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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report contains the results of the Chinook Technical Committee (CTC) annual exploitation rate assessment and the final pre-season chinook model calibration for 2002 (CLB 0206). Results include the Abundance Indices (AIs) for the Aggregate Abundance Based Management (AABM) fisheries and Individual Stock Based Management (ISBM) Indices for each party, and a summary of pre-season forecast methods by stock.

## AABM Abundance Indices and Associated Catches

The AIs for the three AABM fisheries Southeast Alaska All Gear (SEAK), Northern British Columbia Troll and Queen Charlotte Islands Sport (NBC), and West Coast Vancouver Island Troll and Outside Sport (WCVI)) are presented in Table 1. The 1999 Agreement specified that the AABM fisheries were to be managed through the use of the AIs. Pre-season AIs are used to set allowable catch limits for management for the upcoming fishing season. Subsequently, post-season AIs (from the following year's calibration) are specified to be used to track overage and underage provisions. Each calibration provides the first post-season AIs for the previous year and the pre-season AIs for the current year. The first 2001 post-season AIs, and the 2002 pre-season AIs have now been finalized.

Table 1. Abundance Indices for 1999 to 2002 for the SEAK, NBC, and WCVI AABM fisheries.

|  | SEAK |  | NBC |  | WCVI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Pre-season | Post-season | Pre-season | Post-season | Pre-season | Post-season |
| 1999 | 1.15 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 0.97 | 0.60 | 0.50 |
| 2000 | 1.14 | 1.10 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.54 | 0.47 |
| 2001 | 1.14 | 1.29 | 1.02 | 1.22 | 0.66 | 0.68 |
| 2002 | 1.74 |  | 1.45 |  | 0.95 |  |

In general, the AIs for 1999 and 2000 are low compared to AIs in the late 1980s and early 1990s but values have increased in 2001 and 2002. The AI values in 2002 are comparable to the higher values in the time series. The Agreement specifies an allowable catch for each AI for each fishery. The specified treaty catch by fishery and year and the actual (observed) catches are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Observed catches and post-season allowable catches for 1999 to 2001, and pre-season allowable catches for 1999 to 2002, for AABM fisheries in 1999 to 2002 (T=troll; $\mathrm{N}=$ net; S=sport).

| Year | Pacific Salmon Treaty Allowable and Observed Catches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SEAK (T, N, S) |  |  | NBC (T, S) |  |  | WCVI (T, S) |  |  |
|  | PreSeason Allowable Catch | PostSeason Allowable Catch | Observed <br> Catch | Pre- Season Allowable Catch | PostSeason Allowable Catch | Observed <br> Catch | Pre-Season Allowable Catch | Post-Season Allowable Catch | Observed <br> Catch |
| 1999 | 192,750 | 184,200 | 200,219 | 145,600 | 126,100 | 80,200 | 128,300 | 107,000 | 31,085 |
| 2000 | 189,900 | 178,500 | 186,835 | 130,000 | 123,500 | 35,900 | 115,500 | 86,200 | 100,030 |
| 2001 | 189,900 | 250,300 | 189,389 | 132,600 | 158,900 | 40,600 | 141,200 | 145,500 | 114,624 |
| 2002 | 356,500 |  |  | 192,700 |  |  | 203,200 |  |  |

The 1999 Agreement specifies that overage/underage provisions apply to both AABM and ISBM fisheries. However, in a February 12, 2002 letter to the PSC, the CTC identified major technical obstacles and policy concerns for adjusting harvest levels in response to overage and underages. The major problem identified for AABM fisheries is the confounding of forecast and management error in assessing overages and underages. The pre-season estimates of abundance used to set management goals can be substantially different than the postseason estimates (Tables 1 and 2) due to forecast error. Preseason target catch levels used to guide managers in setting harvest efforts thus can be quite different from allowable harvest derived from the first post-season calibration (Table 2). As a result, management precision during the fishery differs from the precision of attaining the postseason target. For example, in SEAK the observed catch has been within $-1.6 \%$ to $3.8 \%$ of the pre-season target, but has ranged from $23.8 \%$ below to $8.7 \%$ above the postseason target.

Until an approach for full implementation has been developed and accepted by the PSC, the Commissioners have instructed the CTC to track overages and underages relative to agreed-upon harvest objectives. Table 3 shows the differential in AABM fisheries between the post-season allowable catch and the observed catch for 1999-2001, and the cumulative differential for those years. All three AABM fisheries have cumulative underages. In SEAK, observed catches have been below final allowable catches for one of the three years; the cumulative differential is $-6.0 \%$. In NBC, observed catches have been below the final allowable catches in all three years; the cumulative differential is $-61.6 \%$. In WCVI, observed catches have been below allowable catches for two of the three years; the cumulative differential is $-27.4 \%$.

Table 3. Differences between observed Treaty catch and the post-season Treaty allowances as number of fish and percentages of allowable catch for AABM fisheries in 1999 to 2001 (T=troll; $\mathrm{N}=$ net; $\mathrm{S}=$ sport).

| Year | SEAK (T, N, S) |  | NBC (T, S) |  | WCVI (T, S) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Fish | Percent Difference | Number of Fish | Percent Difference | Number of Fish | Percent Difference |
| 1999 | +16,019 | +8.7\% | -45,900 | -36.4\% | -75,915 | -70.9\% |
| 2000 | +8,335 | +3.1\% | -87,600 | -70.9\% | +13,830 | +16.0\% |
| 2001 | -60,911 | -24.3\% | -118,300 | -74.4\% | -30,876 | -21.2\% |
| Cum. | -36,557 | -6.0\% | -251,800 | -61.6\% | -92,961 | -27.4\% |

## ISBM Indices

For the ISBM fisheries, the Agreement specified that Canada and the United States would reduce base period exploitation rates on specified stocks by $36.5 \%$ and $40.0 \%$, resulting in ISBM indices of 0.635 and 0.60 percent, respectively. This requirement is referred to as the 'general obligation' and does not apply to stocks that achieve their CTC agreed escapement goal. Estimated ISBM fishery indices are shown in Table 4 for Canadian fisheries and Table 5 for U.S. fisheries. Both tables present CWT-based indices for 1999 and 2000, and chinook model-based indices for 1999 through 2002. The agreement specifies that the indices be assessed post season using the CWT based estimates when available.

Both CWT and model based estimates of ISBM indices for a given year can change over time, with the largest changes occurring in more recent years. By necessity, CWT based estimates assume average maturation rates for incomplete broods which contribute to the index in a given year. For example, for calendar year 2000 only brood 1995 is complete ('true' maturation rates used). The youngest brood contributing to the year 2000 index, 1998, will not be complete until 2003, with the analysis done by the CTC in 2004. Other factors effecting the estimates include any changes to the historic CWT database, including the addition of previously unreported CWT recoveries, agency revised CWT expansion factors, or re-estimates of terminal harvest rates of wild stocks. Model based estimates will suffer similar instability, for similar reasons. In addition, model estimates are heavily influenced by pre-season forecasts of abundance at age, while post-season estimates can alter the index for a given year considerably. Model estimates are also influenced by the use of average maturation rates for recent broods and the re-estimation of terminal harvest of wild stocks. While both CWT and model estimates tend to 'stabilize' after two or three years, estimates will continue to vary to some degree as data is updated.

## CWT Based Indices in 1999 and 2000

Canadian ISBM indices from the CWT-based estimates in 1999 and 2000 show that exploitation rates were reduced more than required under the agreement for all stocks or stock groups except for the North Puget Sound Natural Spring group. The 2000 index for this stock was 1.176 , considerably above the 0.635 standard. While this stock did not meet its agency goal of 2,000 returning adults in the year 2000, the estimated Canadian ISBM index may be overestimated. It is heavily influenced by recoveries of brood year 1997 Nooksack spring fish in the Strait of Georgia sport fishery ( 64 of 66 Canadian recoveries occurred there). The 2001 return of Nooksack spring adults was the largest since at least 1984. If the CWTd component survived as well as the natural component of the stock, the estimated age- 3 cohort size in 2000 will likely increase when the age- 4 CWT data becomes available, and the corresponding ISBM index will decrease.

For U.S. fisheries in 1999, all CWT-based ISBM indices, except for the Stillaguamish River, Green River, and the Lewis River stocks were above the .60 standard. In 2000, the standard was again exceeded for all stocks except the Fraser Late stock, North Puget Sound Natural Spring stock group, and the Stillaguamish and Lewis River stocks. Of the stocks for which the index was exceeded, only the Fraser Late stock met its CTC accepted escapement goal in both 1999 and 2000. However, the Lower Georgia Strait stock group met the DFO interim escapement goal of 7,400 in both 1999 and 2000. Additionally, it is likely that the U.S. index of 3.50 , for this stock group, is overestimated. The index is based on CWT recoveries from Capilano and Big Qualicum hatcheries during the base period, and Cowichan recoveries in recent years. These stocks may have dissimilar distributions in U.S. waters, (Cowichan fish being more southerly distributed and more likely to be impacted in U.S. fisheries. See Appendix H).

The estimated index for the North PS Natural Spring stock group of 0.81 in 1999 is based on a total of 17 estimated CWT recoveries in southern U.S. waters of Nooksack spring chinook. That estimate may also decrease as new information becomes available in 2001. While the Green River index in 2000 is 0.70 , the Green River adult escapement was above the State-Tribal agreed goal of 5,750 that year.

All CWT-based ISBM indices for the Washington coastal fall natural stock group were above the standard in both 1999 and 2000. No CTC accepted escapement goals currently exist for these stocks, but agency goals are in place for all or most of the stocks in the group. Grays Harbor fall chinook have not met their agency goal of 14,600 natural spawners since 1997. The Queets River fall chinook stock met its management agency goal of 2,500 in 2000, but not in 1999 (1999 escapement was 1,933 ). The Hoh River fall chinook stocks showed the highest ISBM indices of the group, 1.71 in 1999 and 2.75 in 2000.

However, while there is not yet a CTC accepted goal, Hoh River fall chinook have met their management goal of 1,200 natural escapement every year since at least 1976. The Quillayute River fall chinook stock showed the second highest indices in both years. They have exceeded their management goal of 3,000 every year since 1977.

In the Columbia River, ISBM indices were above the standard for all stocks except the Lewis River Wilds. Southern U.S. fisheries were curtailed to protect this stock in 1999 and 2000 due to anticipated low returns. Lewis River wilds did not meet their CTC accepted escapement goal of 5,700 in 1999 (ISBM index of 0.00), but better than predicted returns in 2000 resulted in an escapement well over the goal. Spawning escapements of Upriver Bright chinook exceeded the management goal of 45,000 adults over McNary dam in both 1999 and 2000 by approximately one-third. Deschutes River fall chinook escapements both years were near 3,500, below the management goal of 4,000 adults. Escapements of Columbia Upriver Summer chinook also exceeded the CTC accepted goal in both years.

The CTC has accepted escapement goals for the three stocks in the Far North Migrating Oregon Coastal Fall stock group. All CWT based indices in 1999 and 2000 were above the 0.6 standard. However, all three stocks met or exceeded their goal, except the Nehalem River stock in 2000.

## $\underline{\text { Predicted ISBM Indices for } 2002}$

Predicted ISBM indices for 2002 based on outputs from calibration 0206 are all below 0.635 for Canadian ISBM fisheries for all stock groups.

For U.S. ISBM fisheries, the index for Fraser Late is predicted to be above 0.6. However, Fraser Late escapement is predicted to be well above the CTC accepted goal. Of the five stocks in the Puget Sound Natural Summer/Fall stock group, only the Lake Washington stock has an index predicted to be above the ISBM standard. No CTC accepted goal exists yet for this stock. The predicted exploitation rate on the stock, however, is well under the maximum allowable rate prescribed in the ESA Consultation standard.

Four of the five stocks included in the Washington Coastal Fall Natural stock group are predicted to have ISBM indices above the standard in 2002. None of these stock have CTC accepted goals at this time, and no preseason abundance or escapement predictors are available. Based on a review of the escapements of these stocks, and the generally good survival of chinook predicted on the west coast, it is unlikely that, with the possible exception of Grays Harbor, these stocks will fail to meet their management goals in 2002.

Of the four chinook stocks in the Columbia River, two have CTC accepted escapement goals (Lewis River and Upriver Summers), and both are predicted to exceed those goals in 2002. The indices for both of these stocks exceeds the standard. The index of the Upriver bright stock is also anticipated to exceed the standard. However, its management goal of 46,000 is predicted to be exceeded.

The ISBM indices are predicted to be above the standard for all three stocks in the Far North Migrating Oregon Coastal Fall Stock group. No abundance or escapement predictors are available for the individual stocks in this group. However, the predicted combined escapement of just under 74,000 is over three times the combined CTC accepted escapement goal of 22,858 .

Table 4. ISBM Indices for Canadian fisheries, 1999 through 2001, and the projected indices for 2002. Indices above 0.635 are in italicized bold font for stocks without CTC agreed escapement goals and for stocks that did not achieve CTC agreed escapement goals.

| Stock Group | Stock | Canadian ISBM Indices |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | CWT Indices ${ }^{1}$ |  | Model Indices |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1999 | 2000 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| North / Central B. C. | Yakoun, Nass, Skeena, Area 8 | $\mathrm{NA}^{2}$ | NA | 0.236 | 0.264 | 0.275 | 0.584 |
| West Coast Vancouver Island | WCVI (Artlish, Burman, Gold, Kauok, Tahsis, Tashish, Marble) | 0.295 | 0.083 | 0.543 | 0.334 | 0.242 | 0.342 |
| Fraser Early | Upper Fraser, Mid Fraser, Thompson | NA | NA | 0.139 | 0.119 | 0.107 | 0.145 |
| Fraser Late | Harrison River ${ }^{3}$ | 0.155 | 0.073 | 0.350 | 0.199 | 0.177 | 0.302 |
| Upper Strait of Georgia | Klinaklini, Kakweikan, Wakeman, Kingcome, Nimpkish | 0.194 | 0.123 | 0.193 | 0.121 | 0.140 | 0.272 |
| Lower Strait of Georgia | Cowichan |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nanaimo | 0.313 | 0.154 | 0.249 | 0.101 | 0.121 | 0.190 |
| North PS Nat Springs | Nooksack, Skagit | 0.312 | 1.176 | 0.257 | 0.145 | 0.164 | 0.195 |
| Puget Sound Natural Summer / Falls | Skagit | NA | NA | 0.203 | 0.113 | 0.130 | 0.172 |
|  | Stillaguamish | $0.157$ | 0.111 | 0.396 | $0.230$ | 0.251 | $0.375$ |
|  | Snohomish | NA | NA | 0.204 | 0.109 | 0.119 | 0.176 |
|  | Lake Washington | NA | NA | 0.375 | 0.185 | 0.213 | 0.275 |
|  | Green River | 0.220 | 0.154 | 0.375 | 0.185 | 0.213 | 0.275 |
| Washington Coastal Fall Naturals | Hoko, Grays Harbor, Queets, Hoh, Quillayute | NA | NA | 0.209 | 0.154 | 0.170 | 0.292 |
| Col River Falls | Upriver Brights | NA | NA | 0.138 | 0.129 | 0.140 | 0.429 |
|  | Deschutes | NA | NA | 0.138 | 0.129 | 0.140 | 0.429 |
|  |  | NA | NA | 0.056 | 0.054 | 0.050 | 0.171 |
| Col R Summers | Mid-Col Summers ${ }^{3}$ | NA | NA | 0.135 | 0.106 | 0.097 | 0.198 |
| Far North Migrating OR Coastal Falls | Nehalem ${ }^{3}$, Siletz ${ }^{3}$, Siuslaw ${ }^{3}$ | NA | NA | 0.090 | 0.132 | 0.148 | 0.514 |

${ }^{1}$ The CWT based estimates, not the model estimates, are to be used in post season assessments.
${ }^{2}$ NA means not available because of insufficient data (lack of stock specific tag codes, base period CWT recoveries, etc).
${ }^{3}$ Stock or stock group with agreed escapement goal.

Table 5. ISBM indices for U.S. fisheries, 1999 through 2001, and the projected indices for 2002. Indices above 0.60 are in italicized bold font for stocks without CTC agreed escapement goals and for stocks that did not achieve CTC agreed escapement goals.

| Stock Group | Stock | US ISBM Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | CWT Indices ${ }^{1}$ |  | Model Indices |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1999 | 2000 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| North / Central B. C. | Yakoun, Nass, Skeena, Area 8 | NA ${ }^{2}$ | NA | $\mathrm{NC}^{3}$ | NC | NC | NC |
| West Coast Vancouver Island ${ }^{4}$ | WCVI (Artlish, Burman, Gold, Kauok, Tahsis, Tashish, Marble) | NA | NA | 0.28 | 0.44 | 0.28 | 0.27 |
| Fraser Early | Upper Fraser, Mid Fraser, Thompson | NA | NA | 0.09 | 0.20 | 0.11 | 0.15 |
| Fraser Late | Harrison River ${ }^{5}$ | 0.73 | 0.13 | 0.745 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.72 |
| Upper Strait of Georgia | Klinaklini, Kakweikan, Wakeman, Kingcome, Nimpkish | NA | NA | NC | NC | NC | NC |
| Lower Strait of Georgia | Cowichan, <br> Nanaimo | 3.50 | 0.69 | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.18 | 0.22 |
| North PS Nat Springs | Nooksack Skagit | $\begin{gathered} 0.81 \\ \text { NA } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.00 \\ \text { NA } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.16 \\ \mathrm{ID}^{6} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.24 \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.13 \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.00 \\ & 0.06 \end{aligned}$ |
| Puget Sound Natural Summer / Falls | Skagit <br> Stillaguamish <br> Snohomish <br> Lake Washington <br> Green R | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { NA } \\ 0.10 \\ \text { NA } \\ \text { NA } \\ 0.59 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { NA } \\ 0.04 \\ \text { NA } \\ \text { NA } \\ 0.70 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.18 \\ 0.16 \\ 0.05 \\ \text { ID } \\ \text { ID } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.04 \\ \text { ID } \\ \text { ID } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.13 \\ 0.11 \\ 0.04 \\ \text { ID } \\ \text { ID } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.27 \\ & 0.20 \\ & 0.15 \\ & 1.25 \\ & 0.35 \end{aligned}$ |
| Washington Coastal Fall Naturals | Hoko <br> Grays Harbor <br> Queets <br> Hoh <br> Quillayute | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { NA } \\ 0.73 \\ 0.95 \\ 1.71 \\ 1.48 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { NA } \\ 1.63 \\ 0.85 \\ 2.75 \\ 2.47 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.43 \\ & 0.68 \\ & 0.88 \\ & 1.40 \\ & 1.15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.33 \\ & 0.92 \\ & 0.15 \\ & 1.21 \\ & 0.85 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.30 \\ & 0.83 \\ & 0.87 \\ & 1.25 \\ & 1.30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.48 \\ & 0.84 \\ & 1.05 \\ & 1.26 \\ & 1.31 \end{aligned}$ |
| Col River Falls | Upriver Brights <br> Deschutes <br> Lewis ${ }^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.46 \\ & 0.74 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.53 \\ & 0.71 \\ & 0.36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.10 \\ & 0.70 \\ & 0.14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.07 \\ & 0.61 \\ & 0.13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.77 \\ & 0.49 \\ & 0.66 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.91 \\ & 0.55 \\ & 0.93 \end{aligned}$ |
| Col R Summers | Mid-Col Summers ${ }^{5}$ | 2.08 | 4.82 | 0.46 | 0.32 | 0.40 | 0.82 |
| Far North Migrating OR Coastal Falls | Nehalem ${ }^{5}$ <br> Siletz ${ }^{5}$ <br> Siuslaw ${ }^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.46 \\ & 1.07 \\ & 1.03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.97 \\ & 1.16 \\ & 2.45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.09 \\ & 1.23 \\ & 1.54 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.40 \\ & 1.26 \\ & 3.03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.50 \\ & 1.29 \\ & 3.19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.61 \\ & 1.33 \\ & 3.34 \end{aligned}$ |

${ }^{1}$ The CWT based estimates, not the model estimates, are to be used in post season assessments.
${ }^{2}$ NA means not available because of insufficient data (lack of stock specific tag codes, base period CWT recoveries, etc).
${ }^{3} \mathrm{NC}$ means that the current model assumes the stock is not caught in U.S. ISBM fisheries.
${ }^{4}$ Stock group not in Annex Table V.
${ }^{5}$ Stock with agreed escapement goal.
${ }^{6}$ ID means insufficient data available to estimate stock specific impacts.

## Overages and Underages

The agreement specifies that overages be accounted for in ISBM fisheries, as well as in AABM fisheries. Estimates of the indices based upon CWTs versus the CTC model based indices can vary substantially. Pre-season ISBM projections for 2002 provide a caution to management agencies for pre-season planning, but there can be considerable uncertainty associated with them. In 2001, the CTC developed several options for implementing overage/underage provisions in both AABM and ISBM fisheries, and presented the options to the PSC commissioners. The commissioners directed the CTC to monitor and report the indices for evaluation by the PSC commissioners. The number of stocks without CTCaccepted escapement goals emphasizes the need for agencies to provide biologically-based escapement goals and supporting documentation for CTC review.

## Stock Forecasts

A summary of recent forecasts for 14 stocks used in the CTC model calibration indicates that the accuracy of individual stock/year forecasts have ranged from $34 \%$ to $412 \%$ while the average accuracy has ranged from $51 \%$ to $175 \%$ during the period of $1998-2001$. Forecasts for the major production stocks suggest that chinook abundance in 2002 will be greater than that reported for 2001.

The detailed description of trends in escapement has been summarized in the CTC Catch and Escapement report, covering data through 2001 (CTC 2002). The escapement review includes 51 naturally spawning escapement indicator stocks/stock aggregates. Biologically-based escapement goals have been accepted by the CTC for 16 of the 51 escapement indicator stocks/stock aggregates. For 11 of these stocks, the agreed escapement goal is defined as a range; for the remaining 5 stocks, the escapement goal is the point estimate of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ (escapement producing maximum sustained yield). In 2001, escapements were within the goal range for 6 stocks, above the range or $S_{\text {MSY }}$ point estimate for 9 stocks, and below the goal range for 1 stock.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Annexes and Related Agreements (Agreement) to the Pacific Salmon Treaty (PST) dated June 30, 1999, changed the way chinook salmon fisheries were managed by the Pacific Salmon Commission (PSC). Fisheries are no longer designated as "ceiling" or "pass-through," but as Aggregate Abundance Based Management (AABM) or Individual Stock Based Management (ISBM) fisheries. AABM fisheries are managed according to the abundance of chinook salmon in each fishery. ISBM fisheries are managed to achieve exploitation rate caps on individual stocks. Allowable catch for the upcoming year in each AABM fishery (Southeast Alaska All Gear (SEAK), Northern British Columbia Troll and Sport (NBC), and West Coast Vancouver Island Troll and Outside Sport (WCVI)) is determined through an Abundance Index (AI) calculated from an agreed pre-season calibration of the Chinook Technical Committee (CTC) chinook model (see Table 1 of Chapter 3 in the Agreement). This same calibration is also used to compute the post-season AIs for the previous year. Under the Agreement, annual aggregate exploitation rates in Canadian and U.S. ISBM fisheries on specified stocks or stock groups are to be reduced by $36.5 \%$ and $40.0 \%$, respectively, from the average of those in the base period (1979-1982). Such reductions will remain in effect until these fisheries can be managed to achieve escapement at Maximum Sustained Yield (MSY) or some other biologically based escapement goal. Pre-season and post-season indices are also calculated for ISBM fisheries using the chinook model.

This annual report describes the methods and results of 1) the cohort analysis used to estimate exploitation rates from Coded-Wire-Tag (CWT) data, and 2) the chinook model calibration. The results of this 2002 pre-season calibration (CLB 0206) are based on completion of the CWT exploitation rate analysis for indicator stocks through 2000 fisheries, coastwide data on catch, spawning escapements and age structure through 2001, and forecasts of chinook returns expected in 2002. The results reported include:

- estimates of the abundance indices for the years 1979 through 2001 and a projection for 2002 for the AABM fisheries,
- estimates of the non-ceiling indices, referred to as the ISBM indices in this report, for 1999 to 2001 and projections for the 2002 ISBM fisheries,
- estimates for 1979 through 2001 and a projection for 2002 of stock composition in the AABM and other fisheries,
- the distribution of landed and total fishing mortality in all fisheries for the indicator stocks,
- estimates of harvest rates (fishery indices) in the AABM fisheries,
- forecast methods and results for selected stocks, and
- survival indices for selected stocks.

Note that the Chinook Technical Committee provided preseason AIs for the AABM fisheries in a memorandum to the Commission, dated April 24, 2002, based on a calibration approved at that time (CLB 0204). Subsequently, errors were found in the cohort analysis and other data, as noted in Appendix M. The CTC reconciled data deficiencies to produce the calibration reported in this document. The AIs for the AABM fisheries for 2002 are identical for CLBs 0204 and 0206.

## 2. METHODS

The Exploitation Rate assessment is performed through cohort analysis, a procedure that reconstructs the exploitation history of a given stock and brood year using CWT release and recovery data (CTC 1988). The procedure produces a variety of statistics, including total exploitation rates, age-fishery specific
exploitation rates, maturation rates, pre-age 2 recruitment survival indices, and annual distribution of fishery-related mortalities. Estimates of age- and fishery-specific exploitation and maturation rates from the cohort analysis are combined with data on catches, escapements, non-retention, and enhancement to complete the annual calibration of the CTC Model. The calibration procedure estimates pre-age 2 recruitment survivals for the stocks included in the model.

Results from the annual pre-season calibration of the chinook model are used to calculate: (a) AIs for the three AABM fisheries to determine the allowable 2002 catch of Treaty chinook; (b) the post-season AIs for previous years; and (c) pre-season and post-season ISBM indices.

Projected AIs for 2002 are used to determine allowable catches for AABM fisheries as specified in Table 1 of Chapter 3 of the Agreement. That table implicitly reflects the relationships between allowable catches for AABM fisheries and Abundance Indices. The post-season AIs can be used to evaluate compliance for purposes of the overage-underage provision for AABM fisheries.

For the ISBM fisheries, the Agreement specifies that Canada and the United States will reduce the exploitation rate from the $1979-1982$ base period by $36.5 \%$ and $40.0 \%$, respectively, on stocks that have not achieved their CTC agreed escapement goals. The ISBM Index is used to estimate the annual reduction in exploitation rates relative to the base period. Post-season ISBM indices for 1999 and 2000 are computed using results of the exploitation rate analysis, but are preliminary since Adult Equivalent (AEQ) rates and cohort sizes at age cannot be finally estimated until all broods that contributed to the 1999 and 2000 fisheries are complete (e.g. the 1999 ISBM indices will not stabilize until 2004). The 1999 to 2002 ISBM indices are also computed using the CTC model. However, the Agreement specifies that the ISBM indices estimated through exploitation rate analysis will be used for final post-season assessment.

### 2.1. Exploitation Rate Assessment (Through Calendar Year 2000)

The Exploitation Rate (ER) Assessment relies on CWT release and recovery data from a set of indicator stocks to estimate: (1) brood year exploitation rates, (2) the distribution of catch and total mortality among fisheries, (3) survival rates to ocean age 2 by brood year; (4) trends in fishery harvest rates, and (5) maturation rates and AEQs. Statistics reported in the Exploitation Rate Assessment are based on cohort analysis. Cohort analysis simply reconstructs the production of a CWT group by starting with the escapement, catch, and incidental fishing mortality of the oldest age class and working backwards in time to calculate the total abundance of ocean age- 2 chinook before the beginning of fishing. These reconstructions are based on estimated CWT recoveries by stock, brood year, and age in fisheries and escapements.

The CTC currently monitors 41 CWT indicator stocks, but only 33 were used for analyses in this chapter. A listing of the 41 CWT indicator stocks is provided in Table 2.1. Those used for exploitation rate analysis and the type of analysis performed for each are shown in Table 2.2. The relationship between these CWT stocks, CTC model stocks, and PST Annex stocks are shown in Appendix A. A CWT indicator stock is not used in the exploitation rate analysis if the number of recoveries is very limited or there is no quantitative estimate of tags in the spawning escapement (see footnotes in Table 2.2). A list of tag codes used for each indicator stock is provided in Appendix B. Extrapolation of results to similar stocks and/or generalizations about fishery impacts will only be appropriate to the extent that the indicator stocks are representative of the array of stocks harvested in the fisheries or the stock groupings which they represent.

Table 2.1. CWT indicator stocks, location, run type, and smolt age.

| Origin | Stock Name | Location | Run Type | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Smolt } \\ \text { Age } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S.E. Alaska | Alaska Spring | Southeast Alaska | Spring | Age 1 |
| British Columbia | Kitsumkalum | North/Central BC | Summer | Age 1 |
|  | Atnarko ${ }^{1}$ | North/Central BC | Spring/Summer | Age 0 |
|  | Kitimat River ${ }^{1}$ | North/Central BC | Summer | Age 0 |
|  | Robertson Creek | WCVI | Fall | Age 0 |
|  | Quinsam | Georgia Strait | Fall | Age 0 |
|  | Puntledge | Georgia Strait | Summer | Age 0 |
|  | Big Qualicum | Georgia Strait | Fall | Age 0 |
|  | Cowichan | Georgia Strait | Fall | Age 0 |
|  | Chehalis (Harrison Stock) ${ }^{1}$ | Lower Fraser River | Fall | Age 0 |
|  | Chilliwack (Harrison Stock) | Lower Fraser River | Fall | Age 0 |
| Puget Sound | South Puget Sound Fall Yearling | South Puget Sound | Summer/Fall | Age 1 |
|  | Squaxin Pens Fall Yearling | South Puget Sound | Summer/Fall | Age 1 |
|  | University of Wash. Accelerated | Central Puget Sound | Summer/Fall | Age 0 |
|  | Samish Fall Fingerling | North Puget Sound | Summer/Fall | Age 0 |
|  | Stillaguamish Fall Fingerling | Central Puget Sound | Summer/Fall | Age 0 |
|  | George Adams Fall Fingerling | Hood Canal | Summer/Fall | Age 0 |
|  | South Puget Sound Fall Fingerling | South Puget Sound | Summer/Fall | Age 0 |
|  | Nisqually Fall Fingerling | South Puget Sound | Summer/Fall | Age 0 |
|  | Elwha Fall Fingerling | Strait of Juan de Fuca | Summer/Fall | Age 0 |
|  | Hoko Fall Fingerling | Strait of Juan de Fuca | Summer/Fall | Age 0 |
|  | Nooksack Fall Fingerling | North Puget Sound | Summer/Fall | Age 0 |
|  | Skagit Spring Yearling | Central Puget Sound | Spring | Age 1 |
|  | Nooksack Spring Yearling | North Puget Sound | Spring | Age 1 |
|  | White River Spring Yearling | South Puget Sound | Spring | Age 1 |
| Washington Coast | Sooes Fall Fingerling | North Wash. Coast | Fall | Age 0 |
|  | Queets Fall Fingerling | North Wash. Coast | Fall | Age 0 |
| Columbia River | Cowlitz Tule | Columbia R. (WA) | Fall Tule | Age 0 |
|  | Spring Creek Tule | Columbia R. (WA) | Fall Tule | Age 0 |
|  | Columbia Lower River Hatchery | Columbia River (OR) | Fall Tule | Age 0 |
|  | Upriver Bright | Upper Columbia R. | Fall Bright | Age 0 |
|  | Hanford Wild | Upper Columbia R. | Fall Bright | Age 0 |
|  | Leavenworth Spring ${ }^{2}$ | Upper Columbia R. | Spring | Age 1 |
|  | Lewis River Wild | Lower Columbia R. | Fall Bright | Age 0 |
|  | Lyons Ferry ${ }^{3}$ | Snake River | Fall Bright | Age 0 |
|  | Willamette Spring | Lower Columbia R. | Spring | Age 1 |
|  | Summers | Columbia R. (WA) | Summer | Age 1 |
| Oregon Coast | Salmon River | North Oregon Coast | Fall | Age 0 |
| Idaho | Sawtooth Spring ${ }^{2}$ | Idaho | Spring | Age 1 |
|  | Rapid River Spring ${ }^{2}$ | Idaho | Spring | Age 1 |
|  | McCall Summer ${ }^{2}$ | Idaho | Summer | Age 1 |

[^0]Table 2.2. CWT exploitation rate indicator stocks used in this year's exploitation rate analysis, type of analyses, availability of quantitative escapement recoveries and base period tagging data. Brood Exp = brood exploitation rates; Distn = stock catch distribution, Esc = quantitative estimates of escapement. Base Tagging $=$ data are available during the base period years 1979-1982.

| Indicator Stock Name | Fishery Index | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Isbm } \\ & \text { Index } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Brood }^{1} \\ \text { Exp } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Survival } \\ & \text { Index } \end{aligned}$ | Distn | Esc | Base Tagging |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska Spring | yes | - | Total | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Kitsumkalum | - | - | Total | yes | yes | yes | - |
| Robertson Creek | yes | yes | Ocean ${ }^{1}$ | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Quinsam | yes | yes | Total | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Puntledge | yes | - | Total | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Big Qualicum | yes | yes | Total | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Cowichan | yes | yes | Total | yes | yes | yes | - |
| Chilliwack (Harrison Fall Stock) | - | yes | Total | yes | yes | yes | - |
| South Puget Sound Fall Yearling | yes | , | , | yes | yes | yes ${ }^{3}$ | yes |
| Squaxin Pens Fall Yearling | - | 2 | 2 | yes | yes | yes ${ }^{3}$ | - |
| Univ of Washington Accelerated | yes | 2 | 2 | - | yes | yes ${ }^{3}$ | yes |
| Samish Fall Fingerling | yes | - | Ocean | yes | yes | yes ${ }^{3}$ | yes |
| Stillaguamish Fall Fingerling | - | yes | - | - | yes | - | - |
| George Adams Fall Fingerling | yes | , | 2 | yes | yes | yes ${ }^{3}$ | yes |
| South Puget Sound Fall Fingerling | yes | yes | Ocean | yes | yes | yes ${ }^{3}$ | yes |
| Nisqually Fall Fingerling | - | - | - | - | yes | - | yes |
| Elwha Fall Fingerling | - | - | - | - | yes | - | - |
| Hoko Fall Fingerling | - | - | - | yes | yes | yes | - |
| Skagit Spring Yearling | - | - | - | yes | yes | yes ${ }^{3}$ | - |
| Nooksack Spring Yearling | - | yes | - | yes | yes | yes ${ }^{3}$ | - |
| White River Spring Yearling | - | - | - | yes | yes | yes ${ }^{3}$ | yes |
| Sooes Fall Fingerling | - | - | - | yes | yes | yes | - |
| Queets Fall Fingerling | - | yes | - | - | yes | - | yes |
| Cowlitz Tule | yes | - | 2 | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Spring Creek Tule | yes | - | 2 | yes | yes | yes |  |
| Columbia Lower River Hatchery | yes | - | 2 | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Upriver Bright | yes | yes | Both | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Hanford Wild | - | - | - | yes | yes | yes | - |
| Lewis River Wild | yes | yes | Both | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Lyons Ferry | - | - | - | yes | yes | yes | - |
| Columbia Summers | yes | yes | - | yes | yes | yes |  |
| Willamette Spring | yes | - | 2 | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Salmon River | yes | yes | Ocean | yes | yes | yes | yes |

1 For stocks of hatchery origin and subject to terminal fisheries directed at harvesting surplus hatchery production. Ocean fisheries do not include terminal net fisheries. Otherwise, total fishery includes terminal net fisheries.
2 Hatchery stock not used to represent naturally spawning stock.
3 Only hatchery rack recoveries are included in escapement.

### 2.1.1. Assumptions of the Analyses

Assumptions used in the cohort analysis and other procedures used in the Exploitation Rate Assessment are summarized below. Detailed discussions of assumptions and parameter values have been reported previously (CTC 1988). The analysis is necessary to calculate the fishery indices for the AABM fisheries and the non-ceiling index for the ISBM fisheries.

The primary assumptions of the cohort analysis are:

1) CWT recovery data are obtained in a consistent manner from year to year or can be adjusted to make them comparable. Many of the analyses rely upon indices that are computed as the ratio of a statistic in a particular year to the value associated with a base period. Use of ratios may reduce or eliminate the effect of data biases that are consistent from year to year.
2) For ocean age-2 and older fish, natural mortality varies by age but is constant across years. Natural mortality rates (NM) applied by age are: age- $2,40 \%$; age- $3,30 \%$; age- $4,20 \%$; and age- 5 and older $10 \%$ (i.e., after fishing mortality and maturation of the age 4 cohort, $10 \%$ of the remaining immature fish die due to natural sources before becoming age 5 fish and the commencement of fishing the next year).
3) All stocks within a fishery have the same size distribution for each age and the size distribution at age is constant among years.
4) The spatial and temporal catch distribution of sublegal-size fish of a given age from a stock is the same as legal-size fish of a given age of that stock.
5) Incidental mortality rates per encounter are constant between years. The rates vary by fish size (legal or sub-legal) and fishery and are those published by the CTC (1997) for troll and sport fisheries.
6) The procedures for estimating the mortality of CWT fish of legal size during periods of chinook nonretention (CNR) assume that the stock distribution in any year remains unchanged from the period of legal catch retention in the same year. However, gear and/or area restrictions during CNR fisheries are believed to reduce the number of encounters of legal-size fish. To account for this, the number of legal encounters during the CNR fishery was adjusted by a selectivity factor. A factor of 0.34 was used for the WCVI and GS troll fisheries. This value is the average selectivity factor calculated from 3 years of observer data in the Alaska troll fishery. A factor of 0.20 is used in the NCBC troll fishery. This factor corresponds to the proportion of fishing areas that remain open during non-retention periods. A selectivity factor is not required for the SEAK troll fishery since an independent estimate of legal and sublegal encounters has been provided annually.
7) Maturation rates for brood years in which all ages have not matured (incomplete broods) are equal to the average of completed brood years. Maturation rates are stock specific.
8) Recoveries of age-4 and older chinook in ocean net fisheries are assumed to be mature fish (ocean terminal catches).

In addition, when using the fishery indices as a measure of the change in fishery harvest rates between years, the temporal and spatial distribution of stocks in and between fisheries and years is assumed to be stable.

For AABM fisheries, the fishery indices are presented for both reported catch (same as landed catch) and total mortality, only total mortality indices are presented for the ISBM fisheries. The difference between reported catch and total mortality is incidental mortality, which includes the mortality of legal-size fish in CNR fisheries and the mortality of sublegal-size fish in both retention and CNR fisheries. Management strategies have changed considerably for fisheries of interest to the PSC since 1985. Regulatory changes have included size limit changes, extended periods of CNR in troll fisheries, and mandatory release of chinook caught in some net fisheries. Estimates of incidental mortality are crucial for assessment of total fishery impacts, yet they cannot be determined directly from CWT recovery data. There are four categories of incidental mortality that are estimated in the chinook model and the CWT cohort analysis. Legal and sublegal fishery specific mortality rates are applied to the following types of chinook encounters:

1. Shakers: chinook below the legal size limit that are encountered, brought to the boat, and released during a chinook retention fishery.
2. Sublegal CNR: chinook below the legal size limit that are encountered, brought to the boat, and released during a chinook non-retention fishery. The mortality rate per encounter applied to shakers and to Sublegal chinook during CNR is the same.
3. Legal CNR: chinook above the legal size limit that are encountered, brought to the boat, and released during a chinook non-retention fishery.
4. Drop-off: Chinook above or below the legal size limit that are encountered but are lost from the gear before they reach the boat during either retention or non-retention fisheries. Drop-off mortality is assumed the same for legal and sublegal fish, but can vary by gear type.

There are several methods used to estimate the number of CNR mortalities in the model and the CWT cohort analysis. The 'season length' method uses the relative length of the chinook retention and nonretention periods. This is usually expressed in days or boat-days. Agencies can also provide direct estimates of CNR encounters for use with the method. The CWT cohort analysis can also use a method based on catchability coefficients where no associated chinook retention period exists for the fishery in question. The 'season length' method as used in the exploitation rate assessment has been previously described in CTC (1988). The chinook model also can use a method, known as the 'RT' method, based on the difference between base period exploitation rates and the current year exploitation rates, and current cohort sizes. In both the season length and RT methods, the stock composition of the legal CNR encounters is assumed to be the same as the stock composition of the legal catch. The stock composition of the shakers and sublegal CNR encounters is estimated using the non-vulnerable portions of the cohorts for stocks that contribute to the landed catch. The procedures used to estimate incidental mortality in the chinook model have been previously described by the CTC Analytic Work Group (1991).

For some fisheries or years CWT recoveries are either lacking or can not be used in certain analyses of this exploitation rate assessment. In some of these situations the model can be used for ER assessment.

Table 2.3. Situations where CWT recoveries are not useable or available for ER assessment.

| Situation | Reason for data limitations |
| :--- | :--- |
| Incidental mortalities in salmon and non- | Limited or qualitative sampling, no base period sampling |
| salmon fisheries |  |
| SEAK sport | No base period sampling |
| SEAK winter troll | No base period sampling |
| NCBC and WCVI sport | No base period sampling |
| Johnstone Strait sport | Incomplete sampling |
| Canadian freshwater net | Incomplete sampling |
| Some freshwater sport | Incomplete sampling |

### 2.1.2. Brood Year Exploitation Rates

Brood year exploitation rates provide the best measure of the cumulative impact of fisheries upon all age classes of a stock. The rates are computed as the ratio of adult equivalent (AEQ) total fishing mortality to AEQ total fishing mortality plus escapement. The AEQ factor represents the proportion of fish of a given age that would, in the absence of fishing, subsequently leave the ocean to return to the terminal area on the spawning migration. The numerator may be partitioned into components for AEQ reported catch and AEQ incidental mortality, with each component occurring in either ocean fisheries or freshwater fisheries.

The exploitation rate on an indicator stock may differ from the exploitation rate on the wild stock it represents if the indicator stock is of hatchery origin and subject to terminal fisheries directed at harvesting surplus hatchery production. In the case of the brood exploitation rate, this difference was addressed by computing a rate for ocean fisheries and a total for all fisheries. Ocean fisheries were defined to include marine sport and troll fisheries, and CWT recoveries of ocean age-2 and age- 3 fish in all non-terminal net fisheries. By partitioning the fisheries in this way, the most appropriate measure of brood exploitation rates on wild stocks could be selected. The method selected for each exploitation rate indicator stock is given in Table 2.2. If broods are incomplete but have data through age 4, then average maturation rates are applied to predict the completed brood value.

The brood year exploitation rate is calculated as:

The Adult Equivalent (AEQ) rate is calculated as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A E Q_{B Y, a-1, f}=\text { MatRte }_{a-1, B Y}+\left(1-\text { MatRte }_{a-1, B Y}\right) * \text { Surv }_{a} * A E Q_{B Y, a, f} \\
& A E Q_{B Y, \text { Maxage, } f} \equiv 1.0
\end{aligned}
$$

See Table 2.4 for a description of notation.

### 2.1.3. Brood Year Survival Rates and Indices

The brood year survival of CWTd smolts after release is calculated for most indicator stocks (Table 2.2). This survival rate is frequently referred to as the marine survival of the tag group but also includes any mortality occurring in freshwater following release. Interpretation of this survival rate is stock specific. Two measures of survival indices or patterns are computed: survival to the age-2 cohort based on CWT recoveries, and the "environmental variate" (EV) determined from the calibration of the chinook model (described in the following section). The CWT-based estimate is our most direct measure of a brood's survival but this measure is not available until the brood is complete (i.e., all ages have returned to spawn). The model EV parameter, however, provides a more current measure of the survival rates expected in brood years contributing to present and future fisheries. For CWT data, the survival rate for a stock and brood year is the estimated age-2 cohort (from the cohort analysis) divided by the number of tags released.

Table 2.4. Parameter definitions for all equations except those used for SPFI in SEAK.

```
    Parameter Description
            a= age class
            A = set of all ages that meet selection criteria
            AE\mp@subsup{Q}{BY,a,f}{}=\mathrm{ adult equivalent factor in brood year }BY\mathrm{ , age }a\mathrm{ , and fishery f}\mathrm{ (for terminal}
                    fisheries AEQ = 1.0)
    Age2CohSurv }\mp@subsup{}{BY}{}=\mathrm{ cohort survival of CWT fish to age 2 (pre-fishery) for brood year BY
        AvgMatRte= average maturation rate
        Bper = base period years (1979 through 1982)
        BYEXP = brood year AEQ exploitation rate
        BPISBMER= average base period ISBM exploitation rate
            BY= brood year
            CY= calendar year
        CYDistcY,F }=\mathrm{ proportion of total stock mortality (or escapement) in a calendar year attributable
            to a fishery or a set of fisheries
        CY end = end year for average
        CY start = start year for average
        Esc}\mp@subsup{c}{\textrm{BY},\textrm{a}}{}=\mathrm{ escapement past all fisheries for brood year BY and age a
        ER s,a,CY}=\mathrm{ landed catch (or total mortality) at age a divided by cohort size at age a for stock s
                        in fishery f}f\mathrm{ in year CY
            E\mp@subsup{V}{n,\textrm{BY}}{}=\mathrm{ the stock productivity scalar for iteration n and brood year BY}\\mp@code{M}
            f= a single fishery
        f\in{F}= a fishery f}\mathrm{ within the set of fisheries of interest
            F= ocean, terminal or other sets of fisheries or spawning escapements
        FIf,CY}=\mathrm{ fishery exploitation rate index for fishery f in year CY
    FP a,s.CY,f}=\mathrm{ Ratio of ER R, s,a,f,CY
    MatRte e-l,BY}=\mathrm{ maturity rate at next younger age by brood year
        Maxage = maximum age of stock (generally age 5 for stream type stocks, age 6 for ocean
            type stocks)
    Minage = minimum age of stock (generally age 2 for stream type stocks, age 3 for ocean
                type stocks)
    Morts }\mp@subsup{\}{CY,a,f}{}=\mathrm{ landed or total fishing mortality in year CY and age a in fishery f
    NM
    Numfisheries = total number of fisheries
        RT}\mp@subsup{T}{CY}{}=\mathrm{ ratio of the catch quota in the current year to the catch that would be predicted
                        given current abundance, current size limits, and base period exploitation rates
            s= a particular stock
            S= set of all stocks that meet selection criteria
        SC}\mp@subsup{C}{BY}{}=\mathrm{ ratio of the estimated terminal run and model predicted terminal run for brood
            year BY
            Surv}\mp@subsup{}{a}{}=\mathrm{ survival rate (1-NMa}) by age
    TotMorts sY,a,f}= total fishing related mortality for brood year BY and age a in fishery 
TotCWTRelease }\mp@subsup{\mp@code{BY}}{=}{= number of CWT fish released in the indicator group in brood year BY
```

$$
{\text { Age } 2 \text { CohSurv }_{B Y}}=\frac{\text { Cohort }_{B Y, 2}}{\text { TotCWTRelease }_{B Y}}
$$

where Cohort $_{B Y, 2}$ is calculated recursively from the oldest age down to age- 2 using:

$$
\text { Cohort }_{B Y, a}=\frac{\sum_{f=1}^{\text {Numpfisheries }_{\text {TotMorts }}^{B Y, a, f}} \boldsymbol{}+\text { Esc }_{B Y, a}+\text { Cohort }_{B Y, a+1}}{1-N M_{a}}
$$

If ocean age- 5 is absent, the age- 4 cohort size is estimated using the following formula:

### 2.1.4. Stock Distribution Patterns

Brood year exploitation rates can indicate the fisheries that exploit a stock and the rates that occur on a specific brood, but do not indicate the exploitation pattern on a stock during one calendar year (across broods). Stock mortality distributions (reported catch or total) in a calendar year are calculated over all ages in the fisheries (if at least three brood years contribute to recoveries).

$$
C Y D i s t_{C Y, F}=\frac{\sum_{a=\text { Minage }}^{\text {Maxage }} \sum_{f \in\{F\}} \operatorname{Morts}_{C Y, a, f} * A E Q_{B Y=C Y-a, a, f}}{\sum_{a=\text { Minage }}^{\text {Maxage }}\left(\sum_{f=1}^{\text {Numfisheries }} \operatorname{Morts}_{C Y, a, f} * A E Q_{B Y=C Y-a, a, f}+E s c_{C Y, a}\right)}
$$

It should be noted that catch distributions may not indicate the relative distribution of an indicator stock. For example, closure of a fishery would result in no reported catch but this would not necessarily indicate zero abundance of the stock in that fishing area.

### 2.1.5. Fishery Indices

When the PST was negotiated in 1985, catch ceilings and increases in stock abundance were expected to reduce harvest rates in fisheries. The Fishery Index (FI) provided a means to assess performance against this expectation. Relative to the base period, an index less than 1.0 represents a decrease from base period harvest rates while an index greater than 1.0 represents an increase. While the determination of allowable catch for AABM fisheries in the 1999 Agreement is different from the original PST catch ceilings, these fishery indices continue to provide a useful index of change in harvest rates in these fisheries. Fishery indices are used to measure relative changes in fishery harvest rates because it is not possible to directly estimate the fishery harvest rates.

Fishery indices are computed in AEQ for both reported catch and total mortality (reported catch plus estimated incidental mortality). The total mortality index provides a consistent means of representing changes in reported catch and incidental mortality, including those associated with regulatory measures such as minimum size limits and CNR periods. Equations used to define the index are shown below.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E R_{s, a, f, C Y}=\frac{\text { TotMorts }_{s, a, f, C Y} * A E Q_{s, B Y=C Y-a, a, f}}{\text { Cohort }_{s, B Y=C Y-a, a} *\left(1-N M_{a}\right)} \\
& F I_{f, C Y}=\frac{\sum_{s \in\{S\} \in\{A\}} \sum_{s, a, f, C Y} E R_{s,}}{\left(\frac{\sum_{B P E R=79}^{82} \sum_{s \in\{S\}} \sum_{a \in\{A\}} E R_{s, a, f, B P E R}}{4}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

For AABM fisheries, indices are presented for troll gear only although the catch limitations also apply to recreational fisheries and net fisheries in SEAK and the recreational fisheries in NBC and WCVI. As in past years, recoveries from the troll fishery were used because the majority of the catch and the most reliable CWT sampling occur in these fisheries. In addition, there are data limitations in the base period for the sport fisheries. Because the allocation of the catch among gear types has changed in some fisheries (e.g., the proportion of the catch harvested by the sport fishery has increased in the SEAK and NCBC fisheries), the indices may not represent the harvest impact of all gear types.

### 2.1.5.1. Modifications of SEAK Troll Fishery Index

The CTC uses fishery indices to reflect changes in fishery impacts relative to a base period (1979-1982). The form of the fishery index limits inclusion of stocks to those with adequate tagging during the base period, but fishing patterns for some fisheries have changed substantially since the base. One example of this is the SEAK troll fishery where the catch during the winter season has increased, the spring fishery has been largely curtailed, and the summer season has become markedly shorter. Because stock complexes are dynamic throughout the year, stock specific impacts of the SEAK fishery have likely changed over time as season structure has been altered. To incorporate changes in stock composition and to include stocks without base period data, the CTC examined alternative derivations of fishery indices (CTC 1996).

The CTC determined that a useful fishery index should reflect both changes in harvest rates and stock distribution. Three general, desirable characteristics were identified:

1) the index should measure changes in fishery harvest rates if the distribution of stocks is unchanged from the base period;
2) the index should have an expected value of 1.0 for random variation around the base period fishery harvest rate, cohort size, and stock distributions; and
3) the index should weight changes in stock distribution by abundance.

After exploring several alternatives, the CTC concluded that the best estimate for a fishery index would consist of the product of a fishery harvest rate index and an index of stock abundance weighted by average distribution (i.e., the proportion of a cohort vulnerable to the fishery). This assessment supported the application of the stratified proportional harvest rate index adjusted for untagged stocks (SPFI), as presented by ADF\&G. Computation of the SPFI is discussed below.

Initially the CWT harvest rate $\left(h_{t, c y}\right)$ must be set to an arbitrary value between 0 and 1 . Then, the distribution parameter $\left(d_{t, s, a}\right)$ is calculated, and the result is substituted into the second equation below to recursively recalculate $h_{t, c y}$ and subsequently $d_{t, s, a}$. The largest stock-age distribution parameter in a stratum is then set to 1 to create a unique solution. See Table 2.5 for notation description.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
d_{t, s, a}=\sum_{C Y} r_{t, C Y, s, a} / \sum_{C Y}\left(h_{t, C Y} * n_{C Y, s, a}\right) \\
h_{t, C Y}=\sum_{s} \sum_{a} r_{t, C Y, s, a} / \sum_{s} \sum_{a}\left(d_{t, s, a} * n_{C Y, s, a}\right)
\end{array}
$$

The resulting unique solution is inserted into the following equations.

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{t, C Y}=\left[\left(\sum_{s}^{\sum_{s} \sum_{a} c_{t, C Y, s, a} r_{t, C Y, s, a}}\right) *\left(C_{t, C Y}-A_{t, C Y}\right)\right] /\left[\left(C_{t, C Y}-A_{t, C Y}\right) / h_{t, C Y}\right] \\
H_{. C Y}=\sum_{t}\left[\left(\frac{\sum_{s}^{s} \sum_{a} c_{t, C Y, s, a}}{\sum_{s} \sum_{a} r_{t, C Y, s, a}}\right) *\left(C_{t, C Y}-A_{t, C Y}\right)\right] / \sum_{t}\left[\left(C_{t, C Y}-A_{t, C Y}\right) / h_{t, C Y}\right] \\
S_{t, C Y}=H_{t, C Y} / \sum_{C Y=1979}^{1982} H_{t, C Y} \\
S_{. C Y}=H_{. C Y} / \sum_{C Y=1979}^{1982} H_{. C Y}
\end{gathered}
$$

Table 2.5. Parameter definitions for equations used for SPFI in SEAK.

```
Parameter Description
    \(A_{t, C Y}=\) Alaska hatchery origin catch by strata \(t\), year \(C Y\)
    \(c_{t, C Y, s, a}=\) adult equivalent CWT catch by strata \(t\), year \(C Y\), stock \(s\) and age \(a\)
        \(C_{t, C Y}=\) catch by strata \(t\), year \(C Y\)
        \(d_{t, s, a}=\) distribution parameter by strata \(t\), stock \(s\) and age \(a\)
        \(h_{t, C Y}=\) CWT harvest rate by strata \(t\), year \(C Y\)
        \(H_{H_{C Y}}=\) harvest rate by year \(C Y\)
        \(H_{t, C Y}=\) harvest rate by strata \(t\), year \(C Y\)
        \(n_{C Y, s, a}=\) CWT cohort size by year \(C Y\), stock \(s\) and age \(a\)
        \(r_{t, C Y, s, a}=\) CWT recoveries by strata \(t\), year \(C Y\), stock \(s\) and age \(a\)
        \(S_{. C Y}=\) SPFI by year \(C Y\)
        \(S_{t, C Y}=\) SPFI by strata \(t\), year \(C Y\)
```


### 2.1.6. ISBM Indices

In previous reports, the CTC (1996) proposed a non-ceiling fishery index as a measure of the passthrough provision in the 1985 PST. This index compares an 'expected' AEQ mortality (assuming base period exploitation rates and current stock abundance) with the observed AEQ mortality on a stock within a calendar year, over all non-ceiling fisheries of a party (Table 2.6). Index values less than 1.0 indicate that the exploitation rates have decreased relative to the base period. Under the new Agreement, the CTC is required to continue to apply the form of this index to ISBM fisheries (the ISBM Index). Paragraph 4, chapter 3 states:
"4. The Parties agree that in respect of ISBM fisheries:
(a) their intent is that the fisheries shall be managed over time to contribute to the achievement of MSY or other agreed biologically-based escapement objectives;
(b) until such times as the ISBM fisheries are managed to meet those escapement objectives, and unless otherwise recommended by the CTC, the non-ceiling index defined in TCChinook (96)1 (February 15,1996) will be used to measure performance of ISBM fisheries;
(c) the non-ceiling index for ISBM fisheries will be computed pre-season based on forecasted abundance and fishing plans and evaluated post season for each of the escapement indicator stocks listed in Attachments I to V to this chapter;
(d) for the purposes of this paragraph, until agreed escapement objectives for the stock groups listed in Attachments I to V to this Chapter have been achieved, Canada and the United States shall reduce by 36.5 and 40 percent respectively, the total adult equivalent mortality rate, relative to the 1979-82 base period, in their respective ISBM fisheries that affect those stock groups. The reduction identified in this sub-paragraph shall be referred to as the "general obligation"."

Table 2.6. Fisheries included in the ISBM Index by nation.

| Fisheries Included in ISBM Index |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| United States | Canada |
| Washington/Oregon/California Ocean Troll | West Coast Vancouver Island Net |
| Puget Sound Northern Net | Strait of Juan de Fuca Net |
| Puget Sound Other Net | Johnstone Net |
| Washington Coastal Net | Fraser Net |
| Washington/Oregon/California Ocean Sport | Strait of Georgia Troll, Net, and Sport |
| Puget Sound Northern Sport | North BC mainland sport, and Central BC Sport |
| Puget Sound Southern Sport | North and Central BC Net |
| Freshwater Terminal Net | Central BC Troll |
| Freshwater Terminal Sport | Freshwater BC Net and Sport |

The formula proposed by the CTC in 1991 and referred to in CTC (1996) for a stock/country combination is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I S B M I d x_{C Y}= & \frac{\sum_{f \in\{F\}} \sum_{a=\text { Minage }}^{\text {Maxage }}\left(\text { TotMorts }_{C Y, f, a} * A E Q_{B Y=C Y-a, a, f}\right)}{\sum_{f \in\{F\}\}} \sum_{a=\text { Minage }}^{\text {Maxage }}\left(B P I S B M E R_{f, a} * \text { Cohort }_{B Y=C Y-a, a}\right)} \\
\text { BPISBMER }_{f, a}= & \frac{\sum_{B P R R=79}^{82} \frac{\left(\text { TotMorts }_{B P E R, f, a} * A E Q_{B Y=B P E R-a, a, f}\right)}{\text { Cohort }_{B Y=B P E R-a, a}}}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

The general obligation does not apply to stocks that achieved their CTC agreed escapement goal. For 1999 and 2000, ISBM indices are presented from both model and CWT estimates. Post-season indices for 2001 and projected indices for 2002 were estimated using the CTC model.

Direct application of the CTC model alone or CWT data alone was not possible in the computation of all indices since fisheries required a finer resolution than the CTC model currently provides or, in some cases, there are terminal fisheries which make the estimated exploitation rate not representative of the untagged stocks.

In those instances the following methods were used:

1) For 2002, two pre-season models, the Fisheries Resource Assessment Model (FRAM) and the Columbia River Harvest Model, were used to predict stock-specific impacts in inside fisheries (Puget Sound net and sport, and the Columbia River net and sport fisheries respectively). These estimated impacts were then used to compute the chinook model fishing policy (FP) factors for the corresponding PSC model fisheries.
2) For 2002 many ISBM fisheries or stock/fishery combinations had no pre-season predictions of harvest rates and in some cases, no prediction of abundance. In those cases, a repeat of 2001 harvest rates, or a repeat of 2000 rates if estimates of 2001 were also unavailable, was assumed.
3) In 1999, 2000, and 2001, external estimates of impacts in terminal ISBM fisheries were used to generate FP estimates (for model generated estimates) or to modify estimated CWT recoveries (for CWT-based estimates) for many stocks. This was necessary because terminal impacts on some CWT indicator stocks used were not representative of the fishery impacts on the stock of interest.
4) For the CWT-based estimates, some indicator stocks did not have 1979 - 1982 base period recoveries. For these stocks, base period exploitation rates for the model stock associated with the wild stock were used, if available.

Tables 2.7 and 2.8 show which model stock (Table 2.7) or CWT indicator stock (Table 2.8) was used to represent each wild stock. Also shown are which of the above methods (if any) were used to generate FP scalars for the model stocks or to adjust the CWT indicator stock data for the computation of the indices.

Table 2.7. Methods used to compute FP scalars for input into the CTC Chinook Model to produce ISBM Indices for 2000-2002 (see pg. 13-14 for method descriptions).

|  |  |  | Stock Specific Method |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stock Group |  | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Model } \\ \text { Stock }\end{array}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 2 0 0 1}$ |$]$

Table 2.8. Methods used to adjust CWT data for computation of the 1999 and 2000 ISBM indices (see pg. 13-14 for method descriptions).

| Stock Group | Stock | CWT Stock | Stock Specific Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lower Strait of Georgia | Cowichan Nanaimo | Cowichan <br> Big Qualicum River | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Fraser Late | Harrison | Fraser Late | Not needed |
| North Puget Sound Natural Spring | Nooksack Spring Skagit Spring | Nooksack Spring N/A | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { N/A } \end{gathered}$ |
| Upper Strait of Georgia | Klinaklini <br> Kakweikan <br> Wakeman <br> Kingcome <br> Nimpkish | Quinsam | Not needed |
| Fraser Early (springs and summers) | Upper Fraser Mid Fraser Thompson | N/A | N/A |
| West Coast Vancouver Island Falls | Artlish <br> Burman <br> Gold <br> Kauok <br> Tahsis <br> Tashish <br> Marble | Robertson Creek | 3 |
| Puget Sound Natural Summer/Falls | Skagit <br> Stillaguamish <br> Snohomish <br> Lake Washington <br> Green River | N/A <br> Stillaguamish Fall Fing <br> N/A <br> N/A <br> South PS Fall Fingerlings | N/A <br> Not needed <br> N/A <br> N/A <br> Not needed |
| North/Central BC | Yakoun Nass Skeena Area 8 | N/A | N/A |
| Washington Coastal Fall Naturals | Hoko Grays Harbor Queets Hoh Quillayute | Queets <br> Queets <br> Queets <br> Queets <br> Queets | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Col River Falls | Upriver Brights Deschutes Lewis | Upriver Bright Upriver Bright <br> Lewis River Wild | Not needed <br> Not needed <br> Not needed |
| Columbia River Summers | Mid-Columbia Summers | Columbia Summers | Not needed |
| Far North Migrating Oregon Coastal Falls | Nehalem Siletz Siuslaw | Salmon River Hatchery Salmon River Hatchery Salmon River Hatchery | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

### 2.2. Model Calibration

This section of the report describes the calibration data and procedures. For reference, a list of stocks and fisheries in the model is provided in Appendix C. Estimation of the model base period parameters is discussed in detail in the model documentation (Analytic Work Group 1991). For 2002, the model used was the same as used during the Pacific Salmon Treaty negotiations (CLB 9812) but with the exception that the actual catches, escapements, and other data through 2001 were added. In addition, CTC accepted escapement goals were used where available and the form of the Ricker production function was adjusted for those stocks with newly accepted goals (Harrison River fall white chinook).

### 2.2.1. Calibration Data

The first step in the annual calibration process is to gather new or revised data and update the appropriate model input files. The frequency of updates depends on the frequency of data changes made by the reporting agencies, the magnitude of the change, and the significance of the change to the current model application. For example, the file containing run size data is updated as pre-season forecasts and postseason estimates become available since model predictions are sensitive to pre-season forecasts and postseason estimates of terminal runs. Months in which forecasts are made for each stock, and the month the final return estimate becomes available, are presented in Table 2.9.

The model is recalibrated annually to incorporate observed data from the previous year and available abundance forecasts for next year. In addition, recalibration may also occur when significant changes in one or more of the following model input files are made.

BSE (base). This file contains basic information describing the structure of the model, including, but not limited to the number of stocks, age classes and fisheries, the names of fisheries and the proportion of each age class that was not vulnerable to the gear during the base period, identification of terminal fisheries, stock names and production parameters. This file may be modified annually to incorporate productivity parameters that correspond to new CTC agreed escapement goals.

CEI (ceiling). This file contains historical catch data for the 17 fisheries that are modeled as ceiling or catch quota fisheries (as opposed to fisheries modeled solely through control of exploitation rates) through the most recent fishing season.

CNR (chinook nonretention). Data used by the model to estimate mortalities during CNR periods are read from the CNR file. The data in the CNR file depends on which method is used to calculate CNR mortality. It may include direct estimates of encounters during the CNR period or indicators of fishing effort in the CNR period relative to the retention period.

ENH (enhancement file). This file contains productivity parameters and smolt production for 13 hatchery stocks and one natural stock (Lower Georgia Strait Naturals) with supplementation. Smolt production is expressed as the deviation from the average production during the model base period; as a result, values in the ENH file can be negative if releases in a given year are less than the average reported for the model base period. Additional discussion of the productivity parameters may be found in the model documentation (Analytic Work Group 1991).

FCS (forecast). Postseason estimates of terminal run sizes or escapements and agency supplied pre-season forecasts (Table 2.10) are included in the FCS file. Age-specific information is used for those stocks and years for which data are available.

Table 2.9. Months that pre-season forecasts of abundance are available from agencies for the next fishing year.

| Model Stock | Month Final Return Estimate Available | Month(s) Forecast Available |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska South SE | January | None |
| North/Central BC | November | None |
| Fraser Early | January | None |
| Fraser Late | February | February |
| WCVI Hatchery | January | February |
| WCVI Natural | January | February |
| Upper Strait of Georgia | January | None |
| Lower Strait of Georgia Natural | December | None |
| Lower Strait of Georgia Hatchery | December | None |
| Nooksack Fall | June | February |
| Puget Sound Fall Fingerling | June | February |
| Puget Sound Natural Fall | June | February |
| Puget Sound Fall Yearling | June | February |
| Nooksack Spring | June | Not Used |
| Skagit Summer/Fall Wild | June | February |
| Stillaguamish Summer/Fall Wild | June | February |
| Snohomish Summer/Fall Wild | June | February |
| WA Coastal Fall Hatchery | June | None |
| Columbia Upriver Bright | April | February, April |
| Spring Creek Hatchery | April | February, April |
| Lower Bonneville Hatchery | April | February, April |
| Fall Cowlitz Hatchery | April | February, April |
| Lewis River Wild | April | February, April |
| Willamette Spring Hatchery | June | December |
| Spring Cowlitz Hatchery | June | December |
| Columbia River Summer | September | March |
| Oregon Coastal Fall North Migrating | February | February |
| WA Coastal Fall Wild | June | None |
| Snake River Wild Fall | April | April |
| Mid-Columbia River Bright Hatchery | April | February, April |

FP (fishery policy). This file contains year-fishery-stock-age-specific scalars to be applied to base period fishery exploitation rates. The FPs are used to scale fishery exploitation rates relative to the model base period and can be used for a variety of purposes. For example, in the WA/OR troll fishery, the FPs are used to model the differential impacts on Columbia River and Puget Sound stocks as the proportion of the catch occurring in the Strait of Juan de Fuca varies. The source of the FPs is generally the reported catch fishery index computed from CWT data in the annual exploitation rate analysis or the ratios of harvest rates computed from terminal area run reconstructions.

IDL (interdam loss). The IDL file contains stock-specific conversion factors for the Columbia River Summer, Columbia Upriver Bright, Spring Creek Tule, and Snake River Fall stocks provided each year by Columbia River fishery managers. The factors represent the fraction of the stock that can be accounted for after mainstem dam passage in the Columbia River; losses can be attributed to direct mortality at the
various dams, mortality in the reservoirs between dams, fall-backs, tailrace spawning, and other factors. The interdam loss factor is equal to one minus the conversion factor.

IM (changes in incidental mortality rates). The IM file contains the incidental mortality rates by fishery for legal and sublegal fish that differ from those used in the base period due to alterations in gear, regulations, or fishery conduct.

MAT (maturity and adult equivalent factors). Estimates of annual maturation rates and adult equivalent factors for the 11 stocks represented in the MAT file. The file is updated each year with rates obtained from the annual exploitation rate analysis. The average value is used for years beyond the last year for which estimates are available (due to incomplete broods and the one year lag for completion of the annual exploitation rate analysis).

PNV (proportion nonvulnerable). A PNV file is created for each fishery for which a size limit change has occurred since the model base period. Each file contains age-specific estimates of the proportion of fish not vulnerable to the fishing gear or smaller in length than the minimum size limit. The PNVs were estimated from empirical size distribution data; in some instances, independent surveys of encounter rates were used to adjust the PNV for age-2 fish to account for the proportion of the cohort that was not vulnerable to the fishing gear.

STK (stock). This file contains the stock and age-specific starting (base period) cohort sizes, the base period exploitation rates on the vulnerable cohort for each model fishery, maturation schedules, and adult equivalent factors. This file is updated as new stocks or fisheries are added, new CWT tag codes are used to represent distribution patterns of existing model stocks, or a re estimation of base period data occurs. Modification of this file will result in a model different from that used in the negotiations (9812).

The calibration is controlled through a file designated OP7.

Table 2.10. Methods used to forecast the abundance of stocks in PSC Chinook Model: Externally provided forecast type codes are $\mathrm{S}=$ sibling; $\mathrm{R}=$ return rate; $\mathrm{A}=$ average return; $\mathrm{C}=$ model internally estimated projection.

| Model Stock | Forecast Characteristics |  |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Forecast Type | Pre-Season Age Specific | Post-Season Age Specific |  |
| Alaska South SE | C | - | Yes | Calibrated to escapement |
| North/Central BC | C | - | No | Calibrated to terminal run |
| Fraser Early | C | - | No | Calibrated to terminal run |
| Fraser Late | S | Yes | Yes | Combined forecasts for Harrison River and Chilliwack Hatchery |
| WCVI Hatchery + Wild (RBH and RBT model stocks) | S | Yes | Yes | Robertson Creek Hatchery forecasts plus expansion for other WCVI stocks based on ratio of terminal run sizes |
| Upper Georgia Strait | C | - | Partial | Calibrated to escapement |
| Lower Georgia Strait Wild | C | - | Yes | Calibrated to escapement to Cowichan and Nanaimo Rivers |
| Lower Georgia Strait Hatchery | C | - | Yes | Calibrated to escapement to GSH hatchery systems and Squamish River |
| Nooksack Fall | R | No | No | 1999-2000 return rate |
| Puget Sound Fingerling + Yearling | R | No | No | Age specific forecasts not available for all components |
| Puget Sound Natural | R | No | No | Calibrated to terminal run |
| Nooksack Spring | C | Partial | No | No data since 1987 |
| Skagit Summer/Fall | S | Yes | Yes | Cohort return rate |
| Snohomish Summer/Fall | R | No | No | Average of two methods used in 1995 |
| Stillaguamish Summer/Fall | R | No | No | Marked and unmarked estimates |
| Washington Coastal Hatchery | C | No | No | Calibrated to terminal run |
| Washington Coastal Wild | R | No | No | Calibrated to terminal run |
| Columbia Upriver Bright | S | Yes | Yes | Run reconstruction used to estimate Columbia River mouth return |
| Mid-Columbia Bright | S | Yes | Yes | Run reconstruction used to estimate Columbia River mouth return |
| Spring Creek Hatchery | S | Yes | Yes | Run reconstruction used to estimate Columbia River mouth return |
| Lower Columbia River $\qquad$ | S | Yes | Yes | Run reconstruction used to estimate Columbia River mouth return |
| Lewis River Wild | S | Yes | Yes | Run reconstruction used to estimate Columbia River mouth return |
| Cowlitz Spring | S | Yes | Yes | Prediction is to mouth of tributary streams. |
| Willamette River Hatchery | S | Yes | Yes | Prediction is to mouth of Willamette River |
| Columbia Upriver Summer | S | No | No | Changed in 2001 to 5-year average |
| Oregon Coastal North Migrating | S | Yes | Yes | Weighted average age composition from four index rivers |
| Snake River Fall (model stock) | C | - | No | Calibrated to escapement to Lower Granite Preseason forecast is sometimes available. |

### 2.2.2. Calibration Procedures

The objective of the calibration is to estimate stock and brood year specific EV scalers. The calibration uses an iterative algorithm to estimate the environmental variant (EV) scalars for each brood year and model stock to account for annual variability in natural mortality in the initial year of ocean residence. EV scalars are applied to production resulting from brood year escapements and the base period spawnerrecruit function to produce the age-1 abundance by stock. Fishing impacts and natural mortalities are then applied through model processes. EVs also adjust for biases resulting from errors in the data or assumptions used to estimate the base period parameters for the spawner-recruit function.

EVs are estimated through the following steps for stocks calibrated to age-specific terminal run sizes:
(1) Predicted terminal runs are computed for each year using the input files discussed above and with values of all stock productivity scalars (EVs set equal to 1 ).
(2) The ratio of the estimated terminal run and model predicted terminal run $\left(S C_{B Y}\right)$ is computed for each brood year. For example, if the estimated and model predicted terminal runs for the 1979 brood were 900 and 1,500 age-3 fish in 1982, 4,000 and 4,500 age-4 fish in 1983, and 1,000 and 1,500 age- 5 fish in 1983, the ratio would be computed as:

$$
\begin{gathered}
S C_{B Y}=\frac{\sum_{a=\text { Minage }}^{\text {Maxage }}(\text { EstimatedTerminalRun })_{a}}{\sum_{a=\text { Minage }}^{\text {Maxage }}(\text { ModelPredictedTerminalRun })_{a}} \\
S C_{B Y}=\frac{900+4000+1000}{1500+4500+1500}
\end{gathered}
$$

In the absence of age-specific estimates of the terminal run, the components are computed by multiplying the total terminal run by the model predictions of age composition.
(3) The stock productivity scalar for iteration $n$ and brood year $B Y$ is computed as:

$$
E V_{n, B Y}=E V_{n-1, B Y} * S C_{B Y}
$$

(4) Steps 1-3 are repeated until the absolute change in the stock productivity scalars for all stocks is less than a predetermined tolerance level (currently set at 0.05 ).

Several options for the calibration are provided in the OP7 control file. The options include the brood years for which the stock productivity scalars are estimated in each iteration and the type of the convergence test. For the 2002 calibration, stock productivity scalars were estimated for each brood year in each iteration. Convergence was defined to occur when the absolute value of the difference in stock productivity scalars between successive iterations did not exceed 0.05 .

Stock-specific calibration options are specified in the FCS file and discussed below:
Minimum Number of Age Classes. Data for all age classes will not be available when the stock productivity scalars are estimated for recent broods. Since considerable uncertainty may exist in a
single data point, application of the calibration algorithm can be restricted to cases in which a specific minimum number of age classes are present.

Minimum Age. Considerable uncertainty often exists in the estimates of terminal runs or escapements for younger age classes, particularly age 2. The minimum age class to include in the calibration algorithm is specified in the FCS file.

Estimation of Age Composition. Age-specific estimates of the terminal run or escapement may not be available. An option is provided to estimate the age composition using base period maturation and exploitation rates.

The forecasts provided by the management agencies typically represent terminal runs or escapements without adjustments for changes in ocean fisheries. Since the forecasts implicitly include exploitation in pre-terminal fisheries, the expansion of the forecasts to total cohort size should be made using the average exploitation rate for the period of years in the forecast database.

The 2002 calibration was completed in two stages to facilitate computation of the average exploitation rates and incorporation of the agency forecasts. The Stage 1 calibration provided initial estimates of exploitation rate scalars for fishing years 1979 through 2001 using updated catch and escapement data through 2001. Average exploitation rate scalars were then computed and used as input values for 2002 fisheries in the Stage 2 calibration, except for the WCVI and FRL stocks whose forecasts already account for changes in the ocean fisheries.

The average exploitation rate scale factors ( $\overline{F P}$ ) for each model fishery were obtained from the Stage 1 calibration using the following formula:

$$
\overline{F P}_{a, s, C Y, f}=\frac{\sum_{C Y=C Y_{\text {sart }}}^{C Y_{\text {end }}} R T_{C Y} * F P_{s, a, C Y, f}}{\left(C Y_{\text {end }}-C Y_{\text {start }}\right)}
$$

The range of years used to compute the average varied between stocks and was fishery and age specific.
The input files used in the Stage 2 calibration were identical to those used in Stage 1 with two exceptions:
(1) the average exploitation rate scale factors for each fishery were inserted into the FP file for 2002; and
(2) the stage 1 EVs were used as starting values for the Stage 2 calibration.

To determine the acceptability of a calibration by the CTC (i.e., whether an annual calibration is deemed final by the CTC), several results are examined:
(1) accuracy of the reconstructed catches in the fisheries (these values will consistently differ from the actual catches if the calibration is not able to recreate exactly the actual catches in the years 1979 through 1984, the model years prior to implementation of the ceiling algorithm);
(2) accuracy of model predicted terminal runs or escapements relative to the data used for calibration of each stock;
(3) comparison of model predicted age structure in terminal runs or escapements with data used for calibration (consistent biases in age structure are addressed by changing maturation rates);
(4) patterns in the stock productivity scalars compared with marine survival patterns generated by the annual exploitation rate analysis;
(5) comparison of CWT and model estimates of fishery harvest rate indices;
(6) comparison of model estimates with mortality distributions for individual stocks generated from the annual CWT-based exploitation rate analysis; and
(7) comparison of model estimated AIs with those AIs estimated by model 9812.

Calibration usually involves an iterative process until a judgment is made by the CTC that an acceptable fit to all the data has been achieved. This decision usually involves an inspection and trial-and-error process. The determination of whether or not further calibrations are necessary is based principally on the significance of deviations from observed or estimated values for stocks and fisheries most relevant to the issues to be evaluated and on the time constraints established for completion of the calibration.

### 2.2.3. Changes From Previous Analyses

### 2.2.3.1. Data Changes Involved in the Exploitation Rate Analysis

Expansion factors for SEAK sport fisheries were developed from available troll expansion data and applied to sport CWT recoveries in the NE quadrant for years 1976-1979 and in all quadrants for years 1979-1982. These amended sport expansions were used to generate the estimated sport recoveries which were then incorporated into the C -files, i.e., the primary input data files in the exploitation rate analysis.

ODFW recently submitted historical catch data for the Columbia River commercial net fisheries to the PSFMC database. Prior to this year's exploitation rate analysis, assumed data had been entered manually to generate the estimated CWT recoveries in the C-files.

Escapement data for recent years was manually entered into the C-files for the Queets and Stillaguamish CWT indicator stocks. Estimated recoveries of CWTs in some terminal net fisheries in recent years were also manually entered. Escapement data for the Samish indicator stock in 2000 were not available. The incidental mortality rates applied in the WCVI and Northern troll fisheries were changed to reflect the regulation changes from barbed to barbless hooks occurring in 1996 and 1998, respectively.

Historical Alaska, WCVI and Northern troll CNR data were amended for inclusion in the PSL file used in the exploitation rate analysis and in the corresponding CEI file used in the chinook model calibration. In addition, CNR data for WCVI sport were added to the PSL file to correct an inconsistency between input to the calibration model and the exploitation rate analysis.

### 2.2.3.2. Coding Changes to the C-File Generation Program

The U.S. versions of MakeC (the C-file generation program) were modified to read the fishery expansion factors supplied in the Canadian MRP database for all CWT recoveries occurring in Canadian fisheries. Previously, these were calculated within the U.S. versions of the MakeC program.

The Canadian version of MakeC was modified to accommodate a CTC-agreed catch sample rule that CWT recoveries can be expanded by a factor no greater than 50. An examination of all expansions formerly applied to Canadian fishery recoveries revealed only about a half dozen cases exceeding the
maximum permitted value of 50 and these all occurred within a few years following the set of base period years.

All versions of MakeC were modified to change the annual time period for CWT recoveries observed in the WCVI and Northern troll fisheries from Jan .1- Dec. 31 to Oct. 1 - Sept. 30. This shift in the annual time period amounts to changing the 'birthday' for CWT-marked fish caught in those fisheries and it now matches the annual time period that has always been applied to the SEAK troll fisheries. The effect of the time period shift is that fish caught between Oct. 1 and Dec. 31 of the same calendar year are aged an additional year (i.e., age $=[$ brood year - capture year $]+1$ ) relative to fish caught prior to Oct. 1. The age increment given to recoveries in the Oct.-Dec. time period is not applied to age 4 and older recoveries from Robertson Creek Hatchery (RBH) fish caught in the WCVI troll fishery. RBH fish of those ages caught in that area and time period are likely mature fish destined to spawn in the same year. For all stocks, as in all other C-file fisheries, recoveries determined to be older than the maximum age specified for each stock are grouped in the maximum age category, regardless of how age is calculated.

### 2.2.3.3. Changes to the Input Data for the Chinook Model

The Snake River wild (LYF) 2002 forecast was not available for inclusion in the model calibration.
The FRL 1997 and 1998 brood maturation rates for age-3 and age-4 fish were set to the recent 3-year average. The long-term average brood maturation rates are typically used with incomplete broods (i.e., those for which maturing age classes are still expected). however, the shorter-term averages were considered more representative of the probable maturation rates for the incomplete broods in this case. The FRL escapement goal and stock recruit parameters were updated in the BSE file to reflect the recently accepted and new Harrison River chinook escapement goal.

The RBH 1992 age-specific brood maturation rates were set to the long-term averages due to low numbers of recoveries that produced highly skewed maturation rates from the cohort analysis for that brood.

All Hanford Wild (HAN) stock maturation data were replaced with age-specific rates calculated for the Columbia Upriver Bright (URB) stock. This decision was made because low numbers of HAN recoveries in some years resulted in highly skewed maturation rates from the cohort analysis.

Enhancement data were updated from the last calibration to 1999 for all stocks specified as enhanced stocks. In addition, historical enhancement data were revised for the following stocks or stock groups: Spring Creek Hatchery, Lower Columbia River Hatchery, Lower Georgia Strait Naturals, and Lower Georgia Strait Hatcheries.

In 1999 and 2000, ADF\&G revised historical estimates of CWT recoveries for 1976-1979, a period overlapping the base period used for the chinook model. These revised recovery estimates were used in this year's exploitation rate analysis and from this analysis were derived the FP values provided as input to the 2002 calibration were derived. The CTC chose not to update other input files for the 2002 calibration containing base period data (exploitation rates, etc.) to reflect the changes to the Alaskan CWT recoveries and instead, determined that revisions to base period data should be undertaken when the new (recoded) model currently under development is brought into use (anticipated for the 2003 calibration). Notwithstanding, the CWT data used to generate base period exploitation patterns for the CTC model stocks differ from those used from the current exploitation rate analysis. The CTC's initial assessment of these changes, however, is that the effect of the discrepancies should be negligible.

### 2.2.3.4. Coding Changes to the Chinook Model

The order of calculations involving the enhancement data was modified to avoid a potential problem resulting from the situation in which the amount of enhancement for an enhanced stock in a given year was less than the average for the base period calibration years. The only stock in the chinook model affected by the coding error was Upper Georgia Strait and only in 1983 and 1984. The solution to this problem involved changes in both the GSQ enhancement data and the program code.

### 2.3 General Forecast Methods

For those stocks with externally provided forecasts of abundance in 2002, management agencies used three general methods to predict terminal returns or escapements:

Sibling Models. Empirical relationships between abundance (commonly measured as terminal run size) of age $a$ fish in calendar year $C Y$ and the comparable abundance of age $a+1$ fish in year $C Y+1$ are used to predict abundance in 2002 from data collected in previous years (forecast type S in Table 2.10).

Average Return Rate Models. Return rates of adults by age from smolts or parents are averaged over past brood years, then these averages are used to discount abundance of smolts or parents for brood years that will be exploited in 2002 (forecast type R in Table 2.10).

Average Return Models. Returns are averaged over the past several calendar years and the averages used as a forecast for year 2002. This simple approach is usually used where data is lacking or more complicated methods have not provided better forecasts (forecast type A in Table 2.10).

A more detailed description of the forecast methods used for specific stocks is found in Appendix D.

## 3. RESULTS

### 3.1. Exploitation Rate Analysis

### 3.1.1. Brood Year Exploitation Rates

Brood year exploitation rates for 12 stocks were computed (Appendix F, Figures F.1-F.12). These figures are presented as cumulative bar graphs: reported catch plus incidental mortality summing to the total mortality for each brood year. Figures are labeled as ocean mortality (i.e. excludes terminal fisheries) or total mortality indicating ocean plus terminal fisheries. In general, exploitation rates for these 12 stocks have declined from the base period years.

### 3.1.2. Survival Indices

Estimated total brood year survival from CWT analysis and EV scalars from the model are presented for 29 of the index stocks in Appendix G. In general, recent brood year survivals are lower than in earlier years of the time series for completed brood years. For some stocks, survivals for recent incomplete broods have been increasing. Correlation coefficients ( $r$ values) were computed as a measure of association between the two indices of survival (Table 3.1). A correlation approaching 1.0 indicates a strong linear relationship and provides evidence that the EV is predictive of the final cohort survival.

Conversely, a correlation approaching 0 indicates little relationship between the EV scalar and final cohort survival. The degree of correlation varied substantially among the stocks. Of the 29 stocks, 19 had $r$ values that were significantly different from zero ( $P<0.05$ ). Correlation coefficients for these 19 stocks ranged from 0.47 to 0.80 .

Table 3.1. Correlation (r) between total brood year survival estimated from CWTs and EV scalars for 29 stocks. $N$ is the number of brood years for which both survival and EV data are available; $P$ is the probability that the true $r$ is equal to 0 . A low $P$ value indicates a significant correlation.

| Stock | $\boldsymbol{N}$ | $\boldsymbol{r}$ | $\boldsymbol{P}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska Spring | 17 | 0.81 | $<0.001$ |
| Kitsumkalum | 15 | 0.37 | 0.173 |
| Robertson Creek | 22 | 0.67 | $<0.001$ |
| Quinsam | 21 | 0.74 | $<0.001$ |
| Puntledge | 22 | 0.47 | 0.027 |
| Big Qualicum | 22 | 0.50 | 0.017 |
| Cowichan | 10 | 0.26 | 0.466 |
| Chilliwack | 15 | 0.70 | 0.003 |
| Skagit Spring Yearling | 10 | 0.08 | 0.825 |
| Nooksack Spring Yearling | 11 | 0.73 | 0.009 |
| South Puget Sound Fall Yearling | 12 | -0.11 | 0.733 |
| South Puget Sound Fall Fingerling | 20 | 0.50 | 0.024 |
| George Adams Fall Fingerlings | 17 | 0.59 | 0.012 |
| Samish Fall Fingerling | 13 | 0.65 | 0.015 |
| Squaxin Pens Fall Yearling | 8 | 0.06 | 0.887 |
| Elwha | 9 | 0.41 | 0.268 |
| White River Spring Yearling | 19 | -0.18 | 0.460 |
| Queets | 16 | 0.46 | 0.072 |
| Sooes Fall Fingerling | 9 | -0.22 | 0.567 |
| Cowlitz Fall Tule | 19 | 0.76 | $<0.001$ |
| Spring Creek Tule | 22 | 0.63 | 0.002 |
| Oregon Columbia River Tule | 20 | 0.74 | $<0.001$ |
| Lewis River Wild | 16 | 0.53 | 0.034 |
| Columbia River Upriver Bright | 21 | 0.56 | 0.008 |
| Hanford Wild | 10 | 0.78 | 0.006 |
| Lyons Ferry | 8 | -0.99 | $<0.001$ |
| Columbia River Summer | 16 | -0.09 | 0.740 |
| Willamette Spring | 20 | 0.65 | 0.002 |
| Salmon River | 17 | 0.59 | 0.012 |

### 3.1.3. Stock Distribution

Tables in Appendix H provide the distribution of a stock's production among the catch in the fisheries and the escapement for both reported catch and total mortality in a calendar year. The distribution is shown as a percentage of the annual production: values within a year sum to $100 \%$.

### 3.2 Model Output

### 3.2.1. AABM Abundance Indices and Associated Catches

Beginning with the 1999 fishing season, the Agreement specified that the AABM fisheries are to be managed through the use of the AIs, where specific allowable harvest corresponds to a given AI for each fishery. In 1999, 2000, and 2001 the CTC calibrations produced pre-season AIs that were used to set fishing plans with management harvest targets. In addition to the pre-season AIs, the Agreement also provided that the AIs could be adjusted in-season using CTC approved methodologies. Although the preseason AIs are used to set fishing plans (management targets) annually, the Agreement specified that the first post-season calibration will be used to the set final allowable catch, and to track overage and underage provisions. However, the CTC did not produce a final calibration in 2000, thus the 2001 calibration (CLB 0107) is used as the first post-season AIs for both 1999 and 2000. The 2002 CTC calibration (CLB 0206) provided AIs for 1979 through 2002 (Appendix I). The AIs from 1999 to 2001 are final for both pre-season and post-season, while the pre-season AI for 2002 is final to set preliminary catches for the year (Table 3.2).

Table 3.2. Abundance Indices for 1999 to 2002 for the SEAK, NBC, and WCVI troll fisheries.

|  | SEAK |  | NBC |  | WCVI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Pre-season | Post-season | Pre-season | Post-season | Pre-season | Post-season |
| 1999 | $1.15^{1}$ | $1.12^{3}$ | $1.12^{1}$ | $0.97^{3}$ | $0.60^{1}$ | $0.50^{3}$ |
| 2000 | $1.14^{2}$ | $1.10^{3}$ | $1.00^{2}$ | $0.95^{3}$ | $0.54^{2}$ | $0.47^{3}$ |
| 2001 | $1.14^{3}$ | $1.29^{4}$ | $1.02^{3}$ | $1.22^{4}$ | $0.66^{3}$ | $0.68^{4}$ |
| 2002 | $1.74^{4}$ |  | $1.45^{4}$ |  | $0.95^{4}$ |  |

${ }^{1}$ From CTC Chinook Model Calibration \#9902.
${ }^{2}$ From CTC Chinook Model Calibration \#0021.
${ }^{3}$ From CTC Chinook Model Calibration \#0107.
${ }^{4}$ From CTC Chinook Model Calibration \#0206.
In general, the AIs for 1999 and 2000 are low compared to AIs in the late 1980s and early 1990s but values have increased in 2001 and 2002. The AI values in 2002 are comparable to the higher values in the time series.

The Agreement specifies an allowable catch for each AI for each fishery. The specified treaty catch by fishery and year and the actual (observed) catches are shown in Table 3.3. The 2002 AI for the SEAK troll fishery is 1.74 , the highest since 1991 (Figure 3.1). It is 0.60 points greater than the 2001 pre-season AI and 0.45 points greater than the 2001 first post-season AI. The projected 2002 AI for the NBC troll fishery is 1.45 , the highest since 1991 (Figure 3.2). This is 0.43 points greater than the 2001 pre-season projection and 0.23 points greater than the 2001 first post-season AI of 1.22. The projected 2002 AI for the WCVI troll fishery is 0.95 , the highest since 1988. This is 0.29 points greater than the pre-season AI for 2001 and 0.27 points greater than the 2001 post-season assessment.

Table 3.3 Observed catches and post-season allowable catches for 1999 to 2001, and pre-season allowable catches for 1999 to 2002, for Pacific Salmon Treaty AABM fisheries in 1999 to 2002.

| Year | PST Treaty Allowable and Observed Catches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SEAK (T, N, S) |  |  | NBC ( $\mathbf{T , ~ S ) ~}$ |  |  | WCVI (T, S) |  |  |
|  | Pre- Season Allowable Catch | Post- Season Allowable Catch | Observed Catch | Pre- Season Allowable Catch | Post- Season Allowable Catch | Observed Catch | Pre- Season Allowable Catch | Post- Season Allowable Catch | Observed Catch |
| 1999 | 192,750 | 184,200 | 200,219 | 145,600 | 126,100 | 80,200 | 128,347 | 107,000 | 31,085 |
| 2000 | 189,900 | 178,500 | 186,835 | 130,000 | 123,500 | 35,900 | 115,513 | 86,200 | 100,030 |
| 2001 | 189,900 | 250,300 | 189,389 | 132,600 | 158,933 | 40,600 | 141,200 | 145,500 | 114,624 |
| 2002 | 356,500 |  |  | 194,133 |  |  | 205,356 |  |  |

The Agreement specifies that overage/underage provisions apply to both AABM and ISBM fisheries. However, the CTC identified in a February 12, 2002 letter to the PSC major technical obstacles and policy concerns for adjusting harvest levels in response to overage and underages. The major problem identified for AABM fisheries is the confounding of forecast and management error in assessing overages and underages. The pre-season estimates of abundance used to set management goals can be substantially different than the post-season estimates (Table 3.2) due to forecast error. Pre-season allowable catch levels that may be used to guide managers in setting harvest efforts thus can be quite different from allowable harvest derived from the first post-season calibration (Table 3.3). As a result, management precision during the fishery differs from the precision of attaining the postseason target. For example, in SEAK the observed catch has been within $-1.6 \%$ to $3.8 \%$ of the pre-season target, but has ranged from $-24.3 \%$ to $+8.7 \%$ of the post-season target.

Until an approach for full implementation has been developed and accepted by the PSC, the Commissioners have instructed the CTC to track overages and underages relative to agreed-upon harvest objectives. Table 3.4 shows the difference between the allowable catch and the observed catch in AABM fisheries for 1999-2001, and the cumulative differential for those years. All three AABM fisheries have cumulative underages. In SEAK, observed catches have been below final allowable catches for one of the three years; the cumulative differential is $-5.7 \%$. In NBC, observed catches have been below the final allowable catches in all three years; the cumulative differential is $-61.6 \%$. In WCVI, observed catches have been below allowable catches for two of the three years; the cumulative differential is $-27.4 \%$.

Table 3.4 Deviations in numbers of chinook salmon and percentages from final (first post-season) catch targets for Pacific Salmon Treaty AABM fisheries in 1999 to 2001.

| Year | SEAK (T, N, S) |  | NBC (T, S) |  | WCVI (T, S) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Treaty <br> Numbers | Treaty <br> Percent | Treaty <br> Numbers | Treaty <br> Percent | Treaty <br> Numbers | Treaty <br> Percent |
|  | $+16,019$ | $+8.7 \%$ | $-45,900$ | $-36.4 \%$ | $-75,915$ | $-70.9 \%$ |
| 2000 | $+8,335$ | $+3.1 \%$ | $-87,600$ | $-70.9 \%$ | $+13,830$ | $+16.0 \%$ |
| 2001 | $-60,911$ | $-24.3 \%$ | $-118,300$ | $-74.4 \%$ | $-30,876$ | $-21.2 \%$ |
| Cum. | $-36,557$ | $-6.0 \%$ | $-251,800$ | $-61.6 \%$ | $-92,961$ | $-27.4 \%$ |

### 3.2.1.1. Stock composition of AABM fisheries, 1979 to 2002

There are 30 stock groups used in the model. However, the majority of catches in AABM fisheries are often composed of only a few major stock groups (Figures 3.1 through 3.3). The relative abundance for each major stock group is shown in the graphs. Abundance estimates for each of the 30 stock groups in each AABM fishery are included in Appendix K.


Figure 3.1. Total abundance index for the Southeast Alaska troll fishery and the annual stock composition estimated in CLB 0206.

The major stocks contributing to the SEAK index, on average, are: WCVI, Upriver Brights, NCBC, and Oregon Coastal (Figure 3.1). The stock composition for 2002 is somewhat similar to that of the 2001 post-season assessment, except that WCVI stocks are forecasted to be considerably higher than in 2000 and 2001. In 2002, the higher forecast is also a result of expected higher production from stocks in the "Other" category, primarily Upper Georgia Strait, CR Summers and mid-CR Brights.


Figure 3.2. Total abundance index for the Northern BC troll fishery and the annual stock composition estimated in CLB 0206.

The major stock groups in the NBC fishery are WCVI, Upriver Brights, Oregon Coastal, NCBC, and Washington Coastal (Figure 3.2). The stock composition for 2002 is similar to that of 2001, with the exception of expected increases in Upriver Brights, WCVI and Upper Georgia Strait stocks.


Figure 3.3. Total abundance index for the WCVI troll fishery and the annual stock composition estimated in CLB 0206.

The major stock groups in the WCVI fishery are: Fraser Late, Puget Sound, Upriver Brights, and Columbia River Tules (Figure 3.3). The increase in the projected 2002 AI is primarily due to a projected increase in Columbia River Tules.

### 3.2.2. ISBM Indices by Stock

For the ISBM fisheries, the Agreement specified that Canada and the United States would reduce base period exploitation rates on specified stocks by $36.5 \%$ and $40 \%$, resulting in ISBM indices of $63.5 \%$ and $60 \%$ percent, respectively. This requirement is referred to as the 'general obligation' and does not apply to stocks that achieve their CTC agreed escapement goal. Estimated ISBM fishery indices are shown in Table 3.5 for Canadian fisheries and Table 3.6 for U.S. fisheries. Both tables present CWT based indices for 1999 and 2000, and chinook-model-based indices for 1999 through 2002. The agreement specifies that the indices for 1999 and 2000 be assessed using the CWT-based estimates.

Both CWT and model based estimates of ISBM indices for a given year can change over time, with the largest changes occurring in more recent years. By necessity, CWT based estimates assume average maturation rates for incomplete broods which contribute to the index in a given year. For example, for calendar year 2000 only brood 1995 is complete ('true' maturation rates used). The youngest brood contributing to the year 2000 index, 1998, will not be complete until 2003, with the analysis done by the CTC in 2004. Other factors effecting the estimates include any changes to the historic CWT database, including the addition of previously unreported CWT recoveries, agency revised CWT expansion factors, or re-estimates of terminal harvest rates of wild stocks. Model based estimates will suffer similar instability, for similar reasons. In addition, model estimates are heavily influenced by pre-season forecasts of abundance at age, while post-season estimates can alter the index for a given year considerably. Model estimates are also influenced by the use of average maturation rates for recent broods and the re-estimation of terminal harvest of wild stocks. While both CWT and model estimates tend to 'stabilize' after two or three years, estimates will continue to vary to some degree as data is updated.

### 3.2.3. CWT Based Indices in 1999 and 2000

Canadian ISBM indices from the CWT-based estimates in 1999 and 2000 show that exploitation rates were reduced more than required under the agreement for all stocks or stock groups except for the North Puget Sound Natural Spring group. The 2000 index for this stock was 1.174 , considerably above the 0.635 standard. While this stock did not meet its agency goal of 2,000 returning adults in the year 2000, the estimated Canadian ISBM index may be too high. It is heavily influenced by recoveries of brood year 1997 Nooksack spring fish in the Strait of Georgia sport fishery ( 64 of 66 Canadian recoveries occurred there). The 2001 return of Nooksack spring adults was the largest since at least 1984. If the CWTd brood survived as well as the natural component of the stock, the estimated age- 3 cohort size will probably increase when the age-4 CWT data becomes available, and the ISBM index for this year will decrease.

For U.S. fisheries, all CWT-based ISBM indices, except for the Stillaguamish River, Green River, and the Lewis River stocks were above the 0.6 standard in 1999. In 2000, all stocks except the Fraser Late stock, the North Puget Sound Natural Spring stock group, the Stillaguamish River, and the Lewis River stocks were above the 0.60 standard.

Of the stocks with CTC adopted goals for which the index was exceeded, only the Fraser Late stock met its goal in both 1999 and 2000. However, the Lower Georgia Strait stock group met the DFO-interim escapement goal of 7,400 in both 1999 and 2000. Additionally, it is likely that the U.S. index of 3.50, for this stock group, is overestimated. The index is based on CWT recoveries from Capilano and Big Qualicum hatcheries during the base period, and Cowichan recoveries in recent years. These stocks may have dissimilar distributions in U.S. waters, with Cowichan fish being more southerly distributed and more likely to be impacted in U.S. fisheries (see Appendix H).

The estimated index for the North PS Natural Spring stock group of 0.81 in 1999 is based on a total of 17 estimated CWT recoveries in southern U.S. waters of Nooksack spring chinook. That estimate may also decrease as the brood becomes complete in 2001. While the Green River index in 2000 is 0.70 , the Green River adult escapement was above the State-Tribal agreed goal of 5,750 that year.

All CWT-based ISBM indices for the Washington coastal fall natural stock group were above the standard in both 1999 and 2000. No CTC accepted escapement goals currently exist for these stocks, but agency goals are in place for all or most of the stocks in the group. Grays Harbor fall chinook have not met their agency goal of 14,600 natural spawners since 1997. The Queets River fall chinook stock met its management agency goal of 2,500 in 2000, but not in 1999 (1999 escapement was 1,933). The Hoh River fall chinook stock showed the highest ISBM indices of the group, 1.71 in 1999 and 2.75 in 2000. However, while there is not yet a CTC accepted goal, Hoh fall chinook have met their management goal of 1,200 natural escapement since at least 1976. The Quillayute fall chinook stock showed the second highest indices in both years. They have exceeded their management goal of 3,000 every year since 1977 .

In the Columbia River, ISBM indices were above the standard for all stocks except the Lewis River wild fall stock. Southern U.S. fisheries were curtailed to protect this stock in 1999 and 2000 due to anticipated low returns. Lewis River wilds did not meet their CTC accepted escapement goal of 5,700 in 1999 (ISBM index of 0.00 ), but better than predicted returns in 2000 resulted in an escapement well over the goal. Spawning escapements of Upriver Bright chinook exceeded the management goal of 45,000 adults over McNary dam in both 1999 and 2000 by approximately one-third. Deschutes River fall chinook escapements both years were near 3,500 , below the management goal of 4,000 adults. Escapements of Columbia Upriver Summer chinook also exceeded the CTC accepted goal in both years.

The CTC has accepted escapement goals for the three stocks in the Far North Migrating Oregon Coastal Fall stock group. All CWT based indices in 1999 and 2000 were above the 0.6 standard. However, all three stocks met or exceeded their goal, except the Nehalem River stock in 2000.

### 3.2.4. Predicted ISBM Indices for 2002

Predicted ISBM indices for 2002 from model runs based on calibration 0206 are all below 0.635 for Canadian ISBM fisheries for all stock groups.

For U.S. ISBM fisheries, the index for Fraser Late is predicted to be above 0.6. However, the Harrison River escapement (typically $>50 \%$ of Fraser Lates) is expected to exceed the lower limit of its CTC accepted goal by a moderate margin. Of the five stocks in the Puget Sound Natural Summer/Fall stock group, only the Lake Washington stock has an index predicted to be above the ISBM standard. No CTC accepted goal exists yet for this stock. The predicted exploitation rate on the stock, however, is well under the maximum allowable rate prescribed in the ESA Consultation standard.

Four of the five stocks included in the Washington Coastal Fall Natural stock group are predicted to have ISBM indices above the standard in 2002. None of these stock have CTC accepted goals at this time, and no pre-season abundance or escapement predictors are available. Based on a review of the escapements of these stocks, and the generally good survival of chinook predicted on the west coast, it is unlikely that, with the possible exception of Grays Harbor, these stocks will fail to meet their management goals in 2002.

Of the four chinook stocks in the Columbia, two have CTC accepted escapement goals (Lewis River and Upriver Summers), and both are predicted to exceed those goals in 2002. The indices for both of these stocks exceeds the standard. The index of the Upriver bright stock is also anticipated to exceed the standard. However, its management goal of 46,000 is predicted to be exceeded.

The ISBM indices are predicted to be above the standard for all three stocks in the Far North Migrating Oregon Coastal Fall Stock group. No abundance or escapement predictors are available for the individual stocks in this group. However, the predicted combined escapement of just under 74,000 is over three times the combined CTC accepted escapement goal of 22,858 .

Table 3.5. ISBM Indices for Canadian fisheries, 1999 through 2001, and the projected indices for 2002. Indices above 0.635 are in italicized bold font for stocks without CTC agreed escapement goals and for stocks that did not achieve CTC agreed escapement goals.

${ }^{1}$ The CWT based estimates, not the model estimates, are to be used in post season assessments.
${ }^{2}$ NA means not available because of insufficient data (lack of stock specific tag codes, base period CWT recoveries, etc).
${ }^{3}$ Stock or stock group with agreed escapement goal.

Table 3.6. ISBM indices for U.S. fisheries, 1999 through 2001, and the projected indices for 2002. Indices above 0.60 are in italicized bold font for stocks without CTC agreed escapement goals and for stocks that did not achieve CTC agreed escapement goals.

| Stock Group | Stock | US ISBM Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | CWT Indices ${ }^{1}$ |  | Model Indices |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1999 | 2000 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| North / Central B. C. | Yakoun, Nass, Skeena, Area 8 | NA ${ }^{2}$ | NA | $\mathrm{NC}^{3}$ | NC | NC | NC |
| West Coast Vancouver Island ${ }^{4}$ | WCVI (Artlish, Burman, Gold, Kauok, Tahsis, Tashish, Marble) | NA | NA | 0.28 | 0.44 | 0.28 | 0.27 |
| Fraser Early | Upper Fraser, Mid Fraser, Thompson | NA | NA | 0.09 | 0.20 | 0.11 | 0.15 |
| Fraser Late | Harrison River ${ }^{5}$ | 0.73 | 0.13 | 0.745 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.72 |
| Upper Strait of Georgia | Klinaklini, Kakweikan, Wakeman, Kingcome, Nimpkish | NA | NA | NC | NC | NC | NC |
| Lower Strait of Georgia | Cowichan, <br> Nanaimo | 3.50 | 0.69 | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.18 | 0.22 |
| North PS Nat Springs | Nooksack Skagit | $\begin{gathered} 0.81 \\ \text { NA } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.00 \\ \text { NA } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.16 \\ \mathrm{ID}^{6} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.24 \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.13 \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.06 \end{aligned}$ |
| Puget Sound Natural Summer / Falls | Skagit <br> Stillaguamish <br> Snohomish <br> Lake Washington <br> Green R | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { NA } \\ 0.10 \\ \text { NA } \\ \text { NA } \\ 0.59 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { NA } \\ 0.04 \\ \text { NA } \\ \text { NA } \\ 0.70 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.18 \\ 0.16 \\ 0.05 \\ \text { ID } \\ \text { ID } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.04 \\ \text { ID } \\ \text { ID } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.13 \\ 0.11 \\ 0.04 \\ \text { ID } \\ \text { ID } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.27 \\ 0.20 \\ 0.15 \\ 1.25 \\ 0.35 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Washington Coastal Fall Naturals | Hoko <br> Grays Harbor <br> Queets <br> Hoh <br> Quillayute | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { NA } \\ 0.73 \\ 0.95 \\ 1.71 \\ 1.48 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { NA } \\ 1.63 \\ 0.85 \\ 2.75 \\ 2.47 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.43 \\ 0.68 \\ 0.88 \\ 1.40 \\ 1.15 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.33 \\ & 0.92 \\ & 0.15 \\ & 1.21 \\ & 0.85 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.30 \\ & 0.83 \\ & 0.87 \\ & 1.25 \\ & 1.30 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.48 \\ & 0.84 \\ & 1.05 \\ & 1.26 \\ & 1.31 \end{aligned}$ |
| Col River Falls | Upriver Brights <br> Deschutes <br> Lewis ${ }^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.46 \\ & 0.74 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.53 \\ & 0.71 \\ & 0.36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.10 \\ & 0.70 \\ & 0.14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.07 \\ & 0.61 \\ & 0.13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.77 \\ & 0.49 \\ & 0.66 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.91 \\ & 0.55 \\ & 0.93 \end{aligned}$ |
| Col R Summers | Mid-Col Summers ${ }^{5}$ | 2.08 | 4.82 | 0.46 | 0.32 | 0.40 | 0.82 |
| Far North Migrating OR Coastal Falls | Nehalem ${ }^{5}$ <br> Siletz ${ }^{5}$ <br> Siuslaw $^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.46 \\ & 1.07 \\ & 1.03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.97 \\ & 1.16 \\ & 2.45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.09 \\ & 1.23 \\ & 1.54 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.40 \\ & 1.26 \\ & 3.03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.50 \\ & 1.29 \\ & 3.19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.61 \\ & 1.33 \\ & 3.34 \end{aligned}$ |

${ }^{1}$ The CWT based estimates, not the model estimates, are to be used in post season assessments.
${ }^{2}$ NA means not available because of insufficient data (lack of stock specific tag codes, base period CWT recoveries, etc).
${ }^{3} \mathrm{NC}$ means that the current model assumes the stock is not caught in U.S. ISBM fisheries.
${ }_{5}^{4}$ Stock group not in Annex Table V.
${ }^{5}$ Stock with agreed escapement goal
${ }^{6}$ ID means insufficient data available to estimate stock specific impacts.

### 3.2.5. Overages and Underages

The Agreement specifies that overages be accounted for in ISBM fisheries, as well as in AABM fisheries. Estimates of the indices based upon CWTs versus those based on output from the chinook model can vary substantially. Pre-season ISBM projections for 2002 provide a caution to management agencies for preseason planning, but there can also be considerable uncertainty associated with them. In 2001, the CTC developed several options for implementing overage/underage provisions in both AABM and ISBM fisheries, and presented the options to the PSC commissioners. The commissioners directed the CTC to monitor and report the indices, and the PSC commissioners will evaluate them. The number of stocks without CTC-accepted escapement goals emphasizes the need for agencies to provide biologically-based escapement goals and supporting documentation for CTC review.

### 3.3. Model Calibration Evaluation

The model catches and stock escapements or terminal runs estimated by CLB 0206 were summarized by calculating the average deviations of the model estimates from the observed values (Table 3.7 for the fisheries that are modeled as operating under catch ceilings or quotas for past years, and Table 3.8 for terminal run size/escapements by model stocks).

The model does not estimate catches with equal accuracy in every fishery. This may reflect inadequate representation of the stocks by base period tag data, incomplete representation of the fishery by model stocks, or errors in the estimation of initial stock abundances used to initiate the model. This has been a consistent problem for certain fisheries and cannot be corrected without a base period recalibration. The effect of these deviations depends on the direction of the error (over- or under-estimation), magnitude of the catches, and the stocks contributing to each fishery.

The model catch as a proportion of the true catch is determined by comparing the 1979-1984 model estimated catches by fishery with the actual catches for those years. It is a measure of what proportion of the total catch in the fishery can be explained by stocks in the model. This proportion is only calculated by the model for fisheries that occur in the ceiling file.

Table 3.7. Average proportion of observed catch by CLB 0206 for PST fisheries.

| Model Fishery | Model Catch as Proportion <br> of Observed Catch |
| :---: | :---: |
| Southeast Alaska Troll | 0.818 |
| Northern BC Troll | 1.061 |
| Central BC Troll | 1.364 |
| West Coast Vancouver Island Troll | 1.166 |
| Washington/Oregon Ocean Troll | 1.379 |
| Strait of Georgia Troll | 1.000 |
| Southeast Alaska Net | 0.586 |
| Northern BC Net | 0.662 |
| Central BC Net | 1.210 |
| Puget Sound North Net | 0.837 |
| Southeast Alaska Sport | 0.833 |
| North/Central BC Sport | 1.700 |
| West Coast Vancouver Island Sport | 0.528 |
| Washington/Oregon Ocean Sport | 0.982 |
| Puget Sound North Sport | 1.016 |
| Puget Sound South Sport | 0.636 |
| Strait of Georgia Sport | 1.443 |

The ability of the model to estimate escapements and terminal run sizes varies between stocks. The last four columns of Table 3.8 present summary statistics on the fit achieved by CLB 0206. The column entitled "Avg Fit" represents the 1979-2001 average ratio between the model-generated estimate and reported values. On average, the model is able to accurately estimate the observed terminal run or escapements used in the calibration process. The column entitled "SD" is the standard deviation of the ratios between model estimates and reported values.

Table 3.8. Comparison of model calibration results with estimated terminal run sizes or escapements during 1979 through 2001. For most stocks (or stock groups) included in the model calibration, $n=23$ years, except for Nooksack Springs, $(\mathrm{n}=8$, years 1980-1987) and the Mid-Columbia River Bright Hatchery group ( $\mathrm{n}=21$, years 1981-2001).

| Model Stock | Calibration Type | Avg. Fit | SD | Min. | Max. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska South SE | Escapement | 1.030 | 0.209 | 0.725 | 1.521 |
| Northern/Central BC | Term. Run | 1.012 | 0.090 | 0.800 | 1.266 |
| Fraser Early | Term. Run | 1.016 | 0.099 | 0.853 | 1.170 |
| Fraser Late | Escapement | 1.014 | 0.135 | 0.735 | 1.230 |
| WCVI Hatchery \& Natural | Term. Run | 1.017 | 0.189 | 0.714 | 1.664 |
| Upper Strait of Georgia | Escapement | 1.081 | 0.303 | 0.739 | 2.096 |
| Lower Strait of Georgia Natural | Escapement | 1.031 | 0.188 | 0.749 | 1.391 |
| Lower Strait of Georgia Hatchery | Term. Run | 1.022 | 0.229 | 0.662 | 1.813 |
| Nooksack Fall | Term. Run | 1.036 | 0.154 | 0.772 | 1.284 |
| Puget Sound Fall Fingerling \& Yearling | Term. Run | 1.025 | 0.116 | 0.828 | 1.219 |
| Puget Sound Natural Fall | Term. Run | 1.035 | 0.145 | 0.821 | 1.365 |
| Nooksack Spring | Escapement | 1.059 | 0.218 | 0.863 | 1.545 |
| Skagit Summer/Fall Wild | Term. Run | 1.054 | 0.263 | 0.721 | 1.817 |
| Stillaguamish Summer/Fall Wild | Escapement | 1.059 | 0.224 | 0.735 | 1.698 |
| Snohomish Summer/Fall Wild | Term. Run | 1.020 | 0.124 | 0.816 | 1.237 |
| Washington Coastal Fall Hatchery | Term. Run | 1.049 | 0.184 | 0.816 | 1.463 |
| Columbia Upriver Bright | Term. Run | 1.011 | 0.116 | 0.778 | 1.237 |
| Spring Creek Hatchery | Term. Run | 1.019 | 0.153 | 0.799 | 1.478 |
| Lower Bonneville Hatchery \& Fall Cowlitz Hatchery | Term. Run | 1.032 | 0.223 | 0.590 | 1.462 |
| Lewis River Wild | Term. Run | 1.016 | 0.155 | 0.828 | 1.374 |
| Willamette Spring Hatchery | Term. Run | 1.006 | 0.123 | 0.799 | 1.317 |
| Spring Cowlitz Hatchery | Term. Run | 1.023 | 0.169 | 0.632 | 1.340 |
| Columbia River Summer | Escapement | 1.025 | 0.126 | 0.765 | 1.293 |
| Oregon Coastal Fall North Migrating | Escapement | 1.026 | 0.200 | 0.714 | 1.391 |
| Washington Coastal Fall Wild | Term. Run | 1.028 | 0.142 | 0.823 | 1.406 |
| Snake River Wild Fall | Escapement | 1.126 | 0.503 | 0.717 | 2.859 |
| Mid-Columbia River Bright Hatchery | Term. Run | 1.037 | 0.177 | 0.766 | 1.477 |

The variability in these annual estimates differs between stocks. The variability tends to be greatest in less abundant stocks or in stocks with highly variable marine survivals. Since the variability is not consistently related to specific stocks, the most likely impacts are annual variations in age-specific survival rates (i.e., random error in estimates of abundance).

The columns entitled "Min" and "Max" are the extreme ranges of annual fits from 1979 through 2001. The Min and Max columns represent the smallest (Min) and largest (Max) ratio between the agency supplied value and the model estimate, respectively. The significance of these deviations depends upon the questions being evaluated. For example, a large deviation for a stock during the first few years of the calibration or for a stock that has a minor impact on a fishery of concern may not necessitate further attempts at model calibration.

Fishery mortality indices generated by CLB 0206 can be compared to the CWT-based exploitation rate analysis. Model and CWT-based fishery indices use the same equation, but the former are derived from model estimates of catch for all model stocks instead of CWT recovery data from select exploitation rate indicator stocks.

The CWT estimates are considered to be the most accurate, and a comparison of these estimates with those derived from the model provides one measure of how well the model represents changes in fisheries. Two types of fishery indices are presented; reported catch and total mortality. A correlation coefficient was calculated between the CWT and model estimates for each type of index for the three AABM troll fisheries (Table 3.9). The coefficients were all significant ( $\mathrm{P}<0.01 ; \mathrm{n}=22$ years). The model results are closely associated with the CWT-based indices and changes in fishery harvest rates as indicated in Figures 3.4 through 3.9.

Table 3.9. Correlation coefficients between CWT and model fishery indices for the AABM troll fisheries.

|  | Troll Fishery |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Index | SEAK | NBC | WCVI |
| Reported | 0.889 | 0.871 | 0.918 |
| Total | 0.800 | 0.868 | 0.925 |

The model fishery index for SEAK closely follows the trend of the CWT derived estimate from 1979 through 1987 for both landed and total mortality (Figures 3.4 and 3.5). However, after 1987, the model estimate of both landed catch and total mortality indices is less than the CWT-derived estimates for most years. The model estimates also show less fluctuation from 1990 to 2000 compared to the CWT-derived indices.


Figure 3.4. Estimated CWT (through 2000) and model reported catch fishery indices (though 2001) for SEAK troll fishery.


Figure 3.5. Estimated CWT (through 2000) and model total mortality fishery indices (through 2001) for SEAK troll fishery.

The model-derived fishery indices for NBC generally follow the same trend as CWT-derived indices (Figures 3.6 and 3.7). However, since 1988, the model-based estimates exceed the CWT-derived estimates in all but one or two years for both landed catch and total mortality indices.


Figure 3.6. Estimated CWT (through 2000) and model reported catch fishery indices (through 2001) for the NBC troll fishery.


Figure 3.7. Estimated CWT (through 2000) and model total mortality fishery indices (through 2001) for the NBC troll fishery.

Since the base period, the model derived reported catch fishery index estimates and trends for the WCVI troll fishery has been similar to those derived from CWTs. However, from 1987 through 1995, the model estimates are consistently greater than the CWT-based estimates (Figures 3.8 and 3.9).


Figure 3.8. Estimated CWT (through 2000) and model reported catch fishery indices (through 2001) for the WCVI troll fishery.


Figure 3.9. Estimated CWT (through 2000) and model total mortality fishery indices (through 2001) for the WCVI troll fishery.

### 3.4. Summary of Agency Stock Forecasts Used in the Model

A summary of recent forecasts for 19 stocks used in the chinook model calibration is given in Table 3.10. The table shows that as a general rule, the model predicts the agency forecasts with more precision than the agency forecasts predict the post-season estimate. For the major production stocks, these forecasts suggest that chinook abundance in 2002 will be greater than that reported for 2001. For each stock, Table 3.8 shows whether the forecast is for terminal run (ocean escapement) or spawning escapement. Methods for individual stock forecasts are detailed in Appendix D.

### 3.5. Summary of Escapement Relative to the Goals

Paragraph 9 of the Agreement defines criteria for identifying stocks of concern and escapement levels in those stocks that would trigger additional management action (footnote 3, page 40 of the Agreement). Of the 16 stocks with CTC agreed escapement goals (Table 3.11), the Blossom stock was the only stock to qualify as a stock of concern (three years below escapement range). However, additional management action for this stock will not occur at this time as the PSC has instructed the CTC to review and accept escapement goals for additional escapement indicator stocks and, in future years, to develop a methodology for establishing lower bounds, which will trigger additional management actions.

Table 3.10. Comparison of pre-season forecasts with post-season estimates for various PSC model chinook stocks.

| Model Stock | 1999 |  |  |  |  | 2000 |  |  |  |  | 2001 |  |  |  |  | 2002 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Model <br> Forecast | Agency <br> Forecast | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Model / } \\ & \text { Agency }^{1} \end{aligned}$ | Post <br> Season | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Agency / } \\ \text { Post } \\ \text { Season }^{2} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Model <br> Forecast | Agency Forecast | Model / Agency | Post Season | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Agency / } \\ \text { Post } \\ \text { Season } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Model <br> Forecast | Agency <br> Forecast | Model / Agency | Post Season | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Agency / } \\ & \text { Post } \\ & \text { Season } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Model <br> Forecast | Agency <br> Forecast | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Model / } \\ & \text { Agency } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| N. Oregon Coastal | 65,249 | 72,084 | -9\% | 66,039 | $9 \%$ | 61,457 | 63,259 | -3\% | 52,889 | 20\% | 58,062 | 66,412 | -13\% | 100,548 | -34\% | 73,055 | 73,914 | -1\% |
| Willamette Spring | 46,181 | 49,875 | -7\% | 55,801 | -11\% | 57,202 | 61,211 | -7\% | 55,900 | 10\% | 59,207 | 59,600 | -1\% | 84,000 | -29\% | 73,151 | 77,434 | -6\% |
| Cowlitz Spring | 3,363 | 3,950 | -15\% | 4,801 | -18\% | 4,922 | 6,050 | -19\% | 5,598 | 8\% | 3,684 | 4,849 | -24\% | 5,508 | -12\% | 5,534 | 6,800 | -19\% |
| Col. Upriver Summer | 21,653 | 20,900 | 4\% | 20,318 | 3\% | 27,214 | 28,038 | -3\% | 23,002 | 22\% | 27,029 | 24,500 | 10\% | 54,521 | -55\% | 70,290 | 77,700 | -10\% |
| Upriver Bright Fall | 173,712 | 147,500 | 18\% | 166,100 | -11\% | 212,317 | 171,100 | 24\% | 155,700 | 10\% | 150,973 | 127,200 | 19\% | 232,500 | -45\% | 249,721 | 281,000 | -11\% |
| Spring Cr Hatch. Fall | 63,203 | 65,800 | -4\% | 50,100 | 31\% | 17,335 | 21,900 | -21\% | 20,600 | 6\% | 56,089 | 56,600 | -1\% | 125,000 | -55\% | 153,070 | 144,400 | 6\% |
| Lewis River Wild Fall | 3,06 | 2,600 | 18\% | 3,400 | -24\% | 4,053 | 3,500 | 16\% | 10,200 | -66\% | 16,574 | 16,700 | -1\% | 15,700 | 6\% | 18,910 | 18,200 | 4\% |
| Nooksack Hatchery | 27,206 | 27,000 | 1\% | 41,186 | -34\% | 21,277 | 19,000 | 12\% | 33,588 | -43\% | 33,974 | 36,450 | -7\% | 36,450 | 0\% | 50,361 | 54,420 | -7\% |
| Skagit Natural | 8,967 | 7,600 | 18\% | 4,924 | 54\% | 6,988 | 7,300 | -4\% | 16,843 | -57\% | 9,064 | 9,183 | -1\% | 14,005 | -34\% | 12,635 | 13,455 | -6\% |
| Stillaguamish Natural | 1,303 | NA | NA | 1,098 | NA | 1,370 | 1,500 | -9\% | 1,457 | $3 \%$ | 1,328 | 1,360 | -2\% | 1,360 | 0\% | 1,372 | 1,449 | -5\% |
| Snohomish Natural | 5,804 | 5,600 | $4 \%$ | 4,832 | 16\% | 5,997 | 6,000 | 0\% | 6,000 | 0\% | 5,876 | 5,760 | $2 \%$ | 5,760 | 0\% | 6,524 | 6,700 | -3\% |
| WCVI Total | 77,836 | 68,400 | 14\% | 98,410 | -30\% | 21,040 | 15,040 | 40\% | 37,055 | -59\% | 33,702 | 30,633 | 10\% | 86,787 | -65\% | 128,068 | 107,727 | 19\% |
| Harrison Stock ${ }^{3}$ | 84,686 | 82,650 | 2\% | 184,099 | -55\% | 187,970 | 220,400 | -15\% | 120,744 | 83\% | 141,745 | 131,800 | 8\% | 141,196 | -7\% | 132,946 | 160,100 | -17\% |
| Puget Sound Hatchery | 66,260 | 69,285 | -4\% | 116,204 | -40\% | 67,306 | 69,800 | -4\% | 76,777 | -9\% | 102,899 | 105,955 | -3\% | 105,955 | 0\% | 114,889 | 124,608 | -8\% |
| Puget Sound Natural | 28,536 | 28,400 | $0 \%$ | 31,104 | -9\% | 10,000 | 15,364 | -35\% | 20,050 | -23\% | 19,938 | 18,900 | 5\% | 18,900 | 0\% | 20,008 | 19,801 | 1\% |
| Bonneville Tules | 26,112 | 34,800 | -25\% | 37,300 | -7\% | 17,095 | 23,700 | -28\% | 27,000 | -12\% | 28,732 | 32,200 | -11\% | 94,200 | -66\% | 100,401 | 137,600 | -27\% |
| Mid Columbia Brights | 37,951 | 38,300 | -1\% | 50,800 | -25\% | 53,460 | 50,600 | 6\% | 37,100 | 36\% | 45,055 | 43,500 | 4\% | 66,400 | -34\% | 102,085 | 96,200 | 6\% |
| Washington Coastal Nat | 42,107 | 43,780 | -4\% | 24,785 | 77\% | 34,741 | NA | NA | 27,615 | NA | 34,563 | 35,306 | -2\% | 27,978 | 26\% | 33,902 | 33,489 | 1\% |
| Lyons Ferry | 523 | NA | NA | 905 | NA | 1,243 | NA | NA | 900 | NA | 733 | 734 | 0\% | 2,652 | -72\% | 2,066 | NA | NA |

${ }^{1}=($ model forecast - agency forecast)/agency forecast * 100
${ }^{2}=($ agency forecast - postseason estimate $) /$ postseason estimate $* 100$
${ }^{3}$ Harrison Stock forecast includes Chilliwack

Table 3.11. Escapement assessment for stocks with CTC agreed escapement goals.

| Stock | Area | Agreed <br> Goals | Point <br> Estimate | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ <br> Escapement | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ <br> Escapement | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ <br> Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Situk | SEAK | $500-1,000$ | 600 | 1,811 | 2,018 | 672 |
| Alsek (Klukshu) | SEAK | $1,100-2,300$ | 1,100 | 2,166 | 1,363 | 1,843 |
| Taku | SEAK | $30,000-55,000$ | 35,938 | 20,545 | 30,014 | 41,179 |
| Stikine | SEAK | $14,000-28,000$ | 17,368 | 25,968 | 35,447 | 66,515 |
| King Salmon | SEAK | $120-240$ | 150 | 300 | 137 | 147 |
| Andrew Creek | SEAK | $650-1,500$ | 850 | 1,210 | 1,286 | 2,260 |
| Unuk (index) | SEAK | $650-1,400$ | 800 | 680 | 1,341 | 2,109 |
| Chickamin (index) | SEAK | $450-900$ | 525 | 492 | 801 | 1,010 |
| Blossom (index) | SEAK | $250-500$ | 300 | 212 | 231 | 204 |
| Keta (index) | SEAK | $250-500$ | 300 | 276 | 300 | 343 |
| Fraser Late (Harrison) | BC | $75,100-98,500$ | 75,100 | 107,016 | 77,035 | 94,683 |
| Columbia River Summers | Col River | 17,857 | 17,857 | 23,057 | 27,073 | $50,000+$ |
| Lewis | Col River | 5,700 | 5,700 | 3,184 | 8,718 | 13,900 |
| Nehalem | NOC | 6,989 | 6,989 | 8,063 | 5,257 | 9,459 |
| Siletz | NOC | 2,944 | 2,944 | 4,166 | 4,982 | 10,582 |
| Siuslaw Falls | NOC | 12,925 | 12,925 | 29,610 | 12,999 | 29,748 |
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## APPENDIX

Appendix A. Relationship between CWT exploitation rate indicator stocks, escapement assessment stocks, model stocks, and additional management action stocks.
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Table A.1. Indicator stocks for Southeast Alaska and Transboundary Rivers.

| Area | Annex Stock Group ${ }^{1}$ | Annex <br> Indicator Stocks | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Run } \\ & \text { Type } \end{aligned}$ | Escapement Indicator Stock | Escapement Objective | Model Stock | Esc Goal in Model | ER Stock |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SEAK/TBR |  |  | Spr | Taku | 30,000-55,000 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Spr | Stikine | 14,000-28,000 |  |  |  |
| Yakutat |  |  | Spr | Situk | 500-1,000 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Spr | Alsek | 1,100-2,300 |  |  |  |
| SEAK Northern Inside |  |  | Spr | Chilkat |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Spr | King Salmon | 120-240 | Alaska South SE | 9,110 | Alaska Spring <br> (Little Port Walter, Neets Bay Hatchery, Whitman Lake Hatchery, Carroll Inlet Releases, Deer Mountain Hatchery, Crystal Lake Hatchery) |
| SEAK Central Inside |  |  | Spr | Andrew Creek | 650-1,500 |  |  |  |
| SEAK Southern <br> Inside |  |  | Spr | Unuk | 650-1,400 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Spr | Chickamin | 450-900 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Spr | Blossom | 250-500 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Spr | Keta | 250-500 |  |  |  |

SEAK fisheries will be managed to achieve escapement objectives for Southeast Alaska and Transboundary River chinook stocks.

Table A.2. Indicator stocks for Canada.

| Area | Annex Stock Group | Annex Indicator Stocks | Run <br> Type | Escapement Indicator Stock | Escapement Objective | Model Stock | Esc Goal in Model | ER Stock |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NBC-Area 1 | North / Central British Columbia | Yakoun | Sum | Yakoun | Escapement goal range by stock | North / <br> Central BC | 117,500 | Kitsumkalum |
| NBC-Area 3 |  | Nass | Spr/Sum | Nass |  |  |  |  |
| NBC-Area 4 |  | Skeena | Spr/Sum | Skeena |  |  |  |  |
| CBC-Area 8 |  |  | Spr | Dean |  |  |  |  |
| CBC-Area 9 |  |  | Spr/Fall | Rivers Inlet |  |  |  |  |
| CBC-Area 10 |  |  | Sum | Smith Inlet |  |  |  |  |
| WCVI | West Coast Vancouver Island Falls | (Artlish, Burman, Gold, Kauok, Tahsis, Tashish, Marble Rivers) | Fall | WCVI <br> Aggregate | Escapement goal range for aggregate | WCVI <br> Natural | 42,734 | Robertson Creek |
|  |  |  | Fall |  |  | WCVI Hat | 6,472 |  |
| Fraser River | Fraser Late | Harrison River | Fall | Harrison River | Esc goal | Fraser Late | 75,100 |  |
|  |  |  | Fall |  |  |  |  | Chilliwack |
|  | Fraser Early | Upper Fraser rivers | Spr | Upper Fraser | Escapement goal range by stock | Fraser Early | 93,700 |  |
|  |  | Mid Fraser rivers | Spr/Sum | Mid Fraser |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Thompson rivers | Sum | Thompson |  |  |  |  |
| Upper Strait of Georgia | Upper Strait of Georgia | (Klinaklini, Kakwiekan, Wakeman, Kingcome, Nimpkish) | Sum/Fall | Upper Strait of Georgia | Escapement goal range for aggregate | Upper Georgia Strait | 23,300 | Quinsam |
| Lower Strait of Georgia | Lower Strait of Georgia | (Cowichan, Nanaimo rivers) | Fall | Cowichan / <br> Nanaimo | Escapement goal range for aggregate | Lower <br> Georgia <br> Strait Nat | 21,935 | Cowichan |
|  |  |  | Sum/ Fall |  |  | Lower <br> Georgia Strait Hat | 5,318 | Big Qualicum Falls |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Puntledge <br> Summers |

Table A.3. Indicator stocks for Puget Sound.

| Area | Annex Stock Group | Annex Indicator Stocks | $\begin{gathered} \text { Run } \\ \text { Type } \end{gathered}$ | Escapement Indicator Stock | Escapement Objective | Model Stock | Esc Goal in Model | ER Stock |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hood Canal |  |  | Fall |  |  |  |  | George Adams |
| Juan de Fuca |  |  | Fall |  |  |  |  | Elwha Fall Fing |
|  | Washington Coastal Fall Naturals | Hoko | Fall | Hoko |  |  |  | Hoko Fingerling |
| North/Central Puget Sound | North PS Nat Springs | Nooksack | Spr | Nooksack | Escapement goal range by stock | Nooksack Spring | 4,000 | Nooksack Spr |
|  |  | Skagit | Spr | Skagit spring |  |  |  | Skagit Spr Year |
|  |  |  | Fall |  |  | Nooksack Fall | 11,923 |  |
|  |  |  | Fall |  |  |  |  | Samish Fing |
|  | Puget Sound <br> Natural <br> Summer/ Falls | Stillaguamish | Sum/ <br> Fall |  | Escapement goal ranges by stock | Stillaguam. Wild | 2,000 | Stillag. Fall Fing |
|  |  | Snohomish | Sum/ <br> Fall |  |  | Snohomish Wild | 5,250 |  |
|  |  | Skagit group | Sum/ Fall | Skagit sum/fall |  | Skagit Wild | 9,778 |  |
|  |  | Lake WA | Fall |  |  | PS Nat Fing | 16,966 |  |
|  |  | Green River | Fall |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Fall |  |  |  |  | Nisqually Fing |
|  |  |  | Fall |  |  |  |  | UW Accelerated |
| South Puget Sound |  |  | Fall |  |  | PS Hatchery Fing | 24,769 | S. PS Fall Fing |
|  |  |  | Fall |  |  | PS Hatchery Year | 9,136 | S. PS Fall Year. |
|  |  |  | Fall |  |  |  |  | Squaxin P. Year |
|  |  |  | Spr |  |  |  |  | White R Spr Y |

Table A.4. Indicator stocks for the Washington Coast.

| Area | Annex Stock Group | Annex <br> Indicator Stocks | Run <br> Type | Escapement Indicator Stock | Escapement Objective | Model Stock | Esc Goal in Model | ER Stock |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WA Coast | Washington Coastal Fall Naturals | Grays Harbor | Fall | Grays Harbor Fall | Escapement goal range by stock | WA Coastal Wild | 21,500 |  |
|  |  | Queets | Fall | Queets Fall |  |  |  | Queets Fingerling |
|  |  | Hoh | Fall | Hoh Fall |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Quillayute | Fall | Quillayute Fall |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Fall |  |  |  |  | Sooes Fingerling |
|  |  |  | Fall |  |  | WA Coastal Hat | 6,703 |  |
|  |  |  | Spr | Grays Harbor Spr |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Sum | Quillayute Summer |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Spr/Sum | Queets Spr/Sum |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Sum | Hoh Spr/Sum |  |  |  |  |

Table A.5. Indicator stocks for Columbia River and Oregon Coast.

| Area | Annex Stock Group | Annex Indicator Stocks | Run <br> Type | Escapement Indicator Stock | Escapement Objective | Model Stock | Esc Goal in Model | ER Stock |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Columbia River | Columbia <br> River Falls | Upriver Brights | Fall | Col. Upr. Bright |  | Upriver Brights | 40,000 | Priest Rapids |
|  |  |  | Fall |  |  |  |  | Hanford Wild |
|  |  | Deschutes | Fall | Deschutes |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Lewis River | Fall | Lewis | 5,700 | Lewis R. Wild | 5,700 | Lewis R Wild |
|  |  |  | Fall |  |  | Lyons Ferry Hat | 3,430 | Lyons Ferry |
|  |  |  | Fall |  |  | Mid Col R Bright | 12,500 |  |
|  |  |  | Fall |  |  | Spring Creek Hat | 7,000 | Spring Cr Tule |
|  |  |  | Fall |  |  | Lwr Bonn. Hat | 26,200 | Col Lwr R Hat |
|  |  |  | Fall |  |  | Cowlitz Fall Hat. | 8,800 | Cowlitz Tule |
|  | Columbia R Summers | Mid-Col Summers | Sum | Col Upriver Summer | $17,857^{1}$ | Col R Summer | 17,857 | Col R Summer |
|  |  |  | Spr | Col. Upriver Spring |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Spr |  |  | Cowlitz Spr Hat | 2,500 |  |
|  |  |  | Spr |  |  | Willamette R. Hat | 13,500 | Willamette Spr |
| North Oregon | Far North | Nehalem | Fall | Nehalem | 6,989 | Oregon Coast | 62,382 | Salmon River |
| Coast | Migrating OR Coastal Falls | Siuslaw | Fall | Siuslaw | 12,925 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Siletz | Fall | Siletz | 2,944 |  |  |  |
| Mid-Oregon |  |  | Fall | Umpqua |  |  |  |  |
| Coast |  |  | Fall | Coquille |  |  |  |  |

[^1]Appendix B. Coded-wire tags used in exploitation rate analyses.

## LIST OF STOCK IDENTIFIERS FOR APPENDIX TABLE B. 1

AKS: Alaska Spring<br>BON: Bonneville Tule<br>BQR: Big Qualicum<br>CHI: Chilliwack River Fall Hatchery<br>COW: Cowichan Falls<br>CWF: Cowlitz Fall Tule<br>ELW: Elwha Fall Fingerling<br>GAD: George Adams Fall Fingerling<br>HAN: Hanford Wild<br>HOK: Hoko Fall Fingerling<br>KLM: Kitsumkalum River<br>LRW: Lewis River Wild<br>LYF: Lyons Ferry<br>NIS: Nisqually Fall Fingerling<br>NKF: Nooksack Fall Fingerling<br>NKS: Nooksack Spring Yearling<br>PPS: Puntledge<br>QUE: Queets Fall Fingerling<br>QUI: Quinsam River<br>RBT: Robertson Creek Fall Hatchery<br>SAM: Samish Fall Fingerling<br>SKS: Skagit Spring Yearling<br>SOO: Sooes Fall Fingerling<br>SPR: Spring Creek Tule<br>SPS: South Puget Sound Fall Fingerling<br>SPY: South Puget Sound Fall Yearling<br>SQP: Squaxin Pens Fall Yearling<br>SRH: Salmon River Hatchery<br>STL: Stillaguamish Fall Fingerling<br>SUM: Columbia River Summers<br>URB: Columbia River Upriver Brights<br>UWA: U of W Fall Accelerated<br>WRF: White River Spring Yearling<br>WRY: Willamette Spring<br>WSH: Willamette River Spring Hatchery

Table B.1. Tag codes for exploitation rate analysis.

|  | AKS | 031809 | 031836 | 031901 | 031935 | 042537 | 032102 | 036219 | 032132 | 030119 | 030218 | 04360E | 043702 | 036347 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AKS | 1980 | 03181C | 031837 | 031902 | 031936 | 042538 | 032103 | 036221 | 032135 | 030121 | 030219 | 043607 | 043704 | 036348 |
|  | 031753 | 031811 | 031838 | 031903 | 031937 | 042539 | 032104 | 036222 | 036226 | 030122 | 030220 | 043608 | 043705 | 036349 |
| 031661 | 031754 | 031812 | 031839 | 031904 | 031938 | 042540 | 042626 | 036225 | 036228 | 030125 | 030221 |  | 043706 | 043857 |
| 031703 | 041944 | 031813 | 031843 | 031905 | 031939 |  | 042628 | 036310 | 036231 | 030216 | 030222 | AKS | 043707 | 043858 |
| 031704 | 042121 | 031814 | 031844 | 031906 | 031940 | AKS | 042631 | 036311 | 036232 | 030217 | 030223 | 1990 | 043708 | 043859 |
| 031705 | 042202 | 031815 | 031845 | 031907 | 031941 | 1985 | 042632 | 036312 | 036319 | 031947 | 030224 | 030227 | 043745 | 043904 |
| 031706 | 044005 | 031816 | 031846 | 031908 | 031942 | 031957 | 042633 | 036313 | 036321 | 032138 | 030225 | 030228 | $04374 €$ | 043905 |
| 031707 |  | 031817 | 031847 | 031909 | 031943 | 031958 | 042634 | 036314 | 036322 | 032141 | 030226 | 030229 | 043747 | 04390E |
| 031708 | AKS | 031818 | 031848 | 031910 | 031944 | 031959 | 042713 | 036315 | 036323 | 032201 | 032052 | 03023C | 043748 | 043907 |
| 031709 | 1981 | 031819 | 031849 | 031911 | 031945 | 031960 | 042731 | 036316 | 036324 | 032202 | 032203 | 030231 | 043745 | 043933 |
| 03171C | 031761 | 036306 | 031850 | 031912 | 031946 | 031961 | 042732 | 036317 | 036325 | 036237 | 032204 | 030332 | 04375C | 043934 |
| 031711 | 031762 | 036307 | 031851 | 031913 | 031948 | 031962 | 042733 | 042754 | 036326 | 036238 | 032205 | 031618 | 043821 | 043936 |
| 031712 | 031763 | 036308 | 031852 | 031914 | 040329 | 031963 | 042825 | 042908 | 036327 | 036329 | 032206 | 032216 | 043822 | 043937 |
| 031713 | 031801 | 036309 | 031853 | 031915 | 040330 | 032001 |  | 042909 | 036328 | 03633C | 032207 | 032217 | 043823 | 043938 |
| 031714 | 031802 | 042255 | 031854 | 031916 | 040331 | 032002 | AKS | 042960 | 042737 | 036331 | 032208 | 032218 |  | 043939 |
| 031715 | 031803 | 042354 | 031855 | 031917 | 040332 | 032003 | 1986 | 043101 | 042738 | 043247 | 032209 | 032219 | AKS | 044028 |
| 041932 | 031804 | 042355 | 031856 | 031918 | 040333 | 032004 | 032027 | 043102 | 043027 | 043249 | 032210 | 03222C | 1991 | 044029 |
| 041938 | 036303 | 042356 | 031857 | 031919 | 040336 | 032005 | 032028 | 043104 | 043028 | 04325C | 032211 | 032221 | 030233 | 044101 |
| 041939 | 036304 | 04243C | 031858 | 031920 | 040342 | 032006 | 032029 | 043107 | 043029 | 043252 | 032212 | 032222 | 032233 | 044102 |
| 04194C | 036305 | 042431 | 031859 | 031921 | 040343 | 032007 | 032030 | 043108 | 043030 | 043255 | 032213 | 032223 | 032234 | 044104 |
|  | 042222 |  | 031860 | 031922 | 040344 | 032008 | 032031 |  | 043031 | 043303 | 032214 | 032224 | 032235 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { AKS } \\ & 1979 \end{aligned}$ | 042223 | AKS 1983 | 031861 | 031923 | 040345 | 032009 | 032032 | AKS | 043032 | 043304 | 032215 | 032225 | 036332 | AKS |
| 031716 | 042227 |  | 031862 | 031924 | 040346 | 032010 | 032033 | 1987 | 043058 | 043305 | 043232 | 032226 | 036335 | 1992 |
| $031717$ | 042229 | 031826 | 031863 | 031925 | 040347 | 032011 | 032034 | 032037 | 043059 | 043306 | 043449 | 032227 | 036337 | 030234 |
| $041917$ | 042230 | 031827 | 040321 | 031926 | 040348 | 032012 | 032113 | 032038 | 043141 | 043319 | 043450 | 032228 | 036338 | 030235 |
| 041943 | B40907 | 031828 | 042463 | 031927 | 040349 | 032013 | 032114 | 032039 | 043142 | 04332C | 043501 | 032229 | 036339 | 030236 |
| 041945 | B40908 | 031829 | 042503 | 031928 | 040350 | 032014 | 032116 | 032040 | 043144 | 043323 | 043502 | 03223C | 03634C | 030237 |
| 042039 |  | $03183 C$ | 042511 | 031929 | 042321 | 032015 | 032119 | 032041 | 043147 | 043324 | 043504 | 032231 | 036341 | 030238 |
| 04204C | AKS | 031831 | 042512 | 031930 | 042530 | 032016 | 032121 | 032042 | 043149 | 043406 | 043507 | 032232 | 036342 | 032236 |
| 04204 C | 1982 | 031832 | 042513 | 031931 | 042531 | 032017 | 032122 | 032043 |  | 043407 | 043530 | 036333 | 036343 | 032237 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 042042 \\ & 042043 \end{aligned}$ | 031655 | 031833 |  | 031932 | 042534 | 032018 | 036213 | 032044 |  |  | 043531 | 036334 | 036344 | 032238 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 042043 \\ & 042045 \end{aligned}$ | 031807 | 031834 | AKS | 031933 | 042535 | 032019 | 036214 | 032045 | 1988 | AKS | 043532 | 042945 | 036345 | 032239 |
| 042045 | 031808 | 031835 | 1984 | 031934 | 042536 | 032101 | 036216 | 032131 | 030116 | 1989 | 043533 | 043701 | 03634E | 03224C |
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| 180256 | BQR | 183057 |  | $\mathrm{CHI}$ | $\mathrm{CHI}$ |  | 020939 | $181437$ | $183110$ |  | 633236 | CWF |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BQR | 1994 | 183058 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CHI } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | $1988$ | $1995$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COW } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | 026103 | $181438$ | $183111$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CWF } \\ & 1977 \end{aligned}$ | $633237$ | $1993$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELW } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELW } \\ & 1989 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1991 | 181059 | 183059 | 022163 | 025747 | 182261 | 023803 | COW | COW | 183112 | 631802 | 633238 | 635539 | 051363 | 21182 |
| 021332 | 181060 | 183423 |  | 025748 | 18 | 023804 | 1990 | 1995 | 183731 |  | CWF | CWF | 632721 | 21182 |
| 021333 | 181062 | 183424 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CHI } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | CHI | CHI | 023911 | 020336 | 182026 | 183732 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CWF } \\ & 1978 \end{aligned}$ | 1985 | 1994 | 632722 | ELW |
| 021334 | 182014 | 183425 | 1982 | 1989 | 1996 | COW | 020337 | 182027 | 183733 | $631942$ | 634108 | 635523 | ELW | $1990$ |
| 021335 | 182015 | BQR |  | 020242 | 182856 | $1987$ | 020338 020339 | 182028 182029 |  |  | CWF | 635620 | 1983 | 21201 |
| 180862 | 182121 | 1998 | CHI 1983 | 020243 | 182857 | 024334 | 020340 | 182030 |  | CWF | 1986 | CWF | 211616 |  |
| 180863 | 182122 | 183143 | 1983 | CHI | CHI | 024729 | 020341 | 182031 |  | 1979 | 634126 | 1995 | 633038 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELW } \\ & \mathbf{1 9 9 1} \end{aligned}$ |
| BQR | BQR | 183144 | 022658 | 1990 | 1997 | 024730 | COW | COW |  | 6321 | CWF | 635851 | 633035 | $21221$ |
| 1992 | 1995 | 183145 | 022660 | 180330 | $\begin{aligned} & 183353 \\ & 183354 \end{aligned}$ | 024735 | 1991 | 1996 |  | CWF 1980 | 1987 | 636005 | ELW |  |
| 18040€ | 181516 181517 | 183819 | CHI | CHI |  | 024946 | 180515 | 182740 |  | $632156$ | 635231 | CWF | 1984 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELW } \\ & 1992 \end{aligned}$ |
| 180407 | 181519 | 18382 C | 1984 | 1991 | CHI | COW | 180516 | 182741 |  | $632255$ | CWF | 1996 | 211658 |  |
| 180408 | 181653 | 183821 | 023414 | 180332 | 1998 | $1988$ | 180517 | 182742 |  |  | 1988 | 630224 | 633415 |  |
| 180409 | 182347 |  | 023415 | 180334 | 184046 | 024860 | 180518 | 182743 |  | CWF | 635250 | 630227 | 63342C | ELW |
| 18041 C | 182348 |  | 023416 | CHI |  | 025012 | COW | 182744 |  | 1981 |  | CWF | ELW | 1993 |
| 181103 | 182349 |  | 023417 | 1992 |  | 025013 | 1992 | 182745 |  | 632462 | CWF 1989 | 1998 | 1985 | 21245 |
| 181104 | 182350 |  | 023418 | 181211 |  | 025015 | 180210 | COW |  | CWF |  | 631031 | 211915 | ELW |
|  | BQR |  | 023419 | 181212 |  | 025016 | 180550 | 1997 |  | 1982 | 630452 |  | 21192 C | 1994 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { BQR } \\ & 1993 \end{aligned}$ | 1996 |  | CHI |  |  | 025017 | 181042 | 182761 |  | 632503 | CWF |  | 211921 | 21261 |
| 1893 18063 | 182757 |  | 1985 | $1993$ |  | 025523 | 181044 | 182762 |  |  | 1990 |  | 633545 | 21261 |
| $18063{ }^{18}$ | 182758 |  | 024101 | 181420 |  | 025524 | COW | 182763 |  | $1983$ | 634056 |  | 6333547 | 63533 |
| 180638 | 182759 |  | CHI | 181421 |  | COW | 1993 | 182802 |  | 633019 | CWF |  | 633548 |  |
| 180639 | 183418 183419 |  | 1986 | CHI |  | 1989 | 181320 | 182803 |  | 63302 C | 1991 |  |  |  |
| 181055 | 183420 |  | 024547 | 1994 |  | 020352 | 181321 | 182804 |  | 633124 | 634526 |  | $1986$ |  |
| 181056 181057 | 183421 |  | CHI | 180211 |  | 020522 | 181322 | 182805 |  | 633125 | CWF |  | 212208 |  |
| 181058 | BQR |  | 1987 | 180212 |  | 020623 | COW | COW |  | CWF | 1992 |  |  |  |
|  | 1997 |  | 025542 | 182123 |  | 020624 | 1994 | 1998 |  | 1984 | 635015 |  | $1988$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | 182124 |  | 020938 | 181436 | 183109 |  | 633235 |  |  | 213132 |  |

Table B.1. (Page 4 of 10).

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GAD } \\ & 1972 \end{aligned}$ | GAD 1985 633501 | $\frac{635057}{\text { GAD }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HAN } \\ & 1986 \end{aligned}$ | HAN 1995 636116 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOK } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | HOK <br> 1995 <br> 212949 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KLM } \\ & 1979 \end{aligned}$ | KLM 1986 024410 | $\begin{aligned} & 020945 \\ & 020946 \\ & 026137 \end{aligned}$ | 181423 <br> 181424 | $\frac{182518}{\text { KLM }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LRW } \\ & 1977 \end{aligned}$ | LRW <br> 1984 <br> 633411 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LRW } \\ & 1992 \end{aligned}$ <br> 63494 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 150812 | 633501 | 1993 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 63116 \\ & 636117 \end{aligned}$ |  | 212949 | 021852 | $\begin{aligned} & 024410 \\ & 024411 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 026137 \\ & 026138 \end{aligned}$ | KLM | 1997 | 631611 | $633411$ $633412$ | 63494 |
| 151013 | 633503 | 635545 | HAN | 636118 | HOK | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOK } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | KLM | 024412 | KLM | 1994 180608 | 182806 182807 | 631618 631619 | LRW | LRW 1993 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GAD } \\ & 1974 \end{aligned}$ | 633504 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GAD } \\ & 1994 \end{aligned}$ | 1987 | HAN | 1986 |  | 1980 021951 | $\begin{aligned} & 024413 \\ & 024414 \end{aligned}$ | 1990 | 180609 | 182808 | LRW | 1985 | 63515 |
| 130303 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GAD } \\ & 1986 \end{aligned}$ | 635023 | HAN | 1996 630133 | HOK | HOK | KLM | KLM | 021133 021134 | 18064 C | 183035 183036 | 1978 | $633821$ | LRW |
| GAD | 634119 | 635801 | $1988$ |  | $1987$ | 1997 | 1981 | 1987 | 021135 | 180642 | 183037 | 631813 |  | 1994 |
| 1975 | GAD |  | 635252 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HAN } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | 211907 | 212959 | 022312 | 024941 | 021136 021137 | 182155 | 183038 183039 | 631858 631859 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LRW } \\ & 1986 \end{aligned}$ | 63562 |
| 130913 | 1987 | $1995$ | HAN | 630603 | HOK |  | 022313 | 024942 | 021138 | 182157 | 183040 | 631902 | 634151 | LRW |
| GAD | 635208 |  | 1989 |  | 1989 |  | KLM | 024944 | 021139 | KLM | 183041 | 63192 C 632002 | 634153 | 1996 63033 |
| 1978 | GAD | GAD $1996$ | 630755 | 1998 | 211829 |  | 1983 | 025060 | 021140 | 1995 | 183042 | 632002 | LRW | 63033 |
| 631915 |  | 630148 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HAN } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | 630635 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOK } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{022758}{\text { KLM }}$ | $\frac{025061}{\text { KLM }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KLM } \\ & 1991 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 181658 \\ & 181659 \end{aligned}$ | KLM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LRW } \\ & 1979 \end{aligned}$ | 1987 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LRW } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ |
| GAD |  | GAD | 634115 |  | 212018 |  | 1984 | 1988 | 021010 | 18166C | 1998 | 632123 | 635062 | 63050 |
| 1979 | $1989$ | 1997 |  |  |  |  | 023346 | 026039 | 021011 | 181661 | 183063 | 632124 |  | 63050 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 632041 \\ & 632109 \end{aligned}$ | 630450 | 630304 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HAN } \\ & 1991 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOK } \\ & 1991 \end{aligned}$ |  | 023347 023348 | 026040 026041 | 023116 | 182016 | 183516 184212 | 632125 632207 | $1988$ | LRW |
|  | GAD |  | 634527 |  | 212218 |  | 023349 | 026042 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KLM } \\ & 1992 \end{aligned}$ | 182018 | 184213 | 632208 | 630456 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GAD } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ | 1990 |  | HAN |  | HOK |  | $023350$ | $026043$ | 181046 | ${ }_{18202 C} 182021$ | 184214 | 632213 632214 | LRW <br> 1989 |  |
| 632146 | 630862 |  | 1992 |  | 1992 |  | 0233352 | $\begin{aligned} & 026044 \\ & 026045 \end{aligned}$ | 181047 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 632161 | GAD |  | 635017 |  | 212327 |  | 023353 |  | 181048 | KLM <br> 1996 |  | $1982$ | 63135C |  |
| 632262 | 1991 |  | HAN |  | HOK |  | KLM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KLM } \\ & 1989 \end{aligned}$ | 181049 181050 | 1996 18183 |  | 632737 | LRW |  |
| GAD | $\begin{aligned} & 634023 \\ & 634630 \end{aligned}$ |  | 1993 |  | 1993 |  | 1985 | 020940 | 181051 | 182512 |  | 632738 |  |  |
| 1981 |  |  | 635704 |  | 212453 |  | 023704 | 020941 | 181052 | 182513 |  |  | 634217 |  |
| 632235 | GAD |  | HAN |  | HOK |  | 023705 | 020942 |  | 182514 |  | 1983 | LRW |  |
| 632331 | 1992 |  | 1994 |  | 1994 |  | 023706 | 020943 | $1993$ | 182515 |  | 633126 | 1991 |  |
|  | 634946 |  | 635759 |  | 212609 |  | 023707 | 020944 | 021104 | 182516 |  | 633127 | 634206 |  |
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|  | LYF |  | NIS | NIS |  | 636326 |  | NKS |  | 022557 | PPS | 182842 |  | 21: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { LYF } \\ & 1984 \end{aligned}$ | 1990 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NIS } \\ & 1979 \end{aligned}$ | 1986 | 1995 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NKF } \\ & 1988 \end{aligned}$ | NKF | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NKS } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | 1992 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PPS } \\ & 1974 \end{aligned}$ | PPS | 1990 | PPS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QUE } \\ & 1977 \end{aligned}$ | Q |
| 633226 | 634143 | 050722 | 211962 | 212946 635630 | 635241 | 1997 | 632411 | 634529 | 021402 | 1983 | 180315 | 1997 | 050361 | 19 |
| 633227 |  |  | NIS | 635630 |  | 630604 |  |  |  | 022710 | 180316 | 182843 |  | 21: |
| 633228 | LYF | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NIS } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ | $1987$ | NIS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NKF } \\ & 1989 \end{aligned}$ | NKF | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NKS } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | $1993$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PPS } \\ & 1975 \end{aligned}$ | 022711 | PPS | 182844 | QUE $1978$ | Ql |
| LYF | 1992 | 050839 | 212541 | $1996$ | 051952 | 1998 | 632546 | 635018 | 020308 | PPS | 1991 | PPS | 05052C | 19 |
| 1985 | 635012 | 05084 C | NIS | $\begin{aligned} & 212957 \\ & 636352 \end{aligned}$ | 630225 | 631028 | NKS | NKS | PPS | 1984 | $\begin{aligned} & 180814 \\ & 180815 \end{aligned}$ | 1998 | 050521 | 21: |
| 633638 | LYF 1998 |  | 1988 |  | NKF |  | 1984 | 1994 | 1976 | ${ }^{023357}$ | $180816$ | 183828 | $050522$ | Ql |
| $\begin{aligned} & 633639 \\ & 633640 \end{aligned}$ | $1998$ | $1981$ | 213138 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NIS } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | 1992 |  | 633452 | 635815 | 021816 | 023358 | $180817$ |  |  | 19 |
| 633641 | 631026 | 051048 | NIS | 212956 | 634605 |  | 633453 | 635830 |  | $02336 C$ | PPS |  | QUE | 21: |
| 633642 |  | 051049 | 1989 |  | 634606 |  | NKS |  | $1977$ | PPS | 1992 |  |  | Ql |
| LYF |  | NIS | 211836 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NIS } \\ & 1998 \end{aligned}$ | 634951 |  | 1986 | NKS $1995$ | 021634 | 1985 | 181403 |  | 050661 | 19 |
| 1986 |  | 1982 | NIS |  | NKF 1993 |  | 633247 | 636048 | PPS | 023727 | 181404 |  | QUE | 21: |
| 634259 |  | 051344 051345 | 1990 |  | 1993 |  | 633248 633336 |  | 1978 | PPS | PPS |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1980 \\ & 05083 C \end{aligned}$ | Q |
| 634261 |  |  | 211833 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 635142 \\ & 635143 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NKS } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | 021731 | 1986 | 1993 |  | 050833 | 19 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { LYF } \\ & 1987 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NIS } \\ & 1983 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NIS } \\ & 1991 \end{aligned}$ |  | 635144 635144 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NKS } \\ & 1987 \end{aligned}$ | 635533 | PPS | 024701 | $\begin{aligned} & 181410 \\ & 181411 \end{aligned}$ |  | QUE | 21: |
| 635214 |  | 211628 | 212206 |  | 635144 |  | 634962 | NKS | 1979 | 024702 | PPS |  | 1981 | Q |
| 635216 |  | 211629 |  |  |  |  | 635059 | 1997 | 021854 | PPS | 1994 |  | 050962 | 19 |
| LYF |  | NIS | $1992$ |  | $1994$ |  | NKS | 630604 | PPS | 1987 | 182138 |  | 051016 | 21. |
| 1988 |  | 1984 | 212323 |  | 635351 |  | 1988 |  | 1980 |  | 182139 |  | QUE | QL |
| 630226 |  | 211706 |  |  | 635829 |  | 634422 |  | 021947 | PPS | PPS |  | 1982 | 19 |
| 630228 |  | 211707 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NIS } \\ & 199 \end{aligned}$ |  | 635834 |  | NKS |  | PPS |  | 1995 |  | 051425 | 21: |
| LYF |  | NIS |  |  | NKF |  | 1989 |  | 1981 | 026034 | 182449 |  | QUE | Ql |
| 1989 |  | 1985 |  |  | 1995 |  | 635261 |  | 022302 | PPS | 182450 |  | 1983 | 19 |
| 635544 |  | 211759 | NIS 1994 |  | 636026 |  |  |  | PPS | 1989 | PPS |  | 211621 | 21: |
| 635547 |  | 211761 |  |  | NKF |  | 1990 |  | 1982 | 020809 | 1996 |  | QUE | Q |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1996 |  | 634123 |  | 022556 |  | 182841 |  | 1985 | 19 |
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| $\begin{aligned} & 212425 \\ & 212624 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QUI } \\ & 1974 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 022303 \\ & 022304 \end{aligned}$ | 023558 | $\begin{aligned} & 025821 \\ & 025822 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 180420 \\ & 180421 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 181649 \\ & 181650 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 183741 \\ & 183742 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBT } \\ & 1072 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBT } \\ & 1979 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 02313 € \\ & 073147 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 020949 \\ & 02095 \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBT } \\ & 1993 \end{aligned}$ | 18251 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | QUI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 024809 |  |  | 18251 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { QUE } \\ & 1995 \\ & 212948 \end{aligned}$ | 020403 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QUI } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | $1986$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QUI } \\ & 1989 \end{aligned}$ | 180422 | $\begin{aligned} & 181651 \\ & 181652 \end{aligned}$ | 183743 183744 183745 | 020203 <br> 020406 | $\begin{aligned} & 021827 \\ & 021829 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 023143 \\ & 023144 \end{aligned}$ | 024810 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBT } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18153 \mathrm{~S} \\ & 18154 \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | 18251 |
|  |  |  | 024152 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 024951 |  |  | 18251 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QUI } \\ & 1975 \end{aligned}$ | 022518 | 024153 | 020357 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QUI } \\ & 1992 \end{aligned}$ | QUI 183745 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 020406 \\ & 020506 \end{aligned}$ | $021829$ | 023145 | 024952 | $1990$ | $181541$ | 18251 |
|  |  | 022519 | 024154 | 020358 | 181150 | $1995$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBT } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ | 023151 | 024958 | 021208 | $181542$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18251 \\ & 18251 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { QUE } \\ & 1996 \\ & 212961 \end{aligned}$ | 020108 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 024155 \\ & 024156 \end{aligned}$ | 020359 | 181151 | 182339 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBT } \\ & 1974 \end{aligned}$ | 021661 | 023204 | $\begin{aligned} & 024959 \\ & 024960 \end{aligned}$ | 021209 | $\begin{aligned} & 181543 \\ & 181544 \end{aligned}$ | $18251$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QUI } \\ & 1976 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QUI } \\ & 1983 \end{aligned}$ |  | 020360 | 181152 | 182340 |  |  |  | 023206 | 024961 | 02155C | 181544 | RBT |
|  |  |  | 024157 | 020361 | 181153 | 182341 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBT } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 023208 \\ & 023304 \end{aligned}$ | 025326 | 021551 | $\begin{aligned} & 181545 \\ & 18154 € \end{aligned}$ | 1991 |
| QUE 1997 <br> 213003 | 021916 | $\begin{aligned} & 022631 \\ & 022632 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 024158 \\ & 024159 \\ & 024160 \end{aligned}$ | 026062 | 181154 | 182342 |  | 020606 |  |  | 025327 | 021552 |  | 1822: |
|  |  |  |  | 026063 | 181155 | 182343 |  | 020906 | 022202 |  | 025328 | 021553 | RBT | 1822: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QUI } \\ & 1977 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QUI } \\ & 1984 \end{aligned}$ |  | 026102 | $\begin{aligned} & 181157 \\ & 181158 \end{aligned}$ | 182345 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 021206 \\ & 021406 \end{aligned}$ | $022405$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBT } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | 025329 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBT } \\ & 1991 \end{aligned}$ | 1994 | 1822: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QUI } \\ & 1987 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBT } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | 023734 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBT } \\ & 1988 \end{aligned}$ | 181455 |  | 1822: |  |
|  | 021736 | 023322 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QUI } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | RBT | 18062C | 181456 | 1822: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 021737 \\ & 021738 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 023323 \\ & 023324 \end{aligned}$ | 024419 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QUI } \\ & 1993 \end{aligned}$ | $1996$ |  | 1975 | $1982$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 023735 \\ & 023736 \end{aligned}$ |  | 181457 1822: |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 020956 \\ & 020957 \end{aligned}$ |  | 182749 |  | 020408 <br> 020409 <br> 021305 | $\begin{aligned} & 022541 \\ & 082225 \end{aligned}$ | $025014$ |  | $180621$ | 181458 1825 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QUI } \\ & 1978 \end{aligned}$ | 023325 | $\begin{aligned} & 024420 \\ & 024421 \end{aligned}$ |  | 180629 | 182750 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 023738 \\ & 023739 \end{aligned}$ | 025836 | $180622$ | $18146 C$ | 1825 1825 |
|  |  | $02332 €$ | 024956 | 020958 | 180630 | 182751 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBT } \\ & 1983 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 025837 \\ & 025838 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 180623 \\ & 180802 \end{aligned}$ |  | 1825، |
|  | 021759 | $\begin{aligned} & 023327 \\ & 023328 \end{aligned}$ |  | 020959 | 180631 | 182752182753 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBT } \\ & 1976 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 02374 \mathrm{C} \\ & 023741 \end{aligned}$ | 025839 | 180803 | $\begin{aligned} & 18222 C \\ & 182221 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QUI } \\ & 1979 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 023329 \\ & 02333 \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 025359 \\ & 025360 \\ & 025361 \\ & 025362 \end{aligned}$ | 021448 | 181357 |  |  |  | 022662 |  | 026055 | $\begin{aligned} & 180804 \\ & 180805 \end{aligned}$ | 182222 | $\begin{aligned} & 1825 ، \\ & 1825 \\ & 1825 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  | 021449 021450 | 181358 181359 | 182754 182755 |  | 021629 | 022663 |  | 026056 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 182223 \\ & 182224 \\ & 182225 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 021757 \\ & 021758 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QUI } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 021451 \\ & 026019 \end{aligned}$ | 181360 181361 181362 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QUI } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ |  | 021630021631 | $\begin{aligned} & 022753 \\ & 082247 \end{aligned}$ | $1986$ | 026057 | RBT$1992$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBT } \\ & \text { 199: } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | $025362$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 024256 | RBT |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QUI } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 023522 \\ & 023523 \end{aligned}$ | 1988 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QUI } \\ & 1991 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBT } \\ & 1977 \\ & 022217 \\ & 022218 \end{aligned}$ |  | 024257 | 1989 | 180259 | RBT | 1828 . |
|  |  |  | 025814 |  | QUI <br> 1994 | $\begin{aligned} & 182809 \\ & 182810 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | RBT | 024361 | 020151 | 180266 | 1995 | 1828 . |
|  | 021657 | 023524 | 025815 | 021331 |  | 183308 |  |  | 1984 | 024362 | 020152 | 180262 | 18222€ | 1828 . |
|  | 021943 | 023525 | 025816 | 180415 | 181644 |  |  |  | 023131 | 024401 | 020153 | 180624 | 182227 | 1828 |
|  | 021950 | 023554 | 025817 | 180416 | 181645 |  |  | RBT | 023132 |  | 020646 | 180625 | 182228 | 1831! |
|  | OUI | 023555 | 025818 | 180417 | 181646 | 1998 |  | 1978 | 023133 |  | 020647 | 180626 | 182235 | 1831! |
|  | 1981 | 023556 | 025819 | 180418 | 181647 | 183739 |  | 021615 | 023134 | 1987 | 020648 | 180627 | 182231 | 1831! |
|  |  | 02355 | 025820 | 180419 | 181648 | 183740 |  | 021635 | 023135 | 024311 | 020948 |  |  | 1831! |
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| WRY 1974 <br> 130208 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WRY } \\ & 1984 \end{aligned}$ | 634224 | WSH | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WSH } \\ & 1978 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WSH } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | 073736 | 073721 | 075626 | 070253 | 071153 | WSH$1997$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | WRY |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WSH } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 075158 \\ & 075159 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 075627 \\ & 075628 \end{aligned}$ | 070254 070428 | WSH |  |
|  | 632508 | 1992 | 090503 | 071945 | 072521072720 |  | 075160 |  | 070438 |  | 092352 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { WRY } \\ & 1975 \end{aligned}$ | 633060 <br> 633108 | 634619 | 090504 |  |  | 073428 | 075161 | 075643 | 070431 | 070741 | 092446 |
|  |  |  | 090505 | 071946 | WSH | 073429 | 075162 | 075644 | 071535 | 071254 | 092509 |
|  | WRY |  | 090506 | 07202 | 1982 | 073902 | 075163 | 075656 | 071536 | 071255 | 092511 |
| 13101C | 1985 |  | 090507 | 072021 | 072863 | 073903 | 075206 | 075710 | 076121 | 071256 | 092512 |
| WRY <br> 1978 <br> 631834 | 633131633648 |  | 090509 | 072022 | 072905 | 073944 | 075207 | 075711 | 076122 | 071257 | 092513 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WRY } \\ & 1994 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WSH } \\ & 1976 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 072044 \\ & 072050 \\ & 072051 \end{aligned}$ | 072930 | $\begin{aligned} & 073945 \\ & 073948 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 075208 \\ & 075210 \end{aligned}$ | WSH 1991 | 076123 | 071259 | $\begin{aligned} & 092514 \\ & 092520 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | WSH |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WSH } \\ & 1993 \end{aligned}$ | 071261 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { WRY } \\ & 1979 \end{aligned}$ | 1986 | $\begin{aligned} & 635827 \\ & 635832 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 091621 \\ & 091622 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WSH } \\ & 1979 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 073949 \\ & 073950 \end{aligned}$ | 075211 | 1991 071457 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 071317 \\ & 07614 \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 092521 \\ & 092522 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 633246 \\ & 634145 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 072902 | 073951 | WSH1989 | $\begin{aligned} & 071458 \\ & 071459 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 070233 \\ & 070442 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 091803 \\ & 091804 \end{aligned}$ | 092523 |
| 632047 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WRY } \\ & 1995 \end{aligned}$ | 091626 | 1979072217 | 073024 | $\begin{aligned} & 073952 \\ & 073953 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| WRY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WRY } \\ & 1987 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 091628 \\ & 091629 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WSH } \\ & 1984 \end{aligned}$ |  | 075347075348075501 | $\begin{aligned} & 073722 \\ & 075732 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 070443 \\ & 070444 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WSH } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 092550 \\ & 092627 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1980 |  | 635633 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 072218 \\ & 072224 \end{aligned}$ |  | WSH |  |  |  |  |  |
| 632136 | $\begin{aligned} & 634702 \\ & 634704 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WRY } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 091701 \\ & 091702 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 072225 \\ & 072226 \end{aligned}$ | 073651 | 1986 | $\begin{aligned} & 075501 \\ & 075502 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 075734 \\ & 075904 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 070563 \\ & 070616 \end{aligned}$ | 09212C | $\begin{aligned} & 092629 \\ & 092630 \\ & 092632 \\ & 092633 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 073652 \\ & 073653 \end{aligned}$ | 074962 | 075504 | 075921 | 070850 | 092155 |  |
| 1981 | WRY <br> 1988 <br> 630161 <br> 630162 | 636009 | $\underline{091703}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 072252 \\ & 072253 \end{aligned}$ |  | 075002 | 075506 | 075922 | 070851 | 092156 |  |
| 632341 |  | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \text { WRY } & \text { WSH } \\ 1997 & 1977 \end{array}$ |  |  | 073654 075004 <br> 073655 075013 |  | 075514 | $075933-076125$ |  | 092157 |  |
| 632604 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WSH } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 075515 | 075934 |  | 09216C |  |
| WRY |  | $\begin{aligned} & 630351 \\ & 63043 C \end{aligned}$ | 071730 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 073656 \\ & 073663 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WSH } \\ & 1987 \end{aligned}$ | 075516 | 076114 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WSH } \\ & 1994 \end{aligned}$ | 09224 C |  |
| 1982 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WRY } \\ & 1989 \end{aligned}$ |  | 071731071732 | $\begin{aligned} & 072237 \\ & 072418 \end{aligned}$ | 073701073702 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 075523 \\ & 075524 \end{aligned}$ | 076116 |  | 092241 |  |
| 632853 |  |  |  |  |  | 075028 |  | 076117 | 070445 | 092242 |  |
| 633009 | 635542 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 071737 \\ & 071743 \end{aligned}$ | $072422$ | $\begin{aligned} & 073729 \\ & 073730 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 075038 \\ & 075041 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 075525 \\ & 075526 \end{aligned}$ | 076118 | 070450 | 092243 |  |
| WRY | WRY <br> 1990 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 071919 \\ & 071920 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 072517 \\ & 072518 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 073731 \\ & 073732 \end{aligned}$ | 075047 <br> 075049 <br> 075050 |  | $076119$ |  | 092245 |  |
| 1983 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 075527 \\ & 075528 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WSH } \\ & 1992 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 070856 \\ & 070857 \end{aligned}$ | 092248 |  |  |
| 633049 | 635908 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 071921 \\ & 071926 \\ & 071927 \\ & 071928 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 072528 \\ & 072529 \\ & 072530 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 073733 \\ & 073734 \\ & 073735 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 070858 \\ & 070860 \end{aligned}$ | 09225C |  |
| 633050 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WRY } \\ & 1991 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WSH } \\ & 1988 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WSH } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | 070133 |  |  | 092251 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 071928 |  | 070134 |  | 070861 070862 | 092319 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 075021 | 070240 |  |  | 09232C |  |

Appendix C. Stocks and fisheries included in the chinook model.

| STOCK \# | STOCK | FISHERY \# | FISHERY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Alaska South SE | 1 | Alaska T |
| 2 | North/Centr | 2 | North T |
| 3 | Fraser Early | 3 | Centr T |
| 4 | Fraser Late | 4 | WCVI T |
| 5 | WCVI Hatchery | 5 | WA/OR T |
| 6 | WCVI Natural | 6 | Geo St T |
| 7 | Georgia St. Upper | 7 | Alaska N |
| 8 | Georgia St. Lwr Nat | 8 | North N |
| 9 | Georgia St. Lwr Hat | 9 | Centr N |
| 10 | Nooksack Fall | 10 | WCVI N |
| 11 | Pgt Sd Fing | 11 | J De F N |
| 12 | Pgt Sd NatF | 12 | PgtNth N |
| 13 | Pgt Sd Year | 13 | PgtSth N |
| 14 | Nooksack Spring | 14 | Wash Cst N |
| 15 | Skagit Wild | 15 | Col R N |
| 16 | Stillaguamish Wild | 16 | John St N |
| 17 | Snohomish Wild | 17 | Fraser N |
| 18 | WA Coastal Hat | 18 | Alaska S |
| 19 | UpRiver Brights | 19 | Nor/Cen S |
| 20 | Spring Creek Hat | 20 | WCVI S |
| 21 | Lwr Bonneville Hat | 21 | Wash Ocn S |
| 22 | Fall Cowlitz Hat | 22 | PgtNth S |
| 23 | Lewis R Wild | 23 | PgtSth S |
| 24 | Willamette R | 24 | Geo St S |
| 25 | Spr Cowlitz Hat | 25 | Col R S |
| 26 | Col R Summer |  |  |
| 27 | Oregon Coast |  |  |
| 28 | WA Coastal Wild |  |  |
| 29 | Lyons Ferry |  |  |
| 30 | Mid Col R Brights |  |  |

T=Troll; Net=Net; $\mathrm{S}=$ Sport
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## Oregon Coastal North Migrating

Forecasts of spawning escapements are made for an aggregate of chinook salmon populations from seven major river systems on the North Oregon Coast (NOC). River systems in the aggregate include:
Nehalem, Tillamook, Nestucca, Siletz, Yaquina, Alsea, and Siuslaw. Annual escapement estimates are made from expansions of fish density indices (peak live + dead fish per mile) observed at standard survey sites in each river basin. These river specific indices are adjusted for observation efficiency and for bias. The abundance for each river is then estimated by multiplying the index by the assumed length of spawning habitat in the river. A three-year-moving-average of escapement is used as the forecast for each river in the forthcoming year. The NOC escapement forecast is the sum of the seven river forecasts.

The 2002 forecast is 73,914 .

## Columbia River Stocks

The upriver brights (URB) and lower river wild (LRW) are primarily naturally produced stocks while the Spring Creek stock is hatchery (SCH) tule. The tule stocks generally mature at an earlier age than the natural fall stocks and do not migrate as far north in the ocean. Minor stocks include lower river brights (LRB).

## Willamette Spring

The current year forecast was made from a mix of average age-specific run sizes and age-specific linear regressions of cohort returns. The recent 5 -year average age- 3 run size was used as the age- 3 forecast. Brood years 1975-1997 and brood years 1975-1996 were used in the regressions of age 4 on age 3 and age 5 on age 4 , respectively. The preliminary forecast for 2002 ocean escapement is 77,434 , compared to the 2001 return of 84,000 .

## Cowlitz Spring

The forecast is the sum of predicted tributary mouth returns of the Cowlitz, Kalama, and Lewis river spring chinook stocks. The current year forecast was made using age-specific linear regressions of cohort returns in previous years. Brood years 1989-1997 and brood years 1987-1996 were used in the regressions of age 4 on age 3 and age 5 on age 4, respectively. The preliminary forecast for 2002 ocean escapement is 6,800 , compared to the 2001 return of 5,508 .

## Upriver Summer

The 2002 forecast was based on a relationship between jacks and adult returns at the Columbia River mouth. The preliminary forecast for 2002 ocean escapement is 77,700 , compared to the 2001 return of 54,521.

## Upriver Brights

The current year forecast was made using a mix of age-specific average cohort ratios and cohort regressions. Data from brood years 1979-1998 was used in the regression of age 3 on age 2, brood years 1981-1997 in the regression of age 4 on age 3, brood years 1978-1996 in the regression of age 5 on age 4 , and brood years 1979-1995 in the regression of age 6 on age 5 .

The preliminary forecast for 2002 ocean escapement is 281,000 adults, compared to the recent 5-year range of 142,300 to 232,500 adults. The 1997-2001 average forecast error is $-10 \%$ with a range of $6 \%$ to $45 \%$.

## Cowlitz Fall and Bonneville Tule

The Bonneville tule and Cowlitz fall stocks comprise the LRH stock and their individual forecasts are based on an estimate of their proportion of the forecasted total LRH return. The LRH age- 3 forecast was produced from 1981-98 brood cohort regressions, age-4 from 1980-97 brood cohort regressions, and age5 from 1979-96 brood regressions. The average LRH forecast error is $79 \%$ with a range between $32 \%$ and $120 \%$ of actual. The Bonneville tule and Cowlitz fall individual forecasts are much less accurate than the composite LRH forecast.

## Mid-Columbia Brights

The current year forecast for Mid-Columbia brights is the sum of the Bonneville Upriver Brights (BUB) and Pool Upriver Brights (PUB). The individual stock and age specific forecasts are a mix of age-specific cohort ratios, cohort regressions, and recent average returns. For the BUB component, the age 3 forecast was made from 1987-98 brood cohort ratios, age 4 from recent 5-year average returns, and age 5 from 1979-96 brood cohort ratios. For the PUB component, the age 3 forecast was made from 1987-98 brood cohort ratios, age 4 from 1984-97 brood cohort regressions, and age 5 from 1984-96 brood cohort regressions.

Ocean escapement in 2002 is forecast to be 96,200 adults, greater than the recent 5-year range of 36,800 to 76,400 . The average 4-year forecast error is $2 \%$ with a range of $-34 \%$ to $36 \%$.

## Spring Creek Hatchery

The current year forecast was made using a mix of age-specific average cohort ratios and cohort regressions. Data from brood years 1979-1998 (excluding 1980) was used in the regression of age 3 on age 2, brood years 1992 and 1996 in the age-3:4 cohort ratio, and brood years 1977-1996 (excluding 1978) in the age-4:5 cohort ratio.

Ocean escapement in 2002 is projected to be 144,400 adults, slightly more than the 2001 actual return of 125,000 adults and more than twice the recent 5-year average. The average 4 -year forecast error is $-12 \%$ with a range of $-55 \%$ to $6 \%$.

## Lewis River Wild

The current year forecast was made using a mix of age-specific average cohort ratios and cohort regressions. Data from brood years 1978-1997 were used in the age-2:3 cohort ratio, brood years 19921997 in the age-3:4 cohort ratio, brood years 1978-1996 in the regression of age 5 on ages 3 and 4, and brood years 1980-1995 in the age-5:6 cohort ratio.

Ocean escapement in 2002 is forecast at 18,700 adults, an improvement over the recent 5-year average of 9,800 . The 4-year average forecast error is $-18 \%$ with a range of $-66 \%$ to $6 \%$.

## Puget Sound Stocks

Methodologies for pre-season forecasts are described in joint WDFW-Tribal annual Puget Sound management reports (starting in 1993, reports are available by Puget Sound management unit, not by individual species).

## Nooksack River Spring

North Fork Spring Chinook (natural origin and acclimated fish)—Average return rates of the most recent age classes (1996-2001) times parent brood of acclimation releases and natural escapements. Separate estimates are made for natural origin and cultured origin.

North Fork spring chinook (hatchery)—Average of 1996-2000 age class return rates times the appropriate brood year releases.

South Fork spring chinook (natural origin)—Average recruit (BY+4) per spawner (.97) for years 19882000.

## Nooksack/Samish Fall

Summer/fall chinook (hatchery) - 1999-2000 average return rate ( 0.0071 ) times 1998 brood release (7,439,442 fingerlings) from Mamoya Pond, Lummi Sea Ponds, Samish Hatchery, and Maritime Heritage Center.

The hatchery return in 2002 is projected to be 54,420 .

## Skagit River

Spring Chinook (wild) - The wild spring chinook forecast is based on mean cohort return rate for each age class multiplied by the appropriate brood year escapement. The mean return rates are calculated from scale samples in the terminal fisheries and escapement sampling for the 1990-2001 return years. Age classes include both fingerling and yearling types for ages 2-6. The natural return in 2002 is projected to be 885 .

Spring Chinook (hatchery) - The hatchery spring chinook forecast is based on the return per release of hatchery yearlings. Yearling estimate derived by multiplying brood year release $(144,124)$ multiplied by the average return/release of brood years 85-97 (0.0057). The fingerling forecast is derived by estimating each returning age class by multiplying appropriate age class release by the average return rate. Total hatchery return is predicted to be 2,091 adults.

Summer/Fall Chinook (Wild) - Forecast year age-specific estimates are based on mean cohort return rate for each age class multiplied by the appropriate brood year escapement. The methodology is the same as that used for wild spring Chinook. The natural return in 2002 is projected to be 13,455 .

## Stillaguamish River

Summer Chinook (natural origin) - The wild summer chinook forecast is based on recruits per spawner of natural escapement for each age cohort multiplied by the geometric mean of estimated marine survival and freshwater indices. The recruit per spawner estimates are based on CWT analysis for brood years

1986-1993 for North Fork data only but is applied to the entire system. The expected escapements with zero fishing are calculated by multiplying the cohort forecasts times the geometric mean of the marine survival indices times the geometric mean of the freshwater indices. This calculation is equivalent to multiplying the escapement by the geometric mean of the estimated recruits per spawner. The marine survival and freshwater survival components are separated to incorporate deviations from average conditions. For 2002 the average rates for both indices were used. The freshwater indices are calculated by dividing the AEQ recruits per spawner for naturally-produced chinook divided by the marine index. The AEQ values used are the same as CTC values. The marine indices are an average computed for all of Puget Sound using CWT analysis. The natural return in 2002 is projected to be 1,449.

Summer Chinook (hatchery origin, supplemented) - The forecast is calculated by multiplying the release numbers by the geometric mean of survival rates. Marked and unmarked fish are calculated separately to facilitate modeling of mark selective fisheries. The estimated return for 2002 is 553 adults.

## Snohomish River

Summer/fall Chinook (natural) - The revised wild Snohomish forecasts are based on average recruits per spawner for brood years 1985 through 1994. These estimates come from the latest version of the Puget Sound TRT's Abundance and productivity tables, which use estimates of preterminal exploitation rates derived from aggregate coded-wire tag estimates worked out by Jim Scott and Dell Simmons. The former forecasts used preterminal estimates as described by the CTC model for the same brood years. The difference, for both the Snoqualmie and Skykomish populations, was approximately a two-fold increase in assumed recruits per spawner. The recruits per spawner values for the 2002 forecasts are much closer to the apparent realized recruits per spawner for the brood years that returned in 2001 than the ones used for the earlier version of the forecast.

In addition, the 2002 forecast dropped the augmentation of the Tulalip and Wallace River hatchery numbers to take into account hatchery fish that would stray to natural spawning areas. There is agreement that these fish should be included in the forecast. However, there are some significant questions about the method, and past forecasts may have overestimated this component. With pending information from the Snohomish River straying evaluation study, it should be possible to develop a more acceptable method of forecasting this component for 2003.

Summer/fall Chinook (hatchery) - The forecast is based on average adult returns from the Puget Sound run reconstruction (4B run) per pound released because no CWT data exists for either yearlings or fingerlings specific to Snohomish. The run reconstruction methodology assumes that all fish are age 4.

The natural and hatchery returns in 2002 are projected to be 6,700 and 4,100 respectively. The natural return is slightly above the recent five year average, while the hatchery return is less than the five year average.

## Southern Puget Sound Stocks

Southern Puget Sound fall chinook stocks include hatchery fingerling, hatchery yearling, and natural production. These stocks aggregate production from South Puget Sound (south of the Snohomish River), Hood Canal and the eastern Strait of Juan de Fuca. Forecasts are produced jointly by WDFW and the western Washington treaty tribes using a variety of methods. Most of the forecasts are based on one or more of 4 methods: 1) average run size from some recent historic period, 2) hatchery releases, in pounds or numbers, from the brood year making the largest contribution to the forecast run (usually 4 -years earlier) multiplied by an average rate of return-per-release, 3) spawning escapement in the predominant
brood year multiplied by an average rate of return-per-spawner, or 4) hatchery releases multiplied by average rates of return-at-age, summed over the broods contributing to the forecast.

## Puget Sound Fall Fingerling

Puget Sound Fall Fingerling stock is an aggregate of fall chinook fingerling hatchery production from South Puget Sound and Hood Canal. Terminal fisheries targeting hatchery production subject Puget Sound and Hood Canal stocks to different exploitation rates. To account for these differences, forecasts are generated separately for each hatchery prior to aggregation. Forecast methods vary, but include:

Elwha - the 1997-2001 average terminal run expanded to 4B run size.
Hood Canal - (Hoodsport Summer/fall chinook (hatchery and natural)) Forecast is the product of brood 1998 fingerling released (pounds) from WDFW facilities in 1999, multiplied by the average of postseason estimated terminal return rates (terminal run/fingerling pounds released 3 years previous) for the last four return years (1998-2001), which are believed to represent the current survival rates. The resulting terminal area forecast is 24,036 adults. The forecast is then apportioned at 21,098 hatchery fish (36.7\% George Adams and 51\% Hoodsport Hatchery) 2,938 (12.2\%) natural fish based on Puget Sound run reconstruction based relative contribution for individual management units in the 1998-2001 return years

Puyallup Summer/Fall Chinook (hatchery)—Age specific mean return /number and pounds of Voights Creek fingerlings released for return years 1992-99 are applied to Voights Creek fingerling release numbers and pounds for the appropriate contributing brood years. The mean of the two predictors provides the 2002 forecast.

Chambers Creek — the 1998 pounds of hatchery fingerlings released multiplied by 1990-2000 average returns/lb released.

Nisqually - the 1998 brood hatchery fingerlings released multiplied by 1996-2000 average return/fingerling.

McAllister Creek — the 1998 brood year hatchery fingerling releases multiplied by the 1984-2000 mean return per hatchery release.

Deschutes - average of the 1998 brood year hatchery fingerling releases (numbers and pounds) multiplied by the 1983-2000 average return/fingerling release, and 1998 brood year hatchery fingerling releases (lbs) multiplied by 1980-2000 average return/lb released.

Coulter Creek — the 1995-2000 average run size.
Carr Inlet - average of two methods using numbers released and pounds released for the 1998 brood year hatchery releases multiplied by 1980-2000 average returns per hatchery release.

Grovers Creek — average cohort return rate (1978-1997) times number of fish released.

## Puget Sound Natural Fall

Puget Sound Natural Fall stock includes natural production from rivers tributary to South Puget Sound and Hood Canal.

Dungeness - average of 1997-2001 terminal area run size.
Hoko - average 1997-2001 terminal area run size.
Hood Canal - see forecast methodology for hatchery fish above.
Puyallup - The Puyallup natural forecast was problematic. The historic methodology is failing because of the lack of relativity between pre-1999 escapement estimates and those following. Return/spawner statistics are nonsensical between the 1999 and 2002 return years because the return and spawner components have been estimated by different methods. Examination of the old index-based escapement methodology (the basis of pre-1999 escapements and dependent run sizes) showed that there is likely an inconsistent relationship of the South Prairie Creek index to both the South Prairie Creek total escapement and the Puyallup River total escapement. It appeared that there was a generally liberal bias in the old method, which in turn, produced unrealistic run size estimates. We went ahead and produced a forecast using the old method (with the liberal 1998 run size estimate of 4,995 ), which yielded a forecast of 11,200 , double the previous record run size in the database. This did not seem reasonable.

There are relatively good escapement estimates for the South Prairie Creek system for 1994-2001 and age samples have been collected in the basin since 1992. In-sample return by age (\%) was calculated for return years 1992-2000. The only legitimate way of making a forecast that was relative to current escapements (1999-on) was to scale historic run sizes to post-1998 levels. We saw no favorable alternative to scaling the run sizes by the mean South Prairie Creek escapement/Puyallup run size ratio. Unfortunately, we have only two years of data available to create that mean $(1999=54 \%, 2000=38 \%$, mean $=46 \%$ ). and decided to scale the 1992-98 Puyallup run sizes by that mean. Please note that we are not recommending revising the run reconstruction dataset with this scalar - this exercise is intended only to facilitate a 2002 forecast that is relative to current escapement estimates (and resulting run sizes). Such an exercise may be appropriate when more data points are available and the consistency of the relationship can be examined more fully.

Nisqually - average run size from 1995-1999, or 1998 escapement multiplied by 1996-2000 average return per spawner.

## Puget Sound Fall Yearling

Puget Sound Fall Yearling stock includes hatchery production of fall yearlings aggregated for South Puget Sound and Hood Canal.

McAllister Creek - Average of two methods: 1) 1998 brood fingerling pounds released $(21,253)$ multiplied by the 1984-00 mean return/pound released (0.1899) ( $96-97$ return years not used due to missing brood contributions); 2) 1998 brood fingerling released $(1,173,400)$ multiplied by the 1984-00 mean return/fingerling released ( 0.0033 ) ( $96-97$ return years not used due to missing brood contributions).

## Canadian Stocks

## Fraser Late

The abundance forecast for Fraser Late chinook (FRL in the chinook calibration model) consists of agestructured forecasts for two systems, the Harrison and Chilliwack Rivers, that are summed to project a total spawning escapement of lower Fraser River fall white chinook. The Harrison River spawning population, estimated annually since 1984 through a mark-recapture program, is large and essentially natural. The Chehalis River Hatchery, located near the confluence of the Chehalis and Harrison Rivers, has released coded-wire tagged juveniles originating from adults captured from the Harrison River each year since 1982. Recoveries of tagged adults in the Harrison River are so few, however, that this component in the fall white spawning population is not estimated nor considered in the Harrison River forecast. The Chilliwack River spawning population, recently approaching that of the Harrison River in size, was originally founded from Harrison River brood stock. It has increased substantially since adults began returning to the Chilliwack River and Hatchery (1983) and now includes a component returning directly to the hatchery as well as a substantial number of natural spawners. Both components are enumerated annually and included in the Chilliwack River forecast. Coded-wire-tagged juveniles have been released from Chilliwack Hatchery into the Chilliwack River (entering on the south side of the Fraser River opposite to that of the Harrison River) every year since 1981 enabling this population to be used as an exploitation rate indicator for the Harrison River natural population.

The foundation for the two forecasts is an estimate of the terminal run to each river system. The combination of two distinguishing characters of Fraser Late chinook (late return timing and white flesh colour) make it possible to estimate a total terminal catch from the Area 29 Fraser River commercial and test gillnet fisheries, the lower Fraser River sport and native food and ceremonial fisheries, and the inriver sport fisheries. These catches are apportioned to the Harrison and Chilliwack River populations by using information from estimated Chilliwack CWT recoveries where possible or by using the proportion of each in the total estimated escapement. Separate programs provide independent estimates of the spawning populations and these are added to each terminal catch estimate to derive terminal run estimates by age class. Terminal fisheries for Fraser Late chinook are generally small (although the Chilliwack River sport fishery has grown considerably in recent years) and are usually only a modest component of the terminal runs.

The CWT recoveries for Chilliwack Hatchery fall whites are used in a cohort analysis to obtain exploitation rates, maturation rates, and adult equivalent factors by age and brood year. These data are then used in linear sibling regression models to estimate ocean abundance at age for both the Chilliwack and Harrison River populations. The strongest regression models for the Harrison River chinook are based on the terminal run at one age against the ocean cohort abundance of the following age. For the Chilliwack River chinook, the strongest sibling regression models result from regressing the ocean cohort abundance at one age against that of the following age. The weaker relationships involving terminal run sizes may be due to uncertainties in the estimation of the escapements of the large, natural spawning component.

The estimates of age-specific ocean abundance for Chilliwack and Harrison chinook are combined in a spreadsheet model analogous to that previously developed for the Robertson Creek fall chinook salmon stock (RBH in the chinook calibration model). Terminal runs at age are then predicted based on changes in ocean exploitation rates and maturation rates at age. One notable difference from the RBH model, however, is that only the terminal run vs. ocean production spreadsheet models are applicable to the FRL forecasts since catch and coded-wire tag data will not be available from the past year's fisheries in Washington State. Consequently, the regression models include only brood years with complete recovery
data and the independent variable (terminal run at age) is limited to returns within the Fraser River. These latter data are available within the time required for annual forecasts.

A problem that we are continuing to investigate is a consistent and significant under-estimation of the Chilliwack terminal runs for several recent years (Figure 1). We have estimated the bias using a retrospective 'leave-one-out' analysis resulting in adjustment to the 2002 forecast using the estimated $-68 \%$ average error.

Figure 1. Estimated \% annual error [(Expected return - Observed return)/Observed Return] in Chilliwack and Harrison River chinook forecasts based on a leave-one-out retrospective assessment of the regression models and fishery-specific exploitation rate analyses from the cohort analysis. The 'Combined' bars are the error estimates for the sum of the Chilliwack and Harrison population-specific forecasts. MAPE is the mean absolute percent error.

Annual Error in Terminal Run Size Forecast


## West Coast Vancouver Island

The abundance forecast for the West Coast Vancouver Island (WCVI) model stock is based on the RBH/Somass forecast and the relative run size of other WCVI chinook populations in the past year. The method used to forecast the terminal run of RBH/Somass chinook is documented annually in the Canadian Stock Assessment Secretariat (CSAC) Research Documents (www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/sci/csac/) and has previously been reviewed by a working group of the CTC (March 26, 1996 Interim Report of CTC Workgroup, on file with PSC).

RBH/Somass Forecast: Predictions of ocean abundance for RBH fall chinook were developed from the coded-wire tag data used for the exploitation rate analyses. Sibling regression models were developed using estimated (observed recovery expanded by the catch/sample ratio) CWT recoveries in the fisheries and spawning escapement. The independent variable in these regression models may be the terminal run size at age, or the total production at age (ocean fishing mortality plus terminal run), but the dependent variable in both models is the pre-fishery ocean abundance in the next age class. The terminal run used in these regressions includes the catch of RBH and Somass River chinook in the Barkley Sound sport fishery, terminal commercial and native gillnet fisheries, and spawning escapement to the Somass system. These regression models only account for production associated with the CWT groups selected to represent RBH brood years.

To account for the total production of RBH and natural Somass production, a ratio is calculated of total terminal return of all hatchery and wild chinook salmon (by age and brood year) divided by the terminal return of chinook salmon by age and brood year for the specified CWT groups. Due to the multiple age classes in chinook salmon, ratios are based on observations in the previous year within the same cohort. For example, the expansion for the age- 4 cohort in 2002 would be expanded by the ratio of age- 3 chinook salmon observed in 2001 and the age-2 chinook salmon observed in 2000. Note that this expansion assumes natural production from the Stamp River exhibits similar behavior and encounters similar fishing pressure as the hatchery stock.

The estimates of age-specific ocean abundance for RBH/Somass chinook are input to a spreadsheet model used to predict the terminal run size. Terminal runs at age, expected in the next year, are predicted based on changes in ocean exploitation rates (i.e., management scalars) and maturation rates at age. Over the past five years of extensive changes in ocean and terminal fisheries, this spreadsheet model has predicted the observed terminal run with a mean absolute percent error of $28 \%$. Error rates were about half of this value in the past when survival of RBH chinook was greater and more data was available from fisheries.

Based on the age-structured terminal run to 25 indicator streams, the forecasted terminal run of RBH/Somass chinook is expanded to account for other WCVI chinook populations. For example, the 2001 forecast of RBH/Somass was expanded as follows:

| Age <br> Class | RBH/Somass <br> Forecast* | WCVI <br> Expansion <br> Factor | Total <br> WCVI <br> Forecast | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Age 3 | 17,478 | 2.23 | 38,976 | Average expansion of past age-3 returns |
| Age 4 | 37,519 | 1.72 | 64,533 | Age 4 expansion based on observed expansion for <br> age-3 returns in the brood year <br> Expansion based on average value of age-3 and <br> age-4 returns within brood year |
| Age 5 | 950 | 4.44 | 4,218 |  |
| Total | 55,948 |  | 107,727 | RBH/Somass = 52\% of Total |

* The forecast used in calibration of the CTC model uses base period exploitation rates (i.e., management scalars $=1.0$ ). Terminal runs expected in 2002 will be larger than in 2001 due to improved marine survival.

The age-structured total WCVI forecast is used in the CTC model calibration process.

## Southeast Alaska Stocks

The PSC CTC Model is used to internally forecast abundance of southern Southeast Alaska hatchery stocks.

Appendix E. Catch by Region and Fishery, 1975-2001.
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Table E. 1. Southeast Alaska Catch

| Year | Southeast Alaska |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Troll | Net | Sport | Total | Add-on | Terminal Exclusion | Treaty Catch |
| 1975 | 287,342 | 13,365 | 17,000 | 317,707 |  |  |  |
| 1976 | 231,239 | 10,523 | 17,000 | 258,762 |  |  |  |
| 1977 | 271,735 | 13,443 | 17,000 | 302,178 |  |  |  |
| 1978 | 375,919 | 25,492 | 17,000 | 418,411 |  |  |  |
| 1979 | 334,306 | 28,455 | 16,581 | 379,342 |  |  |  |
| 1980 | 303,885 | 20,114 | 20,213 | 344,212 |  |  |  |
| 1981 | 248,791 | 18,951 | 21,300 | 289,042 |  |  |  |
| 1982 | 242,315 | 48,999 | 25,756 | 317,070 |  |  |  |
| 1983 | 269,790 | 19,655 | 22,321 | 311,766 |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 235,629 | 32,398 | 22,050 | 290,077 |  |  |  |
| 1985 | 215,842 | 33,164 | 24,858 | 273,864 | 6,246 |  | 267,618 |
| 1986 | 237,703 | 22,099 | 22,551 | 282,353 | 11,091 |  | 271,262 |
| 1987 | 242,562 | 15,532 | 24,324 | 282,418 | 17,094 |  | 265,324 |
| 1988 | 231,373 | 21,788 | 26,160 | 279,321 | 22,572 |  | 256,749 |
| 1989 | 235,717 | 24,242 | 31,071 | 291,030 | 21,202 |  | 269,828 |
| 1990 | 287,939 | 27,712 | 51,218 | 366,869 | 47,602 |  | 319,267 |
| 1991 | 264,044 | 34,863 | 60,492 | 359,399 | 58,330 |  | 301,069 |
| 1992 | 183,758 | 32,128 | 42,892 | 258,778 | 36,980 |  | 221,798 |
| 1993 | 226,866 | 27,991 | 49,246 | 304,103 | 33,851 |  | 270,252 |
| 1994 | 186,201 | 35,654 | 42,365 | 264,220 | 29,291 |  | 234,929 |
| 1995 | 138,115 | 47,964 | 49,667 | 235,746 | 58,883 |  | 176,863 |
| 1996 | 141,422 | 37,298 | 57,509 | 236,229 | 71,838 | 8,663 | 155,728 |
| 1997 | 246,409 | 25,061 | 71,524 | 342,994 | 45,630 | 9,846 | 287,519 |
| 1998 | 192,066 | 23,511 | 55,013 | 270,590 | 24,708 | 2,420 | 243,462 |
| 1999 | 146,219 | 32,717 | 72,081 | 251,017 | 46,345 | 4,453 | 200,219 |
| 2000 | 158,717 | 41,398 | 63,173 | 263,288 | 73,929 | 2,505 | 186,854 |
| 2001 | 153,222 | 38,901 | 67,921 | 260,044 | 69,524 | 1,131 | 189,389 |

Troll, net, sport and total catches include catch of SEAK hatchery-origin fish; catches that count towards the all-gear ceiling (with hatchery add-on subtracted) are shown in the "treaty catch" column.

Table E. 2. North British Columbia Catch

|  | North British Columbia |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Tidal Sport | Tidal Sport |  |  |  |
| Year | Troll ${ }^{1}$ | Net | $\begin{gathered} \text { Areas } 1,2 \mathrm{E}, \\ 2 \mathrm{~W} \end{gathered}$ | Areas 3-5 | Freshwater Sport | First Nations | Total |
| 1975 | 228,121 | 25,095 | NA | NA | NA | 4,055 | 257,271 |
| 1976 | 190,267 | 16,105 | NA | NA | NA | 2,791 | 209,163 |
| 1977 | 130,899 | 44,196 | 106 | 1,670 | 2,158 | 7,653 | 186,682 |
| 1978 | 146,054 | 27,924 | 125 | 1,668 | 6,610 | 5,843 | 188,224 |
| 1979 | 147,576 | 40,640 | 0 | 2,523 | 1,960 | 5,686 | 198,385 |
| 1980 | 157,198 | 26,895 | 200 | 3,867 | 4,515 | 10,571 | 203,246 |
| 1981 | 153,065 | 41,724 | 184 | 2,760 | 2,613 | 12,065 | 212,411 |
| 1982 | 173,472 | 44,866 | 215 | 3,760 | 2,726 | 15,655 | 240,694 |
| 1983 | 162,837 | 17,094 | 90 | 4,092 | 5,374 | 16,882 | 206,369 |
| 1984 | 185,134 | 31,304 | 171 | 2,300 | 3,426 | 15,458 | 237,793 |
| 1985 | 165,845 | 39,729 | 600 | 3,600 | 3,186 | 17,047 | 230,007 |
| 1986 | 175,715 | 23,948 | 1,153 | 3,950 | 4,410 | 24,990 | 234,166 |
| 1987 | 177,457 | 18,354 | 2,644 | 4,150 | 3,625 | 17,129 | 223,359 |
| 1988 | 152,369 | 31,433 | 7,059 | 4,300 | 3,745 | 22,635 | 221,541 |
| 1989 | 207,679 | 38,780 | 20,652 | 4,150 | 5,247 | 22,051 | 298,559 |
| 1990 | 154,109 | 28,681 | 16,827 | 4,300 | 4,090 | 27,237 | 235,244 |
| 1991 | 194,018 | 41,257 | 15,047 | 4,256 | 4,764 | 24,498 | 283,840 |
| 1992 | 142,340 | 36,040 | 21,358 | 6,250 | 6,182 | 12,468 | 224,638 |
| 1993 | 161,686 | 34,315 | 25,297 | 3,279 | 7,813 | 21,454 | 253,844 |
| 1994 | 164,581 | 22,338 | 28,973 | 3,171 | 3,093 | 16,056 | 238,212 |
| 1995 | 56,857 | 18,463 | 22,531 | 2,475 | 3,503 | 509 | 104,338 |
| 1996 | 21 | 29,290 | 670 | 3,382 | 1,250 | 13,345 | 47,958 |
| 1997 | 83,488 | 20,678 | 26,860 | 0 | NA | 14,711 | 145,737 |
| 1998 | 107,837 | 6,749 | 28,308 | 4,750 | NA | 21,000 | 168,644 |
| 1999 | 56,499 | 12,700 | 36,400 | 11,700 | NA | 24,200 | 141,499 |
| 2000 | 9,800 | 27,600 | 22,100 | 8,600 | NA | 23,600 | 91,300 |
| 2001 | 13,100 | 23,051 | 30,400 | 11,000 | NA | 28,090 | 105,641 |

[^3]NA=not available

Table E. 3. Central British Columbia Catch

| Year | Central British Columbia |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Troll ${ }^{1}$ | Net | Tidal Sport | Freshwater Sport | First Nations | Total |
| 1975 | 135,470 | 40,985 | NA | NA | NA | 176,455 |
| 1976 | 145,204 | 32,669 | NA | NA | NA | 177,873 |
| 1977 | 122,689 | 32,409 | 4,773 | 1,544 | 6,317 | 167,732 |
| 1978 | 91,025 | 35,708 | 5,694 | 1,770 | 7,464 | 141,661 |
| 1979 | 107,884 | 50,445 | 5,225 | 1,940 | 7,165 | 172,659 |
| 1980 | 95,377 | 27,715 | 4,802 | 988 | 5,790 | 134,672 |
| 1981 | 69,247 | 18,912 | 3,490 | 1,261 | 4,751 | 97,661 |
| 1982 | 69,748 | 32,450 | 5,419 | 1,293 | 6,712 | 115,622 |
| 1983 | 97,447 | 12,565 | 4,271 | 821 | 5,092 | 120,196 |
| 1984 | 78,120 | 4,631 | 4,354 | 1,332 | 5,686 | 94,123 |
| 1985 | 27,090 | 12,427 | 3,943 | 823 | 4,766 | 49,049 |
| 1986 | 54,407 | 23,050 | 4,566 | 1,245 | 5,811 | 89,079 |
| 1987 | 65,776 | 10,906 | 3,933 | 1,563 | 5,496 | 87,674 |
| 1988 | 36,125 | 12,949 | 3,596 | 1,496 | 5,092 | 59,258 |
| 1989 | 21,694 | 6,599 | 3,438 | 4,526 | 7,964 | 44,221 |
| 1990 | 29,882 | 18,778 | 4,053 | 5,626 | 9,679 | 68,018 |
| 1991 | 29,843 | 15,952 | 4,409 | 3,335 | 7,744 | 61,283 |
| 1992 | 47,868 | 18,403 | 4,891 | 3,204 | 8,095 | 82,461 |
| 1993 | 23,376 | 10,567 | 6,114 | 2,880 | 8,994 | 51,931 |
| 1994 | 18,976 | 14,445 | 4,303 | 973 | 5,276 | 43,973 |
| 1995 | 5,819 | 11,053 | 2,172 | 1,180 | 3,352 | 23,576 |
| 1996 | 0 | 6,517 | 2,936 | 3,986 | 6,922 | 20,361 |
| 1997 | 12,351 | 3,539 | 8,524 | 1,139 | 9,663 | 35,216 |
| 1998 | 2,198 | 5,545 | 5,514 | 779 | 6,293 | 20,329 |
| 1999 | 2,074 | 4,337 | 10,300 | $\mathrm{NA}^{2}$ | 3,600 | 20,311 |
| 2000 | 0 | 4,500 | 7,400 | $\mathrm{NA}^{2}$ | 4,200 | 16,100 |
| 2001 | 0 | 4,388 | 6,711 | 1,024 | 4,202 | 16,325 |

${ }^{1}$ Since 1998, the catch accounting year for troll fisheries was set from October 1-September 30. To make comparisons to previous years more meaningful, the same catch accounting period was applied for years prior to 1998.
${ }^{2}$ freshwater catch included with tidal catch
NA=not available

Table E. 4. West Coast Vancouver Island Catch.

|  | West Coast Vancouver Island |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Tidal Sport | Tidal Sport |  |  |  |
| Year | Troll ${ }^{1}$ | Net | Inside ${ }^{2}$ | Outside | Freshwater Sport | First Nations | Total |
| 1975 | 546,214 | 19,233 | NA | - | NA | NA | 565,447 |
| 1976 | 665,010 | 17,492 | NA | - | NA | NA | 682,502 |
| 1977 | 545,742 | 13,745 | NA | - | NA | NA | 559,487 |
| 1978 | 568,705 | 25,143 | NA | - | NA | NA | 593,848 |
| 1979 | 477,222 | 35,623 | 7,964 | - | NA | NA | 520,809 |
| 1980 | 486,303 | 34,732 | 8,539 | - | NA | NA | 529,574 |
| 1981 | 423,266 | 36,411 | 11,230 | - | NA | NA | 470,907 |
| 1982 | 538,510 | 41,172 | 17,100 | - | NA | NA | 596,782 |
| 1983 | 395,636 | 37,535 | 28,000 | - | NA | NA | 461,171 |
| 1984 | 471,294 | 43,792 | 44,162 | - | NA | NA | 559,248 |
| 1985 | 345,937 | 11,089 | 21,587 | - | NA | NA | 378,613 |
| 1986 | 350,227 | 3,276 | 13,158 | - | NA | NA | 366,661 |
| 1987 | 378,931 | 478 | 38,283 | - | NA | NA | 417,692 |
| 1988 | 408,668 | 15,438 | 35,820 | - | NA | NA | 459,926 |
| 1989 | 203,751 | 40,321 | 55,239 | - | NA | NA | 299,311 |
| 1990 | 297,858 | 29,578 | 69,723 | - | NA | 1,199 | 398,358 |
| 1991 | 203,035 | 60,797 | 85,983 | - | NA | 41,322 | 391,137 |
| 1992 | 340,146 | 9,486 | 46,968 | 18,518 | NA | 8,315 | 423,433 |
| 1993 | 277,033 | 28,694 | 65,604 | 23,312 | NA | 5,078 | 399,721 |
| 1994 | 150,039 | 2,369 | 52,526 | 10,313 | NA | 1,515 | 216,762 |
| 1995 | 81,454 | 458 | 21,675 | 13,956 | NA | 5,868 | 123,411 |
| 1996 | 4 | 0 | 2,266 | 10,229 | NA | 4,308 | 16,807 |
| 1997 | 52,748 | 486 | 47,355 | 6,400 | NA | 1,199 | 108,188 |
| 1998 | 2,282 | 1,643 | 55,697 | 4,177 | NA | 1,600 | 65,399 |
| 1999 | 5,307 | 970 | 47,163 | 31,106 | NA | 11,458 | 96,004 |
| 2000 | 63,400 | 100 | 4,468 | 38,038 | NA | 2,396 | 108,402 |
| 2001 | 77,491 | 0 | 6,423 | 40,179 | 6,198 | 930 | 131,221 |

Troll: Areas 21, 23-27, and 121-127
Net: Areas 21, and 23-27
Sport: Areas 23a, 23b, 24-27
${ }^{1}$ Since 1998, the catch accounting year for troll fisheries was set from October 1-September 30. To make comparisons to previous years more meaningful, the same catch accounting period was applied for years prior to 1998.
${ }^{2}$ Prior to 1992, catch was not reported as 'inside' or 'outside'. Therefore 'inside' catch for those years represents total tidal sport catch.
$\mathrm{NA}=$ not available.

Table E. 5. Strait of Georgia/Fraser Catch

| Year | Strait of Georgia/Fraser |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Troll ${ }^{1}$ | Net | Tidal Sport | Freshwater Sport ${ }^{2}$ | First Nations ${ }^{3}$ | Total |
| 1975 | 174,001 | 66,119 | 398,000 | NA | 20,170 | 658,290 |
| 1976 | 200,229 | 73,018 | 490,000 | NA | 19,189 | 782,436 |
| 1977 | 248,082 | 85,222 | 372,000 | NA | 23,310 | 728,614 |
| 1978 | 217,955 | 50,247 | 500,000 | NA | 19,541 | 787,743 |
| 1979 | 255,057 | 49,038 | 350,000 | NA | 14,931 | 669,026 |
| 1980 | 273,077 | 31,161 | 204,100 | NA | 15,252 | 523,590 |
| 1981 | 239,266 | 19,985 | 197,239 | NA | 11,987 | 468,477 |
| 1982 | 179,040 | 22,971 | 124,390 | 96 | 35,687 | 362,184 |
| 1983 | 105,133 | 17,520 | 198,433 | NA | 15,756 | 336,842 |
| 1984 | 90,280 | 19,851 | 369,445 | 7,880 | 22,784 | 510,240 |
| 1985 | 55,888 | 31,006 | 234,838 | 1,874 | 10,895 | 334,501 |
| 1986 | 44,043 | 32,359 | 181,896 | 1,573 | 15,646 | 275,517 |
| 1987 | 38,084 | 13,016 | 121,081 | 4,876 | 14,525 | 191,582 |
| 1988 | 20,224 | 8,373 | 119,117 | 7,546 | 15,589 | 170,849 |
| 1989 | 28,444 | 23,833 | 132,846 | 918 | 5,983 | 192,024 |
| 1990 | 34,304 | 15,298 | 111,914 | 2,341 | 17,948 | 181,805 |
| 1991 | 32,412 | 15,407 | 115,523 | 1,616 | 22,185 | 187,143 |
| 1992 | 37,250 | 9,159 | 116,581 | 1,677 | 20,038 | 184,705 |
| 1993 | 33,293 | 16,153 | 127,576 | 1,930 | 20,597 | 199,549 |
| 1994 | 12,916 | 14,078 | 70,839 | 2,475 | 22,476 | 122,784 |
| 1995 | 138 | 6,263 | 62,173 | 9,158 | 20,790 | 98,522 |
| 1996 | 2 | 9,591 | 89,589 | 6,749 | 17,781 | 123,712 |
| 1997 | 908 | 28,342 | 56,332 | 3,937 | 29,497 | 119,016 |
| 1998 | 105 | 6,779 | 20,923 | 22,644 | 18,926 | 69,377 |
| 1999 | 80 | 3,906 | 43,588 | 10,071 | 28,226 | 85,871 |
| 2000 | 270 | 5,584 | 32,750 | 2,031 | 26,213 | 66,848 |
| 2001 | 0 | 4,301 | 31,259 | 23,678 | 28,460 | 87,698 |

Troll: Areas 13-18 and 29
Net: Areas 14-19, 28 and 29
Sport: Areas 13-18, 19a, 28 and 29
${ }^{1}$ Since 1998, the catch accounting year for troll fisheries was set from October 1-September 30. To make comparisons to previous years more meaningful, the same catch accounting period was applied for years prior to 1998.
${ }^{2}$ Prior to 1990, catch includes catch from Fraser systems only; catch records not available those years from nonFraser systems.
${ }^{3}$ No catch records are available for non-Fraser catch prior to 1990.
NA=not available

Table E. 6. Johnstone Strait Catch.

| Year | Johnstone Strait |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Troll <br> Area 12 | Net | Tidal Sport | Freshwater Sport | First Nations | Total |
| 1975 | 18,065 | 30,295 | NA | NA | NA | 48,360 |
| 1976 | 30,838 | 31,855 | NA | NA | NA | 62,693 |
| 1977 | 26,868 | 49,511 | NA | NA | NA | 76,379 |
| 1978 | 13,052 | 55,148 | NA | NA | NA | 68,200 |
| 1979 | 13,052 | 31,291 | NA | NA | NA | 44,343 |
| 1980 | 11,743 | 30,325 | NA | NA | NA | 42,068 |
| 1981 | 13,035 | 28,620 | NA | NA | NA | 41,655 |
| 1982 | 11,234 | 29,454 | NA | NA | NA | 40,688 |
| 1983 | 14,653 | 28,364 | NA | NA | NA | 43,017 |
| 1984 | 9,260 | 18,361 | NA | NA | NA | 27,621 |
| 1985 | 3,567 | 38,073 | NA | NA | NA | 41,640 |
| 1986 | 3,951 | 17,866 | NA | NA | NA | 21,817 |
| 1987 | 1,780 | 13,863 | NA | NA | NA | 15,643 |
| 1988 | 1,566 | 6,292 | NA | NA | NA | 7,858 |
| 1989 | 1,825 | 29,486 | NA | NA | NA | 31,311 |
| 1990 | 2,298 | 18,433 | NA | NA | NA | 20,731 |
| 1991 | 1,228 | 15,071 | 10,075 | NA | 1,287 | 27,661 |
| 1992 | 2,721 | 9,571 | 14,715 | NA | 29 | 27,036 |
| 1993 | 4,172 | 15,530 | NA | NA | 20 | 19,722 |
| 1994 | 2,231 | 8,991 | NA | NA | 0 | 11,222 |
| 1995 | 4 | 970 | NA | NA | 71 | 1,045 |
| 1996 | 0 | 447 | NA | NA | 107 | 554 |
| 1997 | 1,380 | 819 | NA | NA | 179 | 2,378 |
| 1998 | 990 | 60 | 2,366 | NA | 138 | 3,554 |
| 1999 | 89 | 156 | 7,813 | NA | 469 | 8,527 |
| 2000 | 197 | 220 | 5,719 | NA | 212 | 6,348 |
| 2001 | 500 | 200 | 3,759 | NA | 370 | 4,329 |

Troll: Area 12
Net: Areas 11-13
Sport: Based on April - August creel census in Area 12 and northern half of Area 13
${ }^{1}$ Since 1998, the catch accounting year for troll fisheries was set from October 1-September 30. To make comparisons to previous years more meaningful, the same catch accounting period was applied for years prior to 1998.
${ }^{2}$ Preliminary estimate
$\mathrm{NA}=$ not available

Table E. 7. Canada - Strait of Juan de Fuca Catch.

| Year | Canada - Strait of Juan de Fuca |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Net | Tidal Sport | Freshwater Sport $^{1}$ | First Nations | Total |
|  | 9,799 | NA | NA | NA | 9,799 |
| 1976 | 13,004 | NA | NA | NA | 13,004 |
| 1977 | 25,344 | NA | NA | NA | 25,344 |
| 1978 | 9,725 | NA | NA | NA | 9,725 |
| 1979 | 8,665 | NA | NA | NA | 8,665 |
| 1980 | 3,438 | 37,900 | NA | NA | 41,338 |
| 1981 | 9,982 | 29,832 | NA | NA | 39,814 |
| 1982 | 7,072 | 30,646 | NA | NA | 37,718 |
| 1983 | 328 | 30,228 | NA | NA | 30,556 |
| 1984 | 6,237 | 24,353 | NA | NA | 30,590 |
| 1985 | 17,164 | 27,843 | NA | NA | 45,007 |
| 1986 | 17,727 | 34,387 | NA | NA | 52,114 |
| 1987 | 6,782 | 24,878 | NA | NA | 31,660 |
| 1988 | 4,473 | 31,233 | NA | NA | 35,706 |
| 1989 | 21,238 | 32,539 | NA | NA | 53,777 |
| 1990 | 7,405 | 30,127 | NA | 42 | 37,574 |
| 1991 | 8,893 | 19,017 | NA | 250 | 28,160 |
| 1992 | 10,023 | 21,090 | NA | 302 | 31,415 |
| 1993 | 2,287 | 13,967 | NA | 317 | 16,571 |
| 1994 | 8,931 | 14,372 | NA | 600 | 23,903 |
| 1995 | 631 | 14,405 | NA | 751 | 15,787 |
| 1996 | 362 | 19,012 | NA | 20 | 19,394 |
| 1997 | 307 | 17,080 | NA | 42 | 17,429 |
| 1998 | 115 | 9,709 | NA | 1,500 | 11,324 |
| 1999 | 128 | 14,808 | NA | 52 | 14,988 |
| 2000 | 100 | 10,973 | NA | 272 | 11,345 |
| 2001 | 0 | 23,463 | NA | 135 | 23,598 |

Net: Area 20
Sport: Areas 19b and 20
${ }^{1}$ While catch records are poor, in-river sport catch is believed to be small NA=not available

Table E. 8. Washington - Strait of Juan de Fuca Catch.

| Year | Washington - Strait of Juan de Fuca |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Troll |  |  | Sport |

Troll: Areas 5 and 6C; Area 4B from Jan. 1 - April 30 and Oct. 1 - Dec. 31
Net: Areas 4B, 5, and 6C
Sport: Areas 5 and 6, 4B Neah Bay "add-on" fishery
NA=not available

Table E. 9. Washington - San Juan Catch.

| Year | Washington - San Juans |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Troll |  |  | Sport |

Troll: Areas 6, 6A, 7, and 7A
Net: Areas 6, 6A, 7 and 7A
Sport: Area 7
$\mathrm{NA}=$ not available

Table E. 10. Washington - Other Puget Sound Catch.

| Year | Washington - Other Puget Sound |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Net | Sport | Total |
| 1975 | 131,982 |  |  |
| 1976 | 141,281 | 173,086 | 305,068 |
| 1977 | 145,470 | 151,246 | 292,527 |
| 1978 | 150,298 | 97,761 | 243,231 |
| 1979 | 128,073 | 116,979 | 267,277 |
| 1980 | 171,516 | 156,402 | 284,475 |
| 1981 | 145,152 | 142,799 | 314,315 |
| 1982 | 149,274 | 106,048 | 251,200 |
| 1983 | 134,492 | 85,703 | 234,977 |
| 1984 | 180,248 | 123,752 | 258,244 |
| 1985 | 184,907 | 102,740 | 282,988 |
| 1986 | 153,000 | 92,603 | 277,510 |
| 1987 | 127,000 | 88,000 | 241,000 |
| 1988 | 133,000 | 59,000 | 186,000 |
| 1989 | 156,000 | 63,000 | 196,000 |
| 1990 | 179,593 | 75,000 | 231,000 |
| 1991 | 89,495 | 71,000 | 250,593 |
| 1992 | 63,460 | 48,859 | 138,354 |
| 1993 | 54,968 | 51,656 | 115,116 |
| 1994 | 63,577 | 41,034 | 96,002 |
| 1995 | 63,593 | 44,181 | 107,758 |
| 1996 | 61,658 | 61,509 | 125,102 |
| 1997 | 47,522 | 58,538 | 120,196 |
| 1998 | 50,915 | 43,961 | 91,483 |
| 1999 | 91,947 | 30,016 | 80,931 |
| 2000 | 70,995 | 34,116 | 126,063 |
| 2001 | 96,682 | 29,516 | 100,511 |
|  |  | NA | NA |

Net: Areas 6B, 6D, 7B, 7C, and 7E; Areas 8-13 (including all sub-areas); Areas 74C - 83F
Sport: Areas 8-13 and all Puget Sound Rivers
$\mathrm{NA}=$ not available

Table E. 11. Washington - Inside Coastal Catch.

| Year | Washington - Inside Coastal |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Sport | Total |
| 1975 | 34,859 | 1,716 | 36,575 |
| 1976 | 51,995 | 2,219 | 54,214 |
| 1977 | 72,467 | 2,043 | 74,510 |
| 1978 | 32,662 | 3,399 | 36,061 |
| 1979 | 36,501 | 2,199 | 38,700 |
| 1980 | 47,681 | 1,476 | 49,157 |
| 1981 | 36,880 | 786 | 37,666 |
| 1982 | 33,271 | 1,114 | 34,385 |
| 1983 | 16,210 | 1,452 | 17,662 |
| 1984 | 16,239 | 1,319 | 17,558 |
| 1985 | 25,162 | 1,955 | 27,117 |
| 1986 | 29,000 | 3,000 | 32,000 |
| 1987 | 51,000 | 3,000 | 54,000 |
| 1988 | 74,000 | 7,000 | 81,000 |
| 1989 | 85,000 | 6,000 | 91,000 |
| 1990 | 57,770 | 5,000 | 62,770 |
| 1991 | 54,397 | 6,070 | 60,467 |
| 1992 | 64,223 | 6,577 | 70,800 |
| 1993 | 59,285 | 9,180 | 68,465 |
| 1994 | 46,059 | 7,454 | 53,513 |
| 1995 | 46,490 | 9,881 | 56,371 |
| 1996 | 55,408 | 12,059 | 67,467 |
| 1997 | 28,269 | 6,619 | 34,888 |
| 1998 | 20,266 | 6,569 | 26,835 |
| 1999 | 10,417 | 3,165 | 13,582 |
| 2000 | 15,659 | 4,482 | 20,141 |
| 2001 | 19,384 | NA | NA |

Net: Areas 2A-2M; Areas 72B-73H
Sport: All coastal rivers, Area 2.1, and Area 2.2 (when Area 2 is open)
NA=not available

Table E. 12. Columbia River Catch.

| Year | Columbia River |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Net |  <br> Subsistence | Sport | Total |
| 1975 | 323,000 |  | 34,870 | 357,870 |
| 1976 | 288,400 |  | 42,527 | 330,927 |
| 1977 | 255,600 |  | 58,838 | 314,438 |
| 1978 | 189,100 |  | 56,582 | 245,682 |
| 1979 | 169,691 | 7,865 | 38,700 | 216,256 |
| 1980 | 146,103 | 10,370 | 37,857 | 194,330 |
| 1981 | 94,904 | 10,985 | 48,496 | 154,385 |
| 1982 | 160,269 | 17,902 | 67,481 | 245,652 |
| 1983 | 70,371 | 15,979 | 60,918 | 147,268 |
| 1984 | 140,320 | 17,929 | 83,772 | 242,021 |
| 1985 | 159,577 | 16,213 | 62,484 | 238,274 |
| 1986 | 284,448 | 26,693 | 82,950 | 394,091 |
| 1987 | 492,685 | 25,337 | 123,145 | 641,167 |
| 1988 | 507,147 | 29,836 | 118,643 | 655,626 |
| 1989 | 289,647 | 27,377 | 110,936 | 427,960 |
| 1990 | 167,198 | 25,320 | 107,713 | 300,231 |
| 1991 | 119,276 | 13,471 | 113,153 | 245,900 |
| 1992 | 58,794 | 18,372 | 70,732 | 147,898 |
| 1993 | 51,867 | 24,295 | 80,667 | 156,829 |
| 1994 | 35,291 | 10,168 | 42,023 | 87,482 |
| 1995 | 29,708 | 14,269 | 53,335 | 97,312 |
| 1996 | 57,026 | 30,494 | 36,311 | 123,831 |
| 1997 | 48,108 | 32,336 | 35,744 | 116,188 |
| 1998 | 31,240 | 19,482 | 30,642 | 81,364 |
| 1999 | 55,873 | 29,363 | 35,397 | 120,633 |
| 2000 | 51,426 | 19,646 | 27,233 | 98,305 |
| 2001 | 183,913 | 13,635 | 57,732 | 255,280 |

Table E. 13. Washington/Oregon North of Cape Falcon Catch.

| Year | Washington/Oregon North of Cape Falcon |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Troll | Net | Sport | Total |
| 1975 | 268,971 | 1,212 | 265,785 | 535,968 |
| 1976 | 371,239 | 203 | 215,319 | 586,761 |
| 1977 | 244,491 | 4 | 197,563 | 442,058 |
| 1978 | 150,673 | 4 | 104,306 | 254,983 |
| 1979 | 133,035 | 3 | 84,977 | 218,015 |
| 1980 | 125,709 | 1,215 | 59,099 | 186,023 |
| 1981 | 109,519 | 209 | 96,151 | 205,879 |
| 1982 | 154,720 | 267 | 114,952 | 269,939 |
| 1983 | 63,584 | 62 | 51,789 | 115,435 |
| 1984 | 15,392 | 0 | 6,980 | 22,372 |
| 1985 | 55,408 | 493 | 30,189 | 86,090 |
| 1986 | 52,000 | 0 | 23,000 | 75,000 |
| 1987 | 81,000 | 4,000 | 44,000 | 129,000 |
| 1988 | 108,000 | 3,000 | 19,000 | 130,000 |
| 1989 | 74,600 | 1,000 | 20,900 | 96,500 |
| 1990 | 65,800 | 0 | 32,900 | 98,700 |
| 1991 | 51,600 | 0 | 13,300 | 64,900 |
| 1992 | 69,000 | 0 | 18,900 | 87,900 |
| 1993 | 55,900 | 0 | 13,600 | 69,500 |
| 1994 | 4,500 | 0 | 0 | 4,500 |
| 1995 | 9,500 | 0 | 600 | 10,100 |
| 1996 | 12,300 | 0 | 200 | 12,500 |
| 1997 | 20,500 | 0 | 4,100 | 24,600 |
| 1998 | 20,300 | 0 | 2,200 | 22,500 |
| 1999 | 45,000 | 0 | 10,800 | 55,800 |
| 2000 | 20,600 | 0 | 9,200 | 29,800 |
| 2001 | 54,600 | 0 | 25,600 | 80,200 |

Troll: OR Area 2; WA Areas 1, 2, 3 and 4: Area 4B from May 1 through Sept. 30 (during PFMC management) Net: WA Areas 1, 2, 3, 4, 4A
Sport: OR Area 2; WA Areas 1, 1.1, 1.2, 2, 3, 4 and 2.2 (when Area 2 is open)

Table E. 14. Oregon Catch.

| Year | Oregon |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Troll | Sport | Total |
| 1975 | 300 | 19,000 | 19,300 |
| 1976 | 1,000 | 21,000 | 22,000 |
| 1977 | 3,000 | 34,000 | 37,000 |
| 1978 | 1,000 | 37,000 | 38,000 |
| 1979 | 800 | 31,000 | 31,800 |
| 1980 | 300 | 22,000 | 22,300 |
| 1981 | 300 | 28,000 | 28,300 |
| 1982 | 500 | 23,000 | 23,500 |
| 1983 | 700 | 19,000 | 19,700 |
| 1984 | 1,088 | 27,000 | 28,088 |
| 1985 | 1,700 | 25,000 | 26,700 |
| 1986 | 1,900 | 33,000 | 34,900 |
| 1987 | 3,600 | 46,000 | 49,600 |
| 1988 | 4,800 | 49,000 | 53,800 |
| 1989 | 4,500 | 45,000 | 49,500 |
| 1990 | 0 | 38,000 | 38,000 |
| 1991 | 0 | 44,500 | 44,500 |
| 1992 | 384 | 39,000 | 39,384 |
| 1993 | 649 | 52,000 | 52,649 |
| 1994 | 371 | 33,590 | 33,961 |
| 1995 | 206 | 48,366 | 48,572 |
| 1996 | 989 | 56,202 | 57,191 |
| 1997 | 513 | 37,659 | 38,172 |
| 1998 | 858 | 37,990 | 38,848 |
| 1999 | 1,246 | 30,780 | 32,026 |
| 2000 | 1,890 | NA | NA |
| 2001 | 1,324 | NA |  |
|  |  |  | NA |

Troll: Late season off Elk River mouth.
Sport: Estuary and inland.

Appendix F. Brood year exploitation rates by stock.
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Figure F.1. Southeast Alaska springs total brood year exploitation rates


Figure F.2. Robertson Creek (West Coast Vancouver Island) ocean brood year exploitation rates.

Brood Year Total Exploitation Rate Quinsam


Figure F.3. Quinsam River (Upper Georgia Strait) total brood year exploitation rates.


Figure F.4. Puntledge River (Lower Georgia Strait) total brood year exploitation rates.

Brood Year Total Exploitation Rate Big Qualicum


Figure F.5. Big Qualicum River (Lower Georgia Strait) total brood year exploitation rates.


Figure F.6. Cowichan River (Lower Georgia Strait) total brood year exploitation rates.

## Brood Year Total Exploitation Rate

 Chilliwack

Figure F.7. Chilliwack River (Lower Fraser River) total brood year exploitation rates.


Figure F.8. Samish River (North Puget Sound) ocean brood year exploitation rates


Figure F.9. South Puget Sound fingerling ocean brood year exploitation rates.


Figure F.10. Columbia River Brights ocean brood year exploitation rates.


Figure F.11. Columbia River Brights total brood year exploitation rates.


Figure F.12. Lewis River ocean brood year exploitation rates.


Figure F.13. Lewis River total brood year exploitation rates.


Figure F.14. Salmon River (Oregon Coastal) ocean brood year exploitation rates.

## Appendix G. CWT and model survival rates by stock.
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$\longrightarrow$ EV Survival - Cohort Survival
Figure G.1. Alaska Spring CWT (cohort) and model (EV) survival rates (r=correlation between survival rates).

> KITSUMKALUM INDEX OF SURVIVAL
> r=0.37

-EV Survival -a $=$ Cohort Survival
Figure G.2. Kitsumkalum CWT (cohort) and model (EV) survival rates (r=correlation between survival rates).


Figure G.3. Robertson Creek CWT (cohort) and model (EV) survival rates (r=correlation between survival rates).

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { QUINSAM } \\
\text { INDEX OF SURVIVAL } \\
\mathrm{r}=0.74
\end{gathered}
$$


$\rightarrow$ EV Survival $\rightarrow$ Cohort Survival
Figure G.4. Quinsam CWT (cohort) and model (EV) survival rates (r=correlation between survival rates).

## PUNTLEDGE <br> INDEX OF SURVIVAL <br> $r=0.47$


-EV Survival -a $=$ Cohort Survival
Figure G.5. Puntledge CWT (cohort) and model (EV) survival rates (r=correlation between survival rates).

> BIG QUALICUM
> INDEX OF SURVIVAL
> r=0.50

$\rightarrow$ EV Survival -a $=$ Cohort Survival
Figure
G.6. Big Qualicum CWT (cohort) and model (EV) survival rates (r=correlation between survival rates).


Figure G.7. Cowichan CWT (cohort) and model (EV) survival rates (r=correlation between survival rates).

## CHILLIWACK INDEX OF SURVIVAL

$r=0.70$

$\longrightarrow$ EV Survival -a $=$ Cohort Survival
Figure G.8. Chilliwack CWT (cohort) and model (EV) survival rates (r=correlation between survival rates).

## SKAGIT SPRING YEARLING

 INDEX OF SURVIVAL$$
r=0.08
$$


$\rightarrow$ EV Survival -a $=$ Cohort Survival
Figure G.9. Skagit Spring Yearling CWT (cohort) and model (EV) survival rates (r=correlation between survival rates).

## NOOKSACK SPRING YEARLING <br> INDEX OF SURVIVAL

$$
r=0.73
$$



- EV Survival -a $=$ Cohort Survival

Figure G.10. Nooksack Spring Yearling CWT (cohort) and model (EV) survival rates (r=correlation between survival rates).

## SOUTH PUGET SOUND FALL YEARLING INDEX OF SURVIVAL

$r=-0.11$

$\rightarrow$ EV Survival -a $=$ Cohort Survival
Figure G.11. South Puget Sound Fall Yearling CWT (cohort) and model (EV) survival rates ( $\mathrm{r}=$ correlation between survival rates).

SOUTH PUGET SOUND FALL FINGERLING
INDEX OF SURVIVAL
$r=0.50$


- EV Survival -a -Cohort Survival

Figure G.12. South Puget Sound Fall Fingerling CWT (cohort) and model (EV) survival rates ( $\mathrm{r}=$ correlation between survival rates).

## GEORGE ADAMS FALL FINGERLING

 INDEX OF SURVIVAL$r=0.59$

-EV Survival - - Cohort Survival
Figure G.13. George Adams Fall Fingerling CWT (cohort) and model (EV) survival rates (r=correlation between survival rates).

## SAMISH FALL FINGERLING <br> INDEX OF SURVIVAL <br> $r=0.65$


—EV Survival -a $=$ Cohort Survival
Figure G.14. Samish Fall Fingerling CWT (cohort) and model (EV) survival rates (r=correlation between survival rates).

$\longrightarrow$ EV Survival - Cohort Survival
Figure G.15. Squaxin Pens CWT (cohort) and model (EV) survival rates (r=correlation between survival rates).

> ELWA
> INDEX OF SURVIVAL
> $\mathrm{r}=0.41$

—EV Survival - =Cohort Survival
Figure G.16. Elwha CWT (cohort) and model (EV) survival rates (r=correlation between survival rates).

## WHITE RIVER SPRING YEARLING INDEX OF SURVIVAL

$$
r=-0.18
$$


$\rightarrow$ EV Survival -a Cohort Survival
Figure G.17. White River Spring Yearling CWT (cohort) and model (EV) survival rates (r=correlation between survival rates).

SOOES FALL FINGERLING
INDEX OF SURVIVAL
$r=-0.22$


- EV Survival -a $=$ Cohort Survival

Figure G.18. Sooes Fall Fingerling CWT (cohort) and model (EV) survival rates (r=correlation between survival rates).
SOOES FALL FINGERLING INDEX OF SURVIVAL

$$
r=-0.22
$$


$\rightarrow$ EV Survival - Cohort Survival

Figure G.19. Queets CWT (cohort) and model (EV) survival rates ( $\mathrm{r}=$ correlation between survival rates).

```
COWLITZ FALL TULE
INDEX OF SURVIVAL
    r=0.76
```


$\longrightarrow$ EV Survival - Cohort Survival
Figure G.20. Cowlitz Fall Tule CWT (cohort) and model (EV) survival rates (r=correlation between survival rates).


Figure G.21. Spring Creek Tule CWT (cohort) and model (EV) survival rates (r=correlation between survival rates).

## OREGON LOWER RIVER HATCHERY TULES <br> INDEX OF SURVIVAL <br> $r=0.74$


-EEV Survival -a $=$ Cohort Survival
Figure G.22. Oregon Lower River Hatchery Tules CWT (cohort) and model (EV) survival rates (r=correlation between survival rates).

## LEWIS RIVER WILD INDEX OF SURVIVAL

$$
r=0.53
$$


$\rightarrow$ EV Survival -a $\quad$ Cohort Survival
Figure G.23. Lewis River Wild CWT (cohort) and model (EV) survival rates (r=correlation between survival rates).

## COLUMBIA UPRIVER BRIGHT <br> INDEX OF SURVIVAL

$r=0.56$

-EVV Survival -a $=$ Cohort Survival
Figure G.24. Columbia Upriver Bright CWT (cohort) and model (EV) survival rates (r=correlation between survival rates).

$\longrightarrow$ EV Survival - Cohort Survival
Figure G.25. Hanford Wild Bright CWT (cohort) and model (EV) survival rates (r=correlation between survival rates).

## LYONS FERRY HATCHERY

INDEX OF SURVIVAL
$r=-0.99$

-EV Survival -a - Cohort Survival
Figure G.26. Lyons Ferry CWT (cohort) and model (EV) survival rates ( $\mathrm{r}=$ correlation between survival rates).


Figure G.27. Columbia Summer CWT (cohort) and model (EV) survival rates (r=correlation between survival rates).

> WILLAMETTE SPRING INDEX OF SURVIVAL
> r=0.65

$\rightarrow$ EV Survival -a $=$ Cohort Survival
Figure G.28. Willamette Spring CWT (cohort) and model (EV) survival rates (r=correlation between survival rates).


Figure G.29. Salmon River (NOC) CWT (cohort) and model (EV) survival rates (r=correlation between survival rates).
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Table H.1. Alaska Spring distribution of reported catch and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | N/CBC <br> Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\& Sp | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | Escapement |
| 1983 | 28.7\% | 1.4\% | 6.5\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 61.6\% |
| 1984 | 23.7\% | 2.5\% | 13.7\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 58.8\% |
| 1985 | 24.8\% | 5.2\% | 13.7\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 55.3\% |
| 1986 | 26.6\% | 5.1\% | 11.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 55.8\% |
| 1987 | 31.2\% | 2.6\% | 11.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 54.3\% |
| 1988 | 32.2\% | 3.1\% | 12.3\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 51.1\% |
| 1989 | 27.0\% | 7.4\% | 10.2\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 54.5\% |
| 1990 | 39.2\% | 2.5\% | 11.1\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 45.3\% |
| 1991 | 43.3\% | 2.8\% | 14.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 38.7\% |
| 1992 | 32.4\% | 3.1\% | 14.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 49.1\% |
| 1993 | 24.4\% | 6.0\% | 13.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 55.5\% |
| 1994 | 18.2\% | 13.7\% | 12.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 55.1\% |
| 1995 | 31.5\% | 13.6\% | 14.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 39.9\% |
| 1996 | 34.1\% | 10.7\% | 20.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 34.5\% |
| 1997 | 42.0\% | 7.8\% | 15.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 35.0\% |
| 1998 | 39.3\% | 8.2\% | 15.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 36.7\% |
| 1999 | 31.8\% | 4.9\% | 18.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 45.0\% |
| 2000 | 31.4\% | 5.4\% | 16.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 46.4\% |
| (83-00) | 31.2\% | 5.9\% | 13.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 48.5\% |
| (85-00) | 31.8\% | 6.4\% | 14.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 47.0\% |

Table H.2. Alaska Spring distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.


Table H.3. Kitsumkalum distribution of reported catch and escapement (NA=not available).

| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Alaska } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Alaska Sport | North Troll | CentralTroll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | N/CBC Sport | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1984 | 51.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.2\% | 0.0\% | 30.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | NA ${ }^{1}$ |
| 1985 | 26.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | 13.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 51.6\% |
| 1986 | 10.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 13.9\% | 0.0\% | 8.8\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 64.8\% |
| 1987 | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.1\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 71.4\% |
| 1988 | 18.9\% | 0.6\% | 1.8\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 22.6\% | 7.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 45.7\% |
| 1989 | 10.8\% | 0.5\% | 6.7\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.2\% | 6.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 59.0\% |
| 1990 | 10.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 6.7\% | 0.3\% | 7.2\% | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 65.7\% |
| 1991 | 15.5\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 8.8\% | 0.7\% | 16.5\% | 13.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 41.4\% |
| 1992 | 13.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 7.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.4\% | 6.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 60.7\% |
| 1993 | 10.8\% | 1.3\% | 2.2\% | 9.9\% | 0.0\% | 18.5\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 53.0\% |
| 1994 | 11.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 19.0\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 57.9\% |
| 1995 | 12.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | 29.0\% | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 42.6\% |
| 1996 | 9.2\% | 0.2\% | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.2\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 61.6\% |
| 1997 | 12.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.2\% | 10.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 61.6\% |
| 1998 | 8.8\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 5.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 81.5\% |
| 1999 | 13.3\% | 0.0\% | 9.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 6.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 69.2\% |
| 2000 | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.9\% | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 71.2\% |
| (84-00) | 14.7\% | 0.2\% | 3.3\% | 6.0\% | 0.1\% | 13.7\% | 5.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 56.4\% |
| (85-00) | 12.4\% | 0.2\% | 3.5\% | 5.2\% | 0.1\% | 12.6\% | 6.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 59.9\% |

Table H.4. Kitsumkalum distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement (NA=not available).


Table H.5. Robertson Creek distribution of reported catch and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC}$ Sport | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1979 | 19.7\% | 0.8\% | 0.7\% | 11.4\% | 10.6\% | 7.6\% | 0.3\% | 7.9\% | 1.7\% | 2.3\% | 5.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | $31.7 \%$ |
| 1980 | 27.9\% | 6.6\% | 0.9\% | 8.0\% | 8.1\% | 4.4\% | 0.1\% | 6.9\% | 0.1\% | 11.3\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 22.2\% |
| 1981 | 30.3\% | 1.6\% | 0.8\% | 12.1\% | 8.2\% | 4.8\% | 0.5\% | 5.3\% | 0.6\% | 13.6\% | 5.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.5\% |
| 1982 | 25.7\% | 3.5\% | 0.7\% | 13.7\% | 7.6\% | 5.1\% | 0.1\% | 5.8\% | 0.8\% | 15.1\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.5\% |
| 1983 | 36.8\% | 3.2\% | 0.6\% | 10.3\% | 7.9\% | 2.3\% | 0.3\% | 5.2\% | 0.3\% | 18.0\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 10.3\% |
| 1984 | 27.3\% | 3.9\% | 0.2\% | 14.5\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 0.8\% | 17.6\% | 15.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 7.5\% |
| 1985 | 14.2\% | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.7\% | 0.5\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.8\% | 3.6\% | 17.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | $31.2 \%$ |
| 1986 | 14.7\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 8.0\% | 1.1\% | $3.1 \%$ | 0.7\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 26.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 34.7\% |
| 1987 | 6.7\% | 1.2\% | 0.6\% | 6.2\% | 2.9\% | 2.4\% | 0.5\% | 2.2\% | 0.5\% | 1.1\% | 21.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 54.3\% |
| 1988 | 10.1\% | 2.1\% | 0.9\% | 6.5\% | 1.2\% | 2.0\% | 1.1\% | 4.1\% | 0.6\% | 8.0\% | 18.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 44.2\% |
| 1989 | 8.6\% | 2.0\% | 0.4\% | 7.8\% | 0.8\% | 1.1\% | 1.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.7\% | 20.4\% | 18.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 36.8\% |
| 1990 | 15.9\% | 1.4\% | 1.1\% | 7.3\% | 2.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.9\% | 6.3\% | 0.3\% | 10.4\% | 10.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 41.8\% |
| 1991 | 17.0\% | 1.0\% | 3.0\% | 9.1\% | 2.7\% | 0.6\% | 0.8\% | 4.4\% | 0.3\% | 14.9\% | 13.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | $32.3 \%$ |
| 1992 | 13.8\% | 3.0\% | 1.7\% | 7.1\% | 3.0\% | 0.9\% | 1.5\% | 18.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 8.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 41.1\% |
| 1993 | 14.1\% | 1.1\% | 2.5\% | 7.1\% | 1.9\% | 0.4\% | 1.4\% | 13.7\% | 0.5\% | 8.3\% | 15.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | $33.2 \%$ |
| 1994 | 15.8\% | 2.3\% | 3.7\% | 9.5\% | 1.1\% | 1.1\% | 1.1\% | 5.3\% | 0.4\% | 12.8\% | 21.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 25.6\% |
| 1995 | 15.3\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 3.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.9\% | 1.5\% | 1.4\% | 7.3\% | 12.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 53.2\% |
| 1996 | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 87.6\% |
| 1997 | 10.5\% | 3.4\% | 3.9\% | 4.5\% | 1.8\% | 0.4\% | 2.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 6.5\% | 20.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 45.1\% |
| 1998 | 16.8\% | 1.6\% | 5.0\% | 6.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 4.2\% | 19.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 44.8\% |
| 1999 | 12.3\% | 0.4\% | 7.4\% | 3.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 7.0\% | 22.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 43.5\% |
| 2000 | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | $88.4 \%$ |
| (79-00) | 16.6\% | 2.3\% | 1.8\% | 7.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.1\% | 1.1\% | 4.6\% | 0.7\% | 8.4\% | 13.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 38.2\% |
| (85-00) | 12.3\% | 1.9\% | 2.3\% | 6.5\% | 1.3\% | 1.2\% | 1.5\% | 4.0\% | 0.7\% | 6.7\% | 15.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 46.1\% |

Table H.6. Robertson Creek distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

|  | Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | AlaskaNet | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC $\qquad$ <br> Ne | $\begin{array}{r} \text { N/CBC } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | 1979 | 23.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 11.7\% | 10.9\% | 7.1\% | 0.3\% | 8.1\% | 1.6\% | 2.1\% | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 28.3\% |
|  | 1980 | 29.1\% | 6.7\% | 1.0\% | 8.3\% | 8.4\% | 4.4\% | 0.1\% | 7.2\% | 0.1\% | 10.7\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.6\% |
|  | 1981 | 34.4\% | 1.5\% | 1.0\% | 12.3\% | 8.4\% | 4.5\% | 0.5\% | 5.4\% | 0.6\% | 12.0\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.1\% |
|  | 1982 | 30.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.8\% | 13.7\% | 7.6\% | 4.8\% | 0.1\% | 5.8\% | 0.8\% | 13.5\% | 6.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 13.5\% |
|  | 1983 | 41.6\% | 3.0\% | 0.6\% | 9.9\% | 7.5\% | 2.2\% | 0.3\% | 5.1\% | 0.3\% | 16.0\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 9.0\% |
|  | 1984 | 28.7\% | 3.8\% | 0.2\% | 14.6\% | 3.0\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 6.9\% | 0.8\% | 16.5\% | 15.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 7.0\% |
|  | 1985 | 14.9\% | 17.2\% | 0.0\% | 15.9\% | 0.4\% | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.7\% | 3.0\% | 15.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 25.2\% |
|  | 1986 | 18.8\% | 12.2\% | 0.0\% | 8.7\% | 1.2\% | 2.9\% | 1.4\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 21.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 26.3\% |
|  | 1987 | 10.5\% | 2.9\% | 1.1\% | 7.5\% | 3.5\% | 2.3\% | 0.6\% | 2.7\% | 0.5\% | 1.0\% | 19.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 47.3\% |
|  | 1988 | 11.3\% | 4.7\% | 1.2\% | 7.3\% | 1.3\% | 1.9\% | 1.2\% | 4.6\% | 0.7\% | 7.3\% | 18.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 39.6\% |
|  | 1989 | 11.8\% | 5.9\% | 0.6\% | 9.1\% | 1.0\% | 1.1\% | 1.1\% | 1.9\% | 0.8\% | 18.1\% | 17.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 31.3\% |
|  | 1990 | 19.3\% | 4.1\% | 1.3\% | 8.8\% | 2.3\% | 1.6\% | 0.9\% | 6.7\% | 0.3\% | 9.1\% | 9.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 35.7\% |
|  | 1991 | 20.1\% | 2.3\% | 3.2\% | 9.9\% | 2.9\% | 0.6\% | 0.8\% | 4.8\% | 0.3\% | 13.4\% | 13.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 28.6\% |
|  | 1992 | 16.8\% | 8.3\% | 1.6\% | 7.4\% | 3.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.4\% | 18.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | 7.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 34.0\% |
|  | 1993 | 16.1\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 7.5\% | 2.1\% | 0.4\% | 1.4\% | 14.3\% | 0.5\% | 7.5\% | 15.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 29.9\% |
|  | 1994 | 17.8\% | 5.0\% | 3.6\% | 9.3\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.1\% | 5.2\% | 0.4\% | 11.6\% | 20.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 23.2\% |
|  | 1995 | 16.9\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 3.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 1.1\% | 1.8\% | 1.5\% | 6.7\% | 14.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 47.8\% |
|  | 1996 | 9.2\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 2.8\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 0.8\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.5\% |
| T | 1997 | 13.5\% | 8.9\% | 4.4\% | 5.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.4\% | 3.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.6\% | 5.6\% | 18.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | $38.1 \%$ |
| $\infty$ | 1998 | 17.2\% | 4.0\% | 5.0\% | 6.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 3.9\% | 19.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 41.9\% |
|  | 1999 | 13.0\% | 0.7\% | 7.5\% | 3.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 6.9\% | 23.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 41.3\% |
|  | 2000 | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 84.1\% |
|  | (79-00) | 19.1\% | 4.4\% | 2.1\% | 8.3\% | 3.1\% | 1.9\% | 1.4\% | 4.8\% | 0.8\% | 7.6\% | 12.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | $33.7 \%$ |


| (79-00) | 19.1\% | 4.4\% | 2.1\% | 8.3\% | 3.1\% | 1.9\% | 1.4\% | 4.8\% | 0.8\% | 7.6\% | 12.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 33.7\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (85-00) | 14.6\% | 49\% | $26 \%$ | 7.0\% | 1.4\% | 11\% | 18\% | 42\% | 0.8\% | 6.0\% | 14.7\% | 0.0\% | 02\% | 0.1\% | 40.6\% |

Table H.7. Quinsam distribution of reported catch and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC $\qquad$ <br> Ne | N/CBC Sport | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{array}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1979 | 6.6\% | 9.2\% | 0.9\% | 7.1\% | 13.2\% | 24.9\% | 4.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.0\% | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 19.5\% |
| 1980 | 15.5\% | 9.5\% | 2.4\% | 10.4\% | 16.4\% | 12.9\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 8.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.4\% |
| 1981 | 12.7\% | 4.3\% | 1.4\% | 15.2\% | 14.1\% | 12.0\% | 7.4\% | 0.7\% | 13.7\% | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.1\% |
| 1982 | 18.5\% | 11.0\% | 3.1\% | 8.4\% | 7.1\% | 21.6\% | 2.5\% | 0.4\% | 4.3\% | 8.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.6\% |
| 1983 | 23.3\% | 2.2\% | 2.3\% | 15.9\% | 12.3\% | 18.3\% | 2.9\% | 0.8\% | 5.1\% | 9.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.1\% |
| 1984 | 15.0\% | 7.5\% | 5.0\% | 5.7\% | 4.9\% | 14.7\% | 4.0\% | 0.8\% | 7.7\% | 6.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 28.4\% |
| 1985 | 26.3\% | 6.1\% | 4.4\% | 5.1\% | 3.6\% | 10.9\% | 1.0\% | 0.1\% | 4.4\% | 8.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 29.9\% |
| 1986 | 14.9\% | 4.2\% | 2.8\% | 6.6\% | 7.2\% | 19.7\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 6.2\% | 6.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 29.4\% |
| 1987 | 10.7\% | 4.4\% | 2.9\% | 6.3\% | 6.1\% | 17.1\% | 6.5\% | 0.4\% | 4.0\% | 7.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 34.0\% |
| 1988 | 20.0\% | 1.7\% | 1.0\% | 6.5\% | 2.4\% | 5.5\% | 2.9\% | 0.7\% | 3.7\% | 4.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 50.6\% |
| 1989 | 12.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.9\% | 1.9\% | 4.9\% | 3.2\% | 0.3\% | 7.3\% | 12.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 46.9\% |
| 1990 | 17.4\% | 3.0\% | 0.6\% | 6.6\% | 4.8\% | 11.0\% | 8.9\% | 1.4\% | 3.5\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 38.1\% |
| 1991 | 10.7\% | 2.4\% | 1.4\% | 5.6\% | 9.0\% | 10.2\% | 11.9\% | 0.5\% | 4.4\% | 3.5\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 39.6\% |
| 1992 | 11.7\% | 0.6\% | 2.4\% | 10.1\% | 9.3\% | 7.3\% | 6.2\% | 0.3\% | 3.5\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 46.0\% |
| 1993 | 8.2\% | 4.2\% | 1.2\% | 5.7\% | 5.7\% | 19.3\% | 8.8\% | 1.2\% | 10.6\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 31.7\% |
| 1994 | 6.3\% | 1.4\% | 4.2\% | 9.4\% | 1.4\% | 14.6\% | 5.2\% | 0.0\% | 6.3\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 47.0\% |
| 1995 | 7.0\% | 5.8\% | 0.0\% | 9.1\% | 0.0\% | 14.5\% | 7.9\% | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 48.3\% |
| 1996 | 6.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.4\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 6.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 64.5\% |
| 1997 | 10.0\% | 2.9\% | 2.5\% | 4.1\% | 3.4\% | 2.3\% | 8.8\% | 0.7\% | 8.6\% | 0.2\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 51.6\% |
| 1998 | 13.9\% | 2.2\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 8.6\% | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 67.3\% |
| $1999$ | $8.6 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 70.6\% |
| 2000 | 13.1\% | 2.2\% | 4.8\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 70.8\% |
| (79-00) | 13.2\% | 4.1\% | 2.4\% | 6.5\% | 5.6\% | 11.9\% | 5.8\% | 0.4\% | 6.0\% | 4.8\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 39.1\% |
| (85-00) | 12.4\% | 3.0\% | 2.3\% | 5.0\% | 3.4\% | 9.8\% | 6.4\% | 0.4\% | 5.3\% | 3.7\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 47.9\% |

Table H.8. Quinsam distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

|  | Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska$\qquad$ | Alaska Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { North } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | CentralTroll | N/CBC $\qquad$ <br> Net | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
|  | 1979 | 8.7\% | 9.1\% | 1.4\% | 7.8\% | 13.8\% | 23.6\% | 4.2\% | 0.1\% | 8.4\% | 5.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.4\% |
|  | 1980 | 16.2\% | 9.3\% | 2.6\% | 10.7\% | 16.7\% | 12.8\% | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 6.4\% | 8.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.3\% |
|  | 1981 | 13.5\% | 4.1\% | 1.6\% | 15.6\% | 14.2\% | 11.8\% | 7.6\% | 0.7\% | 13.6\% | 7.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.2\% |
|  | 1982 | 22.7\% | 10.6\% | 3.5\% | 8.3\% | 7.1\% | 20.7\% | 2.5\% | 0.3\% | 4.0\% | 7.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.6\% |
|  | 1983 | 27.1\% | 2.0\% | 2.8\% | 15.4\% | 12.0\% | 17.3\% | 3.1\% | 0.7\% | 4.6\% | 8.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.8\% |
|  | 1984 | 16.3\% | 7.4\% | 5.8\% | 5.9\% | 5.0\% | 14.5\% | 4.1\% | 0.9\% | 7.7\% | 6.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 26.3\% |
|  | 1985 | 27.8\% | 13.4\% | 4.3\% | 4.6\% | 3.3\% | 9.8\% | 0.9\% | 0.1\% | 3.9\% | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 24.8\% |
|  | 1986 | 16.6\% | 10.6\% | 3.1\% | 6.5\% | 7.1\% | 18.2\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 23.7\% |
|  | 1987 | 15.8\% | 11.7\% | 2.8\% | 6.7\% | 6.6\% | 14.1\% | 5.6\% | 0.4\% | 3.4\% | 5.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 26.6\% |
|  | 1988 | 21.0\% | 4.0\% | 1.1\% | 6.9\% | 2.5\% | 5.5\% | 3.1\% | 0.8\% | 3.9\% | 3.9\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 46.2\% |
|  | 1989 | 14.1\% | 8.3\% | 2.9\% | 4.0\% | 1.9\% | 4.6\% | 3.2\% | 0.3\% | 7.6\% | 11.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 41.1\% |
|  | 1990 | 18.5\% | 7.5\% | 0.6\% | 7.2\% | 5.3\% | 10.3\% | 8.7\% | 1.5\% | 3.6\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 32.6\% |
|  | 1991 | 12.0\% | 6.8\% | 1.4\% | 6.0\% | 9.5\% | 9.2\% | 11.4\% | 0.6\% | 4.5\% | 3.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 34.8\% |
|  | 1992 | 15.4\% | 1.5\% | 2.5\% | 10.6\% | 9.6\% | 7.2\% | 6.3\% | 0.3\% | 3.7\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 40.6\% |
|  | 1993 | 9.2\% | 8.7\% | 1.3\% | 6.4\% | 6.4\% | 17.6\% | 8.4\% | 1.3\% | 11.0\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 26.9\% |
|  | 1994 | 7.8\% | 3.4\% | 4.4\% | 10.3\% | 1.6\% | 13.8\% | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 6.9\% | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 42.3\% |
|  | 1995 | 8.4\% | 6.4\% | 0.0\% | 11.1\% | 0.0\% | 16.6\% | 9.5\% | 0.0\% | 6.4\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 39.5\% |
|  | 1996 | 7.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 20.3\% | 5.1\% | 0.0\% | 6.8\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 58.0\% |
| T | 1997 | 10.9\% | 5.2\% | 2.9\% | 4.3\% | 3.5\% | 2.3\% | 10.7\% | 0.8\% | 8.9\% | 1.4\% | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 44.3\% |
| $\stackrel{\ominus}{\bullet}$ | 1998 | 14.8\% | 6.3\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 11.6\% | 0.0\% | 5.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 58.4\% |
| $\bigcirc$ | 1999 | 10.1\% | 5.5\% | 5.5\% | 1.4\% | 0.2\% | 1.7\% | 11.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 62.2\% |
|  | 2000 | 14.7\% | 3.8\% | 5.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.9\% | 0.0\% | $3.1 \%$ | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 64.1\% |
|  | (79-00) | 15.0\% | 6.7\% | 2.6\% | 6.9\% | 5.7\% | 11.5\% | 6.3\% | 0.4\% | 6.0\% | 4.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 34.1\% |
|  | (85-00) | 14.0\% | 6.5\% | 2.5\% | 5.5\% | 3.6\% | 9.5\% | 7.0\% | 0.4\% | 5.4\% | 3.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 41.6\% |

Table H.9. Puntledge distribution of reported catch and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC $\qquad$ <br> Ne | N/CBC <br> Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | 1979 | 1.8\% | 0.7\% | 0.2\% | 3.1\% | 8.3\% | 6.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.9\% | 39.5\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 32.0\% |
|  | 1980 | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 2.0\% | 5.9\% | 4.4\% | 1.3\% | 4.9\% | 38.5\% | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 34.1\% |
|  | 1981 | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.4\% | 7.2\% | 3.6\% | 4.0\% | 0.0\% | 60.2\% | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 13.3\% |
|  | 1982 | 0.9\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 12.8\% | 5.5\% | 1.0\% | 1.6\% | 19.1\% | 14.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 41.6\% |
|  | 1983 | 1.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 7.5\% | 16.0\% | 5.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.4\% | 25.5\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 36.6\% |
|  | 1984 | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 5.9\% | 3.9\% | 1.2\% | 2.3\% | 26.6\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 54.3\% |
|  | 1985 | 10.9\% | 0.8\% | 2.3\% | 6.3\% | 1.6\% | 8.6\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 33.6\% | 5.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 24.2\% |
|  | 1986 | 6.6\% | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.9\% | 9.9\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 42.5\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 24.9\% |
|  | 1987 | 2.7\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.0\% | 2.0\% | 6.0\% | 10.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.7\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 44.0\% |
|  | 1988 | 12.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 14.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.2\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 50.5\% |
|  | 1989 | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 45.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 51.5\% |
|  | 1990 | 9.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 11.8\% | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 9.4\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 57.6\% |
|  | 1991 | 6.3\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.4\% | 8.0\% | 0.0\% | 24.1\% | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 49.1\% |
|  | 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.0\% | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 37.2\% | 15.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 37.2\% |
|  | 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 10.5\% | 0.0\% | 44.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 38.2\% |
|  | 1994 | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 53.6\% | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 28.6\% |
|  | 1995 | 5.9\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 32.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 44.1\% |
|  | 1996 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 7.9\% | 0.0\% | 34.2\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 52.6\% |
| $\stackrel{T}{\text { I }}$ | 1997 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.8\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 13.7\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 60.8\% |
| こ | 1998 | 21.2\% | 6.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 57.6\% |
|  | $1999$ | $9.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | 0.0\% | 11.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 68.3\% |
|  | 2000 | 1.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 25.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 70.5\% |
|  | (79-00) | 4.7\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 2.5\% | 3.0\% | 5.6\% | 5.1\% | 0.7\% | 29.3\% | 3.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 44.2\% |
|  | (85-00) | 6.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 2.0\% | 0.7\% | 5.9\% | 6.4\% | 0.2\% | 27.3\% | 2.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 47.5\% |

Table H.10. Puntledge distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

|  | Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Alaska } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Alaska Sport | NorthTroll | CentralTroll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | N/CBC Sport | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | 1979 | 2.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 3.6\% | 9.3\% | 6.6\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% | 39.3\% | 6.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 30.1\% |
|  | 1980 | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 2.2\% | 6.4\% | 4.6\% | 1.5\% | 5.5\% | 38.5\% | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 31.7\% |
|  | 1981 | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.0\% | 8.0\% | 3.4\% | 4.1\% | 0.0\% | 60.0\% | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.3\% |
|  | 1982 | 0.9\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 14.1\% | 5.9\% | 1.3\% | 1.9\% | 19.5\% | 15.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 38.1\% |
|  | 1983 | 2.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 8.2\% | 17.0\% | 5.1\% | 3.1\% | 2.6\% | 25.5\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 33.8\% |
|  | 1984 | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 6.6\% | 4.0\% | 1.5\% | 2.6\% | 27.6\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 51.1\% |
|  | 1985 | 14.1\% | 1.3\% | 3.4\% | 6.7\% | 1.3\% | 8.7\% | 6.7\% | 0.0\% | 31.5\% | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.8\% |
|  | 1986 | 6.9\% | 0.0\% | 6.4\% | 2.9\% | 4.4\% | 9.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 43.1\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 22.1\% |
|  | 1987 | 2.9\% | 5.8\% | 0.0\% | 14.5\% | 2.9\% | 5.8\% | 9.9\% | 0.0\% | 15.7\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 38.4\% |
|  | 1988 | 12.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 15.7\% | 0.0\% | 19.6\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 46.1\% |
|  | 1989 | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 52.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 44.7\% |
|  | 1990 | 10.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 12.0\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 9.8\% | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 53.3\% |
|  | 1991 | 6.9\% | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.6\% | 9.2\% | 0.0\% | 26.9\% | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 42.3\% |
|  | 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.3\% | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 42.7\% | 13.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 33.3\% |
|  | 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.9\% | 10.6\% | 0.0\% | 49.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 34.1\% |
|  | 1994 | 9.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 56.3\% | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 25.0\% |
|  | 1995 | 5.1\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 35.9\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 38.5\% |
|  | 1996 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | 40.5\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 47.6\% |
|  | 1997 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.2\% | 0.0\% | 8.5\% | 18.6\% | 0.0\% | 8.5\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 52.5\% |
| N | 1998 | 18.6\% | 18.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 44.2\% |
| N | 1999 | 10.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 12.2\% | 0.0\% | 13.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 62.2\% |
|  | 2000 | 2.2\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 29.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 65.5\% |
|  | (79-00) | 5.1\% | 1.7\% | 0.5\% | 2.8\% | 3.4\% | 5.6\% | 5.8\% | 0.7\% | 31.2\% | 3.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 39.4\% |


| $(85-00)$ | $6.4 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $29.7 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $41.9 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table H.11. Big Qualicum distribution of reported catch and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC <br> Net | N/CBC <br> Sport | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{array}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | 1979 | 3.7\% | 1.0\% | 0.4\% | 1.7\% | 9.4\% | 4.1\% | 0.4\% | 2.2\% | 39.0\% | 8.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 29.8\% |
|  | 1980 | 1.5\% | 1.7\% | 0.4\% | 4.4\% | 6.6\% | 3.4\% | 1.4\% | 4.2\% | 39.3\% | 9.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 27.8\% |
|  | 1981 | 1.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 1.4\% | 11.7\% | 4.6\% | 0.8\% | 1.6\% | 54.9\% | 9.8\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.5\% |
|  | 1982 | 4.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.7\% | 4.6\% | 6.0\% | 8.8\% | 0.4\% | 4.5\% | 26.0\% | 12.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 31.7\% |
|  | 1983 | 5.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 5.0\% | 6.9\% | 4.5\% | 1.0\% | 1.1\% | 36.2\% | 14.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 24.0\% |
|  | 1984 | 1.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 6.8\% | 3.7\% | 6.0\% | 1.4\% | 51.7\% | 6.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 21.1\% |
|  | 1985 | 4.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 1.8\% | 3.8\% | 6.8\% | 1.8\% | 1.5\% | 34.2\% | 12.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 29.9\% |
|  | 1986 | 2.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 12.8\% | 8.2\% | 2.9\% | 1.4\% | 45.1\% | 7.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.9\% |
|  | 1987 | 8.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 4.0\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.7\% | 4.2\% | 31.5\% | 5.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 35.9\% |
|  | 1988 | 2.8\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 1.3\% | 9.8\% | 1.3\% | 2.8\% | 32.2\% | 4.6\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 39.4\% |
|  | 1989 | 4.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.6\% | 3.2\% | 0.6\% | 1.0\% | 1.8\% | 4.6\% | 37.6\% | 8.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 34.1\% |
|  | 1990 | 4.3\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 6.1\% | 1.6\% | 6.5\% | 2.4\% | 3.0\% | 22.8\% | 11.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 37.7\% |
|  | 1991 | 2.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 1.1\% | 2.9\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 45.1\% | 5.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 35.3\% |
|  | 1992 | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 5.4\% | 6.0\% | 1.6\% | 7.8\% | 3.4\% | 41.0\% | 4.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 25.6\% |
|  | 1993 | 1.2\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 4.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.2\% | 1.7\% | 44.6\% | 6.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 31.9\% |
|  | 1994 | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 1.7\% | 3.8\% | 2.1\% | 2.5\% | 34.3\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 45.2\% |
| I | 1995 | 6.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 7.1\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 20.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 61.4\% |
| $\stackrel{ \pm}{\square}$ | 1996 | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 46.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 47.8\% |
| $\omega$ | 1997 | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.1\% | 1.5\% | 1.5\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 29.8\% | 0.5\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 52.0\% |
|  | 1998 | 7.7\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 20.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 64.5\% |
|  | $1999$ | $6.1 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 69.1\% |
|  | 2000 | 15.1\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 11.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 68.4\% |
|  | (79-00) | 4.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 2.5\% | 3.9\% | 3.9\% | 2.5\% | 1.9\% | 34.4\% | 5.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 38.4\% |
|  | (85-00) | 4.9\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 2.3\% | 2.5\% | 3.5\% | 2.8\% | 1.7\% | 31.9\% | 4.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 43.6\% |

Table H.12. Big Qualicum distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.


Table H.13. Chilliwack distribution of reported catch and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC $\qquad$ <br> Ne | N/CBC <br> Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | Escapement |
| 1985 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 2.3\% | 0.8\% | 0.2\% | 34.2\% | 28.7\% | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 4.1\% | 3.7\% | 15.3\% |
| 1986 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 2.3\% | 1.5\% | 0.2\% | 18.8\% | 27.2\% | 12.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 5.4\% | 5.7\% | 23.7\% |
| 1987 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 16.0\% | 35.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.5\% | 3.7\% | 3.6\% | 2.7\% | 34.4\% |
| 1988 | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 16.9\% | 18.7\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 2.9\% | 1.7\% | 52.6\% |
| 1989 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 17.7\% | 15.7\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 4.8\% | 3.5\% | 1.2\% | 52.9\% |
| 1990 | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.4\% | 0.3\% | 8.7\% | 14.2\% | 4.0\% | 2.2\% | 5.8\% | 11.4\% | 5.1\% | 46.0\% |
| 1991 | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 1.0\% | 0.2\% | 18.9\% | 22.5\% | 4.3\% | 0.8\% | 13.8\% | 5.4\% | 5.0\% | 27.3\% |
| 1992 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 19.3\% | 17.2\% | 1.0\% | 0.1\% | 8.8\% | 0.9\% | 3.6\% | 47.3\% |
| 1993 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 14.8\% | 18.2\% | 1.8\% | 0.5\% | 8.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 54.0\% |
| 1994 | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 5.7\% | 11.9\% | 3.9\% | 2.1\% | 1.4\% | 3.1\% | 3.3\% | 65.9\% |
| 1995 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 8.5\% | 6.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 1.1\% | 1.1\% | 1.6\% | 79.5\% |
| 1996 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | 4.7\% | 1.0\% | 3.0\% | 72.8\% |
| 1997 | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 10.0\% | 15.1\% | 1.6\% | 2.0\% | 5.0\% | 2.4\% | 3.2\% | 58.4\% |
| 1998 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 3.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 3.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 91.6\% |
| 1999 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 10.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 11.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.9\% | 75.0\% |
| 2000 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 4.8\% | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 83.7\% |
| (85-00) | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | 12.2\% | 16.7\% | 2.7\% | 0.8\% | 5.4\% | 2.9\% | 2.7\% | 55.0\% |
| (85-00) | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | 12.2\% | 16.7\% | 2.7\% | 0.8\% | 5.4\% | 2.9\% | 2.7\% | 55.0\% |

Table H.14. Chilliwack distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.


Table H.15. Cowichan, Distribution of Reported Catch and Escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | N/CBC <br> Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 4.7\% | 0.3\% | 1.3\% | 52.7\% | 13.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 3.2\% | 2.2\% | 20.4\% |
| 1991 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 1.0\% | 2.3\% | 40.7\% | 3.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 2.6\% | 0.6\% | 47.2\% |
| 1992 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.9\% | 1.0\% | 0.8\% | 8.5\% | 56.2\% | 3.8\% | 1.2\% | 0.2\% | 1.2\% | 1.1\% | 24.6\% |
| 1993 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 1.3\% | 6.8\% | 52.4\% | 3.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 32.1\% |
| 1994 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 33.9\% | 5.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.3\% | 3.3\% | 0.4\% | 48.8\% |
| 1995 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | $3.4 \%$ | 28.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.7\% | 62.8\% |
| 1996 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 33.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 2.8\% | 61.4\% |
| 1997 | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 2.8\% | 25.9\% | 0.2\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 3.0\% | 61.5\% |
| 1998 | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.6\% | 31.6\% | 0.3\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 56.7\% |
| 1999 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 41.9\% | 1.4\% | 3.5\% | 1.1\% | 7.6\% | 0.8\% | 42.7\% |
| 2000 | 1.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 22.3\% | 0.0\% | 5.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 67.8\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (90-00) | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 1.0\% | 0.5\% | 2.8\% | 38.1\% | 2.9\% | 1.6\% | 0.3\% | 2.6\% | 1.2\% | 47.8\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (90-00) | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 1.0\% | 0.5\% | 2.8\% | 38.1\% | 2.9\% | 1.6\% | 0.3\% | 2.6\% | 1.2\% | 47.8\% |

$\stackrel{7}{\stackrel{7}{亡}}$
Table H.16. Cowichan distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC <br> Net | N/CBC <br> Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Sport } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.4\% | 3.6\% | 0.7\% | 2.8\% | 59.0\% | 10.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 4.6\% | 2.5\% | 14.2\% |
| 1991 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 1.1\% | 3.3\% | 47.1\% | 3.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 2.9\% | 0.6\% | 39.4\% |
| 1992 | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 1.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.8\% | 8.9\% | 60.7\% | 3.3\% | 1.2\% | 0.2\% | 1.3\% | 1.2\% | 20.0\% |
| 1993 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 1.2\% | 7.4\% | 57.1\% | 2.7\% | 1.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 27.3\% |
| 1994 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 38.7\% | 5.8\% | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 4.1\% | 0.6\% | 42.4\% |
| 1995 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 32.3\% | 1.5\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.9\% | 55.4\% |
| 1996 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 38.1\% | 0.5\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 3.8\% | 54.6\% |
| 1997 | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.7\% | 3.6\% | 29.5\% | 1.1\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 3.6\% | 54.5\% |
| 1998 | 5.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.5\% | 35.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 51.5\% |
| 1999 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 46.3\% | 1.1\% | 4.0\% | 1.1\% | 10.1\% | 0.7\% | 35.3\% |
| 2000 | 1.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 25.9\% | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 62.1\% |
| (90-00) | 0.9\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.9\% | 0.6\% | 3.4\% | 42.7\% | 2.7\% | 1.8\% | 0.3\% | 3.3\% | 1.4\% | 41.5\% |
| (90-00) | 0.9\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.9\% | 0.6\% | 3.4\% | 42.7\% | 2.7\% | 1.8\% | 0.3\% | 3.3\% | 1.4\% | 41.5\% |

Table H.17. Samish Fall Fingerling distribution of reported catch and escapement (NA=not available).

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska $\qquad$ | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | Escapement |
| 1989 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 6.8\% | 17.2\% | 3.5\% | 1.9\% | 7.5\% | 36.0\% | 9.8\% | 16.5\% |
| 1990 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 19.0\% | 13.2\% | 1.4\% | 2.1\% | 9.2\% | 31.2\% | 7.5\% | 15.7\% |
| 1991 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 13.4\% | 11.3\% | 2.6\% | 3.2\% | 9.1\% | 23.0\% | 10.7\% | 26.4\% |
| 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 11.4\% | 14.6\% | 2.1\% | 0.9\% | 10.2\% | 15.6\% | 17.2\% | 27.4\% |
| 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 12.3\% | 19.1\% | 2.3\% | 8.5\% | 3.9\% | 16.6\% | 12.5\% | 23.6\% |
| 1994 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 11.9\% | 13.8\% | 1.9\% | 5.4\% | 2.2\% | 38.6\% | 4.0\% | 21.2\% |
| 1995 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 5.8\% | 5.1\% | 0.3\% | 3.4\% | 3.4\% | 27.2\% | 15.0\% | 38.8\% |
| 1996 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 1.9\% | 33.9\% | 24.1\% | 28.1\% |
| 1997 | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 0.3\% | 2.0\% | 8.2\% | 0.1\% | 1.8\% | 0.9\% | 34.5\% | 9.8\% | 40.0\% |
| 1998 | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 10.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.7\% | 44.0\% | 4.1\% | $33.2 \%$ |
| 1999 | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 1.6\% | 10.9\% | 0.0\% | 10.1\% | 1.6\% | 39.1\% | 3.6\% | 25.0\% |
| 2000 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 40.0\% | 20.0\% | 0.0\% | 30.7\% | 1.3\% | 8.0\% | 0.0\% | NA ${ }^{1}$ |
| (89-00) | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 10.5\% | 12.9\% | 1.2\% | 5.9\% | 4.3\% | 29.0\% | 9.8\% | 24.7\% |
| (89-00) | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 10.5\% | 12.9\% | 1.2\% | 5.9\% | 4.3\% | 29.0\% | 9.8\% | 24.7\% |

Table H.18. Samish Fall Fingerling distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement (NA=not available).

| Catch <br> Year | Alaska <br> Troll | Alaska | Alaska Sport | North Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Central } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | U.S. <br> Net | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
| 1989 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 9.1\% | 18.4\% | 3.1\% | 1.9\% | 8.0\% | 33.1\% | 11.0\% | 14.4\% |
| 1990 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 20.4\% | 13.9\% | 1.3\% | 2.1\% | 9.5\% | 29.3\% | 8.1\% | 14.4\% |
| 1991 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 14.5\% | 12.2\% | 2.5\% | 3.2\% | 9.5\% | 21.6\% | 11.9\% | 24.1\% |
| 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | 11.6\% | 15.4\% | 1.8\% | 0.8\% | 10.0\% | 14.2\% | 23.7\% | 21.9\% |
| 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 14.0\% | 21.7\% | 2.0\% | 8.0\% | 4.1\% | 15.3\% | 13.4\% | 20.1\% |
| 1994 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 13.1\% | 15.1\% | 1.9\% | 5.6\% | 2.3\% | 36.9\% | 4.5\% | 19.1\% |
| 1995 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 7.2\% | 5.3\% | 0.7\% | 4.7\% | 3.3\% | 23.9\% | 22.0\% | 31.8\% |
| 1996 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 11.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 1.9\% | 33.1\% | 27.6\% | 23.3\% |
| 1997 | 0.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.9\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 2.5\% | 9.4\% | 0.4\% | 1.7\% | 1.1\% | 33.6\% | 11.6\% | 36.6\% |
| 1998 | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 11.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.8\% | 43.2\% | 5.4\% | 31.8\% |
| 1999 | 4.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 1.5\% | 12.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.5\% | 1.9\% | 37.6\% | 4.5\% | 23.3\% |
| 2000 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 37.0\% | 21.0\% | 0.0\% | $33.3 \%$ | 1.2\% | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | NA ${ }^{1}$ |
| (89-00) | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 11.1\% | 14.0\% | 1.2\% | 6.2\% | 4.5\% | 27.4\% | 12.0\% | 21.7\% |
| (89-00) | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 11.1\% | 14.0\% | 1.2\% | 6.2\% | 4.5\% | 27.4\% | 12.0\% | 21.7\% |

Table H.19. Squaxin Pens Fall Yearling distribution of reported catch and escapement (NA=not available) ${ }^{1}$.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Central } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { N/CBC } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | U.S. Sport | Escapement |
| 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.7\% | 1.2\% | 0.6\% | 4.0\% | 32.8\% | 54.8\% | 2.5\% |
| 1991 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 1.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 9.2\% | 32.6\% | 48.4\% | 3.5\% |
| 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 2.3\% | 3.4\% | 1.2\% | 0.8\% | 7.1\% | 22.3\% | 57.1\% | 4.7\% |
| 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 9.7\% | 5.4\% | 1.4\% | 2.3\% | 14.0\% | 3.4\% | 49.4\% | 13.4\% |
| 1994 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 24.9\% | 5.3\% | 3.6\% | 4.7\% | 6.5\% | 22.5\% | 10.7\% | 21.9\% |
| 1995 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 47.5\% | 30.5\% | 22.0\% |
| 1996 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 4.7\% | 89.8\% | 2.5\% |
| 1997 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 8.0\% | 85.2\% | 0.6\% |
| 1998 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% | 91.3\% | 2.9\% |
| 1999 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 62.5\% | $25.0 \%$ |
| 2000 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 2.1\% | 96.5\% | NA |
| (90-00) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 1.7\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 4.5\% | 16.2\% | 61.5\% | 9.0\% |
| (90-00) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 1.7\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 4.5\% | 16.2\% | 61.5\% | 9.0\% |

Table H.20. Squaxin Pens Fall Yearling distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement (NA=not available) ${ }^{1}$.

| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Central } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | N/CBC Sport | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{array}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | U.S. Sport |  |
| 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.8\% | 1.0\% | 0.6\% | 4.0\% | 31.7\% | 56.6\% | 2.0\% |
| 1991 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 1.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 9.2\% | 30.6\% | 50.7\% | 3.0\% |
| 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 2.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.6\% | 6.0\% | 22.1\% | 61.1\% | 3.5\% |
| 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 10.6\% | 5.8\% | 1.3\% | 2.0\% | 13.7\% | 3.5\% | 50.4\% | 11.9\% |
| 1994 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 23.4\% | 5.3\% | 4.3\% | 4.8\% | 6.4\% | 20.7\% | 15.4\% | 19.7\% |
| 1995 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 21.9\% | 71.1\% | 5.7\% |
| 1996 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 5.2\% | 90.0\% | 2.0\% |
| 1997 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 6.4\% | 88.0\% | 0.4\% |
| 1998 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 2.3\% | 93.0\% | 2.3\% |
| 1999 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 96.1\% | 2.0\% |
| 2000 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 1.6\% | 97.0\% | NA |
| (90-00) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | 1.7\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 4.3\% | 13.3\% | 70.0\% | 4.8\% |
| (90-00) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | 1.7\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 4.3\% | 13.3\% | 70.0\% | 4.8\% |

Table H.21. Stillaguamish Fall Fingerling distribution of reported catch and escapement (NA=not available).

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC <br> Net | N/CBC <br> Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | U.S. <br> Sport |  |
| 1984 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 19.3\% | 2.4\% | 3.6\% | 7.2\% | 15.7\% | 24.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.8\% | 19.3\% | NA ${ }^{1}$ |
| 1985 | 8.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 0.0\% | 29.9\% | 10.3\% | 11.3\% | 9.3\% | 0.0\% | 9.3\% | 13.4\% | NA ${ }^{1}$ |
| 1986 | 5.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 0.0\% | 29.9\% | 18.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.5\% | 19.6\% | 7.2\% |
| 1990 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 6.2\% | 4.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.2\% | 7.5\% | 4.2\% | 4.9\% | 4.2\% | 7.1\% | 10.4\% | 34.3\% |
| 1991 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 5.9\% | 4.4\% | 0.9\% | 2.6\% | 5.2\% | 6.8\% | 7.9\% | 65.4\% |
| 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 17.0\% | 5.1\% | 2.5\% | 4.0\% | 5.7\% | 11.9\% | 28.1\% | 23.0\% |
| 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.4\% | 1.0\% | 1.4\% | 11.4\% | 8.7\% | 1.4\% | 9.6\% | 5.3\% | 1.5\% | 22.7\% | 36.0\% |
| 1994 | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 7.8\% | 0.9\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 5.8\% | 66.7\% |
| 1995 | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.9\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 4.2\% | 1.0\% | 8.6\% | 1.0\% | 2.3\% | 13.8\% | 54.0\% |
| 1996 | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.1\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 6.1\% | 0.6\% | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 19.0\% | 56.1\% |
| 1997 | 9.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 1.1\% | 7.1\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 5.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 15.5\% | 52.9\% |
| 1998 | 10.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.1\% | 1.9\% | 0.1\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.2\% | 77.2\% |
| 1999 | 1.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 1.8\% | 9.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 4.0\% | 70.2\% |
| 2000 | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.1\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 82.9\% |
| (84-00) | 3.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.8\% | 2.8\% | 0.6\% | 10.3\% | 7.6\% | 3.4\% | 5.2\% | 1.6\% | 4.8\% | 13.0\% | 44.7\% |


| $(85-00)$ | $3.5 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | $48.2 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ${ }^{1}$ Values represent estimates of stock distribution only for this year. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table H.22. Stillaguamish Fall Fingerling distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement(NA=not available).

| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | AlaskaNet | Alaska Sport | North Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Central } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | U.S. <br> Sport | Escapement |
| 1984 | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 16.8\% | 1.9\% | 2.8\% | 10.3\% | 13.1\% | 19.6\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 26.2\% | NA ${ }^{1}$ |
| 1985 | 8.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 30.4\% | 8.7\% | 10.4\% | 8.7\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 17.4\% | NA ${ }^{1}$ |
| 1986 | 6.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 29.2\% | 18.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.2\% | 20.8\% | 6.6\% |
| 1990 | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 6.1\% | 3.6\% | 0.2\% | 16.9\% | 8.3\% | 3.8\% | 4.9\% | 5.1\% | 7.4\% | 12.9\% | 29.4\% |
| 1991 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 6.7\% | 5.1\% | 1.0\% | 2.7\% | 6.0\% | 6.8\% | 10.1\% | 60.6\% |
| 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 16.6\% | 4.9\% | 2.0\% | 3.6\% | 5.3\% | 10.4\% | 38.6\% | 16.1\% |
| 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 1.0\% | 1.3\% | 13.8\% | 10.0\% | 1.3\% | 9.0\% | 5.9\% | 1.4\% | 23.7\% | 31.2\% |
| 1994 | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 7.3\% | 8.6\% | 1.0\% | 5.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 7.1\% | 63.1\% |
| 1995 | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 4.2\% | 1.7\% | 12.3\% | 0.9\% | 2.1\% | 23.1\% | 39.3\% |
| 1996 | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.9\% | 1.1\% | 1.1\% | 6.5\% | 0.6\% | 8.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 25.7\% | 45.9\% |
| 1997 | 9.9\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 1.2\% | 7.8\% | 5.1\% | 0.4\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 18.5\% | 47.6\% |
| 1998 | 12.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.4\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 1.0\% | 2.1\% | 0.1\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 3.3\% | 72.8\% |
| 1999 | 1.2\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 1.6\% | 10.2\% | 0.0\% | 12.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 6.3\% | 65.1\% |
| 2000 | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.1\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.7\% | 81.7\% |
| (84-00) | 3.7\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 1.7\% | 2.7\% | 0.6\% | 11.0\% | 7.7\% | 3.0\% | 5.6\% | 1.7\% | 4.4\% | 16.7\% | 40.0\% |
| (85-00) | 4.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 2.8\% | 0.4\% | 11.0\% | 7.3\% | 1.7\% | 6.0\% | 1.8\% | 4.4\% | 16.0\% | 43.0\% |

Table H.23. George Adams Fall Fingerling distribution of reported catch and escapement.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Catch } \\ & \text { Year } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Alaska } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Alaska } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Alaska Sport | $\begin{array}{r} \text { North } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Central } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { N/CBC } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | U.S. <br> Troll | U.S. <br> Net | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| 1982 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 20.8\% | 4.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 38.1\% | 10.7\% | 21.9\% |
| 1983 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 15.8\% | 3.5\% | 4.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 29.6\% | 25.9\% | 17.2\% |
| 1984 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 3.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.4\% | 18.1\% | 5.7\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 31.3\% | 20.6\% | 15.9\% |
| 1989 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 8.5\% | 3.8\% | 4.5\% | 1.7\% | 12.9\% | 38.7\% | 17.2\% | 12.2\% |
| 1990 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 19.4\% | 4.7\% | 1.0\% | 5.0\% | 15.0\% | 28.4\% | 18.4\% | 6.8\% |
| 1991 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.4\% | 2.2\% | 0.4\% | 4.5\% | 8.6\% | 33.3\% | 18.0\% | 14.3\% |
| 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 15.6\% | 2.1\% | 5.2\% | 0.0\% | 20.3\% | 9.4\% | 39.6\% | 7.3\% |
| 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 33.9\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 8.7\% | 4.3\% | 22.6\% | 18.3\% |
| 1994 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.0\% | 7.0\% | 72.1\% |
| 1995 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.9\% | 3.9\% | 0.5\% | 3.9\% | 1.0\% | 4.4\% | 18.7\% | 57.6\% |
| 1996 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.6\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 13.8\% | 60.6\% |
| 1997 | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 3.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.4\% | 3.0\% | 0.8\% | 18.8\% | 66.5\% |
| 1998 | 0.7\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 1.8\% | 1.8\% | 6.9\% | 86.4\% |
| 1999 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 4.3\% | 12.7\% | 9.5\% | 62.4\% |
| 2000 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 18.2\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 9.9\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.5\% | 56.5\% |
| (82-00) | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 12.1\% | 4.3\% | 1.2\% | 3.2\% | 6.0\% | 16.5\% | 17.1\% | 38.4\% |
| (85-00) | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 10.6\% | 4.2\% | 1.0\% | 4.0\% | 7.0\% | 12.3\% | 16.6\% | 43.4\% |

Table H.24. George Adams Fall Fingerling distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC Net | N/CBC <br> Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | $\begin{gathered} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | U.S. <br> Sport | Escapement |
| 1982 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 20.8\% | 4.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 37.0\% | 13.0\% | 20.5\% |
| 1983 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 12.6\% | 2.5\% | 3.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 25.4\% | 42.5\% | 11.0\% |
| 1984 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 3.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 18.2\% | 5.6\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 30.6\% | 22.5\% | 14.6\% |
| 1989 | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 10.3\% | 4.0\% | 4.1\% | 1.9\% | 13.1\% | 35.7\% | 19.9\% | 10.4\% |
| 1990 | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 21.3\% | 4.9\% | 1.0\% | 4.7\% | 15.5\% | 25.9\% | 18.7\% | 5.9\% |
| 1991 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 19.4\% | 2.3\% | 0.4\% | 4.5\% | 8.7\% | 31.6\% | 19.7\% | 13.2\% |
| 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 16.6\% | 1.8\% | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 20.3\% | 8.3\% | 41.5\% | 6.5\% |
| 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 33.6\% | 5.1\% | 0.0\% | 7.3\% | 8.0\% | 4.4\% | 26.3\% | 15.3\% |
| 1994 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.3\% | 12.2\% | 63.3\% |
| 1995 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 9.5\% | 4.2\% | 1.1\% | 5.7\% | 0.8\% | 4.2\% | 27.8\% | 44.5\% |
| 1996 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 14.1\% | 0.0\% | 5.3\% | 6.4\% | 0.0\% | 15.6\% | 54.6\% |
| 1997 | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 3.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.3\% | 3.0\% | 0.8\% | 24.2\% | 60.6\% |
| 1998 | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.7\% | 1.9\% | 26.6\% | 66.6\% |
| $1999$ | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 8.0\% | 5.1\% | 12.8\% | 12.4\% | 57.9\% |
| 2000 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 17.9\% | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 11.7\% | $3.2 \%$ | 0.0\% | 17.3\% | 45.5\% |
| (82-00) | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 12.5\% | 4.5\% | 1.1\% | 3.5\% | 6.1\% | 15.7\% | 22.7\% | 32.7\% |


| $(85-00)$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ | $21.8 \%$ | $37.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table H.25. Nisqually Fall Fingerling distribution of reported catch and escapement ${ }^{1}$.

| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska$\qquad$ | Alaska Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { North } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | CentralTroll | N/CBC $\qquad$ <br> Net | N/CBC Sport | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \operatorname{Tr} \& \mathrm{Sp} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
| 1983 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.4\% | 12.4\% | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 10.9\% | 45.8\% | 1.5\% |
| 1984 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 28.9\% | 1.5\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 38.1\% | 21.8\% | 5.6\% |
| 1985 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 30.3\% | 0.0\% | 6.1\% | 3.0\% | 7.6\% | 31.8\% | 16.7\% | 4.5\% |
| 1986 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.0\% | 12.4\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 36.3\% | 15.0\% | 19.5\% |
| 1987 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 13.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 5.4\% | 34.9\% | 18.8\% | 12.8\% |
| 1988 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 2.2\% | 0.7\% | 2.2\% | 5.4\% | 17.7\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 8.7\% | 17.3\% | 10.5\% | 30.0\% |
| 1989 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | 2.5\% | 3.6\% | 6.3\% | 13.3\% | 42.6\% | 18.3\% | 8.0\% |
| 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 22.5\% | 3.1\% | 0.2\% | 5.8\% | 10.1\% | 37.9\% | 12.1\% | 8.2\% |
| 1991 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.0\% | 3.2\% | 2.4\% | 2.0\% | 16.3\% | 22.3\% | 26.3\% | 17.5\% |
| 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.8\% | 7.6\% | 2.9\% | 2.6\% | 4.2\% | 7.3\% | 18.1\% | 16.8\% | 39.5\% |
| 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.5\% | 3.9\% | 2.2\% | 1.9\% | 3.0\% | 22.5\% | 19.3\% | 33.7\% |
| 1994 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 2.2\% | 2.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 22.1\% | 21.3\% | 46.3\% |
| 1995 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 5.4\% | 1.7\% | 0.1\% | 3.1\% | 2.7\% | 32.4\% | 24.5\% | 29.7\% |
| 1996 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 1.7\% | 42.0\% | 21.3\% | 29.4\% |
| 1997 | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 2.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 0.8\% | 19.3\% | 24.9\% | 47.9\% |
| 1998 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 34.4\% | 12.3\% | 48.9\% |
| 1999 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.5\% | 36.6\% | 20.7\% | 32.0\% |
| 2000 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.6\% | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 6.1\% | 1.7\% | 36.1\% | 19.3\% | 17.4\% |
| (83-00) | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 10.6\% | 4.9\% | 1.9\% | 2.2\% | 5.0\% | 29.8\% | 20.3\% | 24.0\% |
| (85-00) | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 9.1\% | 4.7\% | 1.7\% | 2.5\% | 5.2\% | 30.4\% | 18.6\% | 26.6\% |

Table H.26. Nisqually Fall Fingerling distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement ${ }^{1}$.

|  | Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska$\qquad$$\mathrm{Net}$ | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { N/CBC } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | 1983 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.7\% | 8.9\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 9.2\% | 56.7\% | 1.0\% |
|  | 1984 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 28.9\% | 1.3\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 35.3\% | 25.5\% | 4.7\% |
|  | 1985 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 28.6\% | 0.0\% | 4.8\% | 3.6\% | 7.1\% | 31.0\% | 21.4\% | 3.6\% |
|  | 1986 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.7\% | 12.6\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 33.1\% | 19.7\% | 17.3\% |
|  | 1987 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 14.5\% | 11.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 5.9\% | 29.6\% | 23.7\% | 10.2\% |
|  | 1988 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 2.2\% | 0.8\% | 3.2\% | 5.7\% | 19.2\% | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 8.4\% | 16.5\% | 17.0\% | 22.4\% |
|  | 1989 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 5.4\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 6.0\% | 14.6\% | 40.4\% | 19.1\% | 7.2\% |
|  | 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 23.4\% | 3.2\% | 0.1\% | 5.9\% | 10.3\% | 35.8\% | 13.5\% | 7.6\% |
|  | 1991 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.8\% | 3.5\% | 2.1\% | 1.8\% | 17.0\% | 20.5\% | 28.6\% | 15.5\% |
|  | 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.2\% | 7.2\% | 2.9\% | 1.9\% | 3.9\% | 6.8\% | 18.2\% | 28.5\% | 29.3\% |
|  | 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 14.7\% | 4.5\% | 2.0\% | 1.9\% | 3.4\% | 21.7\% | 21.9\% | 29.0\% |
|  | 1994 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 2.1\% | 2.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 17.8\% | 40.0\% | 32.3\% |
|  | 1995 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 7.8\% | 1.9\% | 0.3\% | 4.0\% | 2.8\% | 29.8\% | 27.4\% | 25.4\% |
|  | 1996 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 1.8\% | 38.8\% | 26.1\% | 26.3\% |
|  | 1997 | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.8\% | 2.9\% | 0.7\% | 0.4\% | 2.5\% | 0.8\% | 17.7\% | 32.6\% | 40.6\% |
|  | 1998 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 30.7\% | 23.7\% | 40.8\% |
|  | $1999$ | $0.3 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | $0.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.6\% | 3.4\% | $0.0 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | 3.9\% | $34.7 \%$ | $25.3 \%$ | 28.6\% |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { N } \\ \hline}}{ }$ | 2000 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.9\% | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 6.4\% | 1.7\% | 34.0\% | 23.1\% | 16.0\% |
|  | (83-00) | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 11.1\% | 4.9\% | 1.7\% | 2.3\% | 5.0\% | 27.5\% | 26.3\% | 19.9\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\frac{(85-00)}{1}$ | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 9.7\% | 4.9\% | 1.5\% | 2.6\% | 5.4\% | 28.1\% | 24.5\% | 22.0\% |

Table H.27. South Puget Sound Fall Fingerling distribution of reported catch and escapement.

|  | Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska$\qquad$Net | Alaska Sport | NorthTroll | Central Troll | N/CBC $\qquad$ <br> Ne | $\begin{array}{r} \text { N/CBC } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | 1982 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 22.9\% | 13.8\% | 1.6\% | 0.1\% | 2.8\% | 24.9\% | 21.3\% | 10.8\% |
|  | 1983 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 17.3\% | 4.6\% | 2.6\% | 0.3\% | 1.6\% | 27.4\% | 28.6\% | 14.3\% |
|  | 1984 | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 20.5\% | 8.5\% | 1.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.4\% | 24.6\% | 22.5\% | 18.4\% |
|  | 1985 | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 18.6\% | 6.3\% | 1.6\% | 0.8\% | 1.9\% | 29.3\% | 18.2\% | 21.6\% |
|  | 1986 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 18.4\% | 7.5\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 10.7\% | 22.4\% | 34.0\% |
|  | 1987 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.7\% | 12.7\% | 3.9\% | 0.0\% | 7.2\% | 13.7\% | 10.9\% | 38.9\% |
|  | 1988 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 5.4\% | 7.5\% | 3.8\% | 4.2\% | 7.3\% | 26.2\% | 14.7\% | 28.6\% |
|  | 1989 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 7.4\% | 4.5\% | 3.9\% | 2.5\% | 11.0\% | 21.4\% | 16.2\% | 32.3\% |
|  | 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 22.7\% | 3.7\% | 1.0\% | 4.3\% | 9.0\% | 23.7\% | 12.3\% | 22.4\% |
|  | 1991 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.2\% | 1.8\% | 1.0\% | 2.6\% | 11.5\% | 26.5\% | 13.2\% | 27.7\% |
|  | 1992 | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 17.2\% | 3.7\% | 2.6\% | 2.2\% | 9.0\% | 23.7\% | 18.1\% | 21.5\% |
|  | 1993 | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 15.7\% | 3.8\% | 2.2\% | 4.6\% | 5.4\% | 15.8\% | 20.9\% | 30.4\% |
|  | 1994 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 8.9\% | 3.0\% | 4.1\% | 1.3\% | 0.8\% | 16.3\% | 10.0\% | 54.9\% |
|  | 1995 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 1.8\% | 0.2\% | 1.1\% | 1.4\% | 5.6\% | 11.7\% | 73.4\% |
|  | 1996 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 0.1\% | 1.8\% | 2.9\% | 6.3\% | 14.8\% | 69.4\% |
|  | 1997 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 5.2\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 1.6\% | 2.9\% | 13.2\% | 72.5\% |
|  | 1998 | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.0\% | 8.0\% | 6.1\% | 79.6\% |
|  | 1999 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 3.0\% | 9.0\% | 5.1\% | 75.5\% |
| $\frac{7}{1}$ | 2000 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.3\% | 9.1\% | 5.3\% | 70.0\% |
| + | (82-00) | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 11.7\% | 5.0\% | 1.7\% | 1.9\% | 4.4\% | 17.1\% | 15.0\% | 41.9\% |
|  | (85-00) | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 10.2\% | 4.2\% | 1.6\% | 2.2\% | 4.8\% | 15.5\% | 13.3\% | 47.0\% |

Table H.28. South Puget Sound Fall Fingerling distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.


Table H.29. South Puget Sound Fall Yearling distribution of reported catch and escapement (NA=not available) ${ }^{1}$.

| Catch <br> Year | AlaskaTroll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Alaska } \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Alaska Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { North } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Central } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | U.S. Sport |  |
| 1982 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 14.5\% | 67.4\% | 8.5\% |
| 1983 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.8\% | 76.3\% | 5.8\% |
| 1984 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.2\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 33.3\% | 43.8\% | 14.1\% |
| 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 32.5\% | 54.5\% | 10.7\% |
| 1991 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 12.8\% | 57.6\% | 19.6\% |
| 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 4.6\% | 28.4\% | 48.6\% | 11.9\% |
| 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 10.4\% | 57.9\% | 27.9\% |
| 1994 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 2.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 15.6\% | 63.3\% | 16.9\% |
| 1995 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.4\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.4\% | 10.4\% | 68.4\% | 10.0\% |
| 1996 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.6\% | 3.2\% | 89.4\% | 3.3\% |
| 1997 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 1.3\% | 4.0\% | 66.6\% | 25.2\% |
| 1998 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 4.5\% | 83.1\% | 10.1\% |
| 1999 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.3\% | 2.4\% | 70.7\% | 4.9\% |
| 2000 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.1\% | 7.2\% | 2.9\% | 79.7\% | NA |
| (82-00) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 2.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.1\% | 2.2\% | 13.2\% | 66.2\% | 12.1\% |
| (85-00) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 2.1\% | 0.2\% | 1.4\% | 2.7\% | 11.5\% | 67.3\% | 12.8\% |

Table H.30. South Puget Sound Fall Yearling distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement (NA=not available) ${ }^{1}$.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC <br> Net | N/CBC <br> Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
| 1982 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 12.8\% | 71.7\% | 6.5\% |
| 1983 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.8\% | 78.8\% | 4.7\% |
| 1984 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.9\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 31.4\% | 47.1\% | 12.8\% |
| 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 30.7\% | 56.7\% | 9.5\% |
| 1991 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 11.4\% | 62.6\% | 16.5\% |
| 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 4.8\% | 26.9\% | 51.2\% | 10.2\% |
| 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 6.7\% | 75.0\% | 15.0\% |
| 1994 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.6\% | 2.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 14.5\% | 67.0\% | 14.1\% |
| 1995 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.9\% | 2.0\% | 0.4\% | 2.4\% | 0.3\% | 8.1\% | 74.1\% | 6.9\% |
| 1996 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.5\% | 2.8\% | 89.9\% | 2.8\% |
| 1997 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.2\% | 3.4\% | 72.0\% | 20.6\% |
| 1998 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 3.6\% | 86.6\% | 8.0\% |
| 1999 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.8\% | 2.4\% | 80.7\% | 2.4\% |
| 2000 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.3\% | 7.0\% | 2.3\% | 81.4\% | NA |
| (82-00) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 1.6\% | 0.2\% | 1.1\% | 2.0\% | 11.8\% | 71.0\% | 9.3\% |
| (85-00) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 1.6\% | 0.3\% | 1.4\% | 2.4\% | 10.3\% | 72.5\% | 9.6\% |

[^4]Table H.31. Nooksack Spring Yearling distribution of reported catch and escapement (NA=not available).

| Catch <br> Year | Alaska <br> Troll | Alaska <br> Net | Alaska Sport | North <br> Troll | Central Troll | $$ | N/CBC <br> Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\text { Troll }{ }^{\text {U.S. }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1986 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.9\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 84.7\% |
| 1989 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 13.5\% | 7.2\% | 73.0\% |
| 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.6\% | 9.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 4.9\% | 34.1\% | 29.3\% |
| 1991 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 32.5\% | 5.9\% | 7.0\% | 2.1\% | 8.4\% | 5.2\% | 36.0\% |
| 1992 | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.4\% | 17.7\% | 12.6\% | 1.1\% | 2.4\% | 1.0\% | 0.4\% | 8.0\% | 54.4\% |
| 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 4.6\% | 15.4\% | 6.4\% | 7.9\% | 0.8\% | 5.6\% | 12.0\% | 46.8\% |
| 1994 | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.1\% | 34.3\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 6.3\% | 3.3\% | 49.2\% |
| 1995 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 25.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 7.7\% | 64.1\% |
| 1996 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 12.4\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 79.5\% |
| 1997 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.2\% | 2.7\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 15.9\% | 58.4\% |
| 1998 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 21.2\% | 1.2\% | 8.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 7.1\% | 51.8\% |
| 1999 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 26.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 2.8\% | 4.5\% | 2.3\% | 60.5\% |
| 2000 | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.4\% | 64.9\% | 0.0\% | 7.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.0\% | NA ${ }^{1}$ |
| (86-00) | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 3.9\% | 22.2\% | 2.5\% | 3.3\% | 0.8\% | 4.0\% | 8.8\% | 52.9\% |


| $(86-00)$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $22.2 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ | $52.9 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table H.32. Nooksack Spring Yearling distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement (NA=not available).

| Catch <br> Year | Alaska $\qquad$ <br> Troll | Alaska$\qquad$Net | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Alaska } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | North Troll | $\qquad$ | N/CBC $\qquad$ <br> Net | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1986 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 12.7\% | 4.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 7.6\% | 3.8\% | 67.9\% |
| 1989 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.3\% | 9.2\% | 68.1\% |
| 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 9.7\% | 26.4\% | 8.3\% | 1.4\% | 1.4\% | 2.8\% | 27.8\% | 16.7\% |
| 1991 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 36.8\% | 5.6\% | 7.1\% | 2.4\% | 7.7\% | 6.8\% | 30.6\% |
| 1992 | 2.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.4\% | 19.8\% | 14.1\% | 1.0\% | 2.5\% | 1.0\% | 0.4\% | 10.0\% | 46.6\% |
| 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 18.4\% | 5.9\% | 8.0\% | 0.8\% | 5.3\% | 12.8\% | 43.3\% |
| 1994 | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.1\% | 36.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 6.0\% | 4.0\% | 47.3\% |
| 1995 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 26.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 12.9\% | 56.2\% |
| 1996 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.5\% | 14.5\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 73.5\% |
| 1997 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.6\% | 2.3\% | 5.5\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 21.9\% | 51.6\% |
| 1998 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.1\% | 6.1\% | 0.0\% | 22.4\% | 2.0\% | 8.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 10.2\% | 44.9\% |
| 1999 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 29.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 3.6\% | 4.1\% | 4.1\% | 54.3\% |
| 2000 | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.9\% | 63.8\% | 0.0\% | 7.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.7\% | NA ${ }^{1}$ |
| (86-00) | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 4.8\% | 25.0\% | 2.4\% | 3.6\% | 0.8\% | 4.3\% | 10.6\% | 46.2\% |
| (86-00) | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 4.8\% | 25.0\% | 2.4\% | 3.6\% | 0.8\% | 4.3\% | 10.6\% | 46.2\% |

Table H.33. Skagit Spring Yearling distribution of reported catch and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { N/CBC } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | U.S. Sport | Escapement |
| 1985 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 29.2\% | 26.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.0\% | 15.8\% | 11.7\% |
| 1986 | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 6.7\% | 0.0\% | 6.2\% | 41.6\% | 2.9\% | 5.7\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 7.7\% | 20.1\% |
| 1987 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 10.4\% | 5.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 22.6\% | 20.8\% | 23.6\% |
| 1988 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 14.9\% | 7.6\% | 9.6\% | 1.8\% | 20.6\% | 14.5\% | 23.1\% |
| 1989 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 17.5\% | 3.3\% | 1.8\% | 4.5\% | 30.4\% | 8.3\% | 29.9\% |
| 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 1.9\% | 1.0\% | 4.9\% | 14.1\% | 4.0\% | 8.7\% | 3.3\% | 15.4\% | 22.9\% | 23.4\% |
| 1997 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 19.5\% | 1.6\% | 10.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 21.1\% | 42.1\% |
| 1998 | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 3.5\% | 1.3\% | 9.2\% | 0.0\% | 6.9\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 17.5\% | 58.2\% |
| 1999 | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 2.3\% | 14.7\% | 0.0\% | 8.5\% | 0.3\% | 2.0\% | 17.1\% | 53.4\% |
| 2000 | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 10.3\% | 26.1\% | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 19.7\% | $34.5 \%$ |
| (85-00) | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 2.3\% | 0.6\% | 4.3\% | 19.7\% | 5.2\% | 5.7\% | 1.2\% | 11.1\% | 16.5\% | 32.0\% |
| (85-00) | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 2.3\% | 0.6\% | 4.3\% | 19.7\% | 5.2\% | 5.7\% | 1.2\% | 11.1\% | 16.5\% | 32.0\% |

H-30
Table H.34. Skagit Spring Yearling distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | N/CBC Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \end{array}$ | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | U.S. Sport |  |
| 1985 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 6.9\% | 29.2\% | 24.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.2\% | 18.5\% | 10.8\% |
| 1986 | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 6.6\% | 0.0\% | 6.2\% | 42.0\% | 2.7\% | 5.8\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 9.3\% | 18.6\% |
| 1987 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 7.5\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 17.5\% | 40.6\% | 15.6\% |
| 1988 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 17.6\% | 7.1\% | 9.3\% | 2.1\% | 19.5\% | 16.1\% | 20.4\% |
| 1989 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 19.5\% | 3.3\% | 1.9\% | 4.9\% | 28.2\% | 10.3\% | 26.9\% |
| 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 1.9\% | 1.1\% | 5.1\% | 14.8\% | 3.7\% | 8.6\% | 3.6\% | 14.6\% | 24.6\% | 21.6\% |
| 1997 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.1\% | 2.7\% | 19.3\% | 2.4\% | 9.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 31.4\% | 30.6\% |
| 1998 | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 4.0\% | 1.2\% | 10.3\% | 0.2\% | 6.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 21.4\% | 52.8\% |
| 1999 | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 2.1\% | 14.6\% | 0.0\% | 8.5\% | 0.3\% | 1.8\% | 23.2\% | 47.7\% |
| 2000 | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 9.4\% | 26.2\% | 0.0\% | 5.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 23.2\% | 31.5\% |
| (85-00) | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 2.1\% | 0.8\% | 4.3\% | 20.1\% | 4.8\% | 5.6\% | 1.2\% | 10.0\% | 21.9\% | 27.6\% |
| (85-00) | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 2.1\% | 0.8\% | 4.3\% | 20.1\% | 4.8\% | 5.6\% | 1.2\% | 10.0\% | 21.9\% | 27.6\% |

Table H.35. White River Spring Yearling distribution of reported catch and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | N/CBC Sport | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Canada $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | U.S. <br> Net | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ | Escapement |
| 1982 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 73.2\% | 23.2\% | 1.2\% ${ }^{1}$ |
| 1983 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 11.3\% | 59.7\% | 21.5\% |
| 1984 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 5.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 9.0\% | 25.2\% | 47.7\% |
| 1985 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 30.8\% | 50.6\% | 13.5\% |
| 1986 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 2.2\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 18.7\% | 50.2\% | 25.7\% |
| 1987 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 11.1\% | 42.4\% | 41.2\% |
| 1988 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 2.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 1.3\% | 13.0\% | 48.4\% | 33.6\% |
| 1989 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.2\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.8\% | 13.1\% | 41.5\% | 36.2\% |
| 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 5.2\% | 15.2\% | 44.7\% | 31.9\% |
| 1991 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 4.1\% | 10.8\% | 38.3\% | 43.4\% |
| 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 1.9\% | 2.3\% | 0.8\% | 2.4\% | 7.9\% | 45.6\% | 36.2\% |
| 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.6\% | 30.5\% | 62.4\% |
| 1994 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 45.2\% | 50.7\% |
| 1995 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 29.5\% | 69.3\% |
| 1996 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 42.9\% | 55.9\% |
| 1997 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 40.4\% | 55.8\% |
| 1998 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 1.6\% | 27.0\% | 69.8\% |
| 1999 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 30.2\% | 65.1\% |
| 2000 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 18.9\% | 73.0\% |
| (82-00) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 1.6\% | 12.0\% | 38.6\% | 43.9\% |
| (85-00) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 1.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 1.7\% | 8.4\% | 39.1\% | 47.7\% |

${ }^{1}$ Values represent estimates of stock distribution only.

Table H.36. White River Spring Yearling distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska $\qquad$ Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | U.S. Sport |  |
|  | 1982 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 60.4\% | 34.0\% | $0.9 \%^{1}$ |
|  | 1983 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 10.4\% | 63.5\% | 19.0\% |
|  | 1984 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 6.9\% | 46.6\% | 31.9\% |
|  | 1985 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 25.9\% | 60.0\% | 9.7\% |
|  | 1986 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 2.1\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 17.4\% | 54.4\% | 22.8\% |
|  | 1987 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 8.1\% | 61.9\% | 26.1\% |
|  | 1988 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 2.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 1.4\% | 12.7\% | 52.1\% | 29.7\% |
|  | 1989 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 1.3\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.0\% | 11.8\% | 46.9\% | 31.7\% |
|  | 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 13.5\% | 50.7\% | 27.2\% |
|  | 1991 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 4.1\% | 9.7\% | 46.2\% | 36.5\% |
|  | 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 2.1\% | 2.1\% | 0.7\% | 2.7\% | 7.5\% | 49.0\% | 32.8\% |
|  | 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | $3.1 \%$ | 39.3\% | 54.2\% |
|  | 1994 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 52.4\% | 43.3\% |
|  | 1995 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 41.4\% | 57.1\% |
|  | 1996 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 48.5\% | 50.1\% |
|  | 1997 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 49.5\% | 47.0\% |
|  | 1998 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 1.4\% | 33.8\% | 63.3\% |
|  | 1999 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 45.9\% | 50.5\% |
| 岕 | 2000 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | $5.1 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 23.1\% | 69.2\% |
|  | (82-00) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 1.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 1.6\% | 10.4\% | 47.3\% | 37.0\% |
|  | (85-00) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 1.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 1.7\% | 7.5\% | 47.2\% | 40.7\% |

Table H.37. Hoko Fall Fingerling distribution of reported catch and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC <br> Net | N/CBC <br> Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
| 1989 | 4.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 7.6\% | 0.4\% | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 1.6\% | 15.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.8\% | 22.1\% | 29.3\% |
| 1990 | 15.9\% | 1.9\% | 0.5\% | 8.1\% | 0.7\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 16.9\% | 0.8\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 1.0\% | 14.4\% | 35.0\% |
| 1991 | 15.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 6.9\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 1.0\% | 8.2\% | 59.6\% |
| 1992 | 7.9\% | 1.4\% | 1.2\% | 4.4\% | 1.2\% | 1.4\% | 0.7\% | 9.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 2.5\% | 66.7\% |
| 1993 | 6.9\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 6.6\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 14.8\% | 0.3\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 4.6\% | 59.2\% |
| 1994 | 13.7\% | 1.8\% | 2.4\% | 14.9\% | 0.6\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 11.6\% | 2.1\% | 1.5\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 47.7\% |
| 1995 | 12.7\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 6.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 2.9\% | 0.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 71.8\% |
| 1996 | 10.8\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 85.0\% |
| 1997 | 14.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 82.1\% |
| 1998 | 8.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 84.3\% |
| 1999 | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 86.5\% |
| 2000 | 4.2\% | 0.2\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 92.6\% |
| (89-00) | 10.1\% | 0.5\% | 1.4\% | 5.4\% | 0.4\% | 1.3\% | 0.2\% | 6.2\% | 0.7\% | 1.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 4.4\% | 66.7\% |
| (89-00) | 10.1\% | 0.5\% | 1.4\% | 5.4\% | 0.4\% | 1.3\% | 0.2\% | 6.2\% | 0.7\% | 1.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 4.4\% | 66.7\% |

Table H.38. Hoko Fall Fingerling distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC <br> Net | N/CBC <br> Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
| 1989 | 12.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.3\% | 8.5\% | 1.1\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 13.7\% | 1.7\% | 11.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 21.9\% | 20.8\% |
| 1990 | 18.5\% | 4.7\% | 0.6\% | 8.6\% | 0.9\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.9\% | 0.7\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.9\% | 14.1\% | 30.1\% |
| 1991 | 18.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 5.2\% | 1.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 7.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 1.0\% | 8.8\% | 55.6\% |
| 1992 | 8.8\% | 3.8\% | 1.6\% | 5.6\% | 1.1\% | 1.4\% | 0.6\% | 10.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 2.7\% | 61.0\% |
| 1993 | 12.6\% | 0.9\% | 2.3\% | 7.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 14.9\% | 0.6\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 4.9\% | 51.4\% |
| 1994 | 20.7\% | 3.6\% | 2.8\% | 13.7\% | 0.5\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 10.9\% | 2.1\% | 1.6\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 40.7\% |
| 1995 | 16.3\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 7.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 3.7\% | 0.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 64.7\% |
| 1996 | 14.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 79.1\% |
| 1997 | 16.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 79.1\% |
| 1998 | 9.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 6.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 82.9\% |
| 1999 | 8.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 83.8\% |
| 2000 | 6.1\% | 0.2\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 87.7\% |
| (89-00) | 13.5\% | 1.3\% | 1.9\% | 5.9\% | 0.4\% | 1.1\% | 0.2\% | 6.7\% | 0.7\% | 1.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 4.5\% | 61.4\% |
| (89-00) | 13.5\% | 1.3\% | 1.9\% | 5.9\% | 0.4\% | 1.1\% | 0.2\% | 6.7\% | 0.7\% | 1.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 4.5\% | 61.4\% |

Table H.39. Sooes Fall Fingerling distribution of reported catch and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC <br> Net | N/CBC <br> Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
| 1989 | 7.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 8.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 75.8\% |
| 1990 | 9.9\% | 2.8\% | 4.3\% | 14.2\% | 1.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 17.7\% | 7.1\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 34.8\% |
| 1991 | 12.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 5.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 64.2\% |
| 1992 | 8.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.5\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 19.3\% | 1.0\% | 3.4\% | 1.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 51.7\% |
| 1993 | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.6\% | 2.1\% | 2.1\% | 2.1\% | 16.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 63.9\% |
| 1994 | 17.0\% | 3.0\% | 4.0\% | 10.5\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 8.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 55.5\% |
| 1995 | 8.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 9.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 73.9\% |
| 1996 | 8.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 90.7\% |
| 1997 | 10.5\% | 0.0\% | 5.2\% | 5.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 23.7\% | 0.0\% | 49.8\% |
| 1998 | 9.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 17.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 72.3\% |
| 1999 | 12.8\% | 0.0\% | 12.8\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 69.0\% |
| 2000 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 86.9\% |
| (89-00) | 9.1\% | 0.5\% | 2.5\% | 6.9\% | 0.6\% | 0.9\% | 0.3\% | 6.5\% | 0.8\% | 0.8\% | 2.0\% | 0.2\% | 2.3\% | 1.0\% | 65.7\% |
| (89-00) | 9.1\% | 0.5\% | 2.5\% | 6.9\% | 0.6\% | 0.9\% | 0.3\% | 6.5\% | 0.8\% | 0.8\% | 2.0\% | 0.2\% | 2.3\% | 1.0\% | 65.7\% |

$\underset{\substack{\underset{\sim}{心} \\ \underset{\sim}{4} \\ \hline}}{ }$
Table H.40. Sooes Fall Fingerling distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC <br> Net | N/CBC <br> Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1989 | 10.7\% | 2.1\% | 0.5\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 63.6\% |
| 1990 | 11.6\% | 7.0\% | 4.1\% | 16.3\% | 1.7\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 17.4\% | 6.4\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 28.5\% |
| 1991 | 13.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 10.7\% | 0.3\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 7.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.1\% | 59.2\% |
| 1992 | 11.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 10.6\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.4\% | 1.2\% | 3.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 46.5\% |
| 1993 | 8.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 16.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 59.4\% |
| 1994 | 20.6\% | 7.5\% | 3.5\% | 9.6\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 48.7\% |
| 1995 | 14.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 12.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 62.8\% |
| 1996 | 15.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 82.7\% |
| 1997 | 11.8\% | 0.0\% | 5.9\% | 5.9\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.3\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 23.9\% | 0.0\% | 46.9\% |
| 1998 | 10.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 18.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 68.9\% |
| 1999 | 14.1\% | 0.0\% | 14.1\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 65.7\% |
| 2000 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 83.9\% |
| (89-00) | 11.9\% | 1.4\% | 2.8\% | 7.9\% | 0.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.2\% | 7.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 2.1\% | 0.2\% | 2.4\% | 1.1\% | 59.7\% |
| (89-00) | 11.9\% | 1.4\% | 2.8\% | 7.9\% | 0.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.2\% | 7.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 2.1\% | 0.2\% | 2.4\% | 1.1\% | 59.7\% |

Table H.41. Queets Fall Fingerling distribution of reported catch and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC <br> Net | $\begin{array}{r} \text { N/CBC } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | U.S. <br> Net | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
| 1981 | 11.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 13.4\% | 2.1\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 11.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 30.9\% | 3.1\% | 23.7\% |
| 1982 | 12.2\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 22.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.2\% | 12.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 25.3\% | 0.0\% | 22.9\% |
| 1983 | 33.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 7.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 25.8\% | 0.0\% | 22.7\% |
| 1984 | 16.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 19.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 7.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 28.7\% | 0.0\% | 23.1\% |
| 1985 | 15.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 31.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.4\% | 1.2\% | 33.6\% |
| 1986 | 19.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 11.4\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.3\% | 0.0\% | 49.3\% |
| 1987 | 22.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 11.7\% | 0.9\% | 0.6\% | 0.9\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 22.7\% | 0.6\% | 38.7\% |
| 1988 | 15.1\% | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 7.9\% | 2.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 16.7\% | 3.3\% | 47.6\% |
| 1989 | 11.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 1.1\% | 7.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 27.8\% | 1.6\% | 41.1\% |
| 1990 | 12.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 1.8\% | 6.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 13.8\% | 0.0\% | 58.9\% |
| 1991 | 20.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 9.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.7\% | 0.5\% | 46.2\% |
| 1992 | 8.3\% | 0.8\% | 2.2\% | 7.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.9\% | 17.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 19.2\% | 0.8\% | 41.4\% |
| 1993 | 15.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 14.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 12.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 16.0\% | 2.8\% | 35.6\% |
| 1994 | 15.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 20.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 1.5\% | 3.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.6\% | 0.0\% | 34.8\% |
| 1995 | 17.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 2.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.7\% | 33.4\% | 0.0\% | 37.4\% |
| 1996 | 10.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.5\% | 0.6\% | 70.3\% |
| 1997 | 34.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 5.9\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.7\% | 0.0\% | 37.5\% |
| 1998 | 33.5\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 26.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 13.9\% | 7.2\% | 15.0\% |
| 1999 | 26.3\% | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 5.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 26.7\% | 0.4\% | 35.7\% |
| 2000 | 26.2\% | 0.0\% | 11.1\% | 11.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 21.1\% | 0.0\% | 30.1\% |
| (81-00) | 18.9\% | 0.3\% | 1.5\% | 12.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.8\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 21.0\% | 1.1\% | 37.3\% |
| (85-00) | 19.1\% | 0.2\% | 1.9\% | 11.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 19.3\% | 1.2\% | 40.8\% |

Table H.42. Queets Fall Fingerling distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { N/CBC } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \end{gathered}$ | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | 1981 | 15.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.9\% | 1.8\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 11.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 27.4\% | 3.5\% | 20.4\% |
|  | 1982 | 14.8\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 23.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.1\% | 11.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 24.3\% | 0.0\% | 21.3\% |
|  | 1983 | 50.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 19.5\% | 0.0\% | 16.2\% |
|  | 1984 | 20.9\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 20.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 25.8\% | 0.0\% | 20.2\% |
|  | 1985 | 20.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 33.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.6\% | 1.7\% | 28.7\% |
|  | 1986 | 28.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 10.8\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.7\% | 0.0\% | 41.7\% |
|  | 1987 | 28.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 11.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 1.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 20.2\% | 0.7\% | 34.1\% |
|  | 1988 | 18.1\% | 2.4\% | 0.6\% | 9.5\% | 2.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.9\% | 3.4\% | 41.8\% |
|  | 1989 | 16.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 10.6\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 1.2\% | 8.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 24.4\% | 1.7\% | 35.5\% |
|  | 1990 | 15.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 6.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 1.9\% | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 13.3\% | 0.0\% | 55.1\% |
|  | 1991 | 24.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 10.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.6\% | 0.5\% | 42.6\% |
|  | 1992 | 15.1\% | 2.2\% | 2.4\% | 8.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.8\% | 18.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.3\% | 0.8\% | 34.6\% |
|  | 1993 | 20.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 15.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 13.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 14.3\% | 2.9\% | 31.1\% |
|  | 1994 | 23.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.4\% | 20.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 1.4\% | 3.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 17.9\% | 0.0\% | 30.0\% |
|  | 1995 | 22.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 2.5\% | 0.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.7\% | 30.3\% | 0.0\% | 33.5\% |
|  | 1996 | 18.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.9\% | 0.5\% | 62.2\% |
|  | 1997 | $38.1 \%$ | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 6.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 19.5\% | 0.0\% | 35.0\% |
|  | 1998 | 35.6\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 26.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.8\% | 7.1\% | 13.6\% |
|  | 1999 | 34.0\% | $0.0 \%$ | 7.3\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $22.8 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ |
| ù | 2000 | 29.2\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 11.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.1\% | 0.0\% | 28.7\% |
|  | (81-00) | 24.5\% | 0.5\% | 1.6\% | 13.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.9\% | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 18.8\% | 1.2\% | 32.8\% |
|  | (85-00) | 24.3\% | 0.4\% | 2.0\% | 12.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 17.4\% | 1.2\% | 36.1\% |

Table H.43. Cowlitz Fall Tule distribution of reported catch and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North <br> Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Central } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ | Escapement |
| 1981 | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 6.4\% | 16.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 9.7\% | 15.0\% | 12.9\% | 27.9\% |
| 1982 | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.4\% | 0.5\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 14.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.9\% | 18.5\% | 9.7\% | 12.5\% | 34.9\% |
| 1983 | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 3.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 17.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 6.9\% | 4.8\% | 18.7\% | 36.2\% |
| 1984 | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.2\% | 2.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 24.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | 15.1\% | 3.6\% | 35.9\% |
| 1985 | 3.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 11.4\% | 0.4\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | 6.5\% | 13.7\% | 49.9\% |
| 1986 | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 12.6\% | 0.4\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 12.9\% | 31.0\% | 12.5\% | 27.4\% |
| 1987 | 3.7\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.0\% | 11.3\% | 23.0\% | 16.1\% | 29.0\% |
| 1988 | 1.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 15.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 15.5\% | 23.9\% | 12.4\% | 27.6\% |
| 1989 | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.8\% | 7.1\% | 10.6\% | 48.1\% |
| 1990 | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 2.9\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 14.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 9.5\% | 0.0\% | 12.0\% | 51.8\% |
| 1991 | 9.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 10.5\% | 11.3\% | 9.7\% | 45.2\% |
| 1992 | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 17.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.0\% | 5.4\% | 4.8\% | 59.1\% |
| 1993 | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.4\% | 3.1\% | 22.3\% | 43.4\% |
| 1994 | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 88.7\% |
| 1995 | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 4.7\% | 2.4\% | 1.8\% | 83.4\% |
| 1996 | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.9\% | 1.1\% | 3.7\% | 83.3\% |
| 1997 | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 9.8\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 68.3\% |
| 1998 | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.9\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 76.5\% |
| $1999$ | $4.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.0\% | 58.6\% |
| 2000 | $3.1 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.5\% | 13.5\% | 5.2\% | 7.3\% | 52.1\% |
| (81-00) | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 2.7\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 0.6\% | 9.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.9\% | 9.9\% | 8.2\% | 9.8\% | 51.4\% |
| (85-00) | 3.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.9\% | 2.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | 7.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% | 9.9\% | 7.5\% | 9.3\% | 55.8\% |

Table H.44. Cowlitz Fall Tule distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

|  | Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska $\qquad$ <br> Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | CentralTroll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | N/CBC Sport | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
|  | 1981 | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 6.3\% | 17.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 11.5\% | 14.4\% | 12.9\% | 25.4\% |
|  | 1982 | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 1.6\% | 0.4\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 16.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.0\% | 20.4\% | 9.6\% | 12.8\% | 30.1\% |
|  | 1983 | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.2\% | 3.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 18.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 4.7\% | 18.7\% | 33.2\% |
|  | 1984 | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.5\% | 2.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.9\% | 25.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 14.7\% | 3.7\% | 34.2\% |
|  | 1985 | 4.1\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 12.7\% | 0.4\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 5.1\% | 6.4\% | 14.5\% | 45.7\% |
|  | 1986 | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 14.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.6\% | 30.1\% | 12.7\% | 24.9\% |
|  | 1987 | 6.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 4.6\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.9\% | 12.0\% | 21.3\% | 15.5\% | 25.7\% |
|  | 1988 | 2.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 17.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 16.1\% | 22.8\% | 12.4\% | 25.7\% |
|  | 1989 | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 7.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.8\% | 6.9\% | 10.8\% | 45.4\% |
|  | 1990 | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 3.4\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 15.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.1\% | 0.0\% | 12.8\% | 47.8\% |
|  | 1991 | 12.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 11.6\% | 10.9\% | 9.4\% | 40.6\% |
|  | 1992 | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 20.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.9\% | 5.4\% | 5.4\% | 54.2\% |
|  | 1993 | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 19.1\% | 3.0\% | 22.2\% | 39.3\% |
|  | 1994 | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 86.7\% |
|  | 1995 | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 3.3\% | 5.5\% | 2.2\% | 1.7\% | 77.9\% |
|  | 1996 | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.9\% | 1.1\% | 4.0\% | 80.9\% |
|  | 1997 | 5.1\% | 0.0\% | 10.9\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.7\% | 2.9\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 5.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 64.0\% |
|  | 1998 | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.6\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 72.9\% |
| T | 1999 | 7.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.8\% | 0.0\% | 18.2\% | 54.5\% |
| $\omega_{\infty}^{\omega}$ | 2000 | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.0\% | 16.8\% | 4.7\% | 6.5\% | 46.7\% |
|  | (81-00) | 4.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 3.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.7\% | 0.6\% | 10.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 1.1\% | 10.9\% | 7.9\% | 9.9\% | 47.8\% |
|  | (85-00) | 4.5\% | 0.2\% | 1.0\% | 2.6\% | 0.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 8.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 1.4\% | 10.9\% | 7.2\% | 9.4\% | 52.1\% |

Table H.45. Lower River Hatchery distribution of reported catch and escapement.

| CatchYear | Alaska Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Alaska } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | Alaska Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { North } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Central } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { N/CBC } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
| 1980 | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 16.0\% | 3.4\% | 6.4\% | 1.3\% | 18.3\% | 9.8\% | 22.4\% | 19.8\% |
| 1981 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 30.6\% | 1.8\% | 2.4\% | 0.3\% | 22.6\% | 1.9\% | 11.6\% | 28.2\% |
| 1982 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 26.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 18.5\% | 16.4\% | 9.0\% | 26.5\% |
| 1983 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 35.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.4\% | 11.2\% | 6.8\% | 8.5\% | 33.4\% |
| 1984 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 49.9\% | 1.3\% | 1.6\% | 0.3\% | 5.9\% | 11.3\% | 3.7\% | 22.7\% |
| 1985 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 28.3\% | 1.1\% | 1.2\% | 0.7\% | 15.5\% | 4.1\% | 5.8\% | 42.0\% |
| 1986 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.1\% | 8.9\% | 2.3\% | 7.3\% | 2.6\% | 6.7\% | 13.1\% | 11.3\% | 46.9\% |
| 1987 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 27.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 2.5\% | 16.5\% | 20.7\% | 9.5\% | 21.3\% |
| 1988 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 28.8\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 11.5\% | 24.3\% | 3.2\% | 27.7\% |
| 1989 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 22.4\% | 5.9\% | 5.1\% | 49.2\% |
| 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 19.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 16.3\% | 0.3\% | 11.1\% | 50.3\% |
| 1991 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.0\% | 0.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.0\% | 9.1\% | 2.3\% | 15.0\% | 58.2\% |
| 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.9\% | 28.0\% | 0.8\% | 11.0\% | 40.5\% |
| 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 19.7\% | 2.0\% | 11.1\% | 43.6\% |
| 1994 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 27.6\% | 10.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 62.1\% |
| 1995 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 10.0\% | 86.7\% |
| 1996 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.1\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 85.5\% |
| 1997 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.5\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 8.7\% | 1.0\% | 11.2\% | 55.8\% |
| 1998 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.1\% | 1.0\% | 2.0\% | 23.2\% | 63.6\% |
| 1999 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.9\% | 6.6\% | 3.3\% | 9.9\% | 69.2\% |
| 2000 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.9\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 16.8\% | 1.8\% | 2.3\% | 4.5\% | 56.4\% |
| (80-00) | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 18.7\% | 1.4\% | 1.3\% | 2.6\% | 11.8\% | 6.6\% | 9.4\% | 47.1\% |
| (85-00) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 14.7\% | 1.3\% | 1.0\% | 3.2\% | 10.8\% | 5.7\% | 8.9\% | 53.7\% |

Table H.46. Lower River Hatchery distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

|  | Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska $\qquad$ <br> Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | CentralTroll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \mathrm{Tr} \& \mathrm{Sp} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
|  | 1980 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 28.7\% | 2.1\% | 4.5\% | 1.0\% | 24.2\% | 7.0\% | 18.7\% | 11.5\% |
|  | 1981 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 31.5\% | 1.7\% | 2.2\% | 0.3\% | 25.8\% | 1.8\% | 11.8\% | 24.3\% |
|  | 1982 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 27.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 20.6\% | 15.7\% | 9.2\% | 23.6\% |
|  | 1983 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 36.9\% | 1.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 12.3\% | 6.7\% | 9.6\% | 29.4\% |
|  | 1984 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 51.6\% | 1.3\% | 1.6\% | 0.2\% | 6.3\% | 11.1\% | 4.1\% | 20.4\% |
|  | 1985 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 30.3\% | 1.1\% | 1.2\% | 0.7\% | 17.6\% | 4.1\% | 6.0\% | 37.8\% |
|  | 1986 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | 8.4\% | 1.8\% | 6.2\% | 2.8\% | 6.2\% | 12.4\% | 27.9\% | 33.5\% |
|  | 1987 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 33.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 2.3\% | 17.2\% | 18.5\% | 8.6\% | 17.6\% |
|  | 1988 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 31.2\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 11.8\% | 23.2\% | 3.2\% | 25.9\% |
|  | 1989 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 25.3\% | 5.4\% | 5.4\% | 45.1\% |
|  | 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 22.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 18.3\% | 0.3\% | 11.8\% | 44.9\% |
|  | 1991 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.4\% | 1.0\% | 2.4\% | 2.4\% | 10.8\% | 2.4\% | 16.9\% | 51.4\% |
|  | 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 19.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.8\% | 30.5\% | 0.8\% | 10.7\% | 35.2\% |
|  | 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 20.9\% | 1.9\% | 11.3\% | 40.0\% |
|  | 1994 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 31.3\% | 12.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 56.3\% |
|  | 1995 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 9.7\% | 83.9\% |
|  | 1996 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.5\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 84.1\% |
|  | 1997 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.4\% | 3.1\% | 0.4\% | 3.6\% | 9.3\% | 0.9\% | 11.1\% | 51.1\% |
|  | 1998 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.5\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 0.9\% | 1.9\% | 24.3\% | 58.9\% |
| $\pm$ | $1999$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.8\% | 7.9\% | 3.5\% | 10.2\% | 66.3\% |
| $\bigcirc$ | 2000 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.9\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 20.7\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 7.2\% | 49.4\% |
|  | (80-00) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 21.1\% | 1.5\% | 1.3\% | 2.8\% | 13.2\% | 6.1\% | 10.4\% | 42.4\% |
|  | (85-00) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 16.7\% | 1.5\% | 1.1\% | 3.5\% | 11.8\% | 5.4\% | 10.3\% | 48.8\% |

Table H.47. Spring Creek Tule distribution of reported catch and escapement.

|  | Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | AlaskaNet | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC <br> Net | N/CBC <br> Sport | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
|  | 1979 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 23.5\% | 1.4\% | 2.4\% | 0.1\% | 17.5\% | 23.0\% | 13.1\% | 17.9\% |
|  | 1980 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 25.7\% | 2.8\% | 1.0\% | 0.1\% | 23.4\% | 23.5\% | 10.2\% | 12.6\% |
|  | 1981 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 21.0\% | 1.5\% | 1.9\% | 0.1\% | 23.5\% | 20.7\% | 12.6\% | 18.3\% |
|  | 1982 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 22.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 19.6\% | 35.6\% | 8.3\% | 12.7\% |
|  | 1983 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 29.8\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 8.4\% | 20.2\% | 9.8\% | 29.7\% |
|  | 1984 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 27.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.4\% | 6.0\% | 25.9\% | 7.4\% | 29.1\% |
|  | 1985 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.7\% | 13.8\% | 27.2\% | 4.0\% | 39.7\% |
|  | 1986 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.7\% | 1.6\% | 1.6\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 36.3\% | 8.0\% | 23.9\% |
|  | 1987 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.8\% | 38.3\% | 20.0\% | 19.1\% |
|  | 1988 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 23.2\% | 0.9\% | 1.9\% | 2.2\% | 18.2\% | 31.0\% | 10.3\% | 11.3\% |
|  | 1989 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 3.2\% | 24.7\% | 34.5\% | 8.3\% | 13.8\% |
|  | 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 17.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 4.5\% | 14.3\% | 23.1\% | 13.1\% | 25.3\% |
|  | 1991 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 13.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 1.3\% | 16.9\% | 34.3\% | 11.0\% | 22.5\% |
|  | 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.9\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 2.5\% | 26.6\% | 14.6\% | 11.8\% | 31.3\% |
|  | 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 4.2\% | 17.6\% | 21.4\% | 10.5\% | 28.3\% |
|  | 1994 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 3.9\% | 3.5\% | 28.9\% | 0.8\% | 43.4\% |
|  | 1995 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 2.7\% | 1.8\% | 37.9\% | 0.0\% | 50.7\% |
|  | 1996 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 6.1\% | 57.6\% | 3.4\% | 29.7\% |
| 㫘 | 1997 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 8.1\% | 38.0\% | 17.8\% | 13.6\% |
| $\pm$ | 1998 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 2.8\% | 15.0\% | 12.7\% | 68.6\% |
|  | 1999 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 16.0\% | 38.3\% | 8.8\% | 32.6\% |
|  | 2000 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.7\% | 11.4\% | 44.2\% | 19.7\% | 4.2\% |
|  | (79-00) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.6\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 2.4\% | 13.5\% | 30.4\% | 10.1\% | 26.3\% |

Table H.48. Spring Creek Tule distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

|  | Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | AlaskaNet | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC <br> Net | N/CBC <br> Sport | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Sport } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | 1979 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 25.0\% | 1.3\% | 2.3\% | 0.1\% | 19.5\% | 21.6\% | 14.0\% | 15.3\% |
|  | 1980 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 26.7\% | 2.5\% | 0.9\% | 0.1\% | 25.0\% | 22.2\% | 11.1\% | 10.7\% |
|  | 1981 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 21.7\% | 1.4\% | 1.8\% | 0.2\% | 25.1\% | 20.0\% | 13.1\% | 16.4\% |
|  | 1982 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 22.6\% | 1.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 22.1\% | 34.0\% | 8.2\% | 11.4\% |
|  | 1983 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 31.5\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 9.1\% | 18.9\% | 12.1\% | 26.4\% |
|  | 1984 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 27.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.4\% | 6.1\% | 24.6\% | 12.6\% | 25.5\% |
|  | 1985 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.6\% | 16.1\% | 27.0\% | 4.1\% | 36.6\% |
|  | 1986 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 21.9\% | 1.5\% | 1.8\% | 2.7\% | 2.7\% | 35.5\% | 8.9\% | 22.2\% |
|  | 1987 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.4\% | 39.5\% | 19.7\% | 14.5\% |
|  | 1988 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 26.3\% | 1.0\% | 1.5\% | 2.4\% | 19.1\% | 27.6\% | 12.0\% | 9.0\% |
|  | 1989 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 3.2\% | 26.8\% | 32.1\% | 8.3\% | 11.9\% |
|  | 1990 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 19.9\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 4.7\% | 15.5\% | 21.2\% | 14.7\% | 21.7\% |
|  | 1991 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 15.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 1.3\% | 18.7\% | 32.2\% | 11.7\% | 19.7\% |
|  | 1992 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 2.4\% | 28.9\% | 13.8\% | 11.7\% | 27.6\% |
|  | 1993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 19.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 4.2\% | 19.2\% | 19.9\% | 11.5\% | 25.1\% |
|  | 1994 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 21.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 4.1\% | 3.9\% | 28.5\% | 1.0\% | 39.7\% |
|  | 1995 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 3.9\% | 2.1\% | 36.6\% | 0.0\% | 45.5\% |
|  | 1996 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 7.1\% | 57.8\% | 3.6\% | 27.6\% |
| 宁 | 1997 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 21.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 3.9\% | 8.6\% | 34.8\% | 18.4\% | 11.8\% |
| $\pm$ | 1998 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 3.5\% | 15.4\% | 14.4\% | 65.8\% |
| N | 1999 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 18.6\% | 38.1\% | 8.9\% | 30.1\% |
|  | 2000 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.2\% | 11.8\% | 39.0\% | 23.1\% | 3.4\% |
|  | (79-00) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.8\% | 2.6\% | 14.8\% | 29.1\% | 11.1\% | 23.5\% |


| $(85-00)$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $13.8 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | $31.2 \%$ | $10.8 \%$ | $25.8 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table H.49. Columbia River Summers distribution of reported catch and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { N/CBC } \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | N/CBC <br> Sport | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | U.S. <br> Troll | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | Escapement |
| 1979 | 12.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 6.7\% | 2.2\% | 8.3\% | 0.0\% | 15.0\% | 7.2\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | 4.4\% | 36.7\% |
| 1980 | 34.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 8.5\% | 3.8\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 16.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 32.9\% |
| 1987 | 13.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 4.8\% | 4.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.2\% | 15.3\% | 0.0\% | 33.1\% |
| 1988 | 1.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 8.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.0\% | 2.0\% | 16.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 4.4\% | 3.6\% | 15.9\% | 3.2\% | 35.1\% |
| 1989 | 4.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 4.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 14.5\% | 1.4\% | 2.2\% | 2.3\% | 13.8\% | 8.2\% | 2.5\% | 43.1\% |
| 1990 | 9.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.8\% | 1.1\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 20.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 5.7\% | 11.0\% | 2.5\% | 41.0\% |
| 1991 | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.5\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 5.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.7\% | 3.4\% | 4.0\% | 2.2\% | 75.0\% |
| 1992 | 12.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 2.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.4\% | 1.3\% | 1.3\% | 57.5\% |
| 1993 | 5.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 14.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 5.3\% | 3.4\% | 1.4\% | 63.8\% |
| 1994 | 13.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 13.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.5\% | 0.0\% | 63.2\% |
| 1995 | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 89.5\% |
| 1996 | 13.8\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 73.5\% |
| 1997 | 8.6\% | 0.1\% | 3.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.9\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.9\% | 79.9\% |
| 1998 | 8.6\% | 0.3\% | 1.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 2.1\% | 1.3\% | 0.5\% | 84.4\% |
| 1999 | 11.1\% | 0.5\% | 1.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 3.9\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.3\% | 10.4\% | 1.7\% | 4.6\% | 58.6\% |
| 2000 | 32.3\% | 1.2\% | 4.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 8.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 10.8\% | 6.1\% | 1.9\% | 8.6\% | 22.4\% |
| (79-00) | 11.7\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 3.1\% | 0.9\% | 2.0\% | 1.7\% | 8.3\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 1.6\% | 5.4\% | 5.1\% | 2.3\% | 55.6\% |
| (85-00) | 10.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 2.4\% | 0.6\% | 1.6\% | 1.9\% | 7.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 1.9\% | 6.1\% | 5.4\% | 2.3\% | 58.6\% |

Table H.50. Columbia River Summers distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { N/CBC } \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | N/CBC <br> Sport | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ | Escapement |
| 1979 | 15.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 7.5\% | 3.3\% | 7.5\% | 1.4\% | 16.5\% | 6.6\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 3.8\% | 4.2\% | 31.1\% |
| 1980 | 34.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 8.7\% | 3.9\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 16.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 31.7\% |
| 1987 | 16.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.0\% | 3.7\% | 4.3\% | 2.5\% | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 19.8\% | 11.7\% | 0.6\% | 25.3\% |
| 1988 | 2.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.6\% | 0.0\% | 7.9\% | 2.0\% | 21.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 4.3\% | 3.6\% | 13.9\% | 3.0\% | 29.0\% |
| 1989 | 6.9\% | 2.0\% | 0.7\% | 5.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 16.0\% | 1.4\% | 1.9\% | 2.3\% | 14.5\% | 7.3\% | 2.4\% | 37.9\% |
| 1990 | 10.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 1.1\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 20.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 5.7\% | 10.5\% | 2.6\% | 38.7\% |
| 1991 | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.5\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.7\% | 3.6\% | 4.0\% | 2.3\% | 73.7\% |
| 1992 | 16.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 1.8\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 15.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.4\% | 1.2\% | 1.5\% | 52.8\% |
| 1993 | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 15.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 5.6\% | 3.3\% | 1.4\% | 61.4\% |
| 1994 | 16.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.5\% | 0.0\% | 57.1\% |
| 1995 | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 81.5\% |
| 1996 | 21.9\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 62.0\% |
| 1997 | 9.6\% | 0.1\% | 4.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 1.3\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 0.2\% | 1.0\% | 77.5\% |
| 1998 | 9.8\% | 0.8\% | 1.2\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 2.3\% | 1.3\% | 0.5\% | 82.1\% |
| 1999 | 14.2\% | 1.4\% | 1.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 4.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 10.8\% | 1.6\% | 4.7\% | 53.6\% |
| 2000 | 36.0\% | 1.9\% | 4.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | $3.1 \%$ | 7.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 11.0\% | 6.0\% | 1.7\% | 7.9\% | 18.9\% |
| (79-00) | 13.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.9\% | 3.6\% | 0.9\% | 2.0\% | 2.1\% | 9.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 1.7\% | 5.6\% | 4.6\% | 2.3\% | 50.9\% |
| (85-00) | 12.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.9\% | 2.9\% | 0.6\% | 1.7\% | 2.3\% | 8.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 1.9\% | 6.2\% | 4.9\% | 2.3\% | 53.7\% |

Table H.51. Willamette Spring distribution of reported catch and escapement.


Table H.52. Willamette Spring distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | N/CBC <br> Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | $\begin{gathered} \text { GeoSt } \\ \mathrm{Tr} \& \mathrm{Sp} \end{gathered}$ | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | U.S. Sport | Escapement |
|  | 1980 | 9.5\% | 0.9\% | 0.4\% | 13.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.8\% | 0.1\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.7\% | 15.3\% | 52.2\% |
|  | 1981 | 11.2\% | 1.1\% | 0.3\% | 13.5\% | 0.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 3.0\% | 18.1\% | 47.9\% |
|  | 1982 | 5.9\% | 1.5\% | 0.2\% | 7.7\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 7.0\% | 24.8\% | 46.3\% |
|  | 1983 | 19.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 13.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 5.9\% | 19.8\% | 36.5\% |
|  | 1984 | 4.8\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 2.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 2.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 6.3\% | 24.6\% | 57.4\% |
|  | 1985 | 8.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 17.6\% | 20.7\% | 51.7\% |
|  | 1986 | 5.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 7.6\% | 0.7\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 6.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 8.8\% | 17.2\% | 50.7\% |
|  | 1987 | 18.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 15.4\% | 1.2\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 3.2\% | 5.3\% | 23.0\% | 28.4\% |
|  | 1988 | 12.7\% | 0.9\% | 0.6\% | 8.1\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 6.7\% | 26.9\% | 37.1\% |
|  | 1989 | 5.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | 1.8\% | 12.4\% | 20.7\% | 53.8\% |
|  | 1990 | 10.5\% | 0.8\% | 0.3\% | 2.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 1.5\% | 15.8\% | 26.7\% | 37.9\% |
|  | 1991 | 4.4\% | 1.4\% | 0.7\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 5.9\% | 43.1\% | 40.4\% |
|  | 1992 | 8.1\% | 1.7\% | 0.3\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 2.9\% | 5.5\% | 30.4\% | 45.2\% |
|  | 1993 | 13.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.7\% | 0.8\% | 41.3\% | 38.8\% |
|  | 1994 | 5.9\% | 0.4\% | 1.2\% | 0.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 5.0\% | 39.2\% | 45.8\% |
|  | 1995 | 5.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 43.7\% | 47.4\% |
|  | 1996 | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.2\% | 8.2\% | 86.5\% |
|  | 1997 | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.8\% | 16.5\% | 77.1\% |
| $\stackrel{T}{1}$ | 1998 | 5.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 17.2\% | 75.7\% |
| - | 1999 | 8.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 16.1\% | 73.4\% |
|  | 2000 | 11.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 2.0\% | 44.1\% | 40.5\% |
|  | (80-00) | 8.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 4.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 1.9\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.1\% | 5.3\% | 25.6\% | 51.0\% |
|  | (85-00) | 8.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 2.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 1.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.0\% | 5.6\% | 27.2\% | 51.9\% |

Table H.53. Lewis River Wild distribution of reported catch and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { N/CBC } \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | N/CBC <br> Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | Escapement |
| 1981 | 6.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 1.4\% | 0.2\% | 2.1\% | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 4.2\% | 15.7\% | 57.6\% |
| 1982 | 6.2\% | 1.3\% | 0.2\% | 3.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.4\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 6.2\% | 23.0\% | 41.8\% |
| 1986 | 5.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 2.2\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 3.3\% | 26.3\% | 12.1\% | 38.9\% |
| 1987 | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 2.7\% | 25.5\% | 6.2\% | 46.9\% |
| 1988 | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 8.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 23.1\% | 16.8\% | 38.8\% |
| 1989 | 1.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 4.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 5.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 4.9\% | 9.5\% | 7.3\% | 64.0\% |
| 1990 | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 12.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 3.9\% | 3.4\% | 5.2\% | 66.3\% |
| 1991 | 6.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 15.8\% | 7.1\% | 56.6\% |
| 1992 | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 6.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 4.5\% | 23.5\% | 55.0\% |
| 1993 | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 6.7\% | 9.3\% | 64.2\% |
| 1994 | 6.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 83.2\% |
| 1995 | 6.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 24.7\% | 57.5\% |
| 1996 | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 0.9\% | 4.7\% | 84.5\% |
| 1997 | 13.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | $3.1 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 79.6\% |
| 1998 | 8.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 84.8\% |
| $1999$ | 11.8\% | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0\% | 82.4\% |
| 2000 | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.9\% | 0.0\% | 80.0\% |
| (81-00) | 5.9\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 3.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 5.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 2.1\% | 8.6\% | 9.5\% | 63.7\% |
| (85-00) | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 3.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 1.9\% | 9.1\% | 8.2\% | 65.5\% |

Table H.54. Lewis River Wild distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.


Table H.55. Columbia River Upriver Bright distribution of reported catch and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { N/CBC } \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | N/CBC <br> Sport | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \end{gathered}$ | Canada | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | Escapement |
| 1979 | 19.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 7.5\% | 3.9\% | 3.6\% | 0.1\% | 11.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 22.6\% | 1.8\% | 26.2\% |
| 1980 | 21.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 6.5\% | 1.6\% | 1.7\% | 0.1\% | 7.3\% | 1.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 6.4\% | 1.8\% | 50.2\% |
| 1981 | 16.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 5.6\% | 1.1\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 3.6\% | 1.0\% | 65.7\% |
| 1982 | 6.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 3.5\% | 0.2\% | 1.1\% | 0.1\% | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 2.5\% | 0.7\% | 79.2\% |
| 1983 | 16.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 1.8\% | 3.5\% | 0.2\% | 3.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 8.1\% | 0.0\% | 55.1\% |
| 1984 | 15.1\% | 1.1\% | 0.1\% | 8.6\% | 2.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.2\% | 7.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 15.2\% | 1.7\% | 46.1\% |
| 1985 | 9.3\% | 1.2\% | 0.2\% | 8.8\% | 0.8\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 7.9\% | 0.1\% | 1.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 32.8\% | 4.5\% | 31.4\% |
| 1986 | 11.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.1\% | 7.8\% | 1.2\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 32.8\% | 2.4\% | 35.6\% |
| 1987 | 14.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 12.3\% | 1.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 7.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 1.4\% | 34.8\% | 3.7\% | 21.8\% |
| 1988 | 10.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 7.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 11.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 46.9\% | 2.6\% | 17.0\% |
| 1989 | 11.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 14.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.6\% | 7.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 42.2\% | 2.0\% | 17.8\% |
| 1990 | 13.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 9.7\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 8.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 33.2\% | 2.3\% | 30.0\% |
| 1991 | 6.2\% | 0.4\% | 2.5\% | 5.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 19.3\% | 4.4\% | 52.0\% |
| 1992 | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 11.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.8\% | 6.8\% | 55.3\% |
| 1993 | 10.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 16.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 15.4\% | 6.4\% | 41.4\% |
| 1994 | 9.4\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 7.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.9\% | 1.7\% | 6.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 14.1\% | 3.5\% | 54.0\% |
| 1995 | 8.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.7\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 9.8\% | 4.3\% | 67.8\% |
| 1996 | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 21.5\% | 5.1\% | 68.6\% |
| 1997 | 10.9\% | 0.3\% | 2.4\% | 4.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.0\% | 19.9\% | 10.5\% | 49.3\% |
| 1998 | 7.7\% | 1.4\% | 2.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.9\% | 5.9\% | 67.0\% |
| $1999$ | $10.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.3\% | 1.1\% | 13.0\% | 9.2\% | 58.6\% |
| 2000 | 17.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.3\% | 22.1\% | 4.5\% | 48.5\% |
| (79-00) | 11.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.8\% | 6.3\% | 0.7\% | 1.0\% | 0.3\% | 6.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.8\% | 20.3\% | 3.9\% | 47.2\% |
| (85-00) | 9.8\% | 0.4\% | 1.0\% | 6.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 6.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.8\% | 24.2\% | 4.9\% | 44.8\% |

Table H.56. Columbia River Upriver Bright distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | $\begin{array}{r} \text { North } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Central } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { N/CBC } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | U.S. <br> Sport |  |
| 1979 | 19.8\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 7.7\% | 4.0\% | 3.7\% | 0.1\% | 12.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 22.1\% | 2.0\% | 25.3\% |
| 1980 | 22.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 6.8\% | 1.7\% | 1.7\% | 0.1\% | 7.6\% | 1.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 6.3\% | 1.9\% | 48.3\% |
| 1981 | 17.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 5.7\% | 1.1\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.6\% | 3.6\% | 1.1\% | 64.0\% |
| 1982 | 9.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 4.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% | 0.2\% | 5.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 2.5\% | 0.7\% | 75.1\% |
| 1983 | 22.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 11.7\% | 1.9\% | 3.4\% | 0.2\% | 3.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 48.1\% |
| 1984 | 18.3\% | 1.1\% | 0.2\% | 9.8\% | 2.2\% | 1.4\% | 0.2\% | 8.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 14.4\% | 2.1\% | 40.9\% |
| 1985 | 13.2\% | 2.1\% | 0.3\% | 9.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 8.1\% | 0.1\% | 1.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 30.9\% | 4.5\% | 28.2\% |
| 1986 | 13.2\% | 1.4\% | 0.1\% | 8.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 31.6\% | 2.5\% | 33.2\% |
| 1987 | 19.4\% | 0.9\% | 0.4\% | 13.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 8.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 1.5\% | 31.1\% | 3.4\% | 18.9\% |
| 1988 | 11.6\% | 2.1\% | 0.5\% | 7.9\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 12.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 44.0\% | 2.7\% | 15.7\% |
| 1989 | 14.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 15.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 8.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 40.3\% | 2.0\% | 16.6\% |
| 1990 | 13.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 10.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 8.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 32.0\% | 2.5\% | 28.6\% |
| 1991 | 7.6\% | 1.3\% | 3.3\% | 6.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 18.3\% | 4.3\% | 47.5\% |
| 1992 | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 13.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 16.6\% | 6.9\% | 51.7\% |
| 1993 | 16.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 18.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 13.8\% | 5.9\% | 35.8\% |
| 1994 | 11.2\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 8.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.9\% | 1.7\% | 7.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 13.5\% | 3.5\% | 50.9\% |
| 1995 | 9.9\% | 0.1\% | 2.4\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 7.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 9.5\% | 4.3\% | 62.8\% |
| 1996 | 5.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 21.7\% | 5.4\% | 65.9\% |
| 1997 | 12.5\% | 0.5\% | 3.1\% | 4.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 1.0\% | 19.1\% | 10.7\% | 46.5\% |
| 1998 | 9.4\% | 4.2\% | 2.7\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.5\% | 5.9\% | 61.7\% |
| 1999 | 12.6\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.2\% | 12.6\% | 9.4\% | 55.8\% |
| 2000 | 19.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | $3.4 \%$ | 0.3\% | 21.4\% | 4.6\% | 46.4\% |


| (79-00) | 13.7\% | 0.8\% | 1.0\% | 6.8\% | 0.8\% | 1.0\% | 0.3\% | 6.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.8\% | 19.3\% | 3.9\% | 44.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (85-00) | 12.1\% | 0.9\% | 1.2\% | 6.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.4\% | 6.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.9\% | 23.1\% | 4.9\% | 41.6\% |

Table H.57. Hanford Wild Brights distribution of reported catch and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | WCVI <br> Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | Escapement |
| 1990 | 8.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 8.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 3.6\% | 0.4\% | 22.0\% | 6.9\% | 44.8\% |
| 1991 | 8.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 9.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 4.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 22.7\% | 4.3\% | 47.1\% |
| 1992 | 16.6\% | 1.4\% | 1.4\% | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 18.3\% | 2.8\% | 36.7\% |
| 1993 | 14.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 1.3\% | 5.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 1.8\% | 3.6\% | 15.8\% | 8.1\% | 42.9\% |
| 1994 | 14.2\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 12.2\% | 5.3\% | 55.8\% |
| 1995 | 10.8\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.6\% | 6.9\% | 62.6\% |
| 1996 | 9.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 27.5\% | 7.5\% | 54.7\% |
| 1997 | 14.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.9\% | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 13.5\% | 7.2\% | 56.4\% |
| 1998 | 11.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.7\% | 6.1\% | 56.1\% |
| 1999 | 10.0\% | 1.2\% | 2.0\% | 6.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.2\% | 6.4\% | 62.4\% |
| 2000 | 17.2\% | 0.5\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 28.2\% | 6.2\% | 45.9\% |
| (90-00) | 12.3\% | 0.5\% | 1.2\% | 4.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 3.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 18.0\% | 6.2\% | 51.4\% |
| (90-00) | 12.3\% | 0.5\% | 1.2\% | 4.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 3.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 18.0\% | 6.2\% | 51.4\% |

Table H.58. Hanford Wild Brights distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | N/CBC <br> Sport | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{array}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada | Canada Sport | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1990 | 9.2\% | 1.5\% | 0.4\% | 5.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 8.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 3.5\% | 0.6\% | 21.3\% | 6.9\% | 41.9\% |
| 1991 | 10.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 9.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 5.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 21.7\% | 4.4\% | 44.7\% |
| 1992 | 18.5\% | 4.2\% | 1.5\% | 7.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 16.4\% | 2.4\% | 32.1\% |
| 1993 | 20.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 1.1\% | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 1.8\% | 3.7\% | 14.2\% | 7.8\% | 37.8\% |
| 1994 | 17.2\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 5.1\% | 0.3\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 11.4\% | 5.3\% | 51.7\% |
| 1995 | 12.8\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.1\% | 6.9\% | 58.6\% |
| 1996 | 12.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 26.6\% | 7.6\% | 51.9\% |
| 1997 | 15.7\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 13.1\% | 7.3\% | 54.0\% |
| 1998 | 13.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.2\% | 6.4\% | 53.5\% |
| 1999 | 13.2\% | 2.9\% | 2.2\% | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 6.3\% | 57.4\% |
| 2000 | 21.0\% | 0.9\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 26.8\% | 6.3\% | 42.9\% |
| (90-00) | 14.9\% | 1.2\% | 1.4\% | 5.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 4.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 17.0\% | 6.1\% | 47.9\% |
| (90-00) | 14.9\% | 1.2\% | 1.4\% | 5.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 4.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 17.0\% | 6.1\% | 47.9\% |

Table H.59. Salmon River distribution of reported catch and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC $\qquad$ | N/CBC <br> Sport | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | U.S. <br> Net | U.S. <br> Sport | Escapement |
| 1981 | 14.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 27.9\% | 0.6\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 17.1\% | 32.1\% |
| 1982 | 10.7\% | 1.6\% | 0.3\% | 14.4\% | 1.1\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 7.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 21.4\% | 40.1\% |
| 1983 | 20.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 21.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.6\% | 30.6\% |
| 1984 | 10.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.8\% | 3.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 21.4\% | 42.2\% |
| 1985 | 12.2\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 19.1\% | 1.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 19.8\% | 39.7\% |
| 1986 | 16.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.9\% | 4.6\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.0\% | 51.4\% |
| 1987 | 10.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 24.1\% | 44.8\% |
| 1988 | 9.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 15.9\% | 62.6\% |
| 1989 | 8.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 24.7\% | 46.8\% |
| 1990 | 11.9\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 10.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 1.3\% | 7.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 25.6\% | 37.9\% |
| 1991 | 18.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 15.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 5.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 24.9\% | 33.4\% |
| 1992 | 2.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 1.8\% | 15.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 15.9\% | 54.3\% |
| 1993 | 7.7\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 15.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 17.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 23.0\% | 30.8\% |
| 1994 | 8.8\% | 0.2\% | 1.0\% | 14.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 2.1\% | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 17.7\% | 49.0\% |
| 1995 | 6.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 4.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 30.6\% | 55.5\% |
| 1996 | 11.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 52.6\% | 31.6\% |
| 1997 | 27.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 3.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 19.2\% | 46.1\% |
| 1998 | 10.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 11.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 32.5\% | 44.4\% |
| 1999 | 12.7\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | $0.0 \%$ | $36.8 \%$ | 45.0\% |
| 2000 | 12.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 21.7\% | 62.4\% |
| (81-00) | 12.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 11.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 23.8\% | 44.0\% |
| (85-00) | 11.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 9.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.7\% | 4.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 25.1\% | 46.0\% |

Table H.60. Salmon River distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch | Alaska | Alaska | Alaska | North | Central | N/CBC | N/CBC | WCVI | GeoSt | Canada | Canada | U.S. | U.S. | U.S. |  |
| Year | Troll | Net | Sport | Troll | Troll | Net | Sport | Troll | Tr\&Sp | Net | Sport | Troll | Net | Sport | Escapement |
| 1981 | 16.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 28.8\% | 0.9\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 16.4\% | 28.6\% |
| 1982 | 15.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.3\% | 16.7\% | 1.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 7.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 20.1\% | 34.5\% |
| 1983 | 27.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 20.8\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.4\% | 26.5\% |
| 1984 | 12.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.7\% | 3.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 21.7\% | 39.5\% |
| 1985 | 15.4\% | 11.7\% | 0.0\% | 18.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 18.5\% | 33.2\% |
| 1986 | 23.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.0\% | 4.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 14.6\% | 42.5\% |
| 1987 | 17.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 22.4\% | 38.6\% |
| 1988 | 15.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.7\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 15.1\% | 53.8\% |
| 1989 | 18.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 20.6\% | 35.2\% |
| 1990 | 18.7\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 1.2\% | 8.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 22.4\% | 30.7\% |
| 1991 | 24.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 16.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 6.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 22.8\% | 28.2\% |
| 1992 | 4.9\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 8.4\% | 1.0\% | 0.3\% | 2.2\% | 17.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.1\% | 46.9\% |
| 1993 | 11.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | 17.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 19.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 20.6\% | 25.7\% |
| 1994 | 15.8\% | 0.4\% | 1.0\% | 15.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 2.2\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 16.6\% | 42.5\% |
| 1995 | 10.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 6.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 29.7\% | 49.6\% |
| 1996 | 20.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 45.8\% | 25.6\% |
| 1997 | 32.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 3.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 18.7\% | 41.9\% |
| 1998 | 12.2\% | 1.3\% | 0.5\% | 12.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 32.3\% | 40.8\% |
| 1999 | $18.9 \%$ | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 35.2\% | 38.8\% |
| 2000 | 17.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 21.4\% | 57.2\% |
| (81-00) | 17.4\% | 1.0\% | 0.3\% | 12.7\% | 0.8\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 22.2\% | 38.0\% |
| (85-00) | 17.3\% | 1.1\% | 0.3\% | 10.7\% | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 23.2\% | 39.4\% |

Appendix I. Abundance Indices for 1979 through 2002 for SEAK, NBC, and WCVI AABM fisheries, from calibration \#0206.

| Year | SEAK | NBC | WCVI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | 0.98 | 1.05 | 1.10 |
| 1980 | 1.02 | 0.98 | 0.96 |
| 1981 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.95 |
| 1982 | 1.08 | 1.02 | 0.99 |
| 1983 | 1.21 | 1.14 | 0.83 |
| 1984 | 1.36 | 1.27 | 0.91 |
| 1985 | 1.26 | 1.26 | 0.92 |
| 1986 | 1.45 | 1.43 | 0.98 |
| 1987 | 1.72 | 1.70 | 1.30 |
| 1988 | 2.02 | 1.77 | 1.02 |
| 1989 | 1.80 | 1.65 | 0.89 |
| 1990 | 1.85 | 1.62 | 0.84 |
| 1991 | 1.79 | 1.49 | 0.70 |
| 1992 | 1.65 | 1.39 | 0.72 |
| 1993 | 1.66 | 1.39 | 0.65 |
| 1994 | 1.55 | 1.24 | 0.46 |
| 1995 | 1.02 | 0.92 | 0.38 |
| 1996 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.43 |
| 1997 | 1.19 | 1.07 | 0.50 |
| 1998 | 1.17 | 0.98 | 0.48 |
| 1999 | 1.08 | 0.97 | 0.44 |
| 2000 | 1.06 | 0.99 | 0.45 |
| 2001 | 1.29 | 1.22 | 0.68 |
| 2002 | 1.74 | 1.45 | 0.95 |

Appendix J. Model estimates of the stock composition of the AABM, and other troll and sport fisheries. "Percent of Catch" represents the stock composition of a specific fishery; "Percent of Catch, All Fisheries" represents the proportion of the total catch of a stock that is caught in a specific fishery; "Percent of Return" represents the proportion of total return (catch + escapement) caught in a specific fishery.
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Table J. 1. Southeast Alaska All Gear.

| Model Stock | 2001 <br> Catch as Percent of Fishery | Average ( 1985-2000 ) |  |  | Escapement Indicator Stocks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Catch as Percent of Fishery | Catch as Percent of All Fisheries | Catch as Percent of Total Return |  |
| WCVI Hatchery | 5.34\% | 17.45\% | 36.75\% | 15.27\% | NA |
| North/Central BC | 22.54\% | 16.66\% | 35.37\% | 11.81\% | Yakoun |
|  |  |  |  |  | Nass |
|  |  |  |  |  | Skeena |
|  |  |  |  |  | Area 6 Index |
|  |  |  |  |  | Area 8 Index |
|  |  |  |  |  | Rivers Inlet |
|  |  |  |  |  | Smith Inlet |
| Columbia Upriver Bright | 16.83\% | 15.60\% | 24.10\% | 12.14\% | Columbia Upriver Bright |
| Oregon Coastal North Migrating | 12.03\% | 13.27\% | 29.74\% | 14.60\% | Oregon Coastal |
| Fraser Early | 6.90\% | 5.67\% | 22.28\% | 6.27\% | Upper Fraser |
|  |  |  |  |  | Middle Fraser |
|  |  |  |  |  | Thompson |
| Alaska South SE | 7.48\% | 4.80\% | 96.23\% | $39.51 \%$ | King Salmon |
|  |  |  |  |  | Andrew Creek |
|  |  |  |  |  | Blossom |
|  |  |  |  |  | Keta |
|  |  |  |  |  | Unuk |
|  |  |  |  |  | Chickamin |
| WCVI Wild | 1.05\% | 4.79\% | 36.72\% | 15.09\% | WCVI |
| Upper Georgia Strait | 8.47\% | 4.34\% | 34.68\% | 20.50\% | Upper Georgia Strait |
| Mid-Columbia Brights | 5.02\% | 4.17\% | 29.27\% | 11.49\% | Not Represented |
| Washington Coastal Wild | 2.18\% | 3.67\% | 15.26\% | 9.32\% | Grays Harbor Fall |
|  |  |  |  |  | Quillayute Fall |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hoh Fall |
|  |  |  |  |  | Queets Fall |
| WA Coastal Hatchery | 1.50\% | 2.84\% | 14.33\% | 8.69\% | NA |
| Willamette River Hatchery | 2.54\% | 2.01\% | 9.42\% | 4.41\% | NA |
| Columbia Upriver Summer | 5.71\% | 1.91\% | 30.43\% | 11.80\% | Columbia Upriver Summer |
| Lewis River Wild | 0.66\% | 0.88\% | 14.37\% | 6.26\% | Lewis River |
| Lower Georgia Strait Hatchery | 0.65\% | 0.47\% | 2.32\% | 1.51\% | NA |
| Lower Georgia Strait | 0.23\% | 0.33\% | 2.60\% | 1.66\% | Lower Georgia Strait |
| Fraser Late | 0.16\% | 0.26\% | 0.41\% | 0.15\% | Harrison |
| Puget Sound Hatchery Fingerling | 0.20\% | 0.17\% | 0.47\% | 0.26\% | NA |
| Fall Cowlitz Hatchery | 0.02\% | 0.17\% | 6.21\% | 2.54\% | NA |
| Skagit Summer/Fall | 0.11\% | 0.11\% | 3.82\% | 1.10\% | Skagit Summer/Fall |
| Spring Cowlitz Hatchery | 0.03\% | 0.09\% | 1.16\% | 0.78\% | NA |
| Puget Sound Natural | 0.04\% | 0.08\% | 0.46\% | 0.26\% | Green |
| Stillaguamish Summer/Fall | 0.09\% | 0.07\% | 13.97\% | 5.59\% | Stillaguamish |
| Nooksack Fall | 0.04\% | 0.06\% | 0.14\% | 0.11\% | NA |
| Snake River Fall | 0.12\% | 0.05\% | 7.57\% | 4.86\% | Not Represented |
| Snohomish Summer/Fall | 0.05\% | 0.04\% | 3.07\% | 0.90\% | Snohomish |
| Puget Sound Yearling | 0.03\% | 0.03\% | 0.53\% | 0.36\% | NA |
| Spring Creek Hatchery | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | NA |
| Lower Bonneville Hatchery | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | NA |
| Nooksack Spring | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | Not Represented |

Table J. 2. North B.C. Troll and Sport.

| Model Stock | 2001 <br> Catch as Percent of Fishery | Average ( 1985-2000 ) |  |  | Escapement Indicator Stocks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Catch as Percent of Fishery | Catch as <br> Percent of All Fisheries | Catch as <br> Percent of Total Return |  |
| North/Central BC | 60.72\% | 32.47\% | 46.26\% | 16.18\% | Yakoun |
|  |  |  |  |  | Nass |
|  |  |  |  |  | Skeena |
|  |  |  |  |  | Area 6 Index |
|  |  |  |  |  | Area 8 Index |
|  |  |  |  |  | Rivers Inlet |
|  |  |  |  |  | Smith Inlet |
| Oregon Coastal North Migrating | 3.80\% | 15.84\% | 28.89\% | 15.34\% | Oregon Coastal |
| Columbia Upriver Bright | 4.14\% | 9.27\% | 11.92\% | 6.32\% | Columbia Upriver Bright |
| WCVI Hatchery | 1.29\% | 8.94\% | 13.82\% | 6.43\% | NA |
| Fraser Early | 1.59\% | 4.74\% | 15.53\% | 5.04\% | Upper Fraser |
|  |  |  |  |  | Middle Fraser |
|  |  |  |  |  | Thompson |
| Upper Georgia Strait | 11.52\% | 4.57\% | 27.44\% | $16.91 \%$ | Upper Georgia Strait |
| Washington Coastal Wild | 0.66\% | 4.39\% | 14.59\% | 9.69\% | Grays Harbor Fall |
|  |  |  |  |  | Quillayute Fall |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hoh Fall |
|  |  |  |  |  | Queets Fall |
| WA Coastal Hatchery | 0.48\% | 3.39\% | 14.41\% | 9.04\% | NA |
| Willamette River Hatchery | 1.18\% | 3.87\% | 13.49\% | 7.13\% | NA |
| WCVI Wild | 0.26\% | 2.43\% | 13.68\% | 6.28\% | WCVI |
| Mid-Columbia Brights | 1.22\% | 2.36\% | 13.89\% | 5.93\% | Not Represented |
| Columbia Upriver Summer | 6.42\% | 1.74\% | 20.74\% | 8.60\% | Columbia Upriver Summer |
| Lower Georgia Strait Hatchery | 2.16\% | 1.37\% | 5.15\% | 3.46\% | NA |
| Fraser Late | 0.83\% | 0.92\% | 0.97\% | 0.42\% | Harrison |
| Lower Georgia Strait | 0.76\% | 0.90\% | 5.08\% | $3.41 \%$ | Lower Georgia Strait |
| Skagit Summer/Fall | 0.60\% | 0.46\% | 12.22\% | 3.71\% | Skagit Summer/Fall |
| Lewis River Wild | 0.25\% | 0.44\% | 4.83\% | 2.57\% | Lewis River |
| Nooksack Fall | 0.70\% | 0.40\% | 0.83\% | 0.64\% | NA |
| Puget Sound Hatchery Fingerling | 0.55\% | 0.35\% | 0.75\% | 0.43\% | NA |
| Spring Cowlitz Hatchery | 0.07\% | 0.25\% | 2.54\% | 1.86\% | NA |
| Snohomish Summer/Fall | 0.28\% | 0.22\% | 11.13\% | 3.71\% | Snohomish |
| Puget Sound Natural | 0.10\% | 0.16\% | 0.65\% | 0.39\% | Green |
| Fall Cowlitz Hatchery | 0.02\% | 0.15\% | 3.95\% | 1.85\% | NA |
| Alaska South SE | 0.07\% | 0.13\% | 2.61\% | 1.01\% | King Salmon |
|  |  |  |  |  | Andrew Creek |
|  |  |  |  |  | Blossom |
|  |  |  |  |  | Keta |
|  |  |  |  |  | Unuk |
|  |  |  |  |  | Chickamin |
| Puget Sound Yearling | 0.19\% | 0.13\% | 1.58\% | 1.11\% | NA |
| Stillaguamish Summer/Fall | 0.08\% | 0.05\% | 6.90\% | 2.96\% | Stillaguamish |
| Snake River Fall | 0.04\% | 0.04\% | 6.51\% | 4.53\% | Not Represented |
| Spring Creek Hatchery | 0.01\% | 0.01\% | 0.06\% | 0.05\% | NA |
| Nooksack Spring | 0.00\% | 0.01\% | 1.40\% | 0.55\% | Not Represented |
| Lower Bonneville Hatchery | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | NA |

Table J. 3. Central B.C. Troll.

| Model Stock | 2001 | Average ( 1985-2000 ) |  |  | Escapement Indicator Stocks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Catch as Percent of Fishery | Catch as Percent of Fishery | Catch as Percent of All Fisheries | Catch as Percent of Total Return |  |
| Fraser Late | 17.81\% | 21.81\% | 3.02\% | 1.75\% | Harrison |
| WCVI Hatchery | 9.13\% | 16.68\% | 4.45\% | 2.08\% | NA |
| Columbia Upriver Bright | 10.05\% | 7.40\% | 1.39\% | 0.79\% | Columbia Upriver Bright |
| North/Central BC | 9.74\% | 6.32\% | 1.97\% | 0.66\% | Yakoun |
|  |  |  |  |  | Nass |
|  |  |  |  |  | Skeena |
|  |  |  |  |  | Area 6 Index |
|  |  |  |  |  | Area 8 Index |
|  |  |  |  |  | Rivers Inlet |
|  |  |  |  |  | Smith Inlet |
| Upper Georgia Strait | 11.57\% | 5.29\% | 5.15\% | $3.31 \%$ | Upper Georgia Strait |
| WCVI Wild | 1.83\% | 4.47\% | 4.37\% | 2.01\% | WCVI |
| Lower Bonneville Hatchery | 2.44\% | 4.43\% | 1.07\% | 0.59\% | NA |
| Fraser Early | 4.72\% | 3.37\% | 1.52\% | 0.53\% | Upper Fraser |
|  |  |  |  |  | Middle Fraser |
|  |  |  |  |  | Thompson |
| Washington Coastal Wild | 2.13\% | 3.32\% | 1.66\% | 1.14\% | Grays Harbor Fall |
|  |  |  |  |  | Quillayute Fall |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hoh Fall |
|  |  |  |  |  | Queets Fall |
| Lower Georgia Strait Hatchery | 4.87\% | 3.09\% | 1.89\% | 1.39\% | NA |
| Columbia Upriver Summer | 10.20\% | 2.88\% | 4.94\% | 2.37\% | Columbia Upriver Summer |
| WA Coastal Hatchery | 1.67\% | 2.60\% | 1.67\% | 1.05\% | NA |
| Mid-Columbia Brights | 3.35\% | 1.90\% | 1.62\% | 0.77\% | Not Represented |
| Lower Georgia Strait | 1.67\% | 1.88\% | 1.78\% | 1.34\% | Lower Georgia Strait |
| Oregon Coastal North Migrating | 1.67\% | 1.69\% | 0.49\% | 0.26\% | Oregon Coastal |
| Nooksack Fall | 1.22\% | 1.69\% | 0.56\% | 0.46\% | NA |
| Puget Sound Hatchery Fingerling | 1.83\% | 1.26\% | 0.40\% | 0.27\% | NA |
| Skagit Summer/Fall | 1.07\% | 0.93\% | 3.16\% | 1.27\% | Skagit Summer/Fall |
| Puget Sound Natural | 0.30\% | 0.62\% | 0.38\% | 0.27\% | Green |
| Lewis River Wild | 0.46\% | 0.55\% | 0.80\% | 0.49\% | Lewis River |
| Snohomish Summer/Fall | 0.46\% | 0.45\% | 2.54\% | 1.29\% | Snohomish |
| Puget Sound Yearling | 0.30\% | 0.32\% | 0.57\% | 0.45\% | NA |
| Spring Creek Hatchery | 0.76\% | 0.24\% | 0.14\% | 0.12\% | NA |
| Willamette River Hatchery | 0.30\% | 0.23\% | 0.12\% | 0.07\% | NA |
| Spring Cowlitz Hatchery | 0.00\% | 0.14\% | 0.20\% | 0.18\% | NA |
| Stillaguamish Summer/Fall | 0.15\% | 0.11\% | 2.50\% | 1.25\% | Stillaguamish |
| Snake River Fall | 0.30\% | 0.05\% | 0.97\% | 0.73\% | Not Represented |
| Nooksack Spring | 0.00\% | 0.02\% | 0.56\% | 0.27\% | Not Represented |
| Fall Cowlitz Hatchery | 0.00\% | 0.01\% | 0.06\% | 0.03\% | NA |
| Alaska South SE | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.02\% | 0.01\% | King Salmon |
|  |  |  |  |  | Andrew Creek |
|  |  |  |  |  | Blossom |
|  |  |  |  |  | Keta |
|  |  |  |  |  | Unuk |
|  |  |  |  |  | Chickamin |

Table J. 4. WCVI Troll and Outside Sport.

| Model Stock | 2001 | Average ( 1985-2000 ) |  |  | Escapement Indicator Stocks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Catch as Percent of Fishery | Catch as Percent of Fishery | Catch as Percent of All Fisheries | Catch as <br> Percent of Total Return |  |
| Fraser Late | 13.89\% | 21.35\% | 21.42\% | 12.26\% | Harrison |
| Lower Bonneville Hatchery | 20.12\% | 16.19\% | 34.13\% | 18.40\% | NA |
| Columbia Upriver Bright | 11.67\% | 9.66\% | 13.65\% | 7.37\% | Columbia Upriver Bright |
| Puget Sound Hatchery Fingerling | 8.44\% | 8.34\% | 18.41\% | 12.22\% | NA |
| WCVI Hatchery | 2.06\% | 6.69\% | 12.05\% | 6.01\% | NA |
| Nooksack Fall | 3.10\% | 5.39\% | 13.32\% | 10.92\% | NA |
| Oregon Coastal North Migrating | $3.61 \%$ | 4.96\% | 10.28\% | 5.40\% | Oregon Coastal |
| Spring Creek Hatchery | 15.73\% | 4.41\% | 17.47\% | 14.41\% | NA |
| Puget Sound Natural | 1.71\% | 4.03\% | 17.51\% | 12.29\% | Green |
| Mid-Columbia Brights | 4.35\% | 2.69\% | 15.98\% | 7.23\% | Not Represented |
| Columbia Upriver Summer | 5.50\% | 2.11\% | 27.95\% | 12.68\% | Columbia Upriver Summer |
| Washington Coastal Wild | 1.09\% | 2.01\% | 7.39\% | 4.98\% | Grays Harbor Fall |
|  |  |  |  |  | Quillayute Fall |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hoh Fall |
|  |  |  |  |  | Queets Fall |
| WCVI Wild | 0.42\% | 1.83\% | 11.97\% | 5.86\% | WCVI |
| Willamette River Hatchery | 1.60\% | 1.62\% | 5.89\% | 3.41\% | NA |
| WA Coastal Hatchery | 0.80\% | 1.60\% | 7.50\% | 4.76\% | NA |
| Fraser Early | 1.03\% | 1.29\% | 4.27\% | 1.41\% | Upper Fraser |
|  |  |  |  |  | Middle Fraser |
|  |  |  |  |  | Thompson |
| Fall Cowlitz Hatchery | 0.15\% | 0.87\% | 29.13\% | 15.19\% | NA |
| Puget Sound Yearling | 0.62\% | 0.87\% | 11.73\% | 9.23\% | NA |
| Skagit Summer/Fall | 0.81\% | 0.85\% | 22.11\% | 8.29\% | Skagit Summer/Fall |
| Lewis River Wild | 0.71\% | 0.77\% | 11.03\% | 5.91\% | Lewis River |
| Spring Cowlitz Hatchery | 0.20\% | 0.52\% | 5.46\% | 5.00\% | NA |
| Lower Georgia Strait Hatchery | 0.59\% | 0.44\% | 1.83\% | 1.31\% | NA |
| Snohomish Summer/Fall | 0.37\% | 0.41\% | 18.10\% | 8.36\% | Snohomish |
| North/Central BC | 0.37\% | 0.34\% | 0.70\% | 0.23\% | Yakoun |
|  |  |  |  |  | Nass |
|  |  |  |  |  | Skeena |
|  |  |  |  |  | Area 6 Index |
|  |  |  |  |  | Area 8 Index |
|  |  |  |  |  | Rivers Inlet |
|  |  |  |  |  | Smith Inlet |
| Lower Georgia Strait | 0.21\% | 0.30\% | 1.79\% | 1.31\% | Lower Georgia Strait |
| Snake River Fall | 0.59\% | 0.21\% | 28.43\% | 20.60\% | Not Represented |
| Stillaguamish Summer/Fall | 0.10\% | 0.10\% | 16.09\% | 7.83\% | Stillaguamish |
| Upper Georgia Strait | 0.10\% | 0.09\% | 0.69\% | 0.44\% | Upper Georgia Strait |
| Nooksack Spring | 0.05\% | 0.05\% | 10.15\% | 4.58\% | Not Represented |
| Alaska South SE | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | King Salmon |
|  |  |  |  |  | Andrew Creek |
|  |  |  |  |  | Blossom |
|  |  |  |  |  | Keta |
|  |  |  |  |  | Unuk |
|  |  |  |  |  | Chickamin |

Table J. 5. Georgia Strait Sport and Troll.

| Model Stock | $2001$ <br> Catch as Percent of Fishery | Average ( 1985-2000 ) |  |  | Escapement Indicator Stocks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Catch as Percent of Fishery | Catch as <br> Percent of All Fisheries | Catch as <br> Percent of Total Return |  |
| Fraser Late | 38.78\% | 49.05\% | 42.21\% | 23.04\% | Harrison |
| Nooksack Fall | 9.76\% | 10.96\% | 21.90\% | 17.42\% | NA |
| Lower Georgia Strait Hatchery | 15.28\% | 9.90\% | 35.59\% | 25.27\% | NA |
| Lower Georgia Strait | 5.41\% | 6.94\% | 36.52\% | 26.46\% | Lower Georgia Strait |
| Puget Sound Hatchery Fingerling | 6.67\% | 4.51\% | 8.48\% | 5.37\% | NA |
| Fraser Early | 5.42\% | 3.67\% | 10.18\% | 2.97\% | Upper Fraser |
|  |  |  |  |  | Middle Fraser |
|  |  |  |  |  | Thompson |
| Upper Georgia Strait | 5.86\% | 2.33\% | 13.68\% | 8.31\% | Upper Georgia Strait |
| Puget Sound Natural | 1.25\% | 2.12\% | 7.76\% | 5.11\% | Green |
| Puget Sound Yearling | 2.26\% | 1.99\% | 21.38\% | 16.29\% | NA |
| Lower Bonneville Hatchery | 1.63\% | 1.87\% | $3.27 \%$ | 1.48\% | NA |
| Columbia Upriver Bright | 1.53\% | 1.10\% | 1.24\% | 0.64\% | Columbia Upriver Bright |
| Washington Coastal Wild | 0.71\% | 1.04\% | 3.19\% | 2.04\% | Grays Harbor Fall |
|  |  |  |  |  | Quillayute Fall |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hoh Fall |
|  |  |  |  |  | Queets Fall |
| Skagit Summer/Fall | 0.99\% | 0.85\% | 19.66\% | 6.84\% | Skagit Summer/Fall |
| WA Coastal Hatchery | 0.51\% | 0.83\% | 3.12\% | 1.96\% | NA |
| WCVI Hatchery | 0.40\% | 0.80\% | 1.35\% | 0.53\% | NA |
| Snohomish Summer/Fall | 0.46\% | 0.41\% | 17.47\% | 6.79\% | Snohomish |
| Spring Creek Hatchery | 1.14\% | 0.35\% | 1.21\% | 0.99\% | NA |
| Mid-Columbia Brights | 0.55\% | 0.30\% | 1.56\% | 0.66\% | Not Represented |
| Nooksack Spring | 0.38\% | 0.27\% | 53.17\% | 23.81\% | Not Represented |
| WCVI Wild | 0.08\% | 0.22\% | 1.34\% | 0.51\% | WCVI |
| Columbia Upriver Summer | 0.62\% | 0.21\% | 2.37\% | 1.01\% | Columbia Upriver Summer |
| Stillaguamish Summer/Fall | 0.23\% | 0.17\% | 22.82\% | 10.55\% | Stillaguamish |
| Willamette River Hatchery | 0.07\% | 0.06\% | 0.19\% | 0.10\% | NA |
| Lewis River Wild | 0.00\% | 0.02\% | 0.19\% | 0.12\% | Lewis River |
| North/Central BC | 0.00\% | 0.02\% | 0.07\% | 0.02\% | Yakoun |
|  |  |  |  |  | Nass |
|  |  |  |  |  | Skeena |
|  |  |  |  |  | Area 6 Index |
|  |  |  |  |  | Area 8 Index |
|  |  |  |  |  | Rivers Inlet |
|  |  |  |  |  | Smith Inlet |
| Spring Cowlitz Hatchery | 0.00\% | 0.02\% | 0.13\% | 0.10\% | NA |
| Fall Cowlitz Hatchery | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.04\% | 0.02\% | NA |
| Snake River Fall | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.11\% | 0.07\% | Not Represented |
| Oregon Coastal North Migrating | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | Oregon Coastal |
| Alaska South SE | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | King Salmon |
|  |  |  |  |  | Andrew Creek |
|  |  |  |  |  | Blossom |
|  |  |  |  |  | Keta |
|  |  |  |  |  | Unuk |
|  |  |  |  |  | Chickamin |

Table J. 6. Washington/Oregon Troll and Sport.

| Model Stock | $2001$ <br> Catch as Percent of Fishery | Average ( 1985-2000 ) |  |  | Escapement Indicator Stocks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Catch as Percent of Fishery | Catch as Percent of All Fisheries | Catch as <br> Percent of Total Return |  |
| Lower Bonneville Hatchery | 29.04\% | 31.86\% | 35.67\% | 17.49\% | NA |
| Fraser Late | 11.27\% | 23.56\% | 11.76\% | 6.00\% | Harrison |
| Spring Creek Hatchery | 41.23\% | 16.43\% | 29.14\% | 24.40\% | NA |
| Puget Sound Hatchery Fingerling | 2.79\% | 4.15\% | 4.19\% | 2.56\% | NA |
| Columbia Upriver Bright | 4.10\% | 4.03\% | 2.71\% | 1.45\% | Columbia Upriver Bright |
| Spring Cowlitz Hatchery | 1.08\% | 3.47\% | 19.98\% | 15.35\% | NA |
| Nooksack Fall | 0.98\% | 2.47\% | 2.78\% | 2.22\% | NA |
| Fall Cowlitz Hatchery | 0.34\% | 2.29\% | 35.94\% | 18.31\% | NA |
| Oregon Coastal North Migrating | 1.36\% | 2.07\% | 2.05\% | 1.04\% | Oregon Coastal |
| Puget Sound Natural | 0.56\% | 1.93\% | 3.99\% | 2.51\% | Green |
| Willamette River Hatchery | 1.25\% | 1.87\% | 3.38\% | 1.77\% | NA |
| Lewis River Wild | 1.17\% | 1.36\% | 10.92\% | 4.67\% | Lewis River |
| Mid-Columbia Brights | 1.44\% | 1.14\% | 3.19\% | 1.37\% | Not Represented |
| Washington Coastal Wild | 0.41\% | 1.10\% | 1.81\% | 1.17\% | Grays Harbor Fall |
|  |  |  |  |  | Quillayute Fall |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hoh Fall |
|  |  |  |  |  | Queets Fall |
| WA Coastal Hatchery | 0.30\% | 0.89\% | 1.86\% | 1.13\% | NA |
| Columbia Upriver Summer | 1.36\% | 0.53\% | 3.35\% | 1.41\% | Columbia Upriver Summer |
| Snake River Fall | 0.81\% | 0.36\% | 21.24\% | 14.79\% | Not Represented |
| Fraser Early | 0.27\% | 0.16\% | 0.31\% | 0.09\% | Upper Fraser |
|  |  |  |  |  | Middle Fraser |
|  |  |  |  |  | Thompson |
| Puget Sound Yearling | 0.10\% | 0.15\% | 1.00\% | 0.73\% | NA |
| Alaska South SE | 0.07\% | 0.09\% | 0.76\% | 0.29\% | King Salmon |
|  |  |  |  |  | Andrew Creek |
|  |  |  |  |  | Blossom |
|  |  |  |  |  | Keta |
|  |  |  |  |  | Unuk |
|  |  |  |  |  | Chickamin |
| Lower Georgia Strait Hatchery | 0.04\% | 0.03\% | 0.08\% | 0.05\% | NA |
| WCVI Hatchery | 0.01\% | 0.03\% | 0.03\% | 0.01\% | NA |
| Lower Georgia Strait | 0.02\% | 0.02\% | 0.08\% | 0.05\% | Lower Georgia Strait |
| WCVI Wild | 0.00\% | 0.01\% | 0.02\% | 0.01\% | WCVI |
| Skagit Summer/Fall | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.03\% | 0.01\% | Skagit Summer/Fall |
| Snohomish Summer/Fall | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.02\% | 0.01\% | Snohomish |
| Upper Georgia Strait | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | Upper Georgia Strait |
| North/Central BC | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | Yakoun |
|  |  |  |  |  | Nass |
|  |  |  |  |  | Skeena |
|  |  |  |  |  | Area 6 Index |
|  |  |  |  |  | Area 8 Index |
|  |  |  |  |  | Rivers Inlet |
|  |  |  |  |  | Smith Inlet |
| Stillaguamish Summer/Fall | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | Stillaguamish |
| Nooksack Spring | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | Not Represented |

Appendix K. Abundance indices and stock composition of AABM fisheries, from Calibration \#0206.
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Table K.1. Abundance indices (AIs) for the Southeast Alaska troll fishery by stock and year (stock groups 1-15). Numbers represent the stock or stock group contribution to the total AI: the summation across all 30 stocks and stock groups equals the AI total for each calendar year.

| Year | Alaska South SE | North Central | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Fraser } \\ \text { Early } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fraser } \\ \text { Late } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | WCVI Hatchery | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { WCVI } \\ & \text { Natural } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Georg. St. Upper | Georg. St. <br> Lwr. Nat. | Georg. St. <br> Lwr. Hat. | $\qquad$ | Pug. Snd. Fing. | Pug. Snd. Nat. F. | Pug. Snd. Year. | Nooksack Spring | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Skagit } \\ & \text { Wild } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{AI} \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.98 |
| 1980 | 0.03 | 0.13 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.02 |
| 1981 | 0.04 | 0.14 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.92 |
| 1982 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.08 |
| 1983 | 0.06 | 0.16 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.14 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.21 |
| 1984 | 0.06 | 0.19 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.36 |
| 1985 | 0.06 | 0.21 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.26 |
| 1986 | 0.07 | 0.22 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.45 |
| 1987 | 0.07 | 0.24 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.72 |
| 1988 | 0.06 | 0.25 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.02 |
| 1989 | 0.04 | 0.26 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.80 |
| 1990 | 0.03 | 0.26 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.49 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.85 |
| 1991 | 0.03 | 0.27 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.61 | 0.14 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.79 |
| 1992 | 0.04 | 0.26 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.58 | 0.15 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.65 |
| 1993 | 0.04 | 0.24 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.53 | 0.16 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.66 |
| 1994 | 0.03 | 0.22 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 0.13 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.55 |
| 1995 | 0.03 | 0.23 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.02 |
| 1996 | 0.03 | 0.23 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.89 |
| 1997 | 0.04 | 0.24 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.19 |
| 1998 | 0.04 | 0.23 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.27 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.17 |
| 1999 | 0.05 | 0.24 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.08 |
| 2000 | 0.06 | 0.28 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.06 |
| 2001 | 0.07 | 0.32 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.29 |
| 2002 | 0.05 | 0.31 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.74 |
| Average | 0.05 | 0.22 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.23 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.36 |

Table K.1. Page 2 of 2 (stock groups 16-30).

| Year | Stillaguamish Wild | nohomish <br> Wild | $\overline{\text { VA Co. }}$ Hat | Upriver <br> Brights | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Spring } \\ \text { Ck. Hat. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { L. Bonn. } \\ \text { Hat. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Fall Cow. Hat. | Lewis R. Wild | Willamette S <br> R. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Spr. Cow. } \\ \text { Hat. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Col. R. <br> Summer | Oregon <br> Coastal | WA Co. Wild | Lyons <br> Ferry | Mid. Col. <br> R. Brights | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{AI} \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.20 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.98 |
| 1980 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.02 |
| 1981 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.92 |
| 1982 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.08 |
| 1983 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.19 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 1.21 |
| 1984 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.26 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 1.36 |
| 1985 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.25 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.26 |
| 1986 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.29 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 1.45 |
| 1987 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.34 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 1.72 |
| 1988 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.54 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.32 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 2.02 |
| 1989 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.24 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 1.80 |
| 1990 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.25 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 1.85 |
| 1991 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.24 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 1.79 |
| 1992 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.20 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 1.65 |
| 1993 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.20 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 1.66 |
| 1994 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.24 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 1.55 |
| 1995 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.17 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 1.02 |
| 1996 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.13 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.89 |
| 1997 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.16 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 1.19 |
| 1998 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 1.17 |
| 1999 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 1.08 |
| 2000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.16 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 1.06 |
| 2001 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.19 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 1.29 |
| 2002 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.19 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 1.74 |
| Average | - 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.21 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 1.36 |

Table K.2. Abundance indices (AIs) for the Northern BC troll fishery by stock and year (stock groups 1-15). Numbers represent the stock or stock group contribution to the total AI: the summation across all 30 stocks and stock groups equals the AI total for each calendar year.

| Year | Alaska <br> South SE | North <br> Central | Fraser Early | Fraser <br> Late | WCVI <br> Hatchery | WCVI <br> Natural | Georg. St. Upper | Georg. St. <br> Lwr. Nat. | Georg. St. <br> Lwr. Hat. | Nooksack Fall | Pug. Snd. Fing. | Pug. Snd. Nat. F. | Pug. Snd. Year. | Nooksack Spring | Skagit Wild | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{AI} \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 1.05 |
| 1980 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.98 |
| 1981 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.94 |
| 1982 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.02 |
| 1983 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.17 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.14 |
| 1984 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.27 |
| 1985 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.26 |
| 1986 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.43 |
| 1987 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.70 |
| 1988 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.77 |
| 1989 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.20 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.65 |
| 1990 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.29 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.62 |
| 1991 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.34 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.49 |
| 1992 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.33 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.39 |
| 1993 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.30 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.39 |
| 1994 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.24 |
| 1995 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.92 |
| 1996 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.89 |
| 1997 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.11 | 0.01 | 0.12 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.07 |
| 1998 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.98 |
| 1999 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.97 |
| 2000 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.99 |
| 2001 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.11 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.22 |
| 2002 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.12 | 0.01 | 0.12 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.45 |
| Average | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.24 |

Table K.2. Page 2 of 2 (stock groups 16-30).

| Year | Stillaguamish Wild | ohomish Wild | WA Co. <br> Hat | Upriver Brights | Spring Ck. Hat. | L. Bonn. <br> Hat. | Fall Cow. Hat. | Lewis R. Wild | Willamette R. | Spr. Cow. Hat. | Col. R. Summer | Oregon <br> Coastal | WA Co. Wild | Lyons <br> Ferry | Mid. Col. <br> R. Brights | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{AI} \\ \text { Total } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.27 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.05 |
| 1980 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.21 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.98 |
| 1981 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.19 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.94 |
| 1982 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.23 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.02 |
| 1983 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.31 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 1.14 |
| 1984 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.37 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.27 |
| 1985 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.37 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.26 |
| 1986 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.42 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 1.43 |
| 1987 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.47 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 1.70 |
| 1988 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.40 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 1.77 |
| 1989 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.33 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 1.65 |
| 1990 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.34 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 1.62 |
| 1991 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.30 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 1.49 |
| 1992 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.28 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 1.39 |
| 1993 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.30 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 1.39 |
| 1994 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.30 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 1.24 |
| 1995 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.22 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.92 |
| 1996 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.20 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.89 |
| 1997 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.22 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 1.07 |
| 1998 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.20 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.98 |
| 1999 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.20 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.97 |
| 2000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.23 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.99 |
| 2001 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.25 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 1.22 |
| 2002 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.24 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 1.45 |
| Average | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.28 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 1.24 |

Table K.3. Abundance indices (AIs) for the WCVI troll fishery by stock and year (stock groups 1-15). Numbers represent the portion of the AI total estimated for each stock group; the summation across all 30 stock groups equals the AI total for each.

-continued-

Table K.3. Page 2 of 2 (stock groups 16-30).

| Year | Stillaguamish Wild | nohomish Wild | WA Co. <br> Hat | Upriver Brights | Spring Ck. Hat. | L. Bonn. <br> Hat. | Fall Cow. Hat. | Lewis R. Wild | Willamette R. | Spr. Cow. <br> Hat. | Col. R. <br> Summer | Oregon <br> Coastal | WA Co. Wild | Lyons Ferry | Mid. Col. <br> R. Brights | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{AI} \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.10 |
| 1980 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.96 |
| 1981 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.95 |
| 1982 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.13 | 0.19 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.99 |
| 1983 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.13 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.83 |
| 1984 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.91 |
| 1985 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.92 |
| 1986 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.23 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.98 |
| 1987 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.16 | 0.01 | 0.61 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 1.30 |
| 1988 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.34 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 1.02 |
| 1989 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.89 |
| 1990 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.84 |
| 1991 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.70 |
| 1992 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.72 |
| 1993 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.65 |
| 1994 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.46 |
| 1995 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.38 |
| 1996 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.43 |
| 1997 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.50 |
| 1998 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.48 |
| 1999 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.44 |
| 2000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.45 |
| 2001 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.68 |
| 2002 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.18 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.95 |
| Average | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.77 |

Appendix L. Fishery Indices by stock, age and fishery, 1975-2000.
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Table L. 1. Alaska Troll SPFI: Landed Catch.

| YEAR | SPFI |  | WIN/SPR JUNE IN JUNE OUT JULY IN JULY OUT FALL |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | 0.96 |  | 1.21 | 0.71 | 1.18 | 0.57 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| 1980 | 1.10 |  | 0.64 | 1.27 | 0.88 | 0.98 | 1.33 | 1.33 |
| 1981 | 1.12 |  | 1.20 | 0.68 | 1.03 | 1.25 | 1.15 | 1.15 |
| 1982 | 0.82 |  | 0.95 | 1.34 | 0.91 | 1.20 | 0.61 | 0.61 |
| 1983 | 0.94 |  | 0.89 | 0.73 | 0.68 | 1.07 | 1.19 | 1.19 |
| 1984 | 0.63 |  | 0.33 | 1.19 | 1.06 | 0.37 | 0.49 | 0.49 |
| 1985 | 0.66 |  | 0.40 | 0.79 | 0.66 | 0.80 | 0.76 | 0.76 |
| 1986 | 0.52 |  | 0.40 | 0.47 | 0.20 | 0.75 | 1.34 | 1.34 |
| 1987 | 0.48 |  | 0.49 | 0.67 | 0.19 | 1.53 | 0.63 | 0.63 |
| 1988 | 0.66 |  | 1.22 | 0.33 | 0.01 | 1.44 | 0.65 | 0.65 |
| 1989 | 0.50 |  | 0.73 | 0.71 | 0.12 | 0.69 | 0.58 | 0.58 |
| 1990 | 0.74 |  | 0.58 | 1.24 | 0.12 | 1.60 | 1.12 | 1.12 |
| 1991 | 0.62 |  | 1.31 | 1.29 | 0.23 | 0.74 | 0.71 | 0.71 |
| 1992 | 0.43 |  | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.07 | 0.38 | 0.37 | 0.37 |
| 1993 | 0.53 |  | 0.67 | 0.56 | 0.02 | 0.41 | 0.82 | 0.82 |
| 1994 | 0.48 |  | 0.60 | 0.23 | 0.04 | 0.40 | 0.67 | 0.67 |
| 1995 | 0.51 |  | 0.45 | 0.68 | 0.06 | 1.19 | 0.79 | 0.79 |
| 1996 | 0.46 |  | 0.57 | 1.24 | 0.10 | 0.61 | 0.53 | 0.53 |
| 1997 | 0.93 |  | 0.58 | 1.86 | 0.19 | 0.22 | 1.55 | 1.55 |
| 1998 | 0.60 |  | 0.76 | 0.79 | 0.07 | 0.73 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| 1999 | 0.71 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.98 | 0.98 |
| 2000 | 0.53 |  | 0.83 | 0.68 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 1.14 | 1.14 |
| Stock Identifiers: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alaska Southeast | Age 4 | Age 5 | Age 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quinsam | Age 4 | Age 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Robertson Creek | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salmon River Hatchery | Age 4 | Age 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Columbia Upriver Brights | Age 4 | Age 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Willamette Spring Hatchery | Age 4 | Age 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table L. 2. Alaska Troll SPFI: Total Mortality

| YEAR | SPFI |  | WIN/SPR JUNE IN JUNE OUT JULY IN JULY OUT FALL |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | 0.94 |  | 1.17 | 0.70 | 1.17 | 0.54 | 0.88 | 0.88 |
| 1980 | 1.01 |  | 0.61 | 1.16 | 0.84 | 0.81 | 1.20 | 1.20 |
| 1981 | 1.12 |  | 1.21 | 0.71 | 1.06 | 1.12 | 1.17 | 1.17 |
| 1982 | 0.93 |  | 1.01 | 1.43 | 0.94 | 1.54 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| 1983 | 1.07 |  | 0.87 | 0.78 | 0.70 | 0.93 | 1.62 | 1.62 |
| 1984 | 0.62 |  | 0.34 | 1.16 | 1.06 | 0.36 | 0.48 | 0.48 |
| 1985 | 0.79 |  | 0.42 | 0.77 | 0.64 | 0.77 | 1.06 | 1.06 |
| 1986 | 0.61 |  | 0.44 | 0.48 | 0.19 | 0.83 | 1.68 | 1.68 |
| 1987 | 0.56 |  | 0.51 | 0.63 | 0.18 | 2.34 | 0.76 | 0.76 |
| 1988 | 0.68 |  | 1.15 | 0.34 | 0.01 | 1.66 | 0.67 | 0.67 |
| 1989 | 0.54 |  | 0.70 | 0.68 | 0.12 | 0.93 | 0.62 | 0.62 |
| 1990 | 1.01 |  | 0.73 | 1.32 | 0.14 | 1.54 | 1.66 | 1.66 |
| 1991 | 0.66 |  | 1.24 | 1.20 | 0.22 | 1.03 | 0.76 | 0.76 |
| 1992 | 0.50 |  | 0.89 | 0.87 | 0.06 | 0.42 | 0.56 | 0.56 |
| 1993 | 0.61 |  | 0.65 | 0.52 | 0.02 | 0.45 | 1.01 | 1.01 |
| 1994 | 0.59 |  | 0.59 | 0.28 | 0.04 | 0.54 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| 1995 | 0.61 |  | 0.46 | 0.72 | 0.06 | 1.23 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
| 1996 | 0.55 |  | 0.57 | 1.22 | 0.11 | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.68 |
| 1997 | 0.93 |  | 0.57 | 1.72 | 0.19 | 0.25 | 1.53 | 1.53 |
| 1998 | 0.59 |  | 0.75 | 0.76 | 0.07 | 0.63 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| 1999 | 0.76 |  | 0.97 | 0.92 | 0.12 | 0.22 | 1.06 | 1.06 |
| 2000 | 0.54 |  | 0.77 | 0.63 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 1.21 | 1.21 |
| Stock Identifiers: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alaska Southeast | Age 4 | Age 5 | Age 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quinsam | Age 4 | Age 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Robertson Creek | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salmon River Hatchery | Age 4 | Age 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Columbia Upriver Brights | Age 4 | Age 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Willamette Spring Hatchery | Age 4 | Age 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table L. 3. North Troll: Landed Catch

| REPORT Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { ED CATCH } \\ \text { AKS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EXPLO } \\ \text { QUI } \end{gathered}$ | ITATION QUI | RATES RBT | RBT | RBT | SRH | SRH | SRH | URB | URB | URB | WSH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | NA | 0.019 | NA | 0.047 | 0.066 | 0.070 | NA | NA | NA | 0.006 | 0.054 | NA | 0.032 |
| 80 | NA | 0.026 | 0.059 | 0.043 | 0.070 | 0.070 | 0.062 | NA | NA | 0.015 | 0.046 | 0.059 | 0.058 |
| 81 | NA | 0.071 | 0.086 | 0.029 | 0.085 | 0.168 | 0.101 | 0.146 | NA | NA | 0.053 | 0.061 | 0.057 |
| 82 | 0.003 | 0.026 | 0.035 | 0.035 | 0.107 | NA | 0.028 | 0.118 | 0.077 | 0.019 | 0.033 | 0.019 | 0.017 |
| 83 | 0.006 | 0.039 | 0.086 | 0.039 | 0.058 | 0.058 | 0.027 | 0.083 | 0.095 | 0.026 | 0.059 | NA | 0.033 |
| 84 | 0.004 | 0.007 | 0.026 | 0.015 | 0.111 | 0.198 | NA | 0.080 | 0.196 | 0.014 | 0.093 | NA | 0.012 |
| 85 | 0.003 | 0.007 | 0.031 | 0.033 | 0.158 | NA | 0.027 | NA | 0.212 | 0.018 | 0.081 | 0.078 | 0.005 |
| 86 | 0.003 | 0.030 | 0.045 | NA | 0.086 | NA | 0.006 | 0.057 | NA | 0.015 | 0.062 | 0.076 | NA |
| 87 | 0.002 | 0.011 | 0.034 | 0.019 | NA | NA | 0.010 | 0.049 | 0.177 | 0.016 | 0.090 | 0.133 | 0.014 |
| 88 | 0.007 | 0.006 | 0.037 | 0.013 | 0.051 | NA | NA | 0.040 | 0.058 | 0.005 | 0.049 | 0.090 | 0.020 |
| 89 | 0.003 | 0.014 | 0.024 | 0.014 | 0.072 | 0.102 | 0.007 | 0.034 | 0.167 | NA | 0.047 | 0.195 | 0.009 |
| 90 | 0.007 | 0.011 | 0.055 | 0.012 | 0.059 | 0.056 | 0.009 | 0.031 | 0.155 | NA | 0.056 | 0.108 | 0.008 |
| 91 | 0.002 | 0.013 | 0.034 | 0.015 | 0.062 | 0.112 | 0.007 | 0.051 | 0.161 | NA | NA | NA | 0.007 |
| 92 | 0.001 | NA | 0.097 | 0.012 | 0.048 | 0.067 | 0.007 | 0.032 | 0.075 | NA | NA | NA | 0.003 |
| 93 | 0.001 | NA | NA | 0.007 | 0.051 | 0.081 | 0.007 | 0.076 | 0.184 | 0.000 | 0.052 | NA | 0.005 |
| 94 | 0.000 | NA | NA | 0.013 | 0.061 | 0.088 | 0.011 | 0.068 | 0.168 | NA | 0.044 | 0.094 | 0.003 |
| 95 | 0.000 | NA | NA | NA | 0.034 | 0.025 | 0.006 | 0.000 | 0.067 | NA | NA | 0.026 | 0.005 |
| 96 | 0.000 | NA | NA | 0.000 | NA | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | NA | 0.000 |
| 97 | NA | 0.012 | 0.020 | 0.008 | 0.034 | NA | 0.007 | 0.015 | 0.035 | NA | 0.029 | NA | 0.007 |
| 98 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | NA | 0.048 | NA | 0.005 | 0.070 | 0.102 | 0.000 | NA | 0.073 | 0.000 |
| 99 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.006 | NA | 0.018 | 0.033 | 0.002 | 0.015 | 0.019 | 0.000 | 0.032 | NA | 0.000 |
| 100 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.002 | NA | NA | NA | 0.001 | 0.015 | 0.021 | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Base | 0.003 | 0.035 | 0.060 | 0.038 | 0.082 | 0.103 | 0.064 | 0.132 | 0.077 | 0.013 | 0.046 | 0.046 | 0.041 |

Table L. 3 part 2 of 2

| REPORTED CATCH EXPLOITATION RATE INDEX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Age 4 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 5 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 5 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 5 | Age 4 | Fishery |
| 79 | NA | 0.526 | NA | 1.221 | 0.809 | 0.680 | NA | NA | NA | 0.459 | 1.173 | NA | 0.776 | 0.818 |
| 80 | NA | 0.729 | 0.986 | 1.112 | 0.856 | 0.682 | 0.976 | NA | NA | 1.122 | 0.986 | 1.270 | 1.427 | 0.960 |
| 81 | NA | 1.999 | 1.435 | 0.754 | 1.034 | 1.638 | 1.590 | 1.105 | NA | NA | 1.138 | 1.312 | 1.392 | 1.322 |
| 82 | 1.000 | 0.747 | 0.579 | 0.913 | 1.301 | NA | 0.433 | 0.895 | 1.000 | 1.419 | 0.704 | 0.419 | 0.405 | 0.809 |
| 83 | 1.790 | 1.098 | 1.441 | 1.015 | 0.704 | 0.562 | 0.422 | 0.625 | 1.238 | 1.941 | 1.281 | NA | 0.800 | 0.875 |
| 84 | 1.214 | 0.211 | 0.434 | 0.400 | 1.359 | 1.927 | NA | 0.608 | 2.547 | 1.024 | 2.002 | NA | 0.300 | 1.199 |
| 85 | 0.771 | 0.211 | 0.510 | 0.863 | 1.928 | NA | 0.422 | NA | 2.753 | 1.388 | 1.745 | 1.680 | 0.130 | 1.289 |
| 86 | 0.738 | 0.835 | 0.744 | NA | 1.042 | NA | 0.089 | 0.435 | NA | 1.119 | 1.351 | 1.645 | NA | 0.786 |
| 87 | 0.628 | 0.304 | 0.562 | 0.481 | NA | NA | 0.160 | 0.373 | 2.302 | 1.209 | 1.949 | 2.863 | 0.337 | 0.996 |
| 88 | 1.976 | 0.164 | 0.615 | 0.325 | 0.623 | NA | NA | 0.301 | 0.755 | 0.368 | 1.063 | 1.953 | 0.483 | 0.653 |
| 89 | 1.023 | 0.407 | 0.401 | 0.358 | 0.883 | 0.997 | 0.106 | 0.260 | 2.167 | NA | 1.008 | 4.217 | 0.225 | 0.947 |
| 90 | 2.017 | 0.301 | 0.915 | 0.308 | 0.719 | 0.545 | 0.138 | 0.235 | 2.011 | NA | 1.214 | 2.331 | 0.186 | 0.777 |
| 91 | 0.709 | 0.353 | 0.569 | 0.381 | 0.758 | 1.093 | 0.107 | 0.389 | 2.088 | NA | NA | NA | 0.171 | 0.730 |
| 92 | 0.161 | NA | 1.615 | 0.299 | 0.590 | 0.657 | 0.104 | 0.244 | 0.973 | NA | NA | NA | 0.062 | 0.568 |
| 93 | 0.301 | NA | NA | 0.174 | 0.619 | 0.793 | 0.106 | 0.574 | 2.391 | 0.000 | 1.126 | NA | 0.128 | 0.773 |
| 94 | 0.064 | NA | NA | 0.325 | 0.749 | 0.857 | 0.172 | 0.515 | 2.180 | NA | 0.952 | 2.038 | 0.073 | 0.869 |
| 95 | 0.000 | NA | NA | NA | 0.414 | 0.248 | 0.100 | 0.000 | 0.868 | NA | NA | 0.557 | 0.114 | 0.297 |
| 96 | 0.000 | NA | NA | 0.000 | NA | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 97 | NA | 0.353 | 0.341 | 0.218 | 0.417 | NA | 0.117 | 0.112 | 0.454 | NA | 0.637 | NA | 0.164 | 0.293 |
| 98 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | NA | 0.584 | NA | 0.071 | 0.532 | 1.322 | 0.000 | NA | 1.573 | 0.000 | 0.536 |
| 99 | 0.000 | 0.079 | 0.102 | NA | 0.217 | 0.326 | 0.029 | 0.112 | 0.247 | 0.000 | 0.685 | NA | 0.000 | 0.194 |
| 100 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.029 | NA | NA | NA | 0.016 | 0.111 | 0.277 | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 0.077 |

Stock Identifiers

```
AKS = ALASKA SPRING
SRH = SALMON RIVER HATCHERY
```

QUI = QUINSAM
URB $=$ COLUMBIA UPRIVER BRIGHT

RBT = ROBERTSON CREEK WSH = WILLAMETTE SPRING

Table L. 4. North Troll: Total Mortality.


[^5]Table L. 4 part 2 of 2


Stock Identifiers

AKS = ALASKA SPRING
SRH $=$ SALMON RIVER HATCHERY

QUI = QUINSAM
URB $=$ COLUMBIA UPRIVER BRIGHT

RBT = ROBERTSON CREEK WSH = WILLAMETTE SPRING

## Table L. 5. WCVI Troll: Landed Catch.

Reported CATCH EXPLOITATION RATE INDEX


| REPORT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ED CATC } \\ & \text { CWF } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { GADLD }}{\text { EXPLC }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ATION } \\ \text { GAD } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { RATE }}{\text { LRH }}$ | ${ }_{\text {EXH }}$ | LRW | RBT | RBT | RBT | SAM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | RB |  | UWA | UWA | WSH | HI | HI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Age 4 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 4 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 5 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 5 | Age 4 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 4 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Fishery |
| 79 | NA | NA | NA | 1.039 | NA | NA | 1.157 | 1.243 | NA | NA | 1.000 | 0.962 | 0.818 | NA | 1.110 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 1.434 | 1.674 | 0.625 | 0.954 | 1.303 | NA | NA | 1.003 |
| 80 | NA | NA | NA | 0.592 | 0.813 | NA | 1.375 | 1.424 | NA | NA | NA | 1.182 | 1.361 | NA | NA | 1.000 | NA | NA | 0.672 | 1.334 | 0.975 | 1.254 | 0.695 | 1.258 | NA | NA | 0.986 |
| 81 | 0.782 | 0.709 | NA | 1.172 | 0.832 | 0.839 | 0.709 | 0.589 | 1.000 | NA | NA | 0.938 | 0.654 | 0.714 | NA | NA | 1.000 | NA | 1.328 | 0.196 | 0.908 | 0.905 | 1.091 | 0.607 | NA | NA | 0.895 |
| 82 | 1.218 | 1.291 | 1.000 | 1.197 | 1.355 | 1.161 | 0.759 | 0.744 | NA | 1.000 | NA | 0.917 | 1.166 | 1.286 | 0.890 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 1.036 | 0.442 | 1.215 | 1.259 | 0.832 | NA | NA | 1.096 |
| 83 | 1.405 | NA | 1.403 | 1.740 | 1.750 | 0.979 | 0.344 | 0.678 | 2.512 | NA | 0.952 | 1.459 | 0.964 | 1.521 | 0.865 | 0.612 | 0.737 | NA | NA | 0.376 | 0.418 | 0.744 | 1.152 | 0.192 | NA | NA | 1.184 |
| 84 | 1.346 | 2.050 | NA | 2.193 | 2.914 | NA | 1.286 | 1.011 | 1.729 | NA | NA | 1.331 | 1.443 | 1.407 | 0.988 | NA | 0.817 | NA | NA | 0.835 | 1.360 | 1.802 | 0.888 | 0.431 | NA | NA | 1.530 |
| 85 | 0.934 | NA | 0.836 | 1.266 | 1.176 | NA | 0.614 | 0.000 | NA | NA | NA | 0.551 | 1.087 | 0.707 | 0.719 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.732 | 1.074 | 0.950 | 1.237 | 0.307 | NA | NA | 0.916 |
| 86 | 1.313 | NA | NA | 1.279 | 1.181 | 0.463 | NA | 0.566 | NA | NA | NA | 1.182 | 0.916 | 0.773 | 1.168 | NA | 0.417 | NA | NA | 1.475 | 0.745 | 0.895 | 1.360 | NA | NA | NA | 1.089 |
| 87 | 0.878 | NA | NA | 0.954 | NA | 1.442 | 0.270 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.449 | NA | 0.653 | 0.552 | 0.117 | 0.489 | NA | 0.000 | 0.969 | 0.986 | 0.397 | 0.494 | NA | NA | NA | 0.605 |
| 88 | 0.860 | 0.426 | NA | 1.146 | 1.419 | 1.047 | 0.445 | 0.576 | NA | 0.551 | NA | 0.989 | NA | 0.261 | 0.747 | NA | 1.421 | NA | 1.079 | 0.083 | 1.968 | NA | 0.961 | 0.563 | NA | NA | 0.944 |
| 89 | 0.538 | 0.250 | 0.491 | 0.290 | 0.588 | 0.566 | 0.168 | 0.342 | 0.000 | 0.193 | 0.614 | 0.579 | 0.406 | 0.302 | 0.413 | 0.150 | NA | NA | 0.720 | NA | 0.919 | NA | NA | 0.353 | NA | NA | 0.474 |
| 90 | 0.734 | 1.084 | 0.947 | 1.175 | 0.434 | 1.202 | 0.667 | 0.563 | 1.596 | 0.370 | 0.885 | 0.916 | 0.743 | 0.641 | 0.891 | 0.314 | 0.964 | NA | 1.290 | NA | 1.665 | NA | NA | 0.542 | NA | NA | 0.875 |
| 91 | NA | NA | 0.943 | 0.805 | NA | 0.740 | 0.605 | 0.553 | 0.753 | 0.227 | 0.585 | 0.593 | 0.654 | 0.359 | 0.574 | 0.410 | 0.790 | NA | 0.422 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.051 | NA | NA | 0.624 |
| 92 | 1.174 | NA | 0.455 | 0.670 | NA | 0.317 | 1.686 | 2.496 | 5.314 | 0.970 | 0.272 | 0.427 | 0.760 | 0.644 | 0.779 | 0.594 | 5.913 | NA | 0.738 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.125 | NA | NA | 0.827 |
| 93 | NA | NA | NA | 1.113 | 0.704 | NA | 1.154 | 2.266 | 2.480 | 1.045 | 0.437 | 0.537 | 1.025 | 0.906 | 0.597 | 0.541 | 2.660 | NA | NA | 0.620 | 2.012 | NA | NA | 0.286 | NA | NA | 0.882 |
| 94 | 0.119 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.222 | 0.608 | 0.742 | 1.422 | 0.077 | 0.707 | 0.818 | 0.652 | 0.190 | 0.501 | NA | 0.837 | NA | NA | NA | 1.014 | NA | NA | 0.167 | NA | NA | 0.552 |
| 95 | NA | 0.215 | NA | NA | NA | 0.430 | NA | 0.441 | 0.370 | 0.144 | 0.390 | 0.349 | 0.347 | 0.240 | 0.278 | 0.016 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.094 | NA | NA | 0.314 |
| 96 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | NA | NA | 0.000 | NA | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | NA | NA | 0.000 | NA | NA | 0.000 |
| 97 | 0.346 | NA | 0.199 | 0.737 | NA | NA | 0.000 | 0.065 | NA | 0.021 | 0.241 | 0.654 | 0.725 | 0.025 | 0.300 | 0.000 | 0.081 | NA | 0.075 | NA | 0.092 | NA | NA | 0.000 | NA | NA | 0.348 |
| 98 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.000 | NA | NA | 0.087 | 0.046 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.033 | 0.000 | 0.000 | NA | NA | 0.015 | NA | NA | NA | 0.022 | NA | NA | 0.033 |
| 99 | NA | 0.045 | NA | 0.084 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.000 | NA | 0.079 | 0.016 | NA | 0.013 | 0.061 | 0.000 | 0.000 | NA | 0.038 | 0.000 | 0.000 | NA | NA | 0.000 | NA | NA | 0.045 |
| 100 | NA | NA | 1.125 | 0.038 | 1.940 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.124 | 0.954 | 0.023 | 0.648 | 0.000 | 0.000 | NA | 0.613 | NA | 0.261 | NA | NA | 0.047 | NA | NA | 0.705 |

Stock Identifiers

| CWF = COWLItz fall tule | GAD $=$ G ADAMS FALL FING | LRH = LOWER RIVER TULE 'Oregon Lower River Tule | LRW = LEWIS RIVER WILD | RBT $=$ ROBERTSON CREEK | SAM $=$ SAMISH FALL FING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPR $=$ SPRING CREEK TULE | SPS $=$ SO SOUND FALL FING | SRH $=$ SALMON RIVER HATCHERY | SUM = COL RIVER SUMMERS | URB = COLUMBIA UPRIVER BRIGHT | UWA $=\mathrm{U}$ OF W FALL ACCEL |
| WSH $=$ WILLAMETTE SPRING | CHI $=$ CHILLAWACK |  |  |  |  |

Table L. 6. WCVI Troll: Total Mortality.


Stock Identifiers
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { CWF } & =\text { COWLITZ FALL TULE }\end{array}$ SPR $=$ SPRING CREEK TULE WSH = WILLAMETTE SPRING

GAD $=$ G ADAMS FALL FING
$=$ SO SOUND CHI = CHILLAWACK

LRH $=$ LOWER RIVER TULE 'Oregon Lower River Tule SRH $=$ SALMON RIVER HATCHERY

Appendix M. Abundance Indices for 1979 through 2002 for SEAK, NBC, and WCVI AABM fisheries, from calibration \#0204 from which the 2002 pre-season AIs were generated and approved by the CTC April 24, 2002. Due to data discrepancies found after that date, the AIs were replaced with those generated from calibration \#0206 (Appendix L). Note that the 2002 pre-season AIs did not differ between the two calibrations.

| Year | SEAK | NBC | WCVI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | 0.98 | 1.05 | 1.10 |
| 1980 | 1.02 | 0.98 | 0.96 |
| 1981 | 0.93 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| 1982 | 1.08 | 1.02 | 0.99 |
| 1983 | 1.20 | 1.13 | 0.81 |
| 1984 | 1.34 | 1.26 | 0.91 |
| 1985 | 1.26 | 1.26 | 0.92 |
| 1986 | 1.44 | 1.42 | 0.98 |
| 1987 | 1.71 | 1.69 | 1.30 |
| 1988 | 2.01 | 1.77 | 1.02 |
| 1989 | 1.79 | 1.64 | 0.89 |
| 1990 | 1.83 | 1.61 | 0.84 |
| 1991 | 1.77 | 1.48 | 0.70 |
| 1992 | 1.64 | 1.38 | 0.72 |
| 1993 | 1.64 | 1.38 | 0.64 |
| 1994 | 1.54 | 1.23 | 0.46 |
| 1995 | 1.02 | 0.91 | 0.38 |
| 1996 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.42 |
| 1997 | 1.18 | 1.07 | 0.50 |
| 1998 | 1.16 | 0.98 | 0.48 |
| 1999 | 1.06 | 0.97 | 0.45 |
| 2000 | 1.07 | 0.99 | 0.45 |
| 2001 | 1.28 | 1.22 | 0.68 |
| 2002 | 1.74 | 1.45 | 0.95 |

## Appendix M. (Page 2 of 2)

Description of problems in calibration 0204 that resulted in an additional calibration and changes to the Abundance Indices

Examination of the results from calibration 0204 and the cohort analysis indicated that problems existed in data used in the cohort analysis. Problems were suspected because for a number of the Washington stocks, no year 2000 escapements were reported by the cohort analysis. This obvious problem led to the eventual detection and correction of a number of other problems as well. The problems fell into two categories: 1) missing CWT data; and 2) errors within the code in the program ('makec') that generates the ' C -files' which are the primary data input files required by the cohort analysis program ('cohshk').

1) Missing data
a) estimated escapement recoveries were missing in the 'C-files' for a number of the Washington stocks because they had not been reported to the PSMFC database by the recovering agencies.
b) Quinalt Department of Natural Resources recovery data from 1998 through to 2000 had not been submitted to PSMFC for transfer to Canada and incorporation into the database file ('chin.dat') containing all the US recovery data used by makec.
2) Problems in the makec program code
a) a specific library call had not been enabled in two of the US versions of makec preventing them from being able to access year 2000 Canadian fishery CWT recoveries in the Canadian MRP database for certain Washington stocks. The consequence of this problem was that no 2000 recoveries from Canadian fisheries were incorporated into the affected US C-files. Other versions of makec in use had been modified so that the necessary library call was enabled and for most stocks, year 2000 recoveries were correctly incorporated into the respective C-files.
b) a logic error was detected in that part of the makec code that was modified for this year's analysis to change the age increment time period (i.e. 'birthday') for CWT recoveries in the WCVI troll and northern BC troll fisheries from Jan. 1 (i.e. stat week 1-1) to Oct. 1 (i.e. stat week 10-1) starting in 1998. This error potentially affected all versions of makec although a thorough examination of some sets of C-files revealed only a small number of cases where a few age-4 recoveries were incorrectly assigned a recovery age of 5 . The error was due to the fact that once age at recovery was calculated upon the first instance of a recovery occurring within the period Oct. - Dec. of a given recovery year, all subsequent recoveries in the same stratum were assigned the new age even though they may have been recovered before Oct. 1. Prior to the modification of the annual period in the two troll fisheries, the structure of the Canadian database was such that recalculation of the age at recovery was not needed once the first instance of a new age at recovery was encountered within a recovery year. Only when a new recovery year was encountered was it formerly necessary for the program to re-calculate age at recovery.
c) During the process of correcting the error in the troll aging logic, it was noted that in the year 2000, stat week 10-1 (approximating the first week of October) occurred entirely in September rather than in October. Since stat week is used as the recovery time period rather than date for troll CWT recoveries, code was added to the makec programs so that the annual 'birthday' for aging recoveries in the AK, Northern troll and WCVI troll fisheries was forced to be stat week 10-2 in the year 2000.

[^0]:    1 These stocks are CWTd, but there is no quantitative escapement data; useful for distribution only.
    2 Tagged PSC indicator stocks with too few recoveries for analysis.
    3 Subyearlings have been CWTd since brood year 1986, except for brood years 1993 through 1997.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Interim goal for modeling based on stock recruitment analysis of model data.

[^2]:    -continued-

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Since 1998, the catch accounting year for troll fisheries was set from October 1-September 30. To make comparisons to previous years more meaningful, the same catch accounting period was applied for years prior to 1998.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Values represent estimates of stock distribution only.

[^5]:    -continued-

