table 2.4 for notation description.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
d_{t, s, a}=\sum_{C Y} r_{t, C Y, s, a} / \sum_{C Y}\left(h_{t, C Y} * n_{C Y, s, a}\right) \\
h_{t, C Y}=\sum_{s} \sum_{a} r_{t, C Y, s, a} / \sum_{s} \sum_{a}\left(d_{t, s, a} * n_{C Y, s, a}\right)
\end{array}
$$

The resulting unique solution is inserted into the following equations.

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{t, C Y}=\left[\left(\sum_{s}^{\sum_{s} \sum_{a} c_{t, C Y, s, a} r_{t, C Y, s, a}}\right) *\left(C_{t, C Y}-A_{t, C Y}\right)\right] /\left[\left(C_{t, C Y}-A_{t, C Y}\right) / h_{t, C Y}\right] \\
H_{. C Y}=\sum_{t}\left[\left(\sum_{s}^{\sum_{s} \sum_{a}^{a} c_{t, C Y, s, a} r_{t, C Y, s, a}}\right) *\left(C_{t, C Y}-A_{t, C Y}\right)\right] / \sum_{t}\left[\left(C_{t, C Y}-A_{t, C Y}\right) / h_{t, C Y}\right] \\
S_{t, C Y}=H_{t, C Y} / \sum_{C Y=1979}^{1982} H_{t, C Y} \\
S_{. C Y}=H_{. C Y} / \sum_{C Y=1979}^{1982} H_{. C Y}
\end{gathered}
$$

Table 2.4. Parameter definitions for equations used for SPFI in SEAK.

## Parameter Description

$A_{t, C Y}=$ Alaska hatchery origin catch by strata $t$, year $C Y$
$c_{t, C Y, s, a}=$ adult equivalent CWT catch by strata $t$, year $C Y$, stock $s$ and age $a$
$C_{t, C Y}=$ catch by strata $t$, year $C Y$
$d_{t, s, a}=$ distribution parameter by strata $t$, stock $s$ and age $a$
$h_{t, C Y}=$ CWT harvest rate by strata $t$, year $C Y$
$H_{. C Y}=$ harvest rate by year $C Y$
$H_{t, C Y}=$ harvest rate by strata $t$, year $C Y$
$n_{C Y, s, a}=$ CWT cohort size by year $C Y$, stock $s$ and age $a$
$r_{t, C Y, S, a}=$ CWT recoveries by strata $t$, year $C Y$, stock $s$ and age $a$
$S_{. C Y}=$ SPFI by year $C Y$
$S_{t, C Y}=$ SPFI by strata $t$, year $C Y$

### 2.1.6. ISBM Indices

In previous reports, the CTC (1996) proposed a non-ceiling fishery index as a measure of the pass-through provision in the 1985 PST. This index compares an 'expected' AEQ mortality (assuming base period exploitation rates and current stock abundance) with the observed AEQ mortality on a stock within a calendar year, over all non-ceiling fisheries of a party (Table 2.5). Index values less than 1.0 indicate that the exploitation rates have decreased relative to the base period. Under the new Agreement, the CTC is required to continue to use the ISBM indices to measure the performance of ISBM fisheries. Paragraph 4, chapter 3 states:
"4. The Parties agree that in respect of ISBM fisheries:
(a) their intent is that the fisheries shall be managed over time to contribute to the achievement of MSY or other agreed biologically-based escapement objectives;
(b) until such times as the ISBM fisheries are managed to meet those escapement objectives, and unless otherwise recommended by the CTC, the non-ceiling index defined in TCChinook (96)-1 (February 15,1996) will be used to measure performance of ISBM fisheries;
(c) the non-ceiling index for ISBM fisheries will be computed pre-season based on forecasted abundance and fishing plans and evaluated post season for each of the escapement indicator stocks listed in Attachments I to V to this chapter;
(d) for the purposes of this paragraph, until agreed escapement objectives for the stock groups listed in Attachments I to V to this Chapter have been achieved, Canada and the United States shall reduce by 36.5 and 40 percent respectively, the total adult equivalent mortality rate, relative to the 1979-82 base period, in their respective ISBM fisheries that affect those stock groups. The reduction identified in this subparagraph shall be referred to as the "general obligation"."

Table 2.5. Fisheries included in the ISBM Index by nation.

| Fisheries Included in ISBM Index |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| United States |  |
|  | Canada |
| Washington/Oregon Ocean Troll | Central BC Troll |
| Puget Sound Northern Net | Strait of Georgia Troll |
| Puget Sound Southern Net | North BC Net |
| Washington Coastal Net | Central BC Net |
| Freshwater Terminal Net | West Coast Vancouver Island Net |
| Washington/Oregon Ocean Sport | Strait of Juan de Fuca Net |
| Puget Sound Northern Sport | Johnstone Net |
| Puget Sound Southern Sport | Fraser Net |
| Freshwater Terminal Sport | Freshwater BC Net |
|  | Strait of Georgia Sport |
|  | Freshwater BC Sport |

The formula proposed by the CTC in 1991 and referred to in CTC (1996) for a stock/country combination is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I S B M I d x_{C Y} & =\frac{\sum_{f \in\{F\}} \sum_{a=\text { Minage }}^{\text {Maxage }}\left(\text { TotMorts }_{C Y, f, a} * A E Q_{B Y=C Y-a, a, f}\right)}{\sum_{f \in\{F\}} \sum_{a=\text { Minage }}^{\text {Maxage }}\left(B P I S B M E R_{f, a} * \text { Cohort }_{B Y=C Y-a, a}\right)} \\
B P I S B M E R_{f, a}= & \frac{\sum_{B P E R=79}^{82} \frac{\left(\text { TotMorts }_{B P E R, f, a} * A E Q_{B Y=B P E R-a, a, f}\right)}{\text { Cohort }_{B Y=B P E R-a, a}}}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Direct application of the CTC model alone or CWT data alone was not possible in the computation of all ISBM indices since fisheries required a finer resolution than the CTC model currently provides or because in some cases terminal fisheries occur that make the estimated CWT-based exploitation rate not representative of the untagged stocks.

In those instances the following methods were used:

1) For 2004, two preseason models, the Fisheries Resource Assessment Model (FRAM) and the Columbia River Harvest Model, were used to predict stock-specific impacts in inside fisheries (Puget Sound net and sport, and the Columbia River net and sport fisheries respectively). These estimated impacts were then used to compute the Chinook model fishery policy (FP) scalars for the corresponding CTC model fisheries.
2) For 2004, many ISBM fisheries or stock/fishery combinations had no preseason predictions of harvest rates and in some cases, no prediction of abundance. In those cases, a repeat of 2003 harvest rates, or a repeat of 2002 rates if estimates of 2003 were also unavailable, was assumed.
3) In 1999, 2000-2003 external estimates of impacts in terminal ISBM fisheries were used to generate FP scalars (for model generated estimates) or to modify estimated CWT recoveries (for CWT-based estimates) for many stocks. This was necessary because terminal impacts on some CWT exploitation rate indicator stocks were not representative of the fishery impacts on the untagged stock of interest.
4) For the CWT-based estimates, some indicator stocks did not have 1979 - 1982 base period recoveries. For these stocks, base period exploitation rates for the model stock associated with the wild stock were used, if available.

Tables 2.6 and 2.7 show which model stock or CWT exploitation rate indicator stock was used to represent each wild stock. The tables also summarize the methods (if any) used to compute the FP scalars for the model stocks or to adjust the CWT data for exploitation rate indicator stocks for the computation of the ISBM indices.

Table 2.6. Methods used to compute FP scalars for input into the CTC Chinook Model to produce ISBM Indices. See Section 2.1.6 for descriptions of stock specific methods. Order of the stock groups correspond to Annex 4, Chapter 3, Attachment IV and V of the PST 1999 Revised Annexes.

| Stock Group | Escapement Indicator Stock | Model Stock | Stock Specific Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2004 |
| Lower Strait of Georgia | Cowichan Nanaimo | GST | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| Fraser Late | Harrison | FRL | 1,2 |
| North Puget Sound Natural Spring | Nooksack Spring Skagit Spring | NKS | $\begin{aligned} & 1,2 \\ & 1,2 \end{aligned}$ |
| Upper Strait of Georgia | Klinaklini <br> Kakweikan <br> Wakeman <br> Kingcome <br> Nimpkish | GSQ | Model defaults |
| Fraser Early (springs and summers) | Upper Fraser Mid Fraser Thompson | FRE | 2 |
| West Coast Vancouver Island Falls | Artlish <br> Burman <br> Kauok <br> Tahsis <br> Tashish <br> Marble | RBT | 2 |
| Puget Sound Natural Summer/Falls | Skagit Stillaguamish Snohomish Lake Washington Green River | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SKG } \\ & \text { STL } \\ & \text { SNO } \\ & \text { PSN } \\ & \text { PSN } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| North/Central BC | Yakoun Nass Skeena Area 8 | NTH | Model defaults |
| Washington Coastal Fall Naturals | Hoko <br> Grays Harbor <br> Queets <br> Hoh <br> Quillayute | WCN | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Columbia River Falls | Upriver Brights <br> Deschutes <br> Lewis | URB <br> URB <br> LRW | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Columbia River Summers | Mid-Columbia Summers | SUM | 2 |
| Far North Migrating Oregon Coastal Falls | Nehalem Siletz <br> Siuslaw | SRH | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

Table 2.7. Methods used to adjust CWT data for computation of the ISBM indices. See Section 2.1.6 for descriptions of stock specific methods. Order of the stock groups correspond to Annex 4, Chapter 3, Attachment IV and V of the PST 1999 Revised Annexes.

| Stock Group | Escapement Indicator Stock | Exploitation Rate Indicator Stock | Stock Specific Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lower Strait of Georgia | Cowichan Nanaimo | Cowichan Big Qualicum River | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Fraser Late | Harrison | Chilliwack (Harrison Fall Stock) | Not needed |
| North Puget Sound Natural Spring | Nooksack Spring Skagit Spring | Nooksack Spring N/A | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Upper Strait of Georgia | Klinaklini <br> Kakweikan <br> Wakeman <br> Kingcome <br> Nimpkish | Quinsam | Not needed |
| Fraser Early (springs and summers) | Upper Fraser Mid Fraser Thompson | N/A | N/A |
| West Coast Vancouver Island Falls | Artlish <br> Burman <br> Kauok <br> Tahsis <br> Tashish <br> Marble | Robertson Creek | 3 |
| Puget Sound Natural Summer/Falls | Skagit Stillaguamish Snohomish Lake Washington Green River | N/A <br> Stillaguamish Fall Fingerling <br> N/A <br> N/A <br> South Puget Sound Fall Fingerlings | 4 <br> Not needed <br> N/A <br> N/A <br> Not needed |
| North/Central BC | Yakoun Nass Skeena Area 8 | N/A | N/A |
| Washington Coastal Fall Naturals | Hoko <br> Grays Harbor <br> Queets <br> Hoh <br> Quillayute | Queets <br> Queets <br> Queets <br> Queets <br> Queets | 3 3 Not needed 3 3 |
| Columbia River Falls | Upriver Brights <br> Deschutes <br> Lewis | Upriver Bright Upriver Bright Lewis River Wild | Not needed 3 <br> Not needed |
| Columbia River Summers | Mid-Columbia Summers | Columbia Summers | Not needed |
| Far North Migrating Oregon Coastal Falls | Nehalem <br> Siletz <br> Siuslaw | Salmon River Hatchery Salmon River Hatchery Salmon River Hatchery | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

### 2.2. Model Calibration

This section describes the calibration data and procedures. For reference, a list of stocks and fisheries in the model is provided in Appendix C. Estimation of the model base period parameters is discussed in detail in the model documentation (Analytic Work Group 1991). For 2004, the model used was the same as used during the Pacific Salmon Treaty negotiations (CLB 9812) but with the exception that the actual catches, escapements, and other data through 2003 were added. In addition, CTC-accepted escapement goals were used where available and the form of the Ricker production function was adjusted for those stocks with newly accepted goals (e.g. Harrison River fall white Chinook).

### 2.2.1. Calibration Data

The first step in the annual calibration process is to gather new or revised data and update the appropriate model input files. The frequency of updates depends on the frequency of data changes made by the reporting agencies, the magnitude of the change, and the significance of the change to the current model application. For example, the file containing run size data is updated as pre-season forecasts and post-season estimates become available since model predictions are sensitive to pre-season forecasts and post-season estimates of terminal runs. Months in which forecasts are made for each stock, and the month the final return estimate becomes available, are presented in Table 2.8.

The model is recalibrated annually to incorporate observed data from the previous year and available abundance forecasts for next year. In addition, recalibration may also occur when significant changes in one or more of the following model input files are made.

BSE (base). This file contains basic information describing the structure of the model, including, but not limited to, the number of stocks, age classes and fisheries, the names of fisheries and the proportion of each age class that was not vulnerable to the gear during the base period, identification of terminal fisheries, stock names and production parameters. This file may be modified annually to incorporate productivity parameters that correspond to new CTC agreed escapement goals.

CEI (ceiling). This file contains historical catch data for the 19 fisheries that are modeled as ceiling or catch quota fisheries (as opposed to fisheries modeled solely through control of exploitation rates) through the most recent fishing season.

CNR (Chinook nonretention). Data used by the model to estimate mortalities during CNR periods are read from the CNR file. The data in the CNR file depends on which method is used to calculate CNR mortality. It may include direct estimates of encounters during the CNR period or indicators of fishing effort in the CNR period relative to the retention period.

Table 2.8. Months that final return estimate are available for the previous year and pre-season forecasts of abundance are available for the next fishing year from agencies.

| Model Stock | Month Final Return Estimate Available | Month(s) Forecast Available |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska South SE | January | None |
| North/Central BC | November | None |
| WCVI Natural | January | February |
| WCVI Hatchery | January | February |
| Upper Strait of Georgia | January | None |
| Lower Strait of Georgia Hatchery | December | None |
| Lower Strait of Georgia Natural | December | None |
| Fraser Early | January | None |
| Fraser Late | February | February |
| Nooksack Spring | June | Not Used |
| Nooksack Fall (Samish) | June | February |
| Snohomish Wild | June | February |
| Skagit Wild | June | February |
| Puget Sound Natural Fingerling | June | February |
| Stillaguamish Wild | June | February |
| Puget Sound Hatchery Fingerling | June | February |
| Puget Sound Hatchery Yearling | June | February |
| Washington Coastal Wild | June | None |
| Washington Coastal Hatchery | June | None |
| Cowlitz Spring Hatchery | June | December |
| Willamette River Hatchery | June | December |
| Columbia River Summer | September | March |
| Fall Cowlitz Hatchery | April | February, April ${ }^{2}$ |
| Spring Creek Hatchery | April | February, April |
| Lower Bonneville Hatchery | April | February, April |
| Upriver Brights | April | February, April |
| Snake River Wild Fall | April | April |
| Mid-Columbia River Bright | April | February, April |
| Lewis River Wild | April | February, April |
| Oregon Coast | February | February |

ENH (enhancement file). This file contains productivity parameters and smolt production for 13 hatchery stocks and one natural stock (Lower Georgia Strait Naturals) with supplementation. Smolt production is expressed as the deviation from the average production during the model base period; as a result, values in the ENH file can be negative if releases in a given year are less

[^2]than the average reported for the model base period. Additional discussion of the productivity parameters may be found in the model documentation (Analytic Work Group 1991).

FCS (forecast). Estimates of terminal run sizes or escapements and agency supplied pre-season forecasts (Table 2.9) are included in the FCS file. Age-specific information is used for those stocks and years with age data.

FP (fishery policy). This file contains year-fishery-stock-age-specific scalars to be applied to base period fishery exploitation rates. The FPs are used to scale fishery exploitation rates relative to the model base period and can be used for a variety of purposes. For example, in the Ocean areas off Washington and Oregon North of Cape Falcon (WA/OR) troll fishery, the FPs are used to model the differential impacts on Columbia River and Puget Sound stocks as the proportion of the catch occurring in the Strait of Juan de Fuca varies. The source of the FPs is generally the reported catch fishery index computed from CWT data in the annual exploitation rate analysis or the ratios of harvest rates computed from terminal area run reconstructions.

IDL (interdam loss). The IDL file contains stock-specific conversion factors for the Columbia River Summer, Columbia Upriver Bright, Spring Creek Tule, and Snake River Fall stocks provided each year by Columbia River fishery managers. The factors represent the fraction of the stock that can be accounted for after mainstem dam passage in the Columbia River; losses can be attributed to direct mortality at the various dams, mortality in the reservoirs between dams, fallbacks, tailrace spawning, and other factors. The interdam loss factor is equal to one minus the conversion factor.

IM (changes in incidental mortality rates). The IM file contains the incidental mortality rates by fishery for legal and sublegal fish that differ from those used in the base period due to alterations in gear, regulations, or fishery conduct.

MAT (maturity and adult equivalent factors). The MAT file has annual estimates of maturation rates and adult equivalent factors for 11 stocks $^{3}$. These estimates replace the base period rates in the BSE file. The annual estimates are obtained from the annual exploitation rate analysis. The average value is used for years beyond the last year for which estimates are available (due to incomplete broods and the one year lag for completion of the annual exploitation rate analysis).

PNV (proportion nonvulnerable). A PNV file is created for each fishery for which a size limit change has occurred since the model base period. Each file contains age-specific estimates of the proportion of fish not vulnerable to the fishing gear or smaller in length than the minimum size limit. The PNVs were estimated from empirical size distribution data; in some instances, independent surveys of encounter rates were used to adjust the PNV for age 2 fish to account for the proportion of the cohort that was not vulnerable to the fishing gear.

STK (stock). This file contains the stock and age-specific starting (base period) cohort sizes, the base period exploitation rates on the vulnerable cohort for each model fishery, maturation schedules, and adult equivalent factors. This file is updated if new stocks or fisheries are added, new CWT tag codes are used to represent distribution patterns of existing model stocks, or a re-

[^3]estimation of base period data occurs. Modification of this file will result in a model different from that used in the negotiations (CLB 9812).

The calibration is controlled through a file designated OP7.
Table 2.9. Methods used to forecast the abundance of stocks in PSC Chinook Model: Externally provided forecast type codes are $\mathrm{S}=$ sibling; $\mathrm{R}=$ return rate; $\mathrm{C}=$ model internally estimated projection.

| Model Stock | Forecast Characteristics |  |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Forecast Type | Pre-season Age- Specific | Post-season Age- Specific |  |
| Alaska South SE | C | - | Yes | Calibrated to escapement |
| North/Central BC | C | - | No | Calibrated to terminal run |
| WCVI Hatchery + Natural (RBH and RBT model stocks) | S | Yes | Yes | Robertson Creek Hatchery forecasts plus expansion for other WCVI stocks based on ratio of terminal run sizes |
| Upper Strait of Georgia | C | - | Partial | Calibrated to escapement |
| Lower Strait of Georgia Hatchery | C | - | Yes | Calibrated to escapement to GSH hatchery systems and Squamish River |
| Lower Strait of Georgia Natural | C | - | Yes | Calibrated to escapement to Cowichan and Nanaimo Rivers |
| Fraser Early | C | - | No | Calibrated to terminal run |
| Fraser Late | S | Yes | Yes | Combined forecasts for Harrison River and Chilliwack Hatchery |
| Nooksack Spring | C | Partial | No | No data since 1987 |
| Nooksack Fall (Samish) | R | No | No | 2001-2002 return rate |
| Snohomish Wild | R | No | No | Recruits per Spawner |
| Skagit Wild | S | Yes | Yes | Cohort return rate |
| Puget Sound Natural Fingerling | R | No | No | Calibrated to terminal run |
| Stillaguamish Wild | R | No | No | Recruits per Spawner |
| Puget Sound Hatchery <br> Fingerling + Yearling | R | No | No | Age-specific forecasts not available for all components |
| Washington Coastal Wild | R | No | No | Calibrated to terminal run |
| Washington Coastal Hatchery | C | No | No | Calibrated to terminal run |
| Cowlitz Spring Hatchery | S | Yes | Yes | Prediction is to mouth of tributary streams. |
| Willamette River Hatchery | S | Yes | Yes | Prediction is to mouth of Willamette River |
| Columbia River Summer | S | No | No | Changed in 2001 to 5-year average |
| Spring Creek Hatchery | S | Yes | Yes | Run reconstruction used to estimate Columbia River mouth return |
| Lower Bonneville Hatchery | S | Yes | Yes | Run reconstruction used to estimate Columbia River mouth return |
| Upriver Brights | S | Yes | Yes | Run reconstruction used to estimate Columbia River mouth return |
| Snake River Wild Fall | C | - | No | Calibrated to escapement to Lower Granite. External forecast is sometimes available. |
| Mid-Columbia River Bright | S | Yes | Yes | Run reconstruction used to estimate Columbia River mouth return |
| Lewis River Wild | S | Yes | Yes | Run reconstruction used to estimate Columbia River mouth return |
| Oregon Coast | S | Yes | Yes | Weighted average age composition from four index rivers |

### 2.2.2. Calibration Procedures

The objective of the calibration is to estimate stock and brood year specific environmental variant (EV) scalars. The calibration uses an iterative algorithm to estimate the EV scalars for each brood year and model stock to account for annual variability in natural mortality in the initial year of ocean residence. EV scalars are applied to production resulting from brood year escapements and the base period spawner-recruit function to produce the age 1 abundance by stock. Fishing impacts and natural mortalities are then applied through model processes. EVs also adjust for biases resulting from errors in the data or assumptions used to estimate the base period parameters for the spawner-recruit function.

EVs are estimated through the following steps for stocks calibrated to age-specific terminal run sizes:
(1) Predicted terminal runs are computed for each year using the input files discussed above and with values of all stock productivity scalars (EVs set equal to 1 ).
(2) The ratio of the estimated terminal run and model predicted terminal run $\left(S C_{B Y}\right)$ is computed for each brood year. For example, if the estimated and model predicted terminal runs for the 1979 brood were 900 and 1,500 age 3 fish in 1982, 4,000 and 4,500 age 4 fish in 1983, and 1,000 and 1,500 age 5 fish in 1983, the ratio would be computed as:

$$
\begin{gathered}
S C_{B Y}=\frac{\sum_{a=\text { Minage }}^{\text {Maxage }}(\text { EstimatedTerminalRun })_{a}}{\sum_{a=\text { Minage }}^{\text {Maxage }}(\text { ModelPredictedTerminalRun })_{a}} \\
S C_{B Y}=\frac{900+4000+1000}{1500+4500+1500}
\end{gathered}
$$

In the absence of age-specific estimates of the terminal run, the components are computed by multiplying the total terminal run by the model predictions of age composition.
(3) The stock productivity scalar for iteration $n$ and brood year $B Y$ is computed as:

$$
E V_{n, B Y}=E V_{n-1, B Y} * S C_{B Y}
$$

(4) Steps 1-3 are repeated until the absolute change in the stock productivity scalars for all stocks is less than a predetermined tolerance level (currently set at 0.05 ).

Several options for the calibration are provided in the OP7 control file. The options include the brood years for which the stock productivity scalars are estimated in each iteration and the type of the convergence test. For the 2004 calibration, stock productivity scalars were estimated for
each brood year in each iteration. Convergence was defined to occur when the absolute value of the difference in stock productivity scalars between successive iterations did not exceed 0.05 .

Stock-specific calibration options are specified in the FCS file and discussed below:
Minimum Number of Age Classes. Data for all age classes will not be available when the stock productivity scalars are estimated for recent broods. Since considerable uncertainty may exist in a single data point, application of the calibration algorithm can be restricted to cases in which a specific minimum number of age classes are present.

Minimum Age. Considerable uncertainty often exists in the estimates of terminal runs or escapements for younger age classes, particularly age 2 . The minimum age class to include in the calibration algorithm is specified in the FCS file.

Estimation of Age Composition. Age-specific estimates of the terminal run or escapement may not be available. An option is provided to estimate the age composition using base period maturation and exploitation rates.

The forecasts provided by the management agencies typically represent terminal runs or escapements without adjustments for changes in ocean fisheries. Since the forecasts implicitly include exploitation in pre-terminal fisheries, the expansion of the forecasts to total cohort size should be made using the average exploitation rate for the period of years in the forecast database.

The 2004 calibration was completed in two stages to facilitate computation of the average exploitation rates and incorporation of the agency forecasts. The Stage 1 calibration provided initial estimates of exploitation rate scalars for fishing years 1979 through 2003 using updated catch and escapement data through 2003. Average exploitation rate scalars were then computed and used as input values for 2003 fisheries in the Stage 2 calibration, except for the WCVI and Fraser Late (FRL) stocks whose forecasts already account for changes in the ocean fisheries.

The average exploitation rate scale factors ( $\overline{F P}$ ) for each model fishery were obtained from the Stage 1 calibration using the following formula:

$$
\overline{F P}_{a, s, C Y, f}=\frac{\sum_{C Y=C Y_{s a r t}}^{C Y_{\text {end }}} R T_{C Y} * F P_{s, a, C Y, f}}{\left(C Y_{\text {end }}-C Y_{\text {start }}\right)}
$$

The range of years used to compute the average varied between stocks and was fishery and agespecific. The input files used in the Stage 2 calibration were identical to those used in Stage 1 with two exceptions:
(1) the average exploitation rate scale factors for each fishery were inserted into the FP file for 2004; and
(2) the Stage 1 EVs were used as starting values for the Stage 2 calibration.

To determine the acceptability of a calibration by the CTC (i.e., whether an annual calibration is deemed final by the CTC), several results are examined:
(1) accuracy of the reconstructed catches in the fisheries (these values will consistently differ from the actual catches if the calibration is not able to recreate exactly the actual catches in the years 1979 through 1984, the model years prior to implementation of the ceiling algorithm);
(2) accuracy of model predicted terminal runs or escapements relative to the data used for calibration of each stock;
(3) comparison of model predicted age structure in terminal runs or escapements with data used for calibration (consistent biases in age structure are addressed by changing maturation rates);
(4) patterns in the stock productivity scalars compared with marine survival patterns generated by the annual exploitation rate analysis;
(5) comparison of CWT and model estimates of fishery harvest rate indices;
(6) comparison of model estimates of mortality distributions for individual stocks to those generated from the annual CWT-based exploitation rate analysis; and
(7) comparison of model estimated AIs with those AIs estimated by model CLB 9812.

Calibration usually involves an iterative process until a judgment is made by the CTC that an acceptable fit to all the data was achieved. This decision usually involves an inspection and trial-and-error process. The determination of whether or not further calibrations are necessary is based principally on the significance of deviations from observed or estimated values for stocks and fisheries most relevant to the issues to be evaluated and on the time constraints established for completion of the calibration.

### 2.2.3. Changes from Previous Calibration Procedures

### 2.2.3.1. Data Changes Involved in the Exploitation Rate Analysis

1. All three tag codes from brood 1999 for LYF (Snake River Fall Wild stock) were deleted from the Exploitation Rate Analysis upon discovery that these releases did not have an adipose fin clip mark. These tag codes had been included in the previous analysis.
2. A review of PNV values for the fisheries in the Exploitation Rate Analysis and the Chinook model revealed that many of the values were incorrect due to improper assumptions about size limits in the fisheries. PNV values for numerous fisheries (North Troll, Central Troll, WCVI Troll, North/Central Sport, WCVI Sport, Georgia Strait Sport, WA/OR Troll, Washington Coastal Sport, Puget Sound North Sport, and Puget Sound Other Sport) and years were changed in the PSL input files to reflect the actual size limits in place for the years and fisheries in the Exploitation Rate Analysis.

### 2.2.3.2. Changes to the Input Data for the Chinook Model

1. The Stillaguamish forecast of 3,300 for 2004 was deleted from the forecast (FCS) file due to the inability of the model to produce enough fish to achieve the forecast (i.e., EVs kept increasing and the calibration never converged).
2. Certain stocks and brood years were set to missing in the MATAEQ file even though the broods existed (see below). This was done because of generally poor survival rates and low numbers of recoveries for these broods. Cohort analysis based on the actual recovery data generated highly skewed or unrealistic maturation rates that were highly suspect (e.g. 0.000 for age 2 etc.). The stocks and brood years are as follows:

LRH 1977, 1986, 1991, 1992
CWF 1994, 1997
BQR 1992
LRW 1996, 1997
RBT 1992, 1997
WSH 1982, 1994
3. PNV values were revised in the PNV input file for the model calibration to match those used in the Exploitation Rate Analysis. This resulted in an increase in abundances in the WCVI troll fishery due to changes in the base period PNV values.
4. Columbia River Summers calibration data was changed from escapement to terminal run.
5. The times series of FRE (Fraser Early) terminal run estimates were revised in the FSC input file because it was discovered that it was not the same as that used to calculate the annual terminal FP scalars.

### 2.3 General Forecast Methods

For those stocks with externally provided forecasts of abundance in 2004, management agencies used two general methods to predict terminal returns or escapements:

Sibling Models. Empirical relationships between abundance (commonly measured as terminal run size) of age $a$ fish in calendar year $C Y$ and the comparable abundance of age $a+1$ fish in year $C Y+1$ are used to predict abundance in 2004 from data collected in previous years (forecast type S in Table 2.9).

Average Return Rate Models. Return rates of adults by age from smolts or parents are averaged over past brood years, then these averages are used to discount abundance of smolts or parents for brood years that will be exploited in 2004 (forecast type R in Table 2.9).

A more detailed description of the forecast methods used for specific stocks is found in Appendix D.

## 3. RESULTS

### 3.1. Exploitation Rate Analysis

### 3.1.1. Brood Year Exploitation Rates

Brood year exploitation rates for 23 stocks were computed (Appendix F, Figures F.1-F.23). These figures are presented as cumulative bar graphs with landed catch plus incidental mortality (non-landed catch mortality due to fishing) summing to the total mortality for each brood year. Figures labeled as ocean mortality exclude terminal fishery mortality ${ }^{4}$, while total mortality indicates ocean plus terminal fishery mortality. In general, exploitation rates for these stocks have declined from the base period years.

### 3.1.2. Survival Indices

Estimated total brood year survival from CWT exploitation rate analysis and EV scalars from the model are presented for 30 of the index stocks in Appendix E. In general, recent brood year survivals are lower than in earlier years of the time series for completed brood years. For some stocks, survivals for recent incomplete broods have been increasing. Correlation coefficients ( $r$ values) were computed as a measure of association between the two indices of survival (Table 3.1). A correlation approaching 1.0 indicates a strong linear relationship and provides evidence that the EV is predictive of the final cohort survival. Conversely, a correlation approaching 0 indicates little relationship between the EV scalar and final cohort survival. The degree of correlation varied substantially among stocks. Of the 30 stocks, 18 had $r$ values that were significantly different from zero ( $P<0.05$ ). Correlation coefficients for these 19 stocks ranged from 0.49 to 0.82 .

[^4]Table 3.1. Correlation coefficient ( $r$ ) between total brood year survival estimated from CWTs and EV scalars for 30 stocks. $N$ is the number of brood years with survival and EV data; $P$ is the probability that the true $r$ is equal to 0 . A low $P$ value indicates a significant correlation.

| Exploitation Rate Indicator Stock | N | r | P |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska Spring | 19 | 0.40 | 0.093 |
| Kitsumkalum | 17 | 0.43 | 0.083 |
| Robertson Creek | 24 | 0.72 | $<0.001$ |
| Quinsam | 22 | 0.74 | $<0.001$ |
| Puntledge | 24 | 0.56 | 0.004 |
| Big Qualicum | 24 | 0.60 | 0.002 |
| Cowichan | 12 | 0.53 | 0.078 |
| Chilliwack | 17 | 0.71 | 0.001 |
| Nooksack Spring Yearling | 10 | 0.78 | 0.008 |
| Skagit Spring Yearling | 12 | 0.07 | 0.838 |
| Samish Fall Fingerling | 16 | 0.72 | 0.002 |
| George Adams Fall Fingerlings | 19 | 0.59 | 0.008 |
| South Puget Sound Fall Fingerling | 22 | 0.49 | 0.021 |
| South Puget Sound Fall Yearling | 15 | -0.04 | 0.898 |
| Squaxin Pens Fall Yearling | 8 | 0.08 | 0.845 |
| White River Spring Yearling | 19 | -0.18 | 0.460 |
| Elwha Fall Fingerling | 9 | 0.48 | 0.188 |
| Hoko Fall Fingerling | 11 | -0.06 | 0.863 |
| Sooes Fall Fingerling | 11 | -0.11 | 0.744 |
| Queets Fall Fingerling | 18 | 0.44 | 0.065 |
| Willamette Spring | 22 | 0.67 | 0.001 |
| Columbia River Summers | 18 | 0.57 | 0.013 |
| Cowlitz Tule | 21 | 0.82 | $<0.001$ |
| Spring Creek Tule | 24 | 0.71 | $<0.001$ |
| Columbia Lower River Hatchery | 22 | 0.52 | 0.012 |
| Columbia Upriver Brights | 23 | 0.54 | 0.007 |
| Hanford Wild | 12 | 0.73 | 0.007 |
| Lyons Ferry | 9 | -0.40 | 0.286 |
| Lewis River Wild | 18 | 0.52 | 0.026 |
| Salmon River | 19 | 0.66 | 0.002 |
|  |  |  |  |

### 3.1.3. Stock Distribution

Tables in Appendix G provide the distribution of a stock's catch mortality among fisheries, as well as escapement, for both reported catch and total mortality in a calendar year. The distribution is shown as a percentage of the annual production; values within a year sum to $100 \%$.

### 3.2 Model Output

### 3.2.1. AABM Abundance Indices and Associated Catches

Beginning with the 1999 fishing season, the Agreement specified that the AABM fisheries be managed through the use of the pre-season AIs, where specific allowable harvest corresponds to a given AI for each fishery. All of the pre-season AIs (Table 3.2) that were used to establish harvest management targets are summarized in Table 3.2. The Agreement also stipulated the AIs could be adjusted in season using CTC approved methodologies. This has been invoked only once in 1999 when an inseason AI of 1.14 was used instead of the preseason 1.10 for SEAK. The 2004 AI for the SEAK troll fishery is 1.88 , for the NBC troll it is 1.67 , and for the WCVI troll is 0.90 .

Table 3.2. Abundance Indices for 1999 to 2004 for the SEAK, NBC, and WCVI troll fisheries.

|  | SEAK |  | NBC |  | WCVI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | pre-season | post-season | pre-season | post-season | pre-season | post-season |
| 1999 | $1.15^{1}$ | $1.12^{3}$ | $1.12^{1}$ | $0.97^{3}$ | $0.60^{1}$ | $0.50^{3}$ |
| 2000 | $1.14^{2}$ | $1.10^{3}$ | $1.00^{2}$ | $0.95^{3}$ | $0.54^{2}$ | $0.47^{3}$ |
| 2001 | $1.14^{3}$ | $1.29^{4}$ | $1.02^{3}$ | $1.22^{4}$ | $0.66^{3}$ | $0.68^{4}$ |
| 2002 | $1.74^{4}$ | $1.82^{5}$ | $1.45^{4}$ | $1.63^{5}$ | $0.95^{4}$ | $0.92^{5}$ |
| 2003 | $1.79^{5}$ | $2.17^{6}$ | $1.48^{5}$ | 1.906 | $0.85^{5}$ | $1.10^{6}$ |
| 2004 | $1.88^{6}$ |  | $1.67^{6}$ |  | $0.90^{6}$ |  |

${ }^{1}$ From CTC Chinook Model Calibration \#9902.
${ }^{2}$ From CTC Chinook Model Calibration \#0021.
${ }^{3}$ From CTC Chinook Model Calibration \#0107.
${ }^{4}$ From CTC Chinook Model Calibration \#0206.
${ }^{5}$ From CTC Chinook Model Calibration \#0308.
${ }^{6}$ From CTC Chinook Model Calibration \#0404

The Agreement specifies the allowable catch for various values of AI for each fishery. The specified treaty catch by fishery and year and the actual (observed) catches are shown in Table 3.3.

Table 3.3 Observed catches and post-season allowable catches for 1999 to 2003, and pre-season allowable catches for 1999 to 2004, for AABM fisheries.

| Year | PST Treaty Allowable and Observed Catches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SEAK (T, N, S) |  |  | NBC (T, S) |  |  | WCVI (T, S) |  |  |
|  | Pre- season Allowable Catch | Post- season Allowable Catch | Observed Catch | Preseason Allowable Catch | Post- season Allowable Catch | Observed Catch | Pre- season Allowable Catch | Post- season Allowable Catch | Observed Catch |
| 1999 | 192,800 | 184,200 | 198,842 | 145,600 | 126,100 | 92,899 | 128,300 | 107,000 | 36,413 |
| 2000 | 189,900 | 178,500 | 186,493 | 130,000 | 123,500 | 31,880 | 115,500 | 86,200 | 101,442 |
| 2001 | 189,900 | 250,300 | 186,919 | 132,600 | 158,900 | 43,500 | 141,200 | 145,500 | 117,670 |
| 2002 | 356,500 | 371,900 | 357,133 | 192,700 | 237,800 | 137,632 | 203,200 | 196,800 | 166,188 |
| 2003 | 366,000 | 439,600 | 380,152 | 197,100 | 277,200 | 191,657 | 181,800 | 268,900 | 175,827 |
| 2004 | 383,500 | - | - | 243,600 | - |  | 192,500 | - | - |

### 3.2.1.1. Stock composition of AABM fisheries, 1979-2003

There are 30 stocks used in the model. However, the majority of catches in AABM fisheries are often composed of only a few major stocks (Figures 3.1 through 3.3). The relative abundance for each major stock is shown in the following graphs (see Appendix I for tubular results). A time series of abundance estimates for each of the 30 model stocks in each AABM fishery based on the 2004 CTC calibration (CLB 0404) are shown in Appendix J and H, respectively. In general, AIs had a peak during the late 1980s and another in 2003.


Figure 3.1. Total abundance indices for the Southeast Alaska troll fishery with annual stock composition indicated by abundance indices for major model stocks from CLB 0404.

The major model stocks contributing to the SEAK AIs, on average, are: WCVI Natural and Hatchery, Upriver Brights, North/Central BC, and Oregon Coastal (Figure 3.1). The 2004 forecast for Upriver Bright is lower compared to the 2003 post-season assessment. The "other" category is primarily Upper Georgia Strait, Columbia River Summers and Mid Columbia River Brights.


Figure 3.2. Total abundance indices for the Northern BC troll fishery with annual stock composition indicated by abundance indices for major model stocks from CLB 0404.

The major model stock groups in the NBC AABM fishery are WCVI Natural and Hatchery, Upriver Brights, Oregon Coastal, North/Central BC, and Washington Coastal Wild and Hatchery (Figure 3.2). The 2004 preseason AI is noticeably lower for Upriver Brights compared to the 2003 post-season AI.


Figure 3.3. Total abundance indices for the WCVI troll fishery with annual stock composition indicated by abundance indices for major model stocks from CLB 0404.

The major model stock groups in the WCVI fishery are: Fraser Late, Puget Sound, Upriver Brights, and Columbia River Tules (Figure 3.3). The 2004 forecast is for lower abundances of Fraser Late, Upriver Brights, and "other". The "Other" category is similar in both years and is comprised primarily of Columbia River lower river hatcheries, Columbia River summers, Mid Columbia River Brights and Oregon Coastal fish.

### 3.2.2. Overages and Underages

The Agreement specified that the first post-season calibration will be used to generate the final estimate of allowable catch for the purpose of tracking overage and underage provisions. The CTC did not produce a final calibration in 2000, thus the 2001 calibration (CLB 0107) was used to generate final AIs for both 1999 and 2000. All of the first post-season AIs used to evaluate overage and underage are also summarized in Table 3.2.

The overage/underage provisions applies to both AABM and ISBM fisheries. However, the CTC identified, in a February 12, 2002 letter to the PSC, major technical obstacles and policy concerns for adjusting harvest levels in response to overage and underages. The major problem identified for AABM fisheries is the confounding of forecast and management error in assessing overages and underages. Forecast error is associated with the accuracy of the pre-season Abundance Indices (Table 3.2) which in turn is used to determine the preseason estimate of allowable catch. Management error is related to the harvest manager's ability to attain the pre-
season estimates of allowable catch. Harvest managers have no prior knowledge of the postseason estimate of allowable catch which can be quite different from the pre-season estimate (Table 3.3).

Evaluation of overage/underage in ISBM fisheries is confronted with even greater problems than AABM fisheries. Final evaluation using CWT-based estimates of exploitation cannot be carried out until several years after fisheries have occurred, and the tag recoveries for all ages of a cohort are complete. Pre-season estimates of ISBM indices are projected using the model. Model-based and CWT-based estimates of the indices, while generally exhibiting similar trends, are not consistent enough to evaluate small differences in exploitation rates. Even when CWT recoveries are complete for a cohort, because of low tagging rates or low base period exploitation rates, the calculated ISBM indices may lack the statistical power to determine whether or not an overage or underage has actually occurred.

Until an approach for full implementation of overage/underage provisions has been developed and accepted by the PSC, the Commissioners have instructed the CTC to track overages and underages relative to agreed-upon harvest objectives.

### 3.2.3. AABM Fisheries

Table 3.4 shows the difference between the post-season allowable catch and the observed catch in AABM fisheries for 1999-2003, and the cumulative differential for those years. All three AABM fisheries have cumulative underages. In SEAK, observed catches have been below final allowable catches for two of the five years; the cumulative differential is $-8.1 \%$. In NBC, observed catches have been below the final allowable catches in all five years; the cumulative differential is $-46.1 \%$. In WCVI, observed catches have been below allowable catches for three of the four years; the cumulative differential is $-25.7 \%$.

Table 3.4 Deviations in numbers of Chinook salmon and percentages from catch targets derived from the first post-season AI (Table 3.2) for Pacific Salmon Treaty AABM fisheries in 1999 to 2003.

| Year | SEAK |  | NBC |  | WCVI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of <br> Fish | Percent <br> Difference | Number of <br> Fish | Percent <br> Difference | Number of <br> Fish | Percent <br> Difference |
|  | $+14,642$ | $+7.9 \%$ | $-33,201$ | $-26.3 \%$ | $-70,587$ | $-66.0 \%$ |
| 2000 | $+7,993$ | $+4.5 \%$ | $-91,620$ | $-74.2 \%$ | $+15,242$ | $+17.7 \%$ |
| 2001 | $-63,381$ | $-25.3 \%$ | $-115,400$ | $-72.6 \%$ | $-27,830$ | $-19.1 \%$ |
| 2002 | $-14,767$ | $-4.0 \%$ | $-100,168$ | $-42.1 \%$ | $-30,612$ | $-15.6 \%$ |
| 2003 | $-59,448$ | $-13.5 \%$ | $-85,543$ | $-30.9 \%$ | $-93,073$ | $-34.6 \%$ |
| Cum. | $-114,961$ | $-8.1 \%$ | $-425,932$ | $-46.1 \%$ | $-206,860$ | $-25.7 \%$ |

### 3.2.4. ISBM Indices by Stock

For ISBM fisheries, the Agreement specified that Canada and the United States would reduce base period exploitation rates on specified stocks by $36.5 \%$ and $40 \%$, equivalent to ISBM indices of $63.5 \%$ and $60 \%$ percent, respectively. This requirement is referred to as the 'general obligation' and does not apply to stocks that achieve their CTC agreed escapement goal. Estimated ISBM fishery indices are shown in Table 3.5 for Canadian fisheries and Table 3.6 for U.S. fisheries. Both tables present CWT-based indices for 2002, and Chinook model-based predicted indices for 2004. The agreement specifies that the indices for post-season assessment be assessed using the CWT-based estimates, 2002 is the most recent analysis available. CWTbased indices for 1999-2002 and model-based indices for 1999-2004 are presented in Appendix B.

### 3.2.5. CWT-based Indices in 2002

All Canadian ISBM indices from the CWT-based estimates for 2002 show that exploitation rates were reduced more than required under the agreement for all stocks or stock groups (Table 3.5). Four of the 16 U.S. ISBM indices for the CWT-based estimates for 2002 were reduced more than required under the agreement (Table 3.6). Of the 12 U.S. CWT-based ISBM indices that exceeded 0.60, six (Quillayute Fall, Columbia River Summers, Columbia Upriver Brights, Nehalem, Siletz, Siuslaw) have agreed escapement goals and all six exceeded their goal in 2002 (Table 3.10).

### 3.2.6. Predicted ISBM Indices for 2004

Model projected indices (Table 3.5 and Table 3.6) show that the ISBM fisheries are expected to increase harvest in response to overall abundance with projected indices being over 0.635 for most Canadian stocks other than Cowichan in Lower Georgia Straits (Table 3.5). Similarly in the southern US fisheries (Table 3.6) some stocks are projected to have ISBM index values over 0.6.

Table 3.5. Canadian 2002 ISBM Indices based on CWT and the 2004 indices predicted from the PSC Chinook Model. Order of the stock groups correspond to Annex 4, Chapter 3, Attachment IV of the PST 1999 Revised Annexes.

|  |  | Canadian ISBM Indices |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stock Group | Escapement Indicator Stock | CWT Indices for 2002 | Model Indices for 2004 |
| Lower Strait of Georgia | Cowichan | 0.247 | 0.593 |
|  | Nanaimo | 0.247 | 0.695 |
| Fraser Late | Harrison River ${ }^{2}$ | 0.105 | 0.719 |
| North Puget Sound Natural | Nooksack | 0.023 | 0.273 |
| Springs | Skagit | NA | 0.273 |
| Upper Strait of Georgia | Klinaklini, Kakweikan, Wakeman, Kingcome, Nimpkish | 0.063 | 0.971 |
| Fraser Early (spring and summers) | Upper Fraser, Mid Fraser, Thompson | NA | 0.718 |
| West Coast Vancouver Island Falls | WCVI (Artlish, Burman, Kauok, Tahsis, Tashish, Marble) | 0.248 | 0.927 |
| Puget Sound Natural <br> Summer / Falls | Skagit | NA | 0.438 |
|  | Stillaguamish | NA | 0.567 |
|  | Snohomish | NA | 0.445 |
|  | Lake Washington | NA | 0.446 |
|  | Green River | 0.323 | 0.466 |
| North / Central B. C. | Yakoun, Nass, Skeena, Area 8 | NA | 0.804 |
| Washington Coastal Fall Naturals ${ }^{3}$ | Hoko, Grays Harbor, Queets, Hoh, Quillayute | NA | 0.435 |
| Columbia River Falls ${ }^{3}$ | Upriver Brights | NA | 0.663 |
|  | Deschutes | NA | 0.663 |
|  | Lewis ${ }^{2}$ | NA | 0.480 |
| Columbia R Summers ${ }^{3}$ | Mid-Columbia Summers ${ }^{2}$ | NA | 0.333 |
| Far North Migrating OR Coastal Falls ${ }^{3}$ | Nehalem ${ }^{2}$, Siletz $^{2}$, Siuslaw $^{2}$ | NA | 0.672 |

${ }^{1}$ NA means not available because of insufficient data (lack of stock specific tag codes, base period CWT recoveries, etc).
${ }^{2}$ Stock or stock group with agreed escapement goal.
${ }^{3}$ Stock group listed in Annex 4, Chapter 3, Attachment V.

Table 3.6. U.S. 2002 ISBM Indices based on CWT and the 2004 indices predicted from the PSC Chinook Model. Order of the stock groups correspond to Annex 4, Chapter 3, Attachment V of the PST 1999 Revised Annexes.

|  |  | U.S. ISBM Indices |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stock Group | Escapement Indicator Stock | CWT Indices for 2002 | Model Indices for 2004 |
| Washington Coastal Fall Naturals | Hoko | NA | 0.966 |
|  | Grays Harbor | 0.54 | 0.573 |
|  | Queets | 0.84 | 0.932 |
|  | Hoh | 0.95 | 1.214 |
|  | Quillayute | 1.42 | 1.139 |
| Columbia River Falls | Upriver Brights | 1.32 | 0.906 |
|  | Deschutes | 0.59 | 0.475 |
|  | Lewis ${ }^{4}$ | 0.56 | 1.008 |
| Puget Sound Natural Summer / Falls | Skagit | NA | 0.157 |
|  | Stillaguamish | NA | 0.224 |
|  | Snohomish | NA | 0.110 |
|  | Lake Washington | NA | 0.411 |
|  | Green R | 1.07 | 0.260 |
| Fraser Late | Harrison River ${ }^{4}$ | 0.41 | 1.058 |
| Columbia R Summers | Mid-Columbia Summers ${ }^{4}$ | 7.25 | 0.715 |
| Far North Migrating OR Coastal Falls | Nehalem ${ }^{4}$ | 2.17 | 2.230 |
|  | Siletz ${ }^{4}$ | 1.31 | 1.288 |
|  | Siuslaw ${ }^{4}$ | 2.56 | 2.816 |
| North Puget Sound Natural | Nooksack | NA | 0.974 |
| Springs | Skagit | 1.12 | 0.663 |
| Lower Strait of Georgia ${ }^{3}$ | Cowichan, | 5.78 | 0.915 |
|  | Nanaimo | 5.78 | 0.915 |
| Upper Strait of Georgia ${ }^{3}$ | Klinaklini, Kakweikan, Wakeman, Kingcome, Nimpkish | NA | NC |
| Fraser Early (spring and summers) ${ }^{3}$ | Upper Fraser, Mid Fraser, Thompson | NA | 0.839 |
| West Coast Vancouver Island Falls ${ }^{3}$ | WCVI (Artlish, Burman, Kauok, Tahsis, Tashish, Marble) | NA | 0.540 |
| North / Central B. C. ${ }^{3}$ | Yakoun, Nass, Skeena, Area 8 | NA | NC |
| ${ }^{4}$ NA means not available because of insufficient data (lack of stock specific tag codes, base period CWT re etc). <br> ${ }^{2} \mathrm{NC}$ means that the current model assumes the stock is not caught in U.S. ISBM fisheries. <br> ${ }^{3}$ Stock group listed in Annex 4, Chapter 3, Attachment IV. <br> ${ }^{4}$ Stock with agreed escapement goal. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

### 3.3. Model Calibration Evaluation

The model catches and stock escapements or terminal runs estimated by CLB 0404 were evaluated by calculating the average deviations of the model estimates from the observed values (Table 3.7 for the fisheries that are modeled as operating under catch ceilings or quotas for past years, and Table 3.8 for terminal run size/escapements by model stocks).

The model does not estimate catches with equal accuracy in every fishery. This may reflect inadequate representation of the stocks by base period tag data, incomplete representation of the fishery by model stocks, or errors in the estimation of initial stock abundances used to initiate the model. This has been a consistent problem for certain fisheries that cannot be corrected without a base period recalibration. The effect of these deviations depends on the direction of the error (over- or under-estimation), magnitude of the catches, and the stocks contributing to each fishery.

The model catch as a proportion of the true catch is determined by comparing the 1979-1984 model estimated catches by fishery with the actual catches for those years. It is a measure of what proportion of the total catch in the fishery can be explained by stocks in the model. This proportion is only calculated by the model for fisheries that occur in the ceiling file.

Table 3.7. Average proportion of observed catch explained by CLB 0404 modeled catch for PST fisheries.

| Model Fishery | Model Catch as Proportion <br> of Observed Catch |
| :---: | :---: |
| Southeast Alaska Troll | 0.812 |
| Northern BC Troll | 0.882 |
| Central BC Troll | 1.019 |
| WCVI Troll | 0.860 |
| Washington/Oregon North of Falcon Ocean Troll | 1.147 |
| Strait of Georgia Troll | 0.881 |
| Southeast Alaska Net | 0.595 |
| Northern BC Net | 0.657 |
| Central BC Net | 1.086 |
| Puget Sound North Net | 0.782 |
| Southeast Alaska Sport | 0.774 |
| QCI Sport | 2.893 |
| WCVI Sport | 0.465 |
| Washington/Oregon North of Falcon Ocean Sport | 0.891 |
| Puget Sound North Sport | 0.902 |
| Puget Sound South Sport | 0.594 |
| Strait of Georgia Sport | 1.359 |

The ability of the model to estimate escapements and terminal run sizes varies between stocks. The last four columns of Table 3.8 present summary statistics on the fit achieved by CLB 0404. The column entitled "Average" represents the 1979-2003 average ratio between the modelgenerated estimate and reported escapement or terminal run size. On average, the model accurately estimates the observed terminal run or escapements used in the calibration process.

Table 3.8. Ratio of model calibration estimates of terminal run sizes or escapements to observed estimated from 1979 to 2003. For most stocks (or stock groups) included in the model calibration, $n=25$ years, except for Nooksack Springs, ( $\mathrm{n}=8$, years 1980-1987) and the Mid-Columbia River Bright Hatchery group ( $\mathrm{n}=23$, years 1981-2003).

| Model Stock | Ratio of: | Average |  | SD | Min. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Max. |  |  |  |  |  |
| North/Central BC | Escapement | 1.035 | 0.216 | 0.744 | 1.522 |
| WCVI Hatchery \& Natural | Term. Run | 1.016 | 0.111 | 0.796 | 1.297 |
| Upper Strait of Georgia | Escapement | 1.020 | 0.196 | 0.688 | 1.641 |
| Lower Strait of Georgia Hatchery | Term. Run | 1.009 | 0.300 | 0.746 | 2.143 |
| Lower Strait of Georgia Natural | Escapement | 1.035 | 0.164 | 0.680 | 1.400 |
| Fraser Early | Term. Run | 1.014 | 0.089 | 0.740 | 1.467 |
| Fraser Late | Escapement | 1.010 | 0.139 | 0.731 | 1.168 |
| Nooksack Spring | Escapement | 1.059 | 0.216 | 0.860 | 1.537 |
| Nooksack Fall (Samish) | Term. Run | 1.026 | 0.144 | 0.775 | 1.276 |
| Snohomish Wild | Term. Run | 1.041 | 0.171 | 0.816 | 1.616 |
| Skagit Wild | Term. Run | 1.056 | 0.267 | 0.722 | 1.820 |
| Puget Sound Natural Fingerling | Term. Run | 1.019 | 0.126 | 0.819 | 1.376 |
| Stillaguamish Wild | Escapement | 1.052 | 0.212 | 0.739 | 1.687 |
| Puget Sound Hatchery Fingerling \& | Term. Run |  |  |  |  |
| Yearling |  | 1.022 | 0.113 | 0.819 | 1.218 |
| Washington Coastal Wild | Term. Run | 1.031 | 0.152 | 0.811 | 1.405 |
| Washington Coastal Hatchery | Term. Run | 1.044 | 0.175 | 0.817 | 1.450 |
| Cowlitz Spring Hatchery | Term. Run | 1.040 | 0.195 | 0.633 | 1.511 |
| Willamette River Hatchery | Term. Run | 0.998 | 0.197 | 0.659 | 1.410 |
| Columbia River Summer | Escapement | 1.019 | 0.119 | 0.858 | 1.382 |
| Lower Bonneville Hatchery \& | Term. Run |  |  |  | 1.410 |
| Cowlitz Fall Hatchery |  | 0.998 | 0.197 | 0.659 | 1.286 |
| Spring Creek Hatchery | Term. Run | 1.008 | 0.102 | 0.849 | 1.289 |
| Upriver Brights | Term. Run | 1.015 | 0.123 | 0.798 | 1.254 |
| Lyons Ferry | Escapement | 1.136 | 0.526 | 0.519 | 2.974 |
| Mid-Columbia River Bright | Term. Run | 1.032 | 0.163 | 0.765 | 1.470 |
| Lewis River Wild | Term. Run | 1.016 | 0.150 | 0.828 | 1.377 |
| Oregon Coast | Escapement | 1.045 | 0.210 | 0.721 | 1.518 |

The annual ratios of model predictions to observed estimates varies among model stocks. The variability tends to be greatest in less abundant model stocks or in those with highly variable marine survivals. Since the variability is not consistently related to specific stocks, the most likely impacts are annual variations in age-specific survival rates (i.e., random error in estimates of abundance).

The columns entitled "Min" and "Max" are the extreme ranges of annual fits from 1979 through 2003 and represent the smallest and largest ratios between the agency supplied value and the model estimate, respectively. The significance of these deviations depends upon the questions being evaluated. For example, a large deviation for a stock during the first few years of the calibration or for a stock that has a minor impact on a fishery of concern may not necessitate further attempts at model calibration.

Fishery mortality indices generated by CLB 0404 can be compared to the CWT-based exploitation rate analysis. Model and CWT-based fishery mortality indices use the same equation, but the former are derived from model estimates of catch for all model stocks instead of CWT recovery data from specific exploitation rate indicator stocks.

The CWT fishery mortality indices are considered to be the most accurate, and a comparison of these estimates with those derived from the model provides one measure of how well the model represents fisheries. Two types of fishery indices are presented; reported catch and total mortality. Correlation coefficients between the CWT and model estimates for each type of index for the three AABM troll fisheries (Table 3.9) were all significant ( $\mathrm{P}<0.01 ; \mathrm{n}=24$ years). The model results are closely associated with the CWT-based indices and changes in fishery exploitation rates as indicated in Figures 3.4 through 3.9.

Table 3.9. Correlation coefficients between CWT and model fishery indices for landed catch and total mortality in the AABM troll fisheries from 1979 to 2002.

|  | AABM Troll Fishery |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SEAK | NBC | WCVI |
| Landed Catch <br> Mortality | 0.892 |  |  |
| Total Mortality | 0.812 | 0.884 | 0.919 |

The model fishery mortality index for SEAK closely follows the trend of the CWT derived estimate from 1979 through 1989 for both landed and total mortality (Figures 3.4 and 3.5). However, after 1989, the model estimate of both landed catch and total mortality indices is less than the CWT-derived estimates for most years. Since 1990, the model estimates also show less variability compared to the CWT-derived indices.


Figure 3.4. Estimated CWT (through 2002) and model landed catch fishery indices (though 2003) for SEAK troll fishery.


Figure 3.5. Estimated CWT (through 2002) and model total mortality fishery indices (through 2003) for SEAK troll fishery.

The model-derived fishery mortality indices for NBC generally follow the same trend as CWTderived indices (Figures 3.6 and 3.7). However, since 1991, the model-based estimates have exceeded the CWT-derived estimates in all but two years for both landed catch and total mortality indices.


Figure 3.6. Estimated CWT (through 2002) and model landed catch fishery indices (through 2003) for the NBC troll fishery.


Figure 3.7. Estimated CWT (through 2002) and model total mortality fishery indices (through 2003) for the NBC troll fishery.

Since the base period, the model derived reported catch fishery index estimates and trends for the WCVI troll fishery have been similar to those derived from CWTs. However, from 1987 through 1995, the model estimates are consistently greater than the CWT-based estimates and the opposite is true from 1997 to present (Figures 3.8 and 3.9).


Figure 3.8. Estimated CWT (through 2002) and model landed catch fishery indices (through 2003) for the WCVI troll fishery.


Figure 3.9. Estimated CWT (through 2002) and model total mortality fishery indices (through 2003) for the WCVI troll fishery.

### 3.4. Summary of Agency Stock Forecasts Used in the Model

A summary of model produced and agency provided forecasts from 1999-2004 is shown in Table 3.10. A major factor influencing how well the model can predict Chinook abundance in AABM fisheries is how well the model can predict the returns of Chinook (in terms of ocean escapement or spawning escapement) in the forecast year. During model calibration, agency forecasts are input to the model for all model stocks for which forecasts are available. Thus, for model stocks with external forecasts, the variation between model forecasts and actual returns can be broken into two parts - the ability of the model to match the input agency forecasts, and the ability of the agency forecasts to accurately predict the actual return of Chinook in the upcoming year. In Table 3.10, the column labeled 'Model Fcst/Agency Fcst' shows the absolute percentage deviation of the model prediction of the return from the agency provided total return. The column labeled 'Agency Fcst/Postseason' shows the absolute percentage deviation of the agency forecast from the actual return. The column furthest to the right, labeled 'Model Fcst/Postseason', shows the absolute percentage deviation of the model prediction of the return from the observed return.

In general, the model does a very good job of matching the agency supplied forecasts (average error $=-0.5 \%$, standard deviation $=13 \%$, median error $=-0.9 \%$ ). Agency forecasts are, on average, also good predictors of observed returns (average error $=-13.9 \%$, standard deviation $=$ $39 \%$, median error $=-12.8 \%$ ). The model's prediction of observed returns, including stocks for which there is no agency forecast, is also good (average error $=-8.6 \%$, standard deviation $=$ $35 \%$, median error $=-10.0 \%$.

The effect of the error in predicting terminal returns or escapement on the AABM abundance indices varies between fisheries and stocks and with the consistency of the error. For example, a small stock (small in ocean abundance terms) that is over or under predicted will generally not have a large effect on a fisheries' abundance index. Errors in predicting a large stock also may or may not affect a fisheries' index, depending on the contribution of that stock to the fishery in question (see Appendix I for the model estimated stock composition of selected ocean fisheries). In addition, since the abundance index is an index, rather than an absolute measure of abundance, over or under prediction of a stock's terminal return or escapement would not affect the abundance index of a fishery if the bias in the prediction is consistent over all years in the index, including the base.

Table 3.10. Comparison of pre-season forecasts and post-season estimates for PSC model stocks, 1999-2001.

| Stock | Year | Model Forecast | Agency Forecast | Postseason Return | Model Fcst/ Agency Fcst | Agency Fcst/ Postseason | Model Fcst/ Postseason |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AKS <br> (Alaska SSE) | 1999 | 11,866 | n/a | 12,654 | n/a | n/a | -6\% |
|  | 2000 | 18,886 | n/a | 15,909 | n/a | n/a | 19\% |
|  | 2001 | 22,130 | n/a | 21,226 | n/a | n/a | 4\% |
|  | 2002 | 15,650 | n/a | 19,473 | n/a | n/a | -20\% |
|  | 2003 | 22,316 | n/a | 14,206 | n/a | n/a | 57\% |
|  | 2004 | 11,880 | n/a | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NTH <br> (North/ Central BC) | 1999 | 149,387 | n/a | 151,341 | n/a | n/a | -1\% |
|  | 2000 | 159,769 | n/a | 188,482 | n/a | n/a | -15\% |
|  | 2001 | 189,088 | n/a | 228,774 | n/a | n/a | -17\% |
|  | 2002 | 228,073 | n/a | 136,625 | n/a | n/a | 67\% |
|  | 2003 | 154,103 | n/a | 166,568 | n/a | n/a | -7\% |
|  | 2004 | 171,070 | n/a | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RBH+RBT <br> (WCVI <br> Hatchery + Natural) | 1999 | 77,836 | 68,400 | 98,410 | 14\% | -30\% | -21\% |
|  | 2000 | 19,985 | 15,040 | 37,055 | 33\% | -59\% | -46\% |
|  | 2001 | 33,702 | 30,633 | 86,787 | 10\% | -65\% | -61\% |
|  | 2002 | 128,068 | 109,882 | 109,882 | 17\% | 0\% | 17\% |
|  | 2003 | 111,430 | 105,801 | 215,345 | 5\% | -51\% | -48\% |
|  | 2004 | 166,548 | 144,180 | - | 16\% | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GSQ <br> (Upper Georgia Strait) | 1999 | 16,450 | n/a | 16,140 | n/a | n/a | 2\% |
|  | 2000 | 19,562 | n/a | 22,603 | n/a | n/a | -13\% |
|  | 2001 | 25,828 | n/a | 30,219 | n/a | n/a | -15\% |
|  | 2002 | 41,492 | n/a | 30,675 | n/a | n/a | 35\% |
|  | 2003 | 36,882 | n/a | 31,059 | n/a | n/a | 19\% |
|  | 2004 | 39,766 | n/a | - | - | - | - |

Table 3.10. Continued.

| Stock | Year | Model Forecast | Agency Forecast | Postseason Return | Model Fcst/ Agency Fcst | Agency Fcst/ Postseason | Model Fcst/ Postseason |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GSH <br> (Lower Georgia Strait Hatchery) | 1999 | 22,896 | n/a | 23,527 | n/a | n/a | -3\% |
|  | 2000 | 19,325 | n/a | 20,286 | n/a | n/a | -5\% |
|  | 2001 | 17,547 | n/a | 27,458 | n/a | n/a | -36\% |
|  | 2002 | 25,051 | n/a | 23,557 | n/a | n/a | 6\% |
|  | 2003 | 21,222 | n/a | 24,084 | n/a | n/a | -12\% |
|  | 2004 | 16,573 | n/a | - | - | - | - |
| GST(Lower GeorgiaStrait Natural) | 1999 | 14,236 | n/a | 9,233 | n/a | n/a | 54\% |
|  | 2000 | 11,012 | n/a | 8,119 | n/a | n/a | 36\% |
|  | 2001 | 7,955 | n/a | 8,836 | n/a | n/a | -10\% |
|  | 2002 | 8,833 | n/a | 8,188 | n/a | n/a | 8\% |
|  | 2003 | 8,088 | n/a | 5,374 | n/a | n/a | 51\% |
|  | 2004 | 5,157 | n/a | - | - | - | - |
| FRE(Fraser Early) | 1999 | 162,865 | n/a | 105,473 | n/a | n/a | 54\% |
|  | 2000 | 118,048 | n/a | 116,233 | n/a | n/a | 2\% |
|  | 2001 | 122,333 | n/a | 154,175 | n/a | n/a | -21\% |
|  | 2002 | 170,232 | n/a | 186,827 | n/a | n/a | -9\% |
|  | 2003 | 202,363 | n/a | 188,183 | n/a | n/a | 8\% |
|  | 2004 | 185,450 | n/a | - | - | - | - |
| FRL <br> (Fraser Late) | 1999 | 84,686 | 82,650 | 184,099 | 2\% | -55\% | -54\% |
|  | 2000 | 185,981 | 222,400 | 120,744 | -16\% | 84\% | 54\% |
|  | 2001 | 141,745 | 131,800 | 141,196 | 8\% | -7\% | 0\% |
|  | 2002 | 132,946 | 160,100 | 165,245 | -17\% | -3\% | -20\% |
|  | 2003 | 127,144 | 114,780 | 313,929 | 11\% | -63\% | -59\% |
|  | 2004 | 104,597 | 97,227 | - | 8\% | - | - |

Table 3.10 Continued.

| Stock | Year | Model Forecast | Agency Forecast | Postseason Return | Model Fcst/ Agency Fcst | Agency Fcst/ Postseason | Model Fcst/ Postseason |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NKS <br> (Nooksack Spring) | 1999 | 1,048 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  | 2000 | 866 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  | 2001 | 982 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  | 2002 | 1,216 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  | 2003 | 1,301 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  | 2004 | 1,708 | n/a | - | - | - | - |
| NKF <br> (Nooksack/ Samish Fall Fingerling) | 1999 | 27,206 | 27,000 | 41,186 | 1\% | -34\% | -34\% |
|  | 2000 | 21,378 | 19,000 | 33,588 | 13\% | -43\% | -36\% |
|  | 2001 | 33,974 | 36,450 | 36,450 | -7\% | 0\% | -7\% |
|  | 2002 | 50,361 | 54,420 | 54,118 | -7\% | 1\% | -7\% |
|  | 2003 | 48,259 | 45,750 | n/a | 5\% | n/a | n/a |
|  | 2004 | 37,980 | 34,200 | - | 11\% | - | - |
| SNO (Snohomish Wild) | 1999 | 5,804 | 5,600 | 4,832 | 4\% | 16\% | 20\% |
|  | 2000 | 6,003 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | 2001 | 5,876 | 5,760 | 8,421 | 2\% | -32\% | -30\% |
|  | 2002 | 6,524 | 6,700 | 7,261 | -3\% | -8\% | -10\% |
|  | 2003 | 6,033 | 5,450 | - | 11\% | n/a | n/a |
|  | 2004 | 12,845 | 15,700 | - | -18\% | - | - |
| SKG <br> (Skagit <br> Summer/ <br> Fall Wild) | 1999 | 8,967 | 7,600 | 4,924 | 18\% | 54\% | 82\% |
|  | 2000 | 6,994 | 7,300 | 16,843 | -4\% | -57\% | -58\% |
|  | 2001 | 9,064 | 9,184 | 14,005 | -1\% | -34\% | -35\% |
|  | 2002 | 12,635 | 13,455 | 19,851 | -6\% | -32\% | -36\% |
|  | 2003 | 11,906 | 11,348 | n/a | 5\% | n/a | n/a |
|  | 2004 | 18,761 | 20,359 | - | -8\% | - | - |

Table 3.10 Continued.
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|ccc|ccc|}\hline \text { Stock } & \text { Year } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Model } \\ \text { Forecast }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Agency } \\ \text { Forecast }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Postseason } \\ \text { Return }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Model Fcst/ } \\ \text { Agency Fcst }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Agency Fcst/ } \\ \text { Postseason }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Model Fcst/ } \\ \text { Postseason }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { PSN } & 1999 & 28,536 & 28,400 & 20,941 & 0 \% & 36 \% & 36 \% \\ \text { (Puget Sound } & 2000 & 15,410 & 10,000 & 16,569 & 54 \% & -40 \% & -7 \% \\ \text { Natural) } & 2001 & 19,938 & 18,900 & 22,991 & 5 \% & -18 \% & -13 \% \\ & 2002 & 20,008 & 19,801 & 25,853 & 1 \% & -23 \% & -23 \% \\ & 2003 & 25,743 & 26,600 & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} & -3 \% & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}\end{array}\right]$

Table 3.10 Continued.

| Stock | Year | Model Forecast | Agency Forecast | Postseason Return | Model Fcst/ Agency Fcst | Agency Fcst/ Postseason | Model Fcst/ Postseason |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WCH <br> (Washington Coastal Hatchery) | 1999 | 35,221 | 42,752 | 14,044 | -18\% | 204\% | 151\% |
|  | 2000 | 16,254 | n/a | 23,036 | n/a | n/a | -29\% |
|  | 2001 | 15,792 | n/a | 23,359 | n/a | n/a | -32\% |
|  | 2002 | 23,678 | n/a | 30,491 | n/a | n/a | -22\% |
|  | 2003 | 20,755 | 18,222 | 25,812 | 14\% | -29\% | -20\% |
|  | 2004 | 28,900 | n/a | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CWS (Cowlitz Spring) | 1999 | 3,363 | 3,950 | 4,798 | -15\% | -18\% | -30\% |
|  | 2000 | 4,921 | 6,050 | 6,068 | -19\% | 0\% | -19\% |
|  | 2001 | 3,684 | 4,849 | 7,127 | -24\% | -32\% | -48\% |
|  | 2002 | 5,534 | 6,800 | 9,923 | -19\% | -31\% | -44\% |
|  | 2003 | 9,550 | 11,700 | 22,691 | -18\% | -48\% | -58\% |
|  | 2004 | 20,802 | 27,350 | - | -24\% | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WSH <br> (Willamette Spring) | 1999 | 46,181 | 49,875 | 55,801 | -7\% | -11\% | -17\% |
|  | 2000 | 57,187 | 61,211 | 57,592 | -7\% | 6\% | -1\% |
|  | 2001 | 59,207 | 59,600 | 82,017 | -1\% | -27\% | -28\% |
|  | 2002 | 73,151 | 77,434 | 127,200 | -6\% | -39\% | -42\% |
|  | 2003 | 108,530 | 112,521 | 129,700 | -4\% | -13\% | -16\% |
|  | 2004 | 113,708 | 112,701 | - | 1\% | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SUM <br> (Columbia River Summer) | 1999 | 21,653 | 20,900 | 22,349 | 4\% | -6\% | -3\% |
|  | 2000 | 27,180 | 28,038 | 23,171 | -3\% | 21\% | 17\% |
|  | 2001 | 27,029 | 24,500 | 54,937 | 10\% | -55\% | -51\% |
|  | 2002 | 70,290 | 77,700 | 92,836 | -10\% | -16\% | -24\% |
|  | 2003 | 97,280 | 87,600 | 83,084 | 11\% | 5\% | 17\% |
|  | 2004 | 83,246 | 78,569 | - | 6\% | - | - |

Table 3.10 Continued.

| Stock | Year | Model Forecast | Agency Forecast | Postseason Return | Model Fcst/ Agency Fcst | Agency Fcst/ Postseason | Model Fcst/ Postseason |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BON+CWF <br> (Bonneville + Cowlitz Hatcheries) | 1999 | 26,112 | 34,800 | 39,888 | -25\% | -13\% | -35\% |
|  | 2000 | 17,167 | 23,700 | 26,959 | -28\% | -12\% | -36\% |
|  | 2001 | 28,732 | 32,200 | 94,208 | -11\% | -66\% | -70\% |
|  | 2002 | 100,401 | 137,600 | 156,400 | -27\% | -12\% | -36\% |
|  | 2003 | 100,196 | 115,900 | 154,983 | -14\% | -25\% | -35\% |
|  | 2004 | 64,696 | 77,100 | - | -16\% | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPR <br> (Spring Creek Hatchery) | 1999 | 63,203 | 65,800 | 50,100 | -4\% | 31\% | 26\% |
|  | 2000 | 17,367 | 21,900 | 20,600 | -21\% | 6\% | -16\% |
|  | 2001 | 56,089 | 56,600 | 124,900 | -1\% | -55\% | -55\% |
|  | 2002 | 153,070 | 144,400 | 160,900 | 6\% | -10\% | -5\% |
|  | 2003 | 89,116 | 96,900 | 180,600 | -8\% | -46\% | -51\% |
|  | 2004 | 124,820 | 138,000 | - | -10\% | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| URB (Columbia Upriver Bright) | 1999 | 173,712 | 147,500 | 166,100 | 18\% | -11\% | 5\% |
|  | 2000 | 211,676 | 171,100 | 155,700 | 24\% | 10\% | 36\% |
|  | 2001 | 150,973 | 127,200 | 232,500 | 19\% | -45\% | -35\% |
|  | 2002 | 249,721 | 281,000 | 276,900 | -11\% | 1\% | -10\% |
|  | 2003 | 246,890 | 280,400 | 373,200 | -12\% | -25\% | -34\% |
|  | 2004 | 246,943 | 292,200 | - | -15\% | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LYF (Snake River Wild) | 1999 | 523 | n/a | 905 | n/a | n/a | -42\% |
|  | 2000 | 1,235 | n/a | 1,148 | n/a | n/a | 8\% |
|  | 2001 | 733 | 734 | 5,163 | 0\% | -86\% | -86\% |
|  | 2002 | 2,066 | n/a | 2,116 | n/a | n/a | -2\% |
|  | 2003 | 2,493 | 2,185 | 3,895 | 14\% | -44\% | -36\% |
|  | 2004 | 4,323 | 3,725 |  | 16\% | - | - |

Table 3-10 Continued.

| Stock | Year | Model Forecast | Agency Forecast | Postseason Return | Model Fcst/ Agency Fcst | Agency Fcst/ Postseason | Model Fcst/ Postseason |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MCB | 1999 | 37,951 | 38,300 | 35,300 | -1\% | 8\% | 8\% |
| (Mid-Columbia | 2000 | 53,272 | 50,600 | 36,900 | 5\% | 37\% | 44\% |
| Bright) | 2001 | 45,055 | 43,500 | 76,600 | 4\% | -43\% | -41\% |
|  | 2002 | 102,085 | 96,200 | 108,500 | 6\% | -11\% | -6\% |
|  | 2003 | 126,698 | 104,800 | 150,300 | 21\% | -30\% | -16\% |
|  | 2004 | 94,895 | 90,400 | - | 5\% | - | - |
| LRW (Lewis River Wild) | 1999 | 3,068 | 2,600 | 3,400 | 18\% | -24\% | -10\% |
|  | 2000 | 4,046 | 3,500 | 10,200 | 16\% | -66\% | -60\% |
|  | 2001 | 16,574 | 16,700 | 15,700 | -1\% | 6\% | 6\% |
|  | 2002 | 18,910 | 18,200 | 24,900 | 4\% | -27\% | -24\% |
|  | 2003 | 25,820 | 24,600 | 25,900 | 5\% | -5\% | 0\% |
|  | 2004 | 24,590 | 24,100 | - | 2\% | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ORC (Oregon Coastal) | 1999 | 65,249 | 72,084 | 66,039 | -9\% | 9\% | -1\% |
|  | 2000 | 61,250 | 63,259 | 52,889 | -3\% | 20\% | 16\% |
|  | 2001 | 58,062 | 66,412 | 100,886 | -13\% | -34\% | -42\% |
|  | 2002 | 73,055 | 73,914 | 149,525 | -1\% | -51\% | -51\% |
|  | 2003 | 101,310 | 85,483 | 145,302 | 19\% | -41\% | -30\% |
|  | 2004 | 135,716 | 131,904 | - | 3\% | - | - |
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## APPENDICES

> Appendix A. Relationship between exploitation rate indicator stocks, escapement indicator stocks, model stocks, and additional management action stocks identified in the PST annex.
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Table A.1. Indicator stocks for Southeast Alaska and Transboundary Rivers.

| Area | Annex Stock Group ${ }^{1}$ | Annex <br> Indicator Stocks | $\begin{gathered} \text { Run } \\ \text { Type } \end{gathered}$ | Escapement Indicator Stock | Escapement Objective | Model Stock | Escapement Goal in Model | Exploitation Rate Indicator Stock | CWT <br> Acronym |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SEAK/TBR |  |  | Spring | Taku | $\begin{array}{r} 30,000- \\ 55,000 \end{array}$ | Alaska <br> South SE | 9,110 | NA | AKS |
|  |  |  |  | Stikine | $\begin{array}{r} 14,000- \\ 28,000 \end{array}$ |  |  | NA |  |
| Yakutat |  |  |  | Situk | 500-1,000 |  |  | NA |  |
|  |  |  |  | Alsek | 1,100-2,300 |  |  | NA |  |
| SEAK Northern Inside |  |  |  | Chilkat |  |  |  | NA |  |
|  |  |  |  | King Salmon | 120-240 |  |  | Alaska Spring <br> (Little Port Walter, Neets Bay Hatchery, Whitman Lake Hatchery, Carroll Inlet Releases, Deer Mountain Hatchery, Crystal Lake Hatchery) |  |
| SEAK Central Inside |  |  |  | Andrew Creek | 650-1,500 |  |  |  |  |
| SEAK Southern Inside |  |  |  | Unuk | 650-1,400 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Chickamin | 450-900 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Blossom | 250-500 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Keta | 250-500 |  |  |  |  |

1 SEAK fisheries will be managed to achieve escapement objectives for Southeast Alaska and Transboundary River Chinook stocks.
NA = not available

Table A.2. Indicator stocks for Canada.

| Area | Annex Stock Group | Annex Indicator Stocks | Run Type | Escapement Indicator Stock | Escapement Objective | Model Stock | Escapement Goal in Model | Exploitation Rate Indicator Stock | $\begin{gathered} \text { CWT } \\ \text { Acronym } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NBC-Area 1 | North / Central British Columbia | Yakoun | Summer | Yakoun | Escapement goal range by stock | North / Central BC | 117,500 | Kitsumkalum | KLM |
| NBC-Area 3 |  | Nass | Spring/Summer | Nass |  |  |  |  |  |
| NBC-Area 4 |  | Skeena |  | Skeena |  |  |  |  |  |
| CBC-Area 8 |  |  | Spring | Dean |  |  |  |  |  |
| CBC-Area 9 |  |  | Spring/Fall | Rivers Inlet |  |  |  |  |  |
| WCVI | West CoastVancouver IslandFalls | Artlish, Burman, Gold, Kauok, Tahsis, Tashish, Marble | Fall | WCVI Aggregate (Artlish, Burman, Kauok, Tahsis, Tashish, Marble) | Escapement goal range for aggregate | WCVI Natural | 42,734 | Robertson Creek | RBT |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WCVI Hatchery | 6,472 |  |  |
| Upper Strait of Georgia | Upper Strait of Georgia | Klinaklini, Kakweikan, Wakeman, Kingcome, Nimpkish | Summer/ Fall | Upper Strait of Georgia (Klinaklini, Kakweikan, Wakeman, Kingcome, Nimpkish) | Escapement goal range for aggregate | Upper Strait of Georgia | 23,300 | Quinsam | QUI |
| Lower Strait of Georgia | Lower Strait of Georgia |  | Summer/ Fall |  |  | Lower Strait of Georgia Hatchery | 5,318 | Puntledge | PPS |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Big Qualicum | BQR |
|  |  | Cowichan, Nanaimo | Fall | Lower Strait of Georgia (Cowichan / Nanaimo) | Escapement goal range for aggregate | Lower Strait of Georgia Natural | 21,935 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cowichan | cow |
| Fraser River | Fraser Early | Upper Fraser Mid Fraser Thompson | Spring | Fraser Spring-run Age 1.2 | Escapement goal range by stock | Fraser Early | 93,700 | NA |  |
|  |  |  |  | Fraser Spring-run Age 1.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Summer | Fraser Summer-run Age 1.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Fraser Summer-run Age 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fraser Late | Harrison River | Fall | Harrison River | 75,100-98,500 | Fraser Late | 75,100 | Chilliwack | CHI |

Table A.3. Indicator stocks for Puget Sound.

| Area | Annex Stock Group | Annex Indicator Stocks | Run Type | Escapement Indicator Stock | Escapement Objective | Model Stock | Escapement Goal in Model | Exploitation Rate Indicator Stock | CWT <br> Acronym |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North/ <br> Central <br> Puget <br> Sound | North Puget Sound Natural Springs | Nooksack | Spring | Nooksack | Escapement goal range by stock | Nooksack Spring | 4,000 | Nooksack Spring Fingerling <br> Nooksack Spring Yearling | NKF <br> NKS |
|  |  | Skagit |  | Skagit spring |  |  |  | Skagit Spring Fingerling <br> Skagit Spring Yearling | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SKF } \\ & \text { SKS } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | North Puget <br> Sound Natural <br> Summer/Falls |  | Summer/ <br> Fall |  | Escapement goal range by stock | Samish Fall | 11,923 | Samish Fall Fingerling | SAM |
|  |  | Snohomish |  | Snohomish |  | Snohomish Wild | 5,250 | NA |  |
|  |  | Skagit group |  | Skagit sum/fall |  | Skagit Wild | 9,778 | Skagit Summer Fingerling | SSF |
|  |  | Lake <br> Washington |  | Lake <br> Washington Falls |  | Puget Sound Natural Fingerling | 16,966 | NA |  |
|  |  | Green River |  | Green River |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Stillaguamish |  | Stillaguamish |  | Stillaguamish Wild | 2,000 | Stillaguamish Fall Fingerling | STL |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Nisqually Fall Fingerling | NIS |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Univ. of Washington Accelerated Fall | UWA |
| Hood Canal | Not an Annex stock |  | Fall |  |  |  |  | George Adams Fall Fingerling | GAD |
| South <br> Puget <br> Sound | Not an annex stock |  | Fall |  |  | Puget Sound <br> Hatchery <br> Fingerling | 24,769 | South Puget Sound Fall Fingerling | SPS |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Puget Sound Hatchery Yearling | 9,136 | South Puget Sound Fall Yearling | SPY |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Squaxin Pens Fall Yearling | SQP |
|  |  |  | Spring |  |  |  |  | White River Spring Yearling | WRY |

[^5]Table A.4. Indicator stocks for the Washington Coast.

| Area | Annex Stock Group | Annex Indicator Stocks | Run Type | Escapement Indicator Stock | Escapement Objective | Model Stock | Escapement Goal in Model | Exploitation Rate Indicator Stock | CWT <br> Acronym |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WA Coast/ <br> Juan de <br> Fuca | Washington Coastal Fall Naturals | Hoko | Fall | Hoko |  |  |  | Elwha Fall Fingerling | ELW |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hoko Fall Fingerling | HOK |
|  |  | Grays Harbor |  | Grays Harbor Fall | Escapement goal range by stock | Washington Coastal Wild | 21,500 | NA |  |
|  |  | Queets |  | Queets Fall |  |  |  | Sooes Fall Fingerling | SOO |
|  |  | Hoh |  | Hoh Fall |  |  |  | NA |  |
|  |  | Quillayute |  | Quillayute Fall |  |  |  | NA |  |
|  |  | Queets |  | Queets Fall |  |  |  | Queets Fall Fingerling | QUE |
|  | Not an annex stock |  | Fall |  |  | Washington Coastal Hatchery | 6,703 | NA |  |
|  | Not an annex stock |  | Spring | Grays Harbor Spring |  |  |  | NA |  |
|  | Not an |  | Spring/ | Queets <br> Spring/Summer |  |  |  | NA |  |
|  |  |  |  | Hoh Spring/Summer |  |  |  | NA |  |
|  | Not an annex stock |  | Summer | Quillayute Summer |  |  |  | NA |  |

$\mathrm{NA}=$ not available

Table A.5. Indicator stocks for Columbia River and Oregon Coast.

| Area | Annex Stock Group | Annex Indicator Stocks | Run Type | Escapement Indicator | Escapement Objective | Model Stock | Escapement Goal in Model | Exploitation Rate Indicator Stock | CWT <br> Acronym |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Columbia River | Not an Annex stock |  | Spring |  |  | Cowlitz Spring Hatchery | 2,500 | NA |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Willamette River Hatchery | 13,500 | Willamette Spring | WSH |
|  | Columbia River <br> Summers | Mid- <br> Columbia <br> Summers | Summer | Mid Columbia Summer | 17,857 ${ }^{1}$ | Columbia River Summer | 17,857 | Columbia Summers | SUM |
|  | Columbia <br> River Falls |  | Fall |  |  | Fall Cowlitz Hat. | 8,800 | Cowlitz Tule | CWF |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Spring Creek Hatchery | 7,000 | Spring Creek Tule | SPR |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Lower Bonneville Hatchery | 26,200 | Columbia Lower River Hatchery | LRH |
|  |  | Upriver <br> Brights |  | Columbia Upriver Bright |  | Columbia River Upriver Brights | 40,000 | Columbia River Upriver Bright | URB |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hanford Wild | HAN |
|  |  | Deschutes |  | Deschutes River Fall |  |  |  | NA |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Lyons Ferry Hatchery | 3,430 | Lyons Ferry | LYF |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Mid Columbia River Brights | 12,500 | NA |  |
|  |  | Lewis River |  | Lewis | 5,700 | Lewis River Wild | 5,700 | Lewis River Wild | LRW |
| North Oregon Coast | Far North <br> Migrating Oregon Coastal Falls | Nehalem | Fall | Nehalem | 6,989 | Oregon Coast |  |  |  |
|  |  | Siuslaw |  | Siuslaw | 12,925 |  |  | Salmon River |  |
|  |  | Siletz |  | Siletz | 2,944 |  | 62,382 |  |  |
| Mid-Oregon Coast | Not an Annex stock |  | Fall | Umpqua |  |  |  | NA |  |
|  |  |  |  | Mid South Oregon Coastal Falls |  |  |  | NA |  |

[^6]${ }^{1}$ Interim goal for modeling based on stock recruitment analysis of model data.
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Appendix B. 1 ISBM Indices for Canadian fisheries, from both the CWT-based exploitation rate analysis (1999-2002) and the Chinook model (1999-2004) used to establish the AI for each year. Order of the stock groups correspond to Annex 4, Chapter 3, Attachment IV and V of the PST 1999 Revised Annexes.

| Stock Group | Escapement <br> Indicator Stocks | Canadian ISBM Indices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | CWT Indices ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | Model Indices |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| Lower Strait of Georgia | Cowichan <br> Nanaimo ${ }^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.381 \\ & 0.381 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.182 \\ & 0.182 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.19 \\ & 0.19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.247 \\ & 0.247 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.345 \\ & 0.265 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.296 \\ & 0.153 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.333 \\ & 0.188 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.364 \\ & 0.311 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.490 \\ & 0.498 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.593 \\ & 0.695 \end{aligned}$ |
| Fraser Late | Harrison River ${ }^{3}$ | 0.166 | 0.084 | 0.110 | 0.105 | 0.297 | 0.179 | 0.193 | 0.275 | 0.352 | 0.719 |
| North Puget Sound Natural Springs | Nooksack, Skagit | $\begin{gathered} 0.030 \\ \text { NA } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.010 \\ \text { NA } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.040 \\ \text { NA } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.023 \\ \text { NA } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.257 \\ & 0.257 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.151 \\ & 0.151 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.721 \\ & 0.721 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.200 \\ & 0.200 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.251 \\ & 0.251 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.273 \\ & 0.273 \end{aligned}$ |
| Upper Strait of Georgia | Klinaklini, Kakweikan, Wakeman, Kingcome, Nimpkish | 0.038 | 0.081 | 0.043 | 0.063 | 0.209 | 0.152 | 0.195 | 0.172 | 0.649 | 0.971 |
| Fraser Early (spring and summers) | Upper Fraser, Mid Fraser, Thompson | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.151 | 0.141 | 0.128 | 0.179 | 0.661 | 0.718 |
| West Coast <br> Vancouver Is Falls | WCVI (Artlish, Burman, Kauok, Tahsis, Tashish, Marble) | 0.288 | 0.060 | 0.057 | 0.248 | 0.622 | 0.189 | 0.175 | 0.633 | 0.744 | 0.927 |
| Puget Sound Natural Summer / Falls | Skagit <br> Stillaguamish <br> Snohomish <br> Lake Washington Green River | $\begin{gathered} \text { NA } \\ 0.150 \\ \text { NA } \\ \text { NA } \\ 0.175 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NA } \\ 0.160 \\ \text { NA } \\ \text { NA } \\ 0.156 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NA } \\ 0.145 \\ \text { NA } \\ \text { NA } \\ 0.405 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NA } \\ \text { NA } \\ \text { NA } \\ \text { NA } \\ 0.323 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.228 \\ & 0.409 \\ & 0.233 \\ & 0.404 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.131 \\ & 0.253 \\ & 0.133 \\ & 0.212 \\ & 0.212 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.480 \\ & 1.030 \\ & 0.457 \\ & 0.767 \\ & 0.767 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.198 \\ & 0.324 \\ & 0.196 \\ & 0.342 \\ & 0.342 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.436 \\ 0.513 \\ 0.435 \\ 0.508 \\ 0.508 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.438 \\ & 0.567 \\ & 0.445 \\ & 0.446 \\ & 0.466 \end{aligned}$ |
| North / Central BC | Yakoun, Nass, Skeena, Area 8 | $\mathrm{NA}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{NA}^{2}$ | NA | NA | 0.245 | 0.282 | 0.442 | 0.219 | 0.689 | 0.804 |
| Washington Coastal Fall Naturals ${ }^{4}$ | Hoko, Grays Harbor, Queets ${ }^{3}$, Hoh $^{3}$, Quillayute ${ }^{3}$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.310 | 0.215 | 0.701 | 0.279 | 0.292 | 0.435 |
| Columbia River Falls ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Upriver Brights }^{3} \\ & \text { Deschutes } \\ & \text { Lewis }^{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NA } \\ & \text { NA } \\ & \text { NA } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NA } \\ & \text { NA } \\ & \text { NA } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NA } \\ & \text { NA } \\ & \text { NA } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | NA <br> NA <br> NA | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.219 \\ & 0.219 \\ & 0.059 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.190 \\ & 0.190 \\ & 0.081 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.239 \\ & 0.239 \\ & 0.087 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.175 \\ & 0.175 \\ & 0.064 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.686 \\ & 0.686 \\ & 0.515 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.663 \\ & 0.663 \\ & 0.480 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Columbia R Summers ${ }^{4}$ | Mid-Columbia Summers ${ }^{3}$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.246 | 0.151 | 0.552 | 0.153 | 0.352 | 0.333 |
| Far North Migrating OR Coastal Falls ${ }^{4}$ | Nehalem ${ }^{3}$, Siletz ${ }^{3}$, Siuslaw ${ }^{3}$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.087 | 0.115 | 0.122 | 0.086 | 0.689 | 0.672 |

[^7]${ }^{4}$ Stock group not in Annex Attachment IV.
${ }^{5}$ Indices for this stock are calculated from CWT recoveries for Cowichan; differences between Nanaimo and Cowichan stock indices are due to differences in terminal harvest.

Appendix B.2. ISBM Indices for U.S. fisheries, from both the CWT-based exploitation rate analysis (1999-2004) and the Chinook model (1999-2004) used to establish the AI for each year. Order of the stock groups correspond to Annex 4, Chapter 3, Attachment IV and V of the PST 1999 Revised Annexes.

| Stock Group | Escapement <br> Indicator Stocks | US ISBM Indices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | CWT Indices ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | Model Indices |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| Washington Coastal Fall Naturals | Hoko Grays Harbor Queets ${ }^{5}$ Hoh ${ }^{5}$ Quillayute ${ }^{5}$ | NA 0.600 0.240 1.110 0.960 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { NA } \\ 0.440 \\ 0.030 \\ 0.370 \\ 0.220 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | NA 0.990 1.100 1.550 1.260 | $\mathrm{NA}^{1}$ 0.54 0.84 0.95 1.42 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.434 \\ & 0.670 \\ & 0.861 \\ & 1.326 \\ & 1.100 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.292 \\ & 0.892 \\ & 0.158 \\ & 1.341 \\ & 0.801 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.431 \\ 0.895 \\ 0.702 \\ 1.195 \\ 1.246 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.527 \\ & 0.478 \\ & 1.406 \\ & 1.154 \\ & 1.239 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.682 \\ & 0.494 \\ & 1.063 \\ & 1.208 \\ & 1.292 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.966 \\ & 0.573 \\ & 0.932 \\ & 1.214 \\ & 1.139 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Columbia River Falls | Upriver Brights ${ }^{5}$ <br> Deschutes <br> Lewis ${ }^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.530 \\ & 0.500 \\ & 0.040 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.280 \\ & 0.580 \\ & 0.310 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.320 \\ & 0.480 \\ & 0.500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.32 \\ & 0.59 \\ & 0.56 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.060 \\ & 0.681 \\ & 0.209 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.002 \\ & 0.576 \\ & 0.184 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.793 \\ & 0.506 \\ & 0.651 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.962 \\ & 0.535 \\ & 1.386 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.022 \\ & 0.561 \\ & 0.851 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.906 \\ & 0.475 \\ & 1.008 \end{aligned}$ |
| Puget Sound Natural Summer / Falls | Skagit Stillaguamish Snohomish Lake Washington Green R | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { NA } \\ 0.110 \\ \text { NA } \\ \text { NA } \\ 0.620 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { NA } \\ 0.130 \\ \text { NA } \\ \text { NA } \\ 0.730 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | NA 0.890 NA NA 0.820 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { NA } \\ & \text { NA } \\ & \text { NA } \\ & \text { NA } \\ & 1.07 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.265 \\ & 0.252 \\ & 0.080 \\ & 0.564 \\ & 0.564 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.179 \\ & 0.221 \\ & 0.078 \\ & 0.587 \\ & 0.587 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.816 \\ & 0.397 \\ & 0.484 \\ & 0.625 \\ & 0.634 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.311 \\ & 0.213 \\ & 0.135 \\ & 1.282 \\ & 0.375 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.406 \\ & 0.184 \\ & 0.072 \\ & 0.768 \\ & 0.263 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.157 \\ & 0.224 \\ & 0.110 \\ & 0.411 \\ & 0.260 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Fraser Late | Harrison River ${ }^{5}$ | 0.760 | 0.220 | 0.380 | 0.41 | 0.736 | 0.450 | 0.538 | 0.675 | 0.981 | 1.058 |
| Columbia R Summers | Mid-Columbia Summers ${ }^{5}$ | 1.340 | 0.720 | 3.950 | 7.25 | 0.479 | 0.289 | 0.422 | 0.662 | 0.794 | 0.715 |
| Far North Migrating OR Coastal Falls | Nehalem ${ }^{5}$ Siletz $^{5}$ Siuslaw | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.560 \\ & 1.110 \\ & 1.150 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.470 \\ & 1.060 \\ & 1.690 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.950 \\ 1.30 \\ 2.220 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.17 \\ & 1.31 \\ & 2.56 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.707 \\ & 1.135 \\ & 1.223 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.742 \\ & 1.110 \\ & 2.080 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.975 \\ & 1.180 \\ & 2.396 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.309 \\ & 1.276 \\ & 2.856 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.346 \\ & 1.302 \\ & 2.856 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.230 \\ & 1.288 \\ & 2.816 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| North Puget Sound Natural Springs | Nooksack Skagit | $\begin{gathered} 0.260 \\ \text { NA } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.010 \\ \text { NA } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.040 \\ \text { NA } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NA } \\ & 1.12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.241 \\ & 0.241 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.269 \\ & 0.269 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.134 \\ & 0.179 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.064 \\ & 0.147 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.121 \\ & 0.119 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.974 \\ & 0.663 \end{aligned}$ |
| Lower Strait of Georgia ${ }^{4}$ | Cowichan, Nanaimo | $\begin{aligned} & 4.080 \\ & 4.080 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.130 \\ & 4.130 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.460 \\ & 6.460 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.78 \\ & 5.78 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.253 \\ & 0.253 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.260 \\ & 0.260 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.429 \\ & 0.429 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.342 \\ & 0.342 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.452 \\ & 0.452 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.915 \\ & 0.915 \end{aligned}$ |
| Upper Strait of Georgia ${ }^{4}$ | Klinaklini, Kakweikan, Wakeman, Kingcome, Nimpkish | NA | NA | NA | NA | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC |
| Fraser Early (spring and summers) ${ }^{4}$ | Upper Fraser, Mid Fraser, Thompson | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.061 | 0.091 | 0.093 | 0.169 | 0.277 | 0.839 |
| West Coast Vancouver Island Falls ${ }^{4}$ | WCVI (Artlish, Burman, Kauok, Tahsis, Tashish, Marble) | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.459 | 0.377 | 0.498 | 0.523 | 0.658 | 0.540 |
| North / Central B. C. | Yakoun, Nass, Skeena, Area 8 | $\mathrm{NA}^{2}$ | NA | NA | NA | $\mathrm{NC}^{3}$ | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC |

[^8]
## Appendix C. Stocks.
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Appendix C. 1 Stocks used in the exploitation rate analysis.

| Stock Acronym | Stock Name | Jurisdiction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AKS | Alaska Spring | AK |
| BQR | Big Qualicum River Falls | CA |
| CHI | Chilliwack River Falls | CA |
| COW | Cowichan River Falls | CA |
| CWF | Cowlitz Tule | OR |
| ELW | Elwha Fall Fingerling | WA |
| GAD | George Adams Fall Fingerling | WA |
| HAN | Hanford Wild | OR |
| HOK | Hoko Fall Fingerling | WA |
| KLM | Kitsumkalum River Summers | CA |
| $\mathrm{LRH}^{1}$ | Columbia Lower River Hatchery | OR |
| LRW | Lewis River Wild | OR |
| LYF | Lyons Ferry | OR |
| NIS | Nisqually Fall Fingerling | WA |
| NKF | Nooksack Spring Fingerling | WA |
| NKS | Nooksack Spring Yearling | WA |
| PPS | Puntledge River Summers | CA |
| QUE | Queets Fall Fingerling | WA |
| QUI | Quinsam River Falls | CA |
| RBT | Robertson Creek Falls | CA |
| SAM | Samish Fall Fingerling | WA |
| SKF | Skagit Spring Fingerling | WA |
| SKS | Skagit Spring Yearling | WA |
| SNO | Snootli River Summers | CA |
| SOO | Sooes Fall Fingerling | WA |
| SPR | Spring Creek Tule | OR |
| SPS | South Puget Sound Fall Fingerling | WA |
| SPY | South Puget Sound Fall Yearling | WA |
| SQP | Squaxin Pens Fall Yearling | WA |
| SRH | Salmon River | OR |
| SSF | Skagit Summer Fingerling | WA |
| STL | Stillaguamish Fall Fingerling | WA |
| SUM | Columbia Summers | OR |
| URB | Upriver Bright <br> University of Washington | OR |
| UWA | Accelerated | WA |
| WRY | White River Spring Yearling | WA |
| WSH | Willamette Spring | OR |

1.LRH is an aggregate of two stocks, Stayton Ponds (Lower Bonneville Hatchery, i.e., BON, in the previous analyses) and Big Creek Hatchery used for the first time in the 2002 exploitation rate analysis.

Appendix C. 2 Stocks and fisheries included in the Chinook model.

| STOCK \# | MODEL STOCK | FISHERY \# | MODEL FISHERY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Alaska South SE | 1 | Alaska Troll |
| 2 | North/Central BC | 2 | North Troll |
| 3 | Fraser Early | 3 | Central Troll |
| 4 | Fraser Late | 4 | WCVI Troll |
| 5 | WCVI Hatchery | 5 | WA/OR Troll |
| 6 | WCVI Natural | 6 | Strait of Georgia Troll |
| 7 | Upper Strait of Georgia | 7 | Alaska Net |
| 8 | Lower Strait of Georgia Natural | 8 | North Net |
| 9 | Lower Strait of Georgia | 9 | Central Net |
|  | Hatchery |  |  |
| 10 | Nooksack Fall Fingerling | 10 | WCVI Net |
| 11 | Puget Sound Hatchery | 11 | Juan De Fuca Net |
|  | Fingerling |  |  |
| 12 | Puget Sound Natural Fingerling | 12 | Puget Sound North Net |
| 13 | Puget Sound Hatchery Yearling | 13 | Puget Sound South Net |
| 14 | Nooksack Spring Yearling | 14 | Washington Coast Net |
| 15 | Skagit Wild | 15 | Columbia River Net |
| 16 | Stillaguamish Wild | 16 | Johnstone Strait Net |
| 17 | Snohomish Wild | 17 | Fraser Net |
| 18 | Washington Coastal Hatchery | 18 | Alaska Sport |
| 19 | Columbia Upriver Brights | 19 | North/Central Sport |
| 20 | Spring Creek Hatchery | 20 | WCVI Sport |
| 21 | Lower Bonneville Hatchery | 21 | Washington Ocean Sport |
| 22 | Fall Cowlitz Hatchery | 22 | Puget Sound North Sport |
| 23 | Lewis River Wild | 23 | Puget Sound South Sport |
| 24 | Willamette River Hatchery | 24 | Strait of Georgia Sport |
| 25 | Cowlitz Spring Hatchery | 25 | Columbia River Sport |
| 26 | Columbia River Summer |  |  |
| 27 | Oregon Coast |  |  |
| 28 | Washington Coastal Wild |  |  |
| 29 | Lyons Ferry |  |  |
| 30 | Mid Columbia River Brights |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Appendix D. Detailed description of external stock forecasts.
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## Southeast Alaska Stocks

The PSC CTC Model is used to internally forecast abundance of southern Southeast Alaska hatchery stocks and the aggregate of six wild stocks in the SSEAK Model Stock, which are Andrew Creek and the King Salmon, Unuk, Chickamin, Blossom and Keta Rivers.

## Canadian Stocks

West Coast Vancouver Island
The abundance forecast for the West Coast Vancouver Island (WCVI) model stock is based on the $\mathrm{RBH} /$ Somass forecast and the relative run size of other WCVI Chinook populations in the past year. The method used to forecast the terminal run of RBH/Somass Chinook is documented annually in the Canadian Stock Assessment Secretariat (CSAC) Research Documents (www.dfompo.gc.ca/sci/csac/) and has previously been reviewed by a working group of the CTC (March 26, 1996 Interim Report of CTC Workgroup, on file with PSC).

RBH/Somass Forecast: Predictions of ocean abundance for RBH/RBT fall Chinook were developed from the CWT data used for the exploitation rate analyses. Sibling regression models were developed using estimated (observed recovery expanded by the catch/sample ratio) CWT recoveries in the fisheries and spawning escapement. The independent variable in these regression models may be the terminal run size at age, or the total production at age (ocean fishing mortality plus terminal run), but the dependent variable in both models is the pre-fishery ocean abundance in the next age class. The terminal run used in these regressions includes the catch of RBH/RBT and Somass River Chinook in the Barkley Sound sport fishery, terminal commercial and native gillnet fisheries, and spawning escapement to the Somass system. These regression models only account for production associated with the CWT groups selected to represent $\mathrm{RBH} / \mathrm{RBT}$ brood years.

To account for the total production of $\mathrm{RBH} / \mathrm{RBT}$ and natural Somass production, a ratio is calculated of total terminal return of all hatchery and wild Chinook salmon (by age and brood year) divided by the terminal return of Chinook salmon by age and brood year for the specified CWT groups. Due to the multiple age classes in Chinook salmon, ratios are based on observations in the previous year within the same cohort. For example, the expansion for the age- 4 cohort in 2004 would be expanded by the ratio of age-3 Chinook salmon observed in 2003 and the age-2 Chinook salmon observed in 2002. Note that this expansion assumes natural production from the Stamp River exhibits similar behavior and encounters similar fishing pressure as the hatchery stock.

The estimates of age-specific ocean abundance for RBH/Somass Chinook are input to a spreadsheet model used to predict the terminal run size. Terminal runs at age, expected in the next year, are predicted based on changes in ocean exploitation rates (i.e., management scalars) and maturation rates at age. Over the past eight years of extensive changes in ocean and terminal fisheries, this spreadsheet model has predicted the observed terminal run with a mean absolute percent error of $30 \%$ (Figure 2). Error rates were less than half of this value in the past when survival of RBH Chinook was greater and more data were available from fisheries.

Figure 2. Estimated \% annual error [(Expected return - Observed return)/Observed Return] for Prod2, Prod3, and average forecast models when applied to estimating the terminal run size of Stamp Chinook into Barkley Sound in 1988 - 2003, based on a leave-one-out retrospective assessment of the regression models and fishery-specific exploitation rate analyses from the cohort analysis. The mean absolute percent error (MAPE) is also shown.

Annual Error in Terminal Run Size Forecast


Based on the age-structured terminal run to as many as 25 indicator streams, the forecasted terminal run of RBH/Somass Chinook is expanded to account for other WCVI Chinook populations. For example, the 2004 forecast of RBH/Somass was expanded as follows:

| Age <br> Class | RBH/Somass <br> Forecast* | WCVI <br> Expansion <br> Factor | Total <br> WCVI <br> Forecast | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Age 3 | 24,272 | 2.2 | 53,476 | Average expansion of past age-3 returns <br> Age 4 |
| 28,591 | 1.91 | 54,610 | Age-4 expansion based on observed expansion <br> for age-3 returns in the brood year |  |
| Age 5 | 16,885 | 2.14 | 36,094 | Expansion based on average value of age-3 and <br> age-4 returns within brood year |
| Total | 69,748 |  | 144,180 | RBH/Somass = 54\% of Total |

* The forecast used in calibration of the CTC model uses base period exploitation rates (i.e., management scalars $=$ 1.0).

The age-structured total WCVI forecast is used in the CTC model calibration process.

## Fraser Late

The abundance forecast for Fraser Late Chinook (FRL in the Chinook model) consists of agestructured forecasts for two systems, the Harrison and Chilliwack Rivers, that are summed to project a total spawning escapement of lower Fraser River fall white Chinook. The Harrison River spawning population, estimated annually since 1984 through a mark-recapture program, is large and essentially natural. The Chehalis River Hatchery, located near the confluence of the Chehalis and Harrison Rivers, has released coded-wire tagged juveniles originating from adults captured from the Harrison River each year since 1982. Recoveries of CWT tagged adults in the Harrison River are so few, however, that this component in the fall white spawning population is not estimated nor considered in the Harrison River forecast. The Chilliwack River spawning population, recently approaching that of the Harrison River in size, was originally founded from Harrison River brood stock. It has increased substantially since adults began returning to the Chilliwack River and Hatchery (1983) and now includes a component returning directly to the hatchery as well as a substantial number of natural spawners. Both components are enumerated annually and included in the Chilliwack River forecast. CWT tagged juveniles have been released from Chilliwack Hatchery into the Chilliwack River (entering on the south side of the Fraser River opposite to that of the Harrison River) every year since 1981 enabling this population to be used as an exploitation rate indicator for the Harrison River natural population.

The foundation for the two forecasts is an estimate of the terminal run to each river system. The combination of two distinguishing characters of Fraser Late Chinook (late return timing and white flesh color) make it possible to estimate a total terminal catch from the Area 29 Fraser River commercial and test gillnet fisheries, the lower Fraser River sport and native food and ceremonial fisheries, and the in-river sport fisheries. These catches are apportioned to the Harrison and Chilliwack River populations by using information from estimated Chilliwack CWT recoveries where possible or by using the proportion of each in the total estimated escapement. Separate programs provide independent estimates of the spawning populations and these are added to each terminal catch estimate to derive terminal run estimates by age class. Terminal fisheries for Fraser Late Chinook are generally small (although the Chilliwack River sport fishery has grown considerably in recent years) and are usually only a modest component of the terminal runs.

The CWT recoveries for Chilliwack Hatchery fall whites are used in a cohort analysis to obtain exploitation rates, maturation rates, and adult equivalent factors by age and brood year. These data are then used in linear sibling regression models to estimate ocean abundance at age for both the Chilliwack and Harrison River populations. The strongest regression models for the Harrison River Chinook are based on the terminal run at one age against the ocean cohort abundance of the following age. For the Chilliwack River Chinook, the strongest sibling regression models result from regressing the ocean cohort abundance at one age against that of the following age. The weaker relationships involving terminal run sizes may be due to uncertainties in the estimation of the escapements of the large, natural spawning component.

The estimates of age-specific ocean abundance for Chilliwack and Harrison Chinook are combined in a spreadsheet model analogous to that previously developed for the Robertson Creek fall Chinook salmon stock (RBH in the Chinook model). Terminal runs at age are then
predicted based on changes in ocean exploitation rates and maturation rates at age. One notable difference from the RBH model, however, is that only the terminal run vs. ocean production spreadsheet models are applicable to the FRL forecasts since catch and CWT data will not be available from the past year's fisheries in Washington State. Consequently, the regression models include only brood years with complete recovery data and the independent variable (terminal run at age) is limited to returns within the Fraser River. These latter data are available within the time required for annual forecasts.

A persistent problem observed with the Fraser Late forecast is significant under-estimation of the Chilliwack terminal runs. The source of this bias has not yet been identified but it has been estimated using a retrospective 'leave-one-out' analysis (Figure 1). The recent 5-year average percent error in the forecast for Chilliwack River (e.g., $-53 \%$ for years 1999-2003) is used to adjust the estimated annual ocean abundance in order to derive the terminal run forecast.

Figure 1. Estimated \% annual error [(Expected return - Observed return)/Observed Return] in Chilliwack and Harrison River Chinook forecasts based on a leave-one-out retrospective assessment of the regression models and fishery-specific exploitation rate analyses from the cohort analysis. The 'Combined' bars are the error estimates for the sum of the Chilliwack and Harrison population-specific forecasts. MAPE is the mean absolute percent error.

Annual Error in Terminal Run Size Forecast


## Puget Sound and Strait of Juan de Fuca Stocks

Methodologies for pre-season forecasts are described in joint WDFW-Tribal annual Puget Sound management reports (starting in 1993, reports are available by Puget Sound management unit, not by individual species).

## Hoko River

Summer/fall chinook (natural)—Four year average of 2000-2003 terminal run size (escapement plus river harvest). Terminal prediction for 2004 is 866.

## Elwha River

Summer/fall chinook (hatchery and natural)—Four year average 2000-2003 terminal run (escapement plus river harvest) times the ratio of 4B run size/terminal run size of 1,0024. terminal prediction for 2004 is 2,220.

## Dungeness River

Summer chinook (natural)—Four year average (2000-2003) escapement proves a terminal run size forecast for 2004 of 486.

## Nooksack River

North Fork Spring Chinook (wild natural origin)—average return rates of the most recent age classes (1998-2003) times parent brood escapement. Prediction for 2004 is 293.

North Fork Spring Chinook (wild culture origin)—average return rates of the most recent age classes (1998-2003) times parent brood acclimation releases and escapements. Prediction for 2004 is 2,236.

North Fork spring chinook (hatchery)—Average of 1995-2002 age class return rates times the appropriate brood year releases. Prediction for 2004 is 6,142 .

South Fork spring chinook (natural origin)—Average recruit (BY+4) per spawner (1.02) for years 1988-2003. Prediction for 2004 is 288.

## Nooksack/Samish

Summer/fall chinook (hatchery)—2002+2003 return rate times 2000 brood release from all sites (Samish Hatchery, Slater Slough, Lummi Sea Ponds and Maritime Heritage Center. Prediction for 2004 is 34,212.

## Glenwood Springs

Summer/fall chinook (hatchery)--1991-2000 average Nooksack/Samish fall chinook return rate (0.00237) times 2000 brood release of 300,000 yearlings at Glenwood Springs. 4B prediction for 2004 is 771 . 100\% marked.

## Skagit River

Spring Chinook (wild)—Brood-specific estimates based on 1990-2003 samples applied to terminal run sizes to estimate mean cohort return rate. This was then applied to the appropriate brood year to obtain age-specific returns per spawner estimates, which were summed for a total estimate. Prediction for 2004 is 1,168 .

Spring Chinook (hatchery) - Estimate for fingerlings are base on 1998-2002 brood releases. Yearling estimate is based on the returns per releases (BY-4) of hatchery yearlings multiplying brood year release times average return/release of brood years 85-99 (0.0056). Prediction for 2004 is 2,116 with 1,216 from fingerling releases and 900 from yearling releases.

Summer/Fall Chinook (Wild)-- Forecast year age-specific estimates derived age data, estimating mean cohort return rate for each age class times appropriate brood year escapement. Brood years 1987-2001 were used for the average brood at age return rates. Prediction for 2004 is 20,354 terminal run size.

Summer Chinook Indicator Stock: The 2004 forecast was generated by multiplying the average return/smolt (Bys 94-98) by the 2000 release, yielding an estimated 456 terminal run.

Fall Chinook Indicator Stock: since this program is relatively new, specific return data is incomplete. Forecasting is done by using the 2003 return age composition and the return/smolt return rate from BY 1999 (no fives). This return rate was multiplied by the 2000 release number to give a return of five fish.

## Stillaguamish River

Summer Chinook (natural origin)—Recruits per spawner of natural escapement for each age cohort multiplied by the geometric mean of estimated marine survival and freshwater indices. Prediction is based on zero fishing within all waters. Recruits per spawner based on North Fork only. Prediction for 2004 is 2,190 .

Summer Chinook (hatchery origin, supplemented)-Release numbers multiplied by geometric mean of survival rates, based on CWT returns and adjusted for AEQ. Marked and unmarked fish are calculated separately. Prediction for 2004 is 1,063 .

## Tulalip Hatchery

Summer/fall Chinook (hatchery)—cohort specific release numbers for brood years 1999 through 2002 multiplied by survival rates from CWTs released in brood years 1986-1991, adjusted by Adult Equivalency. Prediction is based on zero fishing. Prediction for 2004 is 7,597.

## Snohomish River

Wallace River Hatchery: AEQ survival rates are based the geometric mean of CWT information 1986 through 1991. Cohort analysis of BYs 1999 through 2002 provided age composition for those release years. Forecast for 2004 is 10,104.

Summer/falls-Brood forecast returns (97-2000) times recruit per spawner of natural escapement for each age cohort multiplied by the geometric mean of estimated marine survival and freshwater indices time percent at age applied AEQ to estimated cohort forecasts and potential returns. Prediction for 2004 is 15,696 for both Skykomish and Snoqualmie.

## Lake Washington

Cedar River Summer/fall Chinook (natural)—forecast was generated by applying the three year average 4B run size for a prediction of 469 .

North Tributary Summer/fall Chinook (natural)—forecast was generated by applying the threeyears average 4B run size for a prediction of 292.

Issaquah Hatchery Chinook-2000 brood hatchery pounds released $(30,912)$ times 1999-02 average (UW + Issaquah) return /pound (0.2233). Prediction for 2004 is 6,904.

University of Washington Hatchery (Portage Bay)--2000 brood hatchery pounds released $(7,468)$ times 1999-02 average (UW + Issaquah) return /pound (0.2233). Prediction for 2004 is 1,672.

## Green River

Summer/Fall Chinook (natural spawners)-2000 brood year escapement $(6,170)$ times average R/S (1.6256) from years with similar escapement (1978, 1984, 1990, 1992, 1994, 1996, 1999, 2000, 2002). 4B prediction for 2004 is 10,030 .

Soos Creek Hatchery Chinook (fingerlings)—Average cohort return rate (since 1985) for age 3's ( 0.0955 ), age 4 's ( 0.1656 ) and age 5 's ( 0.0136 ) times pounds released, which are $46,586,44,001$, 40,213 ; respectively. 4 B prediction for 2004 is 12,282 , with 83.4 of the return marked.

Icy Creek Hatchery Chinook (yearlings)-- Average cohort return rate (since 1985) for age 3's ( 0.0081 ), age 4's ( 0.0234 ) and age 5 's ( 0.0045 ) times pounds of smolts released, which are $32,079,34,332,30,163$; respectively. 4B prediction for 2004 is $1,041.100 \%$ of the fish are marked.

## Kitsap Tributaries

Grovers Creek Fall Chinook (hatchery fingerlings)—Recent 10 year average (90-99) return per number released for individual contributing brood years. Return rate base on 78-99 brood year mean: $3 \mathrm{~s}-0.0037433,4 \mathrm{~s}-0.0026238,5 \mathrm{~s}-7.98 \mathrm{E}-05$, for forecasts of $3,363,1,504,49$, respectively. Total 4,916 rounded to 4,900 for 2004 forecast.

Gorst Creek Fall Chinook (hatchery fingerlings)—Long-term brood survival averages (78-99 Grovers) for BY 99 and 01. BY 2000 used a less productive period (84-91 Grovers) to capture health issues at release, which is corroborated with 2003 scales, indicating decrease survival. Prediction for $20043 \mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{~s}$, and 5 s is 8,483, 1,749, 203 for a total of $10,435$.

## Columbia River Stocks

The Upriver Brights (URB) and Lewis River Wild (LRW) are primarily naturally produced stocks while the Spring Creek tule (SCH) is a hatchery stock. The tule stocks generally mature at an earlier age than the bright stocks and do not migrate as far north in the ocean. Minor stocks include Lower River Brights (LRB).

## Cowlitz Spring

The forecast is the sum of predicted tributary mouth returns of the Cowlitz, Kalama, and Lewis river spring Chinook stocks. In turn, each tributary forecast was the aggregate of age-specific linear regressions of historical cohort returns, i.e. age 4 was predicted from age 3 and age 5 was predicted from age 4 . The preliminary forecast for 2004 ocean escapement is 15,900 , compared to the 2003 return of 13,400 .

## Willamette Spring

The current year forecast was made from a mix of average age-specific run sizes and agespecific linear regressions of cohort returns. The recent 5 -year average age- 3 run size was used as the age- 3 forecast. The regressions of age 4 on age 3 and age 5 on age 4 were used to forecast age 4 and 5 return, respectively. The preliminary forecast for 2004 ocean escapement is 109,400, compared to the 2003 return of 126,600 .

## Columbia River Summer

The current year forecast was based on a relationship between jacks and adult returns at the Columbia River mouth. The preliminary forecast for 2004 ocean escapement is 102,800, compared to the 2003 return of 116,900 .

## Cowlitz Fall and Bonneville Tule

The Bonneville tule and Cowlitz fall stocks comprise the LRH stock and their individual forecasts are based on an estimate of their proportion of the forecasted total LRH return. The LRH forecast was from brood cohort regressions by age group. Ocean escapement in 2004 is forecast to be 79,000 LRH adults, less than the 2003 actual return of 155,000 adults but more than the recent 10 -year average of 75,100 . The recent 10 -year average LRH forecast error is $30 \%$ with a range between $-6 \%$ and $-66 \%$ of actual. The Bonneville tule and Cowlitz fall individual forecasts are much less accurate than the composite LRH forecast.

## Spring Creek Hatchery

The forecast was based on the cohort ratio of age 3 to age 2 , the regression of age 4 on age $2 \&$ 3 , and the regression of age- 5 on age- 4 . Ocean escapement in 2004 is projected to be 150,000 adults, less than the 2003 actual return of 180,6000 adults but more than the recent 10 -year average of 67,000 . The recent 10 -year average forecast error is $-18 \%$ with a range of $-55 \%$ to $9 \%$.

## Upriver Brights

The current year forecast was the sum of 22 individual forecast, i.e. 6 stocks X 4 age groups less two exceptions (Lower River Hatchery (LRH) age 6 and Bonneville Pool Hatchery (SCH) age 6). The six stocks were LRH, LRW, SCH, URB, Bonneville Upriver Brights (BUB), and Pool

Upriver Bright (PUB). The four age groups were age 3, 4, 5, and 6. Both age-specific average cohort ratios and age specific cohort regressions were used in the individual forecasts. The preliminary forecast for 2004 ocean escapement is 622,600 adults, compared to the 2003 run of 727,500.

## Mid-Columbia Brights

The current year forecast for Mid-Columbia Brights (MCB) is the sum of the BUB and PUB forecasts. For the BUB component, the age 3 and 4 forecasts were made from brood cohort ratios, and age 5 and 6 from cohort regressions. For the PUB component, the age 3 forecast was made from a recent 5-year average return, and the other age groups from brood cohort regressions. Ocean escapement in 2004 is forecast to be $88,800 \mathrm{MCB}$ adults, less than the 2003 actual return of 150,300 adults but more than the recent 10 -year average of 64,600 . The recent 10 -year average forecast error is $-0 \%$ with a range of $-43 \%$ to $38 \%$.

## Lewis River Wild

The forecasts are based on cohort regressions by age group except for age-4 which is based on cohort ratios. Ocean escapement in 2004 is forecast at 24,200 adults, which is slightly less than the 2003 actual return of 26,000 , both an improvement over the recent 10 -year average of 14,300 . The recent 10 -year average forecast error is $-12 \%$ with a range of $-66 \%$ to $20 \%$.

## Oregon Coastal North Migrating

Forecasts of spawning escapements are made for an aggregate of Chinook salmon populations from seven major river systems on the North Oregon Coast (NOC). River systems in the aggregate include: Nehalem, Tillamook, Nestucca, Siletz, Yaquina, Alsea, and Siuslaw. Annual escapement estimates are made from expansions of fish density indices (peak live + dead fish per mile) observed at standard survey sites in each river basin. These river specific indices are adjusted for observation efficiency and for bias. The abundance for each river is then estimated by multiplying the index by the assumed length of spawning habitat in the river. A three-year-moving-average of escapement is used as the forecast for each river in the forthcoming year. The NOC escapement forecast is the sum of the seven river forecasts. The 2004 forecast is 131,900 .

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Appendix E. } & \text { CWT (Cohort) release to age } 2 \text { survival indices (completed brood years only) and } \\
\text { Chinook model-derived age } 1 \text { to age } 2 \text { survival indices (up to 2002) for } \\
\text { exploitation rate indicator stocks. Indices are survival indices relative to base } \\
\text { period. }
\end{array}
$$
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## PUNTLEDGE <br> INDEX OF SURVIVAL

$r=0.56$

——EV Survival - Cohort Survival
Figure E.5. Puntledge CWT (cohort) and model age 2 survival indices ( $\mathrm{r}=$ correlation between survival indices).
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$\longrightarrow$ EV Survival $-\square$ Cohort Survival
Figure E.6. Big Qualicum CWT (cohort) and model age 2 survival indices ( $\mathrm{r}=$ correlation between survival indices).


Figure E.7. Cowichan CWT (cohort) and model (EV) age 2 survival indices (r=correlation between survival indices).

$\longrightarrow$ EV Survival -a Cohort Survival
Figure E.8. Chilliwack CWT (cohort) and model age 2 survival indices (r=correlation between survival indices).

## NOOKSACK SPRING YEARLING INDEX OF SURVIVAL
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\mathrm{r}=0.78
$$


$\longrightarrow$ EV Survival -Cohort Survival
Figure E.9. Nooksack Spring Yearling CWT (cohort) and model age 2 survival indices ( $\mathrm{r}=$ correlation between survival rates).

## SKAGIT SPRING YEARLING <br> INDEX OF SURVIVAL r=. 07


$\rightarrow$ EV Survival -a $\quad$ Cohort Survival

Figure E.10. Skagit Spring Yearling CWT (cohort) and model age 2 survival indices ( $\mathrm{r}=$ correlation between survival indices).


Figure E.11. Samish Fall Fingerling CWT (cohort) and model age 2 survival indices ( $\mathrm{r}=$ correlation between survival indices).

## GEORGE ADAMS FALL FINGERLING INDEX OF SURVIVAL
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r=0.59
$$
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\longrightarrow \text { EV Survival }-\square \text { =Cohort Survival }
$$

Figure E.12. George Adams Fall Fingerling CWT (cohort) and model age 2 survival indices ( $\mathrm{r}=$ correlation between survival indices).

—EV Survival - Cohort Survival
Figure E.13. South Puget Sound Fall Fingerling CWT (cohort) and model age 2 survival indices ( $\mathrm{r}=$ correlation between survival indices).

## SOUTH PUGET SOUND FALL FINGERLING INDEX OF SURVIVAL

$r=0.49$

$\rightarrow$ EV Survival -a Cohort Survival

Figure E.14. South Puget Sound Fall Yearling CWT (cohort) and model age 2 survival indices ( $\mathrm{r}=$ correlation between survival indices).

$\longrightarrow$ EV Survival -Cohort Survival
Figure E.15. Squaxin Pens Fall Yearling CWT (cohort) and model age 2 survival indices ( $\mathrm{r}=$ correlation between survival indices).

## WHITE RIVER SPRING YEARLING INDEX OF SURVIVAL

$\mathrm{r}=-.18$

$\longrightarrow$ EV Survival - $\quad$ Cohort Survival
Figure E.16. White River Spring Yearling CWT (cohort) and model age 2 survival indices ( $\mathrm{r}=$ correlation between survival indices).

$\rightarrow$ EV Survival -a Cohort Survival
Figure E.17. Elwha Fall Fingerling CWT (cohort) and model age 2 survival indices ( $\mathrm{r}=$ correlation between survival indices).

## HOKO FALL FINGERLING INDEX OF SURVIVAL

 $r=-.06$
$\rightarrow$ EVV Survival -a Cohort Survival

Figure E.18. Hoko Fall Fingerling CWT (cohort) and model age 2 survival indices (r=correlation between survival indices).

## SOOES FALL FINGERLING INDEX OF SURVIVAL

$r=-.11$

$\longrightarrow$ EV Survival - - Cohort Survival
Figure E.19. Sooes Fall Fingerling CWT (cohort) and model age 2 survival indices (r=correlation between survival indices).

QUEETS FALL FINGERLING
INDEX OF SURVIVAL
$r=0.44$

$\rightarrow$ EV Survival - - Cohort Survival

Figure E.20. Queets Fall Fingerling CWT (cohort) and model age 2 survival indices ( $\mathrm{r}=$ correlation between survival indices).

$\rightarrow$ EV Survival -a $\quad$ Cohort Survival
Figure E.21. Willamette Spring CWT (cohort) and model age 2 survival indices (r=correlation between survival indices).

## COLUMBIA RIVER SUMMERS <br> INDEX OF SURVIVAL

$$
r=.57
$$


-EEV Survival - - Cohort Survival
Figure E.22. Columbia River Summers CWT (cohort) and model age 2 survival indices ( $\mathrm{r}=$ correlation between survival indices).

## COWLITZ FALL TULE INDEX OF SURVIVAL

$r=0.82$

$\longrightarrow$ EV Survival -a - Cohort Survival
Figure E.23. Cowlitz Fall Tule CWT (cohort) and model age 2 survival indices (r=correlation between survival indices).

—EV Survival -a -Cohort Survival
Figure E.24. Spring Creek Tule CWT (cohort) and model age 2 survival indices (r=correlation between survival indices).

—EV Survival -a $=$ Cohort Survival
Figure E.25. Columbia Lower River Hatchery Tule CWT (cohort) and model age 2 survival indices ( $\mathrm{r}=$ correlation between survival indices).

$\rightarrow$ EV Surneal - Cohort Sumival
Figure E.26. Columbia River Upriver Brights CWT (cohort) and model age 2 survival indices ( $\mathrm{r}=$ correlation between survival indices).

$\rightarrow$ EV Survival -a Cohort Survival
Figure E.27. Hanford Wild Brights CWT (cohort) and model age 2 survival indices ( $\mathrm{r}=$ correlation between survival indices).

LYONS FERRY FALL HATCHERY INDEX OF SURVIVAL
$r=-.40$


- EV Survival - - Cohort Survival

Figure E.28. Lyons Ferry Fall Hatchery CWT (cohort) and model age 2 survival indices ( $\mathrm{r}=$ correlation between survival indices).

## LEWIS RIVER WILD INDEX OF SURVIVAL

$$
r=0.52
$$


$\rightarrow$ EV Survival -a $=$ Cohort Survival
Figure E.29. Lewis River Wild CWT (cohort) and model age 2 survival indices (r=correlation between survival indices).

$\rightarrow$ EV Survival -a ${ }^{-}$Cohort Survival
Figure E.30. Salmon River (NOC) CWT (cohort) and model age 2 survival indices (r=correlation between survival indices).

Appendix F. Total mortality and landed catch exploitation rates for exploitation rate indicator stocks ${ }^{1}$ for complete broods up to 1998.
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Figure F.1.Southeast Alaska springs total exploitation rates by brood year.


Figure F.2. Kitsumkalum (North/Central BC) total exploitation rates by brood year.


Figure F.3.Robertson Creek (West Coast Vancouver Island Hatchery and Natural) ocean exploitation rates by brood year.

Brood Year Total Exploitation Rate Quinsam


Figure F.4.Quinsam (Upper Strait of Georgia) total exploitation rates by brood year.


Figure F.5.Puntledge (Lower Strait of Georgia Hatchery) total exploitation rates by brood year.


Figure F.6.Big Qualicum (Lower Georgia Strait Hatchery and Natural) total exploitation rates by brood year.

Brood Year Total Exploitation Rate
Cowichan


Figure F.7.Cowichan (Lower Georgia Strait Natural) total exploitation rates by brood year.


Figure F.8. Chilliwack (Fraser Late) total exploitation rates by brood year.

Brood Year Ocean Exploitation Rate Skagit Spring Fingerling


Figure F.9. Skagit Spring Fingerling ocean exploitation rates by brood year.


Figure F.10. Skagit Spring Yearling ocean exploitation rates by brood year.


Figure F.11. Samish Fall Fingerling (Nooksack Fall) ocean exploitation rates by brood year.


Figure F.12. Skagit Summer Fingerling ocean exploitation rates by brood year.


Figure F.13. South Puget Sound Fall Fingerling (Puget Sound Hatchery Fingerling) ocean exploitation rates by brood year.


Figure F.14. Hoko Fall Fingerling ocean exploitation rates by brood year.


Figure F.15. Sooes Fall Fingerling ocean exploitation rates by brood year


Figure F.16. Willamette Spring (Willamette River Hatchery) ocean exploitation rates by brood year.


Figure F.17. Columbia Summers (Columbia River Summer) total exploitation rate by brood year.

Brood Year Ocean Exploitation Rate Cowlitz Tule


Figure F.18. Cowlitz Tule (Fall Cowlitz Hatchery) ocean exploitation rate by brood year.


Figure F.19. Columbia River Upriver Brights (Columbia Upriver Brights) total exploitation rates by brood year.


Figure F.20. Hanford Wild (Columbia Upriver Brights) total exploitation rates by brood year.


Figure F.21. Lyons Ferry (Lyons Ferry) total exploitation rates by brood year


Figure F.22. Lewis River Wild (Lewis River Wild) total exploitation rates by brood year.


Figure F.23. Salmon River (North Oregon Coast) ocean exploitation rates by brood year.

Appendix G. Percent distribution of landed catch and total mortality among fisheries and escapement for exploitation rate indicator stocks by calendar year.

These data result from cohort analysis of CWT recoveries for the indicator stocks; data within a row for each calendar year sum to $100 \%$. Many changes are present in these distribution tables compared to those presented in previous reports. There are various reasons for the changes including updates to escapement time series or in the case of some Columbia River stocks, the interdam loss rates (IDLs), which affect estimated escapements. In addition, some CWT codes have either been added to or excluded from the historical series affecting the outcome of the cohort analysis. Finally, a computational rule used in producing the stock-specific distribution tables determines whether data are generated for any particular calendar year. The rule is that at least three-year classes of CWT recoveries (out of four or five) must be available in any calendar year. Lack of CWT tagging in recent years for some of the indicators has resulted in no distribution data for 2000 and/or 2001. Missing broods are noted in the caption of those tables.
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Table G.1. Percent distribution of Alaska Spring Chinook reported catch among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Alaska } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Alaska Sport | North Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Central } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\& Sp } \end{gathered}$ | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1983 | 27.9\% | 1.3\% | 6.6\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 62.2\% |
| 1984 | 23.0\% | 2.6\% | 13.7\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 59.4\% |
| 1985 | 24.1\% | 5.6\% | 13.7\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 55.5\% |
| 1986 | 25.1\% | 5.2\% | 11.9\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 57.1\% |
| 1987 | 30.9\% | 2.8\% | 10.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 54.8\% |
| 1988 | 29.9\% | 2.0\% | 14.5\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 52.2\% |
| 1989 | 25.3\% | 9.5\% | 10.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 53.9\% |
| 1990 | 37.0\% | 2.4\% | 13.4\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 45.4\% |
| 1991 | 40.0\% | 3.5\% | 17.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 38.4\% |
| 1992 | 26.1\% | 6.8\% | 20.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 46.2\% |
| 1993 | 19.8\% | 5.9\% | 19.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 54.6\% |
| 1994 | 16.2\% | 16.1\% | 13.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 53.2\% |
| 1995 | 26.9\% | 14.0\% | 17.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 40.6\% |
| 1996 | 24.4\% | 10.3\% | 30.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 34.4\% |
| 1997 | 25.7\% | 8.3\% | 29.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 36.3\% |
| 1998 | 28.8\% | 10.3\% | 25.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 35.5\% |
| 1999 | 20.0\% | 5.5\% | 29.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 44.7\% |
| 2000 | 23.0\% | 5.3\% | 24.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 47.2\% |
| 2001 | 15.7\% | 4.3\% | 18.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ | 61.6\% |
| 2002 | 11.1\% | 3.9\% | 16.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 67.3\% |
| (83-02) | 25.0\% | 6.3\% | 17.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 50.0\% |
| (85-02) | 25.0\% | 6.8\% | 18.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 48.8\% |

Table G.2. Percent distribution of Alaska Spring Chinook total fishing mortalities among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Fisheri |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Sport } \end{aligned}$ | Escapement |
|  | 1983 | 34.6\% | 1.5\% | 11.2\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 50.8\% |
|  | 1984 | 27.5\% | 2.6\% | 17.9\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 50.7\% |
|  | 1985 | 27.8\% | 10.8\% | 15.2\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 45.2\% |
|  | 1986 | 29.1\% | 11.0\% | 12.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 46.9\% |
|  | 1987 | 40.4\% | 5.3\% | 9.9\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 43.5\% |
|  | 1988 | 34.4\% | 5.8\% | 14.2\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 44.2\% |
|  | 1989 | 29.4\% | 16.4\% | 10.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 42.5\% |
|  | 1990 | 43.0\% | 6.5\% | 13.1\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 35.4\% |
|  | 1991 | 41.8\% | 8.6\% | 16.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 32.3\% |
|  | 1992 | 25.4\% | 20.3\% | 17.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 36.0\% |
|  | 1993 | 23.5\% | 9.4\% | 19.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 47.0\% |
|  | 1994 | 20.0\% | 29.2\% | 12.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 37.6\% |
|  | 1995 | 32.0\% | 14.9\% | 17.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 34.3\% |
|  | 1996 | 27.2\% | 11.5\% | 30.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 30.4\% |
|  | 1997 | 26.8\% | 10.9\% | 29.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 32.4\% |
| $\Omega$ | 1998 | 28.3\% | 19.5\% | 23.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 28.0\% |
| $\stackrel{1}{\square}$ | 1999 | 22.2\% | 8.2\% | 31.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 37.8\% |
| $\bullet$ | 2000 | 26.6\% | 7.9\% | 25.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 40.3\% |
| $\bigcirc$ | $2001$ | $18.8 \%$ | 6.5\% | $19.5 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $55.0 \%$ |
|  | 2002 | 13.2\% | 7.4\% | 17.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 60.3\% |
|  | (83-02) | 28.6\% | 10.7\% | 18.2\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 41.5\% |
|  | (85-02) | 28.3\% | 11.7\% | 18.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 40.5\% |

Table G.3. Percent distribution of Kitsumkalum Chinook reported catch among fisheries and escapement (NA=not available).

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | N/CBC <br> Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | U.S. <br> Sport |  |
|  | 1984 | 50.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.5\% | 0.0\% | 30.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | NA ${ }^{1}$ |
|  | 1985 | 26.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | 13.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 51.6\% |
|  | 1986 | 8.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.1\% | 0.0\% | 8.9\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 65.7\% |
|  | 1987 | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.1\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 71.4\% |
|  | 1988 | 17.4\% | 0.6\% | 1.9\% | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 23.0\% | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 46.6\% |
|  | 1989 | 10.9\% | 0.3\% | 6.8\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.3\% | 6.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 59.1\% |
|  | 1990 | 10.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 6.6\% | 0.3\% | 7.1\% | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 65.0\% |
|  | 1991 | 14.6\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 8.8\% | 0.7\% | 16.7\% | 13.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 41.8\% |
|  | 1992 | 13.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 7.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.4\% | 6.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 60.7\% |
|  | 1993 | 10.4\% | 0.9\% | 2.2\% | 10.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.7\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 53.5\% |
|  | 1994 | 11.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 19.0\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 57.9\% |
|  | 1995 | 12.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | 29.1\% | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 42.9\% |
|  | 1996 | 8.5\% | 0.2\% | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.5\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 61.9\% |
|  | 1997 | 10.6\% | 0.0\% | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% | 11.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 62.6\% |
|  | 1998 | 8.6\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 5.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 81.6\% |
| Q | 1999 | 14.7\% | 0.0\% | 9.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 6.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 68.0\% |
| $\stackrel{1}{\square}$ | 2000 | 6.8\% | 0.0\% | 6.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.8\% | 5.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 70.7\% |
| 三 | 2001 | 7.9\% | 0.0\% | 5.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 6.9\% | 10.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 69.4\% |
| $\square$ | 2002 | 12.1\% | 0.2\% | 5.1\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 12.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 65.6\% |
|  | (84-02) | 13.9\% | 0.1\% | 3.5\% | 5.5\% | 0.1\% | 12.8\% | 6.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 57.7\% |
|  | (85-02) | 11.8\% | 0.1\% | 3.7\% | 4.7\% | 0.1\% | 11.8\% | 6.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 60.9\% |

1. Values represent estimates of catch distribution only for this year.

Table G.4. Percent distribution of Kitsumkalum Chinook total fishing mortalities among fisheries and escapement (NA=not available).

| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { North } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { N/CBC } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
| 1984 | 52.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 21.1\% | 0.0\% | 26.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | NA ${ }^{1}$ |
| 1985 | 29.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 7.7\% | 0.0\% | 12.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 48.5\% |
| 1986 | 10.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 13.9\% | 0.0\% | 8.8\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 64.8\% |
| 1987 | 12.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 9.8\% | 0.0\% | 7.2\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 62.3\% |
| 1988 | 23.4\% | 2.4\% | 4.9\% | 7.3\% | 0.0\% | 18.0\% | 7.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 36.6\% |
| 1989 | 14.2\% | 0.6\% | 6.9\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 10.6\% | 6.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 55.6\% |
| 1990 | 11.7\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 7.8\% | 0.3\% | 6.8\% | 7.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 62.2\% |
| 1991 | 19.9\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 10.7\% | 0.9\% | 14.8\% | 13.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 36.5\% |
| 1992 | 15.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 7.9\% | 0.0\% | 9.1\% | 6.9\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 58.4\% |
| 1993 | 11.6\% | 1.7\% | 2.1\% | 11.6\% | 0.0\% | 17.8\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 50.8\% |
| 1994 | 13.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 0.0\% | 17.8\% | 8.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 54.1\% |
| 1995 | 13.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 9.8\% | 0.0\% | 31.6\% | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 36.3\% |
| 1996 | 10.1\% | 0.2\% | 6.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 20.5\% | 5.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 57.0\% |
| 1997 | 12.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.7\% | 12.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 58.6\% |
| 1998 | 10.4\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 6.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 78.7\% |
| 1999 | 16.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 8.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 62.5\% |
| 2000 | 8.3\% | 0.0\% | 9.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.0\% | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 64.5\% |
| $2001$ | $8.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 5.7\% | 0.4\% | $0.0 \%$ | 13.1\% | $11.0 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0\% | 60.9\% |
| 2002 | 12.6\% | 1.1\% | 5.4\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 14.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 60.0\% |
| (84-02) | 16.1\% | 0.3\% | 4.3\% | 6.4\% | 0.1\% | 12.7\% | 7.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 53.1\% |
| (85-02) | 14.1\% | 0.3\% | 4.5\% | 5.6\% | 0.1\% | 11.9\% | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 56.0\% |

Table G.5. Percent distribution of Robertson Creek Chinook reported catch among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | N/CBC <br> Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
| 1979 | 18.4\% | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 11.6\% | 10.8\% | 7.7\% | 0.3\% | 8.0\% | 1.7\% | 2.3\% | 5.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 32.2\% |
| 1980 | 26.8\% | 7.0\% | 0.9\% | 8.1\% | 8.2\% | 4.4\% | 0.1\% | 7.0\% | 0.1\% | 11.4\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 22.4\% |
| 1981 | 29.6\% | 1.6\% | 0.8\% | 12.1\% | 8.2\% | 4.9\% | 0.5\% | 5.3\% | 0.6\% | 13.7\% | 5.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 16.5\% |
| 1982 | 25.2\% | 3.4\% | 0.8\% | 13.6\% | 7.6\% | 5.0\% | 0.1\% | 5.8\% | 0.9\% | 15.0\% | 6.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 15.4\% |
| 1983 | 36.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.6\% | 10.4\% | 8.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.3\% | 5.3\% | 0.3\% | 18.2\% | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 10.4\% |
| 1984 | 26.5\% | 4.0\% | 0.2\% | 14.6\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 0.8\% | 17.7\% | 15.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 7.6\% |
| 1985 | 14.1\% | 5.8\% | 0.0\% | 17.7\% | 0.5\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.8\% | 3.6\% | 17.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 31.3\% |
| 1986 | 13.9\% | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 8.1\% | 1.1\% | 3.1\% | 0.7\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 26.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 35.0\% |
| 1987 | 6.5\% | 1.5\% | 0.6\% | 6.1\% | 2.9\% | 2.4\% | 0.5\% | 2.2\% | 0.5\% | 1.1\% | 20.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 54.3\% |
| 1988 | 9.9\% | 2.1\% | 0.9\% | 6.6\% | 1.2\% | 2.0\% | 1.1\% | 4.1\% | 0.6\% | 8.1\% | 18.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 44.4\% |
| 1989 | 8.0\% | 2.5\% | 0.4\% | 7.8\% | 0.8\% | 1.1\% | 1.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.8\% | 20.5\% | 18.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 36.9\% |
| 1990 | 15.8\% | 1.1\% | 1.3\% | 7.3\% | 2.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.9\% | 6.3\% | 0.3\% | 10.4\% | 10.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 41.9\% |
| 1991 | 16.9\% | 1.1\% | 3.1\% | 9.1\% | 2.7\% | 0.6\% | 0.8\% | 4.4\% | 0.3\% | 14.9\% | 13.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 32.3\% |
| 1992 | 13.7\% | 3.0\% | 1.7\% | 7.2\% | 3.0\% | 0.9\% | 1.5\% | 18.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 8.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 41.1\% |
| 1993 | 13.9\% | 1.0\% | 2.5\% | 7.1\% | 2.0\% | 0.4\% | 1.4\% | 13.7\% | 0.5\% | 8.4\% | 15.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 33.2\% |
| 1994 | 15.8\% | 2.2\% | 3.7\% | 9.5\% | 1.1\% | 1.1\% | 1.1\% | 5.3\% | 0.4\% | 12.8\% | 21.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 25.6\% |
| 1995 | 15.3\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 3.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.9\% | 1.5\% | 1.4\% | 7.3\% | 12.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 53.2\% |
| 1996 | 5.6\% | 0.1\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 87.4\% |
| 1997 | 10.7\% | 3.2\% | 3.9\% | 4.5\% | 1.8\% | 0.4\% | 2.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 6.5\% | 20.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 45.1\% |
| 1998 | 16.5\% | 1.2\% | 5.1\% | 6.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 4.2\% | 19.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 45.1\% |
| 1999 | 12.2\% | 0.4\% | 7.9\% | 3.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 7.0\% | 22.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 42.9\% |
| 2000 | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 88.4\% |
| $2001$ | $3.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | 0.0\% | $3.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 89.0\% |
| 2002 | 12.3\% | 0.3\% | 1.7\% | 3.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 8.6\% | 8.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 59.3\% |
| (79-02) | 15.5\% | 2.1\% | 1.9\% | 7.4\% | 2.8\% | 1.9\% | 1.2\% | 4.3\% | 0.8\% | 8.1\% | 12.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 41.3\% |
| (85-02) | 11.7\% | 1.7\% | 2.3\% | 5.9\% | 1.1\% | 1.0\% | 1.6\% | 3.6\% | 0.8\% | 6.4\% | 14.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 49.3\% |

Table G.6. Percent distribution of Robertson Creek Chinook total fishing mortalities among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | N/CBC <br> Sport | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | U.S. <br> Net | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1979 | 21.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 13.0\% | 11.9\% | 7.0\% | 0.3\% | 8.9\% | 1.5\% | 2.0\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 27.8\% |
| 1980 | 27.7\% | 6.9\% | 1.0\% | 8.6\% | 8.7\% | 4.4\% | 0.1\% | 7.5\% | 0.1\% | 10.8\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 20.6\% |
| 1981 | 32.9\% | 1.5\% | 1.0\% | 13.1\% | 8.9\% | 4.4\% | 0.5\% | 5.8\% | 0.6\% | 11.9\% | 5.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 13.8\% |
| 1982 | 28.9\% | 3.2\% | 0.9\% | 14.4\% | 7.9\% | 4.6\% | 0.1\% | 6.2\% | 0.8\% | 13.1\% | 6.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 13.2\% |
| 1983 | 40.7\% | 3.0\% | 0.6\% | 10.1\% | 7.7\% | 2.2\% | 0.3\% | 5.1\% | 0.3\% | 16.3\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 9.2\% |
| 1984 | 27.9\% | 3.8\% | 0.2\% | 14.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 6.9\% | 0.8\% | 16.7\% | 15.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 7.1\% |
| 1985 | 14.9\% | 16.8\% | 0.0\% | 16.0\% | 0.4\% | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.7\% | 3.0\% | 15.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 25.4\% |
| 1986 | 18.0\% | 12.7\% | 0.0\% | 8.7\% | 1.2\% | 2.9\% | 1.1\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 22.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 26.4\% |
| 1987 | 10.2\% | 3.4\% | 1.1\% | 7.5\% | 3.5\% | 2.3\% | 0.6\% | 2.7\% | 0.5\% | 1.0\% | 19.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 47.1\% |
| 1988 | 11.0\% | 4.8\% | 1.2\% | 7.3\% | 1.3\% | 1.9\% | 1.1\% | 4.7\% | 0.7\% | 7.3\% | 18.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 39.8\% |
| 1989 | 10.9\% | 6.9\% | 0.5\% | 9.1\% | 1.0\% | 1.1\% | 1.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.8\% | 18.1\% | 17.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 31.1\% |
| 1990 | 19.4\% | 2.9\% | 1.5\% | 8.9\% | 2.3\% | 1.6\% | 0.9\% | 6.8\% | 0.3\% | 9.2\% | 10.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 36.1\% |
| 1991 | 19.9\% | 2.5\% | 3.3\% | 9.9\% | 2.9\% | 0.6\% | 0.8\% | 4.8\% | 0.3\% | 13.4\% | 13.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 28.6\% |
| 1992 | 16.6\% | 8.3\% | 1.7\% | 7.5\% | 3.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.4\% | 18.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | 7.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 34.1\% |
| 1993 | 15.9\% | 2.3\% | 2.5\% | 7.6\% | 2.1\% | 0.4\% | 1.4\% | 14.5\% | 0.5\% | 7.6\% | 15.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 30.0\% |
| 1994 | 17.9\% | 4.9\% | 3.6\% | 9.3\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.1\% | 5.2\% | 0.4\% | 11.6\% | 20.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 23.2\% |
| 1995 | 17.3\% | 0.0\% | 4.6\% | 3.7\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 1.1\% | 1.9\% | 1.5\% | 6.8\% | 13.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 48.7\% |
| 1996 | 9.1\% | 0.1\% | 4.4\% | 2.7\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 5.9\% | 0.7\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 74.6\% |
| 1997 | 13.8\% | 8.3\% | 4.4\% | 5.1\% | 2.0\% | 0.4\% | 3.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.6\% | 5.6\% | 18.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 38.2\% |
| 1998 | 17.0\% | 3.1\% | 5.1\% | 6.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 3.9\% | 19.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 42.4\% |
| 1999 | 12.9\% | 0.8\% | 8.1\% | 3.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 6.8\% | 23.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 40.8\% |
| 2000 | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.1\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 84.1\% |
| $2001$ | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | 0.0\% | $2.6 \%$ | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 84.9\% |
| 2002 | 14.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.9\% | 3.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 5.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.8\% | 8.1\% | 9.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 55.4\% |
| (79-02) | 17.9\% | 4.1\% | 2.1\% | 7.9\% | 2.9\% | 1.8\% | 1.6\% | 4.5\% | 0.8\% | 7.3\% | 11.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 36.8\% |
| (85-02) | 13.9\% | 4.4\% | 2.6\% | 6.5\% | 1.2\% | 1.0\% | 2.0\% | 3.8\% | 0.9\% | 5.8\% | 13.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 43.9\% |

Table G.7. Percent distribution of Quinsam Chinook reported catch among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska <br> Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { N/CBC } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { N/CBC } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1979 | 6.3\% | 6.8\% | 0.9\% | 7.3\% | 13.6\% | 25.7\% | 4.1\% | 0.0\% | 9.3\% | 5.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.1\% |
| 1980 | 15.4\% | 5.2\% | 3.1\% | 10.9\% | 17.2\% | 13.5\% | 5.5\% | 0.0\% | 7.0\% | 9.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 13.0\% |
| 1981 | 12.7\% | 2.8\% | 1.9\% | 15.4\% | 14.2\% | 12.2\% | 7.5\% | 0.7\% | 13.9\% | 7.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.2\% |
| 1982 | 18.4\% | 8.0\% | 5.7\% | 8.4\% | 7.2\% | 21.8\% | 2.5\% | 0.4\% | 4.4\% | 8.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.7\% |
| 1983 | 22.9\% | 1.7\% | 2.2\% | 16.1\% | 12.5\% | 18.5\% | 2.9\% | 0.8\% | 5.1\% | 9.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.2\% |
| 1984 | 14.5\% | 6.0\% | 4.7\% | 5.9\% | 5.0\% | 15.1\% | 4.1\% | 0.8\% | 7.9\% | 6.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 29.3\% |
| 1985 | 25.9\% | 5.8\% | 4.4\% | 5.1\% | 3.6\% | 11.1\% | 1.0\% | 0.1\% | 4.4\% | 8.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 30.2\% |
| 1986 | 13.8\% | 4.3\% | 2.8\% | 6.6\% | 7.3\% | 19.9\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 6.2\% | 6.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 29.7\% |
| 1987 | 10.8\% | 3.7\% | 2.8\% | 6.3\% | 6.1\% | 17.3\% | 6.6\% | 0.4\% | 4.0\% | 7.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 34.3\% |
| 1988 | 19.0\% | 1.8\% | 1.0\% | 6.6\% | 2.4\% | 5.5\% | 2.9\% | 0.7\% | 3.7\% | 4.1\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 51.2\% |
| 1989 | 12.6\% | 2.8\% | 2.8\% | 3.9\% | 1.9\% | 4.9\% | 3.2\% | 0.3\% | 7.3\% | 13.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 47.1\% |
| 1990 | 17.3\% | 2.2\% | 0.6\% | 6.7\% | 4.9\% | 11.2\% | 9.0\% | 1.4\% | 3.5\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 38.5\% |
| 1991 | 10.1\% | 2.8\% | 1.4\% | 5.7\% | 9.1\% | 10.2\% | 11.9\% | 0.5\% | 4.4\% | 3.5\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 39.7\% |
| 1992 | 11.5\% | 0.5\% | 2.4\% | 10.1\% | 9.3\% | 7.4\% | 6.3\% | 0.3\% | 3.5\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 46.2\% |
| 1993 | 8.0\% | 3.4\% | 1.2\% | 5.8\% | 5.8\% | 19.6\% | 8.9\% | 1.2\% | 10.7\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 32.1\% |
| 1994 | 5.3\% | 6.0\% | 4.0\% | 9.3\% | 1.3\% | 14.0\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.0\% | 4.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 45.0\% |
| 1995 | 7.1\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.2\% | 0.0\% | 14.6\% | 7.9\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 48.8\% |
| 1996 | 6.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.4\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 6.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 64.5\% |
| 1997 | 9.1\% | 3.2\% | 2.5\% | 4.1\% | 3.4\% | 2.3\% | 8.9\% | 0.7\% | 8.7\% | 0.2\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 51.9\% |
| 1998 | 14.2\% | 2.2\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 8.7\% | 0.0\% | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 66.8\% |
| 1999 | 9.0\% | 3.4\% | 4.2\% | 1.3\% | 0.2\% | 1.4\% | 9.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 69.3\% |
| 2000 | 13.1\% | 2.2\% | 5.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 70.7\% |
| 2001 | 9.3\% | 1.4\% | 1.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 80.1\% |
| 2002 | 14.6\% | 3.1\% | 0.9\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 11.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 66.4\% |
| (79-02) | 12.8\% | 3.5\% | 2.4\% | 6.1\% | 5.2\% | 11.0\% | 6.1\% | 0.3\% | 5.7\% | 4.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 42.0\% |
| (85-02) | 12.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.2\% | 4.5\% | 3.1\% | 8.7\% | 6.7\% | 0.3\% | 5.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 50.7\% |

Table G.8. Percent distribution of Quinsam Chinook total fishing mortalities among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC}$ Sport | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \end{gathered}$ | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1979 | 8.4\% | 6.5\% | 1.5\% | 8.7\% | 15.3\% | 24.0\% | 3.9\% | 0.1\% | 8.5\% | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.6\% |
| 1980 | 16.0\% | 5.0\% | 3.3\% | 11.5\% | 18.1\% | 13.4\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 6.8\% | 8.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.7\% |
| 1981 | 13.3\% | 2.6\% | 2.1\% | 16.5\% | 14.8\% | 11.7\% | 7.5\% | 0.7\% | 13.5\% | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.1\% |
| 1982 | 22.2\% | 7.7\% | 6.1\% | 8.7\% | 7.4\% | 20.7\% | 2.4\% | 0.4\% | 4.0\% | 7.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.5\% |
| 1983 | 26.7\% | 1.5\% | 2.7\% | 15.7\% | 12.2\% | 17.6\% | 3.0\% | 0.7\% | 4.6\% | 8.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.9\% |
| 1984 | 15.9\% | 6.0\% | 5.5\% | 6.2\% | 5.2\% | 14.9\% | 4.2\% | 0.9\% | 7.8\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 27.2\% |
| 1985 | 27.4\% | 12.8\% | 4.2\% | 4.7\% | 3.3\% | 10.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.1\% | 4.0\% | 7.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 25.3\% |
| 1986 | 15.4\% | 10.9\% | 3.1\% | 6.6\% | 7.2\% | 18.5\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 5.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 24.0\% |
| 1987 | 16.0\% | 10.4\% | 2.8\% | 6.8\% | 6.7\% | 14.4\% | 5.7\% | 0.4\% | 3.4\% | 6.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 27.0\% |
| 1988 | 20.0\% | 4.5\% | 1.1\% | 7.0\% | 2.6\% | 5.5\% | 3.0\% | 0.8\% | 3.9\% | 3.9\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 46.5\% |
| 1989 | 14.1\% | 8.1\% | 2.8\% | 4.1\% | 2.0\% | 4.6\% | 3.2\% | 0.3\% | 7.6\% | 11.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 41.3\% |
| 1990 | 18.7\% | 5.5\% | 0.6\% | 7.4\% | 5.3\% | 10.5\% | 8.9\% | 1.5\% | 3.7\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 33.5\% |
| 1991 | 11.3\% | 7.9\% | 1.4\% | 5.9\% | 9.4\% | 9.1\% | 11.3\% | 0.5\% | 4.5\% | 3.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 34.7\% |
| 1992 | 15.2\% | 1.1\% | 2.6\% | 10.6\% | 9.6\% | 7.2\% | 6.4\% | 0.3\% | 3.7\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 40.9\% |
| 1993 | 8.9\% | 7.1\% | 1.3\% | 6.5\% | 6.5\% | 18.1\% | 8.6\% | 1.3\% | 11.3\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 27.5\% |
| 1994 | 6.6\% | 12.8\% | 4.0\% | 9.7\% | 1.4\% | 12.5\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 6.3\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 38.5\% |
| 1995 | 8.6\% | 5.1\% | 0.0\% | 11.3\% | 0.0\% | 16.8\% | 9.6\% | 0.0\% | 6.5\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 40.1\% |
| 1996 | 7.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 19.8\% | 7.6\% | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 56.4\% |
| 1997 | 9.9\% | 5.8\% | 2.9\% | 4.3\% | 3.5\% | 2.3\% | 10.9\% | 0.8\% | 9.0\% | 1.4\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 44.4\% |
| 1998 | 14.7\% | 6.5\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 11.3\% | 0.0\% | 5.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 58.3\% |
| 1999 | 10.3\% | 7.1\% | 5.2\% | 1.4\% | 0.2\% | 1.7\% | 11.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 61.2\% |
| 2000 | 14.5\% | 3.7\% | 5.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 64.2\% |
| 2001 | 10.5\% | 2.8\% | 2.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.0\% |
| 2002 | 14.0\% | 7.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 13.5\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 61.0\% |
| (79-02) | 14.4\% | 6.2\% | 2.7\% | 6.5\% | 5.5\% | 10.6\% | 6.7\% | 0.4\% | 5.7\% | 4.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 36.9\% |
| (85-02) | 13.5\% | 6.7\% | 2.4\% | 4.9\% | 3.2\% | 8.4\% | 7.5\% | 0.3\% | 5.1\% | 3.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 44.4\% |

Table G.9. Percent distribution of Puntledge Chinook reported catch among fisheries and escapement.

| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska $\qquad$ <br> Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | CentralTroll | N/CBC $\qquad$ <br> Ne | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1979 | 1.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 3.2\% | 8.3\% | 6.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.9\% | 39.7\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 32.2\% |
| 1980 | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 2.0\% | 5.9\% | 4.4\% | 1.3\% | 4.9\% | 38.6\% | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 34.2\% |
| 1981 | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.4\% | 7.2\% | 3.6\% | 4.0\% | 0.0\% | 60.2\% | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 13.3\% |
| 1982 | 0.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 12.8\% | 5.5\% | 1.0\% | 1.6\% | 19.2\% | 14.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 41.7\% |
| 1983 | 1.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 7.5\% | 16.0\% | 5.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.4\% | 25.5\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 36.6\% |
| 1984 | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 5.9\% | 3.9\% | 1.2\% | 2.3\% | 26.6\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 54.3\% |
| 1985 | 10.9\% | 0.8\% | 2.3\% | 6.2\% | 1.6\% | 8.5\% | 6.2\% | 0.0\% | 33.3\% | 6.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 24.0\% |
| 1986 | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 2.8\% | 3.9\% | 10.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 43.3\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 25.3\% |
| 1987 | 2.7\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 12.1\% | 2.0\% | 6.7\% | 10.1\% | 0.0\% | 16.8\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 44.3\% |
| 1988 | 12.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 14.1\% | 0.0\% | 17.4\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 51.1\% |
| 1989 | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 45.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 51.5\% |
| 1990 | 9.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 11.8\% | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 9.4\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 57.6\% |
| 1991 | 5.2\% | 5.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.2\% | 7.8\% | 0.0\% | 23.5\% | 5.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 47.8\% |
| 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.0\% | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 37.2\% | 15.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 37.2\% |
| 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 10.5\% | 0.0\% | 44.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 38.2\% |
| 1994 | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 53.6\% | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 28.6\% |
| 1995 | 5.9\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 32.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 44.1\% |
| 1996 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 7.9\% | 0.0\% | 34.2\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 52.6\% |
| 1997 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.8\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 13.7\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 60.8\% |
| 1998 | 21.2\% | 6.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 57.6\% |
| 1999 | 9.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 9.1\% | 0.0\% | 12.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 67.9\% |
| 2000 | 1.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 25.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 71.1\% |
| 2001 | 8.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 1.2\% | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 77.6\% |
| 2002 | 5.7\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.5\% | 0.0\% | 5.1\% | 1.3\% | 7.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 67.5\% |
| (79-02) | 4.8\% | 0.8\% | 0.3\% | 2.3\% | 2.8\% | 5.2\% | 5.4\% | 0.7\% | 27.4\% | 3.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 46.5\% |
| (85-02) | 6.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.4\% | 1.8\% | 0.6\% | 5.2\% | 6.6\% | 0.2\% | 24.9\% | 2.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 50.3\% |

Table G.10. Percent distribution of Puntledge chinook total fishing mortalities among fisheries and escapement.

| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { N/CBC } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1979 | 2.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 4.4\% | 10.5\% | 6.5\% | 0.3\% | 1.2\% | 38.7\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 29.6\% |
| 1980 | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 2.3\% | 6.8\% | 4.6\% | 1.4\% | 5.7\% | 38.4\% | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 31.6\% |
| 1981 | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 8.8\% | 3.3\% | 4.0\% | 0.0\% | 58.9\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.1\% |
| 1982 | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 14.7\% | 5.8\% | 1.3\% | 1.9\% | 19.3\% | 15.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 38.1\% |
| 1983 | 2.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 8.2\% | 17.0\% | 5.1\% | 3.1\% | 2.6\% | 25.5\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 33.8\% |
| 1984 | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 6.6\% | 4.0\% | 1.5\% | 2.6\% | 27.6\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 51.1\% |
| 1985 | 14.2\% | 1.4\% | 3.4\% | 6.8\% | 1.4\% | 8.8\% | 6.8\% | 0.0\% | 31.1\% | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.9\% |
| 1986 | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 3.0\% | 4.5\% | 10.1\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 43.7\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 22.6\% |
| 1987 | 3.1\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 15.3\% | 2.5\% | 6.1\% | 10.4\% | 0.0\% | 16.6\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 40.5\% |
| 1988 | 11.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 15.8\% | 0.0\% | 19.8\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 46.5\% |
| 1989 | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 52.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 44.7\% |
| 1990 | 10.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 12.0\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 9.8\% | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 53.3\% |
| 1991 | 5.6\% | 14.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 8.4\% | 0.0\% | 24.5\% | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 38.5\% |
| 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.3\% | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 42.7\% | 13.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 33.3\% |
| 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.9\% | 10.6\% | 0.0\% | 49.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 34.1\% |
| 1994 | 9.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 56.3\% | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 25.0\% |
| 1995 | 5.1\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 35.9\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 38.5\% |
| 1996 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 11.4\% | 0.0\% | 38.6\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 45.5\% |
| 1997 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.2\% | 0.0\% | 8.5\% | 18.6\% | 0.0\% | 8.5\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 52.5\% |
| 1998 | 19.0\% | 16.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 19.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 45.2\% |
| 1999 | 9.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 12.6\% | 0.0\% | 14.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 61.2\% |
| 2000 | 2.2\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 29.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 65.5\% |
| $2001$ | $10.0 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $72.5 \%$ |
| 2002 | 6.5\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.4\% | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 13.9\% | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 52.7\% |
| (79-02) | 5.2\% | 1.7\% | 0.4\% | 2.7\% | 3.2\% | 5.1\% | 6.3\% | 0.8\% | 29.0\% | 3.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 41.2\% |
| (85-02) | 6.5\% | 2.2\% | 0.5\% | 2.1\% | 0.7\% | 5.2\% | 7.8\% | 0.2\% | 27.1\% | 3.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 44.1\% |

Table G.11. Percent distribution of Big Qualicum chinook reported catch among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | N/CBC <br> Sport | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1979 | 3.4\% | 0.9\% | 0.3\% | 1.7\% | 9.4\% | 4.1\% | 0.4\% | 2.2\% | 39.3\% | 8.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 29.8\% |
| 1980 | 1.4\% | 1.6\% | 0.4\% | 4.3\% | 6.6\% | 3.4\% | 1.3\% | 4.2\% | 39.2\% | 9.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 27.6\% |
| 1981 | 1.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 1.3\% | 11.5\% | 4.5\% | 0.8\% | 1.6\% | 54.7\% | 9.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | 12.3\% |
| 1982 | 4.5\% | 0.4\% | 1.2\% | 4.5\% | 5.8\% | 8.5\% | 0.4\% | 4.3\% | 25.6\% | 12.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.7\% | 30.9\% |
| 1983 | 5.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 4.9\% | 6.8\% | 4.5\% | 1.0\% | 1.1\% | 36.6\% | 14.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 23.7\% |
| 1984 | 1.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 6.6\% | 3.6\% | 5.8\% | 1.4\% | 52.3\% | 6.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.7\% |
| 1985 | 3.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 1.7\% | 3.7\% | 6.8\% | 1.7\% | 1.4\% | 35.6\% | 12.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 29.3\% |
| 1986 | 1.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 12.8\% | 8.3\% | 2.9\% | 1.4\% | 45.4\% | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.8\% |
| 1987 | 8.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 4.0\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.7\% | 4.2\% | 31.7\% | 5.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 35.8\% |
| 1988 | 2.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 1.3\% | 10.2\% | 1.3\% | 2.8\% | 32.1\% | 4.8\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 38.9\% |
| 1989 | 4.2\% | 1.6\% | 0.6\% | 3.2\% | 0.6\% | 1.0\% | 1.8\% | 4.8\% | 39.0\% | 8.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 34.0\% |
| 1990 | 4.8\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 6.0\% | 1.6\% | 6.7\% | 2.4\% | 3.0\% | 22.7\% | 11.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 37.5\% |
| 1991 | 2.4\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 1.1\% | 2.9\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% | 44.7\% | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 35.0\% |
| 1992 | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 5.4\% | 5.9\% | 1.6\% | 7.7\% | 3.4\% | 41.3\% | 3.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 25.5\% |
| 1993 | 1.2\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 3.9\% | 2.9\% | 3.2\% | 1.7\% | 45.0\% | 6.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 31.5\% |
| 1994 | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 1.6\% | 3.7\% | 2.0\% | 2.8\% | 34.6\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 43.9\% |
| 1995 | 7.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 7.0\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 21.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 60.5\% |
| 1996 | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 46.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 47.5\% |
| 1997 | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 1.5\% | 1.5\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 30.5\% | 0.5\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 51.5\% |
| 1998 | 7.6\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 21.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 64.1\% |
| 1999 | 6.0\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 12.3\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 67.7\% |
| 2000 | 14.2\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 11.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 66.5\% |
| 2001 | 4.0\% | 6.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.1\% | 0.6\% | 10.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 71.7\% |
| 2002 | 8.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.0\% | 1.9\% | 7.6\% | 0.3\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.8\% | 67.7\% |
| (79-02) | 4.5\% | 0.9\% | 0.4\% | 2.4\% | 3.6\% | 3.5\% | 2.7\% | 1.9\% | 32.5\% | 5.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 40.5\% |
| (85-02) | 5.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.4\% | 2.2\% | 2.2\% | 3.1\% | 3.1\% | 1.7\% | 29.6\% | 3.9\% | 0.7\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 0.3\% | 46.0\% |

Table G.12. Percent distribution of Big Qualicum chinook total fishing mortalities among fisheries and escapement.

| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska $\qquad$ <br> Net | Alaska Sport | NorthTroll | CentralTroll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { N/CBC } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Canada Net | Canada Sport Sport | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1979 | 4.3\% | 0.9\% | 0.4\% | 2.2\% | 11.7\% | 4.0\% | 0.4\% | 2.8\% | 38.0\% | 7.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 27.1\% |
| 1980 | 1.5\% | 1.7\% | 0.4\% | 5.0\% | 7.5\% | 3.4\% | 1.3\% | 5.0\% | 38.7\% | 9.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 25.5\% |
| 1981 | 2.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 1.6\% | 13.4\% | 4.5\% | 0.8\% | 1.9\% | 53.1\% | 9.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.6\% | 11.1\% |
| 1982 | 5.7\% | 0.5\% | 1.4\% | 4.9\% | 6.4\% | 8.4\% | 0.4\% | 4.9\% | 25.2\% | 11.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.6\% | 28.7\% |
| 1983 | 5.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 5.1\% | 7.2\% | 4.6\% | 1.2\% | 1.2\% | 37.4\% | 14.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 21.6\% |
| 1984 | 2.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 7.3\% | 3.6\% | 6.5\% | 1.6\% | 51.6\% | 6.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.7\% |
| 1985 | 6.8\% | 1.1\% | 0.9\% | 2.1\% | 4.3\% | 6.6\% | 2.1\% | 1.6\% | 34.0\% | 12.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 25.2\% |
| 1986 | 3.2\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 13.7\% | 7.8\% | 2.9\% | 1.4\% | 45.3\% | 7.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.7\% |
| 1987 | 10.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 4.3\% | 2.8\% | 2.5\% | 2.9\% | 4.8\% | 31.5\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 33.0\% |
| 1988 | 3.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 1.3\% | 10.0\% | 1.3\% | 3.3\% | 35.4\% | 4.3\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 33.3\% |
| 1989 | 4.5\% | 4.6\% | 0.8\% | 3.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.8\% | 1.8\% | 5.1\% | 41.1\% | 7.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 28.4\% |
| 1990 | 5.1\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.0\% | 1.8\% | 6.5\% | 2.6\% | 3.2\% | 24.3\% | 10.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 31.9\% |
| 1991 | 3.1\% | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 1.3\% | 2.7\% | 1.9\% | 2.1\% | 47.8\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 29.1\% |
| 1992 | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 6.1\% | 6.2\% | 1.5\% | 7.5\% | 3.6\% | 43.8\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 21.0\% |
| 1993 | 1.6\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 4.7\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% | 1.8\% | 48.4\% | 6.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 26.1\% |
| 1994 | 5.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 1.8\% | 3.3\% | 1.8\% | 2.9\% | 38.2\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 39.7\% |
| 1995 | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 8.7\% | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 22.6\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 52.6\% |
| 1996 | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 1.5\% | 0.3\% | 51.8\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 40.0\% |
| 1997 | 3.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.7\% | 1.7\% | 1.7\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 31.9\% | 3.1\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 45.0\% |
| 1998 | 8.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.0\% | 0.0\% | 23.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 58.0\% |
| 1999 | 6.8\% | 6.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 13.6\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 60.2\% |
| 2000 | 16.6\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 4.1\% | 0.0\% | 12.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 60.2\% |
| 2001 | 4.5\% | 16.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.1\% | 0.5\% | 10.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 60.7\% |
| 2002 | 9.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.5\% | 1.7\% | 8.7\% | 4.1\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.7\% | 59.9\% |
| (79-02) | 5.4\% | 2.1\% | 0.5\% | 2.8\% | 4.0\% | 3.5\% | 3.1\% | 2.1\% | 33.7\% | 5.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 35.6\% |
| (85-02) | 5.9\% | 2.6\% | 0.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.4\% | 3.1\% | 3.6\% | 1.8\% | 31.4\% | 4.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.1\% | 1.0\% | 0.3\% | 40.0\% |

Table G.13. Percent distribution of Chilliwack chinook reported catch among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch | Alaska | Alaska | Alaska | North | Central | N/CBC | N/CBC | WCVI | GeoSt | Canada | Canada | U.S. | U.S. | U.S. |  |
| Year | Troll | Net | Sport | Troll | Troll | Net | Sport | Troll | Tr\&Sp | Net | Sport | Troll | Net | Sport | Escapement |
| 1985 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 2.3\% | 0.8\% | 0.2\% | 34.2\% | 28.6\% | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 4.1\% | 3.6\% | 15.3\% |
| 1986 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 2.5\% | 1.5\% | 0.2\% | 19.0\% | 27.6\% | 12.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.9\% | 5.8\% | 24.0\% |
| 1987 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 16.0\% | 34.9\% | 2.2\% | 0.5\% | 3.7\% | 3.9\% | 2.7\% | 34.3\% |
| 1988 | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 17.0\% | 18.8\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 2.9\% | 1.7\% | 52.7\% |
| 1989 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 17.8\% | 15.7\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 4.8\% | 3.4\% | 1.2\% | 53.0\% |
| 1990 | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.4\% | 0.3\% | 8.7\% | 14.2\% | 4.0\% | 2.2\% | 5.8\% | 11.4\% | 5.1\% | 46.0\% |
| 1991 | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 1.0\% | 0.2\% | 18.9\% | 22.6\% | 4.3\% | 0.8\% | 13.8\% | 5.4\% | 4.8\% | 27.3\% |
| 1992 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 19.3\% | 17.2\% | 1.0\% | 0.1\% | 8.8\% | 0.9\% | 3.6\% | 47.3\% |
| 1993 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 14.8\% | 18.2\% | 1.8\% | 0.5\% | 8.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 54.0\% |
| 1994 | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 5.7\% | 11.9\% | 3.9\% | 2.1\% | 1.4\% | 3.1\% | 3.3\% | 65.9\% |
| 1995 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 8.5\% | 6.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.4\% | 1.1\% | 1.1\% | 1.6\% | 79.5\% |
| 1996 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.3\% | 1.2\% | 0.6\% | 4.6\% | 1.0\% | 2.8\% | 72.1\% |
| 1997 | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 10.0\% | 15.1\% | 1.6\% | 2.0\% | 4.9\% | 2.4\% | 3.3\% | 58.4\% |
| 1998 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 3.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 2.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 91.4\% |
| 1999 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 10.1\% | 0.5\% | 1.8\% | 11.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 73.9\% |
| 2000 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 4.8\% | 5.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 3.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 82.8\% |
| 2001 | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 3.2\% | 8.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 5.5\% | 0.9\% | 2.5\% | 77.5\% |
| 2002 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 8.5\% | 7.7\% | 0.2\% | 2.9\% | 7.2\% | 0.3\% | 2.5\% | 70.3\% |
| (85-02) | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 11.5\% | 15.7\% | 2.5\% | 1.0\% | 5.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.6\% | 57.0\% |
| (85-02) | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 11.5\% | 15.7\% | 2.5\% | 1.0\% | 5.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.6\% | 57.0\% |

Table G.14. Percent distribution of Chilliwack chinook total fishing mortalities among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch | Alaska | Alaska | Alaska | North | Central | N/CBC | N/CBC | WCVI | GeoSt | Canada | Canada | U.S. | U.S. | U.S. |  |
| Year | Troll | Net | Sport | Troll | Troll | Net | Sport | Troll | Tr\&Sp | Net | Sport | Troll | Net | Sport |  |
| 1985 | 1.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 2.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.2\% | 34.0\% | 28.6\% | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 4.9\% | 4.5\% | 13.7\% |
| 1986 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 2.6\% | 1.5\% | 0.2\% | 20.2\% | 28.0\% | 11.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 5.0\% | 7.0\% | 20.7\% |
| 1987 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 18.7\% | 35.4\% | 2.0\% | 0.5\% | 3.9\% | 3.9\% | 2.9\% | 30.7\% |
| 1988 | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 17.7\% | 19.5\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 4.0\% | 2.6\% | 49.1\% |
| 1989 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 22.4\% | 19.4\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 3.4\% | 1.5\% | 44.0\% |
| 1990 | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.3\% | 0.3\% | 10.8\% | 15.2\% | 3.5\% | 2.1\% | 6.3\% | 16.1\% | 6.6\% | 36.8\% |
| 1991 | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.9\% | 0.2\% | 20.5\% | 24.9\% | 3.8\% | 0.7\% | 14.1\% | 6.2\% | 5.4\% | 22.3\% |
| 1992 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 21.5\% | 19.4\% | 0.9\% | 0.2\% | 9.3\% | 1.0\% | 3.8\% | 42.2\% |
| 1993 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 16.5\% | 21.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.4\% | 9.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 49.0\% |
| 1994 | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 7.2\% | 13.4\% | 4.6\% | 2.4\% | 1.4\% | 4.8\% | 4.7\% | 58.3\% |
| 1995 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.2\% | 12.8\% | 7.3\% | 0.9\% | 0.4\% | 1.1\% | 1.5\% | 2.4\% | 72.6\% |
| 1996 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 18.8\% | 1.3\% | 0.6\% | 4.5\% | 1.3\% | 4.9\% | 64.8\% |
| 1997 | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.8\% | 12.5\% | 16.8\% | 1.8\% | 1.9\% | 5.5\% | 2.5\% | 3.9\% | 52.2\% |
| 1998 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 4.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 3.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.9\% | 89.6\% |
| 1999 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 12.0\% | 0.5\% | 1.9\% | 13.4\% | 0.7\% | 1.0\% | 69.7\% |
| 2000 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 5.2\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 4.3\% | 0.7\% | 1.0\% | 79.5\% |
| 2001 | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 3.3\% | 9.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 6.4\% | 1.2\% | 5.0\% | 71.9\% |
| 2002 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 8.7\% | 8.9\% | 0.2\% | 3.4\% | 8.3\% | 0.4\% | 3.2\% | 66.3\% |
| (85-02) | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 13.0\% | 17.2\% | 2.4\% | 1.0\% | 6.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.5\% | 51.9\% |
| (85-02) | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 13.0\% | 17.2\% | 2.4\% | 1.0\% | 6.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.5\% | 51.9\% |

Table G.15. Percent distribution of Cowichan chinook reported catch among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | N/CBC <br> Sport | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \end{gathered}$ | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | Escapement |
| 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 4.7\% | 0.3\% | 1.3\% | 52.7\% | 13.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 3.2\% | 2.2\% | 20.4\% |
| 1991 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 1.0\% | 2.3\% | 40.6\% | 3.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 2.6\% | 0.6\% | 47.3\% |
| 1992 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.9\% | 1.0\% | 0.8\% | 8.5\% | 56.1\% | 3.8\% | 1.2\% | 0.2\% | 1.2\% | 1.1\% | 24.6\% |
| 1993 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 1.3\% | 6.8\% | 52.4\% | 3.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 32.1\% |
| 1994 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 33.9\% | 5.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.3\% | 3.3\% | 0.4\% | 48.8\% |
| 1995 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 28.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.7\% | 62.8\% |
| 1996 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 33.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 2.8\% | 61.4\% |
| 1997 | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 2.8\% | 25.9\% | 0.2\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 3.0\% | 61.5\% |
| 1998 | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.6\% | 31.7\% | 0.3\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 56.9\% |
| 1999 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 42.4\% | 1.3\% | 4.5\% | 1.1\% | 7.4\% | 0.8\% | 41.6\% |
| 2000 | 1.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 21.9\% | 0.0\% | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 4.8\% | 1.3\% | 63.1\% |
| 2001 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 11.0\% | 22.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 12.9\% | 0.9\% | 51.4\% |
| 2002 | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.8\% | 25.7\% | 0.1\% | 1.5\% | 0.7\% | 2.8\% | 3.4\% | 58.4\% |
| (90-02) | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.7\% | 3.5\% | 36.0\% | 2.5\% | 1.5\% | 0.3\% | 3.7\% | 1.4\% | 48.5\% |
| (90-02) | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.7\% | 3.5\% | 36.0\% | 2.5\% | 1.5\% | 0.3\% | 3.7\% | 1.4\% | 48.5\% |

Table G.16. Percent distribution of Cowichan chinook total fishing mortalities among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC <br> Net | N/CBC <br> Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ | Escapement |
| 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.4\% | 3.6\% | 0.7\% | 2.8\% | 59.1\% | 10.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 4.6\% | 2.5\% | 14.2\% |
| 1991 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 1.1\% | 3.3\% | 47.2\% | 3.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 2.9\% | 0.6\% | 39.4\% |
| 1992 | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 1.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.8\% | 8.9\% | 60.8\% | 3.3\% | 1.1\% | 0.2\% | 1.3\% | 1.2\% | 20.0\% |
| 1993 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 1.2\% | 7.4\% | 57.2\% | 2.7\% | 1.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 27.2\% |
| 1994 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 38.9\% | 5.8\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 4.1\% | 0.6\% | 42.3\% |
| 1995 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 32.8\% | 1.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.9\% | 55.3\% |
| 1996 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 38.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 3.7\% | 54.6\% |
| 1997 | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.7\% | 3.6\% | 29.9\% | 1.1\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 3.4\% | 54.3\% |
| 1998 | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.5\% | 36.1\% | 0.3\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 50.8\% |
| 1999 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 46.8\% | 1.1\% | 4.4\% | 1.1\% | 9.7\% | 0.7\% | 34.9\% |
| 2000 | 1.7\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 25.8\% | 0.0\% | 6.4\% | 0.0\% | 6.1\% | 2.7\% | 55.4\% |
| 2001 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 10.5\% | 25.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 16.1\% | 2.9\% | 43.8\% |
| 2002 | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.4\% | 31.3\% | 0.1\% | 1.5\% | 0.7\% | 2.8\% | 4.4\% | 51.5\% |
| (90-02) | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 3.9\% | 40.7\% | 2.3\% | 1.6\% | 0.3\% | 4.6\% | 1.9\% | 41.8\% |
| (90-02) | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 3.9\% | 40.7\% | 2.3\% | 1.6\% | 0.3\% | 4.6\% | 1.9\% | 41.8\% |

Table G.17. Percent distribution of Samish Fall Fingerling chinook reported catch among fisheries and escapement.

| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Alaska } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Alaska Sport | North Troll | CentralTroll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1989 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 6.8\% | 17.2\% | 3.5\% | 1.9\% | 7.4\% | 36.2\% | 9.7\% | 16.5\% |
| 1990 | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 18.5\% | 12.9\% | 1.3\% | 2.0\% | 9.0\% | 30.5\% | 7.4\% | 15.4\% |
| 1991 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 13.4\% | 11.3\% | 2.6\% | 3.2\% | 8.9\% | 23.0\% | 10.8\% | 26.4\% |
| 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 11.4\% | 14.6\% | 2.1\% | 0.9\% | 10.2\% | 15.6\% | 17.2\% | 27.4\% |
| 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 12.3\% | 19.0\% | 2.3\% | 8.5\% | 3.9\% | 16.5\% | 12.7\% | 23.6\% |
| 1994 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 11.8\% | 13.8\% | 1.9\% | 5.4\% | 2.2\% | 38.5\% | 3.9\% | 21.2\% |
| 1995 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 5.8\% | 5.1\% | 0.3\% | 3.4\% | 3.4\% | 27.2\% | 15.0\% | 38.8\% |
| 1996 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 1.9\% | 33.9\% | 24.1\% | 28.1\% |
| 1997 | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 0.3\% | 2.0\% | 8.2\% | 0.1\% | 1.8\% | 0.9\% | 34.5\% | 9.8\% | 40.0\% |
| 1998 | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 10.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.7\% | 44.2\% | 4.1\% | 33.3\% |
| 1999 | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 1.6\% | 11.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.2\% | 1.6\% | 38.6\% | 3.7\% | 25.2\% |
| 2000 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.4\% | 6.1\% | 0.0\% | 9.5\% | 0.4\% | 38.0\% | 1.5\% | 33.1\% |
| 2001 | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 4.6\% | 8.1\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 2.3\% | 38.9\% | 3.9\% | 34.8\% |
| 2002 | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.9\% | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 2.9\% | 37.8\% | 5.2\% | 32.3\% |
| (89-02) | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 7.9\% | 11.2\% | 1.0\% | 4.3\% | 4.0\% | 32.4\% | 9.2\% | 28.3\% |
| (89-02) | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 7.9\% | 11.2\% | 1.0\% | 4.3\% | 4.0\% | 32.4\% | 9.2\% | 28.3\% |

Table G.18. Percent distribution of Samish Fall Fingerling chinook total fishing mortalities among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | N/CBC Sport | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | U.S. <br> Net | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1989 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 9.1\% | 18.4\% | 3.1\% | 1.8\% | 8.0\% | 33.3\% | 11.0\% | 14.3\% |
| 1990 | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 19.9\% | 13.5\% | 1.3\% | 2.0\% | 9.3\% | 28.7\% | 8.2\% | 14.2\% |
| 1991 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 14.6\% | 12.2\% | 2.5\% | 3.2\% | 9.4\% | 21.6\% | 12.0\% | 24.1\% |
| 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | 11.6\% | 15.4\% | 1.8\% | 0.8\% | 10.0\% | 14.2\% | 23.7\% | 21.9\% |
| 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 14.0\% | 21.7\% | 2.0\% | 8.0\% | 4.1\% | 15.3\% | 13.6\% | 20.1\% |
| 1994 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 13.1\% | 15.1\% | 1.9\% | 5.5\% | 2.1\% | 37.0\% | 4.6\% | 19.3\% |
| 1995 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 7.3\% | 5.3\% | 0.7\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 24.3\% | 22.6\% | 32.3\% |
| 1996 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 11.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.7\% | 1.7\% | 32.6\% | 29.1\% | 22.9\% |
| 1997 | 0.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.8\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 2.5\% | 9.3\% | 0.4\% | 1.7\% | 1.1\% | 33.6\% | 11.7\% | 36.5\% |
| 1998 | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 11.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.8\% | 43.2\% | 5.5\% | 31.6\% |
| 1999 | 4.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 1.5\% | 12.4\% | 0.0\% | 10.5\% | 1.8\% | 36.4\% | 5.8\% | 22.5\% |
| 2000 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.7\% | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 8.8\% | 0.3\% | 38.1\% | 11.5\% | 25.7\% |
| 2001 | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 4.3\% | 9.5\% | 0.0\% | 7.0\% | 2.6\% | 37.5\% | 7.1\% | 30.7\% |
| 2002 | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.6\% | 9.5\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 3.0\% | 36.0\% | 7.0\% | 29.9\% |
| (89-02) | 0.9\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 8.5\% | 12.2\% | 1.0\% | 4.3\% | 4.1\% | 30.8\% | 12.4\% | 24.7\% |
| (89-02) | 0.9\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 8.5\% | 12.2\% | 1.0\% | 4.3\% | 4.1\% | 30.8\% | 12.4\% | 24.7\% |

Table G.19. Percent distribution of Squaxin Pens Fall Yearling chinook reported catch among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska $\qquad$ $\mathrm{Net}$ | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{array}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | U.S. <br> Net | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.7\% | 1.2\% | 0.6\% | 4.0\% | 32.7\% | 54.9\% | 2.5\% |
| 1991 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 1.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 8.8\% | 32.8\% | 48.5\% | 3.5\% |
| 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 2.3\% | 3.4\% | 1.2\% | 0.8\% | 7.1\% | 22.3\% | 57.1\% | 4.7\% |
| 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 9.7\% | 5.4\% | 1.4\% | 2.3\% | 13.5\% | 3.4\% | 49.9\% | 13.5\% |
| 1994 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 24.7\% | 5.9\% | 3.5\% | 4.7\% | 6.5\% | 22.4\% | 10.6\% | 21.8\% |
| 1995 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 47.5\% | 30.5\% | 22.0\% |
| 1996 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 4.7\% | 89.8\% | 2.5\% |
| 1997 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 8.0\% | 85.2\% | 0.6\% |
| 1998 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% | 91.3\% | 2.9\% |
| 1999 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 62.5\% | 25.0\% |
| (90-99) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 6.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.8\% | 0.8\% | 4.7\% | 17.7\% | 58.0\% | 9.9\% |
| (90-99) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 6.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.8\% | 0.8\% | 4.7\% | 17.7\% | 58.0\% | 9.9\% |

1. No data are shown for 2000-2002 because of lack of coded-wire tagging of broods from 1998-2000.
2. Values represent estimates of catch distribution only.

Table G.20. Percent distribution of Squaxin Pens Fall Yearling chinook total fishing mortalities among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Catch }^{1} \\ & \text { Year } \end{aligned}$ | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC <br> Net | N/CBC Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \end{array}$ | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.8\% | 1.0\% | 0.6\% | 4.1\% | 31.6\% | 56.7\% | 2.0\% |
| 1991 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 1.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 8.9\% | 30.9\% | 50.8\% | 3.0\% |
| 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 2.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.6\% | 6.0\% | 22.1\% | 61.2\% | 3.5\% |
| 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 5.9\% | 1.3\% | 2.0\% | 13.0\% | 3.6\% | 50.9\% | 12.0\% |
| 1994 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 23.3\% | 5.8\% | 4.2\% | 4.8\% | 6.3\% | 20.6\% | 15.3\% | 19.6\% |
| 1995 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 22.4\% | 71.1\% | 5.7\% |
| 1996 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 5.2\% | 90.0\% | 2.0\% |
| 1997 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 6.4\% | 88.0\% | 0.4\% |
| 1998 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 2.3\% | 93.0\% | 2.3\% |
| 1999 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 1.0\% | 0.5\% | 93.5\% | 2.0\% |
| (90-99) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 2.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.8\% | 4.5\% | 14.6\% | 67.0\% | 5.3\% |
| (90-99) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 2.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.8\% | 4.5\% | 14.6\% | 67.0\% | 5.3\% |

1. No data are shown for 2000-2002 because of lack of coded-wire tagging of broods from 1998-2000.
2. Values represent estimates of catch distribution only.

Table G.21. Percent distribution of Stillaguamish Fall Fingerling chinook reported catch among fisheries and escapement (NA=not available).

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch ${ }^{1}$ <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC Net | N/CBC <br> Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \end{array}$ | Canada Net | Canada Sport | U.S. <br> Troll | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | U.S. <br> Sport | Escapement |
| 1984 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 19.3\% | 2.4\% | 3.6\% | 7.2\% | 15.7\% | 24.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.8\% | 19.3\% | NA ${ }^{2}$ |
| 1985 | 7.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 30.2\% | 10.4\% | 11.5\% | 9.4\% | 0.0\% | 9.4\% | 13.5\% | NA ${ }^{2}$ |
| 1986 | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 30.2\% | 18.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.6\% | 19.8\% | 7.3\% |
| 1990 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 6.2\% | 4.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.2\% | 7.5\% | 4.2\% | 4.9\% | 4.2\% | 7.1\% | 10.4\% | 34.3\% |
| 1991 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 5.9\% | 4.4\% | 0.9\% | 2.6\% | 5.1\% | 6.9\% | 7.9\% | 65.5\% |
| 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 17.0\% | 5.1\% | 2.5\% | 4.0\% | 5.7\% | 11.9\% | 28.1\% | 23.0\% |
| 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.4\% | 1.0\% | 1.4\% | 11.5\% | 8.7\% | 1.4\% | 9.6\% | 5.4\% | 1.5\% | 22.5\% | 36.1\% |
| 1994 | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 7.8\% | 0.9\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 5.8\% | 66.7\% |
| 1995 | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 4.1\% | 1.0\% | 9.6\% | 1.0\% | 2.3\% | 13.7\% | 53.6\% |
| 1996 | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 6.3\% | 0.6\% | 7.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 19.4\% | 55.2\% |
| 1997 | 8.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 1.0\% | 6.5\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 14.3\% | 56.7\% |
| 1998 | 12.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.3\% | 2.2\% | 0.1\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% | 73.3\% |
| 1999 | 0.9\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.4\% | 1.5\% | 7.9\% | 0.0\% | 10.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 3.5\% | 72.0\% |
| 2000 | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 1.6\% | 82.8\% |
| 2001 | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.2\% | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 0.3\% | 1.4\% | 10.5\% | 69.9\% |
| (84-01) | 3.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.7\% | 2.6\% | 0.6\% | 9.9\% | 7.4\% | 3.2\% | 5.2\% | 1.5\% | 4.6\% | 12.9\% | 46.4\% |
| (85-01) | 3.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 2.7\% | 0.4\% | 10.1\% | 6.8\% | 1.7\% | 5.5\% | 1.6\% | 4.6\% | 12.4\% | 49.7\% |

Table G.22. Percent distribution of Stillaguamish Fall Fingerling chinook total fishing mortalities among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Catch }^{1} \\ & \text { Year } \end{aligned}$ | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | N/CBC <br> Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1984 | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 16.8\% | 1.9\% | 2.8\% | 10.3\% | 13.1\% | 19.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 26.2\% | NA ${ }^{2}$ |
| 1985 | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 31.3\% | 8.9\% | 9.8\% | 8.9\% | 0.0\% | 8.0\% | 17.9\% | NA ${ }^{2}$ |
| 1986 | 5.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 29.5\% | 19.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.3\% | 21.0\% | 6.7\% |
| 1990 | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 6.1\% | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 17.0\% | 8.4\% | 3.8\% | 4.8\% | 5.1\% | 7.4\% | 13.0\% | 29.5\% |
| 1991 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 6.8\% | 5.1\% | 1.0\% | 2.6\% | 5.9\% | 6.9\% | 10.0\% | 60.9\% |
| 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 16.6\% | 4.9\% | 2.0\% | 3.4\% | 5.3\% | 10.4\% | 38.7\% | 16.1\% |
| 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 1.0\% | 1.3\% | 13.8\% | 10.0\% | 1.3\% | 9.1\% | 5.9\% | 1.4\% | 23.5\% | 31.3\% |
| 1994 | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 7.3\% | 8.6\% | 1.0\% | 5.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 7.1\% | 63.1\% |
| 1995 | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 4.4\% | 1.8\% | 8.9\% | 0.8\% | 2.2\% | 24.2\% | 41.0\% |
| 1996 | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.2\% | 2.1\% | 1.1\% | 6.7\% | 0.6\% | 7.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 26.0\% | 45.5\% |
| 1997 | 9.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 1.2\% | 7.2\% | 4.7\% | 0.3\% | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 17.2\% | 51.4\% |
| 1998 | 14.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.5\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 1.2\% | 2.4\% | 0.1\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 4.0\% | 68.1\% |
| 1999 | 1.0\% | 9.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.4\% | 1.4\% | 8.5\% | 0.0\% | 10.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 4.8\% | 63.5\% |
| 2000 | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 2.6\% | 80.5\% |
| 2001 | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.2\% | 5.5\% | 0.0\% | 5.2\% | 0.3\% | 1.3\% | 15.9\% | 64.7\% |
| (84-01) | 3.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 1.6\% | 2.6\% | 0.6\% | 10.6\% | 7.5\% | 2.8\% | 5.0\% | 1.6\% | 4.3\% | 16.8\% | 41.5\% |
| (85-01) | 3.7\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 2.7\% | 0.5\% | 10.6\% | 7.1\% | 1.6\% | 5.4\% | 1.7\% | 4.2\% | 16.1\% | 44.4\% |

1. No data are shown for 2002 because of lack of coded-wire tagging of broods from 1999-2000.
2. Values represent estimates of catch distribution only for this year.

Table G.23. Percent distribution of George Adams Fall Fingerling chinook among fisheries reported catch and escapement.

| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North <br> Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Central } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Canada Net | Canada Sport | U.S. <br> Troll | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | U.S. Sport |  |
| 1982 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 20.8\% | 4.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 38.1\% | 10.7\% | 21.9\% |
| 1983 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 15.7\% | 3.5\% | 4.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 29.8\% | 25.8\% | 17.2\% |
| 1984 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 3.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.4\% | 18.1\% | 5.7\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 31.3\% | 20.6\% | 15.9\% |
| 1989 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 8.5\% | 3.8\% | 4.6\% | 1.7\% | 12.9\% | 38.6\% | 17.2\% | 12.2\% |
| 1990 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 19.3\% | 4.7\% | 1.0\% | 5.0\% | 15.0\% | 28.4\% | 18.4\% | 6.8\% |
| 1991 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.4\% | 2.2\% | 0.4\% | 4.5\% | 8.6\% | 33.3\% | 18.0\% | 14.4\% |
| 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 15.6\% | 2.1\% | 5.2\% | 0.0\% | 20.3\% | 9.4\% | 39.6\% | 7.3\% |
| 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 33.9\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 8.7\% | 4.3\% | 22.6\% | 18.3\% |
| 1994 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.0\% | 7.0\% | 72.1\% |
| 1995 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.9\% | 3.9\% | 0.5\% | 3.9\% | 1.0\% | 4.4\% | 18.7\% | 57.6\% |
| 1996 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.6\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 13.8\% | 60.6\% |
| 1997 | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 3.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.4\% | 3.0\% | 0.8\% | 18.8\% | 66.5\% |
| 1998 | 0.7\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 1.8\% | 1.8\% | 7.4\% | 86.2\% |
| 1999 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 9.0\% | 5.0\% | 2.9\% | 10.9\% | 68.4\% |
| 2000 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 20.7\% | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 11.5\% | 3.7\% | 0.4\% | 9.8\% | 49.3\% |
| 2001 | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 12.2\% | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 6.7\% | 4.9\% | 10.6\% | 58.4\% |
| 2002 | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.4\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 7.0\% | 4.3\% | 11.0\% | 15.5\% | 46.5\% |
| (82-02) | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 12.2\% | 4.1\% | 1.0\% | 3.6\% | 6.0\% | 14.9\% | 16.8\% | 40.0\% |
| (85-02) | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 10.9\% | 4.0\% | 0.9\% | 4.3\% | 6.9\% | 11.0\% | 16.3\% | 44.6\% |

Table G.24. Percent distribution of George Adams Fall Fingerling chinook total fishing among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC <br> Net | N/CBC <br> Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1982 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 21.6\% | 4.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 36.7\% | 12.8\% | 20.3\% |
| 1983 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 12.6\% | 2.4\% | 3.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 25.7\% | 42.4\% | 11.0\% |
| 1984 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 3.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 18.2\% | 5.6\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 30.6\% | 22.5\% | 14.6\% |
| 1989 | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 10.2\% | 3.9\% | 4.0\% | 1.8\% | 13.1\% | 35.6\% | 19.9\% | 10.3\% |
| 1990 | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 21.2\% | 4.9\% | 1.0\% | 4.7\% | 15.5\% | 25.9\% | 18.9\% | 5.9\% |
| 1991 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 19.4\% | 2.3\% | 0.4\% | 4.5\% | 8.7\% | 31.6\% | 19.7\% | 13.3\% |
| 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 16.6\% | 1.8\% | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 20.3\% | 8.3\% | 41.5\% | 6.5\% |
| 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 33.6\% | 5.1\% | 0.0\% | 7.3\% | 8.0\% | 4.4\% | 26.3\% | 15.3\% |
| 1994 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.3\% | 10.2\% | 63.3\% |
| 1995 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 9.7\% | 4.3\% | 1.2\% | 3.9\% | 0.8\% | 4.3\% | 28.3\% | 45.3\% |
| 1996 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 14.3\% | 0.0\% | 4.6\% | 5.7\% | 0.0\% | 15.9\% | 55.5\% |
| 1997 | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 3.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.3\% | 3.0\% | 0.8\% | 24.2\% | 60.6\% |
| 1998 | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.7\% | 2.1\% | 26.7\% | 66.0\% |
| 1999 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 9.2\% | 5.8\% | 2.8\% | 14.3\% | 63.5\% |
| 2000 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 19.8\% | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 12.2\% | 3.7\% | 0.3\% | 16.5\% | 43.0\% |
| 2001 | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 7.2\% | 4.9\% | 17.2\% | 50.9\% |
| 2002 | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.1\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 4.6\% | 10.7\% | 17.9\% | 42.5\% |
| (82-02) | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 12.5\% | 4.5\% | 1.0\% | 3.6\% | 6.1\% | 14.2\% | 22.1\% | 34.6\% |
| (85-02) | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 11.4\% | 4.5\% | 0.9\% | 4.4\% | 7.0\% | 10.6\% | 21.2\% | 38.7\% |

Table G.25. Percent distribution of Nisqually Fall Fingerling chinook reported catch among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska $\mathrm{Net}$ $\qquad$ | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
| 1983 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.4\% | 12.4\% | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 10.9\% | 45.8\% | 1.5\% |
| 1984 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 28.8\% | 1.5\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 37.9\% | 21.7\% | 6.1\% |
| 1985 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 30.3\% | 0.0\% | 6.1\% | 3.0\% | 7.6\% | 31.8\% | 16.7\% | 4.5\% |
| 1986 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.7\% | 13.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 35.7\% | 14.8\% | 19.1\% |
| 1987 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 13.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 5.3\% | 35.3\% | 18.7\% | 12.7\% |
| 1988 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 2.2\% | 0.7\% | 2.2\% | 5.4\% | 17.7\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 8.7\% | 17.3\% | 10.5\% | 30.0\% |
| 1989 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | 2.5\% | 3.6\% | 6.3\% | 13.3\% | 42.6\% | 18.3\% | 8.0\% |
| 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 22.5\% | 3.1\% | 0.2\% | 5.8\% | 10.2\% | 37.7\% | 12.2\% | 8.2\% |
| 1991 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.2\% | 3.3\% | 2.5\% | 2.1\% | 16.5\% | 23.0\% | 24.3\% | 18.1\% |
| 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.8\% | 7.6\% | 2.9\% | 2.6\% | 4.2\% | 7.6\% | 18.2\% | 16.7\% | 39.3\% |
| 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.4\% | 3.9\% | 2.2\% | 1.8\% | 2.9\% | 22.4\% | 19.2\% | 34.3\% |
| 1994 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 2.4\% | 2.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.8\% | 22.0\% | 21.2\% | 46.2\% |
| 1995 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 5.4\% | 1.7\% | 0.1\% | 3.1\% | 2.7\% | 32.4\% | 24.4\% | 29.7\% |
| 1996 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 1.7\% | 42.0\% | 21.3\% | 29.4\% |
| 1997 | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 2.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 0.8\% | 18.9\% | 24.4\% | 47.0\% |
| 1998 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 36.4\% | 12.0\% | 47.9\% |
| 1999 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 2.8\% | 43.8\% | 19.6\% | 27.7\% |
| 2000 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.8\% | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 6.2\% | 1.9\% | 38.8\% | 19.5\% | 15.2\% |
| 2001 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 4.1\% | 29.7\% | 14.6\% | 42.6\% |
| 2002 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 3.4\% | 42.7\% | 11.0\% | 32.8\% |
| (83-02) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 10.0\% | 4.6\% | 1.8\% | 2.4\% | 4.8\% | 31.0\% | 19.3\% | 25.0\% |
| (85-02) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 8.6\% | 4.4\% | 1.5\% | 2.7\% | 5.0\% | 31.7\% | 17.7\% | 27.4\% |

Table G.26. Percent distribution of Nisqually Fall Fingerling chinook total fishing mortalities among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | N/CBC Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
| 1983 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.0\% | 8.8\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 9.2\% | 56.5\% | 1.0\% |
| 1984 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 28.8\% | 1.3\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 35.2\% | 25.4\% | 5.1\% |
| 1985 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 28.6\% | 0.0\% | 4.8\% | 3.6\% | 7.1\% | 31.0\% | 21.4\% | 3.6\% |
| 1986 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.4\% | 12.5\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 32.8\% | 19.5\% | 17.2\% |
| 1987 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 14.4\% | 11.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 5.9\% | 29.9\% | 23.5\% | 10.2\% |
| 1988 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 2.1\% | 0.8\% | 2.6\% | 5.8\% | 18.6\% | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 8.1\% | 16.0\% | 19.7\% | 21.8\% |
| 1989 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 5.4\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 6.0\% | 14.6\% | 40.4\% | 19.1\% | 7.2\% |
| 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 23.4\% | 3.2\% | 0.1\% | 5.9\% | 10.4\% | 35.6\% | 13.6\% | 7.6\% |
| 1991 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.1\% | 3.6\% | 2.2\% | 1.8\% | 17.2\% | 21.2\% | 26.6\% | 16.1\% |
| 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.0\% | 7.2\% | 2.9\% | 1.9\% | 3.7\% | 7.0\% | 18.4\% | 28.5\% | 29.3\% |
| 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 14.7\% | 4.5\% | 2.0\% | 1.7\% | 3.2\% | 21.6\% | 21.8\% | 29.6\% |
| 1994 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 2.3\% | 2.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 17.8\% | 39.9\% | 32.3\% |
| 1995 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 8.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.3\% | 3.0\% | 2.4\% | 30.3\% | 27.7\% | 25.8\% |
| 1996 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.6\% | 38.9\% | 26.3\% | 26.4\% |
| 1997 | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.8\% | 2.8\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 4.3\% | 0.8\% | 17.4\% | 31.9\% | 40.2\% |
| 1998 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 31.6\% | 26.2\% | 38.4\% |
| 1999 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.1\% | 41.8\% | 24.1\% | 24.6\% |
| 2000 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.6\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 5.8\% | 1.7\% | 31.4\% | 33.7\% | 11.5\% |
| 2001 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 4.3\% | 26.5\% | 25.1\% | 35.2\% |
| 2002 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 3.8\% | 41.5\% | 14.4\% | 30.1\% |
| (83-02) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 10.4\% | 4.5\% | 1.5\% | 2.3\% | 4.9\% | 28.4\% | 26.2\% | 20.6\% |
| (85-02) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 9.1\% | 4.5\% | 1.3\% | 2.6\% | 5.1\% | 29.1\% | 24.6\% | 22.6\% |

Table G.27. Percent distribution of South Puget Sound Fall Fingerling chinook reported catch among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC <br> Net | N/CBC Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Sport } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1982 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 23.0\% | 13.8\% | 1.6\% | 0.1\% | 2.8\% | 24.8\% | 21.3\% | 10.9\% |
| 1983 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 17.3\% | 4.6\% | 2.6\% | 0.3\% | 1.6\% | 27.4\% | 28.6\% | 14.3\% |
| 1984 | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 20.5\% | 8.5\% | 1.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.4\% | 24.6\% | 22.5\% | 18.5\% |
| 1985 | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 18.7\% | 6.3\% | 1.6\% | 0.8\% | 1.9\% | 29.3\% | 18.2\% | 21.6\% |
| 1986 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 18.4\% | 7.5\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 10.7\% | 22.4\% | 34.0\% |
| 1987 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.7\% | 12.7\% | 3.9\% | 0.0\% | 7.2\% | 13.9\% | 10.9\% | 38.8\% |
| 1988 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 5.4\% | 7.5\% | 3.8\% | 4.2\% | 7.3\% | 26.4\% | 14.7\% | 28.6\% |
| 1989 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 7.4\% | 4.5\% | 3.9\% | 2.5\% | 11.0\% | 21.4\% | 16.1\% | 32.3\% |
| 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 22.7\% | 3.6\% | 1.0\% | 4.3\% | 9.0\% | 23.7\% | 12.5\% | 22.3\% |
| 1991 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.1\% | 1.8\% | 1.0\% | 2.6\% | 11.6\% | 26.5\% | 13.1\% | 27.7\% |
| 1992 | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 17.2\% | 3.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.2\% | 9.1\% | 23.7\% | 18.0\% | 21.5\% |
| 1993 | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 15.7\% | 3.8\% | 2.2\% | 4.6\% | 5.5\% | 15.7\% | 21.0\% | 30.4\% |
| 1994 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 8.9\% | 3.0\% | 4.1\% | 1.3\% | 0.7\% | 16.3\% | 10.0\% | 55.0\% |
| 1995 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 1.8\% | 0.2\% | 1.1\% | 1.3\% | 5.6\% | 11.7\% | 73.4\% |
| 1996 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 0.1\% | 1.8\% | 2.9\% | 6.3\% | 14.8\% | 69.4\% |
| 1997 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 5.2\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 1.6\% | 2.9\% | 13.2\% | 72.5\% |
| 1998 | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.0\% | 8.0\% | 6.3\% | 79.3\% |
| 1999 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 3.0\% | 9.2\% | 5.3\% | 74.8\% |
| 2000 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.2\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 0.3\% | 11.3\% | 6.6\% | 66.0\% |
| 2001 | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 7.4\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 4.1\% | 10.9\% | 8.8\% | 60.6\% |
| 2002 | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 11.7\% | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 4.2\% | 16.1\% | 7.2\% | 53.0\% |
| (82-02) | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 11.5\% | 4.9\% | 1.5\% | 2.1\% | 4.4\% | 16.9\% | 14.4\% | 43.1\% |
| (85-02) | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 10.0\% | 4.2\% | 1.5\% | 2.4\% | 4.8\% | 15.4\% | 12.8\% | 47.8\% |

Table G.28. Percent distribution of South Puget Sound Fall Fingerling chinook total fishing mortalities among fisheries and
escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC <br> Net | N/CBC <br> Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | U.S. Sport |  |
| 1982 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 24.6\% | 12.5\% | 1.5\% | 0.1\% | 2.7\% | 23.2\% | 24.1\% | 9.3\% |
| 1983 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 16.8\% | 3.9\% | 2.3\% | 0.2\% | 1.6\% | 25.3\% | 35.5\% | 11.2\% |
| 1984 | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 20.8\% | 8.3\% | 0.9\% | 0.3\% | 1.5\% | 23.9\% | 24.7\% | 16.9\% |
| 1985 | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 18.6\% | 6.2\% | 1.6\% | 0.9\% | 1.9\% | 28.4\% | 20.7\% | 20.2\% |
| 1986 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 18.5\% | 7.1\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 9.9\% | 28.0\% | 29.6\% |
| 1987 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 21.3\% | 10.5\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 8.9\% | 11.8\% | 15.3\% | 28.8\% |
| 1988 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.4\% | 10.1\% | 9.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 7.8\% | 22.1\% | 22.6\% | 19.2\% |
| 1989 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 8.8\% | 5.2\% | 3.6\% | 2.4\% | 12.2\% | 20.5\% | 17.4\% | 28.9\% |
| 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 23.9\% | 3.8\% | 0.9\% | 4.3\% | 9.2\% | 22.4\% | 13.9\% | 20.5\% |
| 1991 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.5\% | 1.9\% | 0.9\% | 2.6\% | 12.3\% | 25.2\% | 14.5\% | 25.4\% |
| 1992 | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 17.4\% | 3.8\% | 2.4\% | 2.1\% | 9.1\% | 21.1\% | 24.0\% | 18.0\% |
| 1993 | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 18.2\% | 4.5\% | 2.0\% | 4.3\% | 5.9\% | 14.7\% | 22.7\% | 26.5\% |
| 1994 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 9.4\% | 3.3\% | 4.8\% | 1.3\% | 0.6\% | 15.5\% | 17.4\% | 46.9\% |
| 1995 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 5.4\% | 2.1\% | 0.7\% | 1.2\% | 1.3\% | 5.8\% | 17.3\% | 64.9\% |
| 1996 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.9\% | 4.8\% | 0.2\% | 1.8\% | 2.8\% | 6.3\% | 17.9\% | 64.5\% |
| 1997 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 6.2\% | 2.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.5\% | 1.7\% | 2.8\% | 16.3\% | 67.7\% |
| 1998 | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.1\% | 8.0\% | 11.9\% | 73.2\% |
| 1999 | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 3.5\% | 9.3\% | 7.9\% | 70.5\% |
| 2000 | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.1\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 0.3\% | 10.9\% | 13.8\% | 58.5\% |
| 2001 | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 7.1\% | 3.9\% | 0.0\% | 4.8\% | 4.5\% | 10.6\% | 14.0\% | 54.6\% |
| 2002 | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 11.4\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 4.6\% | 15.6\% | 9.4\% | 49.6\% |
| (82-02) | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 12.7\% | 5.0\% | 1.4\% | 2.1\% | 4.6\% | 15.9\% | 18.5\% | 38.3\% |
| (85-02) | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 11.3\% | 4.4\% | 1.4\% | 2.4\% | 5.1\% | 14.5\% | 16.9\% | 42.7\% |

Table G.29. Percent distribution of South Puget Sound Fall Yearling chinook reported catch among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch ${ }^{1}$ <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska $\mathrm{Net}$ | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | WCVI Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
| 1982 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 14.5\% | 67.5\% | 8.5\% |
| 1983 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.8\% | 76.3\% | 5.8\% |
| 1984 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.3\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 33.6\% | 43.3\% | 14.2\% |
| 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 32.3\% | 54.7\% | 10.6\% |
| 1991 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 12.8\% | 57.6\% | 19.6\% |
| 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 4.6\% | 28.2\% | 48.7\% | 11.9\% |
| 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 10.4\% | 57.7\% | 28.0\% |
| 1994 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 2.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 15.6\% | 63.3\% | 16.9\% |
| 1995 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.4\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.4\% | 10.4\% | 68.2\% | 10.0\% |
| 1996 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.7\% | 3.2\% | 89.3\% | 3.3\% |
| 1997 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 1.3\% | 4.0\% | 66.6\% | 25.2\% |
| 1998 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 5.6\% | 82.2\% | 10.0\% |
| 1999 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.5\% | 2.5\% | 70.0\% | 5.0\% |
| 2000 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.8\% | 6.3\% | 11.3\% | 67.5\% | 6.3\% |
| 2001 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 74.6\% | 17.9\% |
| (82-01) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 1.9\% | 0.2\% | 1.0\% | 2.2\% | 12.9\% | 65.8\% | 12.9\% |
| (85-01) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 2.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.2\% | 2.7\% | 11.4\% | 66.7\% | 13.7\% |

1. No data are shown for 2002 because of lack of coded-wire tagging of broods from 1998 and 2000.

Table G.30. Percent distribution of South Puget Sound Fall Yearling chinook for total fishing mortalities among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch ${ }^{1}$ <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | N/CBC <br> Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ | Escapement |
| 1982 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 12.7\% | 71.4\% | 6.5\% |
| 1983 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.8\% | 78.8\% | 4.7\% |
| 1984 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 31.7\% | 46.5\% | 12.9\% |
| 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 30.5\% | 56.9\% | 9.5\% |
| 1991 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 11.4\% | 62.5\% | 16.5\% |
| 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 4.8\% | 26.8\% | 51.2\% | 10.2\% |
| 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 6.7\% | 75.0\% | 15.0\% |
| 1994 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.6\% | 2.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 14.5\% | 67.0\% | 14.0\% |
| 1995 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.9\% | 2.0\% | 0.4\% | 1.6\% | 0.3\% | 8.2\% | 74.7\% | 6.9\% |
| 1996 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.6\% | 2.8\% | 90.0\% | 2.8\% |
| 1997 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.2\% | 3.4\% | 72.0\% | 20.6\% |
| 1998 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 4.3\% | 86.1\% | 7.8\% |
| 1999 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 0.9\% | 84.9\% | 1.9\% |
| 2000 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.2\% | 6.1\% | 9.2\% | 71.4\% | 5.1\% |
| 2001 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 81.3\% | 13.2\% |
| (82-01) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 1.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.9\% | 1.8\% | 11.5\% | 71.3\% | 9.8\% |
| (85-01) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% | 2.2\% | 9.9\% | 72.7\% | 10.3\% |

1. No data are shown for 2002 because of lack of coded-wire tagging of broods from 1998 and 2000.

Table G.31. Percent distribution of Nooksack Spring Yearling chinook reported catch among fisheries and escapement.

| Catch ${ }^{1}$ <br> Year | Alaska <br> Troll | Alaska <br> Net | Alaska Sport | $\begin{aligned} & \text { North } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | Central Troll | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N/CBC } \\ & \text { Net } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | N/CBC Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | $\begin{gathered} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Canada Net | Canada Sport | U.S. | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | U.S. <br> Sport |  |
| 1986 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.9\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 84.7\% |
| 1989 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.3\% | 7.1\% | 72.3\% |
| 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.6\% | 9.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 4.9\% | 34.1\% | 29.3\% |
| 1991 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 32.5\% | 5.9\% | 7.0\% | 2.1\% | 8.4\% | 5.2\% | 36.0\% |
| 1992 | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.4\% | 17.8\% | 12.5\% | 1.1\% | 2.4\% | 1.0\% | 0.4\% | 8.0\% | 54.2\% |
| 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 4.6\% | 15.4\% | 6.4\% | 7.9\% | 0.8\% | 5.6\% | 12.0\% | 46.8\% |
| 1994 | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.1\% | 34.3\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 6.3\% | 3.3\% | 49.2\% |
| 1995 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 25.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 7.7\% | 64.1\% |
| 1996 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 12.4\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 79.5\% |
| 1997 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.2\% | 2.7\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 15.9\% | 58.4\% |
| 1998 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 20.2\% | 1.1\% | 7.9\% | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 6.7\% | 49.4\% |
| 1999 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 27.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 3.0\% | 5.4\% | 1.2\% | 58.4\% |
| (86-99) | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 2.7\% | 18.7\% | 2.7\% | 2.9\% | 0.8\% | 4.8\% | 8.9\% | 56.9\% |
| (86-99) | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 2.7\% | 18.7\% | 2.7\% | 2.9\% | 0.8\% | 4.8\% | 8.9\% | 56.9\% |
| 1. No d | are show | for 2000 | 02 beca | e of lack | coded-w | tagging | f broods | om 199 | 2000. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table G.32. Percent distribution of Nooksack Spring Yearling chinook total fishing mortalities among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catchh ${ }^{1}$ Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | N/CBC <br> Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | $\begin{gathered} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \end{gathered}$ | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | Escapement |
| 1986 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 11.8\% | 4.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 8.0\% | 3.8\% | 67.9\% |
| 1989 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.0\% | 9.2\% | 67.5\% |
| 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 9.7\% | 26.4\% | 8.3\% | 1.4\% | 1.4\% | 2.8\% | 27.8\% | 16.7\% |
| 1991 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 36.9\% | 5.7\% | 6.8\% | 2.4\% | 7.7\% | 6.8\% | 30.7\% |
| 1992 | 1.9\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.4\% | 19.9\% | 14.1\% | 1.0\% | 2.3\% | 1.0\% | 0.4\% | 9.9\% | 46.3\% |
| 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 18.4\% | 5.9\% | 8.0\% | 0.8\% | 5.3\% | 12.8\% | 43.3\% |
| 1994 | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.1\% | 36.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 6.0\% | 4.0\% | 47.3\% |
| 1995 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 26.6\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 13.0\% | 56.5\% |
| 1996 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.5\% | 14.6\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 74.2\% |
| 1997 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.6\% | 2.3\% | 5.5\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 21.9\% | 51.6\% |
| 1998 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 6.6\% | 0.0\% | 20.8\% | 1.9\% | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 12.3\% | 41.5\% |
| 1999 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 30.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 3.4\% | 5.0\% | 2.2\% | 54.2\% |
| (86-99) | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.9\% | 0.7\% | 4.0\% | 21.7\% | 2.6\% | 3.1\% | 0.8\% | 5.1\% | 10.8\% | 49.8\% |


| $(86-02)$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $21.7 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $10.8 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

1. No data are shown for 2000-2002 because of lack of coded-wire tagging of broods from 1997-2000.

Table G.33. Percent distribution of Skagit Spring Yearling chinook reported catch among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC <br> Net | N/CBC <br> Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | U.S. Sport |  |
| 1985 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 29.2\% | 26.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.0\% | 15.8\% | 11.7\% |
| 1986 | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 6.6\% | 0.0\% | 6.2\% | 41.7\% | 2.8\% | 5.7\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 7.6\% | 20.4\% |
| 1987 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 10.2\% | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 24.1\% | 20.4\% | 23.1\% |
| 1988 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 14.9\% | 7.7\% | 9.6\% | 1.8\% | 20.6\% | 14.5\% | 23.2\% |
| 1989 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 17.5\% | 3.3\% | 1.8\% | 4.3\% | 30.4\% | 8.4\% | 29.9\% |
| 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 1.9\% | 1.0\% | 4.9\% | 14.0\% | 4.0\% | 8.7\% | 3.4\% | 15.4\% | 22.9\% | 23.3\% |
| 1997 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 19.6\% | 1.6\% | 10.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 20.9\% | 42.2\% |
| 1998 | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 3.5\% | 1.3\% | 9.1\% | 0.0\% | 7.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 17.2\% | 57.8\% |
| 1999 | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.2\% | 7.7\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 0.2\% | 1.1\% | 9.1\% | 75.5\% |
| 2000 | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 6.3\% | 15.7\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 16.8\% | 54.5\% |
| 2001 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 11.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 3.2\% | 2.0\% | 10.8\% | 66.7\% |
| 2002 | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 12.6\% | 0.0\% | 10.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 8.9\% | 65.0\% |
| (85-02) | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 1.9\% | 0.4\% | 3.5\% | 17.0\% | 4.3\% | 5.3\% | 1.2\% | 9.6\% | 14.4\% | 41.1\% |
| (85-02) | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 1.9\% | 0.4\% | 3.5\% | 17.0\% | 4.3\% | 5.3\% | 1.2\% | 9.6\% | 14.4\% | 41.1\% |

Table G.34. The distribution of Skagit Spring Yearling chinook total fishing mortalities among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC <br> Net | N/CBC Sport | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{array}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
| 1985 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 6.9\% | 29.2\% | 24.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.2\% | 18.5\% | 10.8\% |
| 1986 | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 6.6\% | 0.0\% | 6.2\% | 41.6\% | 2.7\% | 5.8\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 9.3\% | 19.0\% |
| 1987 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 7.4\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 19.0\% | 39.9\% | 15.3\% |
| 1988 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 17.6\% | 7.1\% | 9.3\% | 2.1\% | 19.5\% | 16.2\% | 20.3\% |
| 1989 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 19.5\% | 3.3\% | 1.9\% | 4.7\% | 28.2\% | 10.4\% | 26.9\% |
| 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 1.9\% | 1.1\% | 5.1\% | 14.8\% | 3.7\% | 8.6\% | 3.7\% | 14.6\% | 24.6\% | 21.6\% |
| 1997 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.0\% | 2.6\% | 19.3\% | 2.8\% | 9.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 31.3\% | 31.1\% |
| 1998 | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 4.0\% | 1.2\% | 10.1\% | 0.2\% | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 21.1\% | 52.4\% |
| 1999 | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.2\% | 8.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 0.2\% | 1.0\% | 12.7\% | 71.3\% |
| 2000 | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 5.9\% | 16.5\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 21.3\% | 49.6\% |
| 2001 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 12.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.2\% | 1.8\% | 19.0\% | 58.5\% |
| 2002 | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 15.6\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 11.2\% | 59.5\% |
| (85-02) | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 1.7\% | 0.6\% | 3.5\% | 17.6\% | 4.1\% | 5.3\% | 1.3\% | 8.7\% | 19.6\% | 36.4\% |
| (85-02) | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 1.7\% | 0.6\% | 3.5\% | 17.6\% | 4.1\% | 5.3\% | 1.3\% | 8.7\% | 19.6\% | 36.4\% |

Table G.35. Percent distribution of White River Spring Yearling chinook reported catch among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | N/CBC <br> Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1982 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 73.2\% | 23.2\% | $1.2 \%^{2}$ |
| 1983 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 11.3\% | 59.7\% | 21.5\% |
| 1984 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 5.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 9.0\% | 25.2\% | 47.7\% |
| 1985 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 30.8\% | 50.6\% | 13.5\% |
| 1986 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 2.4\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 15.3\% | 52.3\% | 26.8\% |
| 1987 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 11.3\% | 42.3\% | 41.2\% |
| 1988 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 2.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 1.3\% | 13.0\% | 48.4\% | 33.6\% |
| 1989 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.2\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.0\% | 13.6\% | 41.1\% | 35.8\% |
| 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 5.2\% | 15.4\% | 44.6\% | 31.8\% |
| 1991 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 4.1\% | 10.8\% | 38.1\% | 43.6\% |
| 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 1.9\% | 2.3\% | 0.8\% | 2.4\% | 7.8\% | 45.5\% | 36.2\% |
| 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.6\% | 30.5\% | 62.4\% |
| 1994 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 45.2\% | 50.7\% |
| 1995 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 29.4\% | 69.3\% |
| 1996 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 42.9\% | 55.9\% |
| 1997 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 40.4\% | 55.8\% |
| 1998 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 1.6\% | 27.0\% | 69.8\% |
| $1999$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $30.5 \%$ | $64.6 \%$ |
| 2000 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 38.4\% | 54.7\% |
| (82-00) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 1.7\% | 11.9\% | 39.7\% | 42.9\% |
| (85-02) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 1.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 1.7\% | 8.2\% | 40.4\% | 46.6\% |

1. No data are shown for 2001 and 2002 because of lack of coded-wire tagging of broods from 1998-2000.
2. Values represent estimates of catch distribution only for this year.

Table G.36. Percent distribution of White River Spring Yearling chinook total fishing mortalities among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch ${ }^{1}$ <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC Net | N/CBC <br> Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | $\begin{gathered} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \end{gathered}$ | Canada Net | Canada Sport | U.S. <br> Troll | U.S. <br> Net | U.S. Sport |  |
| 1982 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 59.8\% | 33.6\% | 0.9\% ${ }^{2}$ |
| 1983 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 10.4\% | 63.5\% | 19.0\% |
| 1984 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 7.0\% | 45.6\% | 32.5\% |
| 1985 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 25.7\% | 60.3\% | 9.6\% |
| 1986 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 2.3\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 14.1\% | 56.5\% | 23.6\% |
| 1987 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 8.2\% | 61.9\% | 25.9\% |
| 1988 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 2.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 1.4\% | 12.6\% | 52.3\% | 29.6\% |
| 1989 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 1.3\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.3\% | 12.3\% | 46.5\% | 31.4\% |
| 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 13.7\% | 50.6\% | 27.2\% |
| 1991 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 4.1\% | 9.8\% | 46.0\% | 36.7\% |
| 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 2.1\% | 2.1\% | 0.7\% | 2.7\% | 7.5\% | 49.0\% | 32.9\% |
| 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.1\% | 39.3\% | 54.2\% |
| 1994 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 52.4\% | 43.3\% |
| 1995 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 41.3\% | 57.2\% |
| 1996 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 48.5\% | 50.1\% |
| 1997 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 49.5\% | 47.0\% |
| 1998 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 1.4\% | 33.3\% | 63.8\% |
| $1999$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | $1.9 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 45.2\% | 51.0\% |
| 2000 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 44.8\% | 49.0\% |
| (82-00) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 1.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 1.7\% | 10.2\% | 48.4\% | 36.0\% |
| (85-02) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 1.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 1.7\% | 7.3\% | 48.6\% | 39.5\% |

1. No data are shown for 2001 and 2002 because of lack of coded-wire tagging of broods from 1998-2000.
2. Values represent estimates of catch distribution only for this year

Table G.37. Percent distribution of Hoko Fall Fingerling chinook reported catch among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Central } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ | Escapement |
| 1989 | 4.8\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 7.6\% | 0.4\% | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 1.6\% | 15.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.8\% | 21.7\% | 29.3\% |
| 1990 | 15.8\% | 1.9\% | 0.5\% | 8.0\% | 0.7\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 17.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 1.0\% | 14.4\% | 35.1\% |
| 1991 | 15.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 6.9\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 1.0\% | 8.2\% | 59.8\% |
| 1992 | 7.7\% | 1.7\% | 1.2\% | 4.4\% | 1.2\% | 1.4\% | 0.7\% | 9.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 2.4\% | 66.6\% |
| 1993 | 6.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 6.6\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 14.9\% | 0.3\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 4.6\% | 59.4\% |
| 1994 | 13.6\% | 2.1\% | 2.4\% | 14.8\% | 0.6\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 11.4\% | 2.1\% | 1.5\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 47.9\% |
| 1995 | 12.6\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 6.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 2.9\% | 0.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 71.9\% |
| 1996 | 10.6\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 85.2\% |
| 1997 | 13.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 81.7\% |
| 1998 | 9.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 84.1\% |
| 1999 | 6.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 86.0\% |
| 2000 | 4.4\% | 0.2\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 91.7\% |
| 2001 | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 90.3\% |
| 2002 | 17.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 3.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 4.6\% | 1.5\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 69.0\% |
| (89-02) | 10.3\% | 0.5\% | 1.4\% | 4.9\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% | 0.5\% | 5.5\% | 0.8\% | 1.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 3.9\% | 68.4\% |
| (89-02) | 10.3\% | 0.5\% | 1.4\% | 4.9\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% | 0.5\% | 5.5\% | 0.8\% | 1.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 3.9\% | 68.4\% |

Table G.38. Percent distribution of Hoko Fall Fingerling chinook total fishing mortalities among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | N/CBC Sport | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{array}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | U.S. Sport | Escapement |
| 1989 | 11.7\% | 3.1\% | 0.3\% | 8.5\% | 1.1\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 13.7\% | 1.7\% | 11.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 21.7\% | 20.8\% |
| 1990 | 18.3\% | 4.8\% | 0.6\% | 8.4\% | 0.9\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.9\% | 0.7\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.9\% | 14.1\% | 30.1\% |
| 1991 | 18.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 5.2\% | 1.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 7.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 1.0\% | 8.8\% | 55.7\% |
| 1992 | 8.6\% | 4.9\% | 1.6\% | 5.5\% | 1.1\% | 1.4\% | 0.6\% | 10.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 2.7\% | 60.4\% |
| 1993 | 12.0\% | 1.1\% | 2.3\% | 7.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 14.9\% | 0.6\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 4.9\% | 51.6\% |
| 1994 | 20.4\% | 4.8\% | 2.8\% | 13.5\% | 0.5\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 2.0\% | 1.5\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 40.6\% |
| 1995 | 16.2\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 7.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 3.7\% | 0.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 64.8\% |
| 1996 | 13.8\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 79.3\% |
| 1997 | 16.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 78.8\% |
| 1998 | 10.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 6.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 82.8\% |
| 1999 | 8.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 84.2\% |
| 2000 | 5.9\% | 0.2\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 88.7\% |
| 2001 | 8.9\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 86.1\% |
| 2002 | 18.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 3.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 1.4\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 65.8\% |
| (89-02) | 13.4\% | 1.4\% | 1.7\% | 5.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.9\% | 0.6\% | 5.8\% | 0.9\% | 1.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 3.9\% | 63.5\% |
| (89-02) | 13.4\% | 1.4\% | 1.7\% | 5.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.9\% | 0.6\% | 5.8\% | 0.9\% | 1.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 3.9\% | 63.5\% |

Table G.39. Percent distribution of Sooes Fall Fingerling chinook reported catch among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Central } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Escapement |
| 1989 | 7.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 8.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.3\% |
| 1990 | 9.9\% | 2.8\% | 4.3\% | 14.2\% | 1.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 17.7\% | 7.1\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 34.8\% |
| 1991 | 11.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 5.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 64.3\% |
| 1992 | 8.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.5\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 19.3\% | 1.0\% | 3.4\% | 1.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 51.9\% |
| 1993 | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.6\% | 2.1\% | 2.1\% | 2.1\% | 16.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 64.1\% |
| 1994 | 17.0\% | 3.0\% | 4.0\% | 10.5\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 8.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 55.5\% |
| 1995 | 8.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 9.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 73.9\% |
| 1996 | 8.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 90.3\% |
| 1997 | 10.3\% | 0.0\% | 5.2\% | 5.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 1.0\% | 23.4\% | 0.0\% | 49.3\% |
| 1998 | 9.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 17.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 72.0\% |
| 1999 | 12.3\% | 0.0\% | 12.3\% | 4.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 70.5\% |
| 2000 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 86.9\% |
| 2001 | 6.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 88.5\% |
| 2002 | 10.9\% | 0.2\% | 1.3\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.6\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 81.6\% |
| (89-02) | 8.9\% | 0.5\% | 2.4\% | 6.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.4\% | 5.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.7\% | 1.8\% | 0.2\% | 1.9\% | 0.9\% | 68.5\% |
| (89-02) | 8.9\% | 0.5\% | 2.4\% | 6.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.4\% | 5.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.7\% | 1.8\% | 0.2\% | 1.9\% | 0.9\% | 68.5\% |

Table G.40. Percent distribution of Sooes Fall Fingerling chinook total fishing mortalities among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC <br> Net | N/CBC <br> Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1989 | 10.5\% | 3.7\% | 0.5\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 62.6\% |
| 1990 | 11.6\% | 7.0\% | 4.1\% | 16.3\% | 1.7\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 17.4\% | 6.4\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 28.5\% |
| 1991 | 13.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 10.7\% | 0.3\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 7.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.1\% | 59.4\% |
| 1992 | 11.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 10.7\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.4\% | 1.2\% | 3.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 46.6\% |
| 1993 | 7.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 7.9\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 16.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 59.8\% |
| 1994 | 20.6\% | 7.5\% | 3.5\% | 9.6\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 48.7\% |
| 1995 | 14.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 12.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 62.8\% |
| 1996 | 15.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 82.3\% |
| 1997 | 12.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.8\% | 5.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.3\% | 2.6\% | 1.0\% | 23.7\% | 0.0\% | 46.4\% |
| 1998 | 10.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 19.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 68.7\% |
| 1999 | 13.4\% | 0.0\% | 13.9\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 67.1\% |
| 2000 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 13.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 81.1\% |
| 2001 | 9.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 83.9\% |
| 2002 | 13.0\% | 0.4\% | 1.5\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.6\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 78.3\% |
| (89-02) | 11.7\% | 1.4\% | 2.8\% | 6.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.4\% | 6.3\% | 0.8\% | 0.7\% | 1.9\% | 0.2\% | 2.0\% | 1.0\% | 62.6\% |
| (89-02) | 11.7\% | 1.4\% | 2.8\% | 6.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.4\% | 6.3\% | 0.8\% | 0.7\% | 1.9\% | 0.2\% | 2.0\% | 1.0\% | 62.6\% |

Table G.41. Percent distribution of Queets Fall Fingerling chinook reported catch among fisheries and escapement.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Catch } \\ & \text { Year } \end{aligned}$ | AlaskaTroll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { North } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Central } \\ \text { Troll } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1981 | 9.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 13.7\% | 2.1\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 11.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 31.6\% | 3.2\% | 24.2\% |
| 1982 | 11.8\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 22.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.2\% | 12.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 25.7\% | 0.0\% | 22.9\% |
| 1983 | 33.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 7.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 25.8\% | 0.0\% | 22.7\% |
| 1984 | 16.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 19.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 7.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 28.7\% | 0.0\% | 23.1\% |
| 1985 | 15.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 31.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.4\% | 1.2\% | 33.6\% |
| 1986 | 17.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 11.6\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.9\% | 0.0\% | 50.4\% |
| 1987 | 22.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 11.7\% | 0.9\% | 0.6\% | 0.9\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 22.7\% | 0.6\% | 38.7\% |
| 1988 | 14.6\% | 0.8\% | 1.6\% | 7.8\% | 2.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 16.6\% | 3.3\% | 47.3\% |
| 1989 | 11.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 1.1\% | 7.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 27.8\% | 1.6\% | 41.1\% |
| 1990 | 12.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 1.8\% | 6.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 13.9\% | 0.0\% | 58.9\% |
| 1991 | 20.5\% | 0.2\% | 1.1\% | 9.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.7\% | 0.5\% | 46.3\% |
| 1992 | 8.3\% | 0.8\% | 2.2\% | 7.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.9\% | 17.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 19.2\% | 0.8\% | 41.4\% |
| 1993 | 15.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 14.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 12.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 16.0\% | 2.8\% | 35.7\% |
| 1994 | 15.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 20.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 1.5\% | 3.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.6\% | 0.0\% | 34.9\% |
| 1995 | 17.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 2.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.7\% | 33.4\% | 0.0\% | 37.4\% |
| 1996 | 10.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.5\% | 0.6\% | 70.2\% |
| 1997 | 34.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 6.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.9\% | 0.0\% | 37.4\% |
| 1998 | 23.7\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 19.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.1\% | 5.2\% | 37.0\% |
| 1999 | 9.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.9\% | 0.3\% | 78.5\% |
| 2000 | 8.6\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 3.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 82.5\% |
| 2001 | 20.6\% | 0.0\% | 5.3\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 36.6\% | 0.6\% | 32.5\% |
| 2002 | 25.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 5.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 24.6\% | 0.2\% | 38.2\% |
| (81-02) | 17.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.2\% | 10.9\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.8\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 20.2\% | 0.9\% | 42.5\% |
| (85-02) | 16.8\% | 0.1\% | 1.5\% | 9.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 18.5\% | 1.0\% | 46.8\% |

Table G.42. Percent distribution of Queets Fall Fingerling chinook total fishing mortalities among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | N/CBC <br> Sport | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{array}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | Escapement |
| 1981 | 12.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.1\% | 1.7\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 12.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 26.7\% | 3.4\% | 19.8\% |
| 1982 | 14.2\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 24.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.1\% | 12.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 24.7\% | 0.0\% | 21.0\% |
| 1983 | 50.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 19.2\% | 0.0\% | 16.5\% |
| 1984 | 20.9\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 20.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 25.8\% | 0.0\% | 20.2\% |
| 1985 | 20.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 33.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.3\% | 1.7\% | 28.8\% |
| 1986 | 26.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 11.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.2\% | 0.0\% | 42.6\% |
| 1987 | 28.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 11.7\% | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 1.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 20.2\% | 0.7\% | 34.2\% |
| 1988 | 17.5\% | 2.4\% | 1.6\% | 9.4\% | 2.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 5.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.8\% | 3.4\% | 41.5\% |
| 1989 | 16.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 10.6\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% | 8.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 24.3\% | 1.7\% | 35.3\% |
| 1990 | 15.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 6.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 1.9\% | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 13.4\% | 0.0\% | 55.0\% |
| 1991 | 24.2\% | 0.3\% | 1.2\% | 10.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.6\% | 0.5\% | 42.6\% |
| 1992 | 15.1\% | 2.2\% | 2.4\% | 8.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.8\% | 18.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.3\% | 0.8\% | 34.6\% |
| 1993 | 19.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 15.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 13.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 14.3\% | 2.9\% | 31.2\% |
| 1994 | 23.6\% | 0.6\% | 0.4\% | 20.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 1.4\% | 3.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 18.0\% | 0.0\% | 30.1\% |
| 1995 | 21.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 2.5\% | 0.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.7\% | 30.3\% | 0.0\% | 33.6\% |
| 1996 | 18.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.9\% | 0.5\% | 62.2\% |
| 1997 | 38.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 6.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 19.5\% | 0.0\% | 34.7\% |
| 1998 | 26.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 20.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.3\% | 5.2\% | 34.3\% |
| 1999 | 13.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.5\% | 0.3\% | 73.8\% |
| 2000 | 10.8\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 79.1\% |
| 2001 | 26.1\% | 0.0\% | 5.8\% | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 33.8\% | 0.5\% | 29.2\% |
| 2002 | 27.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 5.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 23.8\% | 0.3\% | 36.7\% |
| (81-02) | 22.2\% | 0.4\% | 1.3\% | 11.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.9\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 18.1\% | 1.0\% | 38.0\% |



Table G.43. Percent distribution of Cowlitz Fall Tule chinook reported catch among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Central } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { N/CBC } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ | Escapement |
| 1981 | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 6.5\% | 16.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 9.7\% | 15.1\% | 12.9\% | 28.0\% |
| 1982 | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.4\% | 0.5\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 14.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.9\% | 18.5\% | 9.7\% | 12.5\% | 34.9\% |
| 1983 | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 3.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 17.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 6.9\% | 4.8\% | 18.7\% | 36.2\% |
| 1984 | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.2\% | 2.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 24.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | 15.1\% | 3.6\% | 36.0\% |
| 1985 | 3.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 11.4\% | 0.4\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | 6.5\% | 13.7\% | 49.9\% |
| 1986 | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 12.6\% | 0.4\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 13.0\% | 30.9\% | 12.5\% | 27.4\% |
| 1987 | 3.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.0\% | 11.4\% | 22.9\% | 16.1\% | 29.0\% |
| 1988 | 1.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 15.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 15.5\% | 24.0\% | 12.3\% | 27.7\% |
| 1989 | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.8\% | 7.1\% | 10.6\% | 48.1\% |
| 1990 | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 2.9\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 14.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 9.5\% | 0.0\% | 12.0\% | 51.8\% |
| 1991 | 9.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 10.5\% | 11.3\% | 9.7\% | 45.2\% |
| 1992 | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 17.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.0\% | 5.4\% | 4.8\% | 59.1\% |
| 1993 | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.5\% | 3.1\% | 22.4\% | 43.6\% |
| 1994 | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 88.7\% |
| 1995 | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 4.7\% | 2.4\% | 1.8\% | 83.4\% |
| 1996 | 4.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.9\% | 1.1\% | 3.7\% | 83.0\% |
| 1997 | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 9.8\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 68.3\% |
| 1998 | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.9\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 76.5\% |
| 1999 | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.0\% | 58.6\% |
| 2000 | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.4\% | 13.4\% | 5.2\% | 7.2\% | 51.5\% |
| 2001 | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 10.4\% | 1.5\% | 11.7\% | 71.7\% |
| 2002 | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 26.2\% | 3.4\% | 25.9\% | 28.2\% |
| (81-02) | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 2.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 9.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 1.1\% | 10.7\% | 7.7\% | 10.6\% | 51.2\% |
| (85-02) | 3.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 2.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | 7.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 1.3\% | 10.8\% | 6.9\% | 10.3\% | 55.1\% |

Table G.44. Percent distribution of Cowlitz Fall Tule chinook total fishing mortalities among fisheries and escapement.

| Catch Year | Alaska <br> Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Alaska } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Alaska Sport | North Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Central } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | N/CBCNet | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | U.S. Troll | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | Escapement |
| 1981 | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 6.3\% | 18.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 11.3\% | 14.2\% | 12.7\% | 25.0\% |
| 1982 | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 1.6\% | 0.4\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 16.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.0\% | 20.2\% | 9.5\% | 12.6\% | 29.8\% |
| 1983 | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.1\% | 4.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 18.8\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 4.7\% | 18.7\% | 33.1\% |
| 1984 | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.5\% | 2.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.9\% | 25.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 14.8\% | 3.7\% | 34.2\% |
| 1985 | 3.9\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 12.7\% | 0.4\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 5.1\% | 6.3\% | 15.0\% | 45.6\% |
| 1986 | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 13.9\% | 0.3\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.6\% | 30.1\% | 12.7\% | 24.9\% |
| 1987 | 6.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 4.6\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.9\% | 12.1\% | 21.2\% | 15.5\% | 25.6\% |
| 1988 | 1.8\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 17.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 16.0\% | 22.7\% | 12.5\% | 25.7\% |
| 1989 | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 7.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.7\% | 6.8\% | 10.9\% | 45.3\% |
| 1990 | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 3.4\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 15.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.1\% | 0.0\% | 12.8\% | 47.8\% |
| 1991 | 12.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 11.7\% | 10.9\% | 9.5\% | 40.9\% |
| 1992 | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 20.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% | 5.4\% | 5.4\% | 53.9\% |
| 1993 | 4.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 7.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.8\% | 3.0\% | 23.9\% | 38.6\% |
| 1994 | 5.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 87.1\% |
| 1995 | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 2.3\% | 4.5\% | 2.3\% | 1.7\% | 79.7\% |
| 1996 | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.1\% | 1.1\% | 4.0\% | 80.9\% |
| 1997 | 5.7\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.7\% | 2.8\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 5.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 63.6\% |
| 1998 | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 73.8\% |
| 1999 | 6.9\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.7\% | 0.0\% | 19.3\% | 53.8\% |
| 2000 | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 13.9\% | 16.7\% | 4.6\% | 7.4\% | 46.3\% |
| $2001$ | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 12.0\% | 1.5\% | $12.6 \%$ | 68.4\% |
| 2002 | 7.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 27.8\% | 3.3\% | 26.3\% | 25.7\% |
| (81-02) | 4.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 2.8\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 10.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 1.2\% | 11.6\% | 7.4\% | 10.9\% | 47.7\% |
| (85-02) | 4.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.9\% | 2.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 7.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 1.4\% | 11.8\% | 6.6\% | 10.7\% | 51.5\% |

Table G.45. Percent distribution of Columbia Lower River Hatchery chinook reported catch among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska $\qquad$ Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | N/CBC <br> Sport | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Canada $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1980 | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 16.0\% | 3.4\% | 6.4\% | 1.3\% | 18.3\% | 9.8\% | 22.4\% | 19.8\% |
| 1981 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 30.6\% | 1.8\% | 2.4\% | 0.3\% | 22.6\% | 1.9\% | 11.6\% | 28.2\% |
| 1982 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 26.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 18.6\% | 16.4\% | 9.0\% | 26.5\% |
| 1983 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 35.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.4\% | 11.2\% | 6.8\% | 8.5\% | 33.4\% |
| 1984 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 49.9\% | 1.3\% | 1.6\% | 0.3\% | 5.9\% | 11.3\% | 3.7\% | 22.7\% |
| 1985 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 28.2\% | 1.1\% | 1.2\% | 0.7\% | 15.6\% | 4.1\% | 5.8\% | 41.9\% |
| 1986 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.1\% | 9.1\% | 2.5\% | 7.5\% | 2.7\% | 6.9\% | 11.2\% | 11.5\% | 47.9\% |
| 1987 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 26.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 2.5\% | 16.6\% | 20.7\% | 9.5\% | 21.3\% |
| 1988 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 28.8\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 11.5\% | 24.3\% | 3.2\% | 27.6\% |
| 1989 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 22.4\% | 5.9\% | 5.1\% | 49.2\% |
| 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 19.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 16.3\% | 0.3\% | 11.1\% | 50.3\% |
| 1991 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.2\% | 0.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.0\% | 9.3\% | 2.3\% | 14.9\% | 57.9\% |
| 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.9\% | 28.0\% | 0.8\% | 11.0\% | 40.5\% |
| 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 19.7\% | 2.0\% | 11.1\% | 43.6\% |
| 1994 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 27.6\% | 10.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 62.1\% |
| 1995 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 10.0\% | 86.7\% |
| 1996 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.1\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 85.5\% |
| 1997 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.4\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 8.7\% | 1.0\% | 11.6\% | 55.6\% |
| 1998 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.1\% | 1.0\% | 2.0\% | 23.2\% | 63.6\% |
| 1999 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.1\% | 6.8\% | 3.3\% | 9.8\% | 68.7\% |
| 2000 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.9\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 16.4\% | 2.2\% | 2.6\% | 4.3\% | 56.5\% |
| 2001 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 19.1\% | 1.5\% | 8.7\% | 59.1\% |
| 2002 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 21.2\% | 8.5\% | 11.1\% | 46.0\% |
| (80-02) | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 17.9\% | 1.3\% | 1.2\% | 2.6\% | 12.6\% | 6.4\% | 9.4\% | 47.6\% |
| (85-02) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 14.2\% | 1.2\% | 0.9\% | 3.1\% | 11.9\% | 5.6\% | 9.0\% | 53.6\% |

Table G.46. Percent distribution of Columbia Lower River Hatchery chinook total fishing mortalities among fisheries and escapement.

| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska$\qquad$Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | CentralTroll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GeoSt } \\ \mathrm{Tr} \& \mathrm{Sp} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | U.S. Sport |  |
| 1980 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 32.4\% | 2.0\% | 4.2\% | 0.7\% | 23.1\% | 6.7\% | 17.7\% | 10.9\% |
| 1981 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 33.4\% | 1.6\% | 2.2\% | 0.3\% | 25.0\% | 1.8\% | 11.5\% | 23.6\% |
| 1982 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 29.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 20.0\% | 15.2\% | 8.9\% | 22.9\% |
| 1983 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 37.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 12.3\% | 6.7\% | 9.6\% | 29.4\% |
| 1984 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 51.6\% | 1.3\% | 1.6\% | 0.2\% | 6.3\% | 11.1\% | 4.1\% | 20.4\% |
| 1985 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 30.3\% | 1.1\% | 1.2\% | 0.7\% | 17.7\% | 4.1\% | 5.9\% | 37.7\% |
| 1986 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | 8.5\% | 1.9\% | 6.3\% | 2.5\% | 6.3\% | 9.5\% | 30.0\% | 34.1\% |
| 1987 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 33.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 2.2\% | 17.3\% | 18.4\% | 8.6\% | 17.6\% |
| 1988 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 31.6\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 11.7\% | 23.1\% | 3.3\% | 25.8\% |
| 1989 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 25.3\% | 5.4\% | 5.4\% | 45.1\% |
| 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 22.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 18.2\% | 0.3\% | 12.0\% | 44.8\% |
| 1991 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.3\% | 1.0\% | 2.4\% | 2.2\% | 10.9\% | 2.4\% | 18.2\% | 50.6\% |
| 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 19.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.8\% | 30.3\% | 0.7\% | 11.3\% | 34.9\% |
| 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 20.8\% | 1.9\% | 11.6\% | 39.9\% |
| 1994 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 31.3\% | 12.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 56.3\% |
| 1995 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 12.5\% | 81.3\% |
| 1996 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.1\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 85.5\% |
| 1997 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.2\% | 3.1\% | 0.4\% | 3.5\% | 9.2\% | 0.9\% | 12.3\% | 50.4\% |
| 1998 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.5\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 0.9\% | 1.9\% | 25.9\% | 58.3\% |
| 1999 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.6\% | 8.0\% | 3.4\% | 11.5\% | 65.3\% |
| 2000 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.3\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 19.0\% | 2.3\% | 2.3\% | 7.6\% | 49.8\% |
| $2001$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 3.7\% | 21.9\% | 1.5\% | $10.5 \%$ | $54.0 \%$ |
| 2002 | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 24.1\% | 8.4\% | 11.7\% | 42.6\% |
| (80-02) | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 20.4\% | 1.3\% | 1.2\% | 2.7\% | 13.9\% | 5.9\% | 10.9\% | 42.7\% |
| (85-02) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 15.8\% | 1.3\% | 1.0\% | 3.3\% | 13.0\% | 5.2\% | 11.0\% | 48.6\% |

Table G.47. Percent distribution of Spring Creek Tule chinook reported catch among fisheries and escapement.

| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | CentralTroll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | U.S. <br> Troll | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
| 1979 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 23.5\% | 1.4\% | 2.4\% | 0.1\% | 17.5\% | 23.1\% | 13.1\% | 17.9\% |
| 1980 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 25.4\% | 2.8\% | 1.0\% | 0.1\% | 23.5\% | 23.6\% | 10.2\% | 12.6\% |
| 1981 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 21.0\% | 1.5\% | 1.9\% | 0.1\% | 23.5\% | 20.7\% | 12.6\% | 18.3\% |
| 1982 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 22.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 19.6\% | 35.6\% | 8.3\% | 12.7\% |
| 1983 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 29.8\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 8.4\% | 20.2\% | 9.8\% | 29.7\% |
| 1984 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 27.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.4\% | 6.0\% | 25.9\% | 7.4\% | 29.1\% |
| 1985 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.7\% | 13.8\% | 27.2\% | 4.0\% | 39.7\% |
| 1986 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.6\% | 1.9\% | 1.6\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 36.2\% | 7.9\% | 23.8\% |
| 1987 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.0\% | 38.6\% | 20.2\% | 19.3\% |
| 1988 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 23.2\% | 0.9\% | 1.9\% | 2.2\% | 18.3\% | 31.0\% | 10.3\% | 11.3\% |
| 1989 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 3.3\% | 24.7\% | 34.5\% | 8.3\% | 13.7\% |
| 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 17.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 4.5\% | 14.3\% | 23.0\% | 13.1\% | 25.3\% |
| 1991 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 13.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 1.3\% | 16.9\% | 34.2\% | 11.0\% | 22.5\% |
| 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.9\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 2.5\% | 26.5\% | 14.6\% | 11.9\% | 31.3\% |
| 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 4.2\% | 17.7\% | 21.4\% | 10.5\% | 28.2\% |
| 1994 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 3.9\% | 3.5\% | 28.9\% | 0.8\% | 43.4\% |
| 1995 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 2.7\% | 1.8\% | 37.9\% | 0.0\% | 50.7\% |
| 1996 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 6.1\% | 57.8\% | 3.3\% | 29.7\% |
| 1997 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 5.4\% | 24.3\% | 11.7\% | 44.0\% |
| 1998 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 2.8\% | 15.0\% | 12.7\% | 68.6\% |
| 1999 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 16.5\% | 37.9\% | 9.0\% | 32.3\% |
| 2000 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.2\% | 5.4\% | 21.7\% | 9.6\% | 53.4\% |
| 2001 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 18.4\% | 31.0\% | 7.3\% | 37.6\% |
| 2002 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 19.3\% | 28.9\% | 12.6\% | 25.4\% |
| (79-02) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 2.0\% | 13.6\% | 28.9\% | 9.4\% | 30.0\% |
| (85-02) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 2.6\% | 12.7\% | 30.2\% | 9.1\% | 33.3\% |

Table G.48. Percent distribution of Spring Creek Tule chinook total fishing mortalities among fisheries and escapement.


Table G.49. Percent distribution of Columbia River Summer chinook reported catch among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch | Alaska | Alaska | Alaska | North | Central | N/CBC | N/CBC | WCVI | GeoSt | Canada | Canada | U.S. | U.S. | U.S. |  |
| Year | Troll | Net | Sport | Troll | Troll | Net | Sport | Troll | Tr\&Sp | Net | Sport | Troll | Net | Sport | Escapement |
| 1979 | 10.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 6.7\% | 2.2\% | 9.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.2\% | 7.3\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 4.5\% | 37.1\% |
| 1980 | 32.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 8.7\% | 3.9\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 16.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 33.7\% |
| 1987 | 13.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 4.8\% | 4.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.2\% | 15.3\% | 0.0\% | 33.1\% |
| 1988 | 1.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 7.9\% | 0.0\% | 7.9\% | 2.0\% | 16.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 4.4\% | 3.6\% | 15.9\% | 3.2\% | 34.9\% |
| 1989 | 4.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 4.9\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 14.5\% | 1.4\% | 2.2\% | 2.3\% | 13.9\% | 8.2\% | 2.5\% | 43.0\% |
| 1990 | 9.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.8\% | 1.1\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 19.9\% | 0.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 5.8\% | 11.0\% | 2.5\% | 40.7\% |
| 1991 | 3.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.5\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 5.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.7\% | 3.4\% | 3.9\% | 2.2\% | 74.7\% |
| 1992 | 13.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 2.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.3\% | 1.3\% | 1.3\% | 56.6\% |
| 1993 | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 14.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 5.2\% | 3.3\% | 1.4\% | 62.9\% |
| 1994 | 13.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 13.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.5\% | 0.0\% | 63.2\% |
| 1995 | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 89.5\% |
| 1996 | 13.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.9\% | 4.2\% | 70.6\% |
| 1997 | 8.5\% | 0.1\% | 3.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.9\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 1.3\% | 0.8\% | 79.1\% |
| 1998 | 8.9\% | 0.1\% | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 1.9\% | 5.2\% | 1.0\% | 80.3\% |
| 1999 | 10.1\% | 2.6\% | 1.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 2.7\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 8.5\% | 1.2\% | 3.4\% | 63.0\% |
| 2000 | 20.5\% | 1.3\% | 2.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 4.2\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 2.9\% | 1.0\% | 3.8\% | 56.6\% |
| 2001 | 14.3\% | 2.9\% | 1.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 12.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 17.9\% | 0.8\% | 6.4\% | 37.1\% |
| 2002 | 24.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 12.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 17.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 9.6\% | 0.5\% | 6.5\% | 24.3\% |
| (79-02) | 11.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.8\% | 3.4\% | 0.8\% | 1.8\% | 1.6\% | 8.8\% | 0.7\% | 0.4\% | 1.4\% | 6.0\% | 5.0\% | 2.4\% | 54.5\% |
| (85-02) | 10.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.8\% | 2.9\% | 0.6\% | 1.4\% | 1.8\% | 7.9\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 1.6\% | 6.7\% | 5.3\% | 2.5\% | 56.8\% |

Table G.50. Percent distribution of Columbia River Summer chinook total fishing mortalities among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch | Alaska | Alaska | Alaska | North | Central | N/CBC | N/CBC | WCVI | GeoSt | Canada | Canada | U.S. | U.S. | U.S. |  |
| Year | Troll | Net | Sport | Troll | Troll | Net | Sport | Troll | Tr\&Sp | Net | Sport | Troll | Net | Sport | Escapement |
| 1979 | 13.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 8.5\% | 3.8\% | 8.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.8\% | 6.6\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 3.8\% | 4.2\% | 31.0\% |
| 1980 | 32.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 9.1\% | 4.3\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 17.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 32.1\% |
| 1987 | 16.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.1\% | 3.7\% | 4.3\% | 2.5\% | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 19.9\% | 11.8\% | 0.6\% | 25.5\% |
| 1988 | 1.9\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 10.4\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 1.9\% | 21.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 4.2\% | 3.6\% | 13.6\% | 2.9\% | 28.5\% |
| 1989 | 6.9\% | 2.0\% | 0.7\% | 5.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 16.0\% | 1.4\% | 1.9\% | 2.3\% | 14.5\% | 7.3\% | 2.4\% | 37.8\% |
| 1990 | 10.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.7\% | 1.1\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 20.7\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 5.8\% | 10.5\% | 2.6\% | 38.4\% |
| 1991 | 4.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.5\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.7\% | 3.6\% | 4.0\% | 2.3\% | 73.4\% |
| 1992 | 17.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 1.8\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 14.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.3\% | 1.2\% | 1.5\% | 52.0\% |
| 1993 | 7.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 15.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 5.5\% | 3.2\% | 1.4\% | 60.6\% |
| 1994 | 17.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.8\% | 0.0\% | 58.5\% |
| 1995 | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 82.0\% |
| 1996 | 21.8\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.4\% | 4.1\% | 61.2\% |
| 1997 | 9.7\% | 0.1\% | 4.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 1.3\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 1.2\% | 1.0\% | 76.3\% |
| 1998 | 10.6\% | 0.5\% | 1.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 2.2\% | 5.1\% | 1.0\% | 77.5\% |
| 1999 | 14.0\% | 5.1\% | 2.9\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 3.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.2\% | 9.3\% | 1.0\% | 3.3\% | 53.8\% |
| 2000 | 24.9\% | 2.4\% | 3.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 4.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.1\% | 5.0\% | 3.1\% | 1.0\% | 3.9\% | 49.9\% |
| 2001 | 16.3\% | 6.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 11.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 17.6\% | 0.7\% | 6.7\% | 32.9\% |
| 2002 | 25.6\% | 0.1\% | 1.6\% | 12.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 2.6\% | 16.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 9.8\% | 0.4\% | 6.7\% | 23.1\% |
| (79-02) | 14.2\% | 1.1\% | 0.9\% | 3.9\% | 0.9\% | 1.8\% | 1.7\% | 10.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.6\% | 1.4\% | 6.2\% | 4.5\% | 2.5\% | 49.7\% |
| (85-02) | 13.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.9\% | 3.3\% | 0.5\% | 1.5\% | 2.0\% | 9.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 1.6\% | 6.8\% | 4.8\% | 2.5\% | 51.9\% |

Table G.51. Percent distribution of Willamette Spring chinook reported catch among fisheries and escapement.

| Catch Year | Alaska <br> Troll | Alaska$\qquad$ | Alaska Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { North } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Central } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | N/CBCNet | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | Escapement |
| 1980 | 6.5\% | 0.9\% | 0.3\% | 11.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.8\% | 0.1\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.6\% | 15.8\% | 57.9\% |
| 1981 | 8.7\% | 1.1\% | 0.2\% | 12.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 3.1\% | 18.4\% | 52.2\% |
| 1982 | 4.1\% | 1.1\% | 0.1\% | 6.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 4.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 7.3\% | 24.9\% | 50.1\% |
| 1983 | 12.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 12.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 6.5\% | 21.2\% | 42.6\% |
| 1984 | 4.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 2.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 1.9\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 6.2\% | 23.9\% | 59.8\% |
| 1985 | 5.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 18.3\% | 20.5\% | 54.6\% |
| 1986 | 3.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 0.6\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 9.2\% | 17.1\% | 54.4\% |
| 1987 | 9.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 13.3\% | 0.8\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 2.4\% | 6.3\% | 27.0\% | 36.5\% |
| 1988 | 8.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 6.2\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 6.9\% | 28.8\% | 42.9\% |
| 1989 | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 1.5\% | 12.6\% | 20.3\% | 56.6\% |
| 1990 | 6.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 1.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 1.3\% | 17.0\% | 27.7\% | 42.0\% |
| 1991 | 3.1\% | 1.2\% | 0.6\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.7\% | 6.0\% | 42.8\% | 43.0\% |
| 1992 | 3.5\% | 1.3\% | 0.2\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 2.4\% | 5.8\% | 31.3\% | 50.4\% |
| 1993 | 8.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.5\% | 0.8\% | 43.1\% | 43.5\% |
| 1994 | 4.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.9\% | 0.7\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 5.1\% | 38.9\% | 48.7\% |
| 1995 | 2.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 43.8\% | 50.9\% |
| 1996 | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.2\% | 7.9\% | 88.6\% |
| 1997 | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.8\% | 15.8\% | 79.0\% |
| 1998 | 4.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 16.4\% | 78.5\% |
| 1999 | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 16.2\% | 77.1\% |
| 2000 | 7.9\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 2.5\% | 30.4\% | 57.4\% |
| 2001 | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 3.5\% | 23.2\% | 70.9\% |
| 2002 | 2.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 17.6\% | 23.1\% | 54.6\% |
| (80-02) | 5.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 3.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 1.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.9\% | 6.0\% | 25.1\% | 56.2\% |
| (85-02) | 4.7\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 2.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.8\% | 6.4\% | 26.3\% | 57.2\% |

Table G.52. Percent distribution of Willamette Spring chinook total fishing mortalities among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska <br> Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC}$ Net | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC}$ Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | U.S. <br> Sport | Escapement |
| 1980 | 8.7\% | 0.9\% | 0.3\% | 14.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.8\% | 0.1\% | 5.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.7\% | 15.2\% | 51.5\% |
| 1981 | 10.7\% | 1.1\% | 0.3\% | 14.8\% | 0.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 3.0\% | 17.8\% | 47.0\% |
| 1982 | 5.8\% | 1.2\% | 0.2\% | 8.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 5.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 7.0\% | 24.8\% | 45.9\% |
| 1983 | 18.9\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 13.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 5.9\% | 19.9\% | 36.6\% |
| 1984 | 4.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 2.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 2.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 6.3\% | 24.7\% | 57.6\% |
| 1985 | 7.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 17.7\% | 20.8\% | 51.8\% |
| 1986 | 4.9\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 7.5\% | 0.7\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 6.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 8.8\% | 17.1\% | 50.3\% |
| 1987 | 18.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 15.4\% | 1.2\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 3.1\% | 5.3\% | 23.1\% | 28.4\% |
| 1988 | 11.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 7.8\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 6.5\% | 30.3\% | 36.0\% |
| 1989 | 5.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | 1.7\% | 12.2\% | 22.1\% | 52.8\% |
| 1990 | 10.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.3\% | 2.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 1.5\% | 15.6\% | 28.0\% | 37.3\% |
| 1991 | 4.1\% | 2.9\% | 0.7\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.7\% | 5.7\% | 44.3\% | 38.5\% |
| 1992 | 7.6\% | 3.2\% | 0.2\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 2.8\% | 5.3\% | 31.5\% | 43.5\% |
| 1993 | 13.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.6\% | 0.7\% | 44.0\% | 37.0\% |
| 1994 | 5.7\% | 0.7\% | 1.1\% | 0.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 4.8\% | 40.8\% | 44.4\% |
| 1995 | 5.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 46.0\% | 45.6\% |
| 1996 | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.2\% | 8.9\% | 86.0\% |
| 1997 | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.8\% | 17.2\% | 76.5\% |
| 1998 | 5.7\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 18.5\% | 74.4\% |
| 1999 | 10.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 17.6\% | 69.3\% |
| 2000 | 13.9\% | 0.2\% | 1.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 2.3\% | 32.4\% | 48.8\% |
| 2001 | 1.7\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 3.6\% | 26.4\% | 67.2\% |
| 2002 | 2.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 17.0\% | 25.4\% | 52.0\% |
| (80-02) | 8.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.4\% | 4.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 1.9\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.0\% | 5.7\% | 25.9\% | 51.2\% |
| (85-02) | 7.6\% | 0.6\% | 0.4\% | 2.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.9\% | 6.1\% | 27.5\% | 52.2\% |

Table G.53. Percent distribution of Lewis River Wild chinook reported catch among fisheries and escapement.

| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Canada | Canada | U.S. | U.S. | U.S. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Net | Sport | Troll | Net | Sport |  |
| 1981 | 6.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 1.4\% | 0.2\% | 2.1\% | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 4.2\% | 15.9\% | 57.8\% |
| 1982 | 6.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.2\% | 3.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.4\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 6.2\% | 23.5\% | 41.7\% |
| 1986 | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 2.2\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 6.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 3.3\% | 26.6\% | 12.3\% | 39.0\% |
| 1987 | 4.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 2.7\% | 25.7\% | 6.3\% | 46.0\% |
| 1988 | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 8.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 23.1\% | 16.7\% | 38.7\% |
| 1989 | 1.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 4.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 5.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 4.9\% | 9.5\% | 7.3\% | 63.9\% |
| 1990 | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 12.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 4.0\% | 3.3\% | 5.2\% | 65.8\% |
| 1991 | 6.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 15.8\% | 7.1\% | 56.6\% |
| 1992 | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 6.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 4.5\% | 23.5\% | 55.0\% |
| 1993 | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 6.8\% | 9.4\% | 64.2\% |
| 1994 | 6.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 83.2\% |
| 1995 | 6.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 24.6\% | 57.6\% |
| 1996 | 7.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 0.9\% | 4.6\% | 84.0\% |
| 1997 | 12.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 80.7\% |
| 1998 | 8.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 84.8\% |
| 1999 | 11.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 82.4\% |
| 2000 | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.9\% | 0.0\% | 80.0\% |
| 2001 | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 6.4\% | 2.3\% | 5.5\% | 68.5\% |
| 2002 | 11.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 5.2\% | 5.2\% | 5.2\% | 61.9\% |
| (81-02) | 6.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 2.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 2.5\% | 8.1\% | 9.1\% | 63.8\% |
| (85-02) | 6.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 2.7\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 2.4\% | 8.5\% | 7.8\% | 65.4\% |

Table G.54. Percent distribution of Lewis River Wild chinook total fishing mortalities among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch | Alaska | Alaska | Alaska | North | Central | N/CBC | N/CBC | WCVI | GeoSt | Canada | Canada | U.S. | U.S. | U.S. |  |
| Year | Troll | Net | Sport | Troll | Troll | Net | Sport | Troll | Tr\&Sp | Net | Sport | Troll | Net | Sport | Escapement |
| 1981 | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 1.6\% | 0.2\% | 2.1\% | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 4.2\% | 16.8\% | 53.1\% |
| 1982 | 7.4\% | 1.2\% | 0.2\% | 3.5\% | 1.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 11.7\% | 0.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 6.0\% | 23.5\% | 38.8\% |
| 1986 | 6.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 2.2\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.8\% | 25.5\% | 12.3\% | 36.0\% |
| 1987 | 5.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.3\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 2.9\% | 24.9\% | 6.6\% | 42.7\% |
| 1988 | 5.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 21.9\% | 17.7\% | 35.4\% |
| 1989 | 2.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 5.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.4\% | 5.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 5.4\% | 9.3\% | 7.8\% | 60.5\% |
| 1990 | 7.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.6\% | 13.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 4.2\% | 3.2\% | 5.5\% | 61.6\% |
| 1991 | 7.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 6.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 15.4\% | 7.7\% | 54.2\% |
| 1992 | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 6.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 4.5\% | 25.0\% | 52.1\% |
| 1993 | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 5.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 8.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 6.6\% | 9.8\% | 60.7\% |
| 1994 | 9.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 78.5\% |
| 1995 | 7.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 3.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 6.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 25.3\% | 53.7\% |
| 1996 | 9.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 0.9\% | 4.8\% | 82.2\% |
| 1997 | 14.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 78.3\% |
| 1998 | 9.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 84.0\% |
| 1999 | 17.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.0\% |
| 2000 | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.2\% | 0.0\% | 78.8\% |
| 2001 | 6.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 7.0\% | 2.2\% | 6.5\% | 65.2\% |
| 2002 | 13.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 6.2\% | 5.1\% | 5.4\% | 58.2\% |
| (81-02) | 7.7\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 3.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 2.7\% | 8.0\% | 9.5\% | 60.5\% |
| (85-02) | 7.7\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 3.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 5.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 2.6\% | 8.3\% | 8.3\% | 62.2\% |

Table G.55. Percent distribution of Columbia River Upriver Bright chinook reported catch among fisheries and escapement.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Catch } \\ & \text { Year } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Central } \\ \text { Troll } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
| 1979 | 18.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 7.6\% | 4.0\% | 3.7\% | 0.1\% | 11.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 23.0\% | 1.8\% | 26.7\% |
| 1980 | 20.2\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 6.6\% | 1.6\% | 1.8\% | 0.1\% | 7.4\% | 1.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 6.4\% | 1.8\% | 50.7\% |
| 1981 | 16.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 5.6\% | 1.1\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 3.6\% | 1.0\% | 65.8\% |
| 1982 | 6.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 3.5\% | 0.2\% | 1.1\% | 0.1\% | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 2.5\% | 0.7\% | 79.2\% |
| 1983 | 15.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 1.8\% | 3.4\% | 0.2\% | 3.7\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 8.1\% | 0.0\% | 55.6\% |
| 1984 | 14.5\% | 1.1\% | 0.1\% | 8.6\% | 2.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.2\% | 7.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 15.3\% | 1.9\% | 46.3\% |
| 1985 | 9.2\% | 1.2\% | 0.2\% | 8.8\% | 0.8\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 7.9\% | 0.1\% | 1.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 32.8\% | 4.5\% | 31.5\% |
| 1986 | 10.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.1\% | 7.9\% | 1.2\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 33.1\% | 2.4\% | 35.8\% |
| 1987 | 14.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 12.4\% | 1.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 7.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 1.5\% | 35.2\% | 3.7\% | 21.2\% |
| 1988 | 10.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 7.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 11.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 47.0\% | 2.6\% | 16.9\% |
| 1989 | 11.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 14.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.6\% | 7.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 42.5\% | 2.0\% | 17.3\% |
| 1990 | 13.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 9.9\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 8.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 33.8\% | 2.4\% | 28.6\% |
| 1991 | 6.3\% | 0.4\% | 2.6\% | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 19.6\% | 4.4\% | 51.1\% |
| 1992 | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 11.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.0\% | 6.9\% | 54.9\% |
| 1993 | 10.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 17.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 15.7\% | 6.5\% | 40.4\% |
| 1994 | 9.8\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 8.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.9\% | 1.7\% | 6.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 14.2\% | 3.5\% | 53.1\% |
| 1995 | 8.1\% | 0.1\% | 1.7\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 9.9\% | 4.3\% | 67.3\% |
| 1996 | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 22.4\% | 5.4\% | 68.0\% |
| 1997 | 11.1\% | 0.3\% | 2.5\% | 4.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.0\% | 20.6\% | 11.3\% | 47.2\% |
| 1998 | 8.1\% | 1.5\% | 2.2\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 13.7\% | 6.4\% | 64.9\% |
| 1999 | 10.4\% | 0.6\% | 2.6\% | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 13.5\% | 9.7\% | 57.4\% |
| 2000 | 16.8\% | 0.1\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 0.3\% | 21.1\% | 4.6\% | 50.7\% |
| 2001 | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 1.6\% | 12.7\% | 7.7\% | 71.7\% |
| 2002 | 14.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 1.7\% | 18.2\% | 8.7\% | 51.0\% |
| (79-02) | 11.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.9\% | 5.9\% | 0.7\% | 0.9\% | 0.3\% | 5.9\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.8\% | 20.1\% | 4.3\% | 48.1\% |
| (85-02) | 9.7\% | 0.4\% | 1.1\% | 5.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 5.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.9\% | 23.5\% | 5.4\% | 46.1\% |

Table G.56. Percent distribution of Columbia River Upriver Bright chinook total fishing mortalities among fisheries and escapement.


Table G.57. Percent distribution of Hanford Wild Bright chinook reported catch among fisheries and escapement.

| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska $\qquad$ <br> Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | U.S. Sport |  |
| 1990 | 8.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 8.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 3.6\% | 0.5\% | 22.5\% | 7.0\% | 43.6\% |
| 1991 | 8.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 9.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 4.7\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 23.3\% | 4.4\% | 45.7\% |
| 1992 | 16.4\% | 1.7\% | 1.4\% | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 18.5\% | 2.8\% | 36.2\% |
| 1993 | 14.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 1.3\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% | 3.7\% | 16.1\% | 8.2\% | 42.1\% |
| 1994 | 14.4\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 4.8\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 12.4\% | 5.4\% | 55.3\% |
| 1995 | 11.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.8\% | 7.0\% | 62.0\% |
| 1996 | 9.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 28.4\% | 7.8\% | 53.5\% |
| 1997 | 16.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.9\% | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 13.9\% | 7.4\% | 53.5\% |
| 1998 | 12.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.3\% | 6.4\% | 53.5\% |
| 1999 | 10.5\% | 0.4\% | 2.1\% | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.8\% | 6.7\% | 61.3\% |
| 2000 | 16.4\% | 0.5\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 28.6\% | 5.9\% | 46.8\% |
| 2001 | 4.4\% | 1.2\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 20.1\% | 14.0\% | 58.4\% |
| 2002 | 14.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 9.9\% | 11.1\% | 57.0\% |
| (90-02) | 12.1\% | 0.4\% | 1.2\% | 4.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 3.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.8\% | 17.9\% | 7.2\% | 51.5\% |
| (90-02) | 12.1\% | 0.4\% | 1.2\% | 4.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 3.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.8\% | 17.9\% | 7.2\% | 51.5\% |


| i |
| :---: |
| N |

Table G.58. Percent distribution of Hanford Wild Bright chinook total fishing mortalities among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North <br> Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC Net | N/CBC <br> Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | U.S. <br> Sport | Escapement |
| 1990 | 9.3\% | 1.1\% | 0.4\% | 5.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 8.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 3.6\% | 0.6\% | 21.7\% | 7.4\% | 40.8\% |
| 1991 | 10.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 10.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 5.1\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 22.2\% | 4.5\% | 43.2\% |
| 1992 | 17.9\% | 5.5\% | 1.5\% | 7.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 16.4\% | 2.4\% | 31.5\% |
| 1993 | 20.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 1.2\% | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 1.9\% | 3.7\% | 14.4\% | 8.1\% | 37.0\% |
| 1994 | 17.3\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 5.3\% | 0.3\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 11.7\% | 5.5\% | 51.3\% |
| 1995 | 13.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.3\% | 7.1\% | 57.9\% |
| 1996 | 12.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 27.5\% | 8.0\% | 50.8\% |
| 1997 | 17.6\% | 1.2\% | 1.1\% | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 13.4\% | 7.7\% | 51.0\% |
| 1998 | 14.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.7\% | 6.6\% | 50.6\% |
| 1999 | 13.9\% | 1.5\% | 2.3\% | 7.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.2\% | 6.9\% | 56.4\% |
| 2000 | 19.5\% | 0.4\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 27.5\% | 6.4\% | 43.6\% |
| 2001 | 5.9\% | 2.7\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 19.5\% | 15.1\% | 54.3\% |
| 2002 | 17.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 9.5\% | 11.5\% | 53.2\% |
| (90-02) | 14.6\% | 1.1\% | 1.4\% | 4.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.6\% | 3.7\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.8\% | 17.0\% | 7.5\% | 47.8\% |
| (90-02) | 14.6\% | 1.1\% | 1.4\% | 4.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.6\% | 3.7\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.8\% | 17.0\% | 7.5\% | 47.8\% |

Table G.59. Percent distribution of Salmon River chinook reported catch among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net $\qquad$ | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | N/CBC <br> Sport | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1981 | 13.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 28.2\% | 0.6\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 17.1\% | 32.2\% |
| 1982 | 10.4\% | 1.5\% | 0.9\% | 14.4\% | 1.1\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 7.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 21.4\% | 39.9\% |
| 1983 | 20.6\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 21.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.6\% | 30.6\% |
| 1984 | 10.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.9\% | 3.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 21.5\% | 42.4\% |
| 1985 | 11.9\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 19.1\% | 1.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 19.9\% | 39.8\% |
| 1986 | 15.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.0\% | 4.7\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.2\% | 52.1\% |
| 1987 | 10.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 24.1\% | 44.8\% |
| 1988 | 9.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 16.0\% | 62.7\% |
| 1989 | 8.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 24.7\% | 46.8\% |
| 1990 | 11.9\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 10.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 1.3\% | 7.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 25.6\% | 37.9\% |
| 1991 | 18.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 15.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 5.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 24.9\% | 33.4\% |
| 1992 | 2.6\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 1.8\% | 15.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 15.9\% | 54.1\% |
| 1993 | 7.7\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 15.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 17.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 23.0\% | 30.8\% |
| 1994 | 8.8\% | 0.2\% | 1.0\% | 14.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 2.1\% | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 17.7\% | 49.0\% |
| 1995 | 6.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 4.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 30.6\% | 55.5\% |
| 1996 | 11.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 52.6\% | 31.5\% |
| 1997 | 27.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 3.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 19.2\% | 46.1\% |
| 1998 | 10.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 11.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 32.5\% | 44.4\% |
| 1999 | 12.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 36.2\% | 45.6\% |
| 2000 | 12.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 21.9\% | 61.9\% |
| 2001 | 8.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.7\% | 0.1\% | 19.2\% | 67.9\% |
| 2002 | 17.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 8.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 35.1\% | 34.7\% |
| (81-02) | 12.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 10.9\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 4.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 24.1\% | 44.7\% |
| (85-02) | 11.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 8.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.7\% | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 25.3\% | 46.6\% |

Table G.60. Percent distribution of Salmon River chinook total fishing mortalities among fisheries and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska $\qquad$ <br> Ne | Alaska Sport | North Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Central } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | U.S. <br> Net | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ | Escapement |
| 1981 | 15.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 29.9\% | 1.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 16.4\% | 27.9\% |
| 1982 | 14.2\% | 1.8\% | 0.9\% | 17.7\% | 1.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 20.2\% | 33.4\% |
| 1983 | 26.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 22.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.1\% | 26.0\% |
| 1984 | 11.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.9\% | 3.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 22.3\% | 39.4\% |
| 1985 | 14.5\% | 11.8\% | 0.0\% | 17.7\% | 1.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 20.3\% | 32.5\% |
| 1986 | 22.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.1\% | 4.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 15.7\% | 42.9\% |
| 1987 | 17.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 22.5\% | 38.6\% |
| 1988 | 15.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.7\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 15.5\% | 53.6\% |
| 1989 | 18.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 21.6\% | 34.7\% |
| 1990 | 18.6\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.8\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 1.2\% | 7.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 23.2\% | 30.3\% |
| 1991 | 23.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 16.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 6.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 23.2\% | 28.1\% |
| 1992 | 4.9\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% | 0.9\% | 0.3\% | 2.1\% | 17.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.7\% | 46.3\% |
| 1993 | 11.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | 17.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 18.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 22.1\% | 25.1\% |
| 1994 | 15.8\% | 0.4\% | 1.0\% | 15.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 2.1\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 16.9\% | 42.4\% |
| 1995 | 10.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 6.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 31.0\% | 48.7\% |
| 1996 | 20.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 0.0\% | 48.0\% | 24.7\% |
| 1997 | 32.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 3.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 18.9\% | 41.7\% |
| 1998 | 11.9\% | 1.2\% | 0.5\% | 11.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 32.9\% | 40.7\% |
| 1999 | 18.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 36.0\% | 38.7\% |
| 2000 | 17.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 23.1\% | 55.1\% |
| 2001 | 12.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 2.0\% | 0.1\% | 20.6\% | 61.7\% |
| 2002 | 21.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 9.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 34.8\% | 29.7\% |
| (81-02) | 17.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.4\% | 12.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.2\% | 0.7\% | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 23.4\% | 38.3\% |

Appendix H. Time series of Abundance Indices from 1979 to 2004 for SEAK, NBC, and WCVI AABM fisheries as estimated by CTC Chinook Model calibration CLB0404. This time series is NOT the first post-season AI and is for trend analysis only (Figure 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3, Appendix J). For evaluation of overage and underage (Tables 3.3 and 3.4), use the first post-season AI in table 3.2 instead.

| Year | SEAK | NBC | WCVI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | 0.96 | 1.04 | 1.10 |
| 1980 | 1.03 | 0.98 | 0.97 |
| 1981 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.93 |
| 1982 | 1.09 | 1.04 | 1.01 |
| 1983 | 1.26 | 1.19 | 0.93 |
| 1984 | 1.42 | 1.31 | 1.01 |
| 1985 | 1.29 | 1.27 | 0.98 |
| 1986 | 1.48 | 1.45 | 1.02 |
| 1987 | 1.75 | 1.74 | 1.17 |
| 1988 | 2.16 | 1.86 | 1.11 |
| 1989 | 1.86 | 1.68 | 0.96 |
| 1990 | 1.88 | 1.64 | 0.87 |
| 1991 | 1.80 | 1.51 | 0.73 |
| 1992 | 1.65 | 1.40 | 0.77 |
| 1993 | 1.67 | 1.40 | 0.68 |
| 1994 | 1.57 | 1.25 | 0.50 |
| 1995 | 1.04 | 0.93 | 0.39 |
| 1996 | 0.92 | 0.91 | 0.46 |
| 1997 | 1.22 | 1.11 | 0.57 |
| 1998 | 1.19 | 1.01 | 0.54 |
| 1999 | 1.08 | 0.95 | 0.48 |
| 2000 | 0.98 | 0.94 | 0.48 |
| 2001 | 1.19 | 1.26 | 0.77 |
| 2002 | 1.86 | 1.76 | 1.15 |
| 2003 | 2.17 | 1.90 | 1.10 |
| 2004 | 1.88 | 1.67 | 0.90 |

Appendix I. Model estimates of the stock composition of the AABM, and other troll and sport fisheries for 2003 and the average from 1985 to 2002.
"Catch as Percent of Fishery" represents the stock composition of a specific fishery; "Catch as Percent of All Fisheries" represents the proportion of the total catch of a stock that is caught in a specific fishery; "Percent of Total Return" represents the proportion of total return (catch + escapement) caught in a specific fishery.
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Table I.1. Southeast Alaska All Gear.

| Model Stock | 2003 | Average ( 1985-2002) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Catch as Percent of Fishery | Catch as Percent of Fishery | Catch as Percent of All Fisheries | Catch as Percent of Total Return |
| WCVI Hatchery | 14.30\% | 16.84\% | 41.95\% | 15.57\% |
| Upriver Brights | 21.21\% | 16.12\% | 25.78\% | 12.50\% |
| North/Central BC | 9.62\% | 16.03\% | 28.60\% | 10.50\% |
| Oregon Coast | 16.75\% | 13.30\% | 35.10\% | 15.44\% |
| Fraser Early | 6.44\% | 5.93\% | 26.78\% | 6.69\% |
| Mid-Columbia River Brights | 9.94\% | 4.58\% | 31.75\% | 12.17\% |
| Alaska South SE | 2.50\% | 4.36\% | 96.72\% | 35.87\% |
| Upper Strait of Georgia | 4.38\% | 4.25\% | 36.22\% | 19.97\% |
| WCVI Natural | 1.61\% | 4.00\% | 42.04\% | 15.67\% |
| Washington Coastal Wild | 1.66\% | 3.64\% | 17.52\% | 9.93\% |
| Washington Coastal Hatchery | 1.35\% | 2.83\% | 19.79\% | 9.21\% |
| Willamette River Hatchery | 1.83\% | 2.20\% | 12.82\% | 4.69\% |
| Columbia River Summer | 4.65\% | 2.11\% | 34.44\% | 13.22\% |
| Fall Cowlitz Hatchery | 1.53\% | 1.29\% | 6.51\% | 2.38\% |
| Lewis River Wild | 0.86\% | 0.89\% | 17.52\% | 6.77\% |
| Lower Strait of Georgia Hatchery | 0.40\% | 0.44\% | 3.53\% | 1.79\% |
| Lower Strait of Georgia | 0.11\% | 0.29\% | 4.04\% | 2.01\% |
| Fraser Late | 0.25\% | 0.23\% | 0.45\% | 0.14\% |
| Puget Sound Hatchery Fingerling | 0.13\% | 0.17\% | 0.47\% | 0.25\% |
| Skagit Wild | 0.07\% | 0.11\% | 4.10\% | 1.10\% |
| Cowlitz Spring Hatchery | 0.08\% | 0.08\% | 1.66\% | 0.82\% |
| Lyons Ferry | 0.19\% | 0.07\% | 8.21\% | 5.13\% |
| Stillaguamish Wild | 0.05\% | 0.07\% | 14.62\% | 5.52\% |
| Nooksack Fall | 0.02\% | 0.05\% | 0.14\% | 0.11\% |
| Puget Sound Natural Fingerling | 0.03\% | 0.05\% | 0.46\% | 0.25\% |
| Snohomish Wild | 0.03\% | 0.04\% | 3.25\% | 0.90\% |
| Puget Sound Hatchery Yearling | 0.01\% | 0.02\% | 0.47\% | 0.32\% |
| Spring Creek Hatchery | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Lower Bonneville Hatchery | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Nooksack Spring | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |

Table I.2. North B.C. Troll and Sport.

| Model Stock | 2003 | Average ( 1985-2002) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Catch as Percent of Fishery | Catch as Percent of Fishery | Catch as Percent of All Fisheries | Catch as Percent of Total Return |
| North/Central BC | 47.55\% | 45.02\% | 58.62\% | 22.71\% |
| Oregon Coast | 10.51\% | 12.15\% | 25.55\% | 12.37\% |
| Upriver Brights | 8.42\% | 7.58\% | 9.96\% | 5.13\% |
| WCVI Hatchery | 4.25\% | 6.92\% | 12.22\% | 5.20\% |
| Upper Strait of Georgia | 7.19\% | 4.20\% | 28.68\% | 16.23\% |
| Fraser Early | 3.06\% | 3.54\% | 13.14\% | 4.02\% |
| Washington Coastal Wild | 1.11\% | 3.43\% | 12.67\% | 7.86\% |
| Willamette River Hatchery | 2.37\% | 3.27\% | 13.61\% | 5.90\% |
| Washington Coastal Hatchery | 0.93\% | 2.65\% | 14.67\% | 7.32\% |
| Mid-Columbia River Brights | 3.93\% | 1.96\% | 11.82\% | 4.95\% |
| WCVI Natural | 0.44\% | 1.65\% | 12.10\% | 5.18\% |
| Columbia River Summer | 4.26\% | 1.58\% | 20.63\% | 8.38\% |
| Lower Strait of Georgia Hatchery | 1.02\% | 1.12\% | 7.26\% | 3.79\% |
| Fall Cowlitz Hatchery | 1.47\% | 1.06\% | 3.92\% | 1.58\% |
| Fraser Late | 0.67\% | 0.87\% | 1.23\% | 0.44\% |
| Lower Strait of Georgia Natural | 0.30\% | 0.65\% | 7.20\% | 3.81\% |
| Nooksack Fall | 0.33\% | 0.43\% | 1.09\% | 0.80\% |
| Skagit Wild | 0.42\% | 0.41\% | 12.48\% | 3.46\% |
| Lewis River Wild | 0.32\% | 0.37\% | 5.13\% | 2.35\% |
| Puget Sound Hatchery Fingerling | 0.37\% | 0.30\% | 0.71\% | 0.39\% |
| Cowlitz Spring Hatchery | 0.29\% | 0.24\% | 3.77\% | 2.03\% |
| Snohomish Wild | 0.28\% | 0.20\% | 11.67\% | 3.48\% |
| Alaska South SE | 0.07\% | 0.11\% | 2.19\% | 0.81\% |
| Puget Sound Hatchery Yearling | 0.11\% | 0.09\% | 1.55\% | 1.04\% |
| Puget Sound Natural Fingerling | 0.07\% | 0.08\% | 0.62\% | 0.35\% |
| Stillaguamish Wild | 0.06\% | 0.04\% | 7.36\% | 2.89\% |
| Lyons Ferry | 0.13\% | 0.04\% | 5.41\% | 3.69\% |
| Spring Creek Hatchery | 0.05\% | 0.01\% | 0.05\% | 0.04\% |
| Nooksack Spring | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 1.02\% | 0.41\% |
| Lower Bonneville Hatchery | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |

Table I.3. Central B.C. Troll.

|  | 2003 | Average ( $1985-2002)$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Model Stock | Catch as | Catch as | Catch as | Catch as |
|  | Percent of <br> Fishery | Percent of <br> Fishery | Percent of All <br> Fisheries | Percent of <br> Total Return |
| Fraser Late | $18.91 \%$ | $21.18 \%$ | $2.36 \%$ | $1.27 \%$ |
| WCVI Hatchery | $16.42 \%$ | $17.28 \%$ | $3.55 \%$ | $1.54 \%$ |
| Upriver Brights | $11.58 \%$ | $8.26 \%$ | $1.04 \%$ | $0.58 \%$ |
| North/Central BC | $5.43 \%$ | $6.77 \%$ | $1.26 \%$ | $0.45 \%$ |
| Upper Strait of Georgia | $8.80 \%$ | $5.90 \%$ | $4.01 \%$ | $2.48 \%$ |
| WCVI Natural | $1.47 \%$ | $4.01 \%$ | $3.49 \%$ | $1.53 \%$ |
| Fraser Early | $4.25 \%$ | $3.58 \%$ | $1.17 \%$ | $0.39 \%$ |
| Washington Coastal Wild | $1.76 \%$ | $3.45 \%$ | $1.32 \%$ | $0.86 \%$ |
| Columbia River Summer | $8.50 \%$ | $3.35 \%$ | $3.99 \%$ | $1.88 \%$ |
| Lower Strait of Georgia Hatchery | $2.35 \%$ | $2.98 \%$ | $1.71 \%$ | $1.12 \%$ |
| Washington Coastal Hatchery | $1.47 \%$ | $2.72 \%$ | $1.54 \%$ | $0.79 \%$ |
| Mid-Columbia River Brights | $5.28 \%$ | $2.31 \%$ | $1.23 \%$ | $0.59 \%$ |
| Lower Bonneville Hatchery | $2.79 \%$ | $2.00 \%$ | $0.90 \%$ | $0.47 \%$ |
| Oregon Coast | $2.20 \%$ | $1.80 \%$ | $0.39 \%$ | $0.20 \%$ |
| Nooksack Fall | $1.03 \%$ | $1.73 \%$ | $0.42 \%$ | $0.35 \%$ |
| Lower Strait of Georgia Natural | $0.73 \%$ | $1.70 \%$ | $1.63 \%$ | $1.11 \%$ |
| Puget Sound Hatchery Fingerling | $1.61 \%$ | $1.42 \%$ | $0.29 \%$ | $0.20 \%$ |
| Skagit Wild | $1.03 \%$ | $1.05 \%$ | $2.38 \%$ | $0.95 \%$ |
| Lewis River Wild | $0.73 \%$ | $0.62 \%$ | $0.76 \%$ | $0.40 \%$ |
| Snohomish Wild | $0.73 \%$ | $0.51 \%$ | $1.89 \%$ | $0.97 \%$ |
| Puget Sound Natural Fingerling | $0.29 \%$ | $0.44 \%$ | $0.29 \%$ | $0.20 \%$ |
| Spring Creek Hatchery | $1.32 \%$ | $0.33 \%$ | $0.11 \%$ | $0.09 \%$ |
| Puget Sound Hatchery Yearling | $0.29 \%$ | $0.30 \%$ | $0.42 \%$ | $0.33 \%$ |
| Willamette River Hatchery | $0.29 \%$ | $0.27 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.05 \%$ |
| Cowlitz Spring Hatchery | $0.15 \%$ | $0.15 \%$ | $0.21 \%$ | $0.15 \%$ |
| Fall Cowlitz Hatchery | $0.15 \%$ | $0.14 \%$ | $0.05 \%$ | $0.03 \%$ |
| Stillaguamish Wild | $0.15 \%$ | $0.12 \%$ | $1.92 \%$ | $0.95 \%$ |
| Lyons Ferry | $0.29 \%$ | $0.08 \%$ | $0.74 \%$ | $0.55 \%$ |
| Nooksack Spring | $0.00 \%$ | $0.02 \%$ | $0.35 \%$ | $0.18 \%$ |
| Alaska South SE | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.01 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table I.4. WCVI Troll and Outside Sport.

| Model Stock | 2003 | Average ( $1985-2002$ ) |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Catch as | Catch as | Catch as | Catch as |
|  | Percent of <br> Fishery | Percent of <br> Fishery | Percent of All <br> Fisheries | Total Return |
| Fraser Late | $16.26 \%$ | $20.45 \%$ | $19.59 \%$ | $9.69 \%$ |
| Upriver Brights | $10.75 \%$ | $10.56 \%$ | $11.39 \%$ | $5.99 \%$ |
| Puget Sound Hatchery Fingerling | $8.45 \%$ | $9.01 \%$ | $15.42 \%$ | $9.69 \%$ |
| Fall Cowlitz Hatchery | $7.88 \%$ | $7.94 \%$ | $26.03 \%$ | $12.21 \%$ |
| Lower Bonneville Hatchery | $6.28 \%$ | $7.04 \%$ | $30.94 \%$ | $15.00 \%$ |
| WCVI Hatchery | $3.80 \%$ | $6.48 \%$ | $10.43 \%$ | $4.61 \%$ |
| Spring Creek Hatchery | $17.06 \%$ | $6.17 \%$ | $15.26 \%$ | $12.10 \%$ |
| Nooksack Fall | $2.66 \%$ | $5.44 \%$ | $11.06 \%$ | $8.76 \%$ |
| Oregon Coast | $5.47 \%$ | $5.08 \%$ | $9.32 \%$ | $4.40 \%$ |
| Mid-Columbia River Brights | $4.48 \%$ | $3.10 \%$ | $13.75 \%$ | $6.10 \%$ |
| Puget Sound Natural Fingerling | $1.83 \%$ | $2.72 \%$ | $14.74 \%$ | $9.82 \%$ |
| Columbia River Summers | $4.66 \%$ | $2.26 \%$ | $24.60 \%$ | $10.92 \%$ |
| Washington Coastal Wild | $0.90 \%$ | $2.07 \%$ | $6.45 \%$ | $4.04 \%$ |
| Willamette River Hatchery | $1.88 \%$ | $1.92 \%$ | $6.20 \%$ | $2.89 \%$ |
| Washington Coastal Hatchery | $0.80 \%$ | $1.67 \%$ | $7.77 \%$ | $3.87 \%$ |
| WCVI Natural | $0.31 \%$ | $1.54 \%$ | $10.40 \%$ | $4.61 \%$ |
| Fraser Early | $1.02 \%$ | $1.30 \%$ | $3.59 \%$ | $1.10 \%$ |
| Skagit Wild | $0.94 \%$ | $0.93 \%$ | $19.32 \%$ | $6.71 \%$ |
| Lewis River Wild | $0.74 \%$ | $0.86 \%$ | $11.27 \%$ | $5.25 \%$ |
| Puget Sound Hatchery Yearling | $0.61 \%$ | $0.78 \%$ | $9.60 \%$ | $7.31 \%$ |
| Cowlitz Spring Hatchery | $0.83 \%$ | $0.60 \%$ | $7.15 \%$ | $4.75 \%$ |
| Snohomish Wild | $0.57 \%$ | $0.46 \%$ | $16.36 \%$ | $6.78 \%$ |
| Lower Strait of Georgia Hatchery | $0.28 \%$ | $0.41 \%$ | $1.98 \%$ | $1.18 \%$ |
| North/Central BC | $0.25 \%$ | $0.37 \%$ | $0.47 \%$ | $0.17 \%$ |
| Lyons Ferry | $0.91 \%$ | $0.31 \%$ | $23.95 \%$ | $16.60 \%$ |
| Lower Strait of Georgia Natural | $0.09 \%$ | $0.26 \%$ | $1.96 \%$ | $1.20 \%$ |
| Stillaguamish Wild | $0.13 \%$ | $0.11 \%$ | $14.01 \%$ | $6.41 \%$ |
| Upper Georgia Strait | $0.09 \%$ | $0.09 \%$ | $0.58 \%$ | $0.35 \%$ |
| Nooksack Spring | $0.07 \%$ | $0.05 \%$ | $8.22 \%$ | $3.56 \%$ |
|  | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |  |

Table I.5. Georgia Strait Sport and Troll.

| Model Stock | 2003 | Average ( 1985-2002) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Catch as Percent of Fishery | Catch as Percent of Fishery | Catch as Percent of All Fisheries | Catch as Percent of Total Return |
| Fraser Late | 50.85\% | 48.91\% | 43.71\% | 21.57\% |
| Nooksack Fall | 7.47\% | 10.65\% | 19.84\% | 15.28\% |
| Lower Strait of Georgia Hatchery | 9.19\% | 10.43\% | 47.56\% | 28.27\% |
| Lower Strait of Georgia Natural | 2.80\% | 6.63\% | 48.37\% | 29.91\% |
| Puget Sound Hatchery Fingerling | 6.07\% | 4.92\% | 8.00\% | 4.88\% |
| Fraser Early | 4.83\% | 3.92\% | 9.89\% | 2.77\% |
| Upper Strait of Georgia | 4.41\% | 2.54\% | 12.95\% | 7.44\% |
| Puget Sound Hatchery Yearling | 1.92\% | 1.79\% | 19.74\% | 14.65\% |
| Puget Sound Natural Fingerling | 1.25\% | 1.44\% | 7.31\% | 4.65\% |
| Skagit Wild | 1.29\% | 1.14\% | 22.81\% | 7.63\% |
| Upriver Brights | 1.30\% | 1.13\% | 1.08\% | 0.56\% |
| Washington Coastal Wild | 0.44\% | 0.92\% | 2.72\% | 1.65\% |
| Lower Bonneville Hatchery | 1.00\% | 0.81\% | 3.29\% | 1.40\% |
| WCVI Hatchery | 0.77\% | 0.79\% | 1.34\% | 0.48\% |
| Washington Coastal Hatchery | 0.41\% | 0.74\% | 3.20\% | 1.59\% |
| Spring Creek Hatchery | 2.50\% | 0.68\% | 1.53\% | 1.20\% |
| Snohomish Wild | 0.94\% | 0.57\% | 20.63\% | 7.64\% |
| North/Central BC | 0.37\% | 0.41\% | 0.52\% | 0.19\% |
| Nooksack Spring | 0.47\% | 0.39\% | 56.85\% | 25.50\% |
| Mid-Columbia River Brights | 0.55\% | 0.33\% | 1.38\% | 0.59\% |
| Columbia River Summer | 0.56\% | 0.28\% | 2.89\% | 1.22\% |
| Stillaguamish Wild | 0.24\% | 0.19\% | 22.11\% | 9.79\% |
| WCVI Natural | 0.09\% | 0.18\% | 1.32\% | 0.47\% |
| Willamette River Hatchery | 0.19\% | 0.12\% | 0.38\% | 0.16\% |
| Cowlitz Spring Hatchery | 0.05\% | 0.04\% | 0.43\% | 0.25\% |
| Lewis River Wild | 0.00\% | 0.02\% | 0.22\% | 0.12\% |
| Fall Cowlitz Hatchery | 0.00\% | 0.02\% | 0.04\% | 0.02\% |
| Lyons Ferry | 0.01\% | 0.00\% | 0.09\% | 0.06\% |
| Oregon Coast | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Alaska South SE | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |

Table I.6. Washington/Oregon Troll and Sport.

| Model Stock | 2003 | Average ( $1985-2002$ ) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | Catch as | Catch as | Catch as | Catch as |
|  | Percent of <br> Fishery | Percent of <br> Fishery | Percent of All <br> Fisheries | Total Return |
| Fraser Late | $10.50 \%$ | $20.95 \%$ | $12.08 \%$ | $5.37 \%$ |
| Spring Creek Hatchery | $42.04 \%$ | $20.68 \%$ | $29.18 \%$ | $23.44 \%$ |
| Fall Cowlitz Hatchery | $16.53 \%$ | $20.00 \%$ | $39.07 \%$ | $17.02 \%$ |
| Lower Bonneville Hatchery | $8.59 \%$ | $13.33 \%$ | $37.41 \%$ | $16.12 \%$ |
| Upriver Brights | $3.86 \%$ | $3.95 \%$ | $2.54 \%$ | $1.31 \%$ |
| Cowlitz Spring Hatchery | $4.62 \%$ | $3.85 \%$ | $30.10 \%$ | $17.10 \%$ |
| Puget Sound Hatchery Fingerling | $2.52 \%$ | $3.74 \%$ | $3.65 \%$ | $2.16 \%$ |
| Nooksack Fall | $0.79 \%$ | $2.24 \%$ | $2.45 \%$ | $1.90 \%$ |
| Oregon Coast | $2.02 \%$ | $2.10 \%$ | $2.20 \%$ | $0.99 \%$ |
| Willamette River Hatchery | $1.81 \%$ | $1.91 \%$ | $3.84 \%$ | $1.61 \%$ |
| Lewis River Wild | $1.19 \%$ | $1.41 \%$ | $12.03 \%$ | $4.73 \%$ |
| Washington Coastal Wild | $0.38 \%$ | $1.17 \%$ | $1.88 \%$ | $1.12 \%$ |
| Mid-Columbia River Brights | $1.66 \%$ | $1.16 \%$ | $3.04 \%$ | $1.29 \%$ |
| Puget Sound Natural Fingerling | $0.54 \%$ | $1.12 \%$ | $3.46 \%$ | $2.13 \%$ |
| Washington Coastal Hatchery | $0.35 \%$ | $0.96 \%$ | $2.30 \%$ | $1.09 \%$ |
| Columbia River Summer | $0.98 \%$ | $0.50 \%$ | $3.22 \%$ | $1.34 \%$ |
| Lyons Ferry | $1.21 \%$ | $0.46 \%$ | $19.92 \%$ | $13.39 \%$ |
| Fraser Early | $0.22 \%$ | $0.17 \%$ | $0.34 \%$ | $0.09 \%$ |
| PS Yearling | $0.08 \%$ | $0.13 \%$ | $0.91 \%$ | $0.65 \%$ |
| Alaska South SE | $0.04 \%$ | $0.08 \%$ | $0.70 \%$ | $0.25 \%$ |
| Lower Strait of Georgia Hatchery | $0.03 \%$ | $0.03 \%$ | $0.11 \%$ | $0.06 \%$ |
| WCVI Hatchery | $0.01 \%$ | $0.02 \%$ | $0.03 \%$ | $0.01 \%$ |
| Lower Strait of Georgia Natural | $0.01 \%$ | $0.02 \%$ | $0.11 \%$ | $0.06 \%$ |
| WCVI Wild | $0.00 \%$ | $0.01 \%$ | $0.03 \%$ | $0.01 \%$ |
| Skagit Wild | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.03 \%$ | $0.01 \%$ |
| Snohomish Wild | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.03 \%$ | $0.01 \%$ |
| Upper Strait of Georgia | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Stillaguamish Wild | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| North/Central BC | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
|  | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |  |

Appendix J. Abundance indices in total and by model stock for AABM fisheries, from Calibration \#0404.
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Table J.1. Abundance indices (AIs) for the Southeast Alaska troll fishery by model stock and year (stock groups 1-15), from CLB 0404.

Numbers represent the model stock contribution to the total AI: the summation across all 30 stocks and stock groups equals the AI total for each calendar year.

| Year | Alaska South SE | North <br> Central | Fraser <br> Early | Fraser <br> Late | WCVI <br> Hatchery | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Natural } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Georg. St. Upper | Georg. St. <br> Lwr. Nat. | Georg. St. <br> Lwr. Hat. | Nooksack Fall | Pug. Snd. <br> Fingerling | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pug. Snd. } \\ & \text { Nat. F. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Pug. Snd. Yearling | Nooksack Spring | Skagit <br> Wild | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{AI} \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | 0.03 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.96 |
| 1980 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.05 | - 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.03 |
| 1981 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.04 | - 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.92 |
| 1982 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.09 |
| 1983 | 0.06 | 0.17 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.31 | 0.15 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.26 |
| 1984 | 0.06 | 0.19 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.42 |
| 1985 | 0.06 | 0.21 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.29 |
| 1986 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.48 |
| 1987 | 0.08 | 0.24 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.75 |
| 1988 | 0.07 | 0.25 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.16 |
| 1989 | 0.05 | 0.27 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.86 |
| 1990 | 0.03 | 0.27 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.88 |
| 1991 | 0.04 | 0.28 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.61 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.80 |
| 1992 | 0.04 | 0.27 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.57 | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.65 |
| 1993 | 0.04 | 0.25 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.54 | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.67 |
| 1994 | 0.03 | 0.23 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.43 | 0.11 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.57 |
| 1995 | 0.03 | 0.23 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.04 |
| 1996 | 0.03 | 0.23 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.92 |
| 1997 | 0.03 | 0.24 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.22 |
| 1998 | 0.04 | 0.23 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.19 |
| 1999 | 0.05 | 0.25 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.08 |
| 2000 | 0.05 | 0.27 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.98 |
| 2001 | 0.06 | 0.26 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.19 |
| 2002 | 0.05 | 0.26 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.86 |
| 2003 | 0.05 | 0.26 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.31 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.17 |
| 2004 | 0.04 | 0.25 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.02 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.88 |
| Average | 0.05 | 0.23 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.43 |

Table J.1. Page 2 of 2 (stock groups 16-30).

| Year | Stillaguamish Wild | nohomish WA Wild | WA Co. <br> Hat. | Upriver <br> Brights | Spring <br> Ck. Hat. | L. Bonn. Hatchery | Fall Cow. Hatchery | Lewis R. <br> Wild | Willamette <br> R. Hat | Spr. Cow. Hatchery | Col. R. <br> Summer | Oregon <br> Coast | $\begin{gathered} \text { WA Co. } \\ \text { Wild } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Lyons Ferry | Mid. Col. <br> R. Brights | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{AI} \\ \text { Total } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.20 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.96 |
| 1980 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.15 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.03 |
| 1981 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.13 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.92 |
| 1982 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.09 |
| 1983 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.20 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 1.26 |
| 1984 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.27 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 1.42 |
| 1985 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.24 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.29 |
| 1986 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.29 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 1.48 |
| 1987 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.51 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.34 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 1.75 |
| 1988 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.55 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.31 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 2.16 |
| 1989 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.24 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 1.86 |
| 1990 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.25 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 1.88 |
| 1991 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.24 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 1.80 |
| 1992 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.20 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 1.65 |
| 1993 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.19 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 1.67 |
| 1994 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.24 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 1.57 |
| 1995 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.17 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 1.04 |
| 1996 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.13 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.92 |
| 1997 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 1.22 |
| 1998 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 1.19 |
| 1999 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 1.08 |
| 2000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.12 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.98 |
| 2001 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.19 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 1.19 |
| 2002 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.32 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 1.86 |
| 2003 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.36 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 2.17 |
| 2004 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.33 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 1.88 |
| Average | - 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.22 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 1.43 |

Table J.2. Abundance indices (AIs) for the Northern BC troll fishery by stock and year (stock groups 1-15) ), from CLB 0404.
Numbers represent the model stock contribution to the total AI: the summation across all 30 stocks and stock groups equals the AI total for each calendar year.

|  | Year | Alaska <br> South SE | North Central | Fraser Early | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fraser } \\ \text { Late } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | WCVI <br> Hatchery | WCVI <br> Natural | Georg. St. Upper | Georg. St. <br> Lwr. Nat. | Georg. St. Lwr. Hat. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nooksack } \\ \text { Fall } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Pug. Snd. <br> Fingerling | Pug. Snd. <br> Nat. F. | Pug. Snd. Yearling | Nooksack Spring | Skagit Wild | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{AI} \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1979 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 1.04 |
|  | 1980 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.98 |
|  | 1981 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.94 |
|  | 1982 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.04 |
|  | 1983 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.17 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.19 |
|  | 1984 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.31 |
|  | 1985 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.27 |
|  | 1986 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.45 |
|  | 1987 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.74 |
|  | 1988 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.86 |
| $\stackrel{4}{5}$ | 1989 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.21 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.68 |
| ล) | 1990 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.29 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.64 |
|  | 1991 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.34 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.51 |
|  | 1992 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.33 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.40 |
|  | 1993 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.30 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.40 |
|  | 1994 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.25 |
|  | 1995 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.93 |
|  | 1996 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.91 |
|  | 1997 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.01 | 0.12 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.11 |
|  | 1998 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.11 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.01 |
|  | 1999 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.95 |
|  | 2000 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.94 |
|  | 2001 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.26 |
|  | 2002 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.12 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.76 |
|  | 2003 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.17 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.90 |
|  | 2004 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.17 | 0.01 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.67 |
|  | Average | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.31 |

Table J.2. Page 2 of 2 (stock groups 16-30).

| Year | Stillaguamish Wild | nohomish Wild | WA Co. Hatchery | Upriver <br> Brights | Spring <br> Ck. Hat. | L. Bonn. Hatchery | Fall Cow. Hatchery | Lewis R. Wild | Willamette R. Hat. | Spr. Cow. Hatchery | Col. R. <br> Summer | Oregon Coast | $\begin{gathered} \text { WA Co. } \\ \text { Wild } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Lyons Ferry | Mid. Col. <br> R. Brights | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{AI} \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.27 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.04 |
| 1980 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.21 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.98 |
| 1981 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.19 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.94 |
| 1982 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.23 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.04 |
| 1983 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.31 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 1.19 |
| 1984 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.37 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.31 |
| 1985 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.37 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.27 |
| 1986 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.11 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.41 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 1.45 |
| 1987 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.46 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 1.74 |
| 1988 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.39 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 1.86 |
| 1989 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.32 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 1.68 |
| 1990 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.33 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 1.64 |
| 1991 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.29 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 1.51 |
| 1992 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.27 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 1.40 |
| 1993 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.29 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 1.40 |
| 1994 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.29 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 1.25 |
| 1995 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.22 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.93 |
| 1996 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.19 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.91 |
| 1997 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.21 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 1.11 |
| 1998 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.19 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 1.01 |
| 1999 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.16 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.95 |
| 2000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.20 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.94 |
| 2001 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.31 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 1.26 |
| 2002 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.46 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 1.76 |
| 2003 | 0.00 | 0.00 | - 0.04 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.12 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.47 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 1.90 |
| 2004 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.42 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 1.67 |
| Average | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.30 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 1.31 |

Table J.3. Abundance indices (AIs) for the WCVI troll fishery by stock and year (stock groups 1-15) ), from CLB 0404.
Numbers represent the portion of the AI total estimated for each model stock; the summation across all 30 stock groups equals the AI total for each.

|  |  | Alaska | North | Fraser | Fraser | WCVI | WCVI | Georg. St. | Georg. St. | Georg. St. | Nooksack | Pug. Snd. | Pug. Snd. | Pug. Snd. | Nooksack | Skagit | AI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year | South SE | Central | Early | Late | Hatchery | Natural | Upper | Lwr. Nat. | Lwr. Hat. | Fall | Fingerling | Nat. F. | Year. | Spring | Wild | Total |
|  | 1979 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.28 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 1.10 |
|  | 1980 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.21 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.97 |
|  | 1981 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.93 |
|  | 1982 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.26 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.01 |
|  | 1983 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.24 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.93 |
|  | 1984 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.27 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 1.01 |
|  | 1985 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.29 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.11 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.98 |
|  | 1986 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.24 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.02 |
|  | 1987 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.12 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.17 |
|  | 1988 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.11 |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1}$ | 1989 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.18 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.96 |
| - | 1990 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.22 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.87 |
|  | 1991 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.16 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.73 |
|  | 1992 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.22 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.77 |
|  | 1993 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.17 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.68 |
|  | 1994 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 |
|  | 1995 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 |
|  | 1996 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.46 |
|  | 1997 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.17 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.57 |
|  | 1998 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.18 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.54 |
|  | 1999 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.11 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.48 |
|  | 2000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.11 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.48 |
|  | 2001 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.11 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.77 |
|  | 2002 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.18 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.15 |
|  | 2003 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.18 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.10 |
|  | 2004 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.90 |
|  | Average | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.17 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.83 |

Table J.3. Page 2 of 2 (stock groups 16-30).

| Year | Stillaguamish Wild | nohomish Wild | WA Co. Hatchery | Upriver Brights | Spring Ck. Hat. | L. Bonn. Hatchery | Fall Cow. Hatchery | Lewis R. Wild | Willamette R. Hat. | Spr. Cow. Hatchery | Col. R. Summer | Oregon Coastal | WA Co. Wild | Lyons <br> Ferry | Mid. Col. <br> R. Brights | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{AI} \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.10 |
| 1980 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.97 |
| 1981 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.93 |
| 1982 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.13 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.01 |
| 1983 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.93 |
| 1984 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.01 |
| 1985 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.98 |
| 1986 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.15 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 1.02 |
| 1987 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.18 | 0.01 | 0.25 | 0.18 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 1.17 |
| 1988 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.03 | 0.13 | 0.27 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 1.11 |
| 1989 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.96 |
| 1990 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.87 |
| 1991 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.73 |
| 1992 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.77 |
| 1993 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.68 |
| 1994 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.50 |
| 1995 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.39 |
| 1996 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.46 |
| 1997 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.57 |
| 1998 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.54 |
| 1999 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.48 |
| 2000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.48 |
| 2001 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.77 |
| 2002 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.19 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 1.15 |
| 2003 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 1.10 |
| 2004 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.90 |
| Average | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.83 |

Appendix K. Fishery indices by stock, age and fishery, 1975-2002.
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Table K.1. Alaska troll Stratified Proportion Fishery Index (SPFI) values as landed catch.

|  | YEAR | SPFI |  | WIN/SPR | UNE | JNE O | ULY IN | LY O | FALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1979 | 0.92 |  | 1.17 | 0.65 | 1.14 | 0.56 | 0.86 | 0.86 |
|  | 1980 | 1.10 |  | 0.63 | 1.18 | 0.86 | 0.98 | 1.33 | 1.33 |
|  | 1981 | 1.12 |  | 1.23 | 0.67 | 1.07 | 1.30 | 1.18 | 1.18 |
|  | 1982 | 0.85 |  | 0.97 | 1.51 | 0.93 | 1.15 | 0.63 | 0.63 |
|  | 1983 | 0.96 |  | 0.92 | 0.91 | 0.69 | 1.01 | 1.23 | 1.23 |
|  | 1984 | 0.66 |  | 0.34 | 1.49 | 1.05 | 0.35 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  | 1985 | 0.68 |  | 0.41 | 1.02 | 0.65 | 0.76 | 0.78 | 0.78 |
|  | 1986 | 0.50 |  | 0.38 | 0.58 | 0.18 | 0.68 | 1.27 | 1.27 |
|  | 1987 | 0.50 |  | 0.53 | 0.82 | 0.20 | 1.51 | 0.65 | 0.65 |
|  | 1988 | 0.43 |  | 1.26 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 1.43 | 0.66 | 0.66 |
| T | 1989 | 0.52 |  | 0.77 | 0.84 | 0.13 | 0.67 | 0.56 | 0.56 |
| $\checkmark$ | 1990 | 0.77 |  | 0.61 | 1.31 | 0.12 | 1.56 | 1.17 | 1.17 |
|  | 1991 | 0.64 |  | 1.35 | 1.39 | 0.23 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.73 |
|  | 1992 | 0.43 |  | 0.95 | 0.84 | 0.08 | 0.29 | 0.38 | 0.38 |
|  | 1993 | 0.47 |  | 0.69 | 0.35 | 0.02 | 0.38 | 0.86 | 0.86 |
|  | 1994 | 0.49 |  | 0.63 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.38 | 0.69 | 0.69 |
|  | 1995 | 0.52 |  | 0.45 | 0.40 | 0.06 | 1.17 | 0.84 | 0.84 |
|  | 1996 | 0.45 |  | 0.53 | 0.82 | 0.10 | 0.61 | 0.57 | 0.57 |
|  | 1997 | 0.89 |  | 0.60 | 0.71 | 0.18 | 0.21 | 1.57 | 1.57 |
|  | 1998 | 0.50 |  | 0.77 | 0.19 | 0.06 | 0.72 | 0.98 | 0.98 |
|  | 1999 | 0.69 |  | 0.78 | 0.34 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 1.05 | 1.05 |
|  | 2000 | 0.52 |  | 0.86 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 1.46 | 1.46 |
|  | 2001 | 0.33 |  | 0.53 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.17 | 0.55 | 0.55 |
|  | 2002 | 0.43 |  | 0.36 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 1.01 | 1.01 |
|  | ER Stock Identifiers: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Alaska Southeast | Age 4 | Age 5 | Age 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Quinsam | Age 4 | Age 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Robertson Creek | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Salmon River Hatchery | Age 4 | Age 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Columbia Upriver Brights | Age 4 | Age 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Willamette Spring Hatchery | Age 4 | Age 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table K.2. Alaska troll Stratified Proportion Fishery Index (SPFI) values as total mortality.

| YEAR | SPFI |  | WIN/SPR JUNE IN JUNE OUT JULY IN JULY OUT FALL |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | 0.90 |  | 1.13 | 0.64 | 1.14 | 0.54 | 0.83 | 0.83 |
| 1980 | 1.01 |  | 0.60 | 1.08 | 0.82 | 0.81 | 1.19 | 1.19 |
| 1981 | 1.13 |  | 1.24 | 0.68 | 1.10 | 1.17 | 1.20 | 1.20 |
| 1982 | 0.96 |  | 1.03 | 1.60 | 0.95 | 1.48 | 0.77 | 0.77 |
| 1983 | 1.09 |  | 0.90 | 0.97 | 0.70 | 0.88 | 1.66 | 1.66 |
| 1984 | 0.65 |  | 0.35 | 1.47 | 1.05 | 0.34 | 0.49 | 0.49 |
| 1985 | 0.80 |  | 0.43 | 0.99 | 0.64 | 0.72 | 1.07 | 1.07 |
| 1986 | 0.59 |  | 0.43 | 0.60 | 0.18 | 0.76 | 1.60 | 1.60 |
| 1987 | 0.59 |  | 0.55 | 0.76 | 0.19 | 2.32 | 0.78 | 0.78 |
| 1988 | 0.45 |  | 1.20 | 0.19 | 0.01 | 1.65 | 0.67 | 0.67 |
| 1989 | 0.56 |  | 0.74 | 0.80 | 0.13 | 0.92 | 0.60 | 0.60 |
| 1990 | 1.05 |  | 0.76 | 1.41 | 0.14 | 1.50 | 1.72 | 1.72 |
| 1991 | 0.68 |  | 1.28 | 1.29 | 0.22 | 0.99 | 0.77 | 0.77 |
| 1992 | 0.51 |  | 0.91 | 0.78 | 0.07 | 0.32 | 0.57 | 0.57 |
| 1993 | 0.54 |  | 0.66 | 0.32 | 0.02 | 0.41 | 1.06 | 1.06 |
| 1994 | 0.60 |  | 0.61 | 0.18 | 0.04 | 0.52 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| 1995 | 0.63 |  | 0.46 | 0.42 | 0.06 | 1.21 | 1.04 | 1.04 |
| 1996 | 0.54 |  | 0.54 | 0.78 | 0.11 | 0.66 | 0.71 | 0.71 |
| 1997 | 0.89 |  | 0.59 | 0.66 | 0.17 | 0.24 | 1.54 | 1.54 |
| 1998 | 0.48 |  | 0.75 | 0.19 | 0.06 | 0.62 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| 1999 | 0.73 |  | 0.77 | 0.32 | 0.14 | 0.21 | 1.12 | 1.12 |
| 2000 | 0.54 |  | 0.87 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.20 | 1.54 | 1.54 |
| 2001 | 0.35 |  | 0.51 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.24 | 0.60 | 0.60 |
| 2002 | 0.41 |  | 0.33 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| ER Stock Identifiers: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alaska Southeast | Age 4 | Age 5 | Age 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quinsam | Age 4 | Age 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Robertson Creek | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salmon River Hatchery | Age 4 | Age 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Columbia Upriver Brights | Age 4 | Age 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Willamette Spring Hatchery | Age 4 | Age 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table K.3. Landed catch exploitation rate indices by stock and age in the NBC troll fishery. Base period is 1979-1982.


Stock Identifiers
AKS = ALASKA SPRING, QUI = QUINSAM, RBT = ROBERTSON CREEK, SRH = SALMON RIVER HATCHERY
URB = COLUMBIA UPRIVER BRIGHT, WSH = WILLAMETTE SPRING

Table K.4. Total mortality exploitation rate indices by stock and age in the NBC troll fishery. Base period is 1979-1982.


Stock Identifiers
AKS = ALASKA SPRING, QUI = QUINSAM, RBT = ROBERTSON CREEK, SRH = SALMON RIVER HATCHERY, URB = COLUMBIA UPRIVER BRIGHT WSH = WILLAMETTE SPRING

Table K.5. Landed catch exploitation rates and exploitation rate indices by stock and age in the WCVI troll fishery. Base period is 1979-1982. (stocks 1 to 7)

|  |  |  |  |  |  | ORTED | TCH EXP | ITATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | CWF | GAD | GAD | LRH | LRH | LRW | RBT | RBT | RBT | SAM | SAM | SPR | SPR |
|  | Year | Age 4 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 4 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 5 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 3 | Age 4 |
|  | 1979 | NA | NA | NA | 1.065 | NA | NA | 1.151 | 1.266 | NA | NA | 1 | 0.968 | 0.819 |
|  | 1980 | NA | NA | NA | 0.592 | 0.818 | NA | 1.377 | 1.416 | NA | NA | NA | 1.175 | 1.364 |
|  | 1981 | 0.782 | 0.714 | NA | 1.162 | 0.834 | 0.842 | 0.705 | 0.583 | 1 | NA | NA | 0.947 | 0.657 |
|  | 1982 | 1.218 | 1.286 | 1 | 1.182 | 1.348 | 1.158 | 0.767 | 0.735 | NA | 1 | NA | 0.909 | 1.16 |
|  | 1983 | 1.41 | NA | 1.395 | 1.768 | 1.767 | 0.972 | 0.35 | 0.682 | 2.506 | NA | 0.95 | 1.498 | 0.973 |
|  | 1984 | 1.353 | 2.079 | NA | 2.228 | 2.934 | NA | 1.299 | 1.01 | 1.713 | NA | NA | 1.371 | 1.457 |
| $\pi$ | 1985 | 0.937 | NA | 0.837 | 1.285 | 1.184 | NA | 0.628 | 0 | NA | NA | NA | 0.566 | 1.097 |
| $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ | 1986 | 1.318 | NA | NA | 1.303 | 1.189 | 0.466 | NA | 0.566 | NA | NA | NA | 1.213 | 0.919 |
| $\checkmark$ | 1987 | 0.878 | NA | NA | 0.967 | NA | 1.446 | 0.273 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.465 | NA |
|  | 1988 | 0.863 | 0.431 | NA | 1.155 | 1.426 | 1.049 | 0.452 | 0.571 | NA | 0.557 | NA | 1.005 | NA |
|  | 1989 | 0.54 | 0.254 | 0.493 | 0.295 | 0.592 | 0.562 | 0.169 | 0.341 | 0 | 0.191 | 0.617 | 0.593 | 0.409 |
|  | 1990 | 0.736 | 1.099 | 0.946 | 1.189 | 0.437 | 1.204 | 0.675 | 0.556 | 1.538 | 0.374 | 0.867 | 0.937 | 0.75 |
|  | 1991 | NA | NA | 0.947 | 0.829 | NA | 0.74 | 0.61 | 0.547 | 0.737 | 0.23 | 0.587 | 0.605 | 0.66 |
|  | 1992 | 1.179 | NA | 0.457 | 0.677 | NA | 0.317 | 1.703 | 2.472 | 5.236 | 0.974 | 0.273 | 0.437 | 0.767 |
|  | 1993 | NA | NA | NA | 1.124 | 0.709 | NA | 1.177 | 2.252 | 2.45 | 1.055 | 0.434 | 0.548 | 1.034 |
|  | 1994 | 0.12 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.222 | 0.619 | 0.737 | 1.398 | 0.079 | 0.71 | 0.848 | 0.664 |
|  | 1995 | NA | 0.222 | NA | NA | NA | 0.428 | NA | 0.44 | 0.37 | 0.146 | 0.398 | 0.361 | 0.361 |
|  | 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | 0 | NA | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA |
|  | 1997 | 0.348 | NA | 0.2 | 0.741 | NA | NA | 0 | 0.065 | NA | 0.021 | 0.241 | 0.508 | 0.48 |
|  | 1998 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 | NA | NA | 0.088 | 0.046 | 0 |
|  | 1999 | NA | 0.05 | NA | 0.099 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 | NA | 0.077 | 0.016 | NA |
|  | 2000 | NA | NA | 1.197 | 0.092 | 1.953 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.041 | 0.712 |
|  | 2001 | NA | 0.687 | 1.221 | 0.307 | NA | 0.747 | 0 | NA | NA | 0.329 | 0.37 | 0.175 | 0.629 |
|  | 2002 | 0.576 | 0.192 | 0.651 | 0.417 | 0.504 | NA | 0.029 | 0 | NA | 0.268 | 0.432 | 0.466 | 0.845 |

Table K.5. Landed catch exploitation rates and exploitation rate indices by stock and age in the WCVI troll fishery. Base period is 1979-1982. (stocks 8-14)

|  | REPORTED CATCH EXPLOITATION RATE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SPS | SPS | SRH | SRH | SRH | SUM | URB | URB | UWA | UWA | WSH | CHI | CHI |  |
|  | Year | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 5 | Age 4 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 4 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Fishery |
|  | 1979 | NA | 1.107 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 1.389 | 1.691 | 0.63 | 0.961 | 1.305 | NA | NA | 1.009 |
|  | 1980 | NA | NA | 1 | NA | NA | 0.682 | 1.355 | 0.964 | 1.261 | 0.699 | 1.258 | NA | NA | 0.988 |
|  | 1981 | 0.717 | NA | NA | 1 | NA | 1.318 | 0.199 | 0.906 | 0.903 | 1.085 | 0.605 | NA | NA | 0.894 |
|  | 1982 | 1.283 | 0.893 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 1.058 | 0.44 | 1.206 | 1.256 | 0.833 | NA | NA | 1.092 |
|  | 1983 | 1.516 | 0.865 | 0.612 | 0.734 | NA | NA | 0.386 | 0.455 | 0.747 | 1.162 | 0.194 | NA | NA | 1.192 |
|  | 1984 | 1.428 | 0.993 | NA | 0.817 | NA | NA | 0.857 | 1.365 | 1.846 | 0.895 | 0.435 | NA | NA | 1.547 |
|  | 1985 | 0.717 | 0.725 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.755 | 1.078 | 0.96 | 1.286 | 0.311 | NA | NA | 0.929 |
| ス | 1986 | 0.787 | 1.175 | NA | 0.423 | NA | NA | 1.515 | 0.753 | 0.909 | 1.371 | NA | NA | NA | 1.1 |
| $\checkmark$ | 1987 | 0.661 | 0.559 | 0.118 | 0.49 | NA | 0 | 0.992 | 0.99 | 0.399 | 0.498 | NA | NA | NA | 0.611 |
| の | 1988 | 0.265 | 0.751 | NA | 1.416 | NA | 1.083 | 0.086 | 1.967 | NA | 0.948 | 0.554 | NA | NA | 0.948 |
|  | 1989 | 0.306 | 0.415 | 0.15 | NA | NA | 0.718 | NA | 0.93 | NA | NA | 0.35 | NA | NA | 0.478 |
|  | 1990 | 0.651 | 0.894 | 0.315 | 0.958 | NA | 1.279 | NA | 1.678 | NA | NA | 0.536 | NA | NA | 0.877 |
|  | 1991 | 0.364 | 0.572 | 0.412 | 0.787 | NA | 0.417 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.05 | NA | NA | 0.628 |
|  | 1992 | 0.651 | 0.782 | 0.595 | 5.99 | NA | 0.729 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.123 | NA | NA | 0.833 |
|  | 1993 | 0.919 | 0.598 | 0.545 | 2.669 | NA | NA | 0.642 | 2.026 | NA | NA | 0.278 | NA | NA | 0.89 |
|  | 1994 | 0.194 | 0.504 | NA | 0.833 | NA | NA | NA | 1.025 | NA | NA | 0.163 | NA | NA | 0.559 |
|  | 1995 | 0.245 | 0.281 | 0.016 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.091 | NA | NA | 0.321 |
|  | 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | 0 | NA | NA | 0 |
|  | 1997 | 0.025 | 0.302 | 0 | 0.081 | NA | 0.069 | NA | 0.094 | NA | NA | 0 | NA | NA | 0.304 |
|  | 1998 | 0 | 0.033 | 0 | 0 | NA | 0 | 0.016 | NA | NA | NA | 0.024 | NA | NA | 0.029 |
|  | 1999 | 0.018 | 0.061 | 0 | 0 | NA | 0.026 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | 0 | NA | NA | 0.046 |
|  | 2000 | 0.023 | 0.722 | 0 | 0 | NA | 0.196 | 0.08 | 0.332 | NA | NA | 0.042 | NA | NA | 0.647 |
|  | 2001 | 0.37 | 0.497 | 0 | 0.061 | NA | 0.422 | 0.062 | 0.179 | NA | NA | 0.105 | NA | NA | 0.489 |
|  | 2002 | 0.316 | 0.52 | 0 | 0 | NA | 1.077 | 0.098 | 0.209 | NA | NA | 0.222 | NA | NA | 0.514 |
|  | Stock Identifiers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CWF $=$ Cow URB $=$ COI LRH $=$ Low RBT $=$ ROB CHI $=$ CHI SAM $=$ SAM SRH $=$ SAI | tZ FALL BIA UPRI TSON CRE AWACK <br> H FALL F <br> N RIVER | E <br> BRIGHT <br> 'Oregon <br> CHERY | Lower Riv |  |  |  | sum <br> GAD <br> LRW <br> WSH <br> SPS <br> SPR | Col River G ADAMS U OF W FA WILLAMET SPRING CR | UMMERS <br> L FING <br> WILD <br> ACCEL <br> SPRING <br> LL FING <br> K TULE |  |  |  |  |  |

Table K.6. Total mortality exploitation rates and exploitation rate indices by stock and age in the WCVI troll fishery. Base period is 1979-1982 (stocks 1-7).

|  |  |  |  |  |  | MOR | TY EX | TATIO | ATE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year | CWF | GAD | GAD | LRH | LRH | LRW | RBT | RBT | RBT | SAM | SAM | SPR | SPR |
|  | Year | Age 4 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 4 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 5 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 3 | Age 4 |
|  | 1979 | NA | NA | NA | 1.035 | NA | NA | 1.225 | 1.283 | NA | NA | 1 | 0.954 | 0.827 |
|  | 1980 | NA | NA | NA | 0.592 | 0.823 | NA | 1.317 | 1.4 | NA | NA | NA | 1.126 | 1.338 |
|  | 1981 | 0.79 | 0.728 | NA | 1.152 | 0.818 | 0.852 | 0.678 | 0.58 | 1 | NA | NA | 0.904 | 0.656 |
|  | 1982 | 1.21 | 1.272 | 1 | 1.222 | 1.359 | 1.148 | 0.78 | 0.738 | NA | 1 | NA | 1.016 | 1.179 |
|  | 1983 | 1.35 | NA | 1.383 | 1.615 | 1.697 | 0.973 | 0.352 | 0.659 | 2.396 | NA | 0.954 | 1.325 | 0.925 |
|  | 1984 | 1.299 | 1.711 | NA | 2.019 | 2.799 | NA | 1.164 | 0.989 | 1.658 | NA | NA | 1.191 | 1.387 |
|  | 1985 | 0.895 | NA | 0.838 | 1.222 | 1.138 | NA | 0.539 | 0 | NA | NA | NA | 0.548 | 1.051 |
| $\pi$ | 1986 | 1.255 | NA | NA | 1.111 | 1.132 | 0.441 | NA | 0.531 | NA | NA | NA | 1.106 | 0.877 |
| $\stackrel{\square}{-}$ | 1987 | 0.868 | NA | NA | 1.185 | NA | 1.432 | 0.269 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.427 | NA |
| $\checkmark$ | 1988 | 0.9 | 0.481 | NA | 1.314 | 1.503 | 1.079 | 0.443 | 0.57 | NA | 0.625 | NA | 0.941 | NA |
|  | 1989 | 0.54 | 0.358 | 0.499 | 0.319 | 0.61 | 0.575 | 0.171 | 0.329 | 0 | 0.308 | 0.621 | 0.591 | 0.4 |
|  | 1990 | 0.748 | 1.061 | 0.94 | 1.146 | 0.473 | 1.217 | 0.652 | 0.56 | 1.491 | 0.422 | 0.865 | 0.883 | 0.74 |
|  | 1991 | NA | NA | 0.973 | 0.73 | NA | 0.749 | 0.606 | 0.553 | 0.709 | 0.376 | 0.597 | 0.583 | 0.65 |
|  | 1992 | 1.143 | NA | 0.471 | 0.748 | NA | 0.325 | 1.857 | 2.489 | 5.051 | 0.845 | 0.278 | 0.48 | 0.762 |
|  | 1993 | NA | NA | NA | 1.182 | 0.758 | NA | 1.402 | 2.272 | 2.397 | 1.028 | 0.449 | 0.57 | 1.018 |
|  | 1994 | 0.113 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.236 | 0.676 | 0.76 | 1.358 | 0.221 | 0.71 | 0.823 | 0.661 |
|  | 1995 | NA | 0.291 | NA | NA | NA | 0.467 | NA | 0.459 | 0.384 | 0.223 | 0.43 | 0.402 | 0.38 |
|  | 1996 | 0 | 0.066 | 0.025 | 0 | NA | NA | 0.033 | NA | NA | 0.056 | 0.016 | 0 | NA |
|  | 1997 | 0.326 | NA | 0.204 | 0.829 | NA | NA | 0.005 | 0.061 | NA | 0.074 | 0.241 | 0.554 | 0.501 |
|  | 1998 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 | NA | NA | 0.084 | 0.038 | 0 |
|  | 1999 | NA | 0.046 | NA | 0.082 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 | NA | 0.074 | 0.013 | NA |
|  | 2000 | NA | NA | 1.17 | 0.077 | 1.865 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.034 | 0.661 |
|  | 2001 | NA | 0.524 | 1.17 | 0.262 | NA | 0.706 | 0 | NA | NA | 0.255 | 0.354 | 0.149 | 0.584 |
|  | 2002 | 0.557 | 0.158 | 0.637 | 0.355 | 0.468 | NA | 0.023 | 0 | NA | 0.219 | 0.42 | 0.395 | 0.798 |

Table K.6. Total mortality exploitation rates and exploitation rate indices by stock and age in the WCVI troll fishery. Base period is 1979-1982 (stocks 8-14).

|  | TOTAL MORTALITY EXPLOITATION RATE INDEX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year | SPS <br> Age 3 | SPS Age 4 | SRH <br> Age 3 | SRH <br> Age 4 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SRH } \\ \text { Age } 5 \end{array}$ | SUM Age 4 | URB Age 3 | URB Age 4 | UWA Age 3 | UWA Age 4 | WSH <br> Age 4 | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{CHI} \\ \text { Age } 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{CHI} \\ \text { Age } 4 \end{array}$ |
|  | 1979 | NA | 1.111 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 1.368 | 1.68 | 0.615 | 0.958 | 1.251 | NA | NA |
|  | 1980 | NA | NA | 1 | NA | NA | 0.679 | 1.341 | 0.965 | 1.221 | 0.695 | 1.276 | NA | NA |
|  | 1981 | 0.746 | NA | NA | 1 | NA | 1.321 | 0.246 | 0.899 | 0.867 | 1.065 | 0.616 | NA | NA |
|  | 1982 | 1.254 | 0.889 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 1.044 | 0.456 | 1.297 | 1.282 | 0.857 | NA | NA |
|  | 1983 | 1.452 | 0.868 | 0.609 | 0.708 | NA | NA | 0.355 | 0.428 | 0.699 | 1.128 | 0.185 | NA | NA |
|  | 1984 | 1.273 | 0.972 | NA | 0.75 | NA | NA | 0.792 | 1.318 | 1.648 | 0.866 | 0.404 | NA | NA |
|  | 1985 | 0.654 | 0.709 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.711 | 1.043 | 0.871 | 1.264 | 0.276 | NA | NA |
|  | 1986 | 0.736 | 1.133 | NA | 0.363 | NA | NA | 1.367 | 0.738 | 0.844 | 1.342 | NA | NA | NA |
| T | 1987 | 0.755 | 0.562 | 0.129 | 0.49 | NA | 0 | 1.128 | 1.029 | 0.398 | 0.486 | NA | NA | NA |
| $\stackrel{\downarrow}{\checkmark}$ | 1988 | 0.333 | 0.771 | NA | 1.318 | NA | 1.059 | 0.52 | 2.049 | NA | 0.94 | 0.556 | NA | NA |
| $\infty$ | 1989 | 0.339 | 0.415 | 0.176 | NA | NA | 0.713 | NA | 0.965 | NA | NA | 0.333 | NA | NA |
|  | 1990 | 0.797 | 0.904 | 0.358 | 0.877 | NA | 1.251 | NA | 1.684 | NA | NA | 0.53 | NA | NA |
|  | 1991 | 0.459 | 0.575 | 0.43 | 0.739 | NA | 0.407 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.051 | NA | NA |
|  | 1992 | 0.646 | 0.772 | 0.686 | 5.361 | NA | 0.735 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.146 | NA | NA |
|  | 1993 | 0.941 | 0.611 | 0.691 | 2.478 | NA | NA | 0.884 | 2.026 | NA | NA | 0.274 | NA | NA |
|  | 1994 | 0.208 | 0.492 | NA | 0.78 | NA | NA | NA | 1.036 | NA | NA | 0.154 | NA | NA |
|  | 1995 | 0.281 | 0.295 | 0.043 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.104 | NA | NA |
|  | 1996 | 0.056 | 0.023 | 0.026 | 0.024 | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | 0.01 | NA | NA |
|  | 1997 | 0.108 | 0.311 | 0.008 | 0.069 | NA | 0.072 | NA | 0.089 | NA | NA | 0 | NA | NA |
|  | 1998 | 0 | 0.032 | 0 | 0 | NA | 0 | 0.013 | NA | NA | NA | 0.02 | NA | NA |
|  | 1999 | 0.014 | 0.058 | 0 | 0 | NA | 0.024 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | 0 | NA | NA |
|  | 2000 | 0.024 | 0.698 | 0 | 0 | NA | 0.188 | 0.066 | 0.312 | NA | NA | 0.035 | NA | NA |
|  | 2001 | 0.299 | 0.475 | 0 | 0.052 | NA | 0.405 | 0.06 | 0.168 | NA | NA | 0.088 | NA | NA |
|  | 2002 | 0.257 | 0.501 | 0 | 0 | NA | 1.034 | 0.081 | 0.196 | NA | NA | 0.185 | NA | NA |

Stock Identifiers

| CWF $=$ COWLITZ FALL TULE | RBT $=$ ROBERTSON CREEK |
| :--- | :--- |
| GAD $=$ G ADAMS FALL FING | SAM $=$ SAMISH FALL FING |
| LRH $=$ LOWER RIVER TULE 'Oregon Lower River Tule | SPR $=$ SPRING CREEK TULE |
| LRW $=$ LEWIS RIVER WILD | SPS $=$ SO SOUND FALL FING |


| SRH $=$ SALMON RIVER HATCHERY | WSH $=$ WILLAMETTE SPRING |
| :--- | :--- |
| SUM $=$ COL RIVER SUMMERS | CHI $=$ CHILLAWACK |
| URB $=$ COLUMBIA UPRIVER BRIGHT |  |
| UWA $=$ U OF W FALL ACCEL |  |

Appendix L. Coded wire tag codes used in the Exploitation Rate Analysis.
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Table L. 1 Tag Codes for Alaska Spring (AKS) 1971-86.



Table L. 1 Tag Codes for Alaska Spring (AKS) 1987-2000

| $\Gamma$ | 036228 | 036238 | 032206 | 032222 | 036344 | 036352 | 036357 | 032256 | 032308 | 044662 | 040150 | 040423 | 040178 | 040520 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{1}{1}$ | 036231 | 036329 | 032207 | 032223 | 036345 | 036353 | 036358 | 036217 | 032309 | 044942 | 040152 | 040426 | 040179 | 040655 |
| N | 036232 | 036330 | 032208 | 032224 | 036346 | 036354 | 036359 | 036218 | 036224 | 044958 | 040233 | 040427 | 040183 | 040656 |
|  | 036319 | 036331 | 032209 | 032225 | 036347 | 036355 | 036360 | 036220 | 036227 | 044959 | 040234 | 040428 | 040184 | 040657 |
|  | 036321 | 043247 | 032210 | 032226 | 036348 | 036356 | 036361 | 036223 | 036229 | 044960 | 040235 | 040429 | 040185 | 040658 |
|  | 036322 | 043249 | 032211 | 032227 | 036349 | 044049 | 036362 | 044502 | 044242 | 044961 | 040236 | 040430 | 040186 | 040659 |
|  | 036323 | 043250 | 032212 | 032228 | 043857 | 044050 | 036363 | 044504 | 044243 | 044962 | 040237 | 040431 | 040187 | 040660 |
|  | 036324 | 043252 | 032213 | 032229 | 043858 | 044142 | 044314 | 044543 | 044525 | 045001 | 040238 | 040432 | 040188 | 040661 |
|  | 036325 | 043255 | 032214 | 032230 | 043859 | 044143 | 044315 | 044544 | 044526 | 045002 | 040239 |  | 040197 |  |
|  | 036326 | 043303 | 032215 | 032231 | 043904 | 044148 | 044407 | 044561 | 044619 | 045003 | 040240 |  | 040198 |  |
|  | 036327 | 043304 | 043232 | 032232 | 043905 | 044149 | 044416 | 044562 | 044717 |  | 040241 |  | 040199 |  |
|  | 036328 | 043305 | 043449 | 036333 | 043906 | 044157 | 044417 | 044563 | 044718 |  |  |  | 040264 |  |
|  | 042737 | 043306 | 043450 | 036334 | 043907 | 044223 | 044418 | 044601 | 044737 |  |  |  | 040265 |  |
|  | 042738 | 043319 | 043501 | 042945 | 043933 | 044224 | 044419 | 044602 | 044738 |  |  |  | 040266 |  |
|  | 043027 | 043320 | 043502 | 043701 | 043934 | 044238 | 044420 | 044603 | 044745 |  |  |  | 040267 |  |
|  | 043028 | 043323 | 043504 | 043702 | 043936 | 044239 | 044421 | 044604 | 044746 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 043029 | 043324 | 043507 | 043704 | 043937 |  | 044430 | 044610 | 044747 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 043030 | 043406 | 043530 | 043705 | 043938 |  | 044431 | 044611 | 044754 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table L. 1 Tag Codes for Alaska Spring (AKS) 1987-2000 continued.

| Brd Yr | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 043031 | 043407 | 043531 | 043706 | 043939 |  |  |  | 044755 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 043032 |  | 043532 | 043707 | 044028 |  |  |  | 044756 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 043058 |  | 043533 | 043708 | 044029 |  |  |  | 044757 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 043059 |  | 043606 | 043745 | 044101 |  |  |  | 044758 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 043141 |  | 043607 | 043746 | 044102 |  |  |  | 044759 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 043142 |  | 043608 | 043747 | 044104 |  |  |  | 044760 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 043144 |  |  | 043748 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 043147 |  |  | 043749 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 043149 |  |  | 043750 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 043821 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 043822 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 043823 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table L. 2 Tag Codes for Big Qualicum (BQR) 1971-2000

| BrdYr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 021102 | 021002 | 020206 | $\overline{021716}$ | 021726 | $\overline{021612}$ | 021824 | 021810 | 022223 | 022543 | 022661 | $\overline{023217}$ | 023742 | 024260 |
|  |  |  | BLRD |  |  |  | 021727 | 021613 | 021825 | 021944 | 022306 |  | 022747 | 023320 | 023743 | 024261 |
|  |  |  | BLRDGD*2 |  |  |  |  | 021656 | 021826 |  |  |  | 022748 | 023321 | 023744 | 024262 |
|  |  |  | BLRDGN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 022824 | 023333 | 023745 | 024263 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 022825 | 023334 | 024047 | 024357 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 022826 | 023335 | 024048 | 024358 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 023336 | 024049 | 024359 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 023337 | 024050 | 024360 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 023338 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 023345 |  |  |



Table L. 3 Tag Codes for Elwha Fall Fingerling (ELW) 1971-2000


Table L. 5 Tag Codes for Hanford Wild (HAN) 1971-2000

| Brd Yr | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 634152 |  |  |


| Brd Yr | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 635232 | 635252 | 630755 | 634115 | 634527 | 635017 | 635704 | 635759 | 636116 | 630133 | 630603 | 630635 | 630178 | 630792 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 636117 |  |  |  |  | 630798 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 636118 |  |  |  |  | 630799 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 630864 |

Table L. 6 Tag Codes for Hoko Fall Fingerling (HOK) 1971-2000

| Brd Yr | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 211935 | 212216 |

$\stackrel{\Gamma}{\infty}$

| Brd Yr | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 211907 |  | 211829 | 212018 | 212218 | 212327 | 212453 | 212609 | 212949 | 212953 | 212959 | 213004 | 212951 | 210283 |  |  |
| Table L. 7 Tag Codes for Issaquah Fall Fingerling (ISS) 1971-2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd Yr | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 631940 | 631943 | 632233 | 632256 |  |  |  | 634104 | 634121 |
| Brd Yr | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |  |



Table L. 9 Tag codes for Columbia Lower River Hatchery (LRH) 1971-2000


Table L. 10 Tag codes for Lewis River Wild (LRW) 1971-2000

|  | Brd Yr | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 631611 | 631813 | 632123 |  |  | 632737 | 633126 | 633411 | 633821 | 634151 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 631618 | 631858 | 632124 |  |  | 632738 | 633127 | 633412 | 633822 | 634153 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 631619 | 631859 | 632125 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 631902 | 632207 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 631920 | 632208 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 632002 | $632213$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 632214 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Brd Yr | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |  |
|  |  | 635061 | 630456 | 631350 | 634217 | 634206 | 634940 | 635157 | 635627 |  | 630334 | 630506 | 631058 | 630265 | 630870 |  |  |
|  |  | 635062 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 630507 |  | 630266 | 630871 |  |  |
| $\infty$ | Table L. 11 | codes | yons F | (LYF) | 1-2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ | Brd Yr | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 633226 | 633638 | 634259 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $633227$ | $633639$ | 634261 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $633228$ | $633640$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 633641 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 633642 |  |
|  | Brd Yr | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |  |
|  |  | 635214 | 630226 | 635544 | 634143 |  | 635012 |  | 232712 |  |  |  | 631025 | 630167 | 630270 |  |  |
|  |  | 635216 | 630228 | 635547 | 634160 |  |  |  | 232713 |  |  |  | 631026 | $630168$ | $630271$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $630169$ | $630272$ |  |  |

Table L. 12 Tag codes for Nisqually Fall Fingerling 1971-2000

| Brd Yr | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 050722 | 050839 | 051048 | 051344 | 211628 | 211706 | 211759 | 211962 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 050840 | 051049 | 051345 | 211629 | 211707 | 211761 |  |
| Brd Yr | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |  |
|  | 212541 | 213138 | 211836 | 211833 | 212206 | 212323 | 212450 | 212606 | 212946 | 212957 | 212956 | 210150 | 210166 | 630189 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 630687 |  |  |

Table L. 13 Tag codes for Nooksack Spring Yearling (NKS) 1971-2000

| Brd Yr | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 632411 | 632546 |  | 633452 |  | 633247 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 633453 |  | 633248 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 633336 |
| Brd Yr | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |  |
|  | 634962 | 634422 | 635261 | 634123 |  | 634529 | 635018 | 635815 | 636048 | 635533 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 635059 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 635830 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 635835 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table L. 14 Tag codes for Nooksack Spring Fingerling (NSF) 1971-2000

| Brd Yr | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd Yr | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |  |
|  |  | 635241 | 051952 |  |  | 634605 | 635142 | 635351 | 636026 | 636326 | 630604 | 631028 | 630175 | 630675 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 630225 |  |  | 634606 | 635143 | 635829 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 634951 | 635144 | 635834 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table L. 15 Tag codes for Puntledge (PPS) 1971-2000



Table L. 17 Tag codes for Quinsam (QUI) 1971-2000


Table L. 18 Tag codes for Robertson Creek (RBT) 1971-2000


| Brd Yr | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 024311 | 025014 | 020151 | 021208 | 180620 | 180259 | 181539 | 181455 | 182226 | 182232 | 182814 | 180362 | 182160 | 184631 |
|  | 024802 | 025836 | 020152 | 021209 | 180621 | 180260 | 181540 | 181456 | 182227 | 182233 | 182815 | 180363 | 182161 | 184632 |
|  | 024809 | 025837 | 020153 | 021549 | 180622 | 180261 | 181541 | 181457 | 182228 | 182234 | 182816 | 183432 | 182162 | 184633 |
|  | 024810 | 025838 | 020645 | 021550 | 180623 | 180262 | 181542 | 181458 | 182229 | 182235 | 182817 | 183433 | 182163 | 184634 |
|  | 024951 | 025839 | 020646 | 021551 | 180802 | 180624 | 181543 | 181459 | 182230 | 182236 | 183153 | 183434 | 184541 | 184635 |
|  | 024952 | 026055 | 020647 | 021552 | 180803 | 180625 | 181544 | 181460 | 182231 | 182237 | 183154 | 183831 | 184605 | 184636 |
|  | 024958 | 026056 | 020648 | 021553 | 180804 | 180626 | 181545 | 182220 | 182502 | 182541 | 183155 |  | 184606 | 184637 |
|  | 024959 | 026057 | 020948 |  | 180805 | 180627 | 181546 | 182221 | 182503 | 182542 | 183156 |  |  | 184638 |
|  | 024960 |  | 020949 |  |  |  |  | 182222 | 182504 | 182543 | 183157 |  |  |  |
|  | 024961 |  | 020950 |  |  |  |  | 182223 | 182505 | 182544 | 183158 |  |  |  |
|  | 025326 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 182224 | 182506 | 182545 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 025327 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 182225 | 182507 | 182546 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 025328 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 182508 | 182547 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 025329 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table L. 19 Tag codes for Samish Fall Fingerling (SAM) 1971-2000

| Brd Yr | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 011305 | 130302 |  |  |  | 632042 |  |  |  |  |  | 633804 | 634122 |
|  |  |  |  | 130104 | 130602 |  |  |  | 632101 |  |  |  |  |  | 633805 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 130215 | 130603 |  |  |  | 632102 |  |  |  |  |  | 633806 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 633807 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 634111 |  |
| Brd Yr | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |  |
|  | 634732 | 635242 | 630731 | 634025 | 634340 | 635009 | 635543 | 635758 | 636004 | 630129 | 630407 | 631021 | 630173 | 630676 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 630410 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table L. 20 Tag codes for Skagit Spring Fingerling (SAM) 1971-2000

| $i$ |
| :--- |


| Brd Yr | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 635701 | 635449 | 636047 | 635323 | 630215 | 630609 | 630164 | 630666 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 635837 |  |  | 636131 |  |  | 630768 |

Table L. 21 Tag codes for Skagit Spring Yearling (SKS) 1971-2000

| Brd Yr | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 632606 | 632607 | 632608 | $\begin{aligned} & 633353 \\ & 633354 \end{aligned}$ | 633323 | 633314 |
| Brd Yr | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |  |
|  | 634744 |  |  | 633114 |  |  | 635027 | 635842 | 636021 | 635325 | 630346 | 631017 | 630197 |  |  |  |
|  | 634902 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 636055 |  | 630402 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 635026 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table L. 22 Tag codes for Snootli (SNO) 1971-2000


Table L. 22 Tag codes for Spring Creek Tule (SPR) 1971-2000

| Brd Yr | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 050101 | 050109 | 050901 | 050202 | 054101 | 055501 | 050433 | 050639 | 050740 | 051050 | 051142 | 051151 | 051534 | B50109 | 051855 |
|  |  | 050201 | 050401 | 051001 | 050302 | 054201 | 055601 | 050434 | 050640 | 050741 | 051051 | 051143 | 051152 | 051535 | B50110 | 051856 |
|  |  | 050301 | 050501 | 051101 | 050402 | 054401 | 055701 | 050444 | 050641 | 050742 | 051052 |  |  | 051536 | B50111 | 051857 |
|  |  |  | 050601 | 051201 | 050502 | 054501 | 056001 | 050446 |  | 050748 |  |  |  | 051537 | B50112 | 051858 |
|  |  |  |  | 051301 | 050602 | 054601 | 056201 |  |  | 050749 |  |  |  | 051538 | B50113 | 051859 |
|  |  |  |  | 051401 | 050702 |  |  |  |  | 050750 |  |  |  | 051539 | B50114 | 051860 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 050802 |  |  |  |  | 050751 |  |  |  |  | B50115 | 051861 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B50208 | 051862 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B50209 | 051863 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 051905 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 051906 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 051909 |


| Brd Yr | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 051445 | 052013 | 052207 | 052106 | 052127 | 052133 | 053356 | 053757 | 053831 | 054341 | 054347 | 054360 | 054418 | 054523 |
|  | 051449 | 052015 | 052208 | 052109 | 052129 | 052134 | 053357 | 053758 | 053832 | 054342 | 054348 | 054361 | 054419 | 054525 |
|  | 051450 | 052016 | 052209 | 052110 | 052130 | 052146 | 053430 | 053759 | 053833 | 054343 | 054349 | 054362 | 054420 |  |
|  | 051451 | 052017 | 052210 | 052112 | 052544 | 052149 | 053431 | 053760 | 053834 | 054344 | 054350 | 054363 | 054421 |  |
|  | 051659 | 052018 | 052211 | 052115 | 052545 | 052732 | 053432 | 053761 | 053835 | 054345 | 054351 | 054404 | 054422 |  |
|  | 051660 | 052019 | 052212 | 052117 | 052553 | 052733 | 053433 | 053762 | 053836 | 054346 | 054352 | 054405 | 054423 |  |
|  | 051661 | 052020 | 052213 | 052118 | 052554 | 052735 | 053434 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 051662 | 052021 | 052214 | 052123 | 052557 | 052736 | 053435 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 051910 | 052023 | 052215 | 052124 | 052558 | 052840 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 051912 | 052024 | 052216 |  | 052559 | 053045 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 051913 | 052025 | 052217 |  | 052560 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 051914 | 052032 | 052218 |  | 052561 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 051923 | 052033 | 052335 |  | 052562 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 051924 |  | 052336 |  | 052563 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 051925 |  |  |  | 052605 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 052606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table L. 23 Tag codes for South Puget Sound Fall Fingerling (SPS) 1971-2000

| Brd Yr | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 150010 | 151010 | 151312 | 011403 | 130604 |  |  | 631935 | 631944 | 632145 | 051047 | 051346 | 211622 | 211657 | 211901 | 211961 |
|  | 150109 | 151012 | 151313 | 011404 |  |  |  | 631936 |  | 632253 | 632158 |  |  |  | 633643 | 634116 |
|  | 150111 | 151202 |  |  |  |  |  | 631945 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 633644 |  |
|  | 150114 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 633645 |  |
|  | 150200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 633646 |  |
|  | 150203 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 150806 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd Yr | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |  |
|  | 212542 | 213137 | 211831 | 212014 | 212217 | 212326 | 212329 | 212639 | 212947 | 212963 | 213157 | 213154 | 210153 | 210279 |  |  |
|  | 635221 | 635238 | 630261 | 634024 | 634339 | 634953 | 635318 | 212640 | 636102 | 630127 | 630308 | 631010 | 630171 | 630669 |  |  |
|  |  | 635262 |  |  |  |  |  | 212643 | 636103 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212645 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212646 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212648 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212651 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212653 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212654 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212657 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212658 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212660 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212663 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212701 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212702 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212703 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212705 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212707 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212708 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212709 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212710 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212711 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212712 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212713 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212714 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table L. 23 Tag codes for South Puget Sound Fall Fingerling (SPS) 1971-2000 continued $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}\text { Brd Yr } & 1987 & 1988 & 1989 & 1990 & 1991 & 1992 & 1993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & \end{array}$ 212716 212717 212718 212719 212720 212721 212722 212723 212724 212725 212726 212727 212728 212729 212730 212731 212732 212733 212734 212735 212736 212737 212738 212739 212740 212741 212742 212743 212744 212745 212746
212747 212748
212749
212750

Table L. 23 Tag codes for South Puget Sound Fall Fingerling (SPS) 1971-2000 continued

| Brd Yr | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212751 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212752 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212753 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212754 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212755 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212756 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212758 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212759 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212760 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212761 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212762 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212763 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212803 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\square}{8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212805 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212806 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212809 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212810 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212812 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212815 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212817 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212818 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212820 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212823 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212824 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212829 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212830 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212833 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212834 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212836 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212840 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 635826 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 635831 |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Table L. 26 Tag codes for Salmon River (SRH) 1971-2000

| Brd Yr | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 071643 | 071849 | 072239 | 072504 |  | 072647 | 072726 | 073051 | 073329 | 073342 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 071644 | 071850 | 072240 | 072505 |  |  |  | 073052 | 073330 | 074321 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 074322 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 074323 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 074324 |


| Brd Yr | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 074629 | 075131 | 075458 | 075705 | 071559 | 070417 | 070459 | 070962 | 071252 | 092149 | 092447 | 092817 | 093053 | 093315 |  |  |
|  | 074635 | 075132 | 075459 | 075706 | 071560 | 070418 | 070460 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 074636 | 075133 | 075460 | 075707 | 071561 | 070419 | 070461 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 074637 | 075134 | 075461 | 075708 | 071562 | 070420 | 070462 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 074638 | 075135 | 075462 | 075709 | 071563 | 070421 | 070463 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 075136 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Table L. 27 Tag codes for Skagit Summer Fingerling (SSF) 1971-2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd Yr | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| Brd Yr | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212612 | 635151 | 630322 | 213002 | 210151 | 630166 | 210168 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 634329 |  | 630757 |  |  |  |  |

Table L. 28 Tag codes for Stillaguamish Fall Fingerling (STL) 1971-2000

| Brd Yr | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 050843 | 051063 | 051427 | 211618 |  |  | 212221 |


| Brd Yr | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $212555$ | 213147 | 211826 | $212026$ | $212205$ | $212251$ | $212330$ | $212610$ | $212954$ | $212960$ | 213203 | 210152 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 213223 |  |  |  |

Table L. 29 Tag codes for Columbia Summers (SUM) 1971-2000

| Brd Yr | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 130910 | 631607 | 631749 |  |  |  |  |  | 632326 | 633224 | B10308 | 633113 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 631642 | 631762 |  |  |  |  |  | 632845 | 633225 | B10309 | 634255 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B10310 | 634256 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 634402 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 634404 |
| Brd Yr | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |  |
|  | 635037 | 630262 | 631149 | 634133 | 634609 | 635005 | 634610 | 635324 | 634129 | 630134 | 630602 | 631018 | 630267 | 630775 |  |  |
|  | 635038 |  | 631347 | 635913 |  |  | 635145 | 635546 | 634130 | 630217 | 630611 | 631061 | 630468 | 630995 |  |  |
|  | 635202 |  | 631352 |  |  |  | 635702 | 635703 | 635841 | 636054 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 635838 | 636044 | 636323 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| N | Table L. 30 |  |  |  |  |  | Tag codes for Upriver | Bright (URB) | 1971-2000 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | Brd Yr | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 |  |  |  |  |
| Bryyyy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Brd Yr | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd Yr |  |  |  |  | 130713 | 631662 | 631741 | 631821 | 631948 | 632155 | 632252 | 632611 | 632859 | 633221 | 634102 | 634128 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 131101 |  | 631745 |  |  | 632261 | 632456 | 632612 | 632860 | 633222 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 131202 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |  |
|  | 635226 | 635249 | 630732 | 634057 | 634341 | 635010 | 635540 | 635711 | 636001 | 636328 | 630408 | 631011 | 630165 | 630269 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 630409 | 631030 | 630187 | 630277 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 630517 | 631046 | 630188 | 630279 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 630521 | 631047 | 631333 | 630672 |  |  |

Table L. 31 Tag codes for Squaxin Pens Fall Yearling (SQP) 1971-2000

| Brd Yr | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 110211 | 110116 | 111601 | 111603 | 111627 | 110634 | 111644 | 111655 | 633025 | 111718 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 110212 | 110117 | 111602 | 111604 | 111628 | 110635 | 111645 | 111656 |  | 111719 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 110213 | 110118 |  | 111605 | 111629 | 110636 | 111646 | 111657 |  | 111720 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 110214 | 110119 |  | 111606 | 111630 | 110637 | 111647 | 111658 |  | 111721 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 110301 |  |  | 111618 | 111631 | 110638 | 111648 | 111659 |  | 111722 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 110302 |  |  | 111624 | 111632 | 110639 | 111649 | 111660 |  | 111723 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 110640 | 111650 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 110641 | 111651 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 110642 | 111652 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd Yr | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |  |

$\begin{array}{llllllllll}5 & \text { Table L. } 32 & \text { Tag codes for White } & \text { River Spring Yearling (WRY) } & \text { 1971-2000 } \\ \text { N } & \text { Brd Yr } & 1971 & 1972 & 1973 & 1974 & 1975 & 1976\end{array}$

| Brd Yr | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 130208 | 131010 |  |  | 631834 | $632047$ | $632136$ | 632341 | 632853 | 633049 | 632508 | 633131 | 633246 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 632604 | 633009 | 633050 | 633060 | 633648 | 634145 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 633108 |  |  |


| Brd Yr | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 634702 | 630161 | 635542 | 635908 | 634224 | 634619 | 635046 | 635827 | 635633 | 636009 | 630351 |  |  |  |
|  | 634704 | 630162 |  |  |  |  |  | 635832 |  |  | 636344 |  |  |  |

Table L. 33 Tag codes for Willamette Spring (WSH) 1971-2000


| Brd Yr | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 075028 | 073721 | 075347 | 075021 | 071457 | 070133 | 070233 | 070445 | 070741 | 092120 | 092352 | 071261 | 092950 |  |
|  | 075038 | 075158 | 075348 | 075626 | 071458 | 070134 | 070442 | 070450 | 071254 | 092155 | 092446 | 071262 | 093019 |  |
|  | 075041 | 075159 | 075501 | 075627 | 071459 | 070240 | 070443 | 070855 | 071255 | 092156 | 092509 | 091752 | 093020 |  |
|  | 075047 | 075160 | 075502 | 075628 | 073722 | 070253 | 070444 | 070856 | 071256 | 092157 | 092511 | 092653 | 093021 |  |
|  | 075049 | 075161 | 075504 | 075630 | 075732 | 070254 | 070563 | 070857 | 071257 | 092158 | 092512 | 092654 | 093023 |  |
|  | 075050 | 075162 | 075506 | 075643 | 075734 | 070428 | 070616 | 070858 | 071259 | 092160 | 092513 | 092738 | 093024 |  |
|  |  | 075163 | 075514 | 075644 | 075904 | 070430 | 070850 | 070860 | 071260 | 092240 | 092514 | 092739 | 093026 |  |
|  |  | 075206 | 075515 | 075656 | 075921 | 070431 | 070851 | 070861 | 071317 | 092241 | 092520 | 092811 | 093028 |  |
|  |  | 075207 | 075516 | 075710 | 075922 | 071535 | 076125 | 070862 | 076140 | 092242 | 092521 | 092812 | 093038 |  |
|  |  | 075208 | 075522 | 075711 | 075933 | 071536 | 076338 | 071153 | 091803 | 092243 | 092522 | 092813 | 093147 |  |
|  |  | 075210 | 075523 |  | 075934 | 076121 |  |  | 091804 | 092244 | 092523 | 092814 | 093148 |  |
|  |  | 075211 | 075524 |  | 076114 | 076122 |  |  |  | 092245 | 092524 | 092907 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 075525 |  | 076115 | 076123 |  |  |  | 092248 | 092550 | 092908 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 075526 |  | 076116 |  |  |  |  | 092250 | 092627 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 075527 |  | 076117 |  |  |  |  | 092251 | 092628 |  |  |  |

Table L. 34 Tag codes for Willamette Spring (WSH) 1978-2000

| Brd Yr | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 199 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 075528 |  | 076118 |


| 076118 | 092319 | 092629 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 076119 | 092320 | 092630 |
|  |  | 092632 |

092633


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ NA means not available because of insufficient data (lack of stock specific tag codes, base period CWT recoveries, etc).
    ${ }^{2}$ NC means that the current model assumes the stock is not caught in U.S. ISBM fisheries.
    ${ }^{3}$ Stock group listed in Annex Table IV.
    ${ }^{4}$ Stock with agreed escapement goal.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1} 35$ estimated recoveries for a given stock and age combination.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ A preliminary ocean escapement forecast is released in February. An updated ocean escapement forecast reflecting the ocean fishery option adopted by PFMC is released in April.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ The 11 stocks are AKS, BON, CWF, FRL, GSH, LRW, ORC, RBH, RBT, SPR, URB, and WSH.

[^4]:    ${ }^{4}$ Because the terminal fishery is directed at harvesting only surplus hatchery production.

[^5]:    $\mathrm{NA}=$ not available

[^6]:    NA - not available

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ The CWT-based estimates, not the model estimates, are to be used in post season assessments.
    ${ }_{3}^{2}$ NA means not available because of insufficient data (lack of stock specific tag codes, base period CWT recoveries, etc).
    ${ }^{3}$ Stock or stock group with agreed escapement goal.

[^8]:    The CWT-based estimates, not the model estimates, are to be used in post season assessments.
    ${ }^{2}$ NA means not available because of insufficient data (lack of stock specific tag codes, base period CWT recoveries, etc).
    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{NC}$ means that the current model assumes the stock is not caught in U.S. ISBM fisheries.
    ${ }^{4}$ Stock group not in Annex Attachment V.
    ${ }^{5}$ Stock with agreed escapement goal.

