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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report contains the results of the Chinook Technical Committees (CTC) annual exploitation rate assessment, the Abundance Indices (AIs) for the Aggregate Abundance Based Management (AABM) fisheries from the final preseason chinook model calibration for 2001 (CLB 0107), Individual Stock Based Management (ISBM) Indices for each party, a summary of preseason forecast methods by stock and an assessment of escapement trends and goals as called for in Chapter 3, paragraph 9 of the Agreement.

## AABM Abundance Indices

The AIs for the three AABM fisheries; Southeast Alaska (SEAK) All Gear, Northern British Columbia Troll and Queen Charlotte Islands (NBC) Sport, and West Coast Vancouver Island (WCVI) Troll and Outside Sport are presented in Table 1. Beginning with the 1999 fishing season, the Agreement specified that the AABM fisheries were to be managed through the use of the AIs. In 1999 and 2000 the CTC preseason calibrations provided AIs that were used to set fishing plans but were not deemed to be final calibrations. Compliance with the Agreement specifies that the first post-season calibration be used. The AIs for 1999 and 2000 are final, while the AI for 2001 is used to set preliminary catches for the year.

Table 1. AI values for 1999, 2000, and 2001 for the SEAK, NBC, and WCVI Troll fisheries.

| Fishery | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SEAK | 1.12 | 1.10 | 1.14 |
| NBC | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.02 |
| WCVI | 0.50 | 0.47 | 0.66 |

In general, the AIs remain low compared to AIs in the late 1980s and early 1990s but values in 2001 are larger than in recent years. The Agreement specifies an allowable catch for each AI for each fishery. The specified treaty catch by fishery and year and the actual (observed) catches are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Observed and treaty catches for the AABM fisheries in 1999 and 2000 and the preseason forecast for 2001.

| Fishery | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ <br> Observed | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ <br> Treaty | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ <br> Observed | 2000 <br> Treaty | 2001 <br> Forecast |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SEAK All Gear | 200,250 | 184,200 | 183,979 | 178,500 | 189,900 |
| NBC Troll and QCI <br> Sport | 103,900 | 126,100 | 58,012 | 123,500 | 132,600 |
| WCVI Troll and <br> Outside Sport | 31,085 | 107,000 | 100,030 | 92,300 | 141,182 |

In SEAK, the observed catch in 1999 and 2000 was greater than the treaty catch associated with the AI by $8.7 \%$ and $3.1 \%$. In WCVI, the observed catch in 2000 was greater than the treaty catch associated with the AI by $8.4 \%$, but the size limit in the troll fishery was reduced from 67 cm to 55 cm .

The Agreement specified that overage/underage provisions apply to both AABM and ISBM fisheries. The Agreement directed the CTC to adapt the previous overage/underage annex provisions to reflect changes based on a catch established through in season or pre-season abundance indicators. The CTC was also asked to review the $7.5 \%$ range above and below the management objective and consider whether increased flexibility in the management range is desirable or necessary taking into consideration management precision and increased risk on affected stock groups. The CTC has not yet discussed measures for implementation of overage/underage provisions.

## ISBM Fisheries

For the ISBM fisheries, the Agreement specified that Canada and the United States would reduce base period exploitation rates on specified stocks by 0.365 and 0.400 , respectively. This requirement does not apply to stocks that achieve their CTC agreed escapement goal. Canadian ISBM indices (Table 3) were all below the target ISBM index of 0.635 . Thus, the general obligation was met for Canadian ISBM fisheries. For U.S. fisheries, several ISBM indices were above the target value of 0.600 (italicized in Table 4).

For 1999, eight CWT-based U.S. ISBM indices were above 0.600 . Four of these were for stocks that had 1999 escapements above their CTC escapement goal. The remaining four were for the Upriver Bright and Washington Coastal Fall stocks (Queets, Hoh, and Quillayute). Although they lack CTC escapement goals, all exceeded their agency management goals in 1999. However, one interpretation of the Treaty is that they are not in compliance with the "general obligation" of the agreement until the CTC has reviewed and accepted biologically-based goals for these four stocks.

In 2000, seven U.S. ISBM indices were above 0.600 . Two of these indices were for stocks that exceeded their CTC escapement goals in 2000. The other five were for the Hoh Fall, Quillayute Fall, Upriver Bright, Deschutes, and Nehalem stocks. Of these, only the Nehalem has a CTC agreed escapement goal. For the Nehalem, escapement was below the CTC escapement goal, but was above the $85 \%$ production level defined as the lower bound for escapement (footnote 3, page 40 of the agreement). As noted above, the other four stocks may not be in compliance with the general obligation. The Hoh, Quillayute, and Upriver Bright fall stocks met their agency management goals, but the Deschutes stock did not.

For 2001, twelve U.S. ISBM indices are predicted to be above 0.600 (Table 4). One of these is for the Lewis River stock, which is predicted to be above the CTC agreed goal in 2001. Planned harvest patterns for the remaining 11 stocks may not be in compliance with the general obligation of the Agreement.

Table 3. ISBM Indices for Canadian fisheries, 1999 through 2001.

| Stock Group | Stock | Canadian ISBM Indices |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | CWT <br> Index | Modeled Indices |  |  |
|  |  | $1999{ }^{1}$ | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| North / Central B. C. | Yakoun, Nass, Skeena, Area 8 | $\mathrm{NA}^{2}$ | 0.237 | 0.254 | 0.613 |
| West Coast Vancouver Island | WCVI (Artlish, Burman, Gold, Kauok, Tahsis, Tashish, Marble) | 0.431 | 0.365 | 0.327 | 0.244 |
| Fraser Early | Upper Fraser, Mid Fraser, Thompson | $\mathrm{NA}^{2}$ | 0.125 | 0.124 | 0.210 |
| Fraser Late | Harrison River | 0.112 | 0.309 | 0.198 | 0.336 |
| Upper Strait of Georgia | Klinaklini, Kakweikan, Wakeman, Kingcome, Nimpkish | 0.021 | 0.174 | 0.118 | 0.314 |
| Lower Strait of Georgia | Cowichan | 0.517 | 0.304 | 0.232 | 0.325 |
|  | Nanaimo | 0.163 | 0.209 | 0.113 | 0.246 |
| North PS Nat Springs | Nooksack, Skagit | 0.183 | 0.233 | 0.156 | 0.241 |
| Puget Sound Natural Summer / Falls | Skagit | NA | 0.197 | 0.119 | 0.217 |
|  | Stillaguamish | $0.194$ | 0.355 | 0.234 | 0.469 |
|  | Snohomish | NA | 0.185 | 0.116 | 0.222 |
|  | Lake Washington | NA | 0.332 | 0.202 | 0.355 |
|  | Green R | 0.171 | 0.333 | 0.202 | 0.356 |
| Washington Coastal Fall Naturals | Hoko, Grays Harbor, Queets, Hoh, Quillayute | NA | 0.201 | 0.161 | 0.354 |
| Col River Falls | Upriver Brights | NA | 0.124 | 0.104 | 0.377 |
|  | Deschutes | NA | 0.124 | 0.104 | 0.377 |
|  | Lewis | NA | 0.056 | 0.180 | 0.180 |
| Col R Summers | Mid-Col Summers | NA | 0.109 | 0.085 | 0.144 |
| Far North Migrating OR Coastal Falls | Nehalem, Siletz, Siuslaw | NA | 0.094 | 0.110 | 0.505 |

${ }^{1}$ The 1999 CWT based estimates, not the 1999 model estimates, are used for evaluating compliance.
${ }^{2}$ NA means not available because of insufficient data (lack of tag codes, base period CWT, etc).

Table 4. ISBM indices for U.S. fisheries, 1999 through 2001 (indices above 0.60 are italicized for stocks without CTC agreed escapement goals and for stocks that did not achieve CTC agreed escapement goals).

| Stock Group | Stock | US ISBM Indices |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | CWT <br> Index | Modeled Indices |  |  |
|  |  | $1999{ }^{1}$ | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| North / Central B. C. | Yakoun, Nass, Skeena, Area 8 | $\mathrm{NA}^{2}$ | NC ${ }^{3}$ | NC | NC |
| West Coast Vancouver Island | WCVI (Artlish, Burman, Gold, Kauok, Tahsis, Tashish, Marble) |  | 0.26 | 0.38 | $0.73{ }^{4}$ |
| Fraser Early | Upper Fraser, Mid Fraser, Thompson |  | 0.08 | 0.15 | $0.70^{4}$ |
| Fraser Late | Harrison River | 0.47 | 0.66 | 0.39 | 0.62 |
| Upper Strait of Georgia | Klinaklini, Kakweikan, Wakeman, Kingcome, Nimpkish | NA | NC | NC | NC |
| Lower Strait of Georgia | Cowichan | NA | 0.17 | 0.21 | 0.48 |
|  | Nanaimo | NA | 0.17 | 0.21 | 0.48 |
| North PS Nat Springs | Nooksack | 0.44 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.01 |
|  | Skagit | NA | ID ${ }^{5}$ | ID | $0.07$ |
| Puget Sound Natural Summer / Falls | Skagit | NA | 0.17 | 0.21 | 0.78 |
|  | Stillaguamish | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.40 |
|  | Snohomish | NA | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.60 |
|  | Lake Washington | NA | 0.50 | 0.48 | 0.59 |
|  | Green R | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.48 | 0.60 |
| Washington Coastal Fall Naturals | Hoko | NA | 0.39 | 0.34 | 0.56 |
|  | Grays Harbor | 0.43 | 0.44 | 0.43 | 0.45 |
|  | Queets | 1.00 | 0.88 | 0.42 | 0.44 |
|  | Hoh | 1.54 | 1.39 | 0.73 | 0.76 |
|  | Quillayute | 1.30 | 1.14 | 0.72 | 0.75 |
| Col River Falls | Upriver Brights | 1.37 | 1.02 | 1.09 | 0.99 |
|  | Deschutes | 0.51 | 1.02 | 0.88 | 0.74 |
|  | Lewis | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.16 | $1.70^{6}$ |
| Col R Summers | Mid-Col Summers | $1.64{ }^{7}$ | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.14 |
| Far North Migrating OR Coastal Falls | Nehalem | $1.96{ }^{7}$ | 2.67 | 2.66 | 2.75 |
|  | Siletz | $0.82{ }^{7}$ | 1.81 | $1.79{ }^{7}$ | 1.87 |
|  | Siuslaw | $1.22{ }^{7}$ | 0.94 | $0.93{ }^{7}$ | 0.95 |

${ }^{4}$ The 1999 CWT based estimates, not the 1999 model estimates, are used for evaluating compliance.
${ }^{2}$ NA means not available because of insufficient data (lack of tag codes, base period CWT, etc).
${ }^{3} \mathrm{NC}$ means that the current model assumes the stock is not caught in US ISBM fisheries.
${ }_{5}^{4}$ Stock group not in Annex Table V.
${ }^{5}$ ID means insufficient data available to estimate stock specific impacts.
${ }^{6}$ Escapement predicted to be above CTC goal.
${ }^{7}$ Escapement was above CTC goal.

As with the AABM fisheries, the agreement specifies that overages are to be accounted for. The CTC has not yet discussed measures for implementation of overage/underage provisions. This is the first year that the nonceiling fishery index method has been adapted to ISBM fishery indices and the first year that preseason ISBM projections have been made. Estimates based upon CWTs versus the CTC model can vary substantially. Projections of 2001 ISBM indices provide a caution to management agencies for preseason planning, but there is uncertainty associated with them. The number of stocks with U.S. ISBM indices above 0.60 that do not have CTC-accepted escapement goals emphasize the need for agencies to provide for CTC review the data and analyses to justify biologically-based escapement goals.

## Stock Forecasts

A summary of recent forecasts for 14 stocks used in the CTC model calibration indicates that the accuracy of individual stock/year forecasts have ranged from $31 \%$ to $148 \%$ while the average accuracy has ranged from $63 \%$ to $126 \%$ during the period of 1997-2000. The variability of these forecasts is greater in the smaller stocks and for the WCVI stock. For the major production stocks, these forecasts suggest that their abundance in 2001 will be less than reported for 2000.

## Escapement Trends and Goals

Paragraph 9 of the new Agreement defines criteria for identifying stocks of concern (only for stocks with CTC agreed escapement goals) and escapement levels in those stocks that would trigger additional management action (footnote 3, page 40 Agreement). Of the 15 stocks with CTC agreed escapement goals, the Blossom stock was the only stock to potentially qualify as a stock of concern (two years below escapement range). However, additional management action for this stock is not triggered in 2001 since both years exceed the lower bound of escapement as defined in footnote 3 of the Agreement.

The 1999 escapements for the Taku and Lewis chinook salmon stocks were less than the $85 \%$ production trigger values, but escapements in 2000 equaled or exceeded their goals. Thus, escapements during 1999 and 2000, for stocks with agreed escapement goals, do not trigger any additional management actions for 2001 as per paragraph 9, Chapter 3 of the Agreement.

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Annexes and Related Agreements (Agreement) to the Pacific Salmon Treaty (PST) dated June 30, 1999, changed the management of chinook salmon fisheries by the Pacific Salmon Commission (PSC). Fisheries are no longer designated as "ceiling" or "pass-through," but as Aggregate Abundance Based Management (AABM) or Individual Stock Based Management (ISBM) fisheries that are managed according to the abundance of chinook salmon in the fisheries. Allowable catch for the upcoming year in each AABM (Southeast Alaska All Gear (SEAK), Northern British Columbia Troll and Sport (NBC), and West Coast Vancouver Island Troll and Outside Sport (WCVI)) fishery is determined through an Abundance Index (AI) calculated from an agreed preseason calibration of the Chinook Technical Committee (CTC) chinook model (see Table 1 of Chapter 3 in the Agreement). This same calibration is also used to compute the post-season AIs for the previous year. Pre-season and post-season indices are also calculated for ISBM fisheries, but management of these fisheries is not based on allowable catch. Under the Agreement, annual aggregate exploitation rates in Canadian and U.S. ISBM fisheries are to be reduced by $36.5 \%$ and $40 \%$ respectively from those in the base period (1979-1982) until these fisheries can be managed to achieve Maximum Sustained Yield (MSY) or other biologically-based escapement goals.

This annual exploitation rate and calibration report describes the cohort analysis used to estimate exploitation rates from Coded-Wire-Tag (CWT) data, describes the chinook model calibration procedures, summarizes the results of the cohort analysis, and summarizes the results of the April 2001 calibration (CLB 0107). Calibration results are based on completion of the exploitation rate analysis for indicator stocks through 1999 fisheries, coastwide data on catch, spawning escapements and age structure through 2000, and forecasts of chinook returns expected in 2001. The results reported include:

- estimates of the abundance indices for the years 1979 through 2000 and a projection for 2001 for the AABM fisheries,
- estimates of the non-ceiling index, referred to as the ISBM index in this report, for 1999 and 2000 and projections for the 2001 ISBM fisheries,
- estimates for 1979 through 2000 and a projection for 2001 of stock composition in the AABM fisheries,
- the distribution of landed and total fishing mortality in all fisheries for the indicator stocks, and
- identification of stocks of concern for 1999 and 2000 as detailed in paragraph 9 of the Agreement.

Calibration 0107 will remain unchanged, but other calibrations may be completed to update the model as improved forecasts of abundance and/or model enhancements are identified.

### 2.0 METHODS

The Exploitation Rate assessment is performed through cohort analysis, a procedure that reconstructs the exploitation history of a given stock and brood year using CWT release and recovery data (CTC 1988). The procedure produces a variety of statistics, including total exploitation rates, age-fishery specific exploitation rates, maturation rates, pre-age 2 recruitment survival rates, and annual distribution of fishery-related mortalities. The exploitation rate analysis provides data to estimate fishery harvest rate indices and evaluate compliance with ISBM obligations under the Agreement.

Estimates of age-fishery exploitation and maturation rates from the cohort analysis are combined with data on catches, escapements, non-retention, and enhancement to complete the annual calibration of the CTC Model. The calibration procedure estimates pre-age 2 recruitment survivals for the stocks included in the model.

Results from the annual preseason calibration of the chinook model are used to calculate: (a) AIs for three fisheries to determine the allowable 2001 catch of treaty chinook in AABM fisheries; (b) the post-season AI for previous years; and (c) pre-season and post-season non-ceiling indices (ISBM Indices) for ISBM fisheries.

Projected AIs for 2001 are used to determine allowable catches for AABM fisheries as specified in Table 1 of Chapter 3 of the Agreement. That table implicitly reflects the relationships between allowable catches for AABM fisheries, Abundance Indices, and harvest rate indices described in the language of the Agreement. The post-season AI is used to evaluate compliance for purposes of the overage-underage provision for AABM fisheries.

For the ISBM fisheries, the Agreement specified that Canada and the United States will reduce the exploitation rate from the 1979-1982 base period by $36.5 \%$ and $40 \%$, respectively, on stocks that are not achieve their CTC agreed escapement goals. The ISBM Index is employed to measure compliance and implementation of overage-underage provisions for ISBM fisheries. Post Season ISBM indices for 1999 are computed using results of the exploitation rate analysis, but are preliminary since Adult Equivalent (AEQ) rates cannot be finally determined until all broods that contributed to the 1999 fishery are complete. The 2000 and 2001 ISBM indices are computed using the CTC model. The Agreement specifies that final post season ISBM indices will be estimated through exploitation rate analysis, therefore the 2000 model estimates are also preliminary. The 2001 preseason predictions of the ISBM indices are final.

### 2.1. Exploitation Rate Assessment (Through Calendar Year 1999)

The Exploitation Rate Assessment relies on CWT release and recovery data from a set of indicator stocks to estimate: (1) brood year exploitation rates, (2) the distribution of catch and total mortality among fisheries, (3) survival rates to ocean age 2 by brood year; (4) trends in fishery harvest rates, and (5) maturation rates and AEQ factors. Statistics reported in the Exploitation Rate Assessment are based on cohort analysis. Cohort analysis simply reconstructs the production of a CWT group by starting with the escapement, catch, and incidental mortality of the oldest age class and working backwards in time to calculate the total abundance of ocean age- 2 chinook before the beginning of fishing. These reconstructions are based on estimated CWT recoveries by stock, brood year, age, fisheries, and escapements.

The CTC currently monitors 40 CWT indicator stocks, but only 33 were used for analyses in this chapter. A current listing of the 40 CWT indicator stocks is provided in Table 2.1, and those used in this analysis and the analyses performed using each are shown in Table 2.2. The relationship between these CWT stocks, CTC model stocks, and PST Annex stocks are shown in Appendix A. A CWT indicator stock is not used in the exploitation rate analysis if the number of recoveries is very limited or there is no quantitative estimate of tags in the spawning escapement (see footnotes in Table 2.2). A list of tag codes used per indicator stock is provided in Appendix B. Extrapolation of results to similar stocks and/or generalizations about fishery impacts will only be appropriate to the extent that the indicator stocks are representative of the array of stocks harvested in the fisheries or the stock groupings which they represent.

### 2.1.1. Assumptions of the Analyses

Assumptions used in the cohort analysis and other procedures used in the Exploitation Rate Assessment are summarized below. Detailed discussions of assumptions and parameter values have been reported previously (CTC 1988).

The primary assumptions of the cohort analysis are:

1) CWT recovery data are obtained in a consistent manner from year to year or can be adjusted to make them comparable. Many of the analyses rely upon indices that are computed as the ratio of a statistic in a particular year to the value associated with a base period. Use of ratios may reduce or eliminate the effect of data biases that are consistent from year to year.
2) For ocean age-2 and older fish, natural mortality varies by age but is constant across years. Natural mortality rates (NM) applied by age were: age-2, 40\%; age-3, $30 \%$; age- $4,20 \%$; and age- 5 and older $10 \%$ (i.e., after fishing mortality and maturity, $10 \%$ of the age- 4 cohort dies due to natural sources before commencement of fishing on the age- 5 chinook).
3) All stocks within a fishery have the same size distribution for each age and the size distribution at age is constant among years.
4) The spatial and temporal catch distribution of sublegal-size fish of a given age from a stock is the same as legal-size fish of a given age of that stock.
5) Incidental mortality rates per encounter are constant between years. The rates vary by fish size and fishery and are those published by the CTC (1997) for troll and sport fisheries.
6) The procedures for estimating the mortality of CWT fish of legal size assumes that the stock distribution in any year remains unchanged from the period of legal catch retention in the same year. Gear and/or area restrictions during the chinook non-retention (CNR) fishery are believed to reduce the number of encounters of legal-size fish. To account for this, the number of legal encounters during the non-retention fishery was adjusted by a selectivity factor. A factor of 0.34 was used for the WCVI and GS troll fisheries. This value is the average selectivity factor calculated from 3 years of observer data in the Alaska troll fishery. A factor of 0.20 is used in the NCBC troll fishery. This factor corresponds to the proportion of fishing areas that remain open during non-retention periods. A selectivity factor is not required for the SEAK troll fishery since an independent estimate of legal and sublegal encounters has been provided annually.
7) Maturation rates for brood years in which all ages have not matured (incomplete broods) are equal to the average of completed brood years. Maturation rates are stock specific.
8) Recoveries of age-4 and older chinook in ocean net fisheries are assumed to be mature fish (ocean terminal catches).

In addition, when estimating the fishery indices as a measure of the change in fishery harvest rates between years, the temporal and spatial distributions of stocks in and between fisheries and years is assumed to be stable.

Table 2.1. CWT exploitation rate indicator stocks, location, run type, and smolt age.

| Origin | Stock Name | Location | Run Type | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Smolt } \\ \text { Age } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S.E. Alaska | Alaska Spring | Southeast Alaska | Spring | Age 1 |
| British Columbia | Kitsumkalum | North/Central BC | Summer | Age 1 |
|  | Snootli Creek ${ }^{1}$ | North/Central BC | Spring/Summer | Age 0 |
|  | Kitimat River ${ }^{1}$ | North/Central BC | Summer | Age 0 |
|  | Robertson Creek | WCVI | Fall | Age 0 |
|  | Quinsam | Georgia Strait | Fall | Age 0 |
|  | Puntledge | Georgia Strait | Summer | Age 0 |
|  | Big Qualicum | Georgia Strait | Fall | Age 0 |
|  | Cowichan | Georgia Strait | Fall | Age 0 |
|  | Chehalis (Harrison Stock) ${ }^{1}$ | Lower Fraser River | Fall | Age 0 |
|  | Chilliwack (Harrison Stock) | Lower Fraser River | Fall | Age 0 |
| Puget Sound | South Puget Sound Fall Yearling | South Puget Sound | Summer/Fall | Age 1 |
|  | Squaxin Pens Fall Yearling | South Puget Sound | Summer/Fall | Age 1 |
|  | University of Wash. Accelerated | Central Puget Sound | Summer/Fall | Age 0 |
|  | Samish Fall Fingerling | North Puget Sound | Summer/Fall | Age 0 |
|  | Stillaguamish Fall Fingerling | Central Puget Sound | Summer/Fall | Age 0 |
|  | George Adams Fall Fingerling | Hood Canal | Summer/Fall | Age 0 |
|  | South Puget Sound Fall Fingerling | South Puget Sound | Summer/Fall | Age 0 |
|  | Nisqually Fall Fingerling | South Puget Sound | Summer/Fall | Age 0 |
|  | Elwha Fall Fingerling | Strait of Juan de Fuca | Summer/Fall | Age 0 |
|  | Hoko Fall Fingerling | Strait of Juan de Fuca | Summer/Fall | Age 0 |
|  | Skagit Spring Yearling | Central Puget Sound | Spring | Age 1 |
|  | Nooksack Spring Yearling | North Puget Sound | Spring | Age 1 |
|  | White River Spring Yearling | South Puget Sound | Spring | Age 1 |
| Washington Coast | Sooes Fall Fingerling | North Wash. Coast | Fall | Age 0 |
|  | Queets Fall Fingerling | North Wash. Coast | Fall | Age 0 |
| Columbia River | Cowlitz Tule | Columbia Rvr. (WA) | Fall Tule | Age 0 |
|  | Spring Creek Tule | Columbia Rvr. (WA) | Fall Tule | Age 0 |
|  | Columbia Lower River Hatchery | Columbia River (OR) | Fall Tule | Age 0 |
|  | Upriver Bright | Upper Columbia Rvr. | Fall Bright | Age 0 |
|  | Hanford Wild | Upper Columbia Rvr. | Fall Bright | Age 0 |
|  | Leavenworth Spring ${ }^{2}$ | Upper Columbia Rvr. | Spring | Age 1 |
|  | Lewis River Wild | Lower Columbia Rvr. | Fall Bright | Age 0 |
|  | Lyons Ferry ${ }^{3}$ | Snake River | Fall Bright | Age 0 |
|  | Willamette Spring | Lower Columbia Rvr. | Spring | Age 1 |
|  | Summers | Columbia Rvr. (WA) | Summer | Age 1 |
| Oregon Coast | Salmon River | North Oregon Coast | Fall | Age 0 |
| Idaho | Sawtooth Spring ${ }^{2}$ | Idaho | Spring | Age 1 |
|  | Rapid River Spring ${ }^{2}$ | Idaho | Spring | Age 1 |
|  | McCall Summer ${ }^{2}$ | Idaho | Summer | Age 1 |

[^0]Table 2.2. CWT exploitation rate indicator stocks used in this year's exploitation rate analysis, type of analyses, availability of quantitative escapement recoveries and base period tagging data. Brood $=$ brood exploitation rates; Distn $=$ stock catch distribution, Esc=quantitative estimates of escapement. Base Tagging = data is available during the base period years 1979-1982.

| Indicator Stock Name | Fishery Index | ISBM <br> Index | Brood ${ }^{1}$ Exp | Survival Index | Distn | Esc | Base Tagging |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska Spring | yes | - | Total | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Kitsumkalum | - | - | Total | yes | yes | yes | - |
| Robertson Creek | yes | yes | Ocean | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Quinsam | yes | yes | Total | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Puntledge | yes | - | Total | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Big Qualicum | yes | yes | Total | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Cowichan | yes | yes | Total | yes | yes | yes | - |
| Chilliwack (Harrison Fall Stock) | - | yes | Total | yes | yes | yes | - |
| South Puget Sound Fall Yearling | yes | 2 | 2 | yes | yes | yes ${ }^{3}$ | yes |
| Squaxin Pens Fall Yearling | - | 2 | 2 | yes | yes | yes ${ }^{3}$ | - |
| Univ of Washington Accelerated | yes | 2 | 2 | - | yes | $\text { yes }^{3}$ | yes |
| Samish Fall Fingerling | yes | - | Ocean | yes | yes | yes ${ }^{3}$ | yes |
| Stillaguamish Fall Fingerling | - | yes | - | - | yes | - | - |
| George Adams Fall Fingerling | yes | 2 | 2 | yes | yes | yes ${ }^{3}$ | yes |
| South Puget Sound Fall Fingerling | yes | yes | Ocean | yes | yes | yes ${ }^{3}$ | yes |
| Nisqually Fall Fingerling | - | - | - | - | yes | - | yes |
| Elwha Fall Fingerling | - | - | - | - | yes | - | - |
| Hoko Fall Fingerling | - | - | - | yes | yes | yes | - |
| Skagit Spring Yearling | - | - | - | yes | yes | yes ${ }^{3}$ | - |
| Nooksack Spring Yearling | - | yes | - | yes | yes | yes ${ }^{3}$ | - |
| White River Spring Yearling | - | - | - | yes | yes | yes ${ }^{3}$ | yes |
| Sooes Fall Fingerling | - | - | - | yes | yes | yes | - |
| Queets Fall Fingerling | - | yes | - | - | yes | - | yes |
| Cowlitz Tule | yes | 2 | 2 | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Spring Creek Tule | yes | 2 | 2 | yes | yes | yes |  |
| Columbia Lower River Hatchery | yes | 2 | 2 | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Upriver Bright | yes | yes | Both | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Hanford Wild | - | - | - | yes | yes | yes | - |
| Lewis River Wild | yes | yes | Both | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Lyons Ferry | - | - | - | yes | yes | yes | - |
| Columbia Summers | yes | yes | - | yes | yes | yes |  |
| Willamette Spring | yes | - | 2 | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Salmon River | yes | yes | Ocean | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| For stocks of hatchery origin and subject to terminal fisheries directed at harvesting surplus hatchery production. Ocean fisheries do not include terminal net fisherie otherwise, total fishery includes terminal net fisheries. <br> Hatchery stock not used to represent naturally spawning stock. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hatchery stock not used to represent naturally spa Only hatchery rack recoveries are included in esc | stock. <br> nt. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Fishery indices are presented for both reported catch (same as landed catch) and total mortality. The difference between reported catch and total mortality is incidental mortality, which includes the mortality of legal-size fish in CNR fisheries and the mortality of sublegal-size fish in retention and CNR fisheries. Management strategies have changed considerably for fisheries of interest to the PSC. Regulatory changes have included size limit changes, extended periods of CNR, and mandatory release of chinook caught in some net fisheries. Estimates of incidental mortality are crucial for assessment of total fishery impacts, yet they cannot be determined directly from CWT recovery data. There are three types of incidental mortality that are estimated in the chinook model and the CWT cohort analysis. Legal and sublegal fishery specific mortality rates are applied to the following types of chinook encounters:

1. Shakers: chinook below the legal size limit that are encountered and released during a chinook retention fishery.
2. Sublegal CNR: chinook below the legal size limit that are encountered and released during a chinook non-retention fishery.
3. Legal CNR: chinook above the legal size limit that are encountered and released during a chinook non-retention fishery.

There are several methods used to estimate the number of CNR encounters in the model and the CWT cohort analysis. The season length method uses the relative length of the chinook retention and nonretention periods. This is usually expressed in days or boat-days. Agencies can also provide direct estimates of the CNR encounters. The CWT cohort analysis allows the use of a method based on catchability coefficients. The procedures used to estimate incidental mortality in the exploitation rate assessment have been previously described in CTC (1988). The chinook model allows the use of a method, known as the RT method, based on the difference between the estimated catch in a fishery using base period exploitation rates and current exploitation rates. The stock composition of the legal CNR encounters is estimated using the same proportions as the stock composition of the legal catch. The stock composition of the shakers and sublegal CNR encounters is estimated using the non-vulnerable portions of the cohorts for stocks that contribute to the landed catch. The procedures used to estimate incidental mortality in the Chinook model have been previously described by the CTC Analytic Work Group (1991).

It should be noted that for a few fisheries or time periods quantitative estimates of CWT recoveries are unavailable (Table 2.3). These fisheries can not be included in certain analyses of this exploitation rate assessment.

Table 2.3. Fisheries for which CWT recoveries are not available.

| Fishery | Reason data are unavailable |
| :--- | :--- |
| Chinook by-catch in non-salmon fisheries | Limited or qualitative sampling, no base period sampling |
| Incidental mortalities in salmon fisheries | Limited or qualitative sampling, no base period sampling |
| SEAK sport | No base period sampling |
| SEAK winter troll | No base period sampling |
| NCBC and WCVI sport | No base period sampling |
| Johnstone Strait sport | Incomplete sampling |
| Canadian freshwater net | Incomplete sampling |
| Most freshwater sport | Incomplete sampling |

### 2.1.2. Brood Year Exploitation Rates

Brood year exploitation rates provide the best measure of the cumulative impact of fisheries upon all age classes of a stock. The rates are computed as the ratio of AEQ total mortality to AEQ total mortality plus escapement. The AEQ factor is used as an adjustment to reflect the proportion of fish of a given age that would, in the absence of fishing, subsequently leave the ocean to return to the terminal area on its spawning migration. The numerator may be partitioned into components for AEQ reported catch and AEQ incidental mortality, with each component occurring in either ocean fisheries or all fisheries.

The exploitation rate on an indicator stock may differ from the exploitation rate on the wild stock it represents if the indicator stock is of hatchery origin and subject to terminal fisheries directed at harvesting surplus hatchery production. In the case of the brood exploitation rate, this difference was addressed by computing a rate for ocean fisheries and a total for all fisheries. Ocean fisheries were defined to include marine sport and troll fisheries, and CWT recoveries of ocean age-2 and age-3 fish in all non-terminal net fisheries. By partitioning the fisheries in this way, the most appropriate measure of brood exploitation rates on wild stocks could be selected. The method selected for each exploitation rate indicator stock is given in Table 2.2. If broods are incomplete but have data through age 4, then average maturation rates are applied to predict the completed brood value.

The brood year exploitation rate is calculated as:

$$
\operatorname{BYEXP}_{B Y, F}=\frac{\sum_{a=\text { Minage }}^{\text {Maxage }}}{}\left(\sum_{f \in\{F\}} \text { TotMorts }_{B Y, a, f} * A E Q_{B Y, a, f}\right)
$$

The Adult Equivalent (AEQ) rate is calculated as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A E Q_{B Y, a-1, f}=\text { MatRte }_{a-1, B Y}+\left(1-\text { MatRte }_{a-1, B Y}\right) * \operatorname{Surv}_{a} * A E Q_{B Y, a, f} \\
& A E Q_{\text {Maxagef }} \equiv 1.0
\end{aligned}
$$

See Table 2.4 for a description of notation.

### 2.1.3. Brood Year Survival Rates and Indices

The survival of CWT'd smolts after release is calculated for each indicator stock and brood year. This survival rate is frequently referred to as the marine survival of the tag group but may include mortality in freshwater following release. Interpretation of this survival rate will be stock specific. Two measures of survival indices or patterns are computed: survival to the age-2 cohort based on CWT recoveries, and the "environmental variate" (EV) determined from the calibration of the chinook model (described in the following section). The CWT-based estimate is our most direct measure of a brood's survival but this measure is not available until the brood is complete (all ages have returned to spawn). The model EV parameter, however, provides a more current measure of the survival rates expected in brood years contributing to present and future fisheries. For CWT data, the survival rate for a stock and brood year is the estimated age-2 cohort (from the cohort analysis) divided by the number of tags released.

Table 2.4. Parameter definitions for all equations except those used for SPFI in SEAK.

## Parameter Description

$a=$ age class
$A=$ set of all ages that meet selection criteria
$A E Q_{B Y, a, f}=$ adult equivalent factor in brood year $B Y$, age $a$, and fishery $f$ (for terminal fisheries $\mathrm{AEQ}=1.0$ )
Age2CohSurv ${ }_{B Y}=$ cohort survival of CWT fish to age 2 (pre-fishery) for brood year $B Y$
Bper $=$ base period years (1979 through 1982)
BYEXP = brood year AEQ exploitation rate
$B Y=$ brood year
$C Y=$ calendar year
CYDist $=$ proportion of total stock mortality (or escapement) in a calendar year attributable to a
fishery or a set of fisheries
$C Y_{\text {end }}=$ end year for average
$C Y_{\text {start }}=$ start year for average
$E s c_{\mathrm{BY}, \mathrm{a}}=$ escapement past all fisheries for brood year $B Y$ and age $a$
$E R_{s, a, f, C Y}=$ landed catch (or total mortality) at age divided by cohort size at age for stock $s$ in fishery $f$ in year $C Y$
$E v_{n, \mathrm{BY}}=$ the stock productivity scalar for iteration $n$ and brood year $B Y$
$f \in\{F\}=$ a fishery with the set of fisheries of interest
$F=$ ocean, terminal or other sets of fisheries or spawning escapement
$F I_{f, C Y}=$ fishery exploitation rate index for fishery $f$ in year $C Y$
MatRte $_{a-1, B Y}=$ maturity rate at next younger age by brood year
Maxage $=$ maximum age of stock (generally age 5 for stream type stocks, age 6 for ocean type stocks)
Minage $=$ minimum age of stock (generally age 2 for stream type stocks, age 3 for ocean type stocks)
Morts $_{C Y, a, f}=$ landed or total fishing mortality in year $C Y$ and age $a$ in fishery $f$
$N M_{a}=$ annual natural mortality prior to fishing on age $a$ cohort
Numfisheries $=$ total number of fisheries
$R T_{C Y}=$ ratio of the catch quota in the current year to the catch that would be predicted given current abundance, current size limits, and base period exploitation rates
$s=$ a particular stock
$S=$ set of all stocks that meet selection criteria
$S C_{B Y}=$ ratio of the estimated terminal run and model predicted terminal run for brood year $B Y$
Surv $_{a}=$ survival rate $\left(1-\mathrm{NM}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ by age
TotMorts ${ }_{B Y, a, f}=$ total fishing related mortality for brood year $B Y$ and age $a$ in fishery $f$
TotRelease $_{B Y}=$ number of CWT fish released in the indicator group in brood year $B Y$

$$
\text { Age } 2 C o h S u r v_{B Y}=\frac{\text { cohort }_{B Y, 2}}{\text { TotCWT Re lease }} \text { BY }
$$

where Cohort $_{B Y, 2}$ is calculated recursively from the oldest age down to age- 2 using:

$$
\text { Cohort }_{B Y, a}=\frac{\sum_{f=1}^{\text {Nummisheries }_{\text {TotMorts }}^{B Y, a, f}}+\text { Esc }_{B Y, a}+\text { Cohort }_{B y, a+1}}{1-N M_{a}}
$$

If ocean age- 5 is absent, the age- 4 cohort size is estimated using the following formula:

$$
\text { cohort }_{B Y, 4}=\frac{\sum_{f \in \text { PreTer min } a l} \text { TotMorts }_{B Y, 4, f}+\frac{\operatorname{Esc}_{B Y, 4}+\sum_{f \in \text { Ter min } a l} \text { TotMorts }_{B Y, 4, f}}{\text { AvgMatRte }_{4}}}{1-\mathrm{NM}_{4}}
$$

### 2.1.4. Stock Distribution Patterns

Brood year exploitation rates indicate the fisheries that exploit a stock and the rates that occur in a specific brood year, but do not indicate the exploitation pattern on a stock during one calendar year (across broods). Reported fishing mortality may be limited to reported catch only or account for total fishing mortality. Stock distributions in a calendar year are calculated over all ages in the fisheries (if at least three brood years contribute to recoveries).

$$
\text { CYDist }_{C Y, F}=\frac{\sum_{a=\text { Minage }}^{\text {Maxage }} \sum_{f \in\{F\}} \operatorname{Morts}_{C Y, a, f} * A E Q_{B Y, a, f}}{\sum_{a=\text { Minage }}^{\text {Maxage }}\left(\sum_{f=1}^{\text {Nummisheries }} \text { Morts }_{C Y, a, f} * A E Q_{B Y, a, f}+E s c_{B Y, a}\right)}
$$

It should be noted that catch distributions may not be representative of the abundance in an indicator stock. For example, closure of a fishery would result in no catch but this would not necessarily indicate zero abundance of the stock.

### 2.1.5. Fishery Indices

When the PST was negotiated in 1985, catch ceilings and increases in stock abundance were expected to reduce harvest rates in fisheries. The Fishery Index (FI) provided a means to assess performance against this expectation. Relative to the base period, an index less than 1.0 represents a decrease from base period harvest rates while an index greater than 1.0 represents an increase. The relative magnitude of the change is the difference of the index from 1.0. While the determination of allowable catch for AABM fisheries in the 1999 Agreement is different from the original PST catch ceilings, these fishery indices continue to provide a useful index of change in harvest rates in these fisheries. Fishery indices are used to measure relative changes in fishery harvest rates because it is not possible to directly estimate the fishery harvest rates.

Fishery indices are computed in AEQ for both reported catch and total mortality (reported catch plus estimated incidental mortality). The total mortality index provides a consistent means of representing changes in reported catch and incidental mortality, including those associated with regulatory measures such as minimum size limits and CNR periods.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E R_{s, a, f, y}=\frac{\text { TotMorts }_{s, a, f, y} * A E Q_{s, a, f, y}}{\text { Cohort }_{s, f, B Y+a, y}} \\
& F I_{f, C Y}=\frac{\sum_{s \in\{S\}} \sum_{a \in\{A\}} E R_{s, a, f, C Y}}{\left(\frac{\sum_{B p e r=79}^{82} \sum_{s \in\{S\}} \sum_{a \in\{A\}} E R_{s, a, f, B p e r}}{4}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

For AABM fisheries, indices are presented for troll gear only although the catch limitations also apply to recreational fisheries and net fisheries in SEAK and the recreational fisheries in NBC and WCVI. As in past years, recoveries from the troll fishery were used because the majority of the catch and the most reliable CWT sampling occur in these fisheries. In addition, there are data limitations in the base period for the sport fisheries. Because the allocation of the catch among gear types has changed in some fisheries (e.g., the proportion of the catch harvested by the sport fishery has increased in the SEAK and NCBC fisheries), the indices may not represent the harvest impact of all gear types.

### 2.1.5.1. Modifications of SEAK Troll Fishery Index

The CTC uses fishery indices to reflect changes in fishery impacts relative to a base period (1979-1982). The form of the $F I$ limits consideration of stocks to those with adequate tagging during the base period, but fishing patterns for some fisheries have changed substantially. One example of this is the SEAK troll fishery where the catch during the winter season has increased, the spring fishery has been largely curtailed, and the summer season has become markedly shorter. Because stock complexes are dynamic throughout the year, impacts of the SEAK fishery have likely changed over time as season structure has been altered. To incorporate changes in stock composition and to include stocks without base period data and with changes in fishery harvest rates, the CTC examined alternative derivations of fishery indices (CTC 1996).

The CTC determined that a useful fishery index should reflect both changes in harvest rates and stock distribution. Three general, desirable characteristics were identified:

1) the index should measure changes in fishery harvest rates if the distribution of stocks is unchanged from the base period;
2) the index should have an expected value of 1.0 for random variation around the base period fishery harvest rate, cohort size, and stock distributions; and
3) the index should weight changes in stock distribution by abundance.

After exploring several alternatives, the CTC concluded that the best estimate for a fishery index would consist of the product of a fishery harvest rate index and an index of stock abundance weighted by average distribution (i.e., the proportion of a cohort vulnerable to the fishery). This assessment supported the application of the stratified proportional harvest rate index adjusted for untagged stocks (SPFI), as presented by ADF\&G.

Initially the CWT harvest rate ( $h_{t, y}$ ) must be set to an arbitrary value between 0 and 1 . Then, the distribution parameter $\left(d_{t, s, a}\right)$ is calculated, and the result is substituted into the second equation below to recursively recalculate $h_{t, y}$ and subsequently $d_{t, s, a}$. The largest stock-age distribution parameter in a stratum is set to 1 to create a unique solution. See Table 2.5 for notation description.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
d_{t, s, a}=\sum_{C Y} r_{t, C Y, s, a} / \sum_{C Y}\left(h_{t, C Y} * n_{t, s, a}\right) \\
h_{t, C Y}=\sum_{s} \sum_{a} r_{t, C Y, s, a} / \sum_{s} \sum_{a}\left(d_{t, s, a} * n_{C Y, s, a}\right)
\end{array}
$$

The resulting unique solution is plugged into the following equations.

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{t, C Y}=\left[\left(\sum_{s}^{\sum_{s} \sum_{a} c_{t, C Y, s, a}} r_{t, C Y, s, a}\right) *\left(C_{t, C Y}-A_{t, C Y}\right)\right] /\left[\left(C_{t, C Y}-A_{t, C Y}\right) / h_{t, C Y}\right] \\
H_{. C Y}=\sum_{t}\left[\left(\sum_{s}^{s} \sum_{a} c_{t, C Y, s, a} \sum_{a} r_{t, C Y, s, a}\right) *\left(C_{t, C Y}-A_{t, C Y}\right)\right] / \sum_{t}\left[\left(C_{t, C Y}-A_{t, C Y}\right) / h_{t, C Y}\right] \\
S_{t, C Y}=H_{t, C Y} / \sum_{C Y=1979}^{1982} H_{t, C Y} \\
S_{. C Y}=H_{. C Y} / \sum_{C Y=1979}^{1982} H_{. C Y}
\end{gathered}
$$

Table 2.5. Parameter definitions for equations used for SPFI in SEAK.

```
Parameter Description
    \(A_{t, C Y}=\) Alaska hatchery catch by strata \(t\), year \(C Y\)
    \(c_{t, C Y, s, a}=\) adult equivalent CWT catch by strata \(t\), year \(C Y\), stock \(s\) and age \(a\)
        \(C_{t, C Y}=\) catch by strata \(t\), year \(C Y\)
        \(d_{t, s, a}=\) distribution parameter by strata \(t\), stock \(s\) and age \(a\)
        \(h_{t, C Y}=\) CWT harvest rate by strata \(t\), year \(C Y\)
        \(H_{._{C Y}}=\) harvest rate by year \(C Y\)
        \(H_{t, C Y}=\) harvest rate by strata \(t\), year \(C Y\)
    \(N_{C Y, s, a}=\) CWT cohort size by year \(C Y\), stock \(s\) and age \(a\)
    \(R_{t, C Y, s, a}=\) CWT recoveries by strata \(t\), year \(C Y\), stock \(s\) and age \(a\)
        \(S_{._{C Y}}=\) SPFI by year \(C Y\)
        \(S_{t, C Y}=\) SPFI by strata \(t\), year \(C Y\)
    \(t_{t, C Y, s, a}=\) adult equivalent CWT total mortality by strata \(t\), year \(C Y\), stock \(s\) and age \(a\)
```


### 2.1.6. ISBM Indices

In previous reports, the CTC (1996) proposed a non-ceiling fishery index as a measure of the passthrough provision in the 1985 PST. This index compares the expected AEQ mortality (assuming base period exploitation rates and current abundance) with the observed AEQ mortality on a stock within calendar year, over all non-ceiling fisheries of a party (Table 2.6). Index values less than 1.0 indicate that the exploitation rates have decreased relative to the base period. Under the new Agreement, the CTC is required to continue to apply the form of this index to ISBM fisheries (the ISBM Index), as a measure of compliance with ISBM obligations paragraph 4, chapter 3 states:
"4. The Parties agree that in respect of ISBM fisheries:
(a) their intent is that the fisheries shall be managed over time to contribute to the achievement of MSY or other agreed biologically-based escapement objectives;
(b) until such times as the ISBM fisheries are managed to meet those escapement objectives, and unless otherwise recommended by the CTC, the non-ceiling index defined in TCChinook (96)1 (February 15,1996) will be used to measure performance of ISBM fisheries;
(c) the non-ceiling index for ISBM fisheries will be computed preseason based on forecasted abundance and fishing plans and evaluated post season for each of the escapement indicator stocks listed in Attachments I to V to this chapter;
(d) for the purposes of this paragraph, until agreed escapement objectives for the stock groups listed in Attachments I to V to this Chapter have been achieved, Canada and the United States shall reduce by 36.5 and 40 percent respectively, the total adult equivalent mortality rate, relative to the 1979-82 base period, in their respective ISBM fisheries that affect those stock groups..."

Table 2.6. Fisheries included in the ISBM Index by nation.

| Fisheries Included in ISBM Index |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| United States | Canada |
| Washington/Oregon/California Ocean Troll | West Coast Vancouver Island Net |
| Puget Sound Northern Net | Juan de Fuca Net |
| Puget Sound Other Net | Johnstone Net |
| Washington Coastal Net | Fraser Net |
| Washington/Oregon/California Ocean Sport | Strait of Georgia Troll, Net, and Sport |
| Puget Sound Northern Sport | North BC mainland sport, and Central BC Sport |
| Puget Sound Southern Sport | North and Central BC Net |
| Freshwater Terminal Net | Central BC Troll |
| Freshwater Terminal Sport | Freshwater BC Net and Sport |

The formula proposed by the CTC in 1991 and referred to in TCChinook (96)-1 for a stock/country combination is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B P E R_{f, a}=\frac{\sum_{C Y=79}^{82} \frac{\left(\text { TotMorts }_{C Y, f, a}\right) * A E Q_{C Y, f, a}}{\text { Cohort }_{C Y, f, a}}}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

The ISBM obligation does not apply to stocks that achieved their CTC agreed escapement goal. For 1999, ISBM indices are presented from model and CWT estimates, but CWT estimates are used to evaluate compliance. Postseason indices for 2000 and projected indices for 2001 were estimated using the CTC model.

Direct application of the CTC model or CWT data alone was not possible in the computation of all indices since fisheries required a finer resolution than the CTC model currently provides or, in some cases, there are terminal fisheries which make the estimated exploitation rate not representative of the untagged stocks.

In those instances the following methods were used:

1) For 2001, two preseason models, the Fisheries Resource Assessment Model (FRAM) and the Columbia River Harvest Model, were used to predict stock-specific impacts in inside fisheries (Puget Sound net and sport, and the Columbia River net and sport fisheries). These estimated impacts were then used to compute PSC model fishing policy (FP) factors for the corresponding PSC model fisheries.
2) For 2001 many ISBM fisheries or stock/fishery combinations had no preseason predictions of harvest rates and in some cases, no prediction of abundance. In those cases, a repeat of 2000 harvest rates, or a repeat of 1999 rates if estimates of 2000 were also unavailable, was assumed.
3) In 1999 and 2000, external estimates of impacts in terminal ISBM fisheries were used to generate FP estimates (for model generated estimates) or to modify estimated CWT recoveries (for CWTbased estimates) for many stocks. This was necessary because terminal impacts on CWT indicator stocks, which are generally hatchery stocks, may not be representative of the fishery impacts on the stock of interest.
4) For the CWT-based estimates reported for 1999, some indicator stocks did not have 1979-1982 base period recoveries. For these stocks, base period exploitation rates for the model stock associated with the wild stock were used, if available.

Tables 2.7 and 2.8 show which Model stock (Table 2.7) or CWT indicator stock (Table 2.8) was used to represent each wild stock. Also shown are which of the above methods (if any) were used to generate FP scalars for the model stocks or to adjust the CWT indicator stock data for the computation of the indices.

Table 2.7. Methods used to compute FP scalars for input into the CTC Chinook Model to produce ISBM Indices for 1999-2001.

| Stock Group | Stock | Model Stock | Stock Specific method |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| Lower Strait of Georgia | Cowichan | GST | 3 | 3 | 2 |
|  | Nanaimo | GST | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Fraser Late | Harrison | FRL | 3 | 3 | 1,2 |
| North Puget Sound Natural Spring | Nooksack Spr | NKS | 3 | 3 | 1,2 |
|  | Skagit Spring | NKS | 3 |  | 1,2 |
| Upper Strait of Georgia | Klinaklini <br> Kakweikan <br> Wakeman <br> Kingcome <br> Nimpkish | GSQ | Model Only | Model Only | Model Only |
| Fraser Early (springs and summers) | Upper Fraser <br> Mid Fraser <br> Thompson | FRE | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| West Coast Vancouver Island Falls | Artlish <br> Burman <br> Gold <br> Kauok <br> Tahsis <br> Tashish <br> Marble | RBT | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Puget Sound Natural Summer/Falls | Skagit <br> Stillaguamish <br> Snohomish <br> Lake WA <br> Green River | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SKG } \\ & \text { STL } \\ & \text { SNO } \\ & \text { PSN } \\ & \text { PSN } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| North/Central BC | Yakoun Nass Skeena Area 8 | NTH | Model <br> Only | Model Only | Model Only |
| Washington Coastal Fall Naturals | Hoko <br> Grays Harbor <br> Queets <br> Hoh <br> Quillayute | WCN <br> WCN <br> WCN <br> WCN <br> WCN | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Col River Falls | Upriver Br Deschutes Lewis | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { URB } \\ \text { URB } \\ \text { LRW } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Columbia River Summers | Mid-Col Summers | SUM | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Far North Migrating Oregon Coastal Falls | Nehalem <br> Siletz <br> Siuslaw | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SRH } \\ & \text { SRH } \\ & \text { SRH } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

Table 2.8. Methods used to adjust CWT data for computation of the 1999 ISBM indices.

| Stock Group | Stock | CWT Stock | Stock Specific Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lower Strait of Georgia | Cowichan Nanaimo | Cowichan Big Qualicum River | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| Fraser Late | Harrison | Fraser Late | Not needed |
| North Puget Sound Natural Spring | Nooksack Spr Skagit Spring | Nooksack Spring N/A | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4 \\ \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Upper Strait of Georgia | Klinaklini <br> Kakweikan <br> Wakeman <br> Kingcome <br> Nimpkish | Quinsam | Not needed |
| Fraser Early (springs and summers) | Upper Fraser Mid Fraser Thompson | N/A | N/A |
| West Coast Vancouver Island Falls | Artlish <br> Burman <br> Gold <br> Kauok <br> Tahsis <br> Tashish <br> Marble | Robertson Creek | 3 |
| Puget Sound Natural Summer/Falls | Skagit Stillaguamish Snohomish Lake Washington Green River | N/A <br> Stillaguamish Fall Fing <br> N/A <br> N/A <br> South PS Fall Fingerlings | N/A Not needed N/A N/A Not needed |
| North/Central BC | Yakoun <br> Nass <br> Skeena <br> Area 8 | N/A | N/A |
| Washington Coastal Fall Naturals | Hoko <br> Grays Harbor <br> Queets <br> Hoh <br> Quillayute | Queets <br> Queets <br> Queets <br> Queets <br> Queets | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Col River Falls | Upriver Brights <br> Deschutes <br> Lewis | Upriver Bright Upriver Bright Lewis River Wild | Not needed Not needed Not needed |
| Columbia River Summers | Mid-Columbia Summers | Columbia Summers | Not needed |
| Far North Migrating Oregon Coastal Falls | Nehalem <br> Siletz <br> Siuslaw | Salmon River Hatchery Salmon River Hatchery Salmon River Hatchery | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |

### 2.2. Model Calibration

This section of the report describes the calibration data and procedures. For reference, a list of stocks and fisheries in the model is provided in Appendix C. Estimation of the model base period parameters is discussed in detail in the model documentation (Analytic Work Group 1991). Concerns were previously raised about the similarity of the model used to that used during the negotiations that led to the Agreement. For 2001, the model used was the same as used during the negotiations (CLB 9812) but with the following exceptions: the actual catch, escapement and other data through 2000 was added and the enhanced production for three stocks that were incorrectly specified in CLB 9812 were corrected. In addition, CTC accepted escapement goals were used where available and the form of the Ricker production function was adjusted for those stocks with accepted goals. The CTC compared estimates of the historic AIs from this calibration to those from CLB 9812 in a memo to the Commissioners dated February 22, 2001.

### 2.2.1. Calibration Data

The first step in the annual calibration process is to gather new or revised data and update the appropriate model input files. The frequency of updates depends on the frequency of data changes made by the reporting agencies, the magnitude of the change, and the significance of the change to the current model application. For example, the file containing run size data is updated as predictions become available since model predictions are sensitive to preseason forecasts and postseason estimates of terminal runs. Months in which forecasts are made for each stock, and the month the final return estimate becomes available, are presented in Table 2.9.

The model is recalibrated annually to incorporate data from the previous year and available abundance forecasts for next year. In addition, recalibration may also occur when significant changes in one or more of the following model input files are made.

BSE (base). This file contains basic information describing the structure of the model, including, but not limited to the number of stocks, age classes and fisheries, the names of fisheries and the proportion of each age class that is not vulnerable to the gear, identification of terminal fisheries, stock names and production parameters. This file may be modified annually to reflect changes in fisheries or stocks, or to incorporate productivity parameters that correspond to CTC agreed escapement goals.

CEI (ceiling). This file contains historical catch data for the 17 fisheries that are modeled as ceiling or catch quota fisheries (as opposed to fisheries modeled solely through control of exploitation rates) through the most recent fishing season.

CNR (chinook nonretention). Data used by the model to estimate mortalities during CNR periods are read from the CNR file. The data included depends on which of the three available options are used to model CNR: (1) reported encounters during the CNR period; (2) fishing effort in the CNR period relative to the retention period; and (3) exploitation rates in the retention period relative to the model base period.

ENH (enhancement file). This file contains productivity parameters and smolt production for the 13 hatchery stocks and one natural stock (LGS) with supplementation. Smolt production is expressed as the deviation from the average production during the model base period; as a result, values in the ENH file can be negative if releases in a given year are less than the average reported for the model base period. Additional discussion of the productivity parameters may be found in the model documentation (Analytic Work Group 1991).

FCS (forecast). Postseason estimates of terminal run sizes or escapements and agency supplied preseason forecasts (Table 2.4) are included in the FCS file. Age-specific forecasts are used for those stocks and years for which data are available.

FP (fishery policy). This file contains fishery-stock-age-specific scalars to be applied to base period fishery exploitation rates. The FPs are used for a variety of purposes. For example, in the WA/OR troll fishery, the FPs are used to model the differential impacts on Columbia River and Puget Sound stocks as the proportion of the catch occurring in the Strait of Juan de Fuca varies. In most instances, the FPs are used to scale fishery exploitation rates relative to the model base period. The source of the FPs is generally the fishery index computed from CWT data in the annual exploitation rate or ratios of harvest rates computed from terminal area run reconstructions.

IDL (interdam loss). The IDL file contains stock-specific conversion factors for the Columbia River Summer, Columbia Upriver Bright, and Snake River Fall stocks provided each year by Columbia River fishery managers. The factors represent the fraction of the stock that can be accounted for after mainstem dam passage in the Columbia River; losses can be attributed to direct mortality at the various dams, mortality in the reservoirs between dams, fallbacks, tailrace spawning and other factors. The interdam loss factor is equal to one minus the conversion factor.

IM (changes in incidental mortality rates). The IM file contains the incidental mortality rates by fishery for legal and sublegal fish resulting from alterations in gear, regulations, or fishery conduct.

MAT (maturity and adult equivalent factors). Estimates of annual maturation rates and adult equivalent factors for the 11 stocks with a continuous series of CWT data are stored in the MAT file. The file is updated each year with rates obtained from the annual exploitation rate analysis. The average value is used for years beyond the last year for which estimates are available (due to incomplete broods and the one year lag for completion of the annual exploitation rate analysis).

PNV (proportion nonvulnerable). A PNV file is created for each fishery for which a size limit change has occurred since the model base period. Each file contains age-specific estimates of the proportion of fish not vulnerable to the fishing gear or smaller in length than the minimum size limit. The PNVs were estimated from empirical size distribution data; in some instances, independent surveys of encounter rates were used to adjust the PNV for age- 2 fish to account for the proportion of the cohort that was not vulnerable to the fishing gear.

STK (stock). This file contains the stock and age specific cohort sizes, the base period exploitation rates on the total cohort in pre-terminal fisheries, exploitation rates on mature fish, maturation schedules, and adult equivalent factors. This file is updated as new stocks are added, or new CWT tag codes are used to represent distribution patterns of existing model stocks.

The calibration is controlled through a file designated OP7.

Table 2.9. Months that preseason forecasts of abundance are available from agencies for the next fishing year.

| Model Stock | Month Final Return Estimate Available | Month(s) Forecast Available |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska South SE | January | None |
| North/Central BC | November | None |
| Fraser Early | November | None |
| Fraser Late | February | February |
| WCVI Hatchery | January | February |
| WCVI Natural | January | February |
| Upper Strait of Georgia | January | None |
| Lower Strait of Georgia Natural | December | None |
| Lower Strait of Georgia Hatchery | December | None |
| Nooksack Fall | June | February |
| Puget Sound Fall Fingerling | June | February |
| Puget Sound Natural Fall | June | February |
| Puget Sound Fall Yearling | June | February |
| Nooksack Spring | June | Not Used |
| Skagit Summer/Fall Wild | June | February |
| Stillaguamish Summer/Fall Wild | June | February |
| Snohomish Summer/Fall Wild | June | February |
| WA Coastal Fall Hatchery | June | None |
| Columbia Upriver Bright | April | February, April |
| Spring Creek Hatchery | April | February, April |
| Lower Bonneville Hatchery | April | February, April |
| Fall Cowlitz Hatchery | April | February, April |
| Lewis River Wild | April | February, April |
| Willamette Spring Hatchery | June | December |
| Spring Cowlitz Hatchery | June | December |
| Columbia River Summer | September | March |
| Oregon Coastal Fall North Migrating | February | February |
| WA Coastal Fall Wild | June | None |
| Snake River Wild Fall | April | April |
| Mid-Columbia River Bright Hatchery | April | February, April |

### 2.2.2. Calibration Procedures

The objective of the calibration is to estimate the abundance of each stock prior to the initiation of fishing. This abundance is determined by first estimating the number of age- 1 (cohort size at the end of the first ocean year, equivalent to the age- 2 pre-fishery cohort size in the CWT cohort analysis) fish and then applying fishing and natural mortalities through model processes. The calibration uses an iterative algorithm to estimate the EV scalars for each brood year and model stock to account for annual variability in natural mortality in the initial year of ocean residence. EV scalars are applied to production resulting from brood year escapements and the base period spawner-recruit function to produce the age-1 abundance by stock. EVs also adjust for biases resulting from errors in the data or assumptions used to estimate the base period parameters for the spawner-recruit function.

EVs are estimated through the following steps as illustrated for stocks calibrated to age-specific terminal run sizes:
(1) Predicted terminal runs are computed for each year using the input files discussed above and with values of all stock productivity scalars set equal to 1 .
(2) The ratio $\left(S C_{B Y}\right)$ of the estimated terminal run and model predicted terminal run is computed for each brood year. For example, if the estimated and model predicted terminal runs for the 1979 brood were 900 and 1,500 age-3 fish in 1982, 4,000 and 4,500 age-4 fish in 1983, and 1,000 and 1,500 age- 5 fish in 1983, the ratio would be computed as:

$$
\begin{gathered}
S C_{B Y}=\frac{\sum_{a}(\text { EstimatedTerminal Run })_{a}}{\sum_{a}(\text { Model PredictedTerminal Run })_{a}} \\
S C_{B Y}=\frac{900+4000+1000}{1500+4500+1500}
\end{gathered}
$$

In the absence of age-specific estimates of the terminal run, the components are computed by multiplying the total terminal run by the model predictions of age composition.
(3) The stock productivity scalar for iteration $n$ and brood year $B Y$ is computed as:

$$
E V_{n, B Y}=E V_{n-1, B Y} * S_{B Y}
$$

(4) Steps 1-3 are repeated until the absolute change in the stock productivity scalars for all stocks is less than a predetermined tolerance level (currently set at 0.05 ).

Several options for the calibration are provided in the OP7 control file. The options include the brood years for which the stock productivity scalars are estimated in each iteration and the type of the convergence test. For the 2001 calibration, stock productivity scalars were estimated for each brood year in each iteration. Convergence was defined to occur when the absolute value of the difference in stock productivity scalars between successive iterations did not exceed 0.05 .

Stock-specific calibration options are specified in the FCS file and discussed below:
Minimum Number of Age Classes. Data for all age classes will not be available when the stock productivity scalars are estimated for recent broods. Since considerable uncertainty may exist in a single data point, application of the calibration algorithm can be restricted to cases in which a specific minimum number of age classes are present.

Minimum Age. Considerable uncertainty often exists in the estimates of terminal runs or escapements for younger age classes, particularly age 2 . The minimum age class to include in the calibration algorithm is included in the FCS file.

Estimation of Age Composition. Age-specific estimates of the terminal run or escapement may not be available. An option is provided to estimate the age composition using base period maturation and exploitation rates.

The forecasts provided by the management agencies were typically for terminal runs or escapements without adjustments for changes in ocean fisheries. Since the forecasts implicitly include exploitation in preterminal fisheries, the expansion of the forecasts to total cohort size should be made using the average exploitation rate for the period of years in the forecast database.

The 2001 calibration was completed in two stages to facilitate computation of the average exploitation rates and incorporation of the agency forecasts. The Stage 1 calibration provided initial estimates of exploitation rate scalars for fishing years 1979 through 2000 using updated catch and escapement data for 2000. Average exploitation rate scalars were then computed and used as input values for 2001 fisheries in the Stage 2 calibration, except for the WCVI and FRL stocks whose forecasts already account for changes in the ocean fisheries.

The average exploitation rate scale factors $(\overline{F P})$ for each model fishery were obtained from the Stage 1 calibration using the following formula:

$$
\overline{F P}_{a, s, C Y, f}=\frac{\sum_{C Y=C Y_{\text {sarn }}}^{C Y_{\text {end }}} R T_{C Y} * F P_{s, a, C Y, f}}{\left(C Y_{\text {end }}-C Y_{\text {start }}\right)}
$$

The range of years used to compute the average varied between fisheries and was stock and age specific.
The input files used in the Stage 2 calibration were identical to those used in Stage 1 with two exceptions:
(1) the average exploitation rate scale factors for each fishery were inserted into the FP file for 2001; and
(2) the stage 1 EVs were used as starting values for the Stage 2 calibration.

To determine the acceptability of a calibration by the CTC (i.e., whether an annual calibration is deemed final by the CTC, several results are examined:
(1) accuracy of the reconstructed catches in the fisheries (these values may consistently differ from the actual catches if the calibration is not able to recreate the actual catches in the years 1979 through 1984, the model years prior to implementation of the ceiling algorithm);
(2) accuracy of terminal runs or escapements compared to the data used for calibration of each stock;
(3) comparison of age structure in terminal runs or escapements with data used for calibration (consistent biases in age structure are addressed by changing maturation rates);
(4) patterns in the stock productivity scalars compared with marine survival patterns generated by the annual exploitation rate analysis based on CWT data;
(5) comparison of CWT and model estimates of fishery harvest rate indices; and
(6) comparison of model estimates with mortality distributions for individual stocks generated from the annual CWT-based exploitation rate analysis.

Calibration usually involves an iterative process until a judgment is made by the CTC that an acceptable fit to all the data has been achieved. This decision usually involves an inspection and trial-and-error process. The determination of whether or not further calibrations are necessary is based principally on the significance of deviations from observed or estimated values for stocks and fisheries most relevant to the issues to be evaluated and on the time constraints established for completion of the calibration.

### 2.2.3. Model and Data Changes

### 2.2.3.1. File Format Changes

### 2.2.3.1.1. BSE File

The BSE file contains information about the Ricker spawner-recruit parameters for each of the model stocks. The format of the BSE file was modified in 1999 to reflect a reparameterization of the spawnerrecruit function in the chinook model. The old format of the BSE file contained the alpha parameter and the estimate of MSY escapement. The new format contains the alpha parameter, the maximum estimated escapement, and the MSY escapement and a flag indicating which form of the spawner recruit curve to use.

### 2.2.3.1.2. OP7 File

Several changes have been made to the OP7 file format. The OP7 option file now specifies the name of the MATAEQ (maturation rate and adult equivalent) file, it specifies the name of the two new PNV files and it specifies the name of the new IM file. In addition, a new line specifying the number of years to average to estimate future EVs was added.

### 2.2.3.1.3. IM File

The IM input file was added to the chinook model in 1998. This file contains information about changes to the incidental mortality rate estimates for specific fisheries. These new rates replace the rates that are read from the BSE file.

### 2.2.3.1.4. PNV File

Two new proportion non-vulnerable (PNV) files were added to the chinook model in 2000. These PNV files account for changes in the size limit in the Puget Sound North and South Sport fisheries.

### 2.2.3.2. Coding Changes

Model code was revised to use new estimates of incidental mortality rates in troll and sport fisheries developed by the CTC. The model and input files were also revised to input incidental mortality rates by model fishery and year (previously incidental mortality rates were gear, not fishery specific, and could not vary through time).

The model was also changed so that the user can input the number of years of model estimated Evs to use in computing future brood survival. The number is provided to the model through a new input line in the OP7 file.

### 2.2.3.3. Data Files

For the WCVI stocks (RBT and RBH), recoveries for the WCVI sport fishery were reassigned to the terminal sport fishery to accommodate the outside versus inside WCVI sport fishery provisions of the Agreement.

The FP values utilized for the 2001 calibration are derived from fishery indices computed from the CWTbased 1999 exploitation rate analysis. In 1999 and 2000, ADF\&G revised historical estimates of CWT recoveries for 1976-1979. This period overlaps the base period used for the CTC Model, but the CTC determined that revisions to base period data should be undertaken when converting to the new model currently under development. Consequently, the CWT data used to generate base period exploitation patterns for the CTC model stocks differ from those used for the exploitation rate analysis. The CTC's initial assessment of these changes is that the effect would be very small.

### 2.3 Forecast Methods by Stock

Three general methods were used to estimate the model abundance of chinook salmon for 2001. These include:
i) expected age-2 recruitment from the most recent brood year based on past EV scalars by stock (i.e., for 2001, age-2 production from 1999 brood year has not yet been observed);
ii) expected production from stocks with forecasts provided by management agencies (details below); and
iii) expected production from stocks without forecasts provided (i.e., calculated internally in the model and based on calibration data provided).

Age-2 pre-fishery cohort size for each stock is predicted based on the spawning population observed in the brood year, the spawner-recruit relationship (provided in the model BSE file), and an EV scalar determined from past estimated values. The user may specify how to determine the scalar based on the number of years specified in the OP7 control file (CLB 0107 used the recent 5 -year average for the EV scalar).

For stocks without agency forecasts, the model estimates the expected stock-specific production of age-3 through age- 5 chinook salmon. The surviving ocean cohort (by age and stock) expected to enter 2001 was predicted based on the brood year EVs (brood years 1995 to 1998) determined during calibration and the mortality within brood years though 2000 (forecast type C in Table 2.6).

For those stocks with externally provided forecasts of abundance in 2001, management agencies used three general methods:

Sibling Models. Empirical relationships between abundance (commonly measured as terminal run size) of age $a$ fish in calendar year $C Y$ and the comparable abundance of age $a+1$ fish in year $C Y+1$ are used to predict abundance in 2001 from data collected in previous years. These data are often recoveries of CWTs from tagged fish or results of run reconstruction for the entire stock (forecast type S in Table 2.10.

Average Return Rate Models. Return rates of adults by age from smolts or parents are averaged over past brood years, then these mean averages are used to discount abundance of smolts or parents for brood years that will be exploited in 2001 (forecast type R in Table 2-10).

Average Return Models. Returns are averaged over the past several calendar years and the averages used as a forecast for year 2001. This simple approach is usually used with a lack of data or more complicated methods have not provided better forecasts (forecast type A in Table 2-10).

A more detailed description of the forecast methods used for specific stocks is found in Appendix D.
Table 2.10. Methods used to forecast the abundance of stocks in PSC Chinook Model: Externally provided forecast type codes are $\mathrm{S}=$ sibling; $\mathrm{R}=$ return rate; $\mathrm{A}=$ average return; model internally provided projection $=\mathrm{C}$ ).

| Model Stock | Forecast Characteristics |  |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Forecast Type | Pre-Season Age Specific | Post-Season Age Specific |  |
| Alaska South SE | C | - | Yes | Calibrated to escapement |
| North/Central BC | C | - | No | Calibrated to terminal run |
| Fraser Early | C | - | No | Calibrated to terminal run |
| Fraser Late | S | Yes | Yes | Combined forecasts for Harrison River and Chilliwack Hatchery |
| WCVI Hatchery + Wild ( RCH and RCT model stocks) | S | Yes | Yes | Robertson Creek Hatchery forecasts plus expansion for other WCVI stocks based on ratio of terminal run sizes |
| Upper Georgia Strait | C | - | No | Calibrated to escapement |
| Lower Georgia Strait Wild | C | - | Yes | Calibrated to escapement to Cowichan and Nanaimo Rivers |
| Lower Georgia Strait Hatchery | C | - | Yes | Calibrated to escapement to LGS hatchery systems and Squamish River |
| Nooksack Fall | R | No | No | 1999-2000 return rate |
| Puget Sound Fingerling + Yearling | R | No | No | Age specific forecast for Green River, Kitsap and Puyullap |
| Puget Sound Natural | R | Partial | No | Age specific forecasts for Skagit and Stillaguamish |
| Nooksack Spring | R, A | Partial | No | North Fork is age specific |
| Skagit Summer/Fall | S | Yes | Partial | Cohort return rate |
| Snohomish Summer/Fall | R | No | No | Average of two methods used in 1995 |
| Stillaguamish Summer/Fall | R | No | No | Marked and unmarked estimates |
| Washington Coastal Hatchery | R | No | No | Calibrated to terminal run |
| Washington Coastal Wild | C | - | No | Calibrated to terminal run |
| Columbia Upriver Bright | S | Yes | Yes | Run reconstruction used to estimate Columbia River mouth return |
| Mid-Columbia Bright | S | Yes | Yes | Run reconstruction used to estimate Columbia River mouth return |
| Spring Creek Hatchery | S | Yes | Yes | Run reconstruction used to estimate Columbia River mouth return |
| Lower Columbia River $\qquad$ | S | Yes | Yes | Run reconstruction used to estimate Columbia River mouth return |
| Lewis River Wild | S | Yes | Yes | Run reconstruction used to estimate Columbia River mouth return |
| Cowlitz Spring | S | Yes | Yes | Prediction is to mouth of Cowlitz River |
| Willamette River Hatchery | S | Yes | Yes | Prediction is to mouth of Willamette River |
| Columbia Upriver Summer | A | No | No | Changed in 2001 to 5-year average |
| Oregon Coastal North Migrating | A | Yes | Yes | Weighted average age composition from four index rivers |
| Snake River Fall (model stock) | C | - | No | Calibrated to escapement to Lower Granite |

### 2.4. Escapement Trends and Goals

The Agreement includes chapter 3, section 9(b):
"(b) the additional management actions to be taken in relevant fisheries in accordance with this paragraph are as follows. ${ }^{3}$

| Percentage Reduction <br> in Index | Number of Stock Groups <br> Requiring Response |
| :---: | :---: |
| $10 \%$ | 2 stock groups |
| $20 \%$ | 3 stock groups |
| $30 \%$ | $4+$ stock groups |

[^1]For the purpose of this year's analysis the CTC used the escapement value associated with a $15 \%$ reduction in production from the production level associated with MSY as a lower bound as per the Agreement. Also as per the Agreement, subsequent reports will use the lower bound definition developed by the CTC in 2001.

At the current time, the CTC has accepted escapement goals for ten SEAK stocks, three North Coastal Oregon stocks, and two Columbia River stocks as being biologically based. These escapement goals are based on relationships between estimates or predictions of spawning escapements and resulting production. In some cases, these escapement goals were expressed as point values while in other cases they have been expressed as a range. For this analysis, the goals expressed as a range were converted to point values. Estimated relationships between spawning escapements and subsequent production can readily be used to predict spawning escapements associated with a $15 \%$ reduction in production from the MSY production level (Appendix E, Method 1).

### 3.0 RESULTS

### 3.1. Exploitation Rate Analysis

### 3.1.1. Brood Year Exploitation Rates

Estimated brood year exploitation rates for 12 stocks were computed (Appendix F, Figures F.1-F.12). These figures are presented as cumulative bar graphs: reported catch plus incidental mortality summing to the total mortality for each brood year. Figures are labeled as ocean mortality (i.e. excludes terminal fisheries) or total mortality indicating ocean plus terminal fisheries. In general, exploitation rates for these 12 stocks have declined from the base period years.

### 3.1.2. Survival Indices

Estimated total brood year survival from CWT analysis and EV scalars from the model are presented for 24 of the index stocks in Appendix G. In general, recent brood year survivals are lower than in earlier years of the time series. Correlation coefficients ( $r$ values) were computed as a measure of association between the two indices of survival (Table 3.1). A correlation approaching 1.0 indicates a strong linear relationship and provides evidence that the EV is predictive of the final cohort survival. Conversely, a correlation approaching 0 indicates little relationship between the EV scalar and final cohort survival. The amount of correlation varied substantially among the stocks. Of the 24 stocks, 16 had $r$ values that were significantly different from zero ( $P<0.05$ ). Correlation coefficients for these 16 stocks ranged from 0.47 to 0.90 .

### 3.1.3. Stock Distribution

Tables in Appendix H provide the distribution and portion of a stock's production, for both reported and total mortality, within a calendar year (values within each year sum to $100 \%$ ).

Table 3.1. Correlation ( $r$ ) between total brood year survival estimated from CWTs and EV scalars for 24 stocks. $N$ is the number of brood years for which both survival and EV data are available; $P$ is the probability that the true $r$ is equal to 0 . A low $P$ value indicates a significant correlation.

| Stock | $\boldsymbol{N}$ | $\boldsymbol{r}$ | $\boldsymbol{P}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hanford Wild | 9 | 0.902 | $<0.001$ |
| Alaska Spring | 16 | 0.816 | $<0.001$ |
| Cowlitz Fall Tule | 18 | 0.757 | $<0.001$ |
| Quinsam | 20 | 0.747 | $<0.001$ |
| Chilliwack | 14 | 0.743 | 0.002 |
| Nooksack Spring Yearling | 11 | 0.740 | 0.009 |
| Oregon Columbia River Tules | 19 | 0.732 | $<0.001$ |
| Robertson Creek | 20 | 0.657 | 0.002 |
| Samish Fall Fingerling | 13 | 0.628 | 0.022 |
| Spring Creek Tule | 21 | 0.624 | 0.003 |
| George Adams Fall Fingerlings | 16 | 0.575 | 0.020 |
| Columbia River Upriver Bright | 20 | 0.547 | 0.013 |
| Lewis River Wild | 16 | 0.521 | 0.039 |
| Big Qualicum | 21 | 0.481 | 0.027 |
| South Puget Sound Fall Fingerling | 19 | 0.478 | 0.038 |
| Puntledge | 21 | 0.469 | 0.032 |
| Kitsumkalum | 15 | 0.429 | 0.111 |
| Skagit Spring Yearling | 9 | 0.068 | 0.862 |
| Squaxin Pens Fall Yearling | 8 | 0.046 | 0.913 |
| Cowichan | 9 | -0.003 | 0.993 |
| Columbia River Summer | 14 | -0.040 | 0.893 |
| South Puget Sound Fall Yearling | 12 | -0.187 | 0.560 |
| White River Spring Yearling | 17 | -0.191 | 0.447 |
| Sooes Fall Fingerling | 8 | -0.376 | 0.358 |

### 3.2 Model Output

### 3.2.1. 1999, 2000, and 2001 AABM Annual Abundance Indices

Beginning with the 1999 fishing season, the Agreement specified that the AABM fisheries were to be managed through the use of the AIs. In 1999 and 2000 the CTC pre-season calibration provided AIs that were used to set fishing plans. In addition to the pre-season AIs, the Agreement also provided that CTC approved in-season AIs could be used. Compliance with the Agreement specifies that the first post-season calibration will be used to assess compliance. However, the CTC did not produce a final calibration in 2000, thus the 2001 calibration is considered the first post-season for 1999. The 2001 final pre-season calibration (CLB 0107) provided AIs for 1979 through 2001 (Appendix I). The AIs from 1999 and 2000 are final, while the AI for 2001 is used to set preliminary catches for the year (Table 3.2).

Table 3.2. Abundance Indices for 1999, 2000, and 2001 for the SEAK, NBC, and WCVI Troll fisheries.

| Fishery | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SEAK | 1.12 | 1.10 | 1.14 |
| NBC | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.02 |
| WCVI | 0.50 | 0.47 | 0.66 |

The Agreement specifies a catch for each AI for each fishery. The specified treaty catch by fishery and year and the actual (observed) catches are shown in Table 3.3.

Table 3.3. Observed and treaty catches for the AABM fisheries in 1999 and 2000 and the preseason forecast for 2001.

| Fishery | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ <br> Observed | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ <br> Treaty | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ <br> Observed | 2000 <br> Treaty | 2001 <br> Forecast |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SEAK All Gear | 200,250 | 184,200 | 183,979 | 178,500 | 189,900 |
| NBC Troll and QCI <br> Sport | 103,900 | 126,100 | 58,012 | 123,500 | 132,600 |
| WCVI Troll and <br> Outside Sport | 31,085 | 107,000 | 100,030 | 92,300 | 141,182 |

In SEAK, the observed catch in 1999 and 2000 was greater than the treaty catch associated with the AI by $8.7 \%$ and $3.1 \%$. In WCVI, the observed catch in 2000 was greater than the treaty catch associated with the AI by $8.4 \%$, but the size limit in the troll fishery was reduced from 67 cm to 55 cm .

The Agreement specifies that overage/underage provisions apply to both AABM and ISBM fisheries. The Agreement directed the CTC to adapt the previous overage/underage annex provisions to reflect changes based on a catch established through in season or pre-season abundance indicators. The CTC was also asked to review the $7.5 \%$ range above and below the management objective and consider whether increased flexibility in the management range is desirable or necessary taking into consideration management precision and increased risk on affected stock groups ${ }^{1}$. The CTC has not yet discussed measures for implementation of overage/underage provisions.

[^2]
### 3.2.1.1. Abundance Indices and stock composition of AABM fisheries, 1979 to 2001

The AIs and associated stock compositions were calculated for the three AABM troll fisheries beginning in 1979 (Figures 3.1 through 3.3). There are 30 stock groups used in the model, however, the AABM fisheries are often composed of several major stocks. The relative abundance for each major stock is shown in the graphs.


Figure 3.1. Total abundance index for the Southeast Alaska troll fishery and the annual stock composition estimated in CLB 0107.

- The 2001 AI for the SEAK troll fishery is 1.14 , the same as the 2000 pre-season, but 0.04 points greater than the 2000 post-season.
- The stock composition for 2001 is similar to that of the 2000 post-season assessment.

The major stocks contributing to the index are: WCVI, Upriver Brights, NCBC, and Oregon Coastal. However, in 2001, production of WCVI chinook continues to be greatly reduced from past years.


Figure 3.2. Total abundance index for the Northern BC troll fishery and the annual stock composition estimated in CLB 0107.

- The projected 2001 AI for the NBC troll fishery is 1.02 . This is 0.02 points greater than the 2000 preseason projection and 0.07 points greater than the 2000 post-season projection of 0.95 .
- The stock composition for 2001 is similar to that of 2000 .

The major stock groups in the NBC fishery are WCVI, Upriver Brights, Oregon Coastal, NCBC, and Washington Coastal. However, in 2001, production of WCVI chinook continues to be greatly reduced from past years.


Figure 3.3. Total abundance index for the WCVI troll fishery and the annual stock composition estimated in CLB 0107.

- The projected 2001 AI is 0.66 . This is 0.12 points greater than the pre-season AI for 2000 and 0.19 points greater than the 2000 post-season assessment.
- The increase in the projected 2001 AI is primarily due to an increase in the Columbia River Tules and Upriver Bright chinook stocks.

The major stock groups in the WCVI fishery are: Fraser Late, Puget Sound, Upriver Brights, and Columbia River Tules.

### 3.2.2. 1999, 2000, and 2001 ISBM Indices by Stock

For the ISBM fisheries, the Agreement specified that Canada and the United States would reduce base period exploitation rates on specified stocks by 0.365 and 0.400 , respectively. This requirement does not apply to stocks that achieved their CTC agreed escapement goal. Canadian ISBM indices (Table 3.4) were all below the target ISBM index of 0.635 . Thus, the general obligation was met for Canadian ISBM fisheries. For U.S. fisheries, several ISBM indices were above the target value of 0.600 (italicized in Table 3.5).

For 1999, eight CWT-based U.S. ISBM indices were above 0.600 (Table 3.6). Four of these were for stocks that had 1999 escapements above their CTC escapement goal. The remaining four were for the Upriver Bright and Washington Coastal Fall stocks (Queets, Hoh, and Quillayute). Although they lack CTC escapement goals, all exceeded their agency management goals in 1999. However, until the CTC
has reviewed and accepted biologically-based escapement goals for these four stocks, one interpretation of the Treaty is that they are not in compliance with the "general obligation" of the agreement.

In 2000, seven U.S. ISBM indices were above 0.600 (Table 3.6). Two of these indices were for stocks that exceeded their CTC escapement goals in 2000. The other five were for the Hoh Fall, Quillayute Fall, Upriver Bright, Deschutes, and Nehalem stocks. Of these, only the Nehalem has a CTC agreed escapement goal. For the Nehalem, escapement was below the CTC escapement goal, but was above the $85 \%$ production level defined as the lower bound for escapement (footnote 3, page 40 of the agreement) (Table 3.11). As noted above, the other four stocks may not be in compliance with the general obligation. The Hoh, Quillayute, and Upriver Bright fall stocks met their agency management goals, but the Deschutes stock did not.

For 2001, twelve U.S. ISBM indices are predicted to be above 0.600 (Table 3.6). One was for Lewis River stock of chinook salmon, which is predicted to be above the CTC agreed goal in 2001. Planned harvest patterns for the remaining 11 stocks may not be in compliance with the general obligation of the Agreement.

Table 3.4. ISBM Indices for Canadian fisheries, 1999 through 2001.

| Stock Group | Stock | Canadian ISBM Indices |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | CWT <br> Index | Modeled Indices |  |  |
|  |  | $1999{ }^{1}$ | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| North / Central B. C. | Yakoun, Nass, Skeena, Area 8 | $\mathrm{NA}^{2}$ | 0.237 | 0.254 | 0.613 |
| West Coast Vancouver Island | WCVI (Artlish, Burman, Gold, Kauok, Tahsis, Tashish, Marble) | 0.431 | 0.365 | 0.327 | 0.244 |
| Fraser Early | Upper Fraser, Mid Fraser, Thompson | $\mathrm{NA}^{2}$ | 0.125 | 0.124 | 0.210 |
| Fraser Late | Harrison River | 0.112 | 0.309 | 0.198 | 0.336 |
| Upper Strait of Georgia | Klinaklini, Kakweikan, Wakeman, Kingcome, Nimpkish | 0.021 | 0.174 | 0.118 | 0.314 |
| Lower Strait of Georgia | Cowichan <br> Nanaimo | $\begin{aligned} & 0.517 \\ & 0.163 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.304 \\ & 0.209 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.232 \\ & 0.113 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.325 \\ & 0.246 \end{aligned}$ |
| North PS Nat Springs | Nooksack, Skagit | 0.183 | 0.233 | 0.156 | 0.241 |
| Puget Sound Natural Summer / Falls | Skagit <br> Stillaguamish <br> Snohomish <br> Lake Washington <br> Green R | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { NA } \\ 0.194 \\ \text { NA } \\ \text { NA } \\ 0.171 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.197 \\ & 0.355 \\ & 0.185 \\ & 0.332 \\ & 0.333 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.119 \\ & 0.234 \\ & 0.116 \\ & 0.202 \\ & 0.202 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.217 \\ & 0.469 \\ & 0.222 \\ & 0.355 \\ & 0.356 \end{aligned}$ |
| Washington Coastal Fall Naturals | Hoko, Grays Harbor, Queets, Hoh, Quillayute | NA | 0.201 | 0.161 | 0.354 |
| Col River Falls | Upriver Brights <br> Deschutes <br> Lewis | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NA } \\ & \text { NA } \\ & \text { NA } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.124 \\ & 0.124 \\ & 0.056 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.104 \\ & 0.104 \\ & 0.180 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.377 \\ & 0.377 \\ & 0.180 \end{aligned}$ |
| Col R Summers | Mid-Col Summers | NA | 0.109 | 0.085 | 0.144 |
| Far North Migrating OR Coastal Falls | Nehalem, Siletz, Siuslaw | NA | 0.094 | 0.110 | 0.505 |

Table 3.5. ISBM indices for U.S. fisheries, 1999 through 2001 (indices above 0.60 are italicized for stocks without CTC agreed escapement goals and for stocks that did not achieve CTC agreed escapement goals).

| Stock Group | Stock | US ISBM Indices |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | CWT <br> Index | Modeled Indices |  |  |
|  |  | $1999{ }^{1}$ | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| North / Central B. C. | Yakoun, Nass, Skeena, Area 8 | $\mathrm{NA}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{NC}^{3}$ | NC | NC |
| West Coast Vancouver Island | WCVI (Artlish, Burman, Gold, Kauok, Tahsis, Tashish, Marble) |  | 0.26 | 0.38 | $0.73{ }^{4}$ |
| Fraser Early | Upper Fraser, Mid Fraser, Thompson |  | 0.08 | 0.15 | $0.70^{4}$ |
| Fraser Late | Harrison River | 0.47 | 0.66 | 0.39 | 0.62 |
| Upper Strait of Georgia | Klinaklini, Kakweikan, Wakeman, Kingcome, Nimpkish | NA | NC | NC | NC |
| Lower Strait of Georgia | Cowichan | NA | 0.17 | 0.21 | 0.48 |
|  | Nanaimo | NA | 0.17 | 0.21 | 0.48 |
| North PS Nat Springs | Nooksack | 0.44 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.01 |
|  | Skagit | NA | ID ${ }^{5}$ | ID | 0.07 |
| Puget Sound Natural Summer / Falls | Skagit | NA | 0.17 | 0.21 | 0.78 |
|  | Stillaguamish | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.40 |
|  | Snohomish | NA | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.60 |
|  | Lake Washington | NA | 0.50 | 0.48 | 0.59 |
|  | Green R | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.48 | 0.60 |
| Washington Coastal Fall Naturals | Hoko | NA | 0.39 | 0.34 | 0.56 |
|  | Grays Harbor | 0.43 | 0.44 | 0.43 | 0.45 |
|  | Queets | 1.00 | 0.88 | 0.42 | 0.44 |
|  | Hoh | 1.54 | 1.39 | 0.73 | 0.76 |
|  | Quillayute | 1.30 | 1.14 | 0.72 | 0.75 |
| Col River Falls | Upriver Brights | 1.37 | 1.02 | 1.09 | 0.99 |
|  | Deschutes | 0.51 | 1.02 | 0.88 | 0.74 |
|  | Lewis | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.16 | $1.70^{6}$ |
| Col R Summers | Mid-Col Summers | $1.64{ }^{7}$ | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.14 |
| Far North Migrating OR Coastal Falls | Nehalem | $1.96{ }^{7}$ | 2.67 | 2.66 | 2.75 |
|  | Siletz | $0.82{ }^{7}$ | 1.81 | $1.79{ }^{7}$ | 1.87 |
|  | Siuslaw | $1.22{ }^{7}$ | 0.94 | $0.93{ }^{7}$ | 0.95 |

${ }^{4}$ The 1999 CWT based estimates, not the 1999 model estimates, are used for evaluating compliance.
${ }^{2}$ NA means not available because of insufficient data (lack of tag codes, base period CWT, etc).
${ }^{3} \mathrm{NC}$ means that the current model assumes the stock is not caught in US ISBM fisheries.
${ }_{5}^{4}$ Stock group not in Annex Table V.
${ }^{5}$ ID means insufficient data available to estimate stock specific impacts.
${ }^{6}$ Escapement predicted to be above CTC goal.
${ }^{7}$ Escapement was above CTC goal.

Table 3.6. ISBM indices above the general obligation in 1999 and 2000 for stocks without CTC agreed escapement goals.

| Stock | US ISBM Indices | Comment |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Queets | 1999: 1.00 | No CTC goal. Escapement was above mgmt. floor. |
| Hoh Falls | 1999: 1.54 | No CTC goal. Escapement was above mgmt. floor. |
|  | 2000: 0.73 | No CTC goal. Escapement was above mgmt. floor. |
| Quillayute Falls | 1999: 1.30 | No CTC goal. Escapement was above mgmt. floor. |
|  | 2000: 0.72 | No CTC goal. Escapement was above mgmt. floor. |
| Upriver Brights | 1999: 1.37 | No CTC goal. Escapement was above mgmt. goal. |
|  | 2000: 1.09 | No CTC goal. Escapement was above mgmt. goal. |
| Deschutes | 2000: 0.88 | No CTC goal. Escapement below mgmt. goal. |
| Nehalem | 2000: 2.66 | Below CTC goal. Above 85\% production (Table 3.9) |

As with the AABM fisheries, the agreement specifies that overages are to be accounted for. The CTC has not yet discussed measures for implementation of overage/underage provisions. This is the first year that the nonceiling fishery index method has been adapted to ISBM fishery indices and the first year that preseason ISBM projections have been made. As shown for 1999 in Tables 3.4 and 3.5, estimates based upon CWTs versus the CTC model can vary substantially. Projections of 2001 ISBM indices provide a caution to management agencies for preseason planning, but there is uncertainty associated with them. The number of stocks with U.S. ISBM indices above 0.60 that do not have CTC-accepted escapement goals emphasize the need for agencies to provide for CTC review, the data and analyses to justify biologically-based escapement goals.

### 3.3. Model Calibration Evaluation

The model catches and stock escapements or terminal runs estimated by CLB 0107 were summarized by calculating the average deviations of the model estimates from the observed values (Table 3.7 for the fisheries that are modeled as operating under catch ceilings or quotas for past years, and Table 3.8 for terminal run size/escapements by model stocks).

The model does not estimate catches with equal accuracy in every fishery. This may reflect inadequate representation of the stocks by base period tag data, incomplete representation of the fishery by model stocks or may reflect errors in the estimation of initial stock abundances used to initiate the model. This has been a consistent problem for certain fisheries. The effect of these deviations depends on the direction of the error (over or under estimation), magnitude of the catches, and the stocks involved in each fishery.

Table 3.7. Average proportion of observed catch accounted for by model CLB 0107.

| Model Fishery | Model Catch as Proportion <br> of Observed Catch |
| :---: | :---: |
| Southeast Alaska Troll | 0.826 |
| Northern BC Troll | 1.052 |
| Central BC Troll | 1.340 |
| West Coast Vancouver Island Troll | 1.154 |
| Washington/Oregon Ocean Troll | 1.361 |
| Strait of Georgia Troll | 1.021 |
| Southeast Alaska Net | 0.599 |
| Northern BC Net | 0.648 |
| Central BC Net | 1.182 |
| Puget Sound North Net | 0.838 |
| Southeast Alaska Sport | 0.688 |
| North/Central BC Sport | 1.708 |
| West Coast Vancouver Island Sport | 0.608 |
| Washington/Oregon Ocean Sport | 1.008 |
| Puget Sound North Sport | 0.844 |
| Puget Sound South Sport | 0.629 |
| Strait of Georgia Sport | 1.463 |

The ability of the model to estimate escapements and terminal run sizes varies between stocks. The last four columns of Table 3.8 present summary statistics on the fit achieved by CLB 0107. The column entitled "Avg Fit" represents the 1979-2000 average ratio between the model-generated estimate and reported values. On average, the model is able to accurately estimate the observed terminal run or escapements used in the calibration process. The column entitled "SD" is the standard deviation of the ratios between model estimates and reported values.

Table 3.8. Comparison of model calibration results with estimated terminal run sizes or escapements during 1979 through 2000. For most stocks (or stock groups) included in the model calibration, $\mathrm{N}=22$ years, except for Nooksack Springs ( $\mathrm{N}=8$, years 1980-1987) and the Mid-Columbia River Bright Hatchery group ( $\mathrm{N}=20$, years 1981-2000).

| Calibration |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska South SE | Escapement | 1.035 | 0.211 | 0.745 | 1.521 |
| Northern/Central BC | Term. Run | 1.012 | 0.094 | 0.797 | 1.267 |
| Fraser Early | Term. Run | 1.015 | 0.096 | 0.854 | 1.164 |
| Fraser Late | Escapement | 0.996 | 0.118 | 0.799 | 1.165 |
| WCVI Hatchery \& Natural | Term. Run | 1.022 | 0.204 | 0.713 | 1.727 |
| Upper Strait of Georgia | Escapement | 1.085 | 0.313 | 0.739 | 2.116 |
| Lower Strait of Georgia Natural | Escapement | 1.033 | 0.196 | 0.750 | 1.391 |
| Lower Strait of Georgia Hatchery | Term. Run | 1.024 | 0.233 | 0.663 | 1.818 |
| Nooksack Fall | Term. Run | 1.042 | 0.176 | 0.773 | 1.382 |
| Puget Sound Fall Fingerling \& Yearling | Term. Run | 1.021 | 0.106 | 0.828 | 1.186 |
| Puget Sound Natural Fall | Term. Run | 1.033 | 0.145 | 0.837 | 1.313 |
| Nooksack Spring | Escapement | 1.059 | 0.219 | 0.864 | 1.547 |
| Skagit Summer/Fall Wild | Term. Run | 1.046 | 0.244 | 0.658 | 1.749 |
| Stillaguamish Summer/Fall Wild | Escapement | 1.060 | 0.228 | 0.738 | 1.709 |
| Snohomish Summer/Fall Wild | Term. Run | 1.019 | 0.125 | 0.816 | 1.237 |
| Washington Coastal Fall Hatchery | Term. Run | 1.052 | 0.176 | 0.815 | 1.453 |
| Columbia Upriver Bright | Term. Run | 1.000 | 0.154 | 0.719 | 1.223 |
| Spring Creek Hatchery | Term. Run | 1.011 | 0.125 | 0.771 | 1.300 |
| Lower Bonneville Hatchery \& Fall Cowlitz Hatchery | Term. Run | 0.999 | 0.206 | 0.589 | 1.297 |
| Lewis River Wild | Term. Run | 1.036 | 0.217 | 0.647 | 1.542 |
| Willamette Spring Hatchery | Term. Run | 1.002 | 0.122 | 0.798 | 1.317 |
| Spring Cowlitz Hatchery | Term. Run | 1.023 | 0.172 | 0.632 | 1.341 |
| Columbia River Summer | Escapement | 1.010 | 0.095 | 0.886 | 1.244 |
| Oregon Coastal Fall North Migrating | Escapement | 1.028 | 0.200 | 0.709 | 1.393 |
| Washington Coastal Fall Wild | Term. Run | 1.032 | 0.149 | 0.819 | 1.401 |
| Snake River Wild Fall | Escapement | 1.136 | 0.585 | 0.636 | 2.987 |
| Mid-Columbia River Bright Hatchery | Term. Run | 1.042 | 0.176 | 0.761 | 1.476 |

The variability in these annual estimates differs between stocks. The variability tend to be greatest in stocks without age-specific data or in stocks with highly variable marine survivals. Since the variability is not consistently related to specific stocks, the most likely impacts are annual variations in age-specific survival rates, (i.e., random error in estimates of abundance).

The columns entitled "Min" and "Max" are the extreme ranges of annual fits from 1979 through 2001. The minimum column (Min) represents the smallest proportion of the reported value estimated by the model. The maximum column (Max) represents the largest ratio between the estimated value and the model estimate. The significance of these deviations depends upon the questions being evaluated. For example, a large deviation for a stock during the first few years of the calibration or a stock that has a minor impact on a fishery of concern may not necessitate further attempts at model calibration.

Total mortality fishery indices generated by CLB 0107 can also be compared to the CWT-based exploitation rate analysis. Model and CWT based fishery indices use the same equation, but employ model estimates for all model stocks instead of CWT recovery data from select exploitation rate indicator stocks.

The CWT estimates are considered to be the best estimate and a comparison of those estimates with those derived from the model provides one measure of how well the model represents changes in fisheries. Two types of Fishery Index are presented; reported catch and total mortality. A correlation coefficient was calculated between the CWT and model estimates for each type of index for the three AABM troll fisheries (Table 3.9). The coefficients were all significant ( $\mathrm{P}<0.01 ; \mathrm{N}=21$ years). The model results are closely associated with the CWT-based indices and changes in fishery harvest rates as indicated in Figures 3.4 through 3.6.

Table 3.9. Correlation coefficients between CWT and model fishery indices for the AABM troll fisheries.

|  | Troll Fishery |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Index | SEAK | NBC | WCVI |
| Reported | 0.905 | 0.833 | 0.925 |
| Total | 0.816 | 0.831 | 0.929 |

The model fishery index for SEAK closely follows the trend of the CWT derived estimate from 1979 through 1987 for both landed and total mortality (Figures 3.7 and 3.8). Beginning in 1988, the model estimate is consistently less than that derived with CWT except for 1996. The model estimate is also relatively stable during this period while that for the CWT data shows some large fluctuations.


Figure 3.4. Estimated CWT and model reported catch fishery indices for SEAK troll.


Figure 3.5. Estimated CWT and model total mortality fishery indices for SEAK troll.
The model derived fishery index for NBC generally follows the trend estimated with CWTs (Figures 3.6 and 3.7). From 1984 through 1990 the model derived fishery index estimates are less than the estimates based upon CWTs, but in following years those trends reverse and the CWT based estimates exceed the model derived estimates.


Figure 3.6. Estimated CWT and model reported catch fishery indices for the NBC troll fishery.


Figure 3.7. Estimated CWT and model total mortality fishery indices for the NBC troll fishery.
The model derived reported catch fishery index estimates and trends for the WCVI troll fishery are similar to that information derived from CWTs since the base period, although between 1991 and 1995, the model estimates were consistently greater than the CWT based estimates (Figures 3.5 and 3.6).


Figure 3.8. Estimated CWT and model reported catch fishery indices for the WCVI troll fishery.


Figure 3.9. Estimated CWT and model total mortality fishery indices for the WCVI troll fishery.

### 3.4. Summary of Model Stock Forecasts

A summary of recent forecasts for 14 stocks used in the CTC model calibration indicates that the accuracy of individual stock/year forecasts have ranged from $31 \%$ to $148 \%$ while the average accuracy has ranged from $63 \%$ to $126 \%$ during the period of 1997-2000 (Table 3.10). The variability of these forecasts is greater in the smaller stocks and for the WCVI stock, which involves extrapolation of the Robertson Creek Hatchery forecast to all WCVI stocks as described in Appendix D. For the major production stocks, these forecasts suggest that their abundance in 2001 will be less than reported for 2000.

### 3.5. Summary of Escapement Trends and Goals

Paragraph 9 of the new Agreement defines criteria for identifying stocks of concern (only for stocks with CTC agreed escapement goals) and escapement levels in those stocks that would trigger additional management action (footnote 3, page 40 Agreement). Of the 15 stocks with CTC agreed escapement goals (Table 3.11), the Blossom stock was the only stock to potentially qualify as a stock of concern (two years below escapement range). However, additional management action for this stock is not triggered in 2001 since both years exceed the lower bound of escapement as defined in footnote 3 of the Agreement ${ }^{2}$ (85\% Production column in Table 3.11).

The 1999 escapements for the Taku and Lewis chinook salmon stocks were less than the $85 \%$ production trigger values, but escapements in 2000 equaled or exceeded their goals. Thus, escapements during 1999 and 2000, for stocks with agreed escapement goals, do not trigger any additional management actions for 2001 as per paragraph 9, Chapter 3 of the Agreement.
${ }^{2}$ Feb. 22, 2001 memo from CTC to PSC Commissioners. Clarification of Footnote 3, Chinook Chapter. pg. 6

Table 3.10. Comparison of preseason forecasts with postseason estimates for various PSC model stocks of chinook salmon.

| Model Stock | 1997 |  |  | 1998 |  |  | 1999 |  |  | 2000 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 97-00 \\ \text { Avg \% } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $2001$ <br> Forecast |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Forecast | Post-Season Assessment | \% | Forecast | Post-Season Assessment | \% | Forecast | Post-Season Assessment | \% | Forecast | Post-Season Assessment | \% |  |  |
| N. Oregon Coastal | 86,653 | 58,542 | 148 | 77,454 | 65,080 | 119 | 72,084 | 66,033 | 109 | 63,222 | 52,888 | 120 | 124 | 61,338 |
| Willamette Spring | 27,400 | 34,500 | 79 | 32,800 | 43,500 | 75 | 46,000 | 52,600 | 87 | 59,900 | 57,500 | 104 | 87 | 61,000 |
| Cowlitz Spring | 1,400 | 1,900 | 74 | 1,500 | 1,100 | 136 | 2,100 | 1,600 | 131 | 2,000 | 1,700 | 118 | 115 | 1,000 |
| Col. Upriver Summer | 16,700 | 28,000 | 60 | 17,300 | 21,500 | 80 | 16,500 | 26,200 | 63 | 33,300 | 30,700 | 108 | 78 | 24,500 |
| Upriver Bright Fall | 166,400 | 164,900 | 101 | 150,800 | 142,300 | 106 | 147,500 | 166,700 | 88 | 171,100 | 152,500 | 112 | 102 | 127,200 |
| Spring Cr Hatch. Fall | 21,900 | 27,400 | 80 | 14,200 | 20,200 | 70 | 65,800 | 49,300 | 133 | 21,900 | 19,600 | 112 | 99 | 56,600 |
| Lewis River Wild Fall | 7,500 | 12,300 | 61 | 8,100 | 7,300 | 111 | 2,600 | 3,300 | 79 | 3,500 | 11,400 | 31 | 70 | 16,700 |
| Nooksack Hatchery | 34,000 | 34,165 | 100 | 28,000 | 29,506 | 95 | 27,000 | 40,855 | 66 | 19,000 | Not Available | - | 87 | 34,900 |
| Skagit Natural | 6,357 | 6,214 | 102 | 6,388 | 14,931 | 43 | 7,600 | 5,187 | 147 | 7,300 | Not Available | - | 97 | 9,100 |
| Stillaguamish Natural | 1,600 | 1,186 | 135 | 1,600 | 1,563 | 102 | 1,550 | 1,104 | 140 | 2,000 | Not Available | - | 126 | 1,700 |
| Snohomish Natural | 5,000 | 9,493 | 53 | 4,200 | 7,950 | 53 | 5,200 | 4,409 | 118 | 6,000 | Not Available | - | 74 | 5,800 |
| Snohomish Hatchery | 2,300 | 3,927 | 59 | 2,700 | 3,974 | 68 | 4,000 | 8,612 | 46 | 6,200 | Not Available | - | 58 | 4,100 |
| WCVI Total | 119,300 | 145,200 | 82 | 105,800 | 183,600 | 58 | 68,400 | 98,400 | 70 | 15,100 | 37,100 | 41 | 63 | 31,000 |
| Harrison Stock ${ }^{1}$ | 80,000 | 76,000 | 105 | 161,700 | 264,200 | 61 | 82,650 | 189,400 | 44 | 220,400 | 195,500 | 113 | 81 | 131,800 |

Table 3.11. Escapement Assessment for stocks with CTC agreed escapement goals (Bold values indicate escapements below goals).

| Stock | Area | Agreed <br> Goals | Point <br> Estimate | $\mathbf{8 5 \%}$ <br> Production | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ <br> Escapement | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ <br> Escapement | Below <br> $\mathbf{8 5 \%} \mathbf{}^{\mathbf{1}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Situk | SEAK | $5,00-1,000$ | 600 | 381 | 1,811 | 2,018 | No |
| Alsek (Klukshu) | SEAK | $1,100-2,300$ | 1,100 | 721 | 2,166 | 1,363 | No |
| Taku | SEAK | $30,000-55,000$ | 35,938 | 25,903 | $\mathbf{2 0 , 5 4 5}$ | 30,014 | No |
| Stikine | SEAK | $14,000-28,000$ | 17,368 | 13,428 | 25,968 | 35,447 | No |
| King Salmon | SEAK | $120-240$ | 150 | 100 | 300 | 137 | No |
| Andrew Creek | SEAK | $650-1,500$ | 850 | 586 | 1,210 | 1,286 | No |
| Unuk (index) | SEAK | $650-1,400$ | 800 | 547 | 680 | 1,341 | No |
| Chickamin (index) | SEAK | $450-900$ | 525 | 351 | 492 | 801 | No |
| Blossom (index) | SEAK | $250-500$ | 300 | 195 | 212 | 231 | No |
| Keta (index) | SEAK | $250-500$ | 300 | 198 | 276 | 300 | No |
| Columbia River Summers | Col River | 17,857 | 17,857 | 11,715 | 23,057 | 27,073 | No |
| Lewis | Col River | 5,700 | 5,700 | 3,721 | $\mathbf{3 , 1 8 4}$ | 8,718 | No |
| Nehalem | NOC | 6,989 | 6,989 | 4,762 | 8,063 | 5,257 | No |
| Siletz | NOC | 2,944 | 2,944 | 1,849 | 4,166 | 4,982 | No |
| Siuslaw Falls | NOC | 12,925 | 12,925 | 9,194 | 29,610 | 12,999 | No |

[^3]
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Appendix A. Relationship between CWT exploitation rate indicator stocks, escapement assessment stocks, model stocks and additional management action stocks.
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Table A.1. Indicator stocks for Southeast Alaska and Transboundary Rivers.

| Area | Annex Stock Group ${ }^{1}$ | Annex <br> Indicator Stocks | Run | Escapement Indicator Stock | Escapement Objective | Model Stock | Esc Goal in Model | ER Stock |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SEAK/TBR |  |  | Spr | Taku | 30,000-55,000 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Spr | Stikine | 14,000-28,000 |  |  |  |
| Yakutat |  |  | Spr | Situk | 500-1,000 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Spr | Alsek | 1,100-2,300 |  |  |  |
| SEAK Northern Inside |  |  | Spr | Chilkat |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Spr | King Salmon | 120-240 | Alaska South SE | 9,110 | Alaska Spring <br> (Little Port Walter, Neets Bay Hatchery, Whitman Lake Hatchery, Carroll Inlet Releases, Deer Mountain Hatchery, Crystal Lake Hatchery) |
| SEAK Central Inside |  |  | Spr | Andrew Creek | 650-1,500 |  |  |  |
| SEAK Southern Inside |  |  | Spr | Unuk | 650-1,400 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Spr | Chickamin | 450-900 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Spr | Blossom | 250-500 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Spr | Keta | 250-500 |  |  |  |

Table A.2. Indicator stocks for Canada.

| Area | Annex Stock Group | Annex Indicator Stocks | Run | Escapement Indicator Stock | Escapement Objective | Model Stock | Esc Goal in Model | ER Stock |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NBC-Area 1 | North / Central <br> British <br> Columbia | Yakoun | Sum | Yakoun | Escapement goal range by stock | North / <br> Central BC | 117,500 | Kitsumkalum <br> Snootli Cr Hat <br> (Atnarko R) <br> Kitimat River |
| NBC-Area 3 |  | Nass | Sp/Su | Nass |  |  |  |  |
| NBC-Area 4 |  | Skeena | Sp/Su | Skeena |  |  |  |  |
| CBC-Area 8 |  |  | Sp | Dean |  |  |  |  |
| CBC-Area 9 |  |  | Sp/Su | Rivers Inlet |  |  |  |  |
| CBC-Area 10 |  |  | Sum | Smith Inlet |  |  |  |  |
| WCVI | West Coast Vancouver Island Falls | (Artlish, Burman, Gold, Kauok, Tahsis, Tashish, Marble Rivers) | Fall | WCVI Aggregate | Escapement goal range for aggregate | WCVI <br> Natural | 42,734 | Robertson Creek |
|  |  |  | Fall |  |  | WCVI Hat | 6,472 |  |
| Fraser River | Fraser Late | Harrison River | Fall | Harrison River | Esc goal | Fraser Late | 98,000 | Chehalis |
|  |  |  | Fall |  |  |  |  | Chilliwack |
|  | Fraser Early | Upper Fraser rivers | Spr | Upper Fraser | Escapement goal range by stock | Fraser Early | 93,700 |  |
|  |  | Mid Fraser rivers | Sp/Su | Mid Fraser |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Thompson rivers | Sum | Thompson |  |  |  |  |
| Upper Strait of Georgia | Upper Strait of Georgia | (Klinaklini, Kakwiekan, Wakeman, Kingcome, Nimpkish) | $\mathrm{Su} / \mathrm{F}$ | Upper Strait of Georgia | Escapement goal range for aggregate | Upper <br> Georgia <br> Strait | 23,300 | Quinsam |
| Lower Strait of Georgia | Lower Strait of Georgia | (Cowichan, Nanaimo rivers) | Fall | Cowichan / <br> Nanaimo | Escapement goal range for aggregate | Lower <br> Georgia Strait Nat | 21,935 | Cowichan |
|  |  |  | Sum/ <br> Fall |  |  | Lower <br> Georgia Strait Hat | 5,318 | Big Qualicum Falls |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Puntledge Summers |

Table A.3. Indicator stocks for Puget Sound.

| Area | Annex Stock Group | Annex Indicator Stocks | Run | Escapement Indicator Stock | Escapement Objective | Model Stock | Esc Goal in Model | ER Stock |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hood Canal |  |  | Fall |  |  |  |  | George Adams |
| Juan de Fuca |  |  | Fall |  |  |  |  | Elwha Fall Fing |
|  | Washington Coastal Fall Naturals | Hoko | Fall | Hoko |  |  |  | Hoko Fingerling |
| North/Central Puget Sound | North PS Nat Springs | Nooksack | Spr | Nooksack | Escapement goal range by stock | Nooksack Spring | 4,000 | Nooksack Spr Y |
|  |  | Skagit | Spr | Skagit spring |  |  |  | Skagit Spr Year |
|  |  |  | Fall |  |  | Nooksack Fall | 11,923 |  |
|  |  |  | Fall |  |  |  |  | Samish Fing |
|  | Puget Sound Natural Summer/ Falls | Stillaguamish | Su/F |  | Escapement goal ranges by stock | Stillaguam. Wild | 2,000 | Stillag. Fall Fing |
|  |  | Snohomish | Su/F |  |  | Snohomish Wild | 5,250 |  |
|  |  | Skagit group | Su/F | Skagit sum/fall |  | Skagit Wild | 9,778 |  |
|  |  | Lake WA | Fall |  |  | PS Nat Fing | 16,966 |  |
|  |  | Green River | Fall |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Fall |  |  |  |  | Nisqually Fing |
|  |  |  | Fall |  |  |  |  | UW Accelerated |
| South Puget Sound |  |  | Fall |  |  | PS Hatchery Fing | 24,769 | S. PS Fall Fing |
|  |  |  | Fall |  |  | PS Hatchery Year | 9,136 | S. PS Fall Year. |
|  |  |  | Fall |  |  |  |  | Squaxin P. Year |
|  |  |  | Spr |  |  |  |  | White R Spr Y |

Table A.4. Indicator stocks for the Washington Coast.

| Area | Annex Stock Group | Annex Indicator Stocks | Run | Escapement Indicator Stock | Escapement Objective | Model Stock | Esc Goal in Model | ER Stock |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WA Coast | Washington Coastal Fall Naturals | Grays Harbor | Fall | Grays Harbor Fall | Escapement goal range by stock | WA Coastal Wild | 21,500 |  |
|  |  | Queets | Fall | Queets Fall |  |  |  | Queets Fingerling |
|  |  | Hoh | Fall | Hoh Fall |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Quillayute | Fall | Quillayute Fall |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Fall |  |  |  |  | Sooes Fingerling |
|  |  |  | Fall |  |  | WA Coastal Hat | 6,703 |  |
|  |  |  | Spr | Grays Harbor Spr |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Sum | Quillayute Summer |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{Sp} / \mathrm{Su}$ | Queets Spr/Sum |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Sum | Hoh Spr/Sum |  |  |  |  |

Table A.5. Indicator stocks for Columbia River and Oregon Coast.

| Area | Annex Stock Group | Annex Indicator Stocks | Run | Escapement Indicator Stock | Escapement Objective | Model Stock | Esc Goal in Model | ER Stock |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Columbia River | Columbia <br> River Falls | Upriver Brights | Fall | Col. Upr. Bright |  | Upriver Brights | 40,000 | Priest Rapids |
|  |  |  | Fall |  |  |  |  | Hanford Wild |
|  |  | Deschutes | Fall | Deschutes |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Lewis River | Fall | Lewis | 5,700 | Lewis R. Wild | 5,700 | Lewis R Wild |
|  |  |  | Fall |  |  | Lyons Ferry Hat | 3,430 | Lyons Ferry |
|  |  |  | Fall |  |  | Mid Col R Bright | 12,500 |  |
|  |  |  | Fall |  |  | Spring Creek Hat | 7,000 | Spring Cr Tule |
|  |  |  | Fall |  |  | Lwr Bonn. Hat | 26,200 | Col Lwr R Hat |
|  |  |  | Fall |  |  | Cowlitz Fall Hat. | 8,800 | Cowlitz Tule |
|  | Columbia R Summers | Mid-Col Summers | Sum | Col Upriver Summer | 17,857 ${ }^{1}$ | Col R Summer | 17,857 | Col R Summer |
|  |  |  | Spr | Col. Upriver Spring |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Spr |  |  | Cowlitz Spr Hat | 2,500 |  |
|  |  |  | Spr |  |  | Willamette R. Hat | 13,500 | Willamette Spr |
| North Oregon | Far North | Nehalem | Fall | Nehalem | 6,989 | Oregon Coast | 62,382 | Salmon River |
| Coast | Migrating OR | Siuslaw | Fall | Siuslaw | 12,925 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Siletz | Fall | Siletz | 2,944 |  |  |  |
| Mid-Oregon Coast |  |  | Fall | Umpqua |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Fall | Coquille |  |  |  |  |

[^4]
## Appendix B. Coded-wire tags used in exploitation rate analyses.
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Table B.1. Tag codes for Alaska Spring.

| BY 78 | BY 79 | BY 80 | BY 81 | BY 82 | BY 83 | BY 84 | BY 85 | BY 86 | BY 87 | BY 88 | BY 89 | BY 90 | BY 91 | BY 92 | BY 93 | BY 94 | BY 95 | BY 96 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 031661 | 031716 | 031753 | 031761 | 031655 | 031826 | 031901 | 031957 | 032027 | 032037 | 030116 | 030218 | 030227 | 030233 | 030234 | 030130 | 030138 | 030142 | 032128 |
| 031703 | 031717 | 031754 | 031762 | 031807 | 031827 | 031902 | 031958 | 032028 | 032038 | 030119 | 030219 | 030228 | 032233 | 030235 | 030131 | 030139 | 030143 | 032301 |
| 031704 | 041917 | 041944 | 031763 | 031808 | 031828 | 031903 | 031959 | 032029 | 032039 | 030121 | 030220 | 030229 | 032234 | 030236 | 030132 | 030140 | 030144 | 036212 |
| 031705 | 041943 | 042121 | 031801 | 031809 | 031829 | 031904 | 031960 | 032030 | 032040 | 030122 | 030221 | 030230 | 032235 | 030237 | 030133 | 030141 | 032051 | 036215 |
| 031706 | 041945 | 042202 | 031802 | 031810 | 031830 | 031905 | 031961 | 032031 | 032041 | 030125 | 030222 | 030231 | 036332 | 030238 | 030134 | 032247 | 032245 | 036234 |
| 031707 | 042039 | 044005 | 031803 | 031811 | 031831 | 031906 | 031962 | 032032 | 032042 | 030216 | 030223 | 030332 | 036335 | 032236 | 030135 | 032248 | 032246 | 036235 |
| 031708 | 042040 |  | 031804 | 031812 | 031832 | 031907 | 031963 | 032033 | 032043 | 030217 | 030224 | 031618 | 036337 | 032237 | 032137 | 032249 | 032257 | 036236 |
| 031709 | 042042 |  | 036303 | 031813 | 031833 | 031908 | 032001 | 032034 | 032044 | 031947 | 030225 | 032216 | 036338 | 032238 | 032242 | 032250 | 032258 | 036239 |
| 031710 | 042043 |  | 036304 | 031814 | 031834 | 031909 | 032002 | 032113 | 032045 | 032138 | 030226 | 032217 | 036339 | 032239 | 032243 | 032251 | 032259 | 036240 |
| 031711 | 042045 |  | 036305 | 031815 | 031835 | 031910 | 032003 | 032114 | 032131 | 032141 | 032052 | 032218 | 036340 | 032240 | 032244 | 032252 | 032260 | 036336 |
| 031712 |  |  | 042222 | 031816 | 031836 | 031911 | 032004 | 032116 | 032132 | 032201 | 032203 | 032219 | 036341 | 032241 | 036209 | 032253 | 032305 | 044624 |
| 031713 |  |  | 042223 | 031817 | 031837 | 031912 | 032005 | 032119 | 032135 | 032202 | 032204 | 032220 | 036342 | 036350 | 036210 | 032254 | 032306 | 044625 |
| 031714 |  |  | 042227 | 031818 | 031838 | 031913 | 032006 | 032121 | 036226 | 036237 | 032205 | 032221 | 036343 | 036351 | 036301 | 032255 | 032307 | 044626 |
| 031715 |  |  | 042229 | 031819 | 031839 | 031914 | 032007 | 032122 | 036228 | 036238 | 032206 | 032222 | 036344 | 036352 | 036357 | 032256 | 032308 | 044662 |
| 041932 |  |  | 042230 | 036306 | 031843 | 031915 | 032008 | 036213 | 036231 | 036329 | 032207 | 032223 | 036345 | 036353 | 036358 | 036217 | 032309 | 044942 |
| 041938 |  |  | B40907 | 036307 | 031844 | 031916 | 032009 | 036214 | 036232 | 036330 | 032208 | 032224 | 036346 | 036354 | 036359 | 036218 | 036224 | 044958 |
| 041939 |  |  | B40908 | 036308 | 031845 | 031917 | 032010 | 036216 | 036319 | 036331 | 032209 | 032225 | 036347 | 036355 | 036360 | 036220 | 036227 | 044959 |
| 041940 |  |  |  | 036309 | 031846 | 031918 | 032011 | 036219 | 036321 | 043247 | 032210 | 032226 | 036348 | 036356 | 036361 | 036223 | 036229 | 044960 |
|  |  |  |  | 042255 | 031847 | 031919 | 032012 | 036221 | 036322 | 043249 | 032211 | 032227 | 036349 | 044049 | 036362 | 044502 | 044242 | 044961 |
|  |  |  |  | 042354 | 031848 | 031920 | 032013 | 036222 | 036323 | 043250 | 032212 | 032228 | 043857 | 044050 | 036363 | 044504 | 044243 | 044962 |
|  |  |  |  | 042355 | 031849 | 031921 | 032014 | 036225 | 036324 | 043252 | 032213 | 032229 | 043858 | 044142 | 044314 | 044543 | 044525 | 045001 |
|  |  |  |  | 042356 | 031850 | 031922 | 032015 | 036310 | 036325 | 043255 | 032214 | 032230 | 043859 | 044143 | 044315 | 044544 | 044526 | 045002 |
|  |  |  |  | 042430 | 031851 | 031923 | 032016 | 036311 | 036326 | 043303 | 032215 | 032231 | 043904 | 044148 | 044407 | 044561 | 044619 | 045003 |
|  |  |  |  | 042431 | 031852 | 031924 | 032017 | 036312 | 036327 | 043304 | 043232 | 032232 | 043905 | 044149 | 044416 | 044562 | 044717 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 031853 | 031925 | 032018 | 036313 | 036328 | 043305 | 043449 | 036333 | 043906 | 044157 | 044417 | 044563 | 044718 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 031854 | 031926 | 032019 | 036314 | 042737 | 043306 | 043450 | 036334 | 043907 | 044223 | 044418 | 044601 | 044737 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 031855 | 031927 | 032101 | 036315 | 042738 | 043319 | 043501 | 042945 | 043933 | 044224 | 044419 | 044602 | 044738 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 031856 | 031928 | 032102 | 036316 | 043027 | 043320 | 043502 | 043701 | 043934 | 044238 | 044420 | 044603 | $044745$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 031857 | 031929 | 032103 | 036317 | 043028 | 043323 | 043504 | 043702 | 043936 | 044239 | 044421 | 044604 | 044746 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 031858 | 031930 | 032104 | 042754 | 043029 | 043324 | 043507 | 043704 | 043937 |  | 044430 | 044610 | 044747 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 031859 | 031931 | 042626 | 042908 | 043030 | $043406$ | 043530 | 043705 | $043938$ |  | 044431 | 044611 | $044754$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 031860 | 031932 | 042628 | 042909 | 043031 | 043407 | 043531 | 043706 | 043939 |  |  |  | $044755$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 031861 | 031933 | 042631 | 042960 | 043032 |  | 043532 | 043707 | 044028 |  |  |  | 044756 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 031862 | 031934 | 042632 | 043101 | 043058 |  | 043533 | 043708 | 044029 |  |  |  | 044757 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 031863 | 031935 | 042633 | 043102 | 043059 |  | 043606 | 043745 | 044101 |  |  |  | 044758 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 040321 | 031936 | 042634 | 043104 | 043141 |  | $043607$ | $043746$ | $044102$ |  |  |  | $044759$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 042463 | 031937 | 042713 | 043107 | 043142 |  | 043608 | 043747 | 044104 |  |  |  | 044760 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 042503 | 031938 | 042731 | 043108 | 043144 |  |  | 043748 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 042511 | 031939 | 042732 |  | 043147 |  |  | 043749 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 042512 | 031940 | 042733 |  | 043149 |  |  | 043750 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 042513 | 031941 | 042825 |  |  |  |  | 043821 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B.1. (page 2 of 2)

| BY 78 | BY 79 | BY 80 | BY 81 | BY 82 | BY 83 | BY 84 | BY 85 | BY 86 | BY 87 | BY 88 | BY 89 | BY 90 | BY 91 | BY 92 | BY 93 | BY 94 | BY 95 | BY 96 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 031942 |  |  |  |  |  | 043822 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 031943 |  |  |  |  |  | 043823 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 031944 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 031945 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 031946 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 031948 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 040329 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 040330 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 040331 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 040332 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 040333 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 040336 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 040342 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 040343 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 040344 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 040345 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 040346 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 040347 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 040348 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 040349 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 040350 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 042321 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 042530 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 042531 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 042534 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 042535 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 042536 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 042537 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 042538 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 042539 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B.2. Tag codes for Kitsumkalum River.

| BY 79 | BY 80 | BY 81 | BY 82 | BY 83 | BY 84 | BY 85 | BY 86 | BY 87 | BY 88 | BY 89 | BY 90 | BY 91 | BY 92 | BY 93 | BY 94 | BY 95 | BY 96 | BY 97 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 021852 | 021951 | 022312 |  | 022758 | 023346 | 023704 | 024414 | 024944 | 026039 | 020940 | 021133 | 023116 | 181046 | 021104 | 180608 | 182339 | 182749 | 182809 |
|  |  | 022313 |  |  | 023347 | 023705 | 024413 | 024941 | 026040 | 020941 | 021134 | 021010 | 181047 | 181423 | 180609 | 182340 | 182750 | 182810 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 023348 | 023706 | 024412 | 024942 | 026041 | 020942 | 021135 | 021011 | 181048 | 181424 | 180640 | 182341 | 182751 | 183308 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 023349 | 023707 | 024411 | 024943 | 026042 | 020943 | 021136 |  | 181049 |  | 180641 | 182342 | 182752 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 023350 |  | 024410 | 025060 | 026043 | 020944 | 021137 |  | 181050 |  | 180642 | 182343 | 182753 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 023351 |  |  | 025061 | 026044 | 020945 | 021138 |  | 181051 |  | 182155 | 182344 | 182754 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 023352 |  |  |  | 026045 | 020946 | 021139 |  | 181052 |  | 182156 | 182345 | 182755 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 023353 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 026137 \\ & 026138 \end{aligned}$ | 021140 |  |  |  | 182157 |  |  |  |

Table B.3. Tag codes for Robertson Creek.

| BY 73 | BY 74 | BY 75 | BY 76 | BY 77 | BY 78 | BY 79 | BY 80 | BY 81 | BY 82 | BY 83 | BY 84 | BY 85 | BY 86 | BY 87 | BY 88 | BY 89 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 020203 | 020606 | 020408 | 021629 | 022217 | 021615 | 021827 | 021661 | 022202 | 022541 | 022662 | 023131 | 023734 | 024256 | 024311 | 025014 | 020151 |
| 020406 | 020906 | 020409 | 021630 | 022218 | 021635 | 021829 |  | 022405 | 082225 | 022663 | 023132 | 023735 | 024257 | 024802 | 025836 | 020152 |
| 020506 | 021206 | 021305 | 021631 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 022708 | 023133 | 023736 | 024361 | 024809 | 025837 | 020153 |
| 020602 | 021406 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 022753 | 023134 | 023737 | 024362 | 024810 | 025838 | 020645 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 082247 | 023135 | 023738 | 024363 | 024951 | 025839 | 020646 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 082248 | 023136 | 023739 | 024401 | 024952 | 026055 | 020647 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 023142 | 023740 |  | 024958 | 026056 | 020648 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 023143 | 023741 |  | 024959 | 026057 | 020948 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 023144 |  |  | 024960 |  | 020949 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 023145 |  |  | 024961 |  | 020950 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 023151 |  |  | 025326 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 023203 |  |  | 025327 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 023204 |  |  | 025328 |  |  |


| BY 90 | BY 91 | BY 92 | BY 93 | BY 94 | BY 95 | BY 96 | BY 97 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 021208 | 180620 | 180259 | 181539 | 181455 | 182226 | 182232 | 182814 |
| 021209 | 180621 | 180260 | 181540 | 181456 | 182227 | 182233 | 182815 |
| 021549 | 180622 | 180261 | 181541 | 181457 | 182228 | 182234 | 182816 |
| 021550 | 180623 | 180262 | 181542 | 181458 | 182229 | 182235 | 182817 |
| 021551 | 180802 | 180624 | 181543 | 181459 | 182230 | 182236 | 183153 |
| 021552 | 180803 | 180625 | 181544 | 181460 | 182231 | 182237 | 183154 |
| 021553 | 180804 | 180626 | 181545 | 182220 | 182502 | 182541 | 183155 |
|  | 180805 | 180627 | 181546 | 182221 | 182503 | 182542 | 183156 |
|  |  |  |  | 182222 | 182504 | 182543 | 183157 |
|  |  |  |  | 182223 | 182505 | 182544 | 183158 |
|  |  |  |  | 182224 | 182506 | 182545 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 182507 | 182546 |  |

Table B.4. Tag codes for Quinsam River.

| BY 74 | BY 75 | BY 76 | BY 77 | BY 78 | BY 79 | BY 80 | BY 81 | BY 82 | BY 83 | BY 84 | BY 85 | BY 86 | BY 87 | BY 88 | BY 89 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 020403 | 020108 | 021916 | 021736 | 021759 | 021757 | 021657 | 022303 | 022518 | 022631 | 023322 | 023522 | 024152 | 024419 | 025814 | 026062 |
|  |  |  | 021737 |  | 021758 | 021943 | 022304 | 022519 | 022632 | 023323 | 023523 | 024153 | 024420 | 025815 | 026063 |
|  |  |  | 021738 |  |  | 021950 |  |  |  | 023324 | 023524 | 024154 | 024421 | 025816 | 026101 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 023325 | 023525 | 024155 | 024956 | 025817 | 026102 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 023326 | 023554 | 024156 | 025358 | 025818 | 020361 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 023327 | 023555 | 024157 | 025359 | 025819 | 020360 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 023328 | 023556 | 024158 | 025360 | 025820 | 020359 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 023329 | 023557 | 024159 | 025361 | 025821 | 020358 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 023330 | 023558 | 024160 | 025362 | 025822 | 020357 |


| BY 90 | BY 91 | BY 92 | BY 93 | BY 94 | BY 95 | BY 96 | BY 97 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 020956 | 180422 | 181150 | 180629 | 181644 | 181658 | 181830 | 183035 |
| 020957 | 180421 | 181151 | 180630 | 181645 | 181659 | 182512 | 183036 |
| 020958 | 180420 | 181152 | 180631 | 181646 | 181660 | 182513 | 183037 |
| 020959 | 180419 | 181153 | 181357 | 181647 | 181661 | 182514 | 183038 |
| 021448 | 180418 | 181154 | 181358 | 181648 | 182016 | 182515 | 183039 |
| 021449 | 180417 | 181155 | 181359 | 181649 | 182017 | 182516 | 183040 |
| 021450 | 180416 | 181156 | 181360 | 181650 | 182018 | 182517 | 183041 |
| 021451 | 180415 | 181157 | 181361 | 181651 | 182020 | 182518 | 183042 |
| 026019 | 021331 | 181158 | 181362 | 181652 | 182021 |  |  |

Table B.5. Tag codes for Puntledge.

| BY 74 | BY 75 | BY 76 | BY 77 | BY 78 | BY 79 | BY 80 | BY 81 | BY 82 | BY 83 | BY 84 | BY 85 | BY 86 | BY 87 | BY 88 | BY 89 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 021402 | 020308 | 021816 | 021634 | 021731 | 021854 | 021947 | 022302 | 022556 | 022710 | 023357 | 023727 | 024701 | 023701 | 026034 | 020809 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 022557 | 022711 | 023358 |  | 024702 |  |  | 020810 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 023359 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 023360 |  |  |  |  |  |


| BY 90 | BY 91 | BY 92 | BY 93 | BY 94 | BY 95 | BY 96 | BY 97 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 180315 | 180817 | 181403 | 181410 | 182138 | 182449 | 182841 | 182843 |
| 180316 | 180816 | 181404 | 181411 | 182139 | 182450 | 182842 | 182844 |
|  | 180815 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 180814 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B.6. Tag codes for Big Qualicum.

| BY 73 | BY 74 | BY 75 | BY 76 | BY 77 | BY 78 | BY 79 | BY 80 | BY 81 | BY 82 | BY 83 | BY 84 | BY 85 | BY 86 | BY 87 | BY 88 | BY 89 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BLRD | 021002 | 020206 | 021716 | 021726 | 021612 | 021824 | 021810 | 022223 | 022543 | 022661 | 023217 | 023742 | 024260 | 024416 | 026010 | 020660 |
| BLRDGN |  |  |  | 021727 | 021613 | 021825 | 021944 | 022306 |  | 022747 | 023320 | 023743 | 024261 | 024742 | 026047 | 020661 |
| BLRDGD |  |  |  |  | 021656 | 021826 |  |  |  | 022748 | 023321 | 023744 | 024262 | 024761 | 026048 | 020662 |
| 021102 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 022824 | 023333 | 023745 | 024263 | 024762 | 026049 | 020663 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 022825 | 023334 | 024047 | 024357 | 024957 | 026050 | 020727 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 022826 | 023335 | 024048 | 024358 | 024962 | 026051 | 020952 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 023336 | 024049 | 024359 | 024963 | 026052 | 020953 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 023337 | 024050 | 024360 | 025001 | 026053 | 020954 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 023338 |  |  |  | 026054 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 023345 |  |  |  | 026323 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 026324 |  |


| BY 90 | BY 91 | BY 92 | BY 93 | BY 94 | BY 95 | BY 96 | BY 97 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 021312 | 180863 | 180406 | 180636 | 181059 | 181516 | 182757 | 183057 |
| 021313 | 180862 | 180407 | 180637 | 181060 | 181517 | 182758 | 183058 |
| 021314 | 180861 | 180408 | 180638 | 181061 | 181519 | 182759 | 183059 |
| 021315 | 021335 | 180409 | 180639 | 181062 | 181653 | 183418 | 183422 |
| 180253 | 021334 | 180410 | 181055 | 182014 | 182347 | 183419 | 183423 |
| 180254 | 021333 | 180411 | 181056 | 182015 | 182348 | 183420 | 183424 |
| 180255 | 021332 | 181103 | 181057 | 182121 | 182349 | 183421 | 183425 |
| 180256 |  | 181104 | 181058 | 182122 | 182350 |  |  |

Table B.7. Tag codes for Cowichan Falls.

| BY 85 | BY 86 | BY 87 | BY 88 | BY 89 | BY 90 | BY 91 | BY 92 | BY 93 | BY 94 | BY 95 | BY 96 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BY 97 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 023803 | 024334 | 024860 | 020352 | 020336 | 180515 | 180210 | 181320 | 181436 | 182026 | 182740 | 182761 |
| 023804 | 024729 | 025012 | 020522 | 020337 | 180516 | 180550 | 181321 | 181437 | 182027 | 182741 | 182762 |
| 023911 | 024730 | 025013 | 020622 | 020338 | 180517 | 181042 | 181322 | 181438 | 182028 | 182742 | 182763 |
|  | 024735 | 025015 | 020623 | 020339 | 180518 | 181044 |  |  | 182029 | 182743 | 182801 |
|  | 024945 | 025016 | 020624 | 020340 |  |  |  |  | 182030 | 182744 | 182802 |
|  | 024946 | 025017 | 020938 | 020341 |  |  |  |  | 182031 | 182745 | 182803 |
|  |  | 025523 | 020939 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 182804 |
|  |  | 025524 | 026103 |  |  |  |  |  | 182805 |  |  |

Table B.8. Tag codes for Chilliwack/Harrison River Fall Stock.

| BY 81 | BY 82 | BY 83 | BY 84 | BY 85 | BY 86 | BY 87 | BY 88 | BY 89 | BY 90 | BY 91 | BY 92 | BY 93 | BY 94 | BY 95 | BY 96 | BY 97 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 022163 | 022422 | 022658 | 023414 | 024101 | 024547 | 025542 | 025747 | 020242 | 180330 | 180334 | 181211 | 181420 | 180211 | 182261 | 182856 | 183353 |
|  |  | 022659 | 023415 |  |  |  | 025748 | 020243 |  | 180332 | 181212 | 181421 | 180212 | 182262 | 182857 | 183354 |
|  |  | 022660 | 023416 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 182123 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 023417 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 182124 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 023418 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 023419 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B.9. Tag codes for South Puget Sound Fall Yearling

| BY 78 | BY 79 | BY 80 | BY 81 | BY 82 | BY 83 | BY 84 | BY 85 | BY 86 | BY 87 | BY 88 | BY 89 | BY 90 | BY 91 | BY 92 | BY 93 | BY 94 | BY 95 | BY 96 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 632004 | 632015 | 632248 | 632147 |  |  |  |  | 634959 | 635502 | 630138 | 633926 | 634257 | 634528 | 635217 | 635721 | 635856 | 635961 | 630146 |
|  | 632019 | 632302 | 632360 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 632054 | 632308 | 632416 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 632055 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 632056 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | H10204 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B. 10 Tag codes for Squaxin Pens Fall Yearling.

| BY 86 | BY 87 | BY 88 | BY 89 | BY 90 | BY 91 | BY 92 | BY 93 | BY 94 | BY 95 | BY 96 | BY 97 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 634162 | 634202 | 635244 | 630455 | 633955 |  | 635218 | 635719 | 635855 | 635962 |  | 630615 |
|  |  |  |  | 634008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B.11. Tag codes for University of Washington Accelerated.

| BY 75 | BY 76 | BY 77 | BY 78 | BY 79 | BY 80 | BY 81 | BY 82 | BY 83 | BY 84 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 110211 | 110116 | 111601 | 111603 | 111627 | 110634 | 111644 | 111655 | 633025 | 111718 |
| 110212 | 110117 | 111602 | 111604 | 111628 | 110635 | 111645 | 111656 |  | 111719 |
| 110213 | 110118 |  | 111605 | 111629 | 110636 | 111646 | 111657 |  | 111720 |
| 110214 | 110119 |  | 111606 | 111630 | 110637 | 111647 | 111658 | 111721 |  |
| 110301 |  |  | 111618 | 111631 | 110638 | 111648 | 111659 |  | 111722 |
| 110302 |  |  | 111624 | 111632 | 110639 | 111649 | 111660 |  | 111723 |
|  |  |  |  | 110640 | 111650 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 110641 | 111651 |  |  |  |  |

Table B.12. Tag codes for Samish Fall Fingerling.

| BY 74 | BY 75 | BY 76 | BY 77 | BY 78 | BY 79 | BY 80 | BY 81 | BY 82 | BY 83 | BY 84 | BY 85 | BY 86 | BY 87 | BY 88 | BY 89 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 011305 | 130302 |  |  |  | 632042 |  |  |  |  |  | 633804 | 634122 | 634732 | 635242 | 630731 |
| 130104 | 130602 |  |  |  | 632101 |  |  |  |  |  | 633805 |  |  |  |  |
| 130215 | 130603 |  |  |  | 632102 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 633806 \\ & 633807 \\ & 634111 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |


| BY 90 | BY 91 | BY 92 | BY 93 | BY 94 | BY 95 | BY 96 | BY 97 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 634025 | 634340 | 635009 | 635543 | 635758 | 636004 | 630129 | 630407 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 630410 |

Table B.13. Tag codes for Stillaguamish Fall Fingerling.


Table B.14. Tag codes for George Adams Fall Fingerling.

| BY 72 | BY 73 | BY 74 | BY 75 | BY 76 | BY 77 | BY 78 | BY 79 | BY 80 | BY 81 | BY 82 | BY 83 | BY 84 | BY 85 | BY 86 | BY 87 | BY 88 | BY 89 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 150812 |  | 130303 | 130913 |  |  | 631752 | 632041 | 632146 | 632235 |  |  |  | 633501 | 634119 | 635208 | 635237 | 630450 |
| 151013 |  |  |  |  |  | 631915 | 632109 | 632262 | 632331 |  |  |  | 633502 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 632161 |  |  |  |  | 633503 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 633504 |  |  |  |  |

[^5]Table B.15. Tag codes for South Puget Sound Fall Fingerling.

| BY 71 | BY 72 | BY 73 | BY 74 | BY 75 | BY 76 | BY 77 | BY 78 | BY 79 | BY 80 | BY 81 | BY 82 | BY 83 | BY 84 | BY 85 | BY 86 | BY 87 | BY 88 | BY 89 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 150010 | 151010 | 151312 | 011403 | 130604 |  |  | 631935 | 631943 | 632145 | 051047 | 051346 | 211622 | 211657 | 211901 | 211961 | 212542 | 213137 | 211831 |
| 150109 | 151012 | 151313 | 011404 |  |  |  | 631936 | 631944 | 632233 | 632256 |  |  |  | 633643 | 634116 | 635221 | 635238 | 630261 |
| 150111 | 151202 |  |  |  |  |  | 631940 |  | 632253 | 632158 |  |  |  | 633644 | 634121 | 635222 | 635262 |  |
| 150114 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 631945 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 633645 |  |  |  |  |
| 150200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 633646 |  |  |  |  |
| 150203 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 634104 |  |  |  |  |
| 150806 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BY 90 | BY 91 | BY 92 | BY 93 | BY 94 | BY 94 | BY 94 | BY 94 | BY 94 | BY 94 | BY 94 | BY 94 | BY 94 | BY 95 | BY 96 | BY 97 |  |  |  |
| 634024 | 634339 | 212326 | 212329 | 635826 | 212653 | 212706 | 212717 | 212728 | 212739 | 212750 | 212761 | 212818 | 212947 | 212963 | 213157 |  |  |  |
| 212014 | 212217 | 634953 | 635318 | 635831 | 212654 | 212707 | 212718 | 212729 | 212740 | 212751 | 212762 | 212820 | 636102 | 630127 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 212634 | 212657 | 212708 | 212719 | 212730 | 212741 | 212752 | 212763 | 212823 | 636103 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 212636 | 212658 | 212709 | 212720 | 212731 | 212742 | 212753 | 212803 | 212824 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 212639 | 212660 | 212710 | 212721 | 212732 | 212743 | 212754 | 212805 | 212829 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 212640 | 212663 | 212711 | 212722 | 212733 | 212744 | 212755 | 212806 | 212830 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 212643 | 212701 | 212712 | 212723 | 212734 | 212745 | 212756 | 212809 | 212833 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 212645 | 212702 | 212713 | 212724 | 212735 | 212746 | 212757 | 212810 | 212834 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 212646 | 212703 | 212714 | 212725 | 212736 | 212747 | 212758 | 212812 | 212836 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 212648 | 212704 | 212715 | 212726 | 212737 | 212748 | 212759 | 212815 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 212651 | 212705 | 212716 | 212727 | 212738 | 212749 | 212760 | 212817 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B.16. Tag codes for Nisqually Fall Fingerling.

| BY 79 | BY 80 | BY 81 | BY 82 | BY 83 | BY 84 | BY 85 | BY 86 | BY 87 | BY 88 | BY 89 | BY 90 | BY 91 | BY 92 | BY 93 | BY 94 | BY 95 | BY 96 | BY 97 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 050722 | 050839 | 051048 | 051344 | 211628 | 211706 | 211759 | 211962 | 212541 | 213138 | 211836 | 211833 | 212206 | 212323 | 212450 | 212606 | 212946 | 212957 | 212956 |
|  | 050840 | 051049 | 051345 | 211629 | 211707 | 211761 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 635630 | 636352 |  |

Table B.17. Tag codes for Elwha Fall Fingerling.

| BY 82 | BY 83 | BY 84 | BY 85 | BY 86 | BY 87 | BY 88 | BY 89 | BY 90 | BY 91 | BY 92 | BY 93 | BY 94 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 051363 | 211616 | 211658 | 211919 | 212208 |  | 213132 | 211827 | 212015 | 212215 | 212324 | 212451 | 212617 |
| 632721 | 633038 | 633419 | 211920 |  |  |  | 211828 |  |  |  |  | 212618 |
| 632722 | 633039 | 633420 | 211921 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 635332 |
|  |  |  | 633543 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 633544 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 633547 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 633548 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B.18. Tag codes for Hoko Fall Fingerling

| BY 85 | BY 86 | BY 87 | BY 88 | BY 89 | BY 90 | BY 91 | BY 92 | BY 93 | BY 94 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 211935 | 212216 | 211907 |  | 211829 | 212018 | 212218 | 212327 | 212453 | 212609 |

Table B.19. Tag codes for Skagit Spring Yearling.


Table B.20. Tag codes for Nooksack Spring Yearling.

| BY 81 | BY 82 | BY 83 | BY 84 | BY 85 | BY 86 | BY 87 | BY 88 | BY 89 | BY 90 | BY 91 | BY 92 | BY 93 | BY 94 | BY 95 | BY 96 | BY 97 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 632411 | 632546 |  | 633452 |  | 633247 | 634962 | 634422 | 635261 | 634123 |  | 634529 | 635018 | 635815 | 636048 | 635533 | 630604 |
|  |  |  | 633453 |  | 633248 | 635059 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 635830 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 633336 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 635835 |  |  |  |

Table B.21. Tag codes for White River Spring Yearling.


Table B.22. Tag codes for Sooes Fall Fingerling.

| BY 85 | BY 86 | BY 87 | BY 88 | BY 89 | BY 90 | BY 91 | BY 92 | BY 93 | BY 94 | BY 95 | BY 96 | BY 97 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 051744 | 051907 | 051950 |  | 051955 | 052353 | 052822 | 053131 | 053133 | 053753 | 054048 | 054052 | 054054 |
| 051745 |  |  |  |  | 052354 | 052823 | 053132 | 053134 | 053754 | 054049 | 054053 | 054055 |
| 051746 |  |  |  |  | 052355 | 052824 |  | 053519 | 053755 | 054050 |  | 055034 |
| 051747 |  |  |  |  | 052356 | 052825 |  | 053520 | 053756 | 054051 |  | 055035 |

Table B.23. Tag codes for Queets Fall Fingerling.

| BY 77 | BY 78 | BY 79 | BY 80 | BY 81 | BY 82 | BY 83 | BY 84 | BY 85 | BY 86 | BY 87 | BY 88 | BY 89 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 050361 | 050520 | 050661 | 050830 | 050962 | 051425 | 211621 |  | 211908 | 212101 | 212835 | 213144 | 211835 |
|  | 050521 |  | 050833 | 051016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 050522 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 050525 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BY 90 | BY 91 | BY 92 | BY 93 | BY 94 | BY 95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 212010 | 212260 | 212328 | 212452 | 212425 | 212948 | 212961 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 212624 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B.24. Tag codes for Cowlitz Tule.

|  | BY 77 | BY 78 | BY 79 | BY 80 | BY 81 | BY 82 | BY 83 | BY 84 | BY 85 | BY 86 | BY 87 | BY 88 | BY 89 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 631802 | 631942 | 632154 | 632156 | 632462 | 632503 | 633019 | 633235 | 634108 | 634126 | 635231 | 635250 | 630452 |
|  |  |  |  | 632255 |  |  | 633020 | 633236 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 633124 | 633237 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 633125 | 633238 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | BY 90 | BY 91 | BY 92 | BY 93 | BY 94 | BY 95 | BY 96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 634056 | 634526 | 635015 | 635539 | 635620 | 636005 | 630224 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ш |  |  |  |  | 635523 | 635851 | 630227 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B.25. Tag codes for Spring Creek Tule.

| BY 72 | BY 73 | BY 74 | BY 75 | BY 76 | BY 77 | BY 78 | BY 79 | BY 80 | BY 81 | BY 82 | BY 83 | BY 84 | BY 85 | BY 86 | BY 87 | BY 88 | BY 89 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 050101 | 050401 | 050901 | 050202 | 054101 | 055501 | 050433 | 050639 | 050740 | 051050 | 051142 | 051151 | 051534 | B50109 | 051855 | 051445 | 052013 | 052207 |
| 050201 | 050501 | 051001 | 050302 | 054201 | 055601 | 050434 | 050640 | 050741 | 051051 | 051143 | 051152 | 051535 | B50110 | 051856 | 051449 | 052015 | 052208 |
| 050301 | 050601 | 051101 | 050402 | 054401 | 055701 | 050444 | 050641 | 050742 | 051052 |  |  | 051536 | B50111 | 051857 | 051450 | 052016 | 052209 |
|  |  | 051201 | 050502 | 054501 | 056001 | 050446 |  | 050748 |  |  |  | 051537 | B50112 | 051858 | 051451 | 052017 | 052210 |
|  |  | 051301 | 050602 | 054601 | 056201 |  |  | 050749 |  |  |  | 051538 | B50113 | 051859 | 051659 | 052018 | 052211 |
|  |  | 051401 | 050702 |  |  |  |  | 050750 |  |  |  | 051539 | B50114 | 051860 | 051660 | 052019 | 052212 |
|  |  |  | 050802 |  |  |  |  | 050751 |  |  |  |  | B50115 | 051861 | 051661 | 052020 | 052213 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B50208 | 051862 | 051662 | 052021 | 052214 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B50209 | 051863 | 051910 | 052023 | 052215 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 051905 | 051912 | 052024 | 052216 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 051906 | 051913 | 052025 | 052217 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 051909 | 051914 | 052032 | 052218 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 051923 | 052033 | 052335 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 051924 | 052336 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 051925 |  |



Table B.26. Tag codes for Oregon Columbia River Tule.

| BY 76 | BY 77 | BY78 | BY 79 | BY 80 | BY 81 | BY 82 | BY 83 | BY 84 | BY 85 | BY 86 | BY 87 | BY 88 | BY 89 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 091605 | 071656 | 071841 | 072055 | 072156 | 072407 | 072328 | 073120 | 073352 | 073818 | 074050 | 074526 | 075012 | 075218 |
|  |  | 071842 | 072157 | 072329 | 072408 | 072729 | 073121 | 073353 | 073819 | 074051 | 074527 | 075015 | 075219 |
|  |  |  | 072163 | 072341 | 072411 | 072730 | 073144 | 073354 | 073820 | 074052 | 074528 | 075017 | 075220 |
|  |  |  |  | 072342 | 072662 | 072830 | 073145 | 073355 | 073821 | 074053 | 074529 | 075018 | 075221 |
|  |  |  |  | 072335 |  | 072831 | 073146 | 073356 | 073822 | 074054 | 074530 | 075020 | 075222 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 072832 | 073147 | 073323 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 072833 | 073148 | 073322 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 072834 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| BY 90 | BY 91 | BY 92 | BY 93 | BY 94 | BY 95 | BY 96 | BY 97 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 075227 | 071601 | 070221 | 076143 | 070852 | 070544 | 071251 | 092121 |
| 075228 | 071602 | 070222 | 070234 | 070432 | 070545 |  | 092448 |
| 075229 | 071603 | 070223 | 070235 | 075812 | 071144 |  |  |
| 075230 | 071604 | 070224 | 070516 |  |  |  |  |
| 075231 | 075905 | 075942 | 070517 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 076020 | 070518 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 075657 | 070519 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 075658 | 070520 |  |  |  |  |

Table B.27. Tag codes for Columbia Upriver Bright.

| BY 75 | BY 76 | BY 77 | BY 78 | BY 79 | BY 80 | BY 81 | BY 82 | BY 83 | BY 84 | BY 85 | BY 86 | BY 87 | BY 88 | BY 89 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 130713 | 631662 | 631741 | 631821 | 631948 | 632155 | 632252 | 632611 | 632859 | 633221 | 634102 | 634128 | 635226 | 635249 | 630732 |
| 131101 |  | 631745 |  |  | 632261 | 632456 | 632612 | 632860 | 633222 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 131202 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BY 90 | BY 91 | BY 92 | BY 93 | BY 94 | BY 95 | BY 96 | BY 97 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 634057 | 634341 | 635010 | 635540 | $\begin{aligned} & 635710 \\ & 635711 \end{aligned}$ | 636001 | 636328 | 630517 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B.28. Tag codes for Hanford Wild.

| BY 86 | BY 87 | BY 88 | BY 89 | BY 90 | BY 91 | BY 92 | BY 93 | BY 94 | BY 95 | BY 96 | BY 97 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 634152 | 635232 | 635252 | 630755 | 634115 | 634527 | 635017 | 635704 | 635759 | 636116 | 630133 | 630603 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 636117 |  |  |  |

Table B.29. Tag codes for Lewis River Wild.

| BY 77 | BY 78 | BY 79 | BY 80 | BY 81 | BY 82 | BY 83 | BY 84 | BY 85 | BY 86 | BY 87 | BY 88 | BY 89 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 631611 | 631813 | 632123 |  |  | 632737 | 633126 | 633411 | 633821 | 634151 | 635061 | 630456 | 631350 |
| 631618 | 631858 | 632124 |  |  | 632738 | 633127 | 633412 | 633822 | 634153 | 635062 |  |  |
| 631619 | 631859 | 632125 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 631902 | 632207 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 631920 | 632208 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 632002 | 632214 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 632213 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BY 90 | BY 91 | BY 92 | BY 93 | BY 94 | BY 95 | BY 96 | BY 97 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 634217 | 634206 | 634940 | 635157 | 635627 | 635738 | 630343 | 630303 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 635663 | 636059 |  | 630355 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 630356 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 630519 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 630520 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B.30. Tag codes for Lyons Ferry.

|  | BY 84 | BY 85 | BY 86 | BY 87 | BY 88 | BY 89 | BY 90 | BY 91 | BY 92 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 633226 | 633638 | 634259 | 635214 | 630226 | 635544 | 634143 |  | 635012 |
| $\boldsymbol{A}$ | 633227 | 633639 | 634261 | 635216 | 630228 | 635547 | 634160 |  |  |
|  | 633228 | 633640 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 633641 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B.31. Tag codes for Willamette Spring.

| BY 75 | BY 76 | BY 77 | BY 78 | BY 79 | BY 80 | BY 81 | BY 82 | BY 83 | BY 84 | BY 85 | BY 86 | BY 87 | BY 88 | BY 89 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 090503 | 091621 | 071730 | 071925 | 072217 | 072237 | 072521 | 072863 | 072902 | 073651 | 073428 | 074962 | 075028 | 073721 | 075347 |
| 090504 | 091622 | 071731 | 071945 | 072218 | 072418 | 072720 | 072905 | 073024 | 073652 | 073429 | 075002 | 075038 | 075158 | 075348 |
| 090505 | 091626 | 071732 | 071946 | 072224 | 072422 |  | 072930 |  | 073653 | 073902 | 075004 | 075041 | 075159 | 075501 |
| 090506 | 091627 | 071737 | 072020 | 072225 | 072517 |  |  |  | 073654 | 073903 | 075013 | 075047 | 075160 | 075502 |
| 090507 | 091628 | 071743 | 072021 | 072226 | 072518 |  |  |  | 073655 | 073944 |  | 075049 | 075161 | 075504 |
| 090509 | 091629 | 071919 | 072022 | 072252 | 072528 |  |  |  | 073656 | 073945 |  | 075050 | 075162 | 075506 |
|  | 091701 | 071920 | 072044 | 072253 | 072529 |  |  |  | 073663 | 073948 |  |  | 075163 | 075514 |
|  | 091702 | 071921 | 072050 | 072254 | 072530 |  |  |  | 073701 | 073949 |  |  | 075206 | 075515 |
|  | 091703 | 071926 | 072051 |  |  |  |  |  | 073702 | 073950 |  |  | 075207 | 075516 |
|  |  | 071927 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 073729 | 073951 |  |  | 075208 | 075522 |
|  |  | 071928 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 073730 | 073952 |  |  | 075210 | 075523 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 073731 | 073953 |  |  | 075211 | 075524 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 073732 |  |  |  |  | 075525 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 073733 |  |  |  |  | 075526 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 073734 |  |  |  |  | 075527 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 073735 |  |  |  |  | 075528 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 073736 |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{1}}$ | BY 90 | BY 91 | BY 92 | BY 93 | BY 94 | BY 95 | BY 96 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 075021 | 071457 | 070133 | 070233 | 070445 | 070741 | 092120 |
|  | 075626 | 071458 | 070134 | 070442 | 070450 | 071254 | 092155 |
|  | 075627 | 071459 | 070240 | 070443 | 070855 | 071255 | 092156 |
|  | 075628 | 073722 | 070253 | 070444 | 070856 | 071256 | 092157 |
|  | 075630 | 075732 | 070254 | 070563 | 070857 | 071257 | 092158 |
|  | 075643 | 075734 | 070428 | 070616 | 070858 | 071259 | 092160 |
|  | 075644 | 075904 | 070430 | 070850 | 070860 | 071260 | 092240 |
|  | 075656 | 075921 | 070431 | 070851 | 070861 | 071317 | 092241 |
|  | 075710 | 075922 | 071535 | 076125 | 070862 | 076140 | 092242 |
|  | 075711 | 075933 | 071536 | 076338 | 071153 | 091803 | 092243 |
|  |  | 075934 | 076121 |  |  | 091804 | 092244 |
|  |  | 076114 | 076122 |  |  |  | 092245 |
|  |  | 076115 | 076123 |  |  |  | 092248 |
|  |  | 076116 |  |  |  |  | 092250 |
|  |  | 076117 |  |  |  |  | 092251 |
|  |  | 076118 |  |  |  |  | 092319 |
|  |  | 076119 |  |  |  |  | 092320 |

Table B.32. Tag codes for Salmon River.

| BY 77 | BY 78 | BY 79 | BY 80 | BY 81 | BY 82 | BY 83 | BY 84 | BY 85 | BY 86 | BY 87 | BY 88 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BY 89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 071643 | 071849 | 072239 | 072504 |  | 072647 | 072726 | 073051 | 073329 | 073342 | 074629 | 075131 |
| 071644 | 071850 | 072240 | 072505 |  |  |  | 073052 | 073330 | 074321 | 074635 | 075132 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 075459 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 074322 | 074636 | 075133 | 075460 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 074323 | 074637 | 075134 | 075461 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| BY 90 | BY 91 | BY 92 | BY 93 | BY 94 | BY 95 | BY 96 | BY 97 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 075705 | 071559 | 070417 | 070459 | 070962 | 071252 | 092149 | 092447 |
| 075706 | 071560 | 070418 | 070460 |  |  |  |  |
| 075707 | 071561 | 070419 | 070461 |  |  |  |  |
| 075708 | 071562 | 070420 | 070462 |  |  |  |  |
| 075709 | 071563 | 070421 | 070463 |  |  |  |  |

Appendix C. Stocks and fisheries included in the chinook model.

| STOCK \# | STOCK | FISHERY \# | FISHERY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Alaska South SE | 1 | Alaska T |
| 2 | North/Centr | 2 | North T |
| 3 | Fraser Early | 3 | Centr T |
| 4 | Fraser Late | 4 | WCVI T |
| 5 | WCVI Hatchery | 5 | WA/OR T |
| 6 | WCVI Natural | 6 | Geo St T |
| 7 | Georgia St. Upper | 7 | Alaska N |
| 8 | Georgia St. Lwr Nat | 8 | North N |
| 9 | Georgia St. Lwr Hat | 9 | Centr N |
| 10 | Nooksack Fall | 10 | WCVI N |
| 11 | Pgt Sd Fing | 11 | J De F N |
| 12 | Pgt Sd NatF | 12 | PgtNth N |
| 13 | Pgt Sd Year | 13 | PgtSth N |
| 14 | Nooksack Spring | 14 | Wash Cst N |
| 15 | Skagit Wild | 15 | Col R N |
| 16 | Stillaguamish Wild | 16 | John St N |
| 17 | Snohomish Wild | 17 | Fraser N |
| 18 | WA Coastal Hat | 18 | Alaska S |
| 19 | UpRiver Brights | 19 | Nor/Cen S |
| 20 | Spring Creek Hat | 20 | WCVI S |
| 21 | Lwr Bonneville Hat | 21 | Wash Ocn S |
| 22 | Fall Cowlitz Hat | 22 | PgtNth S |
| 23 | Lewis R Wild | 23 | PgtSth S |
| 24 | Willamette R | 24 | Geo St S |
| 25 | Spr Cowlitz Hat | 25 | Col R S |
| 26 | Col R Summer |  |  |
| 27 | Oregon Coast |  |  |
| 28 | WA Coastal Wild |  |  |
| 29 | Lyons Ferry |  |  |
| 30 | Mid Col R Brights |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
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## Oregon Coastal North Migrating

Forecasts of spawning escapements are made for an aggregate of chinook salmon populations from 7 major river systems on the North Oregon Coast. River systems in the aggregate include: Nehalem, Tillamook, Nestucca, Siletz, Yaquina, Alsea, and Siuslaw. Annual escapement estimates are made through expansions of fish density indices (peak live+dead fish per mile) observed at standard survey sites in each river basin. These river specific indices are adjusted for observation efficiency and for bias. The abundance for each river is then estimated by multiplying the index by the assumed amount of spawning habitat in the river. The annual aggregate escapement estimate is the sum of the escapements of the seven rivers. Forecast for the forthcoming year is merely the average escapement of the previous three years for the aggregate.

The 2001 forecast is 66,142 .

## Columbia River Stocks

The upriver brights (URB) and lower river wild (LRW) are primarily naturally produced stocks while the Spring Creek stock is hatchery (SCH) tule. The tule stocks generally mature at an earlier age than the natural fall stocks and do not migrate as far north. Minor stocks include lower river bright (LRB).

## Willamette Spring

The current year forecast was made from a mix of average age-specific run sizes and age-specific linear regressions of cohort returns. The recent 5 -year average age- 3 run size was used as the age- 3 forecast. Brood years 1975-1996 and brood years 1975-1995 were used in the regressions of age 4 on age 3 and age 5 on age 4 , respectively.

## Cowlitz Spring

The current year forecast was made using age-specific linear regressions of cohort returns in previous years. Brood years 1989-1996 and brood years 1987-1995 were used in the regressions of age 4 on age 3 and age 5 on age 4 , respectively.

## Upriver Summer

The 2001 forecast was based the recent 5 -year average run size of adults at the Columbia River mouth. The 5-year average adult:jack ratio method in use between 1994 and 2000 was abandoned this year as a poor predictor.

## Upriver Brights

The current year forecast was made using a mix of age-specific average cohort ratios and cohort regressions. Data from brood years 1979-1997 (excluding 1980) was used in the regression of age 3 on age 2, brood years 1981-1996 in the regression of age 4 on age 3, brood years 1978-1995 in the regression of age 5 on age 4, and brood years 1979-1994 in the regression of age 6 on age 5 .

The preliminary forecast for 2001 ocean escapement is 127,200 adults, compared to the recent 5 -year range of 142,300 to 164,900 adults. The 1996-2000 average forecast error is $+2 \%$ with a range of $62 \%$ to $112 \%$.

## Spring Creek Hatchery

The current year forecast was made using a mix of age-specific average cohort ratios and cohort regressions. Data from brood years 1979-1997 (excluding 1980) was used in the regression of age 3 on age 2, brood years 1981-1996 in the age-3:4 cohort ratio, and brood years 1977-1995 in the age-4:5 cohort ratio.

Ocean escapement in 2001 is projected to be 56,600 adults, more than double the 2000 actual return of 20,100 adults and above the recent 5 -year average. The average 5 -year forecast error is $-1 \%$ with a range of $70 \%$ to $133 \%$.

## $\underline{\text { Lewis River Wild }}$

The current year forecast was made using a mix of age-specific average cohort ratios and cohort regressions. Data from brood years 1993-1997 was used in the age-2:3 cohort ratio, brood years 19921996 in the age-3:4 cohort ratio, brood years 1991-1995 in the regression of age 5 on ages 3 and 4, and brood years 1990-1994 in the age-5:6 cohort ratio.

Ocean escapement in 2001 is forecast at 16,700 adults, an improvement over the recent 5 -year range of 2,600 to 8,800 . The average forecast error is $-29 \%$ with a range of $61 \%$ to $166 \%$.

## Puget Sound Stocks

Methodologies for pre-season forecasts are described in joint WDFW-Tribal annual Puget Sound management reports (starting in 1993, reports are available by Puget Sound management unit, not by individual species).

## Nooksack River Spring

North Fork Spring Chinook (natural origin and acclimated fish)—average return rates of the most recent age classes times parent brood of acclimation releases and natural escapements. Separate estimates made for natural origin and cultured origin.

North Fork spring chinook (hatchery)—Average of 1996-2000 age class return rates times the appropriate brood year releases.

South Fork spring chinook (natural origin)—Average recruit (BY+4) per spawner (.97) for years 19881999.

## Nooksack/Samish Fall

Summer/fall chinook (hatchery) - 1999-2000 average return rate (0.0037) times 1997 brood release ( $9,306,706$ fingerlings) from Mamoya Pond, Lummi Sea Ponds, Samish Hatchery, and Maritime Heritage Center.

The hatchery return in 2001 is projected to be 34,900. This is greater than any return since 1996.

## Skagit River

Spring Chinook (wild) - The wild spring chinook forecast is based on mean cohort return rate for each age class multiplied by the appropriate brood year escapement. The mean return rates are calculated from scale samples in the terminal fisheries and escapement sampling for the 1990-2000 return years. Age classes include both fingerling and yearling types for ages 2-6. The natural return in 2001 is projected to be 890 .

Spring Chinook (hatchery) - The hatchery spring chinook forecast is based on the return per release of hatchery yearlings. In addition, return of fingerlings released is based on Nooksack return rates by age from the last 5 years, due to the lack of Skagit return data. Yearling estimate derived by multiplying brood year release $(142,380)$ multiplied by the average return/release of brood years $85-95(0.0054)$ to yield 765 adults. Fingerling forecast derived by estimating each returning age class by multiplying appropriate age class release multiplied by the average return rate to yield 667 adults. Total hatchery return is predicted to be 1,432 adults.

Summer/Fall Chinook (Wild) - Forecast year age-specific estimates are based on mean cohort return rate for each age class multiplied by the appropriate brood year escapement. The methodology is the same as that used for wild spring Chinook. The natural return in 2001 is projected to be 9,100 . This is greater than any return since 1996.

## Stillaguamish River

Summer Chinook (natural origin) - The wild summer chinook forecast is based on recruits per spawner of natural escapement for each age cohort multiplied by the geometric mean of estimated marine survival and freshwater indices. The recruit per spawner estimates are based on CWT analysis for brood years 1986-1993 for North Fork data only but is applied to the entire system. The expected escapements with zero fishing are calculated by multiplying the cohort forecasts times the geometric mean of the marine survival indices times the geometric mean of the freshwater indices. This calculation is equivalent to multiplying the escapement by the geometric mean of the estimated recruits per spawner. The marine survival and freshwater survival components are separated to incorporate deviations from average conditions. For 2001 the average rates for both indices were used. The freshwater indices are calculated by dividing the AEQ recruits per spawner for naturally-produced divided by the marine index. The AEQ values used are the same as CTC values. The marine indices are an average computed for all of Puget Sound using CWT analysis. The natural return in 2001 is projected to be 1,741 .

Summer Chinook (hatchery origin, supplemented) - The forecast is calculated by multiplying the release numbers by the geometric mean of survival rates. Marked and unmarked fish are calculated separately to facilitate modeling of mark selective fisheries.

## $\underline{\text { Snohomish River }}$

Summer/fall Chinook (natural) - The forecast is based on the same assumptions for recruit per spawner and survival indices developed for Stillaguamish wild chinook because no specific information for Snohomish exists.

Summer/fall Chinook (hatchery) - The forecast is based on average adult returns from the Puget Sound run reconstruction (4B run) per pound released because no CWT data exists for either yearlings or fingerlings specific to Snohomish. The run reconstruction methodology assumes that all fish are age 4.

The natural and hatchery returns are projected to be 5,800 and 4,100 respectively. The natural return is slightly above the recent five year average, while the hatchery return is less than the five year average.

## Southern Puget Sound Stocks

Southern Puget Sound fall chinook stocks include hatchery fingerling, hatchery yearling, and natural production. These stocks aggregate production from South Puget Sound (south of the Snohomish River), Hood Canal and the eastern Strait of Juan de Fuca. Forecasts are produced jointly by WDFW and the western Washington treaty tribes using a variety of methods. Most of the forecasts are based on one or more of 4 methods: 1) average run size from some recent historic period, 2) hatchery releases, in pounds or numbers, from the brood year making the largest contribution to the forecast run (usually 4-years earlier) multiplied by an average rate of return-per-release, 3) spawning escapement in the predominant brood year multiplied by an average rate of return-per-spawner, or 4) hatchery releases multiplied by average rates of return-at-age, summed over the broods contributing to the forecast.

## $\underline{\text { Puget Sound Fall Fingerling }}$

Puget Sound Fall Fingerling stock is an aggregate of fall chinook fingerling hatchery production from South Puget Sound and Hood Canal. Terminal fisheries targeting hatchery production subject Puget Sound and Hood Canal stocks to different exploitation rates. To account for these differences, forecasts are generated separately for each hatchery prior to aggregation. Forecast methods vary, but include:

Elwha - 1997-1999 average terminal run expanded to 4B run size.
Hood Canal - 1997 brood hatchery (George Adams and Hoodsport) fingerling releases (lbs) multiplied by 1996-2000 average return/lb released. Terminal area forecast was expanded by the 1996-1999 average ratio of 4 B run size to terminal run.

Puyallup - 1997 brood on station hatchery fingerling releases multiplied by the 1994-1999 mean return/number released.

Chambers Creek - 1997 lbs of hatchery fingerlings released multiplied by 1990-1999 average returns/lb released.

Nisqually - 1997 brood hatchery fingerlings released multiplied by 1995-1999 average return/fingerling.

McAllister Creek - 1997 brood year hatchery fingerling releases multiplied by the 1984-1999 mean return per hatchery release.

Deschutes - average of 1997 brood year hatchery fingerling releases (numbers) multiplied by the 19831999 average return/fingerling release, and 1997 brood year hatchery fingerling releases (lbs) multiplied by 1980-1999 average return/lb released.

Coulter Creek - 1994-1999 average run size.
Carr Inlet - average of 1997 brood year hatchery releases in lbs and numbers multiplied by 1980-1999 average returns per hatchery release.

Grovers Creek - sum of 1996 to 1998 brood year releases multiplied by their 1978-1996 brood year average returns at age 3,4 , and 5 .

## Puget Sound Natural Fall

Puget Sound Natural Fall stock includes natural production from rivers tributary to South Puget Sound and Hood Canal.

Dungeness - Average of 1997-2000 terminal area run size.
Hoko - Average 1997-2000 terminal area run size.
Hood Canal - Forecast is based on the 1997 releases of chinook fingerlings from Hoodsport and George Adams hatcheries multiplied by the 1996-2000 average return to natural return to natural spawning areas per hatchery release.

Puyallup - 1997 spawning escapement multiplied by the 1994-1998 average return per spawner.
Nisqually - average run size from 1995-1999, or 1997 escapement multiplied by 1995-1999 average return per spawner.

## Puget Sound Fall Yearling

Puget Sound Fall Yearling stock include production of hatchery production of fall yearlings aggregated for South Puget Sound and Hood Canal.

McAllister Creek - 1997 and 1998 brood year hatchery yearling releases multiplied by the 1994 and 1995 brood year average age-4 and age-3 returns per yearling release respectively.

## Canadian Stocks

## Fraser Late

The abundance forecast for the Fraser Late stock consists of two forecasts summed to predict the total return of lower Fraser River fall chinook. One population is the naturally spawning stock in the Harrison River (includes a relatively small return to the Chehalis Hatchery tributary to the Harrison). A mark-
recapture program has monitored the spawning escapement to the Harrison River since 1984 (reported annually in the CTC annual reports). The other population has developed from the transplant of Harrison River white fall chinook to the Chilliwack Hatchery and the subsequent development of a naturally spawning component in the Chilliwack River. Tagging of the Chilliwack Hatchery fall chinook has been used as an exploitation rate indicator stock associated with the Harrison River natural population.

Harrison River forecasts: For several years, the forecasts of Harrison chinook salmon had been based on sibling regressions of age-structured terminal runs (e.g., the terminal run expected in year $t+1$ for age $x+1$ based on the terminal run of age $x$ chinook salmon observed in year $t$ ). Terminal runs consist of Area 29 Fraser River commercial gillnet catch, in-river sport catch, and spawning escapement. Historical relationships between age-4 to age-3 returns and age-5 to age-4 returns have been good (Figures 2.1 and 2.2). The line on each graph is the regression relationship for the first ten or eleven years of the Harrison data (1984-1994, diamond symbols) and the circles indicate the recent six years of data (1995-2000, not included in the regressions). During this latter period, the mean absolute percent error for the combined forecast of age-4 plus age- 5 returns has been $40 \%$.

These simple regression models, however, have two significant problems: (a) they assume a consistent relationship between terminal runs over time, i.e., constant ocean exploitation rates, and (b) they do not provide a prediction for the Age 3 chinook return. A prediction of Age 3 chinook would require a consistent estimate of Age 2 terminal runs. Previous to five years ago, CDFO did not attempt to quantitatively estimate the returns of age- 2 male chinook (Jacks) due to the difficulty of getting adequate numbers of tag recoveries. Since 1996, however, mark-recapture programs have been conducted on both Jack and age-3+ chinook populations.

Relationship of Harrison River age-3 and age-4 chinook salmon.


During 2000, the problems noted above lead CDFO to develop a new forecasting model for Harrison River chinook. This model incorporates the terminal run at age data, exploitation rates by age and brood year (calculated for Chilliwack stock), and the recent estimates of age-2 Jack chinook. Ocean abundance at age is reconstructed from these data and regressed against the terminal run data from the previous year. The age-3 ocean cohort was estimated based on the terminal run of Jack chinook, and the average age-atmaturity observed for age-2 chinook in the past three complete brood years.

Relationship of Harrison River age-4 and age-5 chinook salmon.


Chilliwack River forecasts: Forecasts of expected ocean abundance of Chilliwack fall chinook were developed from the CWT data used for the exploitation rate analyses. Sibling regression models were developed using estimated (observed recovery expanded by the catch/sample ratio) coded-wire tag recoveries in the fisheries and spawning escapement. The slope of these age-specific regressions were then applied to the terminal run size at age $x$ (includes hatchery and naturally produced chinook) in year $t$ to predict the ocean abundance of age $x+1$ in year $t+1$.

Combined Spreadsheet model: The estimates of age-specific ocean abundance for Chilliwack and Harrison chinook are combined in a spreadsheet model analogous to the model developed for the Robertson Creek ( RCH ) chinook salmon stock. Terminal runs at age, expected in the next year, are then predicted based on changes in ocean exploitation rates and maturation rates at age.

One notable difference from the RCH model, however, is that only the ocean production vs. terminal run models are applicable for the FRL forecasts since catch and coded-wire tag data will not be available from the past year's fisheries in Washington State. Consequently, the regression models only include brood years with complete recovery data and the independent variable (terminal run at age) is limited to returns within the Fraser River. This latter is available within the time required for these annual forecasts.

Expected error for the new procedure has not been modeled. Based on our experience with the RCH data, however, we expect the error to be less than the terminal-to-terminal regression models previously applied.

## West Coast Vancouver Island

The abundance forecast for the West Coast Vancouver Island (WCVI) model stock is based on the $\mathrm{RCH} /$ Somass forecast and the relative run size of other WCVI chinook populations in the past year. The method used to forecast the terminal run of RCH/Somass chinook is documented annually in the Canadian Stock Assessment Secretariat (CSAC) Research Documents (www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/sci/csac/) and has previously been reviewed by a working group of the CTC (March 26, 1996 Interim Report of CTC Workgroup, on file with PSC).

RCH/Somass Forecast: Predictions of ocean abundance for RCH fall chinook were developed from the coded-wire tag data used for the exploitation rate analyses. Sibling regression models were developed using estimated (observed recovery expanded by the catch/sample ratio) CWT recoveries in the fisheries and spawning escapement. The independent variable in these regression models may be the terminal run size at age, or the total production at age (ocean fishing mortality plus terminal run), but the dependent variable in both models is the pre-fishery ocean abundance in the next age class. The terminal run used in these regressions includes the catch of RCH and Somass River chinook in the Barkley Sound sport fishery, terminal commercial and native gillnet fisheries, and spawning escapement to the Somass system. These regression models only account for production associated with the CWT groups selected to represent RCH brood years.

To account for the total production of RCH and natural Somass production, a ratio is calculated of total terminal return of all hatchery and wild chinook salmon (by age and brood year) divided by the terminal return of chinook salmon by age and brood year for the specified CWT groups. Due to the multiple age classes in chinook salmon, ratios are based on observations in the previous year within the same cohort. For example, the expansion for the age- 4 cohort in 2001 would be expanded by the ratio of age- 3 chinook salmon observed in 2000 and the age-2 chinook salmon observed in 1999. Note that this expansion assumes natural production from the Stamp River exhibits similar behavior and encounters similar fishing pressure as the hatchery stock.

The estimates of age-specific ocean abundance for RCH/Somass chinook are input to a spreadsheet model used to predict the terminal run size. Terminal runs at age, expected in the next year, are predicted based on changes in ocean exploitation rates (i.e., management scalars) and maturation rates at age. Over the past five years of extensive changes in ocean and terminal fisheries, this spreadsheet model has predicted the observed terminal run with a mean absolute percent error of $21 \%$. Error rates were about half of this value in the past when survival of RCH chinook was greater and more data was available from fisheries.

Based on the age-structured terminal run to these 25 indicator streams, the forecasted terminal run of $\mathrm{RCH} /$ Somass chinook is expanded to account for these other chinook populations. For example, the 2001 forecast of $\mathrm{RCH} /$ Somass was expanded as follows:

| Age <br> class | RCH/Somass <br> forecast* | WCVI <br> expansion <br> factor | Total <br> WCVI <br> forecast | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Age 3 | 9,524 | 2.26 | 21,506 | Average expansion of past age-3 returns <br> Age 4 expansion based on observed expansion for <br> age-3 returns in the brood year |
| Age 4 | 857 | 3.89 | 3,333 | Expansion based on average value of age-3 and <br> age-4 returns within brood year <br> RCH/Somass $~=38 \% ~ o f ~ T o t a l ~$ |
| Age 5 | 1,124 | 5.15 | 5,789 |  |
| Total | 11,505 |  | 30,628 | RCH |

* The forecast used in calibration of the CTC model uses base period exploitation rates (i.e., management scalars $=1.0$ ). Terminal runs expected in 2001 will be larger due to reduction in ocean exploitation rates under the new PST and due to Canadian reductions for conservation of WCVI chinook.

The age-structured total WCVI forecast is used in the CTC model calibration process.

## Southeast Alaska Stocks

The PSC CTC Model is used to internally forecast abundance of southern Southeast Alaska hatchery stocks.

## Appendix E. Lower bound calculations.
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## Memo to Commissioners on Lower Bound Calculations

Date: February 22, 2001
To: The Commissioners
From: Chinook Technical Committee
RE: Clarification of Footnote 3, Chinook Chapter
According to the negotiated treaty of 1999, the PSC annex document has a footnote on trigger points where action should be taken to reduce fisheries. The footnote states (Footnote 3, Chapter 3, page 40):
"A stock group should be considered for additional management action pursuant to this paragraph if a significant loss of production results from escapement less than the agreed escapement objective for an extended period of time. By the end of 2001, the CTC will recommend, for adoption by the Commission, criteria defining the lower bound of escapement for the purposes of taking additional management actions pursuant to this paragraph. Until the end of 2001, the escapement level at which the MSY production is reduced by more than $15 \%$ will be defined as the lower bound for escapement."

Different interpretations of the above paragraph lead to different methods for estimating the lower bound for escapements. The CTC is providing three methods in this memo, representing three interpretations of the above paragraph, one of which could be used until the CTC completes the above assignment. Other methods may be suggested at a future date.

Method 1: The lower bound is estimated as the escapement where the expected production equals $85 \%$ of the production at MSY escapement.

Method 2: The lower bound is estimated as the escapement that is expected to produce a sustained yield equal to $85 \%$ of MSY.

Method 3: The lower bound is estimated as the escapement expected to provide a yield equal to $85 \%$ of MSY plus allowing a return to the number of spawners expected to produce MSY.

A Ricker Stock-Recruit model is used in this memo to analyze the differences between these methods. Important concepts related to Ricker Stock-Recruit relationships are first presented. Technical details and comparisons of the three interpretations and their methods follow.

Note that all figures in this memo reflect agreed escapements for the Lewis River. The formulas used for computing escapements at the lower bound for each method are described analytically in the Appendix.

## Concepts for the Ricker Stock-Recruit Relationships

- Production: The total number of fish (fishing mortality plus spawning escapement) produced from a given number of spawners.
- Spawners: The total number of adult fish in a spawning population (jacks normally excluded).
- Maximum Sustainable Yield (MSY) is the maximum difference between the production and its associated spawning escapement level, (i.e., number of fish available for harvest that is surplus to replacing the spawning escapement).
- MSY Escapement is the spawning escapement that is expected, on average, to sustain the maximum yield.
- Maximum Production is the maximum number of fish produced on average by the population.

Maximum Production $=1 / \beta$.

- Replacement Line is the line representing number of spawners in the parent stock.

The graph below illustrates these concepts.


Figure E.1. Graph illustrating Maximum Production, Maximum Sustainable Yield (MSY), Production at MSY, and Escapement at MSY ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{msy}}$ ).

## Technical Details

Graphical Depictions of the Computation of the Three Methods


Figure E.2. Method 1 estimates spawners (S.1) at a $15 \%$ reduction of MSY production.


Figure E.3. Method 2 estimates spawners (S.2) for a $15 \%$ reduction in maximum sustainable yield (MSY).


Figure E.4. Method 3 estimates spawners (S.3) for a 15\% reduction in MSY yield to maintain Smsy.

## Comparison of the Three Methods for Different Stocks

In Ricker stock-recruit models, two parameters, $\alpha$ and $\beta$, determine the shape of the curve and the production dynamics of the stock. Consequently, the value of the lower bound and proportion of a stock's Smsy will vary with the value of these parameters ( $\alpha$, productivity; $\beta$, spawning capacity). In order to compare the effect of these different methods on different stocks, we computed the lower bound for a number of PSC stocks with different $\alpha$ 's and $\beta$ 's (Table 1 ).

From analysis of the formulas and from the computations using PSC stocks, we know that Method 2 will always produce a lower bound less than the other two methods, and that Method 3 always be the most conservative approach (i.e., results in lower bounds larger than the other two methods).

Expressed as escapement as a percentage of Smsy (Table 1), the three methods differ most for small productivity. Also note that Method 3 is the most sensitive to productivity of a stock, as indicated by the greater range of percent Smsy's for the range of productivity analyzed in this memo (range of percent Smsy for Method 1: $67.3 \%$ to $89.8 \%$ ). In contrast, Method 2 is the least sensitive to productivity of a stock, as indicated by providing the most constant range of percent Smsy (range of percent Smsy Method 2: $55.1 \%$ to $58.5 \%$ ) for the range of productivity analyzed in this memo. Method 1 is between Method 2 and Method 3 in sensitivity to productivity.

Table E.1. Escapement estimates at MSY, and from Method 1 (S.1), Method 2 (S.2), and Method 3 (S.3), for various PSC stocks. All estimates in this table are computed without an adjustment for bias, and thus are for comparison of the three proposed methods and not for management.

|  | Parameter Values | MSY <br> Escapement | S. 1 (\% MSY escapement) | S. 2 (\% MSY escapement) | S. 3 (\% MSY escapement) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimates from various stocks: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stikine <br> River | $\begin{aligned} & \alpha=2.609 \\ & \beta=0.0000268 \end{aligned}$ | 15909 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 12299 \\ & (77.3 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 9305 \\ & (58.5 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 14291 \\ & (89.8 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| Taku River | $\begin{aligned} & \alpha=4.406 \\ & \beta=0.0000164 \end{aligned}$ | 36109 | $\begin{aligned} & 26029 \\ & (72.1 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 20629 \\ (57.1 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 29578 \\ & (81.9 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| Siuslaw <br> River | $\begin{aligned} & \alpha=4.840 \\ & \beta=0.000044 \end{aligned}$ | 14024 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 9975 \\ & (71.1 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 7981 \\ (56.9 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11277 \\ & (80.4 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| Harrison River | $\begin{aligned} & \alpha=5.114 \\ & \beta=0.0000078 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 80995 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 57161 \\ & (70.6 \%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 45990 \\ & (56.8 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 64420 \\ & (79.5 \%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Nehalem River | $\begin{aligned} & \alpha=6.540 \\ & \beta=0.0000977 \end{aligned}$ | 7103 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4840 \\ & (68.1 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3995 \\ & (56.2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5375 \\ & (75.7 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| Lewis River | $\begin{aligned} & \alpha=8.929 \\ & \beta=0.000027 \end{aligned}$ | 5791 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3780 \\ & (65.3 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3223 \\ & (55.7 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4119 \\ & (71.1 \%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Siletz River | $\begin{aligned} & \alpha=12.098 \\ & \beta=0.000273 \end{aligned}$ | 2980 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1872 \\ & (62.8 \%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1643 \\ & (55.1 \%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2005 \\ & (67.3 \%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

## Lower Bound Estimate Methods

All lower bound estimates below are based on the Lewis River agreed stock-recruit parameters.

## Method 1:

The goal of this method is to find the escapement $(S)$ that satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha * S * e^{-\beta * S}=0.85 *\left(\alpha S_{m s y} e^{-\beta * S_{m y y}}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This would give us a trigger value of S. $1=3780$.
In this interpretation, it is important to note that we are finding the Production at $M S Y$, calculating the $15 \%$ reduction of Production (i.e., is $85 \%$ of yield at $M S Y$ ), then finding the Spawner value that gives us that $15 \%$ reduction of Production at $M S Y$. (i.e., is $85 \%$ of yield at $M S Y$ ).

## Method 2:

The goal of this method is to find the escapement $(S)$ that satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha * S * e^{-\beta * S}-S=0.85 *\left(\alpha S_{m s y} e^{-\beta * S_{m y}}-S_{m s y}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $S<S_{m s y .}$.
Substituting for the unknowns and solving using equation 1 will give us a S. 2 to be 3223 .
In this interpretation we are adjusting Production by some Spawner value (i.e. Production - Spawner) that will give us the amount that is a $15 \%$ reduction in Yield at MSY (i.e., is $85 \%$ of Yield at MSY).

## Method 3:

The goal of this method is to find the escapement $(S)$ that satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha * S * e^{-\beta * S}-S_{m s y}=0.85 *\left(\alpha S_{m s y} e^{-\beta * S_{m y y}}-S_{m s y}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mathrm{P}>S_{m s y}$ and $\mathrm{P}=$ Production $=\alpha * S^{*} e^{-\beta S}$
In this interpretation, we are adjusting Production by Spawners at $M S Y$ (i.e. Production - Spawners at $M S Y$ ) that will give us the amount that is a $15 \%$ reduction in Yield at $M S Y$ (i.e., is $85 \%$ of Yield at $M S Y$ ).

This would give us a trigger value of $\mathrm{S} .3=4119$ for the Lewis River.

Appendix F. Brood year exploitation rate graphs.
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Figure F.1. Southeast Alaska springs total brood year exploitation rates.
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Figure F.2. Robertson Creek (West Coast Vancouver Island) ocean brood year exploitation rates.


Figure F.3. Quinsam River (Upper Georgia Strait) total brood year exploitation rates.


- landed catch - non-catch mortality

Figure F.4. Puntledge River (Lower Georgia Strait) total brood year exploitation rates.
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Figure F.5. Big Qualicum River (Lower Georgia Strait) total brood year exploitation rates.


Figure F.6. Cowichan River (Lower Georgia Strait) total brood year exploitation rates.


Figure F.7. Chilliwack River (Lower Fraser River) total brood year exploitation rates.


Figure F.8. Samish River (North Puget Sound) total ocean brood year exploitation rates.


Figure F.9. South Puget Sound fingerling total ocean brood year exploitation rates.


Figure F.10. Columbia River Brights ocean brood year exploitation rates.

## Brood Year Total Exploitation Rate
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Figure F.11. Columbia River Brights total brood year exploitation rates.


Figure F.12. Lewis River ocean brood year exploitation rates.


Figure F.13. Lewis River total ocean brood year exploitation rates.


Figure F.14. Salmon River (Oregon Coastal) total brood year exploitation rates.
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Figure G.1. Alaska Spring.
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Figure G.2. Kitsumkalum.
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Figure G.3. Robertson Creek.
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Figure G.4. Quinsam.
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Figure G.5. Puntledge.
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Figure G.6. Big Qualicum.

$\rightarrow$ EV Survival -a - Cohort Survival
Figure G.7. Cowichan.
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Figure G.8. Chilliwack.
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Figure G.9. South Puget Sound Fall Yearling.
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Figure G.11. Samish Fall Fingerling.
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Figure G.15. Nooksack Spring Yearling.

## WHITE RIVER SPRING YEARLING INDEX OF SURVIVAL

$$
r=-0.19
$$



- EV Survival - - - Cohort Survival

Figure G.16. White River Spring Yearling.
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Figure G.17. Sooes Fall Fingerling.


Figure G.18. Cowlitz Fall Tule.
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Figure G.19. Spring Creek Tule.
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Figure G.20. Columbia Upgriver Bright.

## OREGON LOWER RIVER HATCHERY TULES INDEX OF SURVIVAL $r=0.73$


-EEV Survival - $=$ "Cohort Survival
Figure G.21. Oregon Lower River Hatchery Tules.
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Figure G.22. Columbia Summer.

$\rightarrow$ EV Survival - Cohort Survival
Figure G.23. Hanford Wild Bright.
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Figure G.24. Lewis River Wild.
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Table H.1. Alaska Spring distribution of reported catch and escapement.

| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Alaska } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Alaska Sport | North Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Central } \\ \text { Troll } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { N/CBC } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{array}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\& Sp | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
| 83 | 29.3\% | 1.3\% | 4.8\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 62.6\% |
| 84 | 26.3\% | 2.8\% | 4.6\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 64.9\% |
| 85 | 27.0\% | 5.6\% | 6.1\% | 1.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 60.2\% |
| 86 | 27.5\% | 7.3\% | 6.9\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 57.6\% |
| 87 | 30.5\% | 2.5\% | 11.9\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 54.2\% |
| 88 | 29.0\% | 2.8\% | 17.6\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 49.3\% |
| 89 | 26.3\% | 7.2\% | 11.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 54.5\% |
| 90 | 37.7\% | 2.0\% | 13.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 45.4\% |
| 91 | 41.5\% | 2.2\% | 16.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 38.9\% |
| 92 | 28.7\% | 2.0\% | 19.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 49.5\% |
| 93 | 20.7\% | 5.9\% | 16.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 56.3\% |
| 94 | 16.9\% | 13.5\% | 13.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 55.1\% |
| 95 | 31.7\% | 13.6\% | 14.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 40.0\% |
| 96 | 36.2\% | 11.1\% | 16.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 36.2\% |
| 97 | 42.3\% | 7.6\% | 14.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 35.2\% |
| 98 | 41.8\% | 8.5\% | 10.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 38.8\% |
| 99 | 34.3\% | 5.0\% | 11.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 49.1\% |
| (83-99) | 31.0\% | 5.9\% | 12.2\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 49.9\% |
| (85-99) | 31.5\% | 6.5\% | 13.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 48.0\% |

Table H.2. Alaska Spring distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Alaska } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | Alaska Sport | North Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Central } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | N/CBC Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | GeoSt Tr\&Sp | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 83 | 37.4\% | 1.5\% | 6.3\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 52.8\% |
| 84 | 32.4\% | 2.8\% | 6.4\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 56.9\% |
| 85 | 31.8\% | 10.6\% | 6.9\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 49.6\% |
| 86 | 31.3\% | 14.7\% | 6.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 46.4\% |
| 87 | 40.2\% | 4.6\% | 11.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 43.3\% |
| 88 | 32.8\% | 7.7\% | 17.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 41.1\% |
| 89 | 31.8\% | 10.1\% | 12.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 45.1\% |
| 90 | 44.1\% | 5.4\% | 12.7\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 35.7\% |
| 91 | 44.2\% | 5.3\% | 15.9\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 33.6\% |
| 92 | 31.1\% | 6.0\% | 19.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 43.1\% |
| 93 | 24.5\% | 9.7\% | 16.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 48.4\% |
| 94 | 21.9\% | 23.2\% | 13.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 41.1\% |
| 95 | 36.9\% | 13.4\% | 14.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 34.2\% |
| 96 | 39.1\% | 12.0\% | 16.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 31.9\% |
| 97 | 43.2\% | 9.7\% | 15.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 31.4\% |
| $98$ | 42.2\% | 13.8\% | 11.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 31.7\% |
| 99 | 38.3\% | 7.2\% | 12.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 41.8\% |
| (83-99) | 35.5\% | 9.3\% | 12.6\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 41.7\% |
| (85-99) | 35.6\% | 10.2\% | 13.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 39.9\% |

Table H.3. Kitsumkalum distribution of reported catch and escapement.

| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | AlaskaNet | Alaska Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { North } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Central } \\ \text { Troll } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | N/CBC Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 84 | 50.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 17.9\% | 0.0\% | 29.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 85 | 26.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.2\% | 0.0\% | 13.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 52.5\% |
| 86 | 10.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 13.9\% | 0.0\% | 8.8\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 64.8\% |
| 87 | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.1\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 71.4\% |
| 88 | 19.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 22.8\% | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 46.3\% |
| 89 | 11.2\% | 0.5\% | 3.9\% | 5.1\% | 0.0\% | 11.6\% | 6.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 60.8\% |
| 90 | 11.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 6.7\% | 0.3\% | 7.3\% | 7.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 66.3\% |
| 91 | 16.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 8.9\% | 0.7\% | 16.8\% | 13.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 42.1\% |
| 92 | 14.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | 9.5\% | 6.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 61.3\% |
| 93 | 10.9\% | 0.9\% | 1.3\% | 10.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.8\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 53.7\% |
| 94 | 11.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 19.0\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 57.9\% |
| 95 | 12.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | 29.0\% | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 42.6\% |
| 96 | 9.4\% | 0.2\% | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.6\% | 5.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.0\% |
| 97 | 11.9\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.2\% | 10.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 61.3\% |
| 98 | 8.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 5.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 81.8\% |
| 99 | 13.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 6.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 71.2\% |
| (84-99) | 15.2\% | 0.1\% | 2.3\% | 6.4\% | 0.1\% | 14.0\% | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 56.1\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (85-99) | 12.9\% | 0.1\% | 2.3\% | 5.6\% | 0.1\% | 12.9\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 59.8\% |

Table H.4. Kitsumkalum distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | N/CBC Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | $\begin{gathered} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
| 84 | 52.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 20.5\% | 0.0\% | 25.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 85 | 30.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 0.0\% | 13.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 49.2\% |
| 86 | 11.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 13.6\% | 0.0\% | 8.6\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% |
| 87 | 13.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 10.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.3\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.2\% |
| 88 | 25.9\% | 1.5\% | 2.0\% | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 18.4\% | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 37.3\% |
| 89 | 14.6\% | 1.3\% | 4.0\% | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 7.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 56.9\% |
| 90 | 12.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 7.9\% | 0.3\% | 7.0\% | 8.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% |
| 91 | 21.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 10.8\% | 0.9\% | 15.0\% | 12.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 36.9\% |
| 92 | 15.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 8.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.2\% | 7.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 59.1\% |
| 93 | 12.0\% | 1.7\% | 1.2\% | 11.6\% | 0.0\% | 17.8\% | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 51.0\% |
| 94 | 13.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 0.0\% | 17.8\% | 8.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 54.1\% |
| 95 | 13.9\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 9.7\% | 0.0\% | 31.5\% | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 36.1\% |
| 96 | 11.1\% | 0.2\% | 4.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 20.8\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 58.3\% |
| 97 | 13.5\% | 0.0\% | 9.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.5\% | 11.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 57.5\% |
| 98 | 11.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 5.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 78.9\% |
| 99 | 14.2\% | 0.0\% | 9.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 7.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 68.1\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (84-99) | 17.9\% | 0.3\% | 2.6\% | 7.5\% | 0.1\% | 13.3\% | 6.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 52.1\% |
| (85-99) | 15.6\% | 0.3\% | 2.7\% | 6.6\% | 0.1\% | 12.5\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 55.6\% |

Table H.5. Robertson Creek distribution of reported catch and escapement.


Table H.6. Robertson Creek distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Alaska } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Alaska } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { N/CBC } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | WCVI <br> Troll | $\begin{gathered} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. }, \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
| 79 | 23.2\% | 0.9\% | 0.8\% | 11.7\% | 10.9\% | 7.1\% | 0.3\% | 8.1\% | 1.6\% | 2.1\% | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 28.3\% |
| 80 | 28.5\% | 6.6\% | 1.4\% | 8.3\% | 8.4\% | 4.4\% | 0.1\% | 7.7\% | 0.1\% | 10.6\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.5\% |
| 81 | 34.2\% | 1.5\% | 1.5\% | 12.2\% | 8.3\% | 4.5\% | 0.5\% | 5.4\% | 0.6\% | 12.0\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.0\% |
| 82 | 29.7\% | 3.3\% | 1.8\% | 13.5\% | 7.5\% | 4.7\% | 0.1\% | 5.8\% | 0.8\% | 13.3\% | 6.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 13.4\% |
| 83 | 40.8\% | 2.9\% | 2.5\% | 9.7\% | 7.4\% | 2.1\% | 0.3\% | 5.0\% | 0.3\% | 15.7\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 8.9\% |
| 84 | 28.0\% | 3.7\% | 2.4\% | 14.3\% | 2.9\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 0.7\% | 16.1\% | 15.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 6.8\% |
| 85 | 14.8\% | 16.9\% | 1.1\% | 15.7\% | 0.4\% | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.7\% | 2.9\% | 15.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 25.0\% |
| 86 | 18.6\% | 12.1\% | 1.1\% | 8.6\% | 1.2\% | 2.9\% | 1.4\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 21.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 26.0\% |
| 87 | 10.5\% | 2.9\% | 0.3\% | 7.6\% | 3.5\% | 2.3\% | 0.6\% | 2.7\% | 0.5\% | 1.0\% | 19.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 47.7\% |
| 88 | 11.3\% | 4.8\% | 1.0\% | 7.3\% | 1.3\% | 1.9\% | 1.2\% | 4.6\% | 0.7\% | 7.3\% | 18.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 39.7\% |
| 89 | 11.8\% | 5.9\% | 0.8\% | 9.1\% | 1.0\% | 1.1\% | 1.1\% | 1.9\% | 0.8\% | 18.1\% | 17.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 31.2\% |
| 90 | 19.0\% | 5.7\% | 1.1\% | 8.7\% | 2.3\% | 1.5\% | 0.9\% | 6.6\% | 0.3\% | 8.9\% | 9.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 35.1\% |
| 91 | 20.1\% | 2.7\% | 2.2\% | 10.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.8\% | 4.8\% | 0.3\% | 13.5\% | 13.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 28.9\% |
| 92 | 16.8\% | 8.5\% | 1.1\% | 7.5\% | 3.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.4\% | 18.7\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | 7.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 34.2\% |
| 93 | 16.1\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 7.5\% | 2.1\% | 0.4\% | 1.4\% | 14.3\% | 0.5\% | 7.5\% | 15.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 29.8\% |
| 94 | 17.8\% | 5.0\% | 3.5\% | 9.3\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.1\% | 5.3\% | 0.4\% | 11.6\% | 20.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 23.2\% |
| 95 | 16.9\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 3.7\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 1.0\% | 1.9\% | 1.5\% | 6.8\% | 15.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 48.4\% |
| 96 | 9.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 2.7\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 0.8\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 77.4\% |
| 97 | 13.4\% | 9.1\% | 4.4\% | 5.2\% | 2.1\% | 0.4\% | 2.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.6\% | 5.6\% | 18.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 38.1\% |
| 98 | 17.4\% | 4.0\% | 4.6\% | 6.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 3.9\% | 19.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 42.2\% |
| 99 | 17.1\% | 1.2\% | 7.2\% | 5.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 9.0\% | 31.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 23.6\% |
| (79-99) | 19.8\% | 4.8\% | 2.3\% | 8.8\% | 3.2\% | 2.0\% | 1.1\% | 5.1\% | 0.7\% | 8.0\% | 13.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 30.6\% |
| (85-99) | 15.4\% | 5.4\% | 2.5\% | 7.6\% | 1.5\% | 1.1\% | 1.5\% | 4.5\% | 0.7\% | 6.5\% | 16.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 36.7\% |

Table H.7. Quinsam distribution of reported catch and escapement.

| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | N/CBC Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
| 79 | 6.4\% | 12.0\% | 0.9\% | 6.8\% | 12.8\% | 24.1\% | 3.9\% | 0.0\% | 8.7\% | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.9\% |
| 80 | 15.3\% | 9.4\% | 3.0\% | 10.4\% | 16.3\% | 12.8\% | 5.2\% | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 8.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.3\% |
| 81 | 12.6\% | 4.2\% | 2.1\% | 15.1\% | 14.0\% | 11.9\% | 7.3\% | 0.6\% | 13.6\% | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.0\% |
| 82 | 18.3\% | 10.9\% | 4.0\% | 8.3\% | 7.1\% | 21.4\% | 2.5\% | 0.4\% | 4.3\% | 8.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.5\% |
| 83 | 22.9\% | 2.1\% | 3.8\% | 15.6\% | 12.1\% | 18.0\% | 2.9\% | 0.7\% | 5.0\% | 8.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.9\% |
| 84 | 15.3\% | 7.7\% | 2.9\% | 5.9\% | 5.0\% | 15.0\% | 4.0\% | 0.8\% | 7.8\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 29.0\% |
| 85 | 25.9\% | 6.0\% | 6.1\% | 5.0\% | 3.5\% | 10.7\% | 1.0\% | 0.1\% | 4.3\% | 8.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 29.3\% |
| 86 | 14.8\% | 4.2\% | 3.6\% | 6.5\% | 7.1\% | 19.5\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 6.1\% | 6.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 29.1\% |
| 87 | 10.7\% | 4.4\% | 2.4\% | 6.3\% | 6.1\% | 17.2\% | 6.5\% | 0.4\% | 4.0\% | 7.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 34.2\% |
| 88 | 20.1\% | 1.7\% | 0.7\% | 6.5\% | 2.4\% | 5.5\% | 2.9\% | 0.7\% | 3.7\% | 4.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 50.8\% |
| 89 | 12.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.1\% | 3.9\% | 1.9\% | 4.9\% | 3.3\% | 0.3\% | 7.4\% | 13.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 47.3\% |
| 90 | 17.4\% | 3.0\% | 0.2\% | 6.6\% | 4.8\% | 11.1\% | 8.9\% | 1.4\% | 3.5\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 38.3\% |
| 91 | 10.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.1\% | 5.6\% | 9.0\% | 10.1\% | 11.8\% | 0.5\% | 4.4\% | 3.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 39.4\% |
| 92 | 11.7\% | 0.6\% | 2.4\% | 10.1\% | 9.3\% | 7.3\% | 6.2\% | 0.3\% | 3.5\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 46.0\% |
| 93 | 8.2\% | 4.3\% | 0.3\% | 5.8\% | 5.8\% | 19.5\% | 8.8\% | 1.2\% | 10.7\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 32.0\% |
| 94 | 5.6\% | 1.4\% | 4.2\% | 9.5\% | 1.4\% | 14.7\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 6.3\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 47.4\% |
| 95 | 7.0\% | 5.8\% | 0.0\% | 9.1\% | 0.0\% | 14.5\% | 7.9\% | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 48.3\% |
| 96 | 6.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.4\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 6.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 64.5\% |
| 97 | 10.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.5\% | 3.9\% | 3.4\% | 2.3\% | 8.9\% | 0.7\% | 8.4\% | 0.2\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 51.8\% |
| 98 | 14.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 8.8\% | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 68.5\% |
| 99 | 8.7\% | 2.5\% | 4.1\% | 1.4\% | 0.2\% | 1.0\% | 9.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 71.3\% |
| (79-99) | 13.1\% | 4.3\% | 2.3\% | 6.8\% | 5.8\% | 12.3\% | 5.8\% | 0.4\% | 6.1\% | 4.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 37.7\% |
| (85-99) | 12.3\% | 3.0\% | 2.1\% | 5.3\% | 3.7\% | 10.4\% | 6.5\% | 0.4\% | 5.5\% | 3.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 46.5\% |

Table H.8. Quinsam distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

| Catch Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { Alaska } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Alaska } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Alaska Sport | North Troll | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Central } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { N/CBC } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
| 79 | 8.5\% | 11.6\% | 1.5\% | 7.6\% | 13.4\% | 22.9\% | 4.1\% | 0.1\% | 8.2\% | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.9\% |
| 80 | 16.0\% | 9.2\% | 3.3\% | 10.5\% | 16.7\% | 12.8\% | 5.5\% | 0.0\% | 6.4\% | 8.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.2\% |
| 81 | 13.4\% | 4.1\% | 2.2\% | 15.5\% | 14.1\% | 11.7\% | 7.6\% | 0.7\% | 13.5\% | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.1\% |
| 82 | 22.5\% | 10.6\% | 4.3\% | 8.2\% | 7.0\% | 20.5\% | 2.5\% | 0.3\% | 3.9\% | 7.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.4\% |
| 83 | 26.7\% | 1.9\% | 4.6\% | 15.1\% | 11.8\% | 17.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.7\% | 4.6\% | 8.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.6\% |
| 84 | 16.7\% | 7.6\% | 3.3\% | 6.1\% | 5.1\% | 14.8\% | 4.3\% | 0.9\% | 7.9\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 27.0\% |
| 85 | 27.3\% | 13.2\% | 5.8\% | 4.6\% | 3.2\% | 9.7\% | 0.9\% | 0.1\% | 3.8\% | 7.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 24.4\% |
| 86 | 16.4\% | 10.5\% | 4.0\% | 6.5\% | 7.0\% | 18.1\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 23.5\% |
| 87 | 15.9\% | 11.8\% | 2.5\% | 6.7\% | 6.7\% | 14.2\% | 5.6\% | 0.4\% | 3.4\% | 5.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 26.7\% |
| 88 | 21.1\% | 4.0\% | 0.7\% | 7.0\% | 2.6\% | 5.5\% | 3.1\% | 0.8\% | 3.9\% | 3.9\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 46.4\% |
| 89 | 14.2\% | 8.4\% | 2.1\% | 4.1\% | 2.0\% | 4.6\% | 3.2\% | 0.3\% | 7.6\% | 11.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 41.4\% |
| 90 | 18.6\% | 7.5\% | 0.2\% | 7.2\% | 5.3\% | 10.3\% | 8.8\% | 1.5\% | 3.6\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 32.7\% |
| 91 | 11.7\% | 7.1\% | 2.1\% | 5.9\% | 9.4\% | 9.1\% | 11.3\% | 0.5\% | 4.5\% | 3.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 34.6\% |
| 92 | 15.4\% | 1.5\% | 2.5\% | 10.6\% | 9.6\% | 7.2\% | 6.3\% | 0.3\% | 3.7\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 40.6\% |
| 93 | 9.3\% | 8.8\% | 0.3\% | 6.5\% | 6.5\% | 17.8\% | 8.5\% | 1.3\% | 11.1\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 27.1\% |
| 94 | 7.3\% | 3.5\% | 4.4\% | 10.4\% | 1.6\% | 13.9\% | 5.7\% | 0.0\% | 6.9\% | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 42.6\% |
| 95 | 8.5\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 11.2\% | 0.0\% | 16.7\% | 8.8\% | 0.0\% | 6.5\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 39.8\% |
| 96 | 7.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 20.3\% | 5.1\% | 0.0\% | 6.8\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 58.0\% |
| 97 | 10.8\% | 4.9\% | 3.1\% | 4.3\% | 3.7\% | 2.4\% | 9.4\% | 0.8\% | 9.1\% | 1.6\% | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 44.9\% |
| 98 | 15.2\% | 6.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 10.8\% | 0.0\% | 6.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 60.3\% |
| 99 | 10.4\% | 5.3\% | 5.4\% | 1.6\% | 0.2\% | 1.2\% | 10.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 63.8\% |
| (79-99) | 14.9\% | 6.9\% | 2.5\% | 7.2\% | 6.0\% | 11.9\% | 6.1\% | 0.4\% | 6.1\% | 4.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 32.9\% |
| (85-99) | 14.0\% | 6.7\% | 2.2\% | 5.9\% | 3.8\% | 10.1\% | 6.7\% | 0.4\% | 5.6\% | 3.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 40.4\% |

Table H.9. Puntledge distribution of reported catch and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC <br> Net | N/CBC <br> Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
| 79 | 1.8\% | 1.1\% | 0.2\% | 3.1\% | 8.3\% | 6.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.9\% | 39.3\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 31.9\% |
| 80 | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 2.0\% | 5.9\% | 4.4\% | 1.3\% | 4.9\% | 38.5\% | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 34.1\% |
| 81 | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 5.4\% | 7.2\% | 3.6\% | 4.0\% | 0.0\% | 60.1\% | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 13.2\% |
| 82 | 0.9\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 12.8\% | 5.5\% | 1.0\% | 1.6\% | 19.1\% | 14.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 41.6\% |
| 83 | 1.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 7.5\% | 15.9\% | 5.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.4\% | 25.6\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 36.7\% |
| 84 | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 5.9\% | 3.9\% | 1.2\% | 2.3\% | 26.6\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 54.3\% |
| 85 | 10.9\% | 0.8\% | 3.1\% | 6.2\% | 1.6\% | 8.5\% | 6.2\% | 0.0\% | 33.3\% | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 24.0\% |
| 86 | 6.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 2.8\% | 4.0\% | 10.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 43.8\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 25.6\% |
| 87 | 2.6\% | 2.0\% | 1.3\% | 11.8\% | 2.0\% | 5.9\% | 9.9\% | 0.0\% | 16.4\% | 0.0\% | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 43.4\% |
| 88 | 12.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 14.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.2\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 50.5\% |
| 89 | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 45.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 51.5\% |
| 90 | 9.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 11.8\% | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 9.4\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 57.6\% |
| 91 | 6.3\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.4\% | 8.0\% | 0.0\% | 24.1\% | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 49.1\% |
| 92 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.0\% | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 37.2\% | 15.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 37.2\% |
| 93 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 10.5\% | 0.0\% | 44.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 38.2\% |
| 94 | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 53.6\% | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 28.6\% |
| 95 | 5.9\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 32.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 44.1\% |
| 96 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 7.9\% | 0.0\% | 34.2\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 52.6\% |
| 97 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.8\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 13.7\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 60.8\% |
| 98 | 21.2\% | 6.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 57.6\% |
| 99 | 9.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 9.2\% | 0.0\% | 11.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 68.7\% |
| (79-99) | 4.9\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 2.5\% | 3.2\% | 5.8\% | 5.4\% | 0.7\% | 29.6\% | 3.7\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 42.9\% |
| (85-99) | 6.4\% | 0.9\% | 0.4\% | 2.0\% | 0.7\% | 6.2\% | 6.8\% | 0.2\% | 27.4\% | 2.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 46.0\% |

Table H.10. Puntledge distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.


Table H.11. Big Qualicum distribution of reported catch and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC <br> Net | N/CBC <br> Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
|  | 79 | 3.7\% | 1.2\% | 0.4\% | 1.7\% | 9.4\% | 4.1\% | 0.4\% | 2.2\% | 38.9\% | 8.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 29.8\% |
|  | 80 | 1.4\% | 1.7\% | 0.4\% | 4.4\% | 6.6\% | 3.4\% | 1.4\% | 4.2\% | 39.4\% | 9.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 27.8\% |
|  | 81 | 1.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 1.4\% | 11.9\% | 4.6\% | 0.8\% | 1.6\% | 54.7\% | 9.8\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.4\% |
|  | 82 | 4.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.8\% | 4.6\% | 6.0\% | 8.8\% | 0.4\% | 4.4\% | 26.0\% | 12.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 31.7\% |
|  | 83 | 5.4\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% | 4.9\% | 6.9\% | 4.5\% | 1.0\% | 1.1\% | 35.9\% | 14.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 23.8\% |
|  | 84 | 1.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 1.4\% | 6.7\% | 3.7\% | 5.9\% | 1.4\% | 51.5\% | 6.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 21.1\% |
|  | 85 | 4.1\% | 0.3\% | 1.0\% | 1.8\% | 3.8\% | 6.8\% | 1.8\% | 1.5\% | 34.1\% | 12.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 29.8\% |
|  | 86 | 2.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.6\% | 0.8\% | 12.7\% | 8.2\% | 2.9\% | 1.4\% | 44.8\% | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.8\% |
|  | 87 | 8.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 3.9\% | 2.4\% | 2.6\% | 2.7\% | 4.2\% | 31.3\% | 5.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 35.6\% |
|  | 88 | 2.8\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 1.3\% | 9.8\% | 1.3\% | 2.8\% | 32.2\% | 4.6\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 39.4\% |
|  | 89 | 4.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.6\% | 3.2\% | 0.6\% | 1.0\% | 1.8\% | 4.6\% | 37.6\% | 8.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 34.1\% |
|  | 90 | 4.9\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 5.9\% | 1.5\% | 6.3\% | 2.3\% | 2.9\% | 22.0\% | 10.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 36.4\% |
|  | 91 | 2.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 1.1\% | 2.9\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 45.0\% | 5.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 35.3\% |
|  | 92 | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 5.5\% | 6.1\% | 1.7\% | 7.9\% | 3.5\% | 41.7\% | 4.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 26.1\% |
|  | 93 | 1.2\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 4.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.2\% | 1.7\% | 44.6\% | 6.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 31.9\% |
|  | 94 | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 1.7\% | 3.8\% | 2.1\% | 2.9\% | 34.2\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 45.0\% |
|  | 95 | 6.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 7.1\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 20.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 61.4\% |
| T | 96 | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 46.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 48.2\% |
| 它 | 97 | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.1\% | 1.5\% | 1.5\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 29.8\% | 0.5\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 52.0\% |
| $\omega$ | 98 | 7.7\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 20.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 64.5\% |
|  | 99 | 5.8\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 12.1\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 66.3\% |
|  | (79-99) | 3.9\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 2.7\% | 4.2\% | 4.0\% | 2.5\% | 2.0\% | 35.4\% | 6.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 36.7\% |
|  | (85-99) | 4.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.3\% | 2.6\% | 2.7\% | 3.7\% | 2.8\% | 1.8\% | 33.1\% | 4.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 41.7\% |

Table H.12. Big Qualicum distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Central } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { N/CBC } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
|  | 79 | 4.7\% | 1.2\% | 0.5\% | 1.9\% | 10.4\% | 4.1\% | 0.4\% | 2.5\% | 38.5\% | 7.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 27.6\% |
|  | 80 | 1.6\% | 1.7\% | 0.4\% | 4.7\% | 7.2\% | 3.5\% | 1.5\% | 4.6\% | 39.3\% | 9.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 26.0\% |
|  | 81 | 2.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 1.5\% | 12.8\% | 4.6\% | 0.9\% | 1.7\% | 54.0\% | 9.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.4\% |
|  | 82 | 5.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.9\% | 4.8\% | 6.3\% | 8.7\% | 0.4\% | 4.7\% | 26.0\% | 12.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 29.7\% |
|  | 83 | 5.6\% | 0.3\% | 1.6\% | 5.1\% | 7.1\% | 4.6\% | 1.2\% | 1.2\% | 36.8\% | 14.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 21.5\% |
|  | 84 | 2.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.6\% | 1.7\% | 7.3\% | 3.7\% | 7.0\% | 1.7\% | 50.6\% | 6.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.9\% |
|  | 85 | 7.0\% | 1.1\% | 1.5\% | 2.0\% | 4.4\% | 6.6\% | 2.3\% | 1.6\% | 32.6\% | 12.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 25.4\% |
|  | 86 | 3.5\% | 0.9\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 13.5\% | 7.8\% | 2.9\% | 1.4\% | 44.9\% | 7.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.7\% |
|  | 87 | 10.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 4.3\% | 2.8\% | 2.5\% | 2.9\% | 4.8\% | 31.1\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 32.8\% |
|  | 88 | 3.1\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 1.3\% | 9.9\% | 1.5\% | 3.3\% | 35.5\% | 4.4\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 33.8\% |
|  | 89 | 4.2\% | 9.0\% | 0.8\% | 3.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.8\% | 1.8\% | 5.0\% | 38.1\% | 7.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 27.9\% |
|  | 90 | 5.0\% | 11.9\% | 0.0\% | 6.5\% | 1.6\% | 5.8\% | 2.4\% | 3.0\% | 22.4\% | 9.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 29.6\% |
|  | 91 | 3.7\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 1.4\% | 2.8\% | 1.9\% | 2.2\% | 48.1\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 30.0\% |
|  | 92 | 3.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 6.2\% | 6.4\% | 1.5\% | 7.9\% | 3.6\% | 44.2\% | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 21.5\% |
|  | 93 | 1.7\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 4.5\% | 2.5\% | 3.1\% | 1.9\% | 48.3\% | 6.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 26.7\% |
|  | 94 | 5.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% | 3.4\% | 1.9\% | 3.0\% | 37.1\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 40.4\% |
|  | 95 | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 8.8\% | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 21.9\% | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 53.1\% |
|  | 96 | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.9\% | 0.3\% | 52.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 40.9\% |
| $\frac{1}{1}$ | 97 | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.9\% | 1.8\% | 1.8\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 31.1\% | 2.7\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 46.4\% |
| - | 98 | 8.1\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.1\% | 0.0\% | 23.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 58.9\% |
| + | 99 | 6.8\% | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 13.3\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 60.2\% |
|  | (79-99) | 4.7\% | 1.8\% | 0.5\% | 3.1\% | 4.6\% | 4.0\% | 2.7\% | 2.2\% | 36.6\% | 6.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 32.3\% |
|  | (85-99) | 5.1\% | 2.2\% | 0.4\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.0\% | 34.9\% | 4.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 36.3\% |

Table H.13. Chilliwack distribution of reported catch and escapement.


Table H.14. Chilliwack distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { North } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Central } \\ \text { Troll } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { N/CBC } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{GeoSt} \\ \mathrm{Tr} \& \mathrm{Sp} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 85 | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 2.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.2\% | 33.9\% | 28.6\% | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 5.2\% | 4.5\% | 13.7\% |
| 86 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 2.4\% | 1.4\% | 0.2\% | 19.8\% | 27.6\% | 11.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 6.7\% | 6.9\% | 20.3\% |
| 87 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 18.8\% | 35.5\% | 2.1\% | 0.5\% | 3.9\% | 3.7\% | 2.8\% | 30.8\% |
| 88 | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 17.5\% | 19.6\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 4.0\% | 2.5\% | 49.2\% |
| 89 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 22.3\% | 19.3\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 3.6\% | 1.4\% | 44.0\% |
| 90 | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.3\% | 0.3\% | 10.8\% | 15.2\% | 3.5\% | 2.2\% | 6.3\% | 16.0\% | 6.6\% | 36.8\% |
| 91 | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.9\% | 0.2\% | 20.4\% | 24.8\% | 3.8\% | 0.7\% | 14.1\% | 6.2\% | 5.6\% | 22.2\% |
| 92 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 21.5\% | 19.4\% | 0.9\% | 0.2\% | 9.3\% | 1.0\% | 3.8\% | 42.2\% |
| 93 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 16.5\% | 21.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.4\% | 9.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 49.0\% |
| 94 | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 7.2\% | 13.4\% | 4.5\% | 2.5\% | 1.6\% | 4.8\% | 4.7\% | 58.1\% |
| 95 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.2\% | 12.7\% | 7.3\% | 0.9\% | 0.6\% | 1.3\% | 1.5\% | 2.5\% | 72.3\% |
| 96 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 18.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.8\% | 5.2\% | 1.3\% | 5.2\% | 64.6\% |
| 97 | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 12.4\% | 16.7\% | 1.8\% | 1.9\% | 5.5\% | 3.1\% | 4.0\% | 51.8\% |
| 98 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 4.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 3.3\% | 0.3\% | 1.0\% | 89.4\% |
| 99 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 2.6\% | 11.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 12.7\% | 0.6\% | 1.0\% | 69.2\% |
| (85-99) | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.2\% | 14.6\% | 18.9\% | 2.8\% | 0.8\% | 5.9\% | 3.9\% | 3.6\% | 47.6\% |
| (85-99) | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.2\% | 14.6\% | 18.9\% | 2.8\% | 0.8\% | 5.9\% | 3.9\% | 3.6\% | 47.6\% |

Table H.15. Cowichan, Distribution of Reported Catch and Escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | N/CBC Sport | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{array}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
| 90 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 4.7\% | 0.3\% | 1.3\% | 52.7\% | 13.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 3.2\% | 2.2\% | 20.4\% |
| 91 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 1.0\% | 2.3\% | 40.7\% | 3.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 2.6\% | 0.6\% | 47.2\% |
| 92 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.9\% | 1.0\% | 0.8\% | 8.5\% | 56.2\% | 3.8\% | 1.2\% | 0.2\% | 1.2\% | 1.1\% | 24.6\% |
| 93 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 1.3\% | 6.8\% | 52.4\% | 3.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 32.1\% |
| 94 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 33.9\% | 5.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.3\% | 3.3\% | 0.4\% | 48.8\% |
| 95 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 28.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.7\% | 62.8\% |
| 96 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 33.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 2.8\% | 61.4\% |
| 97 | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 2.8\% | 25.8\% | 0.2\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 3.0\% | 61.2\% |
| 98 | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.6\% | 31.6\% | 0.3\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 56.7\% |
| 99 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.8\% | 41.4\% | 1.4\% | 3.5\% | 1.1\% | 7.3\% | 0.8\% | 42.7\% |
| (90-99) | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% | 0.6\% | 3.0\% | 39.7\% | 3.2\% | 1.2\% | 0.4\% | 2.8\% | 1.2\% | 45.8\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (90-99) | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% | 0.6\% | 3.0\% | 39.7\% | 3.2\% | 1.2\% | 0.4\% | 2.8\% | 1.2\% | 45.8\% |

$\stackrel{ \pm}{\square}$
Table H.16. Cowichan distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC Net | N/CBC Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | U.S. Net | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 90 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.4\% | 3.6\% | 0.7\% | 2.8\% | 59.0\% | 10.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 4.6\% | 2.5\% | 14.2\% |
| 91 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 1.1\% | 3.3\% | 47.1\% | 3.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 2.9\% | 0.6\% | 39.4\% |
| 92 | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 1.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.8\% | 8.9\% | 60.7\% | 3.3\% | 1.2\% | 0.2\% | 1.3\% | 1.2\% | 20.0\% |
| 93 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 1.2\% | 7.4\% | 57.1\% | 2.7\% | 1.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 27.3\% |
| 94 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 38.7\% | 5.8\% | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 4.1\% | 0.6\% | 42.4\% |
| 95 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 32.3\% | 1.5\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.9\% | 55.4\% |
| 96 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 38.1\% | 0.5\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 3.8\% | 54.6\% |
| 97 | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 3.6\% | 29.3\% | 1.1\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 3.6\% | 54.4\% |
| 98 | 5.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.5\% | 35.1\% | 0.3\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 51.4\% |
| 99 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.7\% | 46.1\% | 1.2\% | 3.5\% | 1.2\% | 8.3\% | 0.7\% | 37.4\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (90-99) | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 1.0\% | 0.6\% | 3.7\% | 44.4\% | 3.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.4\% | 3.4\% | 1.4\% | 39.6\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (90-99) | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 1.0\% | 0.6\% | 3.7\% | 44.4\% | 3.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.4\% | 3.4\% | 1.4\% | 39.6\% |

Table H.17. Samish Fall Fingerling distribution of reported catch and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC <br> Net | $\begin{array}{r} \text { N/CBC } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 89 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 6.9\% | 17.5\% | 3.5\% | 0.6\% | 7.6\% | 36.5\% | 9.9\% | 16.7\% |
| 90 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 19.1\% | 14.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.7\% | 9.2\% | 31.4\% | 7.5\% | 15.8\% |
| 91 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 13.5\% | 11.5\% | 2.7\% | 2.3\% | 9.1\% | 23.2\% | 10.7\% | 26.6\% |
| 92 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 11.3\% | 16.1\% | 2.1\% | 0.5\% | 10.1\% | 15.4\% | 16.9\% | 27.0\% |
| 93 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 12.8\% | 21.7\% | 2.4\% | 3.1\% | 4.1\% | 17.2\% | 13.0\% | 24.5\% |
| 94 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 11.8\% | 15.7\% | 1.9\% | 4.0\% | 2.2\% | 38.3\% | 3.9\% | 21.0\% |
| 95 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 5.8\% | 6.1\% | 0.3\% | 2.8\% | 3.3\% | 27.0\% | 15.0\% | 38.7\% |
| 96 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 1.9\% | 33.8\% | 24.1\% | 28.0\% |
| 97 | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 0.3\% | 2.0\% | 8.6\% | 0.1\% | 3.4\% | 0.9\% | 33.4\% | 9.8\% | 39.2\% |
| 98 | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 12.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.7\% | 43.5\% | 3.5\% | 32.9\% |
| 99 | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 5.1\% | 11.5\% | 0.0\% | 7.9\% | 1.6\% | 39.9\% | 3.6\% | 24.5\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (89-99) | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 8.2\% | 13.2\% | 1.3\% | 2.6\% | 4.6\% | 30.9\% | 10.7\% | 26.8\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (89-99) | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 8.2\% | 13.2\% | 1.3\% | 2.6\% | 4.6\% | 30.9\% | 10.7\% | 26.8\% |

Table H.18. Samish Fall Fingerling distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | N/CBC Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 89 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 9.2\% | 18.8\% | 3.2\% | 0.5\% | 8.1\% | 33.6\% | 11.1\% | 14.6\% |
| 90 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 20.5\% | 14.7\% | 1.4\% | 0.8\% | 9.6\% | 29.5\% | 8.2\% | 14.5\% |
| 91 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 14.5\% | 12.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.4\% | 9.6\% | 21.7\% | 11.9\% | 24.2\% |
| 92 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 11.5\% | 16.7\% | 1.8\% | 0.5\% | 9.8\% | 14.1\% | 23.4\% | 21.6\% |
| 93 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 14.5\% | 24.5\% | 2.1\% | 3.0\% | 4.2\% | 15.8\% | 13.9\% | 20.7\% |
| 94 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 13.0\% | 17.1\% | 1.9\% | 4.1\% | 2.3\% | 36.7\% | 4.5\% | 19.0\% |
| 95 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 7.2\% | 6.2\% | 0.7\% | 3.9\% | 3.3\% | 23.9\% | 22.0\% | 31.7\% |
| 96 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 11.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 1.9\% | 33.0\% | 27.5\% | 23.2\% |
| 97 | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.8\% | 0.8\% | 0.3\% | 2.4\% | 9.8\% | 0.3\% | 3.3\% | 1.0\% | 32.5\% | 11.8\% | 35.9\% |
| 98 | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 13.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 0.8\% | 42.4\% | 4.9\% | 31.2\% |
| 99 | 4.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 5.1\% | 13.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.9\% | 1.8\% | 38.3\% | 5.1\% | 22.4\% |
| (89-99) | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 9.1\% | 14.4\% | 1.3\% | 2.7\% | 4.8\% | 29.2\% | 13.1\% | 23.5\% |
| (89-99) | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 9.1\% | 14.4\% | 1.3\% | 2.7\% | 4.8\% | 29.2\% | 13.1\% | 23.5\% |

Table H.19. Squaxin Pens Fall Yearling distribution of reported catch and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | N/CBC Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | Canada Sport | U.S. <br> Troll | U.S. Net | U.S. Sport |  |
| 90 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.8\% | 1.2\% | 0.4\% | 4.0\% | 32.9\% | 54.9\% | 2.5\% |
| 91 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 1.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 9.2\% | 32.6\% | 48.4\% | 3.5\% |
| 92 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 2.3\% | 3.7\% | 1.2\% | 0.5\% | 7.1\% | 22.4\% | 57.1\% | 4.7\% |
| 93 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 9.6\% | 8.2\% | 1.4\% | 0.8\% | 13.8\% | 3.4\% | 48.7\% | 13.2\% |
| 94 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 25.3\% | 6.0\% | 3.6\% | 2.4\% | 6.6\% | 22.9\% | 10.8\% | 22.3\% |
| 95 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 47.5\% | 30.5\% | 22.0\% |
| 96 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 4.6\% | 90.1\% | 2.4\% |
| 97 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 35.6\% | 59.8\% | 0.4\% |
| 98 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% | 90.5\% | 2.9\% |
| 99 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 71.4\% | 28.6\% |
| (90-99) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.8\% | 2.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 4.7\% | 20.5\% | 56.2\% | 10.2\% |
| (90-99) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.8\% | 2.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 4.7\% | 20.5\% | 56.2\% | 10.2\% |



Table H.21. Stillaguamish Fall Fingerling distribution of reported catch and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC <br> Net | N/CBC <br> Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt Tr\&Sp | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | U.S. Net | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 84 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 18.8\% | 2.4\% | 4.7\% | 7.1\% | 16.5\% | 23.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 18.8\% | 0.0\% |
| 85 | 7.9\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 4.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 0.0\% | 28.7\% | 9.9\% | 10.9\% | 8.9\% | 0.0\% | 8.9\% | 12.9\% | 0.0\% |
| 86 | 5.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 0.0\% | 29.6\% | 19.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.3\% | 19.4\% | 7.1\% |
| 90 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 6.5\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 16.9\% | 8.1\% | 4.4\% | 1.9\% | 4.4\% | 7.4\% | 10.9\% | 33.9\% |
| 91 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 5.6\% | 4.2\% | 1.0\% | 1.9\% | 5.1\% | 6.5\% | 7.7\% | 67.2\% |
| 92 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 19.1\% | 6.5\% | 2.8\% | 3.3\% | 6.4\% | 13.4\% | 31.4\% | 13.9\% |
| 93 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.4\% | 1.2\% | 2.0\% | 13.2\% | 13.0\% | 1.6\% | 4.8\% | 6.2\% | 1.7\% | 26.3\% | 28.9\% |
| 94 | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.8\% | 12.1\% | 1.7\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 8.8\% | 52.5\% |
| 95 | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 4.8\% | 0.9\% | 6.4\% | 0.9\% | 2.0\% | 12.1\% | 60.2\% |
| 96 | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.1\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 10.3\% | 0.7\% | 11.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 28.6\% | 32.7\% |
| 97 | 12.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.9\% | 9.4\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 20.9\% | 37.3\% |
| 98 | 16.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.7\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 2.6\% | 2.8\% | 0.2\% | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 65.3\% |
| 99 | 1.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 6.7\% | 10.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 4.1\% | 68.5\% |
| (84-99) | $3.9 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | 1.0\% | 20\% | $3.4 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | 11.6\% | $9.6 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | 15.8\% | 36.0\% |
| (84-99) | 3.9\% | $0.1 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | 1.0\% | 2.0\% | 3.4\% | 0.9\% | 11.6\% |  | $3.7 \%$ | 4.8\% | 1.8\% | 5.3\% | 15.8\% |  |
| (85-99) | 4.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 3.4\% | 0.6\% | 12.0\% | 9.0\% | 2.0\% | 5.2\% | 1.9\% | 5.4\% | 15.5\% | 39.0\% |

Table H.22. Stillaguamish Fall Fingerling distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | N/CBC Sport | WCVI Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | U.S. Sport |  |
| 84 | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 3.6\% | 15.5\% | 1.8\% | 3.6\% | 10.0\% | 14.5\% | 19.1\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 25.5\% | 0.0\% |
| 85 | 7.6\% | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 29.4\% | 8.4\% | 9.2\% | 8.4\% | 0.0\% | 7.6\% | 16.8\% | 0.0\% |
| 86 | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 29.0\% | 19.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.0\% | 20.6\% | 6.5\% |
| 90 | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 6.5\% | 4.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.8\% | 8.9\% | 4.0\% | 2.0\% | 5.5\% | 7.3\% | 13.7\% | 28.9\% |
| 91 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 6.4\% | 4.9\% | 1.0\% | 2.0\% | 5.7\% | 6.6\% | 9.9\% | 62.8\% |
| 92 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 18.7\% | 6.3\% | 2.1\% | 3.0\% | 5.8\% | 11.7\% | 39.9\% | 9.7\% |
| 93 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.6\% | 1.0\% | 1.8\% | 15.4\% | 14.4\% | 1.5\% | 4.4\% | 6.7\% | 1.5\% | 27.0\% | 24.7\% |
| 94 | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 11.0\% | 13.1\% | 1.8\% | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 11.0\% | 47.6\% |
| 95 | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 5.3\% | 1.4\% | 9.3\% | 0.8\% | 1.9\% | 20.0\% | 46.5\% |
| 96 | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.0\% | 1.7\% | 1.0\% | 10.0\% | 0.8\% | 12.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 35.9\% | 24.2\% |
| 97 | 12.9\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.8\% | 10.2\% | 6.8\% | 0.3\% | 7.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 24.2\% | 32.5\% |
| 98 | 17.5\% | 1.0\% | 0.7\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 2.5\% | 3.0\% | 0.1\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 5.1\% | 59.3\% |
| 99 | 1.1\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.9\% | 6.4\% | 11.5\% | 0.0\% | 8.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 6.0\% | 63.6\% |
| (84-99) | 4.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 1.1\% | 1.7\% | 3.2\% | 0.8\% | 12.4\% | 9.8\% | 3.2\% | 5.1\% | 1.9\% | 4.8\% | 19.6\% | 31.3\% |
| (85-99) | 4.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.9\% | 0.6\% | 3.3\% | 0.5\% | 12.6\% | 9.4\% | 1.9\% | 5.5\% | 2.1\% | 4.9\% | 19.2\% | $33.9 \%$ |

Table H.23. George Adams Fall Fingerling distribution of reported catch and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | N/CBC Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | U.S. Net | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
| 82 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 20.8\% | 4.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 38.1\% | 10.7\% | 21.9\% |
| 83 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 15.6\% | 4.7\% | 4.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 29.2\% | 25.6\% | 17.0\% |
| 84 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 3.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.4\% | 18.0\% | 6.3\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 31.1\% | 20.5\% | 15.8\% |
| 89 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 8.6\% | 3.9\% | 4.7\% | 0.5\% | 13.0\% | 39.2\% | 17.4\% | 12.4\% |
| 90 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 20.1\% | 5.2\% | 1.1\% | 1.2\% | 15.5\% | 29.4\% | 19.0\% | 7.0\% |
| 91 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.6\% | 2.5\% | 0.4\% | 3.2\% | 8.7\% | 33.7\% | 18.2\% | 14.4\% |
| 92 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 15.6\% | 2.1\% | 5.2\% | 0.0\% | 20.3\% | 9.4\% | 39.6\% | 7.3\% |
| 93 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 35.5\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 9.1\% | 4.5\% | 23.6\% | 19.1\% |
| 94 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.0\% | 7.0\% | 72.1\% |
| 95 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 4.9\% | 0.5\% | 3.4\% | 1.0\% | 4.4\% | 18.6\% | 57.4\% |
| 96 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 13.2\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 5.8\% | 0.0\% | 13.7\% | 60.2\% |
| 97 | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 2.9\% | 0.3\% | 2.1\% | 2.9\% | 6.0\% | 17.7\% | 62.5\% |
| 98 | 0.7\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 1.8\% | 1.8\% | 6.9\% | 85.8\% |
| 99 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.2\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 5.9\% | 4.0\% | 11.7\% | 8.9\% | 57.9\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (82-99) | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 12.5\% | 4.6\% | 1.3\% | 1.9\% | 6.3\% | 18.0\% | 17.7\% | 36.5\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (85-99) | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 11.0\% | 4.4\% | 1.1\% | 2.3\% | 7.5\% | 14.0\% | 17.3\% | 41.5\% |

Table H.24. George Adams Fall Fingerling distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC}$ <br> Net | N/CBC Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | U.S. Troll | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 82 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 20.8\% | 4.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 37.0\% | 13.0\% | 20.5\% |
| 83 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 12.6\% | 3.2\% | 3.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 25.3\% | 42.0\% | 10.9\% |
| 84 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 3.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.4\% | 18.1\% | 6.2\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 30.5\% | 22.4\% | 14.5\% |
| 89 | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 10.4\% | 4.1\% | 4.1\% | 0.6\% | 13.2\% | 36.2\% | 20.1\% | 10.5\% |
| 90 | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 21.9\% | 5.5\% | 1.0\% | 1.2\% | 16.0\% | 26.7\% | 19.4\% | 6.1\% |
| 91 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 19.6\% | 2.6\% | 0.4\% | 3.2\% | 8.8\% | 31.9\% | 19.9\% | 13.4\% |
| 92 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 16.6\% | 1.8\% | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 20.3\% | 8.3\% | 41.5\% | 6.5\% |
| 93 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 34.6\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 8.3\% | 4.5\% | 27.8\% | 15.8\% |
| 94 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.3\% | 12.2\% | 63.3\% |
| 95 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 9.5\% | 5.0\% | 1.1\% | 4.6\% | 0.8\% | 4.2\% | 27.9\% | 44.7\% |
| 96 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 15.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.2\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 15.5\% | 54.1\% |
| 97 | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 2.9\% | 0.7\% | 2.1\% | 2.9\% | 5.7\% | 22.8\% | 57.0\% |
| 98 | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.5\% | 1.8\% | 35.9\% | 57.5\% |
| 99 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.8\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 5.9\% | 4.8\% | 11.8\% | 11.2\% | 54.3\% |
| (82-99) | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 12.8\% | 4.8\% | 1.2\% | 2.0\% | 6.3\% | 17.2\% | 23.7\% | 30.6\% |
| (85-99) | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 11.6\% | 4.9\% | 1.1\% | 2.5\% | 7.5\% | 13.4\% | 23.1\% | 34.8\% |

Table H.25. Nisqually Fall Fingerling distribution of reported catch and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\underset{\text { Net }}{\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC}}$ | N/CBC Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | U.S. Net | $\begin{array}{r} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
| 83 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.3\% | 13.3\% | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | 10.8\% | 45.3\% | 1.5\% |
| 84 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 28.8\% | 2.0\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 37.9\% | 21.7\% | 5.6\% |
| 85 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 30.3\% | 0.0\% | 6.1\% | 3.0\% | 7.6\% | 31.8\% | 16.7\% | 4.5\% |
| 86 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.9\% | 13.2\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 36.0\% | 14.9\% | 19.3\% |
| 87 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 14.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 5.3\% | 34.7\% | 18.7\% | 12.7\% |
| 88 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 2.2\% | 0.7\% | 1.5\% | 5.5\% | 17.8\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 8.7\% | 17.5\% | 10.5\% | 30.2\% |
| 89 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 4.6\% | 2.6\% | 3.7\% | 2.0\% | 13.9\% | 44.5\% | 19.2\% | 8.4\% |
| 90 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 23.4\% | 3.5\% | 0.2\% | 1.5\% | 10.5\% | 39.5\% | 12.6\% | 8.5\% |
| 91 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.0\% | 3.6\% | 2.4\% | 1.2\% | 16.4\% | 22.4\% | 26.4\% | 17.6\% |
| 92 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.8\% | 7.7\% | 2.9\% | 2.7\% | 2.7\% | 7.4\% | 18.4\% | 17.0\% | 40.2\% |
| 93 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.6\% | 4.9\% | 2.2\% | 0.5\% | 3.1\% | 22.6\% | 19.4\% | 33.8\% |
| 94 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 22.0\% | 21.2\% | 46.2\% |
| 95 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 5.4\% | 2.5\% | 0.1\% | 2.3\% | 2.7\% | 32.4\% | 24.5\% | 29.7\% |
| 96 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 1.7\% | 42.0\% | 21.3\% | 29.3\% |
| 97 | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 2.6\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 0.9\% | 15.3\% | 24.3\% | 50.6\% |
| 98 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.8\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 34.7\% | 11.5\% | 49.3\% |
| 99 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 3.5\% | 35.9\% | 20.0\% | 30.2\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (83-99) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 10.6\% | 5.4\% | 2.1\% | 1.3\% | 5.2\% | 29.3\% | 20.3\% | 24.6\% |
| (85-99) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 9.0\% | 5.1\% | 1.8\% | 1.5\% | 5.5\% | 30.0\% | 18.5\% | 27.4\% |

Table H.26. Nisqually Fall Fingerling distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Catch } \\ & \text { Year } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Alaska } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Alaska } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Alaska } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { North } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Central } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { N/CBC } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| 83 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.5\% | 9.8\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 9.1\% | 56.1\% | 1.0\% |
| 84 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 28.8\% | 1.7\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 35.2\% | 25.4\% | 4.7\% |
| 85 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 28.6\% | 0.0\% | 4.8\% | 3.6\% | 7.1\% | 31.0\% | 21.4\% | 3.6\% |
| 86 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.7\% | 12.6\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 33.1\% | 19.7\% | 17.3\% |
| 87 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 14.0\% | 12.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 5.9\% | 29.6\% | 23.7\% | 10.2\% |
| 88 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 2.2\% | 0.8\% | 2.2\% | 5.7\% | 19.4\% | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 8.5\% | 16.7\% | 17.2\% | 22.7\% |
| 89 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 3.2\% | 3.3\% | 1.9\% | 15.3\% | 42.2\% | 20.0\% | 7.5\% |
| 90 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 24.4\% | 3.7\% | 0.2\% | 1.5\% | 10.7\% | 37.3\% | 14.0\% | 8.0\% |
| 91 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.8\% | 3.9\% | 2.1\% | 1.4\% | 17.0\% | 20.5\% | 28.6\% | 15.5\% |
| 92 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 7.3\% | 3.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.4\% | 6.9\% | 18.5\% | 29.2\% | 29.8\% |
| 93 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 14.7\% | 5.7\% | 2.0\% | 0.6\% | 3.4\% | 21.8\% | 21.9\% | 29.1\% |
| 94 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 2.4\% | 2.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.6\% | 17.8\% | 40.0\% | 32.3\% |
| 95 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 7.8\% | 2.8\% | 0.3\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 29.9\% | 27.5\% | 25.5\% |
| 96 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 1.8\% | 38.9\% | 26.0\% | 26.4\% |
| 97 | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 3.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.3\% | 4.1\% | 0.9\% | 13.9\% | 32.3\% | 43.1\% |
| 98 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 28.6\% | 29.1\% | 37.7\% |
| 99 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 3.8\% | 34.1\% | 24.6\% | 26.8\% |
| (83-99) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 11.0\% | 5.3\% | 1.8\% | 1.4\% | 5.3\% | 26.9\% | 26.9\% | 20.1\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (85-99) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 9.6\% | 5.3\% | 1.6\% | 1.5\% | 5.7\% | 27.6\% | 25.0\% | $22.4 \%$ |

Table H.27. So. Puget Sound Fall Fingerling distribution of reported catch and escapement.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Catch } \\ & \text { Year } \end{aligned}$ | AlaskaTroll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Alaska } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Alaska } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { North } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Central } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { N/CBC } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GeoSt } \\ \mathrm{Tr} \& \mathrm{Sp} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
| 82 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 22.8\% | 14.2\% | 1.6\% | 0.1\% | 2.8\% | 24.8\% | 21.2\% | 10.8\% |
| 83 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 17.1\% | 5.6\% | 2.6\% | 0.3\% | 1.6\% | 27.1\% | 28.3\% | 14.1\% |
| 84 | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 20.4\% | 8.9\% | 1.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.4\% | 24.5\% | 22.3\% | 18.4\% |
| 85 | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 18.3\% | 6.1\% | 1.6\% | 0.8\% | 1.9\% | 28.7\% | 19.9\% | 21.2\% |
| 86 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 18.4\% | 7.7\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 10.7\% | 22.4\% | 33.9\% |
| 87 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.7\% | 12.7\% | 3.9\% | 0.0\% | 7.2\% | 13.7\% | 10.9\% | 38.9\% |
| 88 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 5.6\% | 7.8\% | 3.9\% | 1.6\% | 7.5\% | 27.0\% | 15.0\% | 29.5\% |
| 89 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 7.5\% | 4.6\% | 4.0\% | 0.8\% | 11.2\% | 21.8\% | 16.4\% | 32.9\% |
| 90 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 23.4\% | 4.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.2\% | 9.2\% | 24.5\% | 12.7\% | 23.1\% |
| 91 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.3\% | 1.8\% | 1.0\% | 1.9\% | 11.6\% | 26.7\% | 13.2\% | 27.9\% |
| 92 | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 17.3\% | 4.3\% | 2.6\% | 1.3\% | 9.0\% | 23.7\% | 18.1\% | 21.5\% |
| 93 | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 15.9\% | 5.4\% | 2.2\% | 2.3\% | 5.5\% | 15.9\% | 21.1\% | 30.6\% |
| 94 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 8.9\% | 3.2\% | 4.1\% | 0.9\% | 0.8\% | 16.3\% | 10.0\% | 55.0\% |
| 95 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 2.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.9\% | 1.4\% | 5.6\% | 11.7\% | 73.3\% |
| 96 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 0.1\% | 1.8\% | 2.9\% | 6.3\% | 14.9\% | 69.3\% |
| 97 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 5.9\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 1.7\% | 3.2\% | 14.7\% | 68.2\% |
| 98 | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 1.7\% | 4.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 2.4\% | 18.4\% | 12.9\% | 51.7\% |
| 99 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | $3.2 \%$ | 2.8\% | 8.5\% | 4.8\% | 72.4\% |
| (82-99) | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 12.2\% | 5.6\% | 1.8\% | 1.3\% | 4.7\% | 18.2\% | 16.1\% | 38.5\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (85-99) | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 10.6\% | 4.9\% | 1.8\% | 1.5\% | 5.3\% | 16.7\% | 14.6\% | 43.3\% |

Table H.28. So. Puget Sound Fall Fingerling distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC <br> Net | N/CBC Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | 82 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 23.1\% | 13.2\% | 1.5\% | 0.1\% | 2.8\% | 23.6\% | 24.5\% | 9.4\% |
|  | 83 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 16.6\% | 4.8\% | 2.3\% | 0.2\% | 1.6\% | 25.1\% | 35.2\% | 11.1\% |
|  | 84 | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 20.7\% | 8.7\% | 0.9\% | 0.3\% | 1.5\% | 23.7\% | 24.6\% | 16.8\% |
|  | 85 | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 18.1\% | 6.1\% | 1.5\% | 0.8\% | 1.9\% | 27.8\% | 22.4\% | 19.8\% |
|  | 86 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 18.4\% | 7.3\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 9.9\% | 27.9\% | 29.6\% |
|  | 87 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 21.1\% | 10.8\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 9.1\% | 11.6\% | 15.2\% | 28.8\% |
|  | 88 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.4\% | 10.1\% | 9.9\% | $3.1 \%$ | 1.4\% | 8.2\% | 23.1\% | 21.2\% | 20.3\% |
|  | 89 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 9.0\% | 5.3\% | 3.7\% | 0.8\% | 12.4\% | 20.8\% | 17.7\% | 29.4\% |
|  | 90 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 24.6\% | 4.1\% | 1.0\% | 1.2\% | 9.5\% | 23.1\% | 14.2\% | 21.2\% |
|  | 91 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.7\% | 2.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.9\% | 12.3\% | 25.4\% | 14.6\% | 25.6\% |
|  | 92 | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 17.5\% | 4.4\% | 2.4\% | 1.3\% | 9.0\% | 21.0\% | 24.1\% | 18.0\% |
|  | 93 | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 18.2\% | 6.2\% | 2.0\% | 2.2\% | 5.8\% | 14.8\% | 22.7\% | 26.6\% |
|  | 94 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 9.5\% | 3.5\% | 4.8\% | 1.0\% | 0.7\% | 15.5\% | 17.2\% | 46.9\% |
|  | 95 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.4\% | 2.6\% | 0.6\% | 1.3\% | 1.5\% | 5.7\% | 17.0\% | 64.4\% |
|  | 96 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 4.8\% | 0.2\% | 2.2\% | 3.3\% | 6.2\% | 17.6\% | 64.3\% |
|  | 97 | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 6.8\% | 2.2\% | 0.3\% | 2.7\% | 1.8\% | 3.1\% | 18.2\% | 63.3\% |
|  | 98 | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 1.4\% | 3.5\% | 0.1\% | $3.0 \%$ | 1.9\% | 14.6\% | 35.2\% | 35.7\% |
|  | 99 | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | $3.2 \%$ | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | $3.2 \%$ | 8.7\% | 6.7\% | 69.1\% |
| $\stackrel{\pi}{\mathbf{T}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N | (82-99) | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 13.5\% | 5.7\% | 1.7\% | 1.3\% | 5.0\% | 16.9\% | 20.9\% | 33.3\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (85-99) | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 12.2\% | 5.1\% | 1.7\% | 1.5\% | 5.6\% | 15.4\% | 19.5\% | 37.5\% |

Table H.29. So. Puget Sound Fall Yearling distribution of reported catch and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC Net | N/CBC <br> Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
| 82 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 14.5\% | 67.1\% | 8.5\% |
| 83 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.8\% | 76.3\% | 5.8\% |
| 84 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.2\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 33.3\% | 43.8\% | 14.1\% |
| 90 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 32.5\% | 54.5\% | 10.7\% |
| 91 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 12.8\% | 57.5\% | 19.6\% |
| 92 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 4.6\% | 28.5\% | 48.8\% | 12.0\% |
| 93 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 10.2\% | 57.2\% | 27.6\% |
| 94 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.8\% | 2.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 15.6\% | 63.3\% | 16.9\% |
| 95 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.4\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.4\% | 10.4\% | 68.7\% | 10.1\% |
| 96 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.6\% | 3.2\% | 88.9\% | 3.3\% |
| 97 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 1.3\% | 3.8\% | 66.0\% | 25.0\% |
| 98 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 4.5\% | 83.1\% | 10.1\% |
| 99 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.7\% | 2.6\% | 71.8\% | 2.6\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (82-99) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 2.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 1.9\% | 14.0\% | 65.2\% | 12.8\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (85-99) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 2.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 2.3\% | 12.4\% | 66.0\% | 13.8\% |

Table H.30. So. Puget Sound Fall Yearling distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | N/CBC Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 82 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 12.8\% | 71.5\% | 6.5\% |
| 83 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.8\% | 78.8\% | 4.7\% |
| 84 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.9\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 31.4\% | 47.1\% | 12.8\% |
| 90 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 30.7\% | 56.7\% | 9.5\% |
| 91 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.4\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 11.4\% | 62.5\% | 16.4\% |
| 92 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 4.8\% | 27.0\% | 51.5\% | 10.3\% |
| 93 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 6.7\% | 74.3\% | 14.9\% |
| 94 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.9\% | 2.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 14.5\% | 67.1\% | 14.0\% |
| 95 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.9\% | 2.0\% | 0.4\% | 1.6\% | 0.3\% | 8.2\% | 74.7\% | 6.9\% |
| 96 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.5\% | 2.8\% | 89.4\% | 2.8\% |
| 97 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 1.2\% | 3.2\% | 71.3\% | 20.5\% |
| 98 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 3.6\% | 86.6\% | 8.0\% |
| 99 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.3\% | 2.1\% | $77.1 \%$ | 2.1\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (82-99) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 2.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 1.7\% | 12.5\% | 69.9\% | 10.0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (85-99) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 2.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 2.1\% | 11.0\% | 71.1\% | 10.5\% |

Table H.31. Nooksack Spring Yearling distribution of reported catch and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska <br> Sport | North <br> Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N/CBC } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | N/CBC <br> Sport | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WCVI } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | Canada Sport | Troll ${ }^{\text {U.S. }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 86 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.9\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 83.9\% |
| 89 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 13.5\% | 7.2\% | 73.0\% |
| 90 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.6\% | 9.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 4.7\% | 32.6\% | 27.9\% |
| 91 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 34.0\% | 5.7\% | 5.0\% | 2.1\% | 8.5\% | 5.3\% | 36.5\% |
| 92 | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.4\% | 17.8\% | 13.3\% | 1.1\% | 1.3\% | 1.0\% | 0.4\% | 8.1\% | 54.6\% |
| 93 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 17.7\% | 6.4\% | 3.8\% | 0.9\% | 5.7\% | 12.3\% | 48.0\% |
| 94 | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 36.4\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 6.1\% | 3.2\% | 47.6\% |
| 95 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 28.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 7.3\% | 61.0\% |
| 96 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 14.1\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 77.0\% |
| 97 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.3\% | 2.7\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 16.2\% | 59.5\% |
| 98 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | 2.2\% | 5.5\% | 25.3\% | 1.1\% | 5.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 6.6\% | 48.4\% |
| 99 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 25.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 2.7\% | 4.3\% | 2.2\% | 59.5\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (86-99) | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.9\% | 0.3\% | 3.3\% | 20.5\% | 2.7\% | 2.1\% | 0.8\% | 4.1\% | 8.8\% | 56.4\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (86-99) | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.9\% | 0.3\% | 3.3\% | 20.5\% | 2.7\% | 2.1\% | 0.8\% | 4.1\% | 8.8\% | 56.4\% |

Table H.32. Nooksack Spring Yearling distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | N/CBC Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | U.S. Sport |  |
| 86 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 13.4\% | 4.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 7.6\% | 3.8\% | 67.6\% |
| 89 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.3\% | 9.2\% | 68.1\% |
| 90 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 4.1\% | 0.0\% | 8.2\% | 28.8\% | 8.2\% | 1.4\% | 1.4\% | 2.7\% | 27.4\% | 16.4\% |
| 91 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 38.4\% | 5.7\% | 4.8\% | 2.4\% | 7.8\% | 6.9\% | 30.9\% |
| 92 | 2.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 19.9\% | 14.8\% | 1.0\% | 1.3\% | 1.0\% | 0.4\% | 10.1\% | 46.8\% |
| 93 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 5.1\% | 21.0\% | 6.1\% | 3.7\% | 0.8\% | 5.4\% | 13.1\% | 44.3\% |
| 94 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 38.1\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 5.8\% | 3.8\% | 45.7\% |
| 95 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 29.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 12.8\% | 53.2\% |
| 96 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.5\% | 16.5\% | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 71.4\% |
| 97 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.8\% | 2.4\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 21.6\% | 52.8\% |
| 98 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 1.9\% | 4.7\% | 26.2\% | 1.9\% | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 13.1\% | 41.1\% |
| 99 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | 30.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 2.9\% | 3.9\% | 3.4\% | 53.4\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (86-99) | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.9\% | 0.3\% | 4.3\% | 23.6\% | 2.6\% | 2.4\% | 0.8\% | 4.4\% | 10.8\% | 49.3\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (86-99) | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.9\% | 0.3\% | 4.3\% | 23.6\% | 2.6\% | 2.4\% | 0.8\% | 4.4\% | 10.8\% | 49.3\% |

Table H.33. Skagit Spring Yearling distribution of reported catch and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | N/CBC Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | U.S. Sport |  |
| 85 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 29.2\% | 26.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.0\% | 15.8\% | 11.7\% |
| 86 | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 6.6\% | 0.0\% | 6.1\% | 42.0\% | 2.8\% | 5.7\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 7.5\% | 19.8\% |
| 87 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 11.2\% | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 22.4\% | 20.6\% | 23.4\% |
| 88 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 16.1\% | 8.2\% | 3.1\% | 1.9\% | 22.0\% | 15.5\% | 24.7\% |
| 89 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 17.7\% | 3.3\% | 0.5\% | 4.5\% | 30.8\% | 8.4\% | 30.3\% |
| 90 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 2.0\% | 0.8\% | 5.1\% | 16.2\% | 4.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.4\% | 16.2\% | 24.1\% | 24.6\% |
| 97 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 20.2\% | 1.6\% | 9.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 20.4\% | 42.7\% |
| 98 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 2.8\% | 5.8\% | 10.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.9\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 16.1\% | 54.2\% |
| 99 | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 1.4\% | 17.2\% | 0.0\% | 6.4\% | 0.3\% | 2.0\% | 17.1\% | 54.0\% |
| (85-99) | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 2.6\% | 0.5\% | 4.0\% | 20.0\% | 5.8\% | 4.0\% | 1.3\% | 12.4\% | 16.2\% | 31.7\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (85-99) | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 2.6\% | 0.5\% | 4.0\% | 20.0\% | 5.8\% | 4.0\% | 1.3\% | 12.4\% | 16.2\% | 31.7\% |

Table H.34. Skagit Spring Yearling distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC Net | N/CBC <br> Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | U.S. Net | $\begin{array}{r} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
| 85 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 6.9\% | 29.2\% | 24.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.2\% | 18.5\% | 10.8\% |
| 86 | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 6.1\% | 42.2\% | 2.6\% | 5.7\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 9.1\% | 18.3\% |
| 87 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 8.1\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 17.5\% | 40.0\% | 15.6\% |
| 88 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 19.0\% | 7.6\% | 3.1\% | 2.2\% | 20.8\% | 17.1\% | 21.7\% |
| 89 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 19.8\% | 3.4\% | 0.6\% | 4.9\% | 28.6\% | 10.4\% | 27.3\% |
| 90 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 2.0\% | 0.7\% | 5.3\% | 16.9\% | 3.9\% | 2.9\% | 3.7\% | 15.4\% | 25.9\% | 22.8\% |
| 97 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 3.3\% | 20.3\% | 2.5\% | 8.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 30.4\% | 31.4\% |
| 98 | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 2.8\% | 5.4\% | 11.2\% | 0.2\% | 7.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 20.0\% | 49.5\% |
| 99 | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 1.4\% | 16.8\% | 0.0\% | 6.3\% | 0.3\% | 1.8\% | 22.2\% | 49.3\% |
| (85-99) | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 2.4\% | 0.5\% | 4.2\% | 20.4\% | 5.4\% | 3.9\% | 1.4\% | 11.2\% | 21.5\% | 27.4\% |
| (85-99) | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 2.4\% | 0.5\% | 4.2\% | 20.4\% | 5.4\% | 3.9\% | 1.4\% | 11.2\% | 21.5\% | 27.4\% |

Table H.35. White River Spring Yearling distribution of reported catch and escapement.

|  | Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Canada | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | 82 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 72.3\% | 22.9\% | 1.2\% |
|  | 83 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 11.3\% | 59.7\% | 21.5\% |
|  | 84 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 5.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 9.0\% | 25.2\% | 47.7\% |
|  | 85 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 30.8\% | 50.6\% | 13.5\% |
|  | 86 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 2.5\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 18.6\% | 50.1\% | 25.7\% |
|  | 87 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 11.1\% | 42.4\% | 41.2\% |
|  | 88 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 2.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 1.3\% | 13.1\% | 48.7\% | 33.8\% |
|  | 89 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.2\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.8\% | 13.1\% | 41.5\% | 36.2\% |
|  | 90 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 5.2\% | 15.2\% | 44.7\% | 31.9\% |
|  | 91 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 4.1\% | 10.8\% | 38.4\% | 43.6\% |
|  | 92 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 2.3\% | 2.3\% | 0.3\% | 2.4\% | 7.9\% | 45.6\% | 36.2\% |
|  | 93 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.6\% | 30.2\% | 61.9\% |
|  | 94 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 45.2\% | 50.7\% |
|  | 95 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 29.5\% | 69.3\% |
|  | 96 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 42.9\% | 55.9\% |
|  | 97 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 39.1\% | 56.0\% |
|  | 98 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 1.6\% | 26.2\% | 69.8\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\tau} \\ & \underset{N}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ | 99 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 30.9\% | 65.4\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (82-99) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | 1.7\% | 12.5\% | 39.6\% | 42.3\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (85-99) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 1.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.2\% | 1.8\% | 8.9\% | 40.4\% | 46.1\% |

Table H．36．White River Spring Yearling distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement．

|  | Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll |  | N／CBC Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\＆Sp | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC}$ Net |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | Escapement |
|  | 82 | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．9\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．9\％ | 3．7\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．9\％ | 59．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 0．9\％ |
|  | 83 | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 1．4\％ | 0．0\％ | 4．3\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 1．4\％ | 10．4\％ | 63．5\％ | 19．0\％ |
|  | 84 | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 4．7\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 3．9\％ | 4．3\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 1．7\％ | 6．9\％ | 46．6\％ | 31．9\％ |
|  | 85 | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 2．5\％ | 1．9\％ | 0．0\％ | 25．9\％ | 60．0\％ | 9．7\％ |
|  | 86 | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．4\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．6\％ | 2．3\％ | 1．8\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．4\％ | 17．3\％ | 54．3\％ | 22．8\％ |
|  | 87 | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 1．0\％ | 0．4\％ | 0．0\％ | 2．5\％ | 8．1\％ | 61．9\％ | 26．1\％ |
|  | 88 | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．3\％ | 2．9\％ | 0．2\％ | 0．2\％ | 1．4\％ | 12．7\％ | 52．4\％ | 29．8\％ |
|  | 89 | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 1．3\％ | 1．3\％ | 1．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 6．0\％ | 11．8\％ | 46．9\％ | 31．7\％ |
|  | 90 | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 2．0\％ | 0．4\％ | 0．6\％ | 0．0\％ | 5．6\％ | 13．5\％ | 50．6\％ | 27．3\％ |
|  | 91 | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．9\％ | 1．3\％ | 0．0\％ | 1．1\％ | 4．1\％ | 9．7\％ | 46．3\％ | 36．6\％ |
|  | 92 | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．5\％ | 0．0\％ | 2．7\％ | 2．5\％ | 2．1\％ | 0．2\％ | 2．7\％ | 7．5\％ | 49．1\％ | 32．8\％ |
|  | 93 | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 1．5\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 2．8\％ | 3．1\％ | 38．9\％ | 53．7\％ |
|  | 94 | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 2．0\％ | 0．8\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 1．6\％ | 52．4\％ | 43．3\％ |
|  | 95 | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．6\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．9\％ | 41．4\％ | 57．1\％ |
|  | 96 | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 1．1\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．3\％ | 48．5\％ | 50．1\％ |
|  | 97 | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 4．7\％ | 48．3\％ | 47．0\％ |
|  | 98 | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．7\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 1．5\％ | 1．5\％ | 32．1\％ | 64．2\％ |
| エ | 99 | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 1．1\％ | 2．2\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 37．1\％ | 59．6\％ |
| 山出 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 心 | （82－99） | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．3\％ | 0．1\％ | 0．0\％ | 1．0\％ | 1．5\％ | 0．5\％ | 0．2\％ | 1．7\％ | 10．8\％ | 48．0\％ | 35．8\％ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （85－99） | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．6\％ | 1．3\％ | 0．6\％ | 0．2\％ | 1．8\％ | 7．9\％ | 48．0\％ | 39．5\％ |

Table H.37. Hoko Fall Fingerling distribution of reported catch and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{gathered}$ | N/CBC Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Canada } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 89 | 4.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 7.6\% | 0.4\% | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 1.6\% | 15.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.8\% | 22.1\% | 29.3\% |
| 90 | 15.9\% | 1.9\% | 0.5\% | 8.1\% | 0.7\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 16.9\% | 0.8\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 1.0\% | 14.4\% | 35.0\% |
| 91 | 15.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 6.9\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 1.0\% | 8.3\% | 59.7\% |
| 92 | 8.0\% | 1.4\% | 1.2\% | 4.4\% | 1.2\% | 1.4\% | 0.5\% | 10.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 2.5\% | 67.8\% |
| 93 | 6.9\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 14.7\% | 1.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 4.6\% | 58.8\% |
| 94 | 13.5\% | 1.8\% | 2.4\% | 14.7\% | 0.6\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 11.4\% | 4.2\% | 1.5\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 47.0\% |
| 95 | 12.6\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 6.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 2.9\% | 1.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 71.1\% |
| 96 | 10.9\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 86.1\% |
| 97 | 14.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 82.6\% |
| 98 | 8.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 84.6\% |
| 99 | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 11.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 80.1\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (89-99) | 10.6\% | 0.5\% | 1.2\% | 6.5\% | 0.4\% | 1.4\% | 0.2\% | 6.8\% | 1.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 4.8\% | 63.8\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (89-99) | 10.6\% | 0.5\% | 1.2\% | 6.5\% | 0.4\% | 1.4\% | 0.2\% | 6.8\% | 1.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 4.8\% | 63.8\% |


Table H.38. Hoko Fall Fingerling distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC Net | N/CBC Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | U.S. <br> Net | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
| 89 | 12.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.3\% | 8.6\% | 1.1\% | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 13.7\% | 1.7\% | 11.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 22.0\% | 20.9\% |
| 90 | 18.5\% | 4.7\% | 0.6\% | 8.6\% | 0.9\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.9\% | 0.7\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.9\% | 14.1\% | 30.1\% |
| 91 | 18.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 5.2\% | 1.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 7.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 1.0\% | 8.9\% | 55.7\% |
| 92 | 8.9\% | 3.9\% | 1.6\% | 5.7\% | 1.1\% | 1.5\% | 0.5\% | 10.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 2.8\% | 62.0\% |
| 93 | 12.5\% | 0.9\% | 2.3\% | 7.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 14.8\% | 1.1\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 4.8\% | 51.1\% |
| 94 | 20.5\% | 3.6\% | 2.6\% | 13.6\% | 0.5\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 3.8\% | 1.5\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 40.3\% |
| 95 | 16.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 7.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 3.7\% | 1.7\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 64.2\% |
| 96 | 14.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 80.5\% |
| 97 | 16.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 79.5\% |
| 98 | 9.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 82.9\% |
| 99 | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 12.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 76.5\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (89-99) | 14.1\% | 1.4\% | 1.5\% | 7.2\% | 0.5\% | 1.2\% | 0.2\% | 7.3\% | 1.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 4.9\% | 58.5\% |
| (89-99) | 14.1\% | 1.4\% | 1.5\% | 7.2\% | 0.5\% | 1.2\% | 0.2\% | 7.3\% | 1.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 4.9\% | 58.5\% |

Table H.39. Sooes Fall Fingerling distribution of reported catch and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC Net | N/CBC Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 89 | 7.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 80.4\% |
| 90 | 9.9\% | 2.8\% | 4.3\% | 14.2\% | 1.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 17.7\% | 7.1\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 34.8\% |
| 91 | 12.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 5.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 64.2\% |
| 92 | 8.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.5\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 19.4\% | 1.0\% | 3.4\% | 1.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 52.0\% |
| 93 | 5.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.6\% | 2.1\% | 2.1\% | 1.3\% | 16.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 64.4\% |
| 94 | 16.8\% | 3.0\% | 4.0\% | 10.4\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 7.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 55.0\% |
| 95 | 8.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 9.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 73.9\% |
| 96 | 8.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 90.7\% |
| 97 | 10.4\% | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 5.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 24.0\% | 0.0\% | 49.7\% |
| 98 | 9.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 17.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 72.6\% |
| 99 | 12.8\% | 0.0\% | 9.9\% | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 69.0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (89-99) | 10.0\% | 0.6\% | 2.3\% | 7.9\% | 0.7\% | 1.0\% | 0.3\% | 7.1\% | 0.9\% | 0.9\% | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | 2.5\% | 1.1\% | 64.2\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (89-99) | 10.0\% | 0.6\% | 2.3\% | 7.9\% | 0.7\% | 1.0\% | 0.3\% | 7.1\% | 0.9\% | 0.9\% | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | 2.5\% | 1.1\% | 64.2\% |

胥
Table H.40. Sooes Fall Fingerling distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC <br> Net | N/CBC <br> Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 89 | 11.3\% | 2.3\% | 0.6\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 67.2\% |
| 90 | 11.6\% | 7.0\% | 4.1\% | 16.3\% | 1.7\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 17.4\% | 6.4\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 28.5\% |
| 91 | 13.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 10.7\% | 0.3\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 7.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.1\% | 59.2\% |
| 92 | 11.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 10.7\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.5\% | 1.2\% | 3.1\% | 0.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 46.8\% |
| 93 | 8.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 7.9\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.2\% | 16.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 59.8\% |
| 94 | 20.5\% | 7.4\% | 3.5\% | 9.6\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 48.5\% |
| 95 | 14.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 12.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 62.8\% |
| 96 | 15.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 83.0\% |
| 97 | 11.7\% | 0.0\% | 6.5\% | 6.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.3\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 24.3\% | 0.0\% | 46.3\% |
| 98 | 10.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 19.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 68.9\% |
| 99 | 13.8\% | 0.0\% | 10.5\% | 8.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 66.7\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (89-99) | 12.9\% | 1.6\% | 2.4\% | 9.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.9\% | 0.3\% | 8.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 2.5\% | 1.2\% | 58.0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (89-99) | 12.9\% | 1.6\% | 2.4\% | 9.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.9\% | 0.3\% | 8.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 2.5\% | 1.2\% | 58.0\% |

Table H.41. Queets Fall Fingerling distribution of reported catch and escapement.


Table H.42. Queets Fall Fingerling distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.


Table H.43. Cowlitz Fall Tule distribution of reported catch and escapement.

| Catch Year | AlaskaTroll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Alaska } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | Alaska Sport | North Troll | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Central } \\ & \text { Troll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | N/CBC Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt Tr\&Sp | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | U.S. Sport |  |
| 81 | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 5.1\% | 16.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 9.7\% | 15.1\% | 13.0\% | 28.1\% |
| 82 | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.4\% | 0.5\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 14.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.9\% | 18.5\% | 9.7\% | 12.5\% | 34.9\% |
| 83 | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 6.7\% | 3.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 17.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 6.9\% | 4.8\% | 18.6\% | 36.1\% |
| 84 | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 7.2\% | 2.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 24.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | 15.0\% | 3.6\% | 36.0\% |
| 85 | 3.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 4.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 11.3\% | 0.4\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | 6.4\% | 13.6\% | 50.1\% |
| 86 | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 12.6\% | 0.4\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 12.9\% | 30.9\% | 12.5\% | 27.4\% |
| 87 | 3.7\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 3.9\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 11.3\% | 22.9\% | 16.1\% | 29.0\% |
| 88 | 1.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 15.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 15.6\% | 24.0\% | 12.4\% | 27.6\% |
| 89 | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.8\% | 7.1\% | 10.6\% | 48.1\% |
| 90 | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 2.9\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 14.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 9.5\% | 0.0\% | 12.0\% | 51.8\% |
| 91 | 10.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 10.5\% | 11.3\% | 9.7\% | 45.2\% |
| 92 | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 17.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.0\% | 5.4\% | 4.9\% | 59.5\% |
| 93 | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.4\% | 3.1\% | 22.3\% | 43.4\% |
| 94 | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 88.7\% |
| 95 | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 4.6\% | 2.3\% | 1.7\% | 81.5\% |
| 96 | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.1\% | 1.1\% | 3.8\% | 82.9\% |
| 97 | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 10.3\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.8\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 68.5\% |
| 98 | 4.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 73.3\% |
| 99 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.9\% | 0.0\% | 19.8\% | 60.3\% |
| (81-99) | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 2.8\% | 0.8\% | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 9.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 9.8\% | 8.4\% | 10.1\% | 51.2\% |
| (85-99) | 3.4\% | 0.1\% | 1.1\% | 2.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.2\% | 7.7\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 9.7\% | 7.6\% | 9.6\% | 55.8\% |

Table H.44. Cowlitz Fall Tule distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.


Table H.45. Lower River Hatchery distribution of reported catch and escapement.


Table H.46. Lower River Hatchery distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC Net | N/CBC Sport | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{array}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
| 80 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 28.7\% | 2.1\% | 4.5\% | 1.0\% | 24.2\% | 7.0\% | 18.7\% | 11.5\% |
| 81 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 31.5\% | 1.7\% | 2.2\% | 0.3\% | 25.8\% | 1.8\% | 11.8\% | 24.3\% |
| 82 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 27.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 20.6\% | 15.7\% | 9.1\% | 23.6\% |
| 83 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 36.7\% | 1.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 12.2\% | 6.6\% | 9.5\% | 29.2\% |
| 84 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 51.6\% | 1.3\% | 1.6\% | 0.2\% | 6.3\% | 11.1\% | 4.1\% | 20.4\% |
| 85 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 30.3\% | 1.1\% | 1.2\% | 0.7\% | 17.6\% | 4.1\% | 6.0\% | 37.8\% |
| 86 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 8.3\% | 2.1\% | 6.2\% | 2.8\% | 6.2\% | 12.4\% | 27.6\% | 33.4\% |
| 87 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 33.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 1.2\% | 17.4\% | 18.7\% | 8.7\% | 17.8\% |
| 88 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 31.7\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 12.0\% | 23.6\% | 3.3\% | 26.4\% |
| 89 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 25.3\% | 5.4\% | 5.4\% | 45.1\% |
| 90 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 22.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 18.3\% | 0.3\% | 11.8\% | 44.9\% |
| 91 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.5\% | 1.0\% | 2.4\% | 1.8\% | 10.9\% | 2.4\% | 17.0\% | 51.7\% |
| 92 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 19.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.2\% | 30.8\% | 0.8\% | 10.7\% | 35.4\% |
| 93 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 21.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 21.5\% | 1.9\% | 11.6\% | 41.2\% |
| 94 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 30.3\% | 15.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 54.5\% |
| 95 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 9.7\% | 83.9\% |
| 96 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.5\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 84.1\% |
| 97 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 21.6\% | 3.4\% | 0.5\% | 3.8\% | 9.6\% | 1.0\% | 12.0\% | 48.1\% |
| 98 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.3\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.6\% | 0.9\% | 1.8\% | 22.8\% | 55.3\% |
| 99 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.4\% | 9.2\% | 4.0\% | 5.5\% | 66.9\% |
| (80-99) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 21.8\% | 1.6\% | 1.3\% | 1.7\% | 13.9\% | 6.4\% | 10.3\% | 41.8\% |
| (85-99) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 17.4\% | 1.6\% | 1.2\% | 2.1\% | 12.6\% | 5.7\% | 10.1\% | 48.4\% |

Table H.47. Spring Creek Tule distribution of reported catch and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC}$ Net | N/CBC Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 79 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 23.3\% | 1.4\% | 2.4\% | 0.1\% | 17.5\% | 23.1\% | 13.1\% | 18.0\% |
| 80 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 25.0\% | 2.8\% | 1.0\% | 0.1\% | 23.6\% | 23.8\% | 10.3\% | 12.7\% |
| 81 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 21.0\% | 1.5\% | 1.9\% | 0.1\% | 23.5\% | 20.7\% | 12.6\% | 18.3\% |
| 82 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 22.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 19.6\% | 35.6\% | 8.3\% | 12.7\% |
| 83 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 29.7\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 8.4\% | 20.1\% | 9.8\% | 29.6\% |
| 84 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 27.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.4\% | 6.0\% | 25.9\% | 7.4\% | 29.2\% |
| 85 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 13.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.7\% | 13.4\% | 26.3\% | 3.9\% | 41.7\% |
| 86 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.6\% | 1.9\% | 1.6\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 36.2\% | 7.9\% | 23.8\% |
| 87 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.8\% | 38.3\% | 20.0\% | 19.1\% |
| 88 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 23.5\% | 1.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.8\% | 18.6\% | 31.5\% | 10.5\% | 11.3\% |
| 89 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 1.0\% | 25.4\% | 35.5\% | 8.5\% | 13.8\% |
| 90 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 18.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 1.5\% | 14.6\% | 23.7\% | 13.5\% | 26.3\% |
| 91 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 11.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.9\% | 15.2\% | 30.6\% | 9.9\% | 30.9\% |
| 92 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.9\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 1.5\% | 26.7\% | 14.7\% | 11.9\% | 31.9\% |
| 93 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 1.9\% | 18.0\% | 21.9\% | 10.7\% | 29.0\% |
| 94 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 2.3\% | 3.5\% | 28.2\% | 0.8\% | 46.2\% |
| 95 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 2.2\% | 1.7\% | 36.9\% | 0.0\% | 52.4\% |
| 96 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 5.9\% | 54.6\% | 3.2\% | 33.2\% |
| 97 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 7.9\% | 38.3\% | 17.9\% | 11.9\% |
| 98 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 3.8\% | 20.0\% | 16.9\% | 56.6\% |
| 99 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | $3.2 \%$ | 16.3\% | 32.4\% | 6.3\% | 40.0\% |
| (79-99) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.9\% | 0.6\% | 0.7\% | 1.4\% | 13.7\% | 29.4\% | 9.7\% | 28.0\% |
| (85-99) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 1.9\% | 12.5\% | 31.3\% | 9.5\% | 31.2\% |

Table H.48. Spring Creek Tule distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

| Catch Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { Alaska } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Alaska } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | Alaska Sport | North Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Central } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | N/CBC Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GeoSt } \\ \text { Tr\&Sp } \end{array}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 79 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 24.8\% | 1.3\% | 2.3\% | 0.1\% | 19.5\% | 21.6\% | 14.0\% | 15.4\% |
| 80 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 25.9\% | 2.6\% | 0.9\% | 0.1\% | 25.3\% | 22.4\% | 11.2\% | 10.8\% |
| 81 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 21.7\% | 1.4\% | 1.8\% | 0.2\% | 25.1\% | 20.0\% | 13.1\% | 16.4\% |
| 82 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 22.6\% | 1.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 22.1\% | 33.9\% | 8.2\% | 11.4\% |
| 83 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 31.4\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 9.0\% | 18.8\% | 12.1\% | 26.3\% |
| 84 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 27.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.4\% | 6.1\% | 24.6\% | 12.7\% | 25.6\% |
| 85 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.6\% | 15.6\% | 26.1\% | 4.0\% | 38.5\% |
| 86 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 21.8\% | 1.8\% | 1.8\% | 2.7\% | 2.7\% | 35.4\% | 8.8\% | 22.1\% |
| 87 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.4\% | 39.5\% | 19.7\% | 14.5\% |
| 88 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 26.8\% | 1.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.8\% | 19.4\% | 28.1\% | 12.3\% | 9.1\% |
| 89 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 1.0\% | 27.5\% | 32.9\% | 8.5\% | 11.9\% |
| 90 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 20.4\% | 0.8\% | 0.8\% | 1.6\% | 15.9\% | 21.8\% | 15.2\% | 22.5\% |
| 91 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 14.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.9\% | 17.0\% | 29.2\% | 10.5\% | 27.4\% |
| 92 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.1\% | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 1.4\% | 29.0\% | 13.9\% | 11.8\% | 28.2\% |
| 93 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.9\% | 19.7\% | 20.3\% | 11.8\% | 25.7\% |
| 94 | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 21.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 2.5\% | 3.8\% | 27.9\% | 1.0\% | 42.5\% |
| 95 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 3.2\% | 2.0\% | 35.8\% | 0.0\% | 47.2\% |
| 96 | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 6.8\% | 54.9\% | 3.5\% | 31.0\% |
| 97 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 21.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 5.5\% | 8.5\% | 35.1\% | 18.1\% | 10.3\% |
| 98 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ | 2.4\% | 4.5\% | $20.1 \%$ | $19.2 \%$ | $52.9 \%$ |
| 99 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 19.0\% | 32.3\% | 6.5\% | 37.0\% |
| (79-99) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.8\% | 1.5\% | 15.0\% | 28.3\% | 10.6\% | 25.1\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (85-99) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.7\% | 2.1\% | 13.9\% | 30.2\% | 10.1\% | 28.1\% |

Table H.49. Columbia River Summers distribution of reported catch and escapement.

| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | N/CBC Sport | WCVI Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Canada } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | U.S. Sport |  |
| 79 | 12.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 6.8\% | 2.3\% | 8.5\% | 0.0\% | 15.3\% | 7.3\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 4.5\% | 35.6\% |
| 80 | 33.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 8.2\% | 3.7\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 15.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 34.1\% |
| 87 | 13.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 5.6\% | 4.8\% | 4.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.6\% | 15.1\% | 0.0\% | 34.1\% |
| 88 | 1.3\% | 0.4\% | 2.6\% | 8.5\% | 0.0\% | 8.5\% | 1.7\% | 17.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 1.3\% | 3.8\% | 17.0\% | 3.4\% | 31.9\% |
| 89 | 5.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 5.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 15.1\% | 1.5\% | 2.3\% | 1.0\% | 14.7\% | 8.6\% | 2.6\% | 41.4\% |
| 90 | 10.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.8\% | 1.1\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 20.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 5.7\% | 11.0\% | 2.6\% | 40.0\% |
| 91 | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.8\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 8.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.8\% | 5.2\% | 6.1\% | 3.1\% | 61.5\% |
| 92 | 13.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 2.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.2\% | 1.3\% | 1.3\% | 57.0\% |
| 93 | 9.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 19.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 7.2\% | 4.6\% | 2.0\% | 49.0\% |
| 94 | 12.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.8\% | 0.0\% | 68.3\% |
| 95 | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 92.2\% |
| 96 | 14.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | 72.2\% |
| 97 | 13.4\% | 0.1\% | 5.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.4\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.2\% | 0.3\% | 1.4\% | 68.5\% |
| 98 | 9.5\% | 0.3\% | 1.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 2.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.5\% | 82.7\% |
| 99 | 11.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.6\% | 12.3\% | 1.2\% | 2.0\% | 59.4\% |
| (79-99) | 11.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.9\% | 3.5\% | 1.0\% | 2.3\% | 1.2\% | 9.3\% | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 5.8\% | 5.4\% | 1.9\% | 55.2\% |
| (85-99) | 9.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 2.8\% | 0.7\% | 1.9\% | 1.4\% | 8.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.8\% | 6.6\% | 5.9\% | 1.8\% | 58.3\% |

Table H.50. Columbia River Summers distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | N/CBC Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 79 | 15.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 7.7\% | 3.4\% | 7.7\% | 1.0\% | 16.8\% | 6.7\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 3.8\% | 4.3\% | 30.3\% |
| 80 | 33.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 8.5\% | 3.8\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 16.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 32.9\% |
| 87 | 16.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 8.0\% | 3.7\% | 4.3\% | 1.2\% | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 19.6\% | 11.7\% | 0.6\% | 26.4\% |
| 88 | 2.1\% | 1.1\% | 3.2\% | 11.2\% | 0.0\% | 8.1\% | 1.4\% | 22.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 1.1\% | 3.9\% | 14.7\% | 3.2\% | 26.3\% |
| 89 | 7.2\% | 2.1\% | 0.7\% | 5.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 16.6\% | 1.4\% | 2.1\% | 1.0\% | 15.0\% | 7.7\% | 2.6\% | 36.3\% |
| 90 | 11.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 1.2\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 21.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 5.9\% | 10.5\% | 2.6\% | 37.7\% |
| 91 | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 0.8\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 9.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.8\% | 5.5\% | 6.1\% | 3.3\% | 59.8\% |
| 92 | 17.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 1.8\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 14.7\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.3\% | 1.2\% | 1.5\% | 52.3\% |
| 93 | 10.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 21.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 7.5\% | 4.4\% | 1.9\% | 46.9\% |
| 94 | 15.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.1\% | 0.0\% | 63.6\% |
| 95 | 4.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 86.7\% |
| 96 | 23.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 60.0\% |
| 97 | 15.0\% | 0.1\% | 6.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.5\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.8\% | 0.3\% | 1.5\% | 64.9\% |
| 98 | 10.8\% | 0.9\% | 1.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 2.2\% | 1.4\% | 0.5\% | 80.2\% |
| 99 | 15.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 12.1\% | 1.2\% | 2.0\% | 56.2\% |
| (79-99) | 13.6\% | 0.3\% | 1.0\% | 4.0\% | 1.0\% | 2.2\% | 1.5\% | 10.8\% | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.7\% | 6.0\% | 4.8\% | 1.9\% | 50.7\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (85-99) | 12.0\% | 0.4\% | 1.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.6\% | 1.9\% | 1.7\% | 9.9\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.8\% | 6.7\% | 5.2\% | 1.8\% | 53.6\% |

Table H.51. Willamette Spring distribution of reported catch and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC | N/CBC <br> Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | U.S. <br> Net | $\begin{array}{r} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
| 80 | 7.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 10.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.8\% | 0.1\% | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.6\% | 15.7\% | 57.5\% |
| 81 | 8.8\% | 1.1\% | 0.3\% | 11.9\% | 0.7\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 3.1\% | 18.3\% | 52.0\% |
| 82 | 4.2\% | 1.4\% | 0.3\% | 6.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 4.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 7.2\% | 24.7\% | 49.9\% |
| 83 | 13.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 11.8\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 6.4\% | 21.0\% | 42.1\% |
| 84 | 4.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 2.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 1.9\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 6.2\% | 23.9\% | 59.6\% |
| 85 | 5.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 18.2\% | 20.4\% | 54.3\% |
| 86 | 3.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 6.6\% | 0.6\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 9.1\% | 17.1\% | 54.3\% |
| 87 | 10.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 13.3\% | 0.8\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 2.5\% | 6.3\% | 27.0\% | 36.5\% |
| 88 | 9.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 6.2\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 6.9\% | 28.7\% | 42.6\% |
| 89 | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 1.5\% | 12.6\% | 20.3\% | 56.8\% |
| 90 | 6.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 1.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 1.3\% | 17.0\% | 27.7\% | 42.1\% |
| 91 | 3.1\% | 0.6\% | 1.3\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 6.0\% | 42.7\% | 43.0\% |
| 92 | 3.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 2.4\% | 5.9\% | 31.4\% | 50.6\% |
| 93 | 8.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.5\% | 0.8\% | 43.0\% | 43.5\% |
| 94 | 4.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 5.1\% | 39.2\% | 49.2\% |
| 95 | 2.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 43.8\% | 50.9\% |
| 96 | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.2\% | 7.8\% | 88.5\% |
| 97 | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.8\% | 15.8\% | 79.0\% |
| 98 | 4.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 16.3\% | 78.4\% |
| 99 | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 19.4\% | 73.0\% |
| (80-99) | 5.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 4.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.9\% | 5.7\% | 25.2\% | 55.2\% |
| (85-99) | 5.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 2.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.9\% | 6.1\% | 26.7\% | 56.2\% |

Table H.52. Willamette Spring distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

| Catch <br> Year | Alaska Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Alaska } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | Alaska Sport | North Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Central } \\ \text { Troll } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | N/CBC Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GeoSt } \\ & \text { Tr\&Sp } \end{aligned}$ | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 80 | 9.4\% | 0.9\% | 0.7\% | 13.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.8\% | 0.1\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.7\% | 15.3\% | 52.1\% |
| 81 | 11.2\% | 1.1\% | 0.5\% | 13.4\% | 0.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 3.0\% | 18.1\% | 47.8\% |
| 82 | 5.9\% | 1.4\% | 0.5\% | 7.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 7.0\% | 24.7\% | 46.2\% |
| 83 | 19.1\% | 0.1\% | 1.1\% | 13.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 5.8\% | 19.6\% | 36.1\% |
| 84 | 4.8\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 2.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 2.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 6.3\% | 24.6\% | 57.3\% |
| 85 | 8.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 17.5\% | 20.6\% | 51.4\% |
| 86 | 5.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 7.5\% | 0.7\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 6.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 8.8\% | 17.1\% | 50.5\% |
| 87 | 18.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 15.2\% | 1.2\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 3.2\% | 5.2\% | 22.8\% | 28.1\% |
| 88 | 12.8\% | 0.9\% | 0.1\% | 8.1\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 6.7\% | 27.0\% | 37.3\% |
| 89 | 5.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 1.8\% | 12.4\% | 20.7\% | 53.9\% |
| 90 | 10.2\% | 0.9\% | 0.9\% | 2.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 1.5\% | 15.8\% | 26.8\% | 38.0\% |
| 91 | 4.4\% | 1.5\% | 1.6\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 5.9\% | 42.6\% | 40.0\% |
| 92 | 8.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.8\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 2.9\% | 5.5\% | 30.2\% | 44.9\% |
| 93 | 13.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.7\% | 0.8\% | 41.2\% | 38.8\% |
| 94 | 5.9\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 5.0\% | 39.7\% | 46.4\% |
| 95 | 5.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 43.8\% | 47.5\% |
| 96 | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.2\% | 8.2\% | 86.5\% |
| 97 | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.8\% | 16.5\% | 77.2\% |
| 98 | 5.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 17.1\% | 75.7\% |
| 99 | 9.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 19.6\% | 68.6\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (80-99) | 8.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 4.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.1\% | 5.5\% | 24.8\% | 51.2\% |
| (85-99) | 8.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 3.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.0\% | 5.8\% | 26.3\% | 52.3\% |

Table H.53. Lewis River Wild distribution of reported catch and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | N/CBC Sport | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{array}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
| 81 | 6.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 3.3\% | 1.4\% | 0.2\% | 1.6\% | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 4.2\% | 15.8\% | 57.8\% |
| 82 | 6.2\% | 1.3\% | 0.3\% | 3.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 0.4\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 6.2\% | 23.0\% | 41.8\% |
| 86 | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.6\% | 2.2\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 3.3\% | 26.2\% | 12.1\% | 38.8\% |
| 87 | 4.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 4.7\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 2.7\% | 25.3\% | 6.2\% | 46.7\% |
| 88 | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 8.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.6\% | 23.0\% | 16.7\% | 38.7\% |
| 89 | 1.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 4.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 5.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.3\% | 4.9\% | 9.5\% | 7.4\% | 64.3\% |
| 90 | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.4\% | 12.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 3.9\% | 3.3\% | 5.2\% | 66.1\% |
| 91 | 6.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 15.8\% | 7.1\% | 56.6\% |
| 92 | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 6.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 4.5\% | 23.4\% | 54.8\% |
| 93 | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 6.6\% | 9.2\% | 63.4\% |
| 94 | 6.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 83.2\% |
| 95 | 6.9\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 25.6\% | 54.5\% |
| 96 | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 0.9\% | 6.5\% | 83.0\% |
| 97 | 17.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.9\% | 71.1\% |
| 98 | 13.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 1.2\% | 77.1\% |
| 99 | 4.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 94.0\% |
| (81-99) | 6.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 3.2\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 2.2\% | 8.1\% | 10.4\% | 62.0\% |
| (85-99) | 6.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 3.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 2.1\% | 8.5\% | 9.1\% | 63.7\% |

Table H.54. Lewis River Wild distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.


Table H.55. Columbia River Upriver Bright distribution of reported catch and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | N/CBC <br> Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 79 | 19.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 7.5\% | 3.9\% | 3.6\% | 0.1\% | 11.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 22.6\% | 1.8\% | 26.2\% |
| 80 | 20.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.8\% | 6.5\% | 1.6\% | 1.7\% | 0.1\% | 7.3\% | 1.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 6.4\% | 1.8\% | 50.2\% |
| 81 | 16.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 5.5\% | 1.1\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 3.6\% | 1.0\% | 65.6\% |
| 82 | 6.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 3.5\% | 0.2\% | 1.1\% | 0.1\% | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 2.5\% | 0.7\% | 79.1\% |
| 83 | 15.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.6\% | 10.6\% | 1.8\% | 3.5\% | 0.4\% | 3.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 8.1\% | 0.0\% | 54.8\% |
| 84 | 14.9\% | 1.1\% | 1.0\% | 8.5\% | 2.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.2\% | 7.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 15.1\% | 1.7\% | 45.7\% |
| 85 | 9.3\% | 1.2\% | 0.7\% | 8.8\% | 0.8\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 0.1\% | 1.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 32.6\% | 4.5\% | 31.3\% |
| 86 | 11.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 7.8\% | 1.2\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 32.7\% | 2.3\% | 35.2\% |
| 87 | 14.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.9\% | 12.2\% | 1.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 7.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 1.4\% | 34.6\% | 3.6\% | 21.8\% |
| 88 | 10.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 7.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 11.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 46.9\% | 2.6\% | 16.7\% |
| 89 | 11.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 14.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.4\% | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 41.5\% | 2.0\% | 18.2\% |
| 90 | 12.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 9.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 7.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | $32.1 \%$ | 2.2\% | 32.0\% |
| 91 | 5.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 17.9\% | 4.1\% | 57.1\% |
| 92 | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 10.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 15.4\% | 6.2\% | 57.6\% |
| 93 | 10.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.7\% | 16.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 14.9\% | 6.2\% | 43.0\% |
| 94 | 9.7\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.9\% | 1.0\% | 6.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 13.9\% | 3.4\% | 55.2\% |
| 95 | 7.2\% | 0.1\% | 1.3\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 9.1\% | 4.0\% | 70.5\% |
| 96 | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 20.3\% | 5.1\% | 70.0\% |
| 97 | 10.4\% | 0.3\% | 2.4\% | 4.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.9\% | 19.0\% | 13.7\% | 47.3\% |
| $98$ | 6.4\% | 1.2\% | 1.3\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.3\% | 5.4\% | 71.6\% |
| 99 | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 7.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.3\% | 15.0\% | 7.5\% | 62.3\% |
| (79-99) | 10.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.9\% | 6.7\% | 0.8\% | 1.0\% | 0.3\% | 6.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 19.8\% | 3.8\% | 48.2\% |
| (85-99) | 8.5\% | 0.4\% | 1.0\% | 6.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.9\% | 23.8\% | 4.9\% | 46.0\% |

Table H.56. Columbia River Upriver Bright distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Catch } \\ & \text { Year } \end{aligned}$ | Alaska Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Alaska } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | Alaska Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { North } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Central } \\ \text { Troll } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { N/CBC } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
| 79 | 19.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.7\% | 7.7\% | 4.0\% | 3.7\% | 0.1\% | 12.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 22.1\% | 2.0\% | 25.3\% |
| 80 | 21.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.8\% | 6.8\% | 1.7\% | 1.7\% | 0.1\% | 7.6\% | 1.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 6.3\% | 1.9\% | 48.3\% |
| 81 | 17.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 5.7\% | 1.1\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.6\% | 3.6\% | 1.1\% | 63.9\% |
| 82 | 9.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 4.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% | 0.2\% | 5.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 2.5\% | 0.7\% | 74.9\% |
| 83 | 22.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 11.6\% | 1.9\% | 3.3\% | 0.4\% | 3.8\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 7.3\% | 0.0\% | 47.6\% |
| 84 | 18.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.6\% | 9.6\% | 2.2\% | 1.4\% | 0.2\% | 8.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 14.2\% | 2.0\% | 40.4\% |
| 85 | 13.1\% | 2.1\% | 0.9\% | 8.9\% | 0.8\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 8.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 30.7\% | 4.5\% | 28.0\% |
| 86 | 13.1\% | 1.4\% | 0.9\% | 8.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 31.4\% | 2.5\% | 32.8\% |
| 87 | 19.3\% | 0.9\% | 0.9\% | 12.9\% | 1.9\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 8.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 1.5\% | 30.9\% | 3.4\% | 18.9\% |
| 88 | 11.6\% | 2.1\% | 0.8\% | 7.9\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 12.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 44.0\% | 2.7\% | 15.4\% |
| 89 | 14.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 15.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.4\% | 7.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 39.6\% | 2.0\% | 17.0\% |
| 90 | 13.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 10.3\% | 0.8\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 31.0\% | 2.4\% | 30.5\% |
| 91 | 7.2\% | 1.3\% | 0.9\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 17.2\% | 4.1\% | 52.8\% |
| 92 | 4.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 12.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 15.2\% | 6.4\% | 53.6\% |
| 93 | $16.0 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 17.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 13.4\% | 5.7\% | 37.3\% |
| 94 | 11.5\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.9\% | 1.0\% | 7.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 13.3\% | 3.4\% | 52.1\% |
| 95 | $8.9 \%$ | 0.2\% | 1.9\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 8.9\% | 4.1\% | 65.8\% |
| 96 | $4.9 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 20.7\% | 5.4\% | 67.2\% |
| 97 | 11.9\% | 0.4\% | 3.0\% | 4.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 1.0\% | 18.4\% | 13.9\% | 44.6\% |
| 98 | 7.9\% | 3.7\% | 1.9\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.1\% | 5.5\% | 66.8\% |
| 99 | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 8.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.4\% | 14.9\% | 7.7\% | 60.1\% |
| (79-99) | 12.7\% | 0.8\% | 1.1\% | 7.2\% | 0.8\% | 1.0\% | 0.3\% | 6.9\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.9\% | 18.9\% | 3.9\% | 44.9\% |
| (85-99) | 10.6\% | 0.9\% | 1.2\% | 7.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 7.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.9\% | 22.7\% | 4.9\% | 42.9\% |

Table H.57. Hanford Wild Brights distribution of reported catch and escapement

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{CBC} \\ \mathrm{Net} \end{array}$ | N/CBC <br> Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { WCVI } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | Canada Net | Canada Sport | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | U.S. Sport |  |
| 90 | 8.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 8.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.9\% | 0.4\% | 22.0\% | 6.9\% | 47.7\% |
| 91 | 7.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 8.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 4.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 20.5\% | 3.9\% | 52.4\% |
| 92 | 15.6\% | 1.3\% | 1.3\% | 5.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 17.3\% | 2.6\% | 40.4\% |
| 93 | 14.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.8\% | 5.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 1.0\% | 3.6\% | 15.5\% | 7.9\% | 45.2\% |
| 94 | 13.9\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.6\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 11.9\% | 5.2\% | 56.8\% |
| 95 | 9.8\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.7\% | 6.3\% | 66.2\% |
| 96 | 9.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 26.0\% | 7.1\% | 56.9\% |
| 97 | 21.3\% | 0.9\% | 1.3\% | 5.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 19.7\% | 3.6\% | 43.2\% |
| 98 | 18.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 25.9\% | 4.1\% | 38.2\% |
| 99 | 8.4\% | 1.1\% | 1.8\% | 7.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.8\% | 20.4\% | 51.6\% |
| (90-99) | 12.6\% | 0.5\% | 1.1\% | 5.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 4.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 17.7\% | 6.8\% | 49.8\% |
| (90-99) | 12.6\% | 0.5\% | 1.1\% | 5.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 4.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 17.7\% | 6.8\% | 49.8\% |

$\stackrel{7}{4}$
Table H.58. Hanford Wild Brights distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  | Escapement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Year | Alaska Troll | Alaska Net | Alaska Sport | North Troll | Central Troll | N/CBC Net | N/CBC <br> Sport | WCVI <br> Troll | GeoSt <br> Tr\&Sp | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { Net } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Canada } \\ \text { Sport } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Troll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Sport } \end{array}$ |  |
| 90 | 9.1\% | 1.5\% | 0.4\% | 5.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 8.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 21.2\% | 6.9\% | 44.7\% |
| 91 | 9.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 8.9\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 4.5\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 19.7\% | 4.0\% | 49.9\% |
| 92 | 17.5\% | 4.0\% | 1.4\% | 6.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 15.5\% | 2.3\% | 35.6\% |
| 93 | 20.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.9\% | 3.6\% | 14.0\% | 7.7\% | 40.2\% |
| 94 | 16.7\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 0.2\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 11.2\% | 5.2\% | 53.0\% |
| 95 | 11.6\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.4\% | 6.3\% | 62.6\% |
| 96 | 12.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 25.3\% | 7.3\% | 54.4\% |
| 97 | 23.2\% | 1.5\% | 1.5\% | 5.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 18.7\% | 3.6\% | 40.6\% |
| 98 | 21.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 24.1\% | 4.1\% | 34.3\% |
| 99 | 11.0\% | 2.6\% | 1.9\% | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.4\% | 20.1\% | 47.7\% |
| (90-99) | 15.2\% | 1.2\% | 1.2\% | 6.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 4.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 16.8\% | 6.7\% | 46.3\% |
| (90-99) | 15.2\% | 1.2\% | 1.2\% | 6.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 4.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 16.8\% | 6.7\% | 46.3\% |

Table H.59. Salmon River distribution of reported catch and escapement.


Table H.60. Salmon River distribution of total fishing mortalities and escapement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch | Alaska | Alaska | Alaska | North | Central | N/CBC | N/CBC | WCVI | GeoSt | Canada | Canada | U.S. | U.S. | U.S. |  |
| Year | Troll | Net | Sport | Troll | Troll | Net | Sport | Troll | Tr\&Sp | Net | Sport | Troll | Net | Sport | Escapement |
| 81 | 16.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 28.8\% | 0.9\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 16.4\% | 28.6\% |
| 82 | 15.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.4\% | 16.7\% | 1.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 7.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 20.1\% | 34.5\% |
| 83 | 27.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 20.8\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.4\% | 26.5\% |
| 84 | 12.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 17.7\% | 3.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 21.6\% | 39.4\% |
| 85 | 15.3\% | 11.7\% | 0.1\% | 18.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 18.5\% | 33.2\% |
| 86 | 23.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 11.0\% | 4.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 14.6\% | 42.4\% |
| 87 | 17.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 15.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 22.3\% | 38.5\% |
| 88 | 15.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.7\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 15.1\% | 53.8\% |
| 89 | 19.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 20.6\% | 35.3\% |
| 90 | 18.7\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 13.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.8\% | 8.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 22.5\% | 30.9\% |
| 91 | 24.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 16.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 6.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 22.8\% | 28.2\% |
| 92 | 4.9\% | 1.8\% | 0.2\% | 8.4\% | 0.9\% | 0.3\% | 1.8\% | 17.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.1\% | 46.8\% |
| 93 | 11.2\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 17.7\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 19.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 20.6\% | 25.8\% |
| 94 | 16.0\% | 0.4\% | 1.0\% | 15.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 1.5\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 16.7\% | 42.8\% |
| 95 | 10.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 6.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 29.6\% | 49.5\% |
| 96 | 20.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 46.0\% | 25.5\% |
| 97 | 32.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 3.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 18.7\% | 41.8\% |
| 98 | 12.2\% | 1.3\% | 0.5\% | 12.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 32.3\% | 40.6\% |
| 99 | 17.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 31.8\% | 42.2\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (81-99) | 17.3\% | 1.1\% | 0.3\% | 13.5\% | 0.8\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 22.1\% | 37.2\% |
| (85-99) | 17.2\% | 1.2\% | 0.4\% | 11.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.6\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 23.1\% | 38.5\% |

Appendix I. Abundance indices for the AABM fisheries.

Abundance Indices for 1979 through 2001 for SEAK, NBC, and WCVI.

| Year | SEAK | NBC | WCVI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | 0.98 | 1.05 | 1.10 |
| 1980 | 1.02 | 0.98 | 0.96 |
| 1981 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.95 |
| 1982 | 1.08 | 1.03 | 0.99 |
| 1983 | 1.22 | 1.15 | 0.81 |
| 1984 | 1.36 | 1.27 | 0.91 |
| 1985 | 1.27 | 1.26 | 0.92 |
| 1986 | 1.46 | 1.43 | 0.98 |
| 1987 | 1.72 | 1.70 | 1.30 |
| 1988 | 2.03 | 1.78 | 1.02 |
| 1989 | 1.82 | 1.65 | 0.90 |
| 1990 | 1.90 | 1.65 | 0.85 |
| 1991 | 1.87 | 1.53 | 0.72 |
| 1992 | 1.70 | 1.41 | 0.73 |
| 1993 | 1.72 | 1.42 | 0.66 |
| 1994 | 1.62 | 1.27 | 0.47 |
| 1995 | 1.07 | 0.94 | 0.38 |
| 1996 | 0.92 | 0.91 | 0.44 |
| 1997 | 1.27 | 1.12 | 0.53 |
| 1998 | 1.22 | 1.00 | 0.50 |
| 1999 | 1.12 | 0.97 | 0.50 |
| 2000 | 1.10 | 0.95 | 0.47 |
| 2001 | 1.14 | 1.02 | 0.66 |

## Appendix J. Stock composition of the AABM fisheries.
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Southeast Alaska All Gear

| Model Stock | $2000$ <br> Percent <br> Fishery | Average ( 1985-1999) |  |  | Escapement Indicator Stocks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percent <br> Fishery | Percent <br> Stock (C) | Percent <br> Stock (C\&E) |  |
| Columbia Upriver Bright | 24.38\% | 18.03\% | 27.20\% | 14.37\% | Columbia Upriver Bright |
| WCVI Hatchery | 4.56\% | 17.75\% | 34.73\% | 14.65\% | NA |
| North/Central BC | 22.39\% | 15.64\% | 33.72\% | 11.23\% | Yakoun |
|  |  |  |  |  | Nass |
|  |  |  |  |  | Skeena |
|  |  |  |  |  | Area 6 Index |
|  |  |  |  |  | Area 8 Index |
|  |  |  |  |  | Rivers Inlet |
|  |  |  |  |  | Smith Inlet |
| Oregon Coastal North Migrating | 11.59\% | 13.08\% | 28.42\% | 14.14\% | Oregon Coastal |
| Fraser Early | 6.26\% | 5.58\% | 20.42\% | 6.16\% | Upper Fraser |
|  |  |  |  |  | Middle Fraser |
|  |  |  |  |  | Thompson |
| WCVI Wild | 0.75\% | 4.91\% | $34.81 \%$ | 14.50\% | WCVI |
| Alaska South SE | 7.72\% | 4.23\% | 95.86\% | 36.83\% | King Salmon |
|  |  |  |  |  | Andrew Creek |
|  |  |  |  |  | Blossom |
|  |  |  |  |  | Keta |
|  |  |  |  |  | Unuk |
|  |  |  |  |  | Chickamin |
| Mid-Columbia Brights | 4.57\% | 4.02\% | 28.02\% | 11.09\% | Not Represented |
| Upper Georgia Strait | 6.05\% | 3.95\% | 32.12\% | 19.21\% | Upper Georgia Strait |
| Washington Coastal Wild | 2.71\% | 3.70\% | 14.32\% | 8.97\% | Grays Harbor Fall |
|  |  |  |  |  | Quillayute Fall |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hoh Fall |
|  |  |  |  |  | Queets Fall |
| WA Coastal Hatchery | 1.29\% | 2.86\% | 13.51\% | 8.38\% | NA |
| Willamette River Hatchery | 2.07\% | 1.95\% | 8.82\% | 4.23\% | NA |
| Columbia Upriver Summer | 2.82\% | 1.52\% | 29.32\% | 9.85\% | Columbia Upriver Summer |
| Lewis River Wild | 0.43\% | 0.93\% | 13.54\% | 6.41\% | Lewis River |
| Lower GS Hatchery | 0.83\% | 0.44\% | 2.07\% | 1.38\% | NA |
| Lower Georgia Strait | 0.34\% | 0.33\% | 2.33\% | 1.53\% | Lower Georgia Strait |
| Fraser Late | 0.47\% | 0.24\% | 0.34\% | 0.13\% | Harrison |
| Fall Cowlitz Hatchery | 0.06\% | 0.17\% | 5.60\% | 2.35\% | NA |
| PS Hatchery Fingerling | 0.24\% | 0.16\% | 0.43\% | 0.25\% | NA |
| Skagit Summer/Fall | 0.12\% | 0.11\% | 3.35\% | 1.04\% | Skagit Summer/Fall |
| Spring Cowlitz Hatchery | 0.05\% | 0.09\% | 1.14\% | 0.76\% | NA |
| Puget Sound Natural | 0.06\% | 0.08\% | 0.42\% | 0.24\% | Green |
| Stillaguamish Summer/Fall | 0.08\% | 0.06\% | 11.91\% | 4.91\% | Stillaguamish |
| Nooksack Fall | 0.03\% | 0.05\% | 0.13\% | 0.10\% | NA |
| Snake River Fall | 0.06\% | 0.05\% | 7.29\% | 5.00\% | Not Represented |
| Snohomish Summer/Fall | 0.05\% | 0.04\% | 2.59\% | 0.84\% | Snohomish |
| PS Yearling | 0.04\% | 0.03\% | 0.47\% | 0.33\% | NA |
| Nooksack Spring | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | Not Represented |
| Spring Creek Hatchery | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | NA |
| Lower Bonneville Hatchery | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | NA |

North Troll and Sport

| Model Stock |  | Average (1985-1999) |  |  | Escapement Indicator Stocks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percent <br> Fishery | Percent <br> Stock (C) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Percent } \\ \text { Stock (C\&E) } \end{array}$ |  |
| North/Central BC | 64.83\% | 30.07\% | 46.90\% | 16.33\% | Yakoun |
|  |  |  |  |  | Nass |
|  |  |  |  |  | Skeena |
|  |  |  |  |  | Area 6 Index |
|  |  |  |  |  | Area 8 Index |
|  |  |  |  |  | Rivers Inlet |
|  |  |  |  |  | Smith Inlet |
| Oregon Coastal North Migrating | 3.86\% | 16.25\% | 30.10\% | 15.92\% | Oregon Coastal |
| Columbia Upriver Bright | 5.26\% | 10.98\% | 14.13\% | 7.76\% | Columbia Upriver Bright |
| WCVI Hatchery | 0.66\% | 9.23\% | 14.38\% | 6.64\% | NA |
| Fraser Early | 1.66\% | 4.85\% | 15.99\% | 5.23\% | Upper Fraser |
|  |  |  |  |  | Middle Fraser |
|  |  |  |  |  | Thompson |
| Washington Coastal Wild | 0.89\% | 4.56\% | 15.17\% | 10.09\% | Grays Harbor Fall |
|  |  |  |  |  | Quillayute Fall |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hoh Fall |
|  |  |  |  |  | Queets Fall |
| Upper Georgia Strait | 8.96\% | 4.25\% | 28.20\% | 17.34\% | Upper Georgia Strait |
| Willamette River Hatchery | 1.13\% | 3.98\% | 13.95\% | 7.40\% | NA |
| WA Coastal Hatchery | 0.43\% | 3.52\% | 14.97\% | 9.41\% | NA |
| WCVI Wild | 0.11\% | 2.52\% | 14.24\% | 6.49\% | WCVI |
| Mid-Columbia Brights | 1.02\% | 2.39\% | 14.55\% | 6.16\% | Not Represented |
| Columbia Upriver Summer | 2.94\% | 1.39\% | 20.99\% | 7.54\% | Columbia Upriver Summer |
| Lower GS Hatchery | 2.20\% | 1.33\% | 5.18\% | 3.50\% | NA |
| Fraser Late | 1.91\% | 0.93\% | 0.96\% | 0.43\% | Harrison |
| Lower Georgia Strait | 0.95\% | 0.92\% | 5.10\% | 3.48\% | Lower Georgia Strait |
| Lewis River Wild | 0.22\% | 0.46\% | 4.75\% | 2.67\% | Lewis River |
| Skagit Summer/Fall | 0.71\% | 0.45\% | 11.89\% | 3.79\% | Skagit Summer/Fall |
| Nooksack Fall | 0.57\% | 0.39\% | 0.83\% | 0.64\% | NA |
| PS Hatchery Fingerling | 0.70\% | 0.35\% | 0.76\% | 0.44\% | NA |
| Spring Cowlitz Hatchery | 0.09\% | 0.26\% | 2.64\% | 1.91\% | NA |
| Snohomish Summer/Fall | 0.32\% | 0.22\% | 10.76\% | 3.81\% | Snohomish |
| Fall Cowlitz Hatchery | 0.05\% | 0.16\% | 3.94\% | 1.88\% | NA |
| Puget Sound Natural | 0.14\% | 0.16\% | 0.67\% | 0.40\% | Green |
| Alaska South SE | 0.08\% | 0.13\% | 2.91\% | 1.05\% | King Salmon |
|  |  |  |  |  | Andrew Creek |
|  |  |  |  |  | Blossom |
|  |  |  |  |  | Keta |
|  |  |  |  |  | Unuk |
|  |  |  |  |  | Chickamin |
| PS Yearling | 0.18\% | 0.13\% | 1.62\% | 1.15\% | NA |
| Snake River Fall | 0.02\% | 0.05\% | 6.80\% | 4.88\% | Not Represented |
| Stillaguamish Summer/Fall | 0.10\% | 0.05\% | 7.01\% | 3.03\% | Stillaguamish |
| Spring Creek Hatchery | 0.01\% | 0.01\% | 0.06\% | 0.05\% | NA |
| Nooksack Spring | 0.00\% | 0.01\% | 1.42\% | 0.57\% | Not Represented |
| Lower Bonneville Hatchery | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | NA |


| Central Troll |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Model Stock | 2000 | Average ( 1985-1999) |  |  | Escapement Indicator Stocks |
|  | Percent <br> Fishery | Percent <br> Fishery | Percent Stock (C) | Percent <br> Stock (C\&E) |  |
| Fraser Late | 30.95\% | 21.91\% | 3.16\% | 1.85\% | Harrison |
| WCVI Hatchery | 4.13\% | 17.12\% | 4.75\% | 2.20\% | NA |
| Columbia Upriver Bright | 10.73\% | 8.18\% | 1.58\% | 0.91\% | Columbia Upriver Bright |
| North/Central BC | 10.18\% | 5.90\% | 2.10\% | 0.70\% | Yakoun |
|  |  |  |  |  | Nass |
|  |  |  |  |  | Skeena |
|  |  |  |  |  | Area 6 Index |
|  |  |  |  |  | Area 8 Index |
|  |  |  |  |  | Rivers Inlet |
|  |  |  |  |  | Smith Inlet |
| Upper Georgia Strait | 9.22\% | 4.83\% | 5.56\% | 3.53\% | Upper Georgia Strait |
| WCVI Wild | 0.69\% | 4.62\% | 4.66\% | 2.13\% | WCVI |
| Lower Bonneville Hatchery | 2.61\% | 4.56\% | 1.15\% | 0.63\% | NA |
| Washington Coastal Wild | 2.61\% | 3.35\% | 1.77\% | 1.22\% | Grays Harbor Fall |
|  |  |  |  |  | Quillayute Fall |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hoh Fall |
|  |  |  |  |  | Queets Fall |
| Fraser Early | 4.40\% | 3.24\% | 1.61\% | 0.56\% | Upper Fraser |
|  |  |  |  |  | Middle Fraser |
|  |  |  |  |  | Thompson |
| Lower GS Hatchery | 4.68\% | 2.95\% | 2.00\% | 1.47\% | NA |
| WA Coastal Hatchery | 1.24\% | 2.64\% | 1.78\% | 1.12\% | NA |
| Columbia Upriver Summer | 4.81\% | 2.16\% | 5.27\% | 2.10\% | Columbia Upriver Summer |
| Lower Georgia Strait | 1.93\% | 1.89\% | 1.87\% | 1.41\% | Lower Georgia Strait |
| Mid-Columbia Brights | 2.06\% | 1.84\% | 1.74\% | 0.82\% | Not Represented |
| Nooksack Fall | 1.24\% | 1.68\% | 0.59\% | 0.49\% | NA |
| Oregon Coastal North Migrating | 1.65\% | 1.63\% | 0.52\% | 0.28\% | Oregon Coastal |
| PS Hatchery Fingerling | 2.48\% | 1.20\% | 0.42\% | 0.28\% | NA |
| Skagit Summer/Fall | 1.38\% | 0.89\% | 3.35\% | 1.35\% | Skagit Summer/Fall |
| Puget Sound Natural | 0.55\% | 0.62\% | 0.40\% | 0.28\% | Green |
| Lewis River Wild | 0.28\% | 0.59\% | 0.86\% | 0.53\% | Lewis River |
| Snohomish Summer/Fall | 0.69\% | 0.44\% | 2.67\% | 1.37\% | Snohomish |
| PS Yearling | 0.41\% | 0.32\% | 0.60\% | 0.48\% | NA |
| Spring Creek Hatchery | 0.41\% | 0.22\% | 0.15\% | 0.12\% | NA |
| Willamette River Hatchery | 0.28\% | 0.22\% | 0.13\% | 0.07\% | NA |
| Spring Cowlitz Hatchery | 0.14\% | 0.14\% | 0.21\% | 0.19\% | NA |
| Stillaguamish Summer/Fall | 0.14\% | 0.10\% | 2.65\% | 1.33\% | Stillaguamish |
| Snake River Fall | 0.14\% | 0.05\% | 1.03\% | 0.81\% | Not Represented |
| Nooksack Spring | 0.00\% | 0.02\% | 0.58\% | 0.28\% | Not Represented |
| Fall Cowlitz Hatchery | 0.00\% | 0.01\% | 0.06\% | 0.04\% | NA |
| Alaska South SE | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.02\% | 0.01\% | King Salmon |
|  |  |  |  |  | Andrew Creek |
|  |  |  |  |  | Blossom |
|  |  |  |  |  | Keta |
|  |  |  |  |  | Unuk |
|  |  |  |  |  | Chickamin |

WCVI Troll and Outside Sport

| Model Stock | $\begin{array}{r} 2000 \\ \text { Percent } \\ \text { Fishery } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Average (1985-1999) |  |  | Escapement Indicator Stocks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percent <br> Fishery | Percent Stock (C) | Percent Stock (C\&E) |  |
| Fraser Late | $31.20 \%$ | 21.34\% | 21.53\% | 12.82\% | Harrison |
| Lower Bonneville Hatchery | 5.23\% | 16.45\% | 35.38\% | 19.07\% | NA |
| Columbia Upriver Bright | 13.11\% | 10.63\% | 15.28\% | 8.50\% | Columbia Upriver Bright |
| PS Hatchery Fingerling | 14.47\% | 7.94\% | 19.21\% | 12.74\% | NA |
| WCVI Hatchery | 0.80\% | 7.04\% | 12.47\% | 6.22\% | NA |
| Nooksack Fall | 3.96\% | 5.35\% | 13.90\% | 11.35\% | NA |
| Oregon Coastal North Migrating | 4.77\% | 4.76\% | 10.61\% | 5.58\% | Oregon Coastal |
| Spring Creek Hatchery | 4.88\% | 4.18\% | 18.11\% | 15.10\% | NA |
| Puget Sound Natural | 3.26\% | 4.01\% | 18.35\% | 12.82\% | Green |
| Mid-Columbia Brights | 2.68\% | 2.57\% | 16.70\% | 7.54\% | Not Represented |
| Washington Coastal Wild | 1.86\% | 2.02\% | 7.59\% | 5.17\% | Grays Harbor Fall |
|  |  |  |  |  | Quillayute Fall |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hoh Fall |
|  |  |  |  |  | Queets Fall |
| WCVI Wild | 0.14\% | 1.92\% | 12.34\% | 6.05\% | WCVI |
| Columbia Upriver Summer | 3.17\% | 1.63\% | 28.90\% | 11.17\% | Columbia Upriver Summer |
| WA Coastal Hatchery | 0.86\% | 1.60\% | 7.69\% | 4.91\% | NA |
| Willamette River Hatchery | 2.00\% | 1.54\% | 6.04\% | 3.51\% | NA |
| Fraser Early | 1.27\% | 1.25\% | 4.37\% | 1.47\% | Upper Fraser |
|  |  |  |  |  | Middle Fraser |
|  |  |  |  |  | Thompson |
| Fall Cowlitz Hatchery | 0.25\% | 0.91\% | 30.23\% | 15.89\% | NA |
| PS Yearling | 1.00\% | 0.87\% | 12.17\% | 9.62\% | NA |
| Skagit Summer/Fall | 1.01\% | 0.81\% | 22.28\% | 8.75\% | Skagit Summer/Fall |
| Lewis River Wild | 0.94\% | 0.77\% | 10.64\% | 6.03\% | Lewis River |
| Spring Cowlitz Hatchery | 0.36\% | 0.53\% | 5.79\% | 5.18\% | NA |
| Lower GS Hatchery | 0.69\% | 0.42\% | 1.88\% | 1.36\% | NA |
| Snohomish Summer/Fall | 0.58\% | 0.40\% | 17.79\% | 8.67\% | Snohomish |
| North/Central BC | 0.52\% | 0.32\% | 0.72\% | 0.24\% | Yakoun |
|  |  |  |  |  | Nass |
|  |  |  |  |  | Skeena |
|  |  |  |  |  | Area 6 Index |
|  |  |  |  |  | Area 8 Index |
|  |  |  |  |  | Rivers Inlet |
|  |  |  |  |  | Smith Inlet |
| Lower Georgia Strait | 0.30\% | 0.30\% | 1.83\% | 1.37\% | Lower Georgia Strait |
| Snake River Fall | 0.33\% | 0.22\% | 29.37\% | 22.50\% | Not Represented |
| Stillaguamish Summer/Fall | 0.17\% | 0.10\% | 16.47\% | 8.12\% | Stillaguamish |
| Upper Georgia Strait | 0.11\% | 0.08\% | 0.73\% | 0.46\% | Upper Georgia Strait |
| Nooksack Spring | 0.08\% | 0.04\% | 10.10\% | 4.75\% | Not Represented |
| Alaska South SE | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | King Salmon |
|  |  |  |  |  | Andrew Creek |
|  |  |  |  |  | Blossom |
|  |  |  |  |  | Keta |
|  |  |  |  |  | Unuk |
|  |  |  |  |  | Chickamin |

GS Sport and Troll

| Model Stock | $\begin{array}{r} 2000 \\ \text { Percent } \\ \text { Fishery } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Average ( 1985-1999) |  |  | Escapement Indicator Stocks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percent <br> Fishery | Percent <br> Stock (C) | Percent <br> Stock (C\&E) |  |
| Fraser Late | 57.87\% | 49.33\% | 42.77\% | 24.41\% | Harrison |
| Nooksack Fall | 6.55\% | 10.98\% | 23.14\% | 18.38\% | NA |
| Lower GS Hatchery | 10.62\% | 9.64\% | 37.10\% | 26.58\% | NA |
| Lower Georgia Strait | 4.50\% | 7.11\% | $37.97 \%$ | 27.88\% | Lower Georgia Strait |
| PS Hatchery Fingerling | 5.75\% | 4.41\% | 8.98\% | 5.70\% | NA |
| Fraser Early | 3.53\% | 3.56\% | 10.55\% | 3.14\% | Upper Fraser |
|  |  |  |  |  | Middle Fraser |
|  |  |  |  |  | Thompson |
| Puget Sound Natural | 1.19\% | 2.15\% | 8.20\% | 5.42\% | Green |
| Upper Georgia Strait | 3.26\% | 2.14\% | 14.63\% | 8.81\% | Upper Georgia Strait |
| PS Yearling | 1.81\% | 2.02\% | 22.46\% | 17.22\% | NA |
| Lower Bonneville Hatchery | 0.64\% | 1.93\% | 3.45\% | 1.57\% | NA |
| Columbia Upriver Bright | 0.82\% | 1.20\% | 1.42\% | 0.76\% | Columbia Upriver Bright |
| Washington Coastal Wild | 0.64\% | 1.07\% | 3.38\% | 2.17\% | Grays Harbor Fall |
|  |  |  |  |  | Quillayute Fall |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hoh Fall |
|  |  |  |  |  | Queets Fall |
| WA Coastal Hatchery | 0.29\% | 0.84\% | 3.25\% | 2.06\% | NA |
| Skagit Summer/Fall | 0.69\% | 0.84\% | 20.11\% | 7.16\% | Skagit Summer/Fall |
| WCVI Hatchery | 0.19\% | 0.82\% | 1.45\% | 0.56\% | NA |
| Snohomish Summer/Fall | 0.37\% | 0.41\% | 17.67\% | 7.19\% | Snohomish |
| Spring Creek Hatchery | 0.37\% | 0.33\% | 1.27\% | 1.05\% | NA |
| Mid-Columbia Brights | 0.17\% | 0.30\% | 1.68\% | 0.70\% | Not Represented |
| Nooksack Spring | 0.31\% | 0.26\% | 54.55\% | 25.13\% | Not Represented |
| WCVI Wild | 0.03\% | 0.22\% | 1.44\% | 0.54\% | WCVI |
| Stillaguamish Summer/Fall | 0.19\% | 0.16\% | 23.93\% | 11.14\% | Stillaguamish |
| Columbia Upriver Summer | 0.14\% | 0.15\% | 2.45\% | 0.89\% | Columbia Upriver Summer |
| Willamette River Hatchery | 0.05\% | 0.06\% | 0.20\% | 0.11\% | NA |
| Lewis River Wild | 0.00\% | 0.02\% | 0.20\% | 0.13\% | Lewis River |
| North/Central BC | 0.00\% | 0.02\% | 0.08\% | 0.02\% | Yakoun |
|  |  |  |  |  | Nass |
|  |  |  |  |  | Skeena |
|  |  |  |  |  | Area 6 Index |
|  |  |  |  |  | Area 8 Index |
|  |  |  |  |  | Rivers Inlet |
|  |  |  |  |  | Smith Inlet |
| Spring Cowlitz Hatchery | 0.01\% | 0.02\% | 0.14\% | 0.11\% | NA |
| Fall Cowlitz Hatchery | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.04\% | 0.03\% | NA |
| Snake River Fall | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.10\% | 0.07\% | Not Represented |
| Oregon Coastal North Migrating | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | Oregon Coastal |
| Alaska South SE | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | King Salmon |
|  |  |  |  |  | Andrew Creek |
|  |  |  |  |  | Blossom |
|  |  |  |  |  | Keta |
|  |  |  |  |  | Unuk |
|  |  |  |  |  | Chickamin |


| Model Stock | 2000 | Average (1985-1999) |  |  | Escapement Indicator Stocks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent <br> Fishery | Percent <br> Fishery | Percent <br> Stock (C) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Percent } \\ \text { Stock (C\&E) } \end{array}$ |  |
| Lower Bonneville Hatchery | 10.07\% | 32.29\% | 34.77\% | 17.62\% | NA |
| Fraser Late | 34.50\% | 23.89\% | 11.20\% | 6.19\% | Harrison |
| Spring Creek Hatchery | 18.77\% | 15.64\% | 29.22\% | 24.85\% | NA |
| Columbia Upriver Bright | 7.65\% | 4.47\% | 2.98\% | 1.67\% | Columbia Upriver Bright |
| PS Hatchery Fingerling | 8.03\% | 3.99\% | 4.16\% | 2.59\% | NA |
| Spring Cowlitz Hatchery | 2.66\% | 3.53\% | 19.88\% | 15.36\% | NA |
| Nooksack Fall 2.06\% | 2.47\% | 2.81\% | 2.25\% | NA |  |
| Fall Cowlitz Hatchery | 0.82\% | 2.38\% | 35.40\% | 18.61\% | NA |
| Oregon Coastal North Migrating | 2.71\% | 1.95\% | 2.01\% | 1.03\% | Oregon Coastal |
| Puget Sound Natural | 1.79\% | 1.93\% | 3.96\% | 2.54\% | Green |
| Willamette River Hatchery | 2.52\% | 1.81\% | 3.32\% | 1.78\% | NA |
| Lewis River Wild | 2.37\% | 1.34\% | 9.45\% | 4.55\% | Lewis River |
| Washington Coastal Wild | 1.13\% | 1.11\% | 1.81\% | 1.19\% | Grays Harbor Fall <br> Quillayute Fall <br> Hoh Fall <br> Queets Fall |
| Mid-Columbia Brights | 1.54\% | 1.08\% | 3.21\% | 1.39\% | Not Represented |
| WA Coastal Hatchery | 0.51\% | 0.90\% | 1.82\% | 1.13\% | NA |
| Columbia Upriver Summer | 1.19\% | 0.41\% | 3.30\% | 1.21\% | Columbia Upriver Summer |
| Snake River Fall | 0.67\% | 0.37\% | 20.62\% | 5.41\% | Not Represented |
| PS Yearling | 0.24\% | 0.15\% | 0.99\% | 0.74\% | NA |
| Fraser Early | 0.41\% | 0.15\% | 0.29\% | 0.09\% | Upper Fraser |
|  |  |  |  |  | Middle Fraser |
|  |  |  |  |  | Thompson |
| Alaska South SE | 0.20\% | 0.08\% | 0.82\% | 0.30\% | King Salmon |
|  |  |  |  |  | Andrew Creek |
|  |  |  |  |  | Blossom |
|  |  |  |  |  | Keta |
|  |  |  |  |  | Unuk |
|  |  |  |  |  | Chickamin |
| Lower GS Hatchery | 0.11\% | 0.03\% | 0.07\% | 0.05\% | NA |
| WCVI Hatchery | 0.00\% | 0.03\% | 0.03\% | 0.01\% | NA |
| Lower Georgia Strait | 0.05\% | 0.02\% | 0.07\% | 0.05\% | Lower Georgia Strait |
| WCVI Wild | 0.00\% | 0.01\% | 0.03\% | 0.01\% | WCVI |
| Skagit Summer/Fall | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.02\% | 0.01\% | Skagit Summer/Fall |
| Snohomish Summer/Fall | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.02\% | 0.01\% | Snohomish |
| Stillaguamish Summer/Fall | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | Stillaguamish |
| North/Central BC | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | Yakoun |
|  |  |  |  |  | Nass |
|  |  |  |  |  | Skeena |
|  |  |  |  |  | Area 6 Index |
|  |  |  |  |  | Area 8 Index |
|  |  |  |  |  | Rivers Inlet |
|  |  |  |  |  | Smith Inlet |
| Upper Georgia Strait | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | Upper Georgia Strait |
| Nooksack Spring | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | Not Represented |


[^0]:    1 These stocks are CWTd, but there is no quantitative escapement data, useful for distribution only.
    ${ }^{2}$ Tagged PSC indicator stocks with too few recoveries for analysis.
    ${ }^{3}$ Subyearling have been CWT since brood year 1986, except for brood years 1993 through 1997.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ A stock group should be considered for additional management action pursuant to this paragraph if a significant loss of production results from escapement less than the agreed escapement objective for an extended period of time. By the end of 2001, the CTC will recommend, for adoption by the Commission, criteria defining the lower bound of escapement for the purposes of taking additional management actions pursuant to this paragraph. Until the end of 2001, the escapement level at which the MSY production is reduced by more than $15 \%$ will be defined as the lower bound for escapement."

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ The complete instructions are in paragraph 7 and in the Appendix to Annex IV, Chapter 3 (2).

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Whether or not the spawning escapement is below the $85 \%$ production level (of MSY) for the latest two consecutive years.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Interim goal for modeling based on stock recruitment analysis of model data.

[^5]:    | BY 90 | BY 91 | BY 92 | BY 93 | BY 94 | BY 95 | BY 96 | BY 97 |
    | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | 630862634023634946635545635023636045630148630304 634620635057 635801

