

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Centennial Hall, Juneau, Alaska

Table of contents

Meeting Schedule 1

Oral presentation abstracts 6

Poster presentation titles 42

Poster presentation abstracts 44

Meeting participants 59

Organizing Committee 66

Thank you to the principal meeting sponsors: Alaska Fisheries Science Center - Auke Bay Laboratories, Alaska Department of Fish & Game, Douglas Island Pink & Chum, Inc. (DIPAC), St. Hubert Research Group, and Pacific Salmon Commission (website host).

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Centennial Hall, Juneau, Alaska

Monday, February 13

- 10:30-11:30 a.m. Registration, **load talks for sessions A & B**
1:00-1:15 p.m. Welcome remarks and housekeeping items
1:15-1:45 p.m. Keynote speaker - Dick Beamish
-

Session A

1:45-3:00 p.m. Moderator: **Sheila Jacobson**

- 1) Gordon Reeves: Climate change and the freshwater habitats of pink and chum salmon
- 2) Bob Gubernick: Wood, water, and fish: large wood restoration in the Harris River watershed 2005 to 2011
- 3) Brian Bair: The "Resurrection" of Resurrection Creek near Hope, Alaska
- 4) Jessica Davila: The making of Marx Creek Rehabilitation Project

3:00-3:30 p.m. Break - refreshments

Session B

3:30-4:45 p.m. Moderator: **Jeff Guyon & Chris Kondzela**

- 5) Dave Tallmon: Earlier migration, reduced phenotypic variation, and genetic changes in Auke Creek salmon
 - 6) Shunpei Sato: Genetic structure of Japanese pink salmon populations inferred from nucleotide sequence analysis of mitochondrial DNA
 - 7) Andres Araujo: Advantages and Limitations of Genetic Stock Identification Applied to Pink Salmon Stocks
 - 8) Chris Habicht: Historical perspectives on hatcheries and population structure of chum salmon in Prince William Sound, Alaska
-

4:45-6:15 p.m. Dinner (on your own), **load talks for sessions C, D, & E**

Poster Session (Dessert social)

6:15-9:00 p.m. Moderator: **Joe Orsi**

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Centennial Hall, Juneau, Alaska

Tuesday, February 14

8:00-8:10 a.m. Housekeeping

Session C

8:10-9:25 a.m. Moderator: **Andy Piston**

- 9) Randy Ericksen: An overview of pink and chum salmon fisheries management around the North Pacific
 - 10) Andy Piston: Challenges to monitoring wild chum salmon in Southeast Alaska
 - 11) Lorna Wilson: Southeast Alaska chum salmon (*Oncorhynchus keta*) thermal mark identification and agreement
 - 12) Leon Shaul: Cross-species spawner–recruit analysis at Ford Arm Creek
-

Session D

9:25-11:10 a.m. Moderator: **Rick Focht**

- 13) Sam Rabung: History of the Alaska Salmon Fishery Enhancement Program
- 14) Chris McDowell: Economic Impacts of the Alaska Salmon Enhancement Program

10:00-10:30 a.m. Break - refreshments

- 15) John Burke: Current and historic challenges to intensive pink and chum salmon culture in Alaska
 - 16) Susan Doherty: Allocation of enhanced salmon in Alaskan commercial fisheries
-

Session E

11:10 a.m.-12:25 p.m. Moderator: **Jeff Hard**

- 17) Dion Oxman: Genetic and environmental effects on development time in even and odd broodlines of pink salmon (*Oncorhynchus gorbuscha*) in their third generation of outbred hybrids
- 18) Steve Reifenstuhl: Research program to address interactions of wild and hatchery pink and chum salmon in Prince William Sound and Southeast

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Centennial Hall, Juneau, Alaska

- 19) Jeff Hard: Geographic variation in a clock gene polymorphism in pink and chum salmon
- 20) Lisa Seeb: Pink salmon genetics: worth another look?

12:25-1:30 p.m. Lunch (catered) **Load talks for sessions F & G**

Session F

1:30-2:10 p.m. Moderator: **Steve Heintz**

- 21) Chris Manhard: A test of local adaptation in hybrids of temporally isolated pink salmon (*Oncorhynchus gorbuscha*) in the same stream
- 22) Marc Trudel (presenting for Strahan Tucker): Abundance and growth of juvenile pink, chum and sockeye salmon in Eastern Pacific coastal waters

Session G

2:10-4:30 p.m. Moderator: **Steve Reifentstahl**

- 23) Ray Riutta: ASMI Certification Programs - What do they do for Alaska fishermen
- 24) Rich Riggs: Developing by-product markets for pink and chum

2:50-3:15 p.m. Break - refreshments **Load talks for sessions H & I**

- 25) John Garner: Current world markets for pink and chum salmon products
- 26) Tyson Fick: Pink & chum market history - A decade of fundamental change
- 27) Gunnar Knapp: Markets Trends for Alaska Pink and Chum Salmon: What the Data Show
- 28) Eric Jordan: Pinks and Chums, the Best Bites: Perspective of a Longtime "Hooker"

6:00-9:00 p.m. Banquet - Guest speaker: Andy Piston

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Centennial Hall, Juneau, Alaska

Wednesday, February 15

8:00-8:10 a.m. Housekeeping

Session H

8:10-9:25 a.m. Moderator: **Andres Araujo**

- 29) Joe Orsi: Pink salmon forecasting with ecosystem metrics from the Southeast Alaska Coastal Monitoring project and implications of climate trends on regional pink productivity
 - 30) Ed Farley (presenting for Alex Wertheimer): Do Asian pink salmon affect survival of Bristol Bay sockeye?
 - 31) Marc Trudel: Hitchhiker's guide to forecasting salmon returns with ocean conditions
 - 32) Bev Agler: Growth of Western Alaska and Asian chum salmon (*Oncorhynchus keta*) in relationship to climatic factors and inter- and intraspecific competition
-

Session I

9:25-11:10 a.m. Moderator: **Molly Sturdevant**

- 33) Ellen Chenoweth: Humpback whale predation at salmon enhancement facilities
- 34) Brian Beckman: Spatial variation in juvenile chum salmon marine growth: tales from SE Alaska and the NE Bering Sea

10:00-10:30 a.m. Break - refreshments

- 35) Greg Ruggerone: Effects of Pink Salmon on Growth, Age, and Survival of Fraser River Sockeye Salmon and Western Alaska Chinook Salmon
 - 36) Ben Van Alen: Hatchery Salmon and Ecosystem Productivity
-

11:10-11:40 a.m. Wrap up Session - **Bill Smoker**

11:40-11:50 a.m. Closing remarks - **Pink & Chum Workshop Committee**

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Abstracts

Climate Change and the Freshwater Habitats of Pink and Chum Salmon

Gordon H. Reeves, Richard T. Edwards, David D'Amore

The freshwater habitats of pink and chum salmon throughout their distributional ranges are likely to be affected by climate change, with the specific impacts and their magnitude likely to vary widely. A major impact is expected to be elevated water temperatures and increased flows in the winter. The latter will result from the transition from snow to rain as temperatures rise. Elevated winter water temperatures will increase development rates of eggs and fry, resulting in smaller fry emerging earlier. These effects will likely be most pronounced in more northerly areas that are currently cold. Higher, more variable flows could increase scouring of developing eggs and displacement of newly emerged fry. The scour impacts will likely be exacerbated if the size of returning adults decreases as a result of decreased marine growth rates, which in turn result from elevated marine temperatures and increased acidification. Stream flows at the time of adult returns may also decline, which would potentially limit access to spawning areas. These effects could be compounded when low flows are accompanied by elevated water temperatures, which in the past have resulted in extensive fish kills in some areas such as in southeast Alaska. Rises in sea level will potentially decrease available spawning areas. The reduction in quantity and quality of current habitat could be offset if areas that are currently marginal or unsuitable become more productive as a result of climate change. Such changes are most likely to occur in the northern extent of the range of these species. The significance of the changes will also vary between the two species. The small size and fixed life-history of pink salmon will make them more vulnerable than chum salmon to these potential impacts.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Abstracts

Wood, Water, and Fish: Large Wood Restoration in the
Harris River Watershed 2005 to 2011

Bob Gubernick

Historically the Harris River watershed on Prince of Wales Island provided high-quality spawning and rearing habitat for coho, pink and chum salmon, steelhead and cutthroat trout, and Dolly Varden char. Between approximately 1956 through the mid 1970's. Industrial logging along the riparian corridor and uplands of the Harris River accounted for approximately 25% of the watershed being harvested, including nearly half of the stream riparian area. During the next 45 years following harvest significant impacts to the channel and uplands occurred. Channel widening, loss of deep pool habitat, loss of channel complexity, loss of cover, canopy closure in the uplands, and increased susceptibility to landslide effects from the loss of large wood in the riparian zone and the channel.

These morphologic changes illustrate the importance of large old growth wood in Southeast Alaskan river systems and the role it plays for stream function and biotic health. Between 2005 and 2011 the USDA Forest Service Tongass National Forest conducted an aggressive large scale watershed restoration comprising:

- The restoration 11 miles of productive salmonid mainstem and tributary stream, and enhanced access to an additional nine miles of stream and eight acres of ponds for coho salmon and steelhead trout through manipulation of natural passages,
- Storage or decommissioning eight miles of road to improve hydrologic connectivity and road fill stabilization to reduce sediment delivery to streams,
- Thinning 350 acres of riparian habitat to restore stream riparian functions and accelerate the long-term recovery of in-stream habitat and stream processes,
- Thinning of 150 acres of upland young growth to re-establish understory vegetation and multi-storied forest structure for wildlife,
- Placement and construction of log jams and other wood structures consisting of more than 2,300 logs (old and young growth) with and without rootwads attached in the Harris River and its key tributaries to improve fish habitat,

Effectiveness monitoring displays the success of the in-stream projects through increases in fish production and improved physical habitat conditions. Based on screw trap results from Fubar Creek Phase I project monitoring, coho salmon smolt outmigrant numbers increased 147% and steelhead 185% from 2007 to 2009. Based on habitat capability estimates, the Harris River restoration effort will also provide a significant increase in coho salmon escapement. More importantly, the Harris River restoration provides for long-term stability in all fish stocks and the maintenance of important geomorphic processes integral to healthy channel function.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Abstracts

The "Resurrection" of Resurrection Creek near Hope, Alaska

Brian Bair

In 2005 and 2006 the U.S. Forest Service initiated a large-scale watershed restoration project on Resurrection Creek, near Hope, Alaska. Beginning at the turn of the past century, placer mining operations had adversely affected stream function and fish habitat. Prior to restoration, the channel was deeply entrenched creating poor fish habitat, had little sinuosity or large woody debris, few pools and side channels, and was artificially straightened and confined limiting its interaction with the riparian and flood plain areas. To design the new channel, a previously undisturbed reach upstream of the recovery area was surveyed and used as a template. Using heavy construction equipment, the U. S. Forest Service constructed a new stream channel corridor that approximated the reference reach morphology. The results were an increase in the overall channel length from 1097 m to 1392 m, channel sinuosity from 1.1 to 1.3, average slope from 1.7% to 1.4%, the amount of pool habitat from 1% to 17%, run type habitat from 0% to 26%, and riffle area from 99% to 57%. The results in fish use were seen immediately with large increases in adult Chinook (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*), adult pink (*O. gorbuscha*), chum (*O. keta*), and coho salmon (*O. kisutch*). From 2005 to 2011 fish use and spawner abundance has continued on increasing trends.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Abstracts
The making of Marx Creek Rehabilitation Project

Jessica Davila

Marx Creek, located approximately four miles north of the town of Hyder, Alaska, is a groundwater-fed, artificial salmon-spawning stream that was constructed from an existing stream to enhance the habitat of larger than average summer chum salmon. Marx Creek was initially formed by natural upwelling groundwater after the Salmon River dike was constructed in 1972. Marx Creek was enhanced in 1974 as a drainage channel to allow construction of a series of flood control dikes. Marx Creek channel prior to construction activities in 1985 was suitable for only a small number of spawning chum salmon.

In 1985, 4,000 feet of the mile-long Marx Creek channel were reconstructed with the objective of producing more spawning habitat for chum salmon. Twelve redwood weir structures were installed in a series of stepped down reaches for grade control. Each weir was notched and allowed up- and downstream movement of fish. After reconstruction, chum salmon were transplanted into Marx Creek from Fish Creek by the ADF&G. The two creeks also provide habitat for pink salmon, Dolly Varden char, and a small run of fall coho salmon.

In 1989 Marx Creek spawning channel was extended upstream for an additional 1,600 feet. Unfortunately, this extension was constructed in direct contact with a flood control dike. Due to the porosity of the dike, silty glacial water passed through the dike and entered Marx Creek. Chum salmon tend to avoid the spawning area adjacent to the dike, but they do spawn downstream of it. The possible reasons chum salmon do not use the area are due to the increase in fine sediment, subtle changes in groundwater temperature or subtle change in chemical composition, i.e., greater Salmon River water influence closer to the dike.

In 2006, the Tongass National Forest, Ketchikan-Misty Fiord Ranger District began working to address the fine sediment issue. A groundwater study indicated ground water was sufficient to construct a second channel approximately 500 feet to the east of the 1989 channel. In 2008, construction of 1,500 feet of new groundwater spawning channel, rehabilitating 900 feet of established spawning channel and decommissioning of 1,200 feet of the original channel began. Construction of Coho rearing habitat was also completed by deepening and adding large wood to the upper reach of Marx Creek. Wood was taken from the new channel construction location. This phase of Marx Creek work was completed in 2010.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop

Abstracts

Earlier migration, reduced phenotypic variation, and genetic changes in Auke Cr. salmon.

David Tallmon, Ryan Kovach, John Joyce, Tony Gharrett

Changes in the timing of important biological events such as migration influence the persistence and ecological interactions of wild populations. An important phenotypic trait in salmonids is migration timing because it influences individual fitness, population dynamics, and harvest management, and is likely to be sensitive to climate change. We used ~40 years of weir census data to investigate trends in migration timing and population dynamics of multiple salmonid species and life histories in Auke Creek, Alaska. Auke Creek temperatures have increased over the past few decades, and our modeling results suggest temperatures have influenced observed trends toward earlier migration and reduced phenotypic variation in migration timing of most species and life histories. Pink salmon show some of largest changes toward earlier migration and the late-run portion of the odd-year population has been lost. However, evidence is mixed for genetic changes associated with these phenotypic changes in pink salmon. An experimental, selectively neutral allozyme marker allele provides strong evidence of genetic changes over time, but this pattern is not evident in microsatellite markers linked to migration timing candidate genes. The odd-year Auke Creek pink salmon population has lost biocomplexity, but remains quite abundant. How salmonids will adjust and adapt as increasing temperatures continue to influence migration timing remains an open question that deserves management attention.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Abstracts

Genetic structure of Japanese pink salmon populations inferred from nucleotide
sequence analysis of mitochondrial DNA

Shunpei Sato and Shigehiko Urawa

To estimate genetic structure of Japanese pink salmon populations (*Oncorhynchus gorbuscha*) in Hokkaido, we analyzed nucleotide sequence of about 600 bp in a variable portion of the 5' end of the mitochondrial (mt) DNA control region. Even-year bloodline (n=354) and odd-year bloodline (n=426) pink salmon in nine river populations were examined. A total of 62 haplotypes were detected in the examined individuals. Among these haplotypes, 27 haplotypes were unique to the odd-year bloodline, while another 27 haplotypes were also unique to even-year bloodlines. The remaining eight haplotypes were common to both bloodlines. The haplotypes were grouped about six clades, and the distribution pattern of the six haplotype clades was different between odd-year and even-year bloodlines. The haplotype diversity of even-year populations was higher than that of odd-year populations, suggesting a greater genetic variation in the populations of even-year bloodline than those of odd-year bloodline. A neighbor-joining tree showed strong divergence between even-year and odd-year populations. AMOVA and pairwise F_{ST} values also demonstrated strong genetic differentiation between even-year and odd-year bloodlines, although there was no genetic differentiation among populations within the same year bloodline. These results suggest that strong genetic differentiation between even- and odd-year bloodlines may reflect the reproductive isolation of the bloodlines. On the other hand, no genetic differentiation among Japanese pink salmon populations within bloodline may relate to biological features of pink salmon, e.g. low rate of homing migration to a natal river and/or high straying rate among populations.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Abstracts
Advantages and Limitations of Genetic Stock Identification
Applied to Pink Salmon Stocks

Andrea Araujo, John Candy, and Terry Beacham

Genetic stock identification (*GSI*) relies on comparing genetic variation among baseline populations to identify the stock composition of an unknown mixture. F_{ST} is a commonly used measure of genetic distance between populations and can be used to evaluate how well a particular baseline will be able to resolve stocks in mixtures. Lower F_{ST} values among pink salmon populations (*Oncorhynchus gorbuscha*), compared to other species that share the same geographic area, make correct identification of stocks in the mixture challenging. The number of loci used and the mathematical approach, either Bayesian (cBayes) or conditional maximum likelihood (ONCOR), could contribute to the accuracy of the mixed stock estimates. With the purpose of assessing the reliability of *GSI* estimates in pink salmon populations, we analyzed 46 pink salmon populations of southern British Columbia, the Fraser River, and Puget Sound with both 13 and 16 microsatellite loci. Using known mixtures, we demonstrated that the additional loci did not increase intra-regional accuracy but did increase inter-regional accuracy. Second, we simulated baselines (using the software platform EasyPop) with average F_{ST} ranging from 0.0007 to 0.04 covering the domain of F_{ST} values found in the pink salmon baseline. The results suggested that the accuracy at the population level is subject to significant biases when the average F_{ST} among baseline populations is less than 0.02. In addition, ONCOR performs better than cBayes at low F_{ST} values (< 0.02), but there was no significant difference between the software platforms at larger genetic distances. This research can help improve *GSI* methods and defines their limitations, especially for salmonid populations with small genetic separation such as pink salmon.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Abstracts

Historical perspectives on hatcheries and population structure of chum salmon in
Prince William Sound, Alaska

Chris Habicht, Jim Jasper, and Bill Templin

Hatcheries releasing chum salmon for ranching into Prince William Sound (PWS), Alaska, started in the 1970's and increased dramatically in the late 1980's. Currently, returning hatchery-produced chum salmon outnumber wild-produced fish by about 4 to 1 for a total return of 3.2 million hatchery-produced chum salmon. Some of these hatchery-originating fish were detected in wild chum salmon streams in recent years. One concern is that these strays may be homogenizing among-population genetic structure, a key ingredient to local adaptation and long-term persistence. During the 1990's, population structure among chum salmon populations in PWS was detected using allozyme data. We proposed to examine population structure among 4 populations distributed in PWS from archival scale samples taken before the hatchery program was established and from contemporary samples screened for 188 SNP loci. Preliminary results suggest that structure among chum salmon populations prior to the establishment of the hatchery program was similar in depth to that observed in other places of similar scale. This structure is still present in contemporary populations, however these populations are slowly becoming more similar to the hatchery population. Populations geographically closest to hatcheries have become more similar to the hatchery population than populations more distant from the hatcheries, a pattern that is consistent with hatchery straying observations.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop

Abstracts

An Overview of Pink and Chum Salmon Fisheries Management Around the North Pacific

Randy Ericksen

Chum and pink salmon are widely distributed in the north Pacific. Spawning populations occur as far south as Oregon in North America, and Japan and Korea in Asia. They spawn in rivers draining into the Arctic Ocean from the Mackenzie River in Canada to the Lena River in Siberia. Commercial fisheries occur throughout most of their range. However, the methods and strategies used to manage salmon populations vary greatly between geographical regions. The intent of this presentation is to provide an overview of management of pink and chum salmon around the North Pacific. Key differences in fishing gear, fishers, hatchery practices, and management strategies will be highlighted.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Abstracts
Challenges to Monitoring Wild Chum Salmon in Southeast Alaska

Andrew W. Piston

Chum salmon (*Oncorhynchus keta*) are the most valuable species in Southeast Alaska commercial fisheries, with an average *ex-vessel* value of \$32 million a year from 2001 to 2010—well ahead of the next most valuable species, pink salmon (*O. gorbuscha*), at \$23 million a year. Despite the importance of chum salmon to the region's fisheries, relatively little stock assessment information is available for this species (e.g., total escapements, harvest rates, survival rates). In Southeast Alaska, chum salmon are harvested primarily in commercial net fisheries and to a lesser extent by commercial troll fisheries, as well as sport, personal use, and subsistence fisheries. Chum salmon harvests increased dramatically in the 1990s, primarily due to the production of hatchery fish, which accounted for an average of 73% of the commercial common property harvest of chum salmon from 2001 to 2010. Wild chum salmon are harvested primarily in mixed stock fisheries, typically some distance from spawning areas, and it is usually not possible to account for stock-specific harvests. Chum salmon spawning abundance in Southeast Alaska is monitored through a series of peak survey estimates at 88 index streams upon which escapement goals are based. The maximum survey counts used to evaluate wild chum salmon underestimate the true escapement and can only be considered a relative indicator (or index) of escapement level. In addition, it is often not possible to estimate numbers of chum salmon in streams that have substantial populations of pink salmon, and recent high pink salmon abundance may have masked chum salmon escapements in many areas. The Alaska Department of Fish and Game has recently conducted work to groundtruth aerial survey counts in the Ketchikan area and has applied for funding to conduct helicopter surveys of large Ketchikan-area mainland river systems. Helicopter surveys will allow surveyors to obtain improved views of these streams, validate observations of chum and pink salmon abundance, identify primary chum salmon spawning areas, and improve managers' ability to identify chum salmon during routine aerial surveys of other index streams in the area. The level of uncertainty already inherent in aerial survey counts is exacerbated by the straying of hatchery fish into Southeast Alaska chum salmon index streams. From 2008 to 2010, ADF&G collected otoliths from chum salmon at index streams throughout Southeast Alaska in an effort to document the presence of stray hatchery fish in wild stock index streams. Sample sizes of greater than 50 fish were collected from 33 of the 81 summer chum salmon index streams in Southeast Alaska. Hatchery fish were found in nearly every stream that was sampled, and the proportion of hatchery fish was over 5% in 21 streams. ADF&G is currently working with the University of Alaska, PNP Aquaculture Corporations, and the National Marine Fisheries Service to develop a project to address concerns about straying and genetic interactions between hatchery and wild stocks.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop

Abstracts

Southeast Alaska chum salmon (*Oncorhynchus keta*) thermal mark identification and agreement

Lorna Wilson

Thermal-marked salmonid otoliths are used to identify specific release groups in mixed stock fisheries and are applied to a range of research projects, including hatchery chum salmon straying in Southeast Alaska. Accuracy of thermal mark detection and identification is essential to the success of a project that uses thermal mark readings. To assess accuracy in the chum salmon stray study otolith readings, a subsample of otoliths was read independently by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) Thermal Mark Lab, Southeast Southern Regional Aquaculture Association (SSRAA) and the Douglas Island Pink and Chum, Inc. (DIPAC) otolith laboratories for thermal mark presence and identification from recoveries made in 2009 and 2010. Recoveries were grouped into study areas (1) Southern Southeast quadrant, (2) Lynn Canal and Stephens Passage area, (3) Chatham and Icy Straits area, and (4) Northern Outside quadrant. Two agreement measures, latent class model (LCM) coefficients, which assess reader ability to detect the mark, and *kappa* (κ) values, which assess thermal mark identification agreement, were used to quantify the reliability and accuracy of thermal mark readings. LCM estimates suggested high reader ability to detect hatchery fish when they are hatchery, $p(H|H) > 0.97$, and wild when wild, $p(W|W) > 0.95$, with low SE among all reader pairs and locations. Percent agreement on thermal mark identifications was high, furthermore, individual κ values for thermal marks among reader pairs and study areas was generally high ($\kappa > 0.5$ for samples recovered from study areas 2 and 3 from both 2009 and 2010 and $\kappa > 0.5$ in 2010 and $\kappa < 0.5$ in 2009 for samples recovered from study areas 1 and 4) suggesting accurate mark identification. Overall, detection and identification of thermal-marked chum salmon southeast Alaska was found to be highly accurate among all readers, suggesting successful research projects.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Abstracts
Cross-Species Spawner-Recruit Analysis at Ford Arm Creek

Leon Shaul and Hal Geiger

The coho salmon population in Ford Arm Creek in Southeast Alaska was studied as an indicator stock for fishery management during 1980-2009. A doubling of the average adult return between 1982-1991 and 1992-2009 resulted from a 48% increase in average presmolt production and a 37% increase in average presmolt-adult survival. The increase in freshwater production occurred concurrent with a quadrupling of both average pink salmon spawner abundance and average all-species carcass biomass. Relationships were explored using independent variables that included the pink salmon peak escapement survey count and total MDN loading in the common brood year, the following year, and an average for both years, with the average for both years producing the best statistical fit with coho salmon production. Average pink salmon escapement in the coho brood year and the following year explained 58% of variation in the survival-adjusted return of coho salmon. A logistic hockey stick model predicts an increase of 127% in the coho salmon return as pink salmon escapement increases from zero to an inflection point at a peak count of 79 thousand spawners, with a further 18% increase in coho production to a nominal saturation point at 116 thousand pink salmon spawners, above which further response was nil. Both reference points fall within the current pink salmon escapement goal of 48-156 thousand spawners, established using single-species yield analysis. On an area-density basis, the relationship between MDN and coho salmon production in Ford Arm Creek was consistent with the observed growth response by coho salmon fry to the addition of pink salmon carcasses reported from other research based on a controlled experiment in an artificial stream. These observations further support inter-species relationships and the response to MDN as important considerations in setting escapement goals for salmon.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop

Abstracts

A Brief History of the Salmon Fishery Enhancement Program in Alaska

Samuel Rabung

In 1971, the State of Alaska initiated its modern salmon fishery enhancement program in response to severely depressed commercial salmon fisheries. The state took two approaches: commercial fisheries management changes were made to provide for adequate escapements of spawners; and the newly formed Fisheries Rehabilitation, Enhancement and Development (FRED) Division focused on developing the knowledge, infrastructure and support systems necessary for rehabilitation and enhancement of salmon fisheries through hatchery production and other means. Legislation enabling the creation of the private nonprofit (PNP) hatchery program was passed in 1974, and private sector investment in salmon fisheries enhancement began with the first PNP hatchery permit issued in 1975. Protection of wild stocks has been foremost since the inception of the program; and statutes, regulations and policies are in place to provide for this priority. Alaska's salmon fishery enhancement program is stakeholder driven, with provisions for planning and oversight by representatives of regional user groups. As the program matured, the state withdrew from most of its production programs, contracting the operation of many hatcheries to the private sector. Today there are 34 active salmon hatcheries in the State of Alaska, and the success of the program is illustrated by hatchery fish providing approximately 25% of the annual common property harvest of salmon over the past ten years; while having little or no demonstrable detrimental effects on natural salmon production.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Abstracts
Economic Impacts of the Alaska Salmon Enhancement Program
Chris McDowell

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop

Abstracts

Can We Put A Million Eggs in the Closet? (Challenges for Pink and Chum Salmon Enhancement)

John Burke

In the early 1970's there were a number of challenges facing those who were charged with the design of a program to effectively enhance pink and chum fisheries in Alaska. These stretched across a full spectrum from politics and economics to fish culture. For the culturist, how to design an incubation system for 30 million eggs in a room not much larger than a two-car garage. Could you collect sufficient broodstock in a special harvest area while harvesting at the level required to meet production costs and allowing enough common property opportunity to meet the expectations of the fleets. Several years later, as state programs lost funding, could those programs be moved to the private sector and sustained; some could not. These challenges were left behind as sustainable effective programs evolved. Today, what seemed difficult and sometimes unlikely 30 years ago has become usual standard practice.

While those early optimistic goals are now relatively easily met or exceeded; there are new issues, seemingly more difficult and nebulous than those that came before. While programs have attracted the fleets they have also started to attract a different set of large and difficult natural predators. Are there effective strategies that will minimize the impact of predation? There is growing sentiment among the fleets that hatcheries are similar to factories where production outcomes are consistent and assured? Can too much be expected from the programs? There is an anti-enhancement bias in the current fisheries community stemming in part from the failure of hatchery programs in the "lower 48"; this is now impacting the permitting and management of enhancement programs and the fish they produce. Are the perceived problems real? Are there reasonable scientific means to answer the questions about Alaska programs arising from this bias?

There are still a few involved who can remember how difficult it was to produce a million healthy fry with 18 gallons a minute of water.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Abstracts

Allocation of Enhanced Salmon in Southern Southeast Alaska

Susan Doherty, Rick Focht, Chip Blair, and Bruce White

On January 17, 1994, the Alaska Board of Fisheries adopted 5 AAC 33.364 Southeastern Alaska Area Enhanced Salmon Allocation Management Plan into regulation. The purpose was to provide a fair and reasonable distribution of the harvest of salmon from enhancement projects among the seine, troll and drift gillnet commercial fisheries. The Board established the following value allocations: seine, 44-49 percent; hand and power troll, 27-32 percent; and drift gillnet, 24-29 percent. Many projects have reached maturity and enhancement values have exceeded 35 million dollars in three of the last four years. We present enhancement values by species and gear group from 1994 through 2011 to evaluate the current trends in allocation value. We will demonstrate how principles in the *Report of the Southeast Alaska Allocation Taskforce (SATF)*, incorporated by reference as finding in regulation 3 AAC 33.364 can be used to address the challenges of meeting the agreed allocation percentages.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop

Abstracts

Genetic and Environmental Effects on Development Time in Even and Odd Broodlines of Pink Salmon (*Oncorhynchus Gorbuscha*) and Their Third Generation of Outbred Hybrids

Dion S. Oxman, William W. Smoker, and Anthony J. Gharrett

Full and half-sibling families of odd and even broodlines of pink salmon from Auke Creek, Alaska and of 3rd generation outbred hybrids between Auke Creek females and Pillar Creek males from Kodiak Island, Alaska (1,000 km distant) were incubated in ambient, chilled, and warmed Auke Creek water to determine how inheritance, environment, and outbreeding influenced development timing. Additive and maternally inherited genetic factors played a role in the development time of embryos from the odd-year broodline, but this timing was genetically conserved in the even-year run. Genotype-by-environment (GxE) effects were observed among odd-year families, which suggested that locally adapted genes might have influenced larval development. No GxE effects were observed in the even-year broodline, indicating that the observed variation in development time was likely the result of phenotypic plasticity. Outbreeding significantly prolonged development time in both broodlines and it altered the proportions of additive and environmental variation possibly by influencing the canalization process. The apparent outbreeding depression in these hybrids of geographically separated populations demonstrated that introgression of nonnative fish may erode fitness by altering locally adapted traits, and that these effects can last at least three generations, a potential concern to some aquaculture and enhancement programs.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Abstracts

Research Program to Address Interactions of Wild and Hatchery Pink and Chum
Salmon in Prince William Sound and Southeast Alaska

Steve Reifenhohl, John Burke, Dave Bernard, John H. Clark, Jeff Hard,
Ron Josephson, Bill Smoker, Bill Templin, Alex Wertheimer

Extensive salmon ocean-ranching is practiced by private non-profit (PNP) sector corporations in Alaska for the purpose of enhancing the common property fisheries (CPF). These efforts are currently producing large numbers of hatchery salmon for harvest, especially in Prince William Sound (PWS) and Southeast Alaska (SE), and to a smaller degree in Kodiak and Cook Inlet.

The scale of the Alaska hatchery programs has raised concerns that hatchery fish may detrimentally impact the productivity and sustainability of wild stocks of Alaska salmon. Recent studies have demonstrated large proportions of hatchery-bred salmon in some wild-spawning populations in Alaska (Eggers and Heintz 2008). These observations have raised several priority questions:

- (1) What is the genetic stock structure of pink and chum salmon in each region?
- (2) What is the extent and annual variability in straying of hatchery pink salmon in PWS and chum salmon in PWS and SE?
- (3) What is the impact, if any, on fitness (productivity) of wild pink and chum salmon stocks due to straying of hatchery pinks and chum salmon?

The scope of research will attempt to answer these questions using single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) markers and discrete otolith marks via a multi-generational study. Replicate salmon streams with populations of less than 3,000 spawners will be chosen with high (~50%) and low (<20%) stray rates in each region. Adults will be sampled to determine origin; the progeny (eggs) will be sampled *in situ* the following year to determine cross types (WxW, WxH, etc.) and abundance; finally the returning adults will be sampled to determine survival of crosses for a full pedigree. Two full life cycles are proposed for pinks and chum, 6 and 11 years, respectively.

Fitness can be measured as the number of adults produced per spawner of each sex (survival). If hatchery-origin fish are less fit and breed with natural-origin fish, the natural-spawning populations will lose productivity as a consequence of the presence of strays among the breeding population. Contrary and neutral outcomes are possible. Work is expected to begin summer 2012.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Abstracts

Geographic Variation in a Clock Gene Polymorphism in Pink and Chum Salmon

Jeffrey J. Hard, Kathleen G. O'Malley, and Michael J. Ford

Seasonal timing of life-history events is often under strong natural selection. The *Clock* gene is a central component of an endogenous circadian clock that senses changes in photoperiod (day length) and may mediate seasonal behaviors. Among Pacific salmon (*Oncorhynchus* spp.), seasonal timing of migration and breeding is influenced by photoperiod. To expand a study of 42 North American Chinook salmon (*O. tshawytscha*) populations, we tested whether duplicated *Clock* genes are correlated with population differences in reproductive timing in other highly migratory Pacific salmon species. Specifically, we examined geographical variation along a similar latitudinal cline in the polyglutamine domain (PolyQ) of *OtsClock1a* and *OtsClock1b* among 53 populations of pink (*O. gorbuscha*), chum (*O. keta*) and coho salmon (*O. kisutch*). We found no evidence for polymorphism in the *OtsClock1a* allele among the populations of any of these species. However, we detected geographical patterns in the *OtsClock1b* allele that, unlike those for putatively neutral allozyme alleles, correspond in varying degree to clinal variation in reproductive timing in these species. We evaluated the contribution of day length and a freshwater migration index to *OtsClock1b* PolyQ domain variation using regression trees and found that day length at spawning appears to explain much of the variation in *OtsClock1b* allele frequency among chum and Chinook, but not coho and pink salmon populations. Our findings suggest that *OtsClock1b* could influence seasonal adaptation as reflected by geographical variation in reproductive timing in some of these species, but alternative explanations for the clinal variation in *OtsClock1b* and its interspecific variability—especially patterns of historical recolonization—cannot be ruled out.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop

Abstracts

Pink salmon genetics: worth another look?

Lisa W. Seeb*, Ryan K. Waples, and James E. Seeb

Genetic studies of pink salmon have consistently revealed high divergence between broodlines but relatively little divergence among populations within broodlines. In North America, odd-year broods predominate in the south, with even-year pink salmon predominating in the more northerly latitudes. In many streams, even- and odd-year lineages occupy the same habitat, but experience no gene flow providing a naturally-occurring replicate experiment to test for genomic signals of adaptation. Here we present next-generation sequence results using restriction site associated DNA (RAD tags) to compare three paired populations of even- and odd-year pink salmon. Our population pairs originate from widely-separated locations in North America and include Norton Sound in Northwest Alaska, Prince William Sound in Southcentral Alaska, and Puget Sound in Washington State. We compare sequence divergence and identify outlier loci between population pairs as well as within and between the lineages and test for signals of neutral and adaptive markers across the genomes. We identified over 5,000 putative SNPs likely reflecting both neutral and adaptive variation. Consistent with earlier studies, the greatest amount of diversity exists between broodlines with more diversity among the odd-year lineage. We also found that populations from the same location from different broodlines were more divergent in the south than in the north. Finally, using the RAD approach, we were able to identify at least 27 SNPs that may reflect local adaptive variation and a number of SNPs showing significant divergence within broodlines.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop

Abstracts

A test of local adaptation in hybrids of temporally isolated pink salmon (*Oncorhynchus gorbuscha*) in the same stream

Chris Manhard, Jesse Echave, William Smoker,
Milo Adkison, and A.J. Gharrett

Differences in marine survival and time of return to Auke Creek in Juneau, AK for spawning adult pink salmon (*Oncorhynchus gorbuscha*) were observed between first generation (F₁) control and hybrid lines of temporally distinct subpopulations. Hybrid crosses were made between early- and late-run pink salmon, which are partially genetically isolated by the time at which they return to Auke Creek to spawn. The experiment was performed in 2005 and 2006 to evaluate the even and odd broodlines, which are completely genetically isolated. Crosses from each broodline were cultured in a common freshwater environment, released to sea together, and recovered at the Auke Creek weir as adults. Control and hybrid individuals were determined in returning adults by parentage analysis with microsatellite markers. Marine survival of F₁ controls exceeded that of hybrids for the even-year broodline. No difference in marine survival between controls and hybrids was detected for the odd-year broodline, although sparse returns resulted in low statistical power for that experiment. Hybrids expressed intermediate phenotypes for time of return relative to controls for each broodline. Our results indicate extrinsic outbreeding depression in F₁ hybridized pink salmon, and suggest that early- and late- run pink salmon are locally adapted to unique environmental regimes.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Abstracts

Abundance and growth of juvenile pink, chum and sockeye salmon in
Eastern Pacific coastal waters

Strahan Tucker and Marc Trudel

Pink salmon are the most abundant species of the five Pacific salmon, representing approximately 60% of all salmon. Given their abundance, pink salmon may be the dominant salmonid in interspecific competitive interactions. In this study, we tested the hypothesis that high abundances of juvenile pink salmon might result in decreased abundances and/or growth of other planktivorous juvenile salmon species migrating on the continental shelf of the west coast of North America through direct competition for food. Furthermore, we evaluated the effects of potential environmental drivers and food web process on salmonid abundance and growth. Catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) of all salmon species were positively correlated demonstrating very similar trends in the direction and magnitude of their abundance in each year, region and season. CPUE varied significantly with sea surface temperature and indices of ecosystem productivity (i.e. nutrient concentration, phytoplankton and zooplankton biomass). Growth rates varied seasonally, regionally and annually for all species. Growth rates were positively correlated between pink and chum salmon; there were no significant relationships with sockeye salmon. We found no effects of biological or physical oceanographic variables on growth rate. Results of this study suggest that interspecific competition is not manifested within salmon going to sea in the same year, at least during the first marine growing season. Rather, abundances appear driven by physical oceanographic features and processes at the base of the food chain. It is unclear however, why we found no direct effects of these same factors on growth.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop

Abstracts

Certification Programs - What do they do for Alaska Fishermen?

Ray Riutta

Recently we have found that some markets for seafood in the world are requiring independent certifications of many types. These labels and certifications come in many types: labels of origin, traceability, claims of sustainability and responsible fisheries management, and the list goes on to the point where today we have literally hundreds of options to choose from. In this talk we will discuss the value of certifications in terms of economics and healthy oceans as well as take a look at how Alaska's fishermen can best take advantage in the marketplace.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Abstracts
Market Development of Salmon By-Products

Richard Riggs

The Alaska seafood industry has experienced a relatively recent and on-going transition from the conversion of "seafood waste" to "seafood by-products". This conversion applies to Pink and Chum salmon as well.

In January 2009, the Alaska Fisheries Development Foundation (AFDF) published a 276 page report prepared by Anthony P. Bimbo entitled "Alaska Seafood By-Products: Potential Products, Markets and Competing Products - Revised 2008". In addition to being a source document for the attendees of the Pink and Chum Workshop, it is a documented source of this presentation. While the report does not focus on Pink and Chum salmon specifically, many of the by-products identified in the report do apply to Pink and Chum salmon.

Historically, the processing industry has utilized the flesh (canned, fresh, and frozen markets) and roe of harvested Pink and Chum salmon to supply the world market, with the remnants from the processing activity (heads, fins - in the case of canning, and viscera) being considered "seafood waste" and directed to outfalls or other means of disposal. However, there has been a recent transition in the industry to convert Pink and Chum salmon "seafood waste" (as well as other seafood waste) to "seafood by-products" that, similar to the flesh and roe, are being marketed across the globe in various applications. In many cases the global markets for seafood by-products, and specifically Pink and Chum salmon by-products, are still being fostered and developed, and revenues from the sales of seafood by-products are largely, if not entirely (depending on factors such as economies of scale and physical location), offset by capital, production, and logistic costs associated with the resulting by-products. With that being said, there is reason for optimism that the industry will continue to grow the global market for these by-products to the point that rather than having an adverse effect on the round pound value of Alaska Pink and Chum Salmon, these same by-products will actually have a positive contribution to the value of the resource.

This presentation will identify many of the Pink and Chum salmon by-product markets being developed and pursued by the Alaska seafood industry.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Abstracts
Alaska Pink and Chum Salmon Markets

John Garner

Seafood consumption has increased through the past four decades, driven primarily by aquaculture production, as sustainable capture fisheries have been fully exploited. Growth in aquaculture is projected at ten to fifteen percent annually for the next twenty years, much of that in Norwegian and Chilean farmed salmon. Fresh whole farmed is the fastest growing product form.

Increased salmon consumption in the European Union, United States, and Russian has driven demand, while supply is fairly stable in Alaska, declining somewhat in Japan, and increasing in the Russian Far East. Pink salmon in Alaska fluctuate on an odd-even year cycle between 125,000 and 200,000 metric tons; chum salmon is far more stable at 50,000 metric tons annually through the past decade.

Since 2001, frozen pink and chum exports have increased steadily with the greatest quantities now going to China and the EU. Roe sales have a distinctly different distribution, somewhat driven by recent economic factors. Canned salmon similarly has undergone a shift in demand and demographic shift. A gradual decline in Japan pink and chum salmon consumption since 2007 will be discussed, as imports of chum salmon have remained stable. Prices for Alaska pink and chum salmon have increased dramatically since 2000; economic, demographic, and market influences will be considered.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop

Abstracts

Pink & Chum Market History - A Decade of Fundamental Change

Tyson Fick

There is no doubt the world of pink and chum salmon fishing and processing has seen quite a bit of change over the last decade. We have seen tremendous value growth in the past 10 years, but why? The many reasons for this will be explored along with a peek into what the future may hold for pinks and chums in the global marketplace.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop

Abstracts

Markets Trends for Alaska Pink and Chum Salmon: What the Data Show

Gunnar Knapp

This presentation will review what publicly available data suggest about market trends for Alaska pink and chum salmon, particularly over the past decade. In particular, the presentation will review trends over time in harvests; ex-vessel prices; production of different products (canned, frozen H&G, frozen fillets, fresh H&G, fresh fillets, roe); first wholesale prices; exports to different markets; and estimated U.S. domestic consumption. The focus of the presentation will be on describing trends, setting the stage for discussion by other speakers in the session of the underlying reasons for the trends and how markets may change in the future.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop

Abstracts

Pinks and Chums, the Best Biters: Perspective of a Longtime "Hooker"

Eric Jordan

Pink and chum salmon are becoming more important to the troll fleet as their value rises and trollers are increasingly successful at targeting them. Multi-year observations demonstrate how trollers have pioneered the round troll fishery, faced the challenge of discovering techniques for getting bites, handling large volumes of gear and catch, and a look at future implications for management, conservation, and sharing.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Abstracts

Pink salmon forecasting with ecosystem metrics from the
Southeast Alaska Coastal Monitoring project and
implications of climate trends on regional pink productivity

Joe Orsi, Emily Fergusson, Molly Sturdevant, and Alex Wertheimer

Pink salmon, which are part of a highly valued fisheries resource in Southeast Alaska (SEAK), command a high commercial ex-vessel value currently exceeding \$90 M in the region. Effective management of this resource is challenging because dramatic and unanticipated fluctuations occur in annual pink salmon returns. Predicting these fluctuations is problematic because no leading indicator information of year class strength is available from sibling pink salmon due to their discrete one ocean year life cycle. Consequently, historical pre-season pink forecasts have been woefully inaccurate, leaving managers with a "wait and see" dilemma that cripples pre-season harvest strategies, reduces economic efficiencies, and jeopardizes resource sustainability. Ocean surveys in SEAK conducted by the Auke Bay Laboratories' Southeast Coastal Monitoring Project (SECM) have revealed encouraging relationships between ecosystem metrics and pink salmon harvests. The SECM project has developed forecast models specific to the region and are based on ocean sampling since 1997 in the northern region of SEAK. Each year, monthly biophysical data from oceanographic instruments, plankton nets, and fish surface trawls are collected from May to August. This SECM biophysical data, such as juvenile pink salmon catch, temperature, etc., are evaluated to forecast adult pink salmon harvest in SEAK. Since 2004, the SECM project has provided resource stakeholders pre-season forecasts that have generally been remarkably accurate and have deviated by an average of only 7% from actual harvests in seven of the past eight years. However, climate change variability since the mid-1970s, both in SEAK (air temperatures) and the Northeast Pacific Ocean (PDO and ENSO), has affected both regional and intra-regional productivity of pink salmon. Anomalously warm ocean temperatures in 2005 resulted in poor regional returns in 2006, and in 2011 harvest was largely due to unusually high production from the northern portion of SEAK. Future challenges in forecasting pink salmon in SEAK revolve around the ability of the SECM sampling in the northern region to continue to adequately represent harvest for the entire region. Unless the mechanism responsible for this productivity shift is adequately parameterized, future forecast accuracy may be reduced.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Abstracts

Do Asian pink salmon affect survival of Bristol Bay sockeye?"

Alex Wertheimer and Edward Farley

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop

Abstracts

Hitchhiker's guide to forecasting salmon returns with ocean conditions

Marc Trudel, Strahan Tucker, Andres Araujo, Steve Baillie, Chuck Parken,
and Bill Peterson

Stock assessment models currently used to manage salmon fisheries often fail to accurately predict run-size for adult salmon returning to British Columbia. Differences between predicted and actual returns are often attributed to biophysical processes related to changing ocean conditions, indicating the need to integrate information on climatic and biological drivers into the annual stock assessment process for Pacific salmon. In this presentation, we examine the predictive power of different approaches to forecast salmon returns in the Northern California Current system, including simple linear regression models, "stop-light" tables, multivariate statistical analyses, and Bayesian Beliefs Networks. Strengths and limitations of these approaches will be discussed.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop

Abstracts

Growth of Western Alaska and Asian chum salmon (*Oncorhynchus keta*) in relationship to climatic factors and inter- and intraspecific competition

Beverly Agler, Greg Ruggerone, and Lorna Wilson

Correlation analyses, stepwise generalized least squares regression, and Mantel's tests were used to examine factors influencing mean annual scale growth of western Alaska and Asian chum salmon from adult scales collected 1965-2007. We found significant negative effects of Asian chum salmon abundance on 83% of age 0.3 sites, and 75% of age 0.4 sites examined, indicating significant intraspecific competition within the North Pacific. Third year growth was negatively impacted by North Pacific annual sea surface temperature (SST), and the North Pacific Index (NPI). We found significant effects of interspecific interactions due to Russian pink salmon abundance, but the effects were smaller than the effects of Asian chum salmon abundance and SST on third year growth. Contrary to our hypothesis, we found that warmer large-scale SSTs were associated with reduced third year growth. It is possible that the abundance of Asian chum salmon has created a masking effect, overwhelming other effects that might promote growth in the North Pacific. First year scale growth was significantly affected by warmer regional temperatures, NPI, and less ice cover. We found strong correlations among all six systems in third growth year, suggesting these populations experienced similar environmental variation. More synchronous growth was observed among populations from close rivers than from distant ones, indicating the importance of regional scale over oceanwide studies.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop

Abstracts

Humpback whale predation on released salmon smolts and fry at enhancement facilities

Ellen Chenoweth, Jan Straley, Elena McCauley, Tommy Sheridan, Lon Garrison,
John Moran, Heather Riley, Frank Thrower, Ben Contag

In Southeast Alaska, Salmon Enhancement Facilities (SEF) have reported humpback whales targeting newly released salmon fry and smolts. This poses a threat to the economic, social and cultural benefits provided by these programs to Southeast Alaska's coastal communities. In spring of 2010, five organizations collaborated to evaluate the relationship between salmon releases and humpback whale presence. Whales were reported at all release sites; however, the likelihood of sighting a whale differed significantly among SEF. Whales were more likely to be seen on the day after a release than after non-release days. The same whale was observed feeding at Hidden Falls during releases in 2008 and 2010 indicating that targeting released fish is a learned and repeated behavior. These results provided baseline guidance to understand the impact of predation upon salmon enhancement programs and to develop release strategies to prevent or minimize humpback whales feeding on this anthropogenic food source. We propose to expand upon this pilot study to try to better understand the impact of humpback whales on released salmon, the impact of this novel prey source on humpback whales and project the potential spread of this behavior through the population of humpback whales. We will use traditional methods such as photographic identification and prey sampling as well as novel methods such as acoustic monitoring, animal borne tags, and tissue sampling to accomplish these goals.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Abstracts

Spatial variation in juvenile chum salmon marine growth:
tales from the NE Bering Sea to British Columbia .

Brian Beckman, Joe Orsi, Jim Murphy, Jamal Moss, Marc Trudel

Pearcy (1992) suggested that overall marine survival of anadromous salmonids was related to marine growth rates of juvenile fish. This hypothesis has been tested by measuring the hormone insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF1) from blood samples of juvenile coho salmon taken over a ten-year time series from fish collected off the Oregon/Washington Coast. IGF1 has been shown to be positively and significantly related to the growth rate of juvenile salmon in a series of controlled laboratory experiments. Significant inter-annual variation in growth was found over the 10-year period and higher growth rates were related to higher adult return rates. The extent to which IGF1 is a powerful indicator of growth and survival of other salmon species is unknown. In an attempt to understand the processes regulating the production of chum salmon we have obtained samples from juvenile chum salmon collected in marine surveys in the NE Bering, Gulf of Alaska, Icy Strait (Southeast Alaska) and the coast of British Columbia (2009 - 2010). None of these data sets are currently long enough to provide data on any possible relationships between early marine growth and adult survival. Data collected to date does demonstrate: increased growth of fish in the Gulf of Alaska (off-shore) as compared to Icy Strait (in-shore), significant south (BC) to north (Gulf of Alaska) differences in growth in 2010 and positive relations between temperature and growth in the NE Bering Sea.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Abstracts

Effects of Pink Salmon on Growth, Age, and Survival of Fraser River Sockeye
Salmon and Western Alaska Chinook Salmon

Gregory T. Ruggione, Beverly A. Agler

Abundances of Fraser River sockeye salmon and Western Alaska Chinook salmon have declined in the recent decade or more, whereas abundances of pink salmon have increased in most regions. Previous research indicated that pink salmon can affect growth and survival of sockeye and Chinook salmon; therefore, we tested the hypothesis that pink salmon may also affect Fraser River sockeye salmon and Nushagak River Chinook salmon (Bristol Bay, Alaska). Mean productivity of 16 Fraser River sockeye salmon populations during brood years 1965 to 2005 was inversely correlated with abundance of North American pink salmon. The unexpectedly low return of Fraser sockeye salmon in 2009 (2005 brood year) and the unexpectedly high return in 2010 (2006 brood year) were consistent with the alternating-year pattern of pink salmon abundance, but other factors were also prominent. Furthermore, in support of this hypothesis, adult length-at-age of Fraser sockeye was inversely related to abundances of adult sockeye and pink salmon, and mean sockeye age-at-maturation was delayed in response to increasing pink salmon abundance in North America. Growth and survival of Nushagak Chinook salmon were also influenced by pink salmon (primarily Russian stocks). Chinook scale growth during the second year at sea was positively correlated with spring SST, and growth was higher in odd-numbered years apparently in response to the cascading trophic effect of pink salmon. Chinook growth during the fourth year at sea and adult length-at-age were negatively correlated with abundance of Russian pink salmon. A multi-variate model indicated that productivity of Nushagak Chinook salmon during the past 35 years was positively correlated with spring SST during early marine life and negatively correlated with mean abundance of Russian pink salmon three to five years after each Chinook brood year. These findings are consistent with previous findings indicating that high abundances of pink salmon can affect growth, age-at-maturation and survival of salmon.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Abstracts
Hatchery Salmon and Ecosystem Productivity

Benjamin Van Alen

The put-and-take business of "ocean ranching" of hatchery salmon extracts nutrients from the ocean and lowers the carrying capacity for all biota. A sizeable proportion of wild salmon runs spawn and die in thousands of watersheds which helps maintain the natural marine-terrestrial-marine nutrient cycle. In contrast, nearly all salmon returning to hatcheries and remote release sites are caught (and should be) and their tons of marine-derived nutrients are removed from the nutrient cycle. Thus, not only are wild fish and shellfish facing direct competition from five billion-plus hatchery salmon now released into the North Pacific each year but the ocean's productivity is declining from the nutrient mining inherent with these industrial-scale ocean ranching hatchery programs. Of all the anthropogenic and climate change challenges we face, at least we have complete control over this one.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop

Poster Session

Emily Fergusson, Joe Orsi, Molly Sturdevant, Bill Heard, and Ed Farley Jr.: Annual trends in biophysical factors associated with juvenile pink & chum salmon

Wyatt Fournier and Jamal Moss: Regional and Seasonal Food Habits Adult Salmon in the Gulf of Alaska and implications for mortality of Age-0 Marine Fish

Gretchen Harrington & Mary Grady: Salmon bycatch in the federally managed groundfish fisheries

Michael Kohan, Megan McPhee, Joe Orsi, Franz Mueter and Phil Mundy: How biophysical dynamics predict differences in juvenile chum salmon (*Oncorhynchus keta*) physiology

Chris Kondzela, Andy Gray, Colby Marvin, Tyler McCraney, Hanhvan Nguyen, Sharon Wildes, and Jeff Guyon: Genetic analysis of chum salmon bycatch samples from the Bering Sea groundfish trawl fisheries

Chris Kondzela, Colby Marvin, Jim Murphy, Ed Farley, Bonnie Borba, Katherine Howard, Bill Templin, and Jeff Guyon: Contribution of Yukon River juvenile chum salmon to the eastern Bering Sea shelf

Tyler McCraney, Edward Farley, Christine Kondzela, Svetlana Naydenko, Alexander Starovoytov, and Jeffrey Guyon: Genetic stock identification of overwintering chum salmon in the North Pacific Ocean

Steve Moffitt and Rich Brenner: Straying of hatchery pink and chum salmon in Prince William Sound

Joe Orsi, Molly Sturdevant, Emily Fergusson, Alex Wertheimer, Bill Heard, and Ed Farley Jr.: The Southeast Alaska Coastal Monitoring (SECM) Project: Milestones from Research at Sea Over the Past 15 Years

Joe Orsi, Molly Sturdevant, Emily Fergusson, and Alex Wertheimer: Forecasting Pink Salmon Harvest in Southeast Alaska using Ecosystem Metrics from the Southeast Alaska Coastal Monitoring (SECM) Project

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop

Poster Session

Andrew Piston: Hatchery Chum Salmon Straying in Southeast Alaska

Andrew Piston and Steven Heinl: Trends in harvest and escapement for Southeast Alaska pink and chum salmon stocks

Boris Smirnov: Characteristic features of adaptation of hatchery-reared young chum salmon to sea water

Molly Sturdevant, Emily Fergusson, Joe Orsi, Rich Brenner, and Bill Heard: Salmon as Predators and Prey in Marine Waters of Alaska

Marc Trudel, Sean Hayes, Strahan Tucker, and John Candy: Adult Pink Salmon in the California Current System

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Poster Abstracts

Annual trends in biophysical factors associated with juvenile pink & chum salmon

Emily Fergusson, Joe Orsi, Molly Sturdevant, Bill Heard, and Ed Farley Jr.

The Southeast Coastal Monitoring (SECM) project annually collects data on juvenile Pacific salmon, ecologically-related species, and associated biophysical parameters in Southeast Alaska. The 15-year time series data are used to identify annual trends in juvenile salmon abundance relationships with biophysical parameters and support models to forecast adult pink salmon returns. Long term monitoring of juvenile salmon will enable researchers to understand how growth, abundance, and ecological interactions affect year-class strength of salmon and to better understand their roles in North Pacific marine ecosystems.

For more information on SECM time series:

http://www.afsc.noaa.gov/ABL/MSI/msi_secm.htm

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Poster Abstracts

Regional and Seasonal Food Habits Adult Salmon in the Gulf of Alaska and
implications for mortality of Age-0 Marine Fish

Wyatt Fournier and Jamal Moss

The Upper Trophic Level (UTL) Gulf of Alaska Project conducted fisheries oceanographic cruises during summer and fall months in the southeastern and central GOA. Immature and maturing salmon comprised the majority of piscivorous predators in the surface 20-meters of Gulf of Alaska (GOA). Stomachs from all five species on Pacific salmon were collected from each region and across seasons to quantify predation pressure on five target species of age-0 marine fish, provide data for ecosystem modeling efforts, and to determine spatial and temporal differences adult salmon have on ecosystem structuring in the GOA.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Poster Abstracts

Salmon bycatch in the federally managed groundfish fisheries.

Gretchen Harrington & Mary Grady

All five species of Pacific salmon are caught as bycatch in the federally managed groundfish fisheries off Alaska. The federal fishery management plans classify salmon as a prohibited species and, as such, groundfish fishermen must avoid salmon bycatch. NMFS monitors and estimates the number of each species of salmon caught in the groundfish fisheries. In both the Bering Sea and the Gulf of Alaska, the pollock fisheries take the majority of salmon bycatch. In both areas, the North Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council) has recommended and NMFS has implemented measures to minimize, to the extent practicable, Chinook salmon bycatch in the pollock fisheries. The Council is now considering measures to minimize bycatch of chum and other non-Chinook salmon in the Bering Sea pollock fishery. This poster provides estimates of the magnitude of bycatch of each salmon species in the groundfish fisheries in recent years and describes the tools currently used to monitor and control salmon bycatch in the groundfish fisheries.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Poster Abstracts

How biophysical dynamics predict differences in juvenile chum salmon
(*Oncorhynchus keta*) physiology

Michael Kohan, Megan McPhee, Joe Orsi, Franz Mueter and Phil Mundy

Chum salmon are an important commercial fishery in Southeast Alaska; therefore, gaining a better understanding about mechanisms affecting their recruitment is needed. By identifying biophysical indicators that affect physiological status of seaward migrating juvenile chum salmon, this project may provide valuable ecosystem metrics to help refine forecasts for hatchery and wild chum salmon as well as other salmon species in Southeast Alaska.

Over the past two years, two NOAA projects, the Gulf of Alaska Integrated Research Project (GOAIERP) and the Southeast Alaska Coastal Monitoring Project (SECM), have sampled stations offshore and inshore of Southeast Alaska to collect juvenile chum salmon and biophysical data. Stations sampled correspond to a major migratory pathway juvenile chum salmon utilize each summer from the northern region of Southeast Alaska out to the Gulf of Alaska. Collecting samples along transitional habitats will allow us to determine if biophysical parameters predict differences in the physiological status of juvenile chum salmon. Additionally, we will be able to examine stock-of-origin differences in juvenile chum salmon physiology between offshore and inshore marine environments. This graduate study is partially supported by the University of Alaska Fairbanks, the Alaska Sustainable Salmon Fund, and three regional aquaculture associations in Southeast Alaska: Douglas Island Pink & Chum, Inc., the Northern Southeast Regional Aquaculture Association, Inc., and the Southern Southeast Regional Aquaculture Association, Inc.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Poster Abstracts

Genetic analysis of chum salmon bycatch samples from the
Bering Sea groundfish trawl fisheries

Chris M. Kondzela, Andy K. Gray, Colby T. Marvin, W. Tyler McCraney,
Hanhvan T. Nguyen, Sharon L. Wildes, and Jeff R. Guyon

Protection of western Alaska chum salmon populations are of primary concern for salmon bycatch managers of the Bering Sea groundfish fisheries because this area is a known feeding habitat for multiple brood years of chum salmon (*Oncorhynchus keta*) from many different localities in North America and Asia. Because large numbers of chum salmon are incidentally caught in the federally managed Bering Sea groundfish fisheries in some years, it is important to determine the geographic origin of salmon caught in these fisheries to better understand whether management could address conservation concerns. A genetic analysis of samples of the chum salmon bycatch from the 2005-2010 Bering Sea groundfish trawl fishery was undertaken to determine the overall stock composition of the sample sets. Samples were genotyped for eleven microsatellite markers and results were estimated by using the chum salmon microsatellite baseline developed by Fisheries and Oceans Canada. Stock compositions are provided for six geographic regions. Overall, genetic samples were predominately from Asian stocks, although substantial contributions were also from the eastern Gulf of Alaska/Pacific Northwest, followed by western Alaska and the upper and middle Yukon River. Relative contributions shift over the course of the fall "B" groundfish fishing season with a tendency for the Asian contribution to increase and the North American contribution to decrease.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop

Poster Abstracts

Contribution of Yukon River juvenile chum salmon to the eastern Bering Sea shelf

Chris M. Kondzela, Colby T. Marvin, Jim M. Murphy, Ed V. Farley,
Bonnie M. Borba, Katherine G. Howard, Bill D. Templin, and Jeff R. Guyon

The Yukon River has two distinct runs of chum salmon: an earlier and typically more abundant summer-run and a later fall-run. Summer chum salmon generally spawn in the lower to middle reaches of the Yukon drainage while fall chum salmon are typically larger and generally spawn in spring-fed regions of the middle to upper reaches in Alaska and Canada. Concern about fall chum salmon abundance in some years has resulted in reduced subsistence fishing opportunities and has created challenges in fulfilling treaty obligations with Canada. To date, there is very little information regarding the survival of Yukon River chum salmon in their fresh or saltwater environments. Juvenile chum salmon outmigrate from the Yukon River in the spring and are found in the pelagic waters on the eastern Bering Sea shelf during summer and fall months. Juvenile chum salmon have been collected as part of annual Bering-Aleutian Salmon International Surveys (BASIS) in the northeastern Bering Sea since 2002. A genetic stock composition analysis of the 2002 juvenile chum salmon with allozyme markers revealed that Yukon River fall-run populations were predominantly located north of 60°N latitude. Our current project has three objectives. First, by using DNA-based markers, determine the stock contribution of juvenile chum salmon samples collected during 2003-2007 on the eastern Bering Sea shelf off the mouth of the Yukon River. Second, develop a relative abundance index of Yukon River summer- and fall-run juvenile chum salmon on the eastern Bering Sea shelf. Third, examine the potential to correlate juvenile relative abundances with adult Yukon River returns.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Poster Abstracts

Genetic stock identification of overwintering chum salmon
in the North Pacific Ocean

W. Tyler McCraney , Edward V. Farley , Christine M. Kondzela , Svetlana V.
Naydenko , Alexander N. Starovoytov, and Jeffrey R Guyon

Understanding stock and age-specific seasonal migrations of Pacific salmon during ocean residence is essential to both the conservation and management of this important resource. Based upon 11 microsatellites assayed on 265 individuals collected aboard international research surveys during winter 2009, we found substantial differences in the age-specific origin of chum salmon (*Oncorhynchus keta*) in the North Pacific Ocean. Overall, Asian stocks dominated the collections, however, ocean age 1 fish were primarily of Japanese origin and ocean age 2-3+ fish were predominantly of Russian origin. These results suggest that cohorts of chum salmon stocks migrate nonrandomly in the North Pacific Ocean and adjacent seas.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Poster Abstracts

Straying of hatchery pink and chum salmon in Prince William Sound

Steve Moffitt

Large increases in hatchery production of pink and chum salmon have occurred in Prince William (PWS) since releases began in 1979. Almost all of these fish are harvested in commercial fisheries. Alaska has statutes and policies designed to protect wild salmon and limit the deleterious impacts of hatchery practices. The Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) has established sustainable escapement goals (SEG) in PWS index streams to ensure that wild salmon returns are sustainable. All fish in aerial index counts of escapement are assumed to be of wild origin.

Studies conducted by ADF&G from 1997-1999 documented streams with high proportions (>95%) of hatchery pink salmon in western PWS and lower, but still substantial, proportions in other areas of PWS. Recent studies by ADF&G have confirmed these results for hatchery pink salmon, and have documented streams containing substantial proportions of hatchery chum salmon.

Hatchery salmon straying in PWS has impacts on escapement goals, inseason salmon management, the health of wild salmon stocks, and the certification of Alaskan salmon as sustainable.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Poster Abstracts

The Southeast Alaska Coastal Monitoring (SECM) Project:
Milestones from Research at Sea Over the Past 15 Years

Joe Orsi, Molly Sturdevant, Emily Fergusson, Alex Wertheimer,
Bill Heard, and Ed Farley Jr.

Researchers from the Auke Bay Laboratories of the Alaska Fisheries Science Center have conducted the Southeast Alaska Coastal Monitoring (SECM) project in the vicinity of Icy Strait, a principal migration corridor for salmon in Southeast Alaska (SEAK), since 1997. The SECM project helps to integrate basin-scale climate observations, regional oceanographic monitoring, and fisheries research to provide a sound scientific basis for understanding marine ecosystems. This effort also supports Ecosystem-Based Management by providing data to resource managers. This poster highlights some significant milestones from SECM research on biological interactions of salmon, ecologically-associated species, and biophysical oceanography in order to better understand climate effects and mechanisms influencing regional salmon productivity.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Poster Abstracts

Forecasting Pink Salmon Harvest in Southeast Alaska using Ecosystem Metrics
from the Southeast Alaska Coastal Monitoring (SECM) Project

Joe Orsi, Molly Sturdevant, Emily Fergusson, and Alex Wertheimer

Researchers from the Auke Bay Laboratories (ABL) of the Alaska Fisheries Science Center have provided forecasting information to stakeholders of the pink salmon resource of Southeast Alaska (SEAK) since 2004. The forecasting parameters used by ABL are derived from an ongoing time series of data collected by the Southeast Coastal Monitoring ([SECM](#)) project. Initiated in 1997, the SECM project primarily samples eight stations in the vicinity of Icy Strait. This annual research consists of monthly oceanographic sampling in May, June, July, and August, with surface trawling for juvenile salmon in the latter three months. The SECM pink salmon forecasts enable stakeholders to anticipate the harvest with more certainty than previous forecasting methods have allowed. In seven of the past eight years, these forecast estimates have deviated from the actual harvests by an average of only 7%. Data from juvenile pink salmon catches are also shared with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game to help refine their SEAK pink salmon harvest forecast that is developed by a different method. By providing accurate pre-season pink salmon harvest forecasts to the resource stakeholders, ABL has helped to increase the economic efficiency of commercial salmon fishing industry and helped to ensure the sustainability of the regional pink salmon resource. For more [details](#) about the SECM pink salmon forecasting please visit our web site: http://www.afsc.noaa.gov/ABL/MSI/msi_sae_psf.htm

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Poster Abstracts
Hatchery Chum Salmon Straying in Southeast Alaska

Andrew W. Piston

Hatchery production of chum salmon in Southeast Alaska increased dramatically over the last three decades, from 8.7 million fry released at eight locations in 1980, to 458 million fry released at 19 locations in 2010. Hatchery fish accounted for an average of 85% of the common property commercial harvest of chum salmon—28 million fish—over the 5 years, 2006–2010. The State of Alaska has numerous policies designed to minimize impacts of the salmon enhancement program on wild stocks, including a genetics policy, disease policies, a policy for the management of sustainable salmon fisheries (5 AAC 39.222), and a policy for management of mixed stock salmon fisheries, which gives the conservation of wild stocks, consistent with the sustained yield principle, the highest priority (5AAC 39.220). Alaska's Sustainable Salmon Policy states that "wild salmon stocks and fisheries on those stocks should be protected from adverse impacts from artificial propagation and enhancement efforts (5 AAC 39.222)." Chum salmon spawning abundance in Southeast Alaska is monitored through a series of peak survey estimates at 88 index streams upon which escapement goals are based. From 2008–2010, ADF&G collected otoliths from chum salmon at index streams throughout Southeast Alaska in an effort to document the presence of hatchery fish in wild stock index streams, determine the geographic extent of hatchery chum salmon straying, and to determine whether hatchery strays were affecting wild chum salmon escapement indices. Sample sizes of greater than 50 fish were collected from 33 of the 81 summer chum salmon index streams in Southeast Alaska. Hatchery fish were found in nearly every stream that was sampled, and the proportion of hatchery fish was over 5% in 21 streams. The proportions of stray hatchery fish were generally highest in streams closest to release sites, but stray proportions greater than 10% were detected in six streams at distances more than 50 km from the nearest release site. We detected significant year-to-year variability in the proportions of hatchery fish in several streams with high proportions of strays. ADF&G is currently working with the University of Alaska, PNP Aquaculture Corporations, and the National Marine Fisheries Service to develop a project to address concerns about straying and genetic interactions between hatchery and wild stocks.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Poster Abstracts

Trends in harvest and escapement for Southeast Alaska
pink and chum salmon stocks

Andrew W. Piston and Steven C. Heint

Annual commercial harvests of pink and chum salmon in Southeast Alaska increased dramatically in the 1980s and reached their highest levels in the 1990s: pink salmon harvests averaged 49 million, and chum salmon harvests averaged 11 million, including peak harvests of 16.0 million chums in 1996 and 78 million pinks in 1999. Nearly all of the pink salmon harvested in Southeast Alaska are of wild origin: hatchery-produced pink salmon contributed an average of only 3% of the annual harvest since the late 1970s. In contrast, however, the harvest of chum salmon has been composed primarily of hatchery fish (average 73% over the last 10 years). Estimated harvests of wild chum salmon did not rebound to the same degree as pink salmon and have recently declined to levels similar to those of the 1970s. Pink salmon harvests have also declined over the most recent 10 years, from an average of 49 million per year in the 1990s, to an average of 40 million fish per year since 2001, but remain at historically high levels. The decline in overall pink salmon harvest during the past decade was due primarily to very poor even year runs in 2006 and 2008, and a below average run in 2010. The Alaska Department of Fish and Game maintains escapement indices for aggregates of pink and chum salmon runs in three broad subregions in Southeast Alaska; Southern Southeast Subregion, Northern Southeast Inside Subregion, and Northern Southeast Outside Subregion. Escapement indices are based on peak aerial survey estimates and do not provide estimates of total escapement, but rather an index of abundance useful for assessing trends. Escapement indices for chum salmon increased in the late 1980s and 1990s and remained stable through the mid-2000s, but have recently dropped to low levels similar to those of the 1970s. The 2011 summer chum salmon escapement index in the Southern Southeast Subregion, however, was well above average. Pink salmon escapements in all three subregions increased dramatically from low levels in the 1960s and 1970s and have generally remained at high levels since the mid-to-late 1980s; the notable exception was a very poor escapement to the Northern Southeast Inside subregion in 2008.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop

Poster Abstracts

Characteristic features of adaptation of hatchery-reared young chum salmon to sea water

Boris P. Smirnov

During rearing of juvenile chum salmon from 0,4 to 10 g in fresh water its osmoregulatory ability to seawater does not become weaker but on the contrary slightly increases. Large fingerlings exhibit higher adaptability to sea life than the small ones: retransferring of large juveniles into fresh water have resulted in disturbance of electrolyte balance and death of fish. Unconvertible rearrangement of osmoregulation has been completed only after 2-day contact of juvenile with sea water. Low temperature (1-2°) as well as acute temperature alterations reduce the rate of adaptation of large fingerlings to sea water but do not block the osmoregulatory system. The gill Na-K-ATPase activity of juvenile chum salmon in fresh water is maintained at high level but plasma electrolytes remain relatively constant throughout the freshwater rearing.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Poster Abstracts

Salmon as Predators and Prey in Marine Waters of Alaska

Molly Sturdevant, Emily Fergusson, Joe Orsi, Rich Brenner, and Bill Heard

Predation during the early marine critical period is thought to determine year class strength for juvenile Pacific salmon, but predation impact is hard to document because it requires consistent sampling over extended periods to capture infrequent or episodic events. Juvenile salmon are among the most abundant daytime forage species available in summer to epipelagic predators in marine waters of Southeast Alaska (SEAK) and returning adult salmon are among the most abundant potential fish predators. Because of the spatial and temporal overlap of juveniles and adults of the 5 species, the potential for cannibalistic interactions to influence subsequent returns has long been of interest. To identify levels of predation on juvenile salmon, we examined the 15-year time series (1997-2011) of adult salmon and other potential predators captured in surface trawls by the Southeast Coastal Monitoring (SECM) project in SEAK, and 2 years of predation by adult pink and chum salmon captured in purse seines near shore in Prince William Sound. Here, we focus on the degree of piscivory and incidence of predation on juvenile salmon by adult/immature Chinook, coho, sockeye, chum, and pink salmon, address the potential for cannibalism by alternate year brood lines of pink salmon to depress returns the following year, and provide an example of the impact of an abundant episodic predator, immature sablefish, on salmon.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Poster Abstracts
Adult Pink Salmon in the California Current System

Marc Trudel, Sean Hayes, Strahan Tucker, and John Candy

The southern edge of pink and chum salmon in North America extends to the Columbia River with small runs, with typically less than 100 individuals of each species returning to the Bonneville Dam each year. Trawl surveys conducted in the fall of 2011 revealed the presence of adult pink salmon off the southern Oregon and northern California coasts. It was initially thought that these fish originated from the Fraser River, as adult returns to this system have been typically above 20 million in odd years during the last decade, and the cooler temperature associated with the La Nina condition in 2011 may have pushed their landing further south than normal. To determine their origin, we performed DNA analyses using a microsatellite baseline developed for British Columbia and Puget Sound stocks. Stock assignments were spread equally among numerous stocks spanning the entire baseline, an unlikely scenario suggesting that the source population was not present in the baseline used to assess their origin. Given the anomalously high return of adult pink salmon to the Columbia River in 2011, we hypothesize that they originated from the Columbia River, and that ocean conditions they encountered during their smolt year were favourable to their survival. Extension of the microsatellite to the Columbia River stocks will help to resolve this question.

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop Planning Committee

Agler, Bev
Alaska Department of Fish & Game - Juneau
10107 Bentwood Pl.
Juneau, AK 99802
bev.agler@alaska.gov
(907) 465-3498

Andrews, Alex
NOAA/AFSC Auke Bay Laboratories
17109 Point Lena Loop Road
Juneau, AK 99801
alex.andrews@noaa.gov
(907) 789-6655

Araujo, Andres
Fisheries and Oceans Canada
3190 Hammond Bay Road
Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N7
andres.araujo@dfo-mpo.gc.ca
(250)756-3367

Bair, Brian
USDA - TEAMS Enterprise
181 McEvoy Lane
Stevenson, WA 98648
bbair@fs.fed.us
(509) 427-4288

Beckman, Brian
NOAA Fisheries, NWFSC
2725 Montlake Blvd E
Seattle, WA 98112
brian.beckman@noaa.gov
(206) 860-3461

Blikshteyn, Mikhail
Wild Salmon Center
721 NW 9th Ave Ste. 300
Portland, OR 97209
mblikshteyn@wildsalmoncenter.org
(707) 633-8077

Brown, Melanie
NOAA Fisheries
PO Box 21668
Juneau, AK 99802
melanie.brown@noaa.gov
(907) 586-7228

Brunette, Malika
Alaska Department of Fish & Game - Ketchikan
2030 Sea Level Drive #205
Ketchikan, AK 99901
malika.brunette@alaska.gov
(907) 225-9677

Burke, John
SSRAA
14 Borch Street
Ketchikan, AK 99901
johnb@ssraa.org
(907) 225-9605

Cashen, Joe
Alaska Department of Fish & Game - Juneau
10107 Bentwood Pl.
Juneau, AK 99802
joe.cashen@alaska.gov
(907) 465-2306

Chahanovich, Jeniffer
Alaska Department of Fish & Game - Juneau
10107 Bentwood Pl.
Juneau, AK 99802
(907) 465-2306

Chenoweth, Ellen
University of Alaska - Fairbanks
17101 Point Lena Loop Road
Juneau, AK 99801
emchenoweth@alaska.edu
(907) 796-5441

Cote, Liz
NOAA/AFSC Auke Bay Laboratories
17109 Point Lena Loop Road
Juneau, AK 99801
elizabeth.cote@noaa.gov
(907) 789-6088

Davila, Jessica
U.S. Forest Service
3031 Tongass Ave
Ketchikan, AK 99901
jmdavila@fs.fed.us
(907) 228-4143

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Planning Committee

Doherty, Susan
SSRAA
14 Borch Street
Ketchikan, AK 99901
sdoherty@ssraa.org
(907) 225-9605

Edsall, Allen
EWOS
PO Box 101
Klawock, AK 99925
aedsall@aptalaska.net
(907) 209-2808

Ericksen, Randy
State of the Salmon
721 NW 9th Ave Ste. 300
Portland, OR 97209
(971) 255-5548
rericksen@wildsalmoncenter.org

Farinas, Manuel
Oregon Department of Fish & Wildlife
175 Katon Road
Montesano, WA 98563
(541) 757-5228
manny.a.farinas@state.or.us

Farley, Ed
NOAA/AFSC Auke Bay Laboratories
17109 Point Lena Loop Road
Juneau, AK 99801
(907) 789-6085
ed.farley@noaa.gov

Fedorova, Liudmila
JSC Gidrostroy
721 NW 9th Ave Ste. 300
Portland, OR 97209
(503) 222-1804
smallboss@mail.ru

Fergusson, Emily
NOAA/AFSC Auke Bay Laboratories
17109 Point Lena Loop Road
Juneau, AK 99801
emily.fergusson@noaa.gov
(907) 789-6613

Fick, Tyson
Alaska Seafood Marketing Institute
311 N. Franklin St. Ste 200
Juneau, AK 99801
(907) 465-5560
tfick@alaskaseafood.org

Focht, Rick
DIPAC
2697 Channel Dr.
Juneau, AK 99801
rick_focht@dipac.net
(907) 463-1629

Fournier, Wyatt
NOAA/AFSC Auke Bay Laboratories
17109 Point Lena Loop Road
Juneau, AK 99801
(907) 789-6026
wyatt.fournier@noaa.gov

Garner, John
Trident Seafoods Corporation
5303 Shilshole Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98107
(206) 783-3818
jgarner@tridentseafoods.com

Geiger, Hal
St. Hubert Research Group
222 Seward St., Suite 205
Juneau, AK 99801
Geiger@alaska.com
(907) 723-3234

Grady, Mary
NOAA Fisheries
PO Box 21668
Juneau, AK 99802
mary.grady@noaa.gov
(907) 586-7228

Gubernick, Bob
USDA Forest Service
8901 Grand Avenue Place
Duluth, MN 55808
rgubernick@fs.fed.us
(218) 626-4351

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Planning Committee

Guthrie, Chuck
NOAA/AFSC Auke Bay Laboratories
17109 Point Lena Loop Road
Juneau, AK 99801
chuck.guthrie@noaa.gov
(907) 789-6093

Guyon, Jeff
NOAA/AFSC Auke Bay Laboratories
17109 Point Lena Loop Road
Juneau, AK 99801
jeff.guyon@noaa.gov
(907) 789-6079

Habicht, Chris
Alaska Department of Fish & Game - Anchorage
333 Raspberry Road
Anchorage, AK 99518
chris.habicht@alaska.gov
(907) 267-2169

Hard, Jeff
NOAA/NW Fisheries Science Center
2725 Montlake Blvd E
Seattle, WA 98112
jeff.hard@noaa.gov
(206) 860-3275

Harrington, Gretchen
NOAA Fisheries
PO Box 21668
Juneau, AK 99802
gretchen.harrington@noaa.gov
(907) 586-7228

Heard, Bill
NOAA/AFSC Auke Bay Laboratories
17109 Point Lena Loop Road
Juneau, AK 99801
bill.heard@noaa.gov
(907) 789-6003

Heinl, Steve
Alaska Department of Fish & Game - Ketchikan
2030 Sea Level Dr. Suite 205
Ketchikan, AK 99901
steve.heinl@alaska.gov
(907) 225-9677

Hernandez, Hugo
Muckleshoot Indian Tribe
34900 212th Ave. SE
Auburn, WA 98092
hugo.hernandez@muckleshoot.nsn.us
(253) 876-3341

Hinton, Scott
Alaska Department of Fish & Game - Juneau
10107 Bentwood Pl.
Juneau, AK 99802
scott.hinton@alaska.gov
(907) 465-2306

Jacobson, Sheila
USDA Forest Service - Tongass National Forest
P.O. Box 500
Craig, AK 99921
sajacobson@fs.fed.us
(907) 826-1629

Jensen, Kathleen
Alaska Department of Fish & Game - Juneau
PO Box 11004
Douglas, AK 99824
kathleen.jensen@alaska.gov
(907) 465-4223

Jordan, Eric
I Gotta Seafood
103 Gibson Place
Sitka, AK 99835
chumtroller@gmail.com
(907) 738-2486

Killinger, Greg
US Forest Service
204 Siginaka Way
Sitka, AK 99835
sbrandy@fs.fed.us
(907) 747-4329

Kohan, Michael
University of Alaska - Fairbanks
17101 Point Lena Loop Road
Juneau, AK 99801
mlkohan@alaska.edu
(907) 796-5441

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Planning Committee

Kondzela, Chris
NOAA/AFSC Auke Bay Laboratories
17109 Point Lena Loop Road
Juneau, AK 99801
chris.kondzela@noaa.gov
(907) 789-6084

Leask, Steve
Tamgas Hatchery
PO Box 8
Metlakatla, AK 99926
tchsteve@hughes.net
(907) 886-3150

Lechner, Chelsea
Alaska Department of Fish & Game - Juneau
10107 Bentwood Place
Juneau, AK 99801
(907) 465-2306

Lincoln, Richard
State of the Salmon
721 NW 9th Ave Ste. 300
Portland, OR 97209
rlincoln@wildsalmoncenter.org
(971) 255-5575

Livermore, Jonathan
Alaska Department of Fish & Game - Juneau
10107 Bentwood Place
Juneau, AK 99801
jonathan.livermore@alaska.gov
(907) 465-2306

Lovejoy, Megan
Alaska Department of Fish & Game - Juneau
10107 Bentwood Pl.
Juneau, AK 99802
megan.lovejoy@alaska.gov
(907) 465-2306

Mahara, Carol
US Forest Service
8510 Mendenhall Loop Road
Juneau, AK 99801
cjmahara@fs.fed.us
(907) 789-6256

Malnor, Ron
Bio-Oregon
2312 Lynn Street
Bellingham, WA 98225
ron.malnor@bio-oregon.com
(360) 303-4297

Manhard, Chris
University of Alaska - Fairbanks
17101 Pt. Lena Loop Rd.
Juneau, AK 99801
cvmanhard@alaska.edu
(361) 563-1149

Martinson, Ellen
NOAA/AFSC Auke Bay Laboratories
17109 Point Lena Loop Road
Juneau, AK 99801
ellen.martinson@noaa.gov
(907) 789-6604

McCraney, Tyler
NOAA/AFSC Auke Bay Laboratories
17109 Point Lena Loop Road
Juneau, AK 99801
tyler.mccraney@noaa.gov
(907) 789-6444

McPhee, Megan
University of Alaska - Fairbanks
17101 Pt. Lena Loop Rd.
Juneau, AK 99801
mvmcphee@alaska.edu
(907) 796-5464

Medel, Ronald
USDA - Forest Service
648 Mission Street
Ketchikan, AK 99901
rmedel@fs.fed.us
(907) 228-6275

Moffitt, Steve
Department of Fish and Game - Cordova
P.O. Box 669
Cordova, AK 99574
steve.moffitt@alaska.gov
(907) 424-3212

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop Planning Committee

Morris, Michelle
NOAA/AFSC Auke Bay Laboratories
17109 Point Lena Loop Road
Juneau, AK 99801
michelle.morris@noaa.gov
(907) 789-6009

Murphy, Jim
NOAA/AFSC Auke Bay Laboratories
17109 Point Lena Loop Road
Juneau, AK 99801
jim.murphy@noaa.gov
(907) 789-6651

Musslewhite, Jake
Armstrong-Keta, Inc.
PO Box 21990
Juneau, AK 99802
aki@ak.net
[\(907\) 988-1080](tel:9079881080)

Myhrer, Jason
Prince William Sound Aquaculture Corporation
PO Box 1110
Cordova, AK 99574
mbh.pwsac@ak.net
(907) 424-7511

Olson, Bekah
NOAA/AFSC Auke Bay Laboratories
17109 Point Lena Loop Road
Juneau, AK 99801
rebekah.olsen@noaa.gov
(907) 789-6088

Orsi, Joe
NOAA/AFSC Auke Bay Laboratories
17109 Point Lena Loop Road
Juneau, AK 99801
joe.orsi@noaa.gov
(907) 789-6034

Oxman, Dion
Alaska Department of Fish & Game - Juneau
10107 Bentwood Pl.
Juneau, AK 99802
dion.oxman@alaska.gov
(907) 465-3499

Piston, Andy
Alaska Department of Fish & Game - Ketchikan
2030 Sea Level Dr. Suite 205
Ketchikan, AK 99901
andrew.piston@alaska.gov
(907) 225-9677

PrechtI, Melissa
University of Alaska - Fairbanks
17101 Pt. Lena Loop Rd.
Juneau, AK 99801
meprechtI@alaska.edu
(907) 796-5441

Rabung, Sam
Alaska Department of Fish & Game - Juneau
P.O. Box 115526
Juneau, AK 99811-5526
samuel.rabung@alaska.gov
(907) 465-4235

Rand, Pete
Wild Salmon Center
721 NW 9th Avenue, Suite 300
Portland, OR 97209
prand@wildsalmoncenter.org
(503) 222-1804

Reggiani, David
Prince William Sound Aquaculture
PO Box 1110
Cordova, AK 99574
dave.pwsac@ak.net
(907) 424-7511

Reifenstuhl, Steve
NSRAA
1308 SMC rd
Sitka, AK 99835
steve.reifenstuhl@gmail.com
(907) 747-6850

Reynolds, Anne
Alaska Department of Fish & Game - Juneau
PO Box 110024
Juneau, AK 99811
anne.reynolds@alaska.gov
(907) 465-2444

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop Planning Committee

Riutta, Ray
Alaska Seafood Marketing Institute
311 N. Franklin St Ste: 200
Juneau, AK 99801
rriutta@alaskaseafood.org
(907) 465-5560

Ruggerone, Greg
Natural Resources Consultants
4039 21st Avenue West, Ste 404
Seattle, WA 98028
gruggerone@nrccorp.com
(206) 285-3480

Sato, Shunpei
Hokkaido National Fisheries Research Institute
2-2 Nakanoshima, Toyohira-ku
Sapporo, Japan 062-0922
shuns@fra.affrc.go.jp

Seeb, Lisa
UW School of Aquatic and Fishery Sciences
1122 NE Boat Street
Seattle, WA 98105
lseeb@uw.edu
(206) 685-3723

Seeb, Jim
UW School of Aquatic and Fishery Sciences
1122 NE Boat Street
Seattle, WA 98105
jseeb@uw.edu
(206) 685-2097

Seeland, Jim
University of Alaska - Sitka
312 Cascade St.
Sitka, AK 99835
jim.seeland@uas.alaska.edu
(907) 747-7742

Shaul, Leon
Alaska Department of Fish & Game - Juneau
PO Box 110024
Juneau, AK 99811
leon.shaul@alaska.gov
(907) 465-4214

Sheridan, Tommy
Alaska Department of Fish & Game - Anchorage
333 Raspberry Road
Anchorage, AK 99518
thomas.sheridan@alaska.gov
(907) 424-3212

Smoker, Bill
University of Alaska - Fairbanks
17101 Pt. Lena Loop Rd.
Juneau, AK 99801
wwsmoker@alaska.edu
(907) 321-3602

Stopha, Mark
Alaska Department of Fish & Game - Juneau
4455 N. Douglas Hwy
Juneau, AK 99801
mark.stopha@alaska.gov
(907) 465-6152

Sturdevant, Molly
NOAA/AFSC Auke Bay Laboratories
17109 Point Lena Loop Road
Juneau, AK 99801
molly.sturdevant@noaa.gov
(907) 789-6041

Tallmon, David
University of Alaska - Juneau
11120 Glacier Highway
Juneau, AK 99801
david.tallmon@uas.alaska.edu
(907) 796-6330

Thomas, Mark
Cook Inlet Aquaculture Association
PO Box 3389
Homer, AK 99603
mthomas_tblh@ciaanet.org
(866) 309-0640

Thrower, Frank
NOAA/AFSC Auke Bay Laboratories
17109 Point Lena Loop Road
Juneau, AK 99801
frank.thrower@noaa.gov
(907) 789-6055

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Planning Committee

Trudel, Marc
Fisheries and Oceans Canada
3190 Hammond Bay Road
Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N7
marc.trudel@dfo-mpo.gc.ca
(250) 756-7049

Wilson, Lorna
Alaska Department of Fish & Game - Juneau
PO Box 115526
Juneau, AK 99811
lorna.wilson@alaska.gov
(907) 465-2424

Unger, Rob
Prince William Sound Aquaculture Corporation
PO Box 1110
Cordova, AK 99574
wnh.pwsac@ak.net
(907) 424-7511

Wink, Andy
McDowell Group
9360 Glacier Hwy
Juneau, AK 99801
andy.wink@mcdowellgroup.net
(907) 586-6134

Van Alen, Ben
U.S. Forest Service
8510 Mendenhall Loop Road
Juneau, AK 99801
bvanalen@fs.fed.us
(907) 789-6257

Wright, Neil
Prince William Sound Aquaculture Corporation
PO Box 1110
Cordova, AK 99574
cch.pwsac@ak.net
(907) 424-7511

Vega, Stacy
University of Alaska - Fairbanks
PO Box 757220
Fairbanks, AK 99775
slvega@alaska.edu
(626) 710-7711

Vercessi, Lorraine
Alaska Department of Fish & Game - Juneau
PO Box 115526
Juneau, AK 99811-5526
lorraine.vercessi@alaska.gov
(907) 465-6423

Wallace, Bruce
ASMI/UFA
410 Calhoun
Juneau, AK 99801
wallace.bruce44@gmail.com
(907) 723-1441

Wildes, Sharon
NOAA/AFSC Auke Bay Labs
17109 Point Lena Loop Road
Juneau, AK 99801
sharon.wildes@noaa.gov
(907) 789-6081

25th Pink & Chum Salmon Workshop
Planning Committee

Joe Orsi (Co-chair) - NOAA/AFSC
Emily Fergusson (Co-chair) - NOAA/AFSC
Steve Heint (Co-chair) - ADF&G

Andres Araujo - DFO
Malika Brunette - ADF&G
Rick Focht - DIPAC
Jeff Guyon - NOAA/AFSC
Jeff Hard - NOAA/NWFSC
Sheila Jacobson - USDA Forest Service
Orlay Johnson - NOAA/NWFSC
Chris Kondzela- NOAA/AFSC
Megan McPhee - University of Alaska
Kathleen Neely - NOAA/NWFSC
Andy Piston- ADF&G
Steve Reifentuhl - NSRAA
Molly Sturdevant- NOAA/AFSC
Marc Trudel- DFO